

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

IMPACT OF FORESTRY ON REGIONAL ECONOMY OF EAST KALIMANTAN PROVINCE, INDONESIA

DWI SUDHARTO

FH 1999 15



IMPACT OF FORESTRY ON REGIONAL ECONOMY OF EAST KALIMANTAN PROVINCE, INDONESIA

By DWI SUDHARTO

Dissertation Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia

April 1999



DEDICATION

To my wife, Rika, and our children Galih, Vita, and Wira, and to my parents



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, thanks to Allah S. W. T. With his blessing I was able to complete this Ph.D. dissertation and make my dream come true. Many individuals have contributed towards the success of my graduate studies at Faculty of Forestry, University Putra Malaysia in Selangor, Malaysia. I take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to the following individuals and institutions:

To Dr. Khamurudin Mohd. Noor, chairman of the Supervisory Committee, for his guidance, advice, encouragement, and continuous supports since the first time I came to UPM in September 1996 until the completion of my studies. I will never forget all his kindness. Also to Mrs. Khamurudin, thanks for her encouragement and kindness.

To Prof. Dr. Abd. Aziz Abd. Rahman, Dr. Awang Noor, and Prof. Dr. Dudung Darusman, members of my Supervisory Committee, for their precious help, continuous encouragement, and wise guidance during my graduate work. With their guidance, all the problems of my thesis became easier and interesting.

My sincere gratitude extended to Prof. Dr. William B. Beyers from University of Washington, USA as the independent examiner, for his useful comments and suggestion on my dissertation. I also highly appreciate to Dr. Mohd. Syahwahid Hj. Othman from Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM as a member of the examiners board for my viva.



To the Ministry of Forestry of Indonesia that gave me this opportunity to continue my study and provide financial support for this programme. Especially for Ir. Bambang Uripno, Med and his staff, and Ir. Sri Sugiharti, thanks for their help and assistance. My apologies for inconveniencing you in providing financial support at a time when the country was facing monetary crisis.

To Ir. Siswanto Prodjosaputro, and Ir. Soediro Koesno, for their sincere help and guidance. I greatly indebted to both of them for their relentless support, especially when I had to further my studies in 1996. I will never forget this all my life.

To Ir. Dibyo Poedjowadi, my former boss, for his invaluable help, continuous support (moral and material), and wise guidance. I am greatly indebted to him for all his kindness.

To Ir. Hermanto Siregar, MEc., for his cordial relationship and help in checking my proposal, providing expert advice on literature about Input-Output Analysis. Special thanks for Ir. Lukman Yunus, MSi., for his technical advice, help in the processing of data, and sincere friendship.

To Ir. Momong Imron Rosyadi and his staffs, especially Ir. Herry Purnomo, Ir. Fuad Sholeh Afkar, Ir. Nurcahyo Adi, MBA and Ir. Nana Suherna Saad at the Regional Forestry Office of East Kalimantan Province, Ir. Agus Darmono in PT. Inhutani I Samarinda, Ir. Tjipta Purwita, MBA in PT. Inhutani II Samarinda, Ir. Didik Prasetyo in PT. Sumalindo, Ir. Eman Hidayat in PT. Limbang Ganeca, Ir. Margo Yuwono and Ir. Rerta Mastiani Manurung at Central



Bureau of Statistics (CBS) in Jakarta, and to all forest concession rights, plywood and sawntimber industries in East Kalimantan, for their support during the data collection.

To Mr. M. Pancha Nathan, for his precious help to check the grammar of my thesis. Also to all members of the Indonesian Students' Association or *PPI*-UPM, who made life enjoyable and were supportive all the way. Special thanks for Dr. Yong Mei Fang at the Faculty of Modern Language, Mrs. Arbayah Mohd Isa, Mrs. Rabidah, Mr. Ruslan, Mrs. Faridah, Ms. Fazlon, and Ms. Zaiton at the Graduate School Office, UPM, Mrs. Yvonne and Drs. Asep Soesandjaya in Bogor and staffs of the Indonesian Embassy in Kuala Lumpur who always helped me to solve the various problems that I faced during my studies.

Acknowledgement must also be extended to my mother, my father and mother-in-law, and to all the members of the family who never forget to support and pray for the successful completion of my study.

