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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

Some researchers believe that learning a second language or a foreign language is different from learning other subjects. Cohen and Norst (1989), for example, suggest the double bind aspect which exists when learning a language but not when learning other subjects. The double bind aspect means that “language and self are so closely bound, if not identical, that an attack on one is an attack on the other” (Cohen and Norst, 1989, p. 61). In language classes, learners are taking a risk whether they keep quiet or they speak. For example, when learners speak in language classes they are taking the risk of giving the wrong answers. If they give the wrong answers, they face the possibility of being ridiculed by their teachers and peers. On the other hand, when language learners keep quiet in language classes, they are taking the risk of being embarrassed for not knowing the answers. When they remain as passive language learners, their teachers may think that they do not understand the lessons being taught or lack the confidence to speak. In terms of such risk-taking, Beebe (1983) suggests that learners take moderate risk-taking in language classes.
Besides the double bind aspect, the dual task aspect proposed by Foss and Reitzel (1988) is also unique to language classes but not to other classes. In language classes, learners have two tasks to accomplish, that is, to learn and also to perform. When doing language tasks, for example, learners may get the correct answers but they may still make grammatical mistakes in the tasks. On the other hand, a communication class is mainly concerned with performance rather than learning the subject matter. In relation to performance, Horwitz, Horwitz and Cope (1986) believe that performing in a language class can be more stressful than performing in other subjects because it may challenge a person’s self-concept as a competent communicator which will lead to reticence, self-consciousness, fear, or even panic.

Another difference between learning a language and learning other subjects is that learning a language involves a fairly intense cognitive activity which could create problems compared to learning other subjects (MacIntyre and Gardner, 1994). There is also a great possibility that language learners have “negative thoughts” that can interfere with their work (MacIntyre and Gardner, 1991a, p. 297). For example, in language classes, learners have to comprehend the meaning of a message and then respond to that message verbally or in writing. This demand on them may create negative thoughts such as “I think I am not good in English”. To sum up, due to the double bind aspect, the dual task aspect and the intense cognitive activity which are unique to language classes, most learners may experience anxiety in language classes.
The research on anxiety in language learning has gained popularity since the 1990s (MacIntyre, 1999; Spielmann and Radnofsky, 2001; and Rodriguez and Abreu, 2003). Language researchers and theorists are conscious of the association between anxiety and language learning and research has shown that language learners may experience anxiety in language classes (Horwitz, Horwitz and Cope, 1986). A case in point is the study done by Price (1991) who interviewed highly anxious students to get their perspectives on anxiety experienced in language classes. The students associated the following factors as the causes of language anxiety: the level of difficulty of foreign language classes; the poor results they obtained in language classes compared to other classes; and the stressful classroom experiences while learning a language. In relation to classroom sources of anxiety, the students expressed the following: fears of being laughed at by their peers or making a fool of themselves; the concern about making errors in pronunciation; the frustration of not being able to communicate effectively; and the difficulty of language classes compared to other classes. In addition, some students claimed that they worked harder in their language classes compared to other classes but they still did not do well. This indicates that language courses may be more demanding and more difficult than other courses or may be placing special demands on learners.
What is Language Anxiety?

The anxiety phenomenon can be explained by first understanding the concept of anxiety arousal. Anxiety arousal is associated with “self-related cognition: thoughts of failure, worry over how one is performing in the situation, and self-deprecating thoughts” (MacIntyre, 1999, p. 35). An example of self-deprecating thought is negative self-evaluation such as “I am a slow learner”.

