

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

UNDERSTANDING THE LIVED EXPERIENCES OF CYBERBULLYING AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG SOCIAL MEDIA INFLUENCERS ON SOCIAL MEDIA

FARIDAH HANEM BINTI AB RASHID

FBMK 2022 34



UNDERSTANDING THE LIVED EXPERIENCES OF CYBERBULLYING AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG SOCIAL MEDIA INFLUENCERS ON SOCIAL MEDIA



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

"Three duas will not be rejected by Allah: Dua of the parents for his child, dua of one who is fasting, and dua of the traveler" (Tirmidhi)

I dedicated my Ph.D. journey to my loving parents Haji Ab Rashid Ismail and Hajjah Radziah Md Nor. You have been my primary support since day one. Thank you as you keep making dua for my success. Thanks, Abah and Mama, for your physical, emotion, and financial support. I'm lucky to have your endless dua for my success.

To my other half, Azree dearer, no word can describe your love and care. You are the one who understands my excitement through this journey. Thanks, B, for always being my side. Amjad and Aizach, my precious gift, you had witnessed this colorful journey. You are my joy and my hero. Alhamdulillah for everything.

Lastly, I dedicated this thesis to myself. It is my contribution to society that was achieved through passion and determination. Completing this journey is not the end, but it is just the beginning of my life. Thank you, Ya Rahman.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

UNDERSTANDING THE LIVED EXPERIENCES OF CYBERBULLYING AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG SOCIAL MEDIA INFLUENCERS ON SOCIAL MEDIA

By

FARIDAH HANEM BINTI AB RASHID

July 2022

Chairman : Professor Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD Faculty : Modern Languages and Communication

The shift from physical reality to a virtual counterpart indicates the unprecedented interactivity and prevalence of social media usage where social media influencers (SMIs) could develop a strong rapport with their followers. The SMIs are followed by passionate and participative individuals with high regard for their perspectives and detractors. Notwithstanding, SMIs are susceptible to cyberbullying despite being notable brand endorsers or influential opinion leaders. This qualitative transcendental phenomenological research (i) examined 10 SMIs' lived experiences of being cyberbullied on social media and (ii) strived to comprehend the implications of cyberbullying on victims (SMIs) together with their coping mechanisms. The adverse effects of cyberbullying could be attributed to broader social media audiences who virtually reflect meanness and cruelty, which could lead to suicides and long-term depression. Semi-structured and face-to-face online interviews with open-ended questions were implemented in this study to elicit in-depth informant experiences. Underpinning theories involving the Social Cognitive Theory (self-efficacy and self-regulation) and Transactional Model of Stress and Coping were utilised as empirical guidelines. The study data, which were evaluated through Moustakas's modified Stevick-Colaizzi-Keen phenomenological analysis method, led to the identification of nine themes: (i) associated with cyberbullying activities; (ii) instantaneous famous but negatively portrayed; (iii) online media and online portals used for sensational news; (iv) less personal information posting on social media; (v) post pleasant and enlightening content; (vi) desire to bring awareness; (vii) problem-focused coping strategies; (viii) emotion-focused coping strategies; (ix) cyber coping strategies. Resultantly, the informants portrayed high levels of self-worth through self-descriptions, particularly in managing cyberbullying incidents. The informants were also unaware that their social media content inevitably instigated cyberbullying. In this vein, cyberbullying typically occurs through online portals and news platforms. The

current research could facilitate communication and media education practitioners, social media and anti- cyberbullying authorities, and relevant policymakers to comprehend how cyberbullying impacts social media contexts and provides the victims with optimal countermeasures to protect and resolve such incidents. Summarily, this research has bridged the existing literature gap for a holistic comprehension of actual cyberbullying experiences on social media posting and optimal countermeasures among public figures, such as SMIs.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MEMAHAMI PENGALAMAN HIDUP MANGSA BULI SIBER DAN STRATEGI MENANGANI MASALAH BULI SIBER DALAM KALANGAN PEMPENGARUH MEDIA SOSIAL DI MEDIA SOSIAL