Finally, to my wife, Rika Hikmawati, my sons, Galih Andhika and Wira Nastainul Hakim, and my daughter, Vita Nayunda, for their steadfast encouragement, prayers and love. I never doubted your support. I love you all very much, and with the permission of Allah, I will always love you.

Serdang, April 1999.

UPM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iii
LIST OF TABLES		ix
LIST OF FIGURES		xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xv
ABSTRACT		xvii
ABSTRAK		xix
CHAPTER		
I INTRODUCTION The Background Problem Statement Objectives of the Study Hypotheses of the Study		3
The Organisation of the Study		6
II THE INDONESIAN ECONOMY REV		7
Introduction Economic Structure		7 7
Economic Growth		9
Income per Capita and Inflation		12
International Trade and Policies Summary		14 16
Summary		10
III FOREST RESOURCE IN INDONESI		
Introduction		
Forest Types		17
Government Policies in the Forestry Se		24
Forest Management and Utilisation		27
Role of the Forestry Sector in the Indor Contribution to GDP	nesian Economy	43 43
Forest Industry and Trade		44
Forestry and Employment		47
Inter-sectoral Linkages		49
Summary		EO



IV	EAST KALIMANTAN PROVINCE IN BRIEF	51
	Introduction	51
	General Background	51
	Geography and Location of East Kalimantan Province	51
	Demography	54
	General Economy	55
	The Forestry Sector in East Kalimantan Province	60
	Summary	69
	,	
V	LITERATURE REVIEW	71
	Introduction	71
	Regional Development	71
	The Input-Output Framework	80
	History, Base Concept, and Assumptions	80
	Uses of Input-Output Analysis	82
	Strengths and Weakness of Input-Output Analysis	84
	The Technique of Input-Output Analysis	87
	The Structure of the Input-Output Table	87
	The Multipliers	94
	The Linkages	95
	Extensions of the Input-Output Model	98
	Studies of the Forestry Sector Using Input-Output Analysis	100
VI	RESEARCH METHODS	104
VI		
	Introduction	
	Location of Research	104
	Information and Data Needed	105
	Methods of Data Analysis	106
	Disaggregation on Forestry Related Sector in I-O Table The Contribution of the Forestry Sector to Regional	107
	Economy	109
	The Multipliers Analyses	110
	The Linkages Analyses	115
	The Dispersion Effects Analyses	118
	The Regional Leakage Analysis	120
	The Determination of Policy Scenario	120
	The Study Approach Framework	122
	Definitions	
	Definitions	127
VII	RESULTS AND ANALYSES	. 126
	Introduction	126
	Contribution of the Forestry Sector to Regional Economy in	
	East Kalimantan Province	126
	The Multiplier Effects of the Forestry Sector in East	
	Kalimantan Province	122



	Output Multipliers	134
	Income Multipliers	138
	Employment Multipliers	143
	The Linkages of the Forestry Sector with Other Economic	
	Sectors in East Kalimantan Province	148
	Output Backward Linkages	149
	Output Forward Linkages	153
	Income Backward Linkages	156
	Income Forward Linkages	160
	Employment Backward Linkages	162
	Employment Forward Linkages	164
Re	gional Leakage of the Forestry Sector in East Kalimantan	101
	ovince	166
110	The Policy Scenario of the Forestry Sector in East Kalimantan	100
	Province	171
	Inter-sectoral Linkage in East Kalimantan Province	175
	mier-sectoral Emkage in East Namhantant Frontice	175
VIII	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	185
V 111	Introduction	185
	Conclusions of the Study	185
	Policy Implications on Regional Development Planning	190
	Recommendations for Further Research	194
	Recommendations for Further Research	174
REFE	ERENCES	196
APPI	ENDICES	
Α	Transaction Table: The Input-Output Table of East Kali-	
	mantan, 1995 Based on 10 Sectors/Activities Classification	
	(x 1,000 Rp)	204
В	Transaction Table: The Input-Output Table of East Kali-	
	mantan, 1995 Based on 14 Sectors / Activities Classification	
	(x 1,000 Rp)	206
C	The Input-Output Table of East Kalimantan Province, 1995	
	(Domestic Transactions by Producer Prices, x 1,000Rp)	209
D	List of Sectors on The 1995 I-O Table of East Kalimantan	216
E	The Aggregated/Disaggregated Sectors Based 10 Sectors	
	Classification	217
F	The Aggregated/Disaggregated Sectors Based 14 Sectors	
	Classification	218
G	Questionnaires	219
/ITA		241