In order to understand language anxiety, the definitions of anxiety have to be examined. Since anxiety is an abstract psychological phenomenon, researchers have not been able to agree on one definition of anxiety (MacIntyre, 1999). However, the most cited definitions for anxiety in language learning are the ones given by Horwitz, Horwitz and Cope (1986), MacIntyre and Gardner (1994), and MacIntyre (1999). A definition on language anxiety with respect to foreign language anxiety has been proposed by Horwitz, Horwitz and Cope (1986, p. 128) as a “distinct complex of self-perceptions, beliefs, feelings, and behaviors related to classroom language learning”. Another definition of language anxiety is stated by MacIntyre and Gardner (1994, p. 284) as “the feeling of tension and apprehension specifically associated with second language contexts, including speaking, listening, and learning”. Then, MacIntyre (1999, p. 27) refines his definition of language anxiety as “the worry and negative emotional reaction aroused when learning or using a second language”.
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Based on these definitions of language anxiety, the following descriptions of the differences between anxious language learners and non-anxious language learners can help us understand the experiences of the two types of learner. MacIntyre and Gardner (1991c, p. 112) characterize an anxious language learner as “an individual who perceives the second language as an uncomfortable experience, who withdraws from voluntary participation, who feels social pressures not to make mistakes, and who is less willing to try uncertain or novel linguistic forms”. On the other hand, a non-anxious language learner is usually a person who feels relaxed and comfortable in the language learning class.

The differences in performance between anxious language learners and non-anxious language learners can be found in studies by Steinberg and Horwitz (1986), and MacIntyre, Noels and Clément (1997). Steinberg and Horwitz’s (1986) study showed that anxious language learners described visual stimuli less interpretively compared to the non-anxious language learners who could describe the visual stimuli interpretively. Similarly, MacIntyre, Noels and Clément’s (1997) study on learners’ self-perceptions of their competence on language tasks and language anxiety indicated that anxious learners tended to underestimate their competence, thereby potentially impairing progress, compared to the less anxious learners who tended to overestimate their competence which could facilitate language learning.
The Role of Anxiety in Language Learning

It is crucial to understand the role of anxiety in language learning because it ranks high among factors that can influence language learning, regardless of whether the setting is formal or informal (Oxford, 1999a). According to MacIntyre (1995), language anxiety plays a significant causal role in creating individual differences in both language learning and communication. In a second language class, anxiety can be manifested or experienced at different levels. While it can be harmful, anxiety in itself may not necessarily have a negative effect on language. The benefits of moderate levels of anxiety should not be ignored as it can provide an impetus to performing a task or achieving an objective. The following quotation given by McLellan provides a clear picture of the different levels of anxiety and their potential effects on a learning task:

Anxiety is usually a warning that alerts a person to the fact that something is wrong and prepares him or her to face the anxiety-producing situation. A lack of anxiety may result in an “I-don’t-care” attitude that, in fact, may increase the potential for failure. Moderate levels of anxiety, however, are beneficial. They supply motivation and added energy and increase one’s ability to focus on the task at hand. On the other hand, too much anxiety can be damaging, causing “hyped-up” and jittery feelings so intense that effective use of energies towards achieving a goal becomes impossible.

(1986, p. 40)

The different roles that anxiety can play in language learning have led some researchers to classify anxiety into various categories. Alpert and Haber (1960), for example, classify anxiety into two types: harmful or debilitating anxiety and
helpful or facilitating anxiety. According to Oxford (1999a), most researchers regard anxiety as debilitating because anxiety harms learners’ performance. For example, anxious learners may have problem comprehending materials because of the short-term memory loss due to anxiety. They may also have a problem in producing what they have learnt because anxiety interferes with the long-term memory retrieval process (MacIntyre and Gardner, 1991b).

Research on language anxiety has produced findings associated with debilitating anxiety as well as facilitating anxiety. For example, Cohen and Norst (1989) cited the painful experiences faced by their adult students (such as the fear of having their inadequacies exposed) when they were learning a foreign language. On the other hand, some researchers such as Kleinmann (1977) discovered a positive relationship between facilitating anxiety levels and the use of generally avoided structures. Similarly, Bailey’s (1983) diary study revealed the driving influence of facilitating anxiety when she increased her efforts to master the French language and also when she worked hard to out-do other students.

Besides researchers, language teachers are also concerned about anxiety in language learning as they must address their students’ anxiety. According to Horwitz, Horwitz, and Cope (1986), language teachers should consider the possibility of debilitating anxiety causing a student’s poor performance instead of attributing the student’s poor performance to factors such as a lack of ability, inadequate background, or poor motivation. It has also been found that extremely