Oleh

FARIDAH HANEM BINTI AB RASHID

Julai 2022

Pengerusi : Profesor Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD

Fakulti : Bahasa Moden dan Komunikasi

Peralihan daripada ruang interaksi secara fizikal kepada alam maya menunjukkan bahawa interaktiviti dan kelaziman penggunaan media sosial yang tidak pernah berlaku sebelum ini, telah memungkinkan pempengaruh media sosial (SMIs) membina hubungan lebih yang kukuh dengan pengikut- pengikut mereka. SMIs atau di dalam Bahasa Inggerisnya social media influencer mempunyai pengikut setia yang sentiasa berminat untuk mendekati serta mengambil berat terhadap perspektif dan pengkritik- pengkritik mereka. Akan tetapi, SMIs turut terdedah sebagai mangsa kes buli siber walaupun mereka merupakan duta jenama terkenal atau tokoh yang berpengaruh. Penyelidikan fenomenologi kualitatif transendental ini dijalankan untuk (i) mengkaji pengalaman hidup 10 SMIs yang merupakan mangsa-mangsa kes buli siber di media sosial dan (ii) berusaha untuk memahami implikasi kes buli siber terhadap mangsa (SMIs) termasuk mekanisma untuk mengatasi masalah tersebut. Kesan-kesan buruk akibat daripada kes buli siber boleh dikaitkan dengan pengguna-pengguna media sosial di luar sana, yang mana kekejaman dan kezaliman yang ditonjolkan boleh membawa kepada kes-kes bunuh diri dan kemurungan jangka panjang. Temubual berstruktur separa secara atas talian dan pertanyaan soalan terbuka secara bersemuka telah dilaksanakan bagi mendapatkan pengalaman informan dengan lebih mendalam. Teori-teori asas yang merangkumi Teori Kognitif Sosial (keberkesanan kendiri dan kawal selia kendiri) serta Model Transaksi Stres dan Daya Tahan telah digunakan sebagai garis panduan empirikal. Data-data kajian yang dinilai melalui kaedah analisis fenomenologi Stevick-Colaizzi-Keen yang diubahsuai oleh Moustakas, telah membawa kepada pengenalpastian sembilan tema: (i) kaitan dengan aktivitiaktiviti buli siber; (ii) populariti segera tetapi digambarkan secara negatif; (iii) media dan portal atas talian yang digunakan untuk berita-berita sensasi; (iv) kurangnya penyiaran maklumat peribadi di media sosial; (v) penyiaran kandungan yang menyenangkan dan memberi inspirasi; (vi) keinginan untuk

memberi kesedaran; (vii) strategi menangani masalah berfokus; (viii) strategi daya tindak yang berfokuskan emosi; dan (ix) strategi menangani buli siber. Hasilnya, para informan telah menunjukkan tahap nilai kendiri yang tinggi melalui penerangan yang diberikan oleh mereka, khususnya dalam menguruskan kes buli siber. Para informan juga tidak menyedari bahawa kandungan media sosial mereka secara tidak langsung telah mencetuskan keskes buli siber. Dalam konteks ini, buli siber biasanya berlaku melalui portal-portal dan pelantar berita atas talian. Justeru, penyelidikan-penyelidikansemasa mampu memudahcara pengamal komunikasi dan pendidikan media; pihak media sosial dan pihak berkuasa anti-buli siber; serta penggubal dasar untuk memahami impak kes buli siber kepada mangsa-mangsanya dan menyediakan langkah-langkah tindak balas yang optimum demi melindungi mangsa dan menyelesaikan kes tersebut. Secara ringkasnya, penyelidikan ini telah merapatkan jurang kajian yang sedia ada bagi pemahaman holistik tentang pengalaman sebenar mangsa buli siber menerusi kandungan-kandungan di media sosial serta langkah-langkah tindak balas yang optimum di kalangan personaliti terkenal seperti SMIs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my chairman, Professor Dr Siti Zobidah Omar. Thank you for her unlimited guidance throughout my doctoral program, especially while completing my thesis. Dr Siti has shown support during my educational experience and generously shared her experiences along this journey. I have immensely grown in my abilities as a lecturer and a researcher.