LIST OF TABLES

Γable		Page
2.1	Gross Domestic Product at Current Market Prices by Industrial Origin Billion Rupiahs), 1993-1995 Period	8
2.2	Shares of Economic Sector in GDP at Current Prices (%) during the 1993-1995 Period	9
2.3	Real Growth of Economic Sectors in 1994 and 1995 (%)	10
2.4	Asean's Economic Growth During the 1994-1998 Period (Without Laos and Myanmar)	11
2.5	Position of Indonesia Among the Asean Countries in 1995	12
2.6	Inflation Rate, 1991-1995 Period	13
2.7	Balance of the Indonesian Trade, 1990-1995 (million US \$)	15
3.1	Classification of Indonesia's Forest According to Forest Land-Use by Consensus or (TGHK	29
3.2	Forest Concession Rights in Indonesia up to July 1997	32
3.3	Distribution of Forest Concession Rights up to July 1997	33
3.4	Government Revenue From Forestry Charges, 1990/1991-1994/1995 Period (million rupiahs)	. 35
3.5	Deforestation Level of Natural Forest in Indonesia (million hectares)	. 38
3.6	Forest Fires in Indonesia during the 1985-1997 Period (hectare)	39
3.7	Reforestation, Afforestation, and Timber Estate in Indonesia, 1969/1970-1996/1997 Period (hectare)	. 42
3.8	Production and Export of Log, Sawntimber, and Plywood from Indonesia, 1969/1970-1995/1996 Period (m³)	. 46



3.9	Export Value of Log, Sawntimber, and Plywood from Indonesia, 1969/1970-1995/1996 Period (x 1,000 US \$)	47
4.1	Number of Districts, Villages, and Area by Regency/Municipality in East Kalimantan Province	53
4.2	Distribution of Population by Urban and Rural Area in East Kalimantan Province	55
4.3	Distribution of Gross Domestic Regional Product at Constant 1993 Prices by Industrial Origin in East Kalimantan (%), 1993-1996 Period	56
4.4	Growth Rate of Gross Domestic Regional Product at Constant 1993 Prices by Industrial Origin in East Kalimantan (%), 1993-1996 Period	57
4.5	Balance of East Kalimantan Province Trade, 1992-1996 Period (US \$ 1,000)	58
4.6	Value of Export by Commodities Group From East Kalimantan (US \$ 1,000), 1993-1996 Period	59
4.7	Distribution of Forest Area by District Forest Service or Cabang Dinas Kehutanan in East Kalimantan Province (ha)	61
4.8	Forerst Resource in East Kalimantan in 1996	63
4.9	Log Production in East Kalimantan Province during the 1969/1970-1997/1998	64
4.10	Trend of Forest Concession Rights Activity in East Kalimantan, 1969/1970-1996/1997 Period	65
4.11	Export of Log, Sawntimber, Plywood, and Veneer from East Kalimantan, 1969/1970-1996/1997 Period	66
4.12	Wood-working Production in East Kalimantan during the 1979/1980-1996/1997 Period	67
4.13	Realisation of Timber Estate, Reforestation, and Afforestation Programme in East Kalimantan, 1984/1985-1996/1997 Period	68
4.14	Total of Employees in 1995 by Industrial Origin in East Kalimantan Province	69



6.1	Name of Sectors by 10 and 14 Economic Sectors/Activities after Aggregation/Disaggregation based on the 1995 I-O Table of East Kalimantan Province	109
6.2	Export Scenarios to Get the Best Alternative for Regional Development in East Kalimantan Province	122
7.1	Sectoral Contributions in East Kalimantan Province 1995 by 14 Economic Sectors (billion Rp)	128
7 .2	Sectoral Contributions in East Kalimantan Province in 1995 by 10 Economic Sectors (billion Rp)	129
7.3	Sectoral Contributions to GDRP in East Kalimantan Province during the 1986-1995 Period (%)	131
7.4	Output Multipliers by Economic Sector (10 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province	135
7. 5	Output Multipliers by Economic Sector (14 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	136
7. 6	Income Multipliers by Economic Sector (10 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	139
7.7	Income Multipliers by Economic Sector (14 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	141
7.8	Products Use Allocation of Plywood in East Kalimantan (Case on PT. Sumalindo LJ and PT. Melapi Timber)	142
7 .9	Employment Multipliers by Economic Sector (10 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	144
7.10	Employment Multipliers by Economic Sector (14 Sectors Classification) in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	145
7.11	Trend of Employees on Plywood Industry (Case on PT. Sumalindo, PT. Melapi, PT. Kalimanis, PT. Santi Murni)	146
7.12	Trend of Employees on Logging Activity (Case on PT. Sumalindo, PT. Narkata, PT. Limbang Ganeca, PT. Gunung Jati)	147



7.13	in East Kalimantan, 1995	150
7.14	Output Backward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	153
7.15	Output Forward Linkages by 10 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	154
7.16	Output Forward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	155
7.17	Allocation of Logs on Logging Activity (Case on PT. Sumalindo, PT. Melapi, PT. Limbang Ganeca, PT. Inhutani II	156
7.18	Income Backward Linkages by 10 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	157
7.19	Income Backward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	158
7.20	Income Forward Linkages by 10 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	161
7.21	Income Forward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	162
7.22	Employment Backward Linkages by 10 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	163
7.23	Employment Backward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan, 1995	164
7.24	Employment Forward Linkages by 10 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	165
7.25	Employment Forward Linkages by 14 Sectors Classification in East Kalimantan Province, 1995	166
7.26	The Level of the Regional Leakages in East Kalimantan	169



7.27	Province in 1995 by 14 Sectors Classification	169
7.28	Total Output of Log, Sawntimber, and Plywood in East Kalimantan based on 14 Export Scenarios (Including Beginning Condition)	173
7.29	Input Structure on Logging Activity in East Kalimantan (Case on PT. Sumalindo and PT. Melapi in 1997)	176
7.30	Consumption of Fuel and Others on Logging Activity in PT. Sumalindo II during the 1993-1997 Period	177
7.31	Inter-sectoral Linkage of the Forestry Activities (as Using Sectors) with Other Economic Sectors in East Kalimantan in 1995 (million Rupiahs)	1 <i>7</i> 9
7.32	Input Structure on Plywood Industry (Case in PT. Sumalindo during the 1993-1997 Period	182
7.33	Inter-sectoral Linkage of the Forestry Activities (as Input- Supplying Sectors) with Other Economic Sectors in East Kalimantan in 1995 (million Rupiahs)	183
7.34	Value-added of Large and Medium Manufacturing Establishments by Industrial in East Kalimantan in 1996 (million Rupiahs)	184



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Major Islands and Provinces in Indonesia	31
4.1	The Map of East Kalimantan Province	52
5.1	The Structure of An Input-Output Table	88
5.2	Simplified, Input-Output Transaction Table	90
6.1	Flow Chart of Study Approach Framework	123



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAC : Annual Allowable Cut

ADB : Asian Development Bank

APKINDO: Asosiasi Panel Kayu Indonesia (Indonesia Wood Panel Association)

ASEAN: Association of Southeast Asian Nations

BAPPENAS: Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (National Development

Planning Board)

BFL : Basic Forestry Law

BUMN: Badan Usaha Milik Negara (State Owned Enterprises)

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistics

CDK : Cabang Dinas Kehutanan (District Forest Services)

dbh : Diameter at Breast Height

DKI : Daerah Khusus Ibukota (Jakarta metropolitan City)

DR : Dana Reboisasi (Reforestation Fund)

FAO : The Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

FCR : Forest Concession Right

GBHN: Garis-Garis Besar Haluan Negara (National Conceptual Development

Guidelines)

GDP : Gross Domestic Product

GDRP : Gross Domestic Regional Product

ha : hectare = 10,000 square meters

HPH: Hak Pengusahaan Hutan (Forest Concession Rights)

HPHH: Hak Pemungutan Hasil Hutan (Forest Products Collection Rights)