Next, I would like to thank my committee members, Professor Dr Jusang Bolong, and Dr Wan Anita Wan Abas. They have each played an essential role in helping me with my thesis, provided me with a foundation in research throughout this journey. Not to forget, my wonderful and happening examiners Professor Dr Rusli Abdullah, Associate Professor Dr Akmar Hayati and Professor Dr Deddy Mulyana for their inputs and comments for the improvement of this thesis.

I also would like to acknowledge the supports I received from my colleagues and friend at UiTM and UPM. I would specifically like to thank Afiqah & Mia for their countless time helping, crying, and laughing with me. Whether it was on research matters or an ear to lend, the support from my fellow friends made all the difference during my doctoral education.

I would not have pursued my education without the assistance of my family. I am fortunate to have a fantastic family that has supported my goals, and I could not manage to complete this journey without them. The love and support of my family have been invaluable. Thank you, Kak Long, Azi, Amin, Atin, and Adik Zack.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD

Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Jusang bin Bolong, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Wan Anita binti Wan Abas, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 November 2022

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:		Date:	

Name and Matric No: Faridah Hanem binti Ab Rashid, GS52848

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Siti Zobidah binti Omar
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Jusang bin Bolong
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Wan Anita binti Wan Abas

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
APPROV DECLAR LIST OF	A <i>K</i> WLEDG /AL ATION FIGUR		i iii v vi viii xiv xv
CHAPTE	R		
1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
•	1.1	Background of Study	1
		1.1.1 Cyberbullying on social media in Malaysia	2
	1.2	Statement of Research Problem	5
	1.3	Research Questions	6
	1.4	Research Objectives	7
		1.4.1 General Objective	7
		1.4.2 Specific objectives	7
	1.5	Significance of the Study	7
		1.5.1 Practical	7
		1.5.2 Policy	8
		1.5.3 Academic	8
	1.6	Limitations of the Study	8
	1.7	Definition of Terms	9
	1.8	Chapter Summary	10
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1	Introduction	11
	2.2	Cyberbullying: A Definitional Criteria	11
	2.3	The Emergence of Cyberbullying	15
	2.4	The Nature of Cyberbullying	17
		2.4.1 Categories of Cyberbullying Actions	18
		2.4.2 Severity of Cyberbullying	20
	2.5	Social Media	21
	2.6	Social Media as a Platform to Facilitate	
		Cyberbullying	22
		2.6.1 The Nature of Social Media that Enhance	
		Cyberbullying	23
		2.6.2 Content on Social Media Triggers	
		Cyberbullying	24
		2.6.3 Cyberbullying Consequences on Social	
		Media Content	26
		2.6.4 Facebook and Cyberbullying	28

		2.6.5	Malaysian Media	s and	Cyberbullying	on Social	29
	2.7		ial Media		: The New Era	•	31
		2.7.1			luencers' Exper	iences with	
	0.0	0	Cyberbull				32
	2.8		Strategies		Ctrotosios		34
		2.8.1			Strategies n-Focused	Coning	35
			2.0.1.1	Strateg		Coping	35
			2.8.1.2		n-Focused	Coping	33
			2.0.1.2	Strateg		Ооринд	36
		2.8.2	Coping St	rategies	s to Cyberbullyin	ng	37
	2.9	Theore	tical Frame				40
		2.9.1 Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)					40
		2.9.2		onal Mo	odel of Stress a	and Coping	40
	2.40	Chanta	(TMSC)				42
	2.10	Chapte	er Summary				43
3	METI	HODOLO	OGY				45
	3.1	Introdu	ction				45
	3.2	Qualita	itive Resear	rch Met	hod		46
		3.2.1			al Approach		47
			3.2.1.1		endental	Descriptive	
					nenological App	roach	48
	3.3		the Resea				49
	3.4		t of the Stu		tian Lania		50
		3.4.1	Informants				50
			3.4.1.1 3.4.1.2		ng Strategy for Inclusion		50 50
			3.4.1.2	Sample			51
	3.5	Data C	ollection	Sample	; SIZE		51
	0.0	3.5.1	Recruitme	ent Strat	teav		52
		0.0.1	3.5.1.1		nary study		52
					ed Consent		52
					ation Provided to	Informants	
		3.5.2	Data Colle	ection Ir	nstrumentation		53
			3.5.2.1	Semi-s	tructured Intervi	ews	53
					nent Developme	nt	53
					w Protocol		53
		3.5.3	The Interv	_			54
		_		The Int	erview		55
	3.6		nalysis				56
		3.6.1	Transcript				56
		3.6.2	Data Anal			d Ctordala	57
			3.6.2.1	Mousta Colaizz	ıkas's modified zi-Keen (SCK)	d Stevick-	57
	3.7	Eviden	ce of Trusty				59