HTI: Hutan Tanaman Industri (Industrial Forest Plantations)

IHH : Iuran Hasil Hutan (Forest Products Royalty)

IHPH : Iuran Hak Pengusahaan Hutan (Forest Concession Licence Fee)

ITTO: International Tropical Timber Organisation

I-O: Input-Output

INPRES: Instruksi Presiden (Presidential Instruction)

IPB : Institut Pertanian Bogor Bogor Agricultural University)



IPB : Institut Pertanian Bogor Bogor Agricultural University)

km : kilometre

KPHP : Kesatuan Pengusahaan Hutan Produksi (Production Forest Utilisation

System Unit)

m : metre

m³ : cubic metre

MOF : The Ministry of Forestry

MOT : The Ministry of Transmigration

NFI : National Forest Inventory

NGO: Non-government Organisation

OECF : Overseas Economic Co-operation Fund

PBB : Pajak Bumi dan Bangunan (Land and Building Tax)

% : percent

PJP : Pembangunan Jangka Panjang (Long-term Development Planning)

PMDH: Pembangunan Masyarakat Desa Hutan (Forest Village-Community

Development Programme)

PT : Perseroan Terbatas (Limited Liability Company)

Repelita: Pembangunan Lima Tahun (Five-Year Development Plan)

RPH : Resort Pemangkuan Hutan (Forest Sub-Rangers)

RePPProt: Regional Physical Planning Programme for Tranmigration

Rp: Rupiah, Indonesian Rupiah

SCDK : Sub Cabang Dinas Kehutanan (Sub District Forest Services)

SMES : Small and Medium Scale Enterprises

TGHK: Tata Guna Hutan Kesepakatan (Forest Land-Use by Concensus)

THPA: Tebang Habis dengan Permudaan Alam (Clear Cutting with Natural

Regeneration)

THPB : Tebang Habis dengan Permudaan Buatan (Clear Cutting with Artificial

Regeneration)

TPI : Tebang Pilih Indonesia (Indonesian Selective Cutting System)

TPTI : Tebang Pilih Tanam Indonesia (Indonesian Selective Cutting and

Planting System)

US \$: American Dollar, in 1996 US \$ 1 = Rp 2,200



Abstract of Dissertation presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> IMPACT OF FORESTRY ON REGIONAL ECONOMY OF EAST KALIMANTAN PROVINCE, INDONESIA

> > By

DWI SUDHARTO

April 1999

Chairman: Khamurudin Mohd. Noor, Ph.D.

Faculty:

Faculty of Forestry

Forestry development in Indonesia has given many advantages to the

Indonesian economy. However, some provinces which are rich in forest

products are not receiving maximum impact of the sector. Many number of

research projects have shown that the presence of this sector was unable to

stimulate the growth of downstream industries and has been disadvantageous to

regional development.

The East Kalimantan has a large area of forest, covering more than 76% of

the total land area. This province leads in the forestry activity in Indonesia. In

1997, there were 72 FCRs, 28 plywood mills, 116 sawmills, and 49 other wood

industries. This study aims to identify the impact of the forestry sector on the

regional economy of East Kalimantan with regards to its GDRP, multipliers,

linkages, and regional leakage using I-O analysis. This study also analyses

several alternative policies and inter-sectoral linkages in the forestry sector.

xvii

The experimental results indicate that the contribution of forestry in East Kalimantan in 1995 to GDRP amounted to 11.70% (rank 3 out of 10 sectors) after Mining and Quarrying (34.10%) and Manufacturing Industry (24.10%). This contribution can be increased further through various government policies.

The forestry sector has a high value of multipliers, namely 1.8211 (total output), 1.5388 and 1.8416 (Type I and Type II income multipliers), 1.4196 and 1.5997 (Type I and Type II employment multipliers). Plywood and sawntimber industries are the two forestry activities that have good prospects. This sector has high value of output backward linkage (1.8211), low value of output forward linkage (0.8014), low value of income backward and forward linkage (0.8550 and 0.6494), and low value of employment backward and forward linkage (0.9755 and 0.8529). The level of regional leakage of the forestry sector in this province is high (ranks 4 out of 10 sectors). Plywood and sawntimber have the highest value of regional leakage among all of the forestry activities.

To increase the role of the forestry sector in the future, fourteen policy scenarios of exports have been incorporated in this study. The selection of the policy scenarios are dependent on the forest potential in this province. Intersectoral linkage needs to be more developed to obtain value-added. incentives need to be provided to promote the development of integrated woodbased industrial complexes, including ways to overcome constraints and bottlenecks in the input-supplying sector, and development of small and medium scale enterprises (SMES).

xviii



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor

KESAN DARI PERHUTANAN PADA PEREKONOMIAN WILAYAH DARI KAWASAN KALIMANTAN TIMUR, INDONESIA

Oleh

DWI SUDHARTO

April 1999

Pengerusi: Khamurudin Mohd. Noor, Ph.D.

Fakulti:

Fakulti Perhutanan

Pembangunan perhutanan di Indonesia telah menyumbangkan banyak kelebihan kepada ekonomi kerajaan. Tetapi terdapat beberapa kawasan yang kaya dengan produk perhutanan tidak menerima kesan yang maksima dari sektor ini. Beberapa penyelidikan menunjukkan bahawa kehadiran sektor ini tidak dapat menggalakkan pertumbuhan industri pinggiran dan memberi kerugian kepada pembangunan kawasan.

Kawasan Kalimantan Timur mempunyai hutan yang luas, meliputi lebih dari 76% luas kawasan tersebut. Kawasan ini tertumpu kepada aktiviti perhutanan. Pada tahun 1997, di kawasan ini telah wujud 72 konsesi hutan, 28 kilang papan lapis, 116 kilang papan dan 49 kilang kayu olahan yang lain. Penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti kesan sektor perhutanan terhadap ekonomi kawasan (sumbangan kepada kerajaan, pengkali, kaitan dengan sektor lain, dan bocoran wilayah) dengan analisa input-output. Beberapa polisi alternatif juga dianalisis dalam penyelidikan ini.

Keputusan penyelidikan menunjukkan bahawa sektor perhutanan di Kalimantan Timur pada tahun 1995 menyumbang kepada ekonomi kerajaan sebanyak 11.70% (tahap 3 daripada 10 sektor) selepas perlombongan dan kuari (34.10%) dan industri pembuatan (24.10%). Sektor ini juga mempunyai pengkali yang baik, iaitu 1.8211 (keluaran), 1.5388 dan 1.8416 (Jenis I dan II pendapatan), 1.4196 dan 1.5997 (Jenis I dan II tenaga kerja). Sektor ini juga mempunyai kaitan ke belakang output yang tinggi (1.8211), tetapi nilai yang rendah pada kaitan ke depan output (0.8014), kaitan ke depan dan ke belakang pendapatan (0.6494 dan 0.8550), dan kaitan ke belakang dan ke depan tenaga kerja (0.9755 dan 0.8529). Kawasan ini mempunyai nilai bocoran wilayah yang tinggi (tahap 4 daripada 10 sektor). Industri papan lapis dan kayu bergergaji mempunyai nilai yang tertinggi diantara aktiviti perhutanan lainnya.

Untuk meningkatkan peranan sektor perhutanan dimasa hadapan, 14 senario dasar didalam ekspot telah digabungkan didalam penyelidikan ini. Pemilihan dasar senario bergantung kepada keadaan hutan di wilayah ini. Percantuman inter-sektor perlu lebih digalakkan untuk mencapai nilai penambahan. Insentif perlu disediakan untuk meningkatkan pembangunan didalam persepaduan industri kompleks berasaskan perkayuan, termasuk caracara untuk mengatasi perbatasan pada sektor penyedia input, dan pengembangan usaha kecil dan menengah.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The Background

The forestry sector in Indonesia has contributed greatly to the economic growth of the country. The last three decades has seen a significant contribution of this sector in helping to stabilise the Indonesian economy. In 1994, export earnings from this sector amounted to about USS 6 billion, approximately 20% of the total value of all export earnings.

In addition, Indonesia's forests earn the largest foreign exchange besides oil. Forest products, especially wood, are among the major non-oil products. This is because Indonesia has about 144 million hectares of forest area. Sixty four million hectares of this total area are production forest. During the top logging activities in 1992, there were 580 Forest Concession Rights (FCRs) which managed more than 60 million hectares of production forest.

According to Sukadri (1996), the important role of the forestry sector to economic development has been made possible by a series of national policies. The national economic policy adjustment in 1983, for example, which was aimed at reducing Indonesia's dependence on oil exports and improving economic efficiency, brought about a change in the forestry sector's policy. The major change since 1983 was the restriction on log export which was followed by a



total ban in 1985. This policy resulted in the rapid development of the wood-based industries. Consequently, wood-based industries have grown from small and primary-based into large integrated and modern processing facilities.

Results of investment policies by Indonesian Government in late 1960's can be seen vis-a-vis with the following development of events. Log production increased from 5.8 million m³ in 1968 to 26.8 million m³ in 1994. The production of plywood increased from 0.4 million m³ in 1968 to 9.9 million m³ in 1994, and sawntimber production also increased from 0.17 million m³ in 1968 to 10.2 million m³ in 1989. According to the Ministry of Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia (1995), Indonesia's contribution came close to 80% of the world's hardwood plywood market in 1993, amounting to 9.8 million m³, equivalent to US\$ 3,594 billion. Thus, forestry has become particularly important in terms of foreign exchange earnings.

The forestry sector also plays an important role in providing employment. This sector employs about 6.4 million people in forest-related activities. In addition, another 1.1 million people are employed in activities attributable to forest products such as construction, transportation, services, and secondary processing (Khan and Satjapradja, 1997). Meanwhile, the Ministry of Forestry (1995) expects that by the year 2000 the forestry sector will have a contribution of some 6 to 8 million jobs. Therefore, the present management of the sector should be maintained and improved upon in order to provide an economic base for the on-going development of Indonesia.



Problem Statement

Forestry development in Indonesia has provided many advantages to the economic and regional development in Indonesia. However, some provinces which are rich in forest products are not receiving maximum impact of the sector. Previous studies have shown that this sector is unable to stimulate the growth of downstream industries and regional development. Generally, the forestry sector has a low forward linkage, low multipliers, and high regional leakages (Siagian, 1995; Darusman, 1989; Sudharto,1996). It is believed that this situation had caused the disparity of income distribution of the people in the region, as well as, low regional development.

Other illustrations showed that out of 25.9 million poor inhabitants in 1993 who were distributed in 22,994 villages, approximately 34% were located in the rural areas around the forest (Ministry of Forestry, 1995). Meanwhile Sutopo (1995) stated that the presence of FCRs have caused a decline in the income and brought about a major difference in the income level of the Dayak community in the interior of Kalimantan.

Therefore, efforts are being made by the forestry sector of Indonesia to play a bigger role, especially in the regional development through the increase of multiplier effects and linkages of this sector. Providing incentives, infrastructure, capital, and encouraging small and medium enterprises, and developing downstream wood-based industries are efforts that should to be



implemented. In other words, efforts are being made to ensure that these multiplier effects can be better absorbed by the local region and inter-sectoral linkage in both backward and forward linkage of the forestry sector can be further increased in the regional economy.

East Kalimantan is one of 27 provinces in Indonesia that leads in the forest activity and produces large amounts of forest products. In 1996, out of 437 FCRs in Indonesia, 72 FCRs (16.47%) were located in East Kalimantan. There were also 28 plywood industries, 116 sawmills, and 49 other wood industries in this province. In 1995/1996, this province produced about 1.44 million m³ plywood (15.78% of Indonesia's produce), 5.16 million m³ log (20.76% of Indonesia's log), and about 419,128 m³ sawntimber (20.81% of Indonesia's sawntimber).

Despite the fact that East Kalimantan has been successful in producing the primary and secondary forest products, there remain various questions that need to be answered in order to better understand the usefulness of the forestry sector to East Kalimantan in general and its people in particular. These questions are pertinent with regards to the impact of forestry on regional economy of East Kalimantan. What is the quantum of the contribution of the forestry sector to the regional economy? What is the multiplier effects of the forestry in this province? How does the forestry sector in East Kalimantan relate to the other economic sectors of the economy? How can the forward and backward linkage of this sector stimulate the growth of the other sectors, and to what extent? To what extent the revenue from the forest is being trickled down back to the people in