	3.8	 3.7.1 Credibility 3.7.1.1 Member-checking 3.7.1.2 Triangulation 3.7.2 Transferability 3.7.3 Confirmability Ethical Procedures 3.8.1 Approval for Research 3.8.2 Treatment of Data 3.8.3 Data Maintenance and Security 	59 59 60 60 61 61 61 61
	3.9	Chapter Summary	61
4	4.1	Informants' Profile Lived experiences of Social Media Influencers (SMIs) who had been victims of cyberbullying on social media	63 63 64
		 4.3.1 Harmful nature of cyberbullying 4.3.2 Instantaneously famous but negatively portrayed 4.3.3 Online news media or portals used for sensational news 4.3.4 Summary of discussion for research question one 	66 72 76 80
	4.4	Social Media Influencers (SMIs) who have been victims of cyberbullying describe their lived experiences of cyberbullying affecting their content creation on social media 4.4.1 Less personal information posting on social media 4.4.2 Post pleasant and enlightening content 4.4.3 Desire to bring awareness 4.4.4 Summary of discussion for research question two	83 83 85 89
	4.5	Social media influencers (SMIs) who have been victims of cyberbullying on social media describes their lived experiences of coping strategies used to overcome cyberbullying 4.5.1 Problem-focused coping strategy 4.5.2 Emotion-focused coping strategy 4.5.3 Cyber coping strategies 4.5.4 Summary of discussion for research question three	95 95 98 101
5		MARY, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS, AND Introduction Summary Proposed Research Model	107 107 107 108

5.4	Conclusion	111
5.5	Implication	115
	5.5.1 Theoretical Application	115
	5.5.2 Practical Implication	116
	5.5.3 Policy Implication	116
5.6	Recommendations for Further Research	118
REFEREN		120
APPENDIC	141	
BIODATA	153	
LIST OF P	154	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Tabulated Trends of Smartphone Usage	16
2.2	Types of Cyberbullying Actions	18
2.3	Most-Used Social Platform Worldwide	22
2.4	Theoretical Framework from Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory	42
2.5	Conceptual Framework	43
3.1	Overview of Research Methodology Steps	46
4.1	The SMIs' Lived Experiences of Cyberbullying on Social Media	82
4.2	The SMIs' Lived Experiences of Cyberbullying affecting their Content Creation on Social Media	94
4.3	The SMIs' Coping Strategies of Cyberbullying	106

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SNSs Social Networking Sites

MCMC Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission

ITU International Telecommunication Union

ICT Information and Communication Technology

SMIs Social Media Influencers

SCT Social Cognitive Theory

TMSC Transactional Model of Stress and Coping

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Many people communicate daily via social networking sites (SNSs), such as Snapchat, Facebook. Instagram, and Twitter. The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) reported that 94% and 65% of adolescents in developed and developing countries were active Internet users. UNICEF (2019) also claimed that higher Internet usage is more prevalent among adolescents. As for the case in Malaysia, the number of people using the Internet is escalating on annual basis. The Internet User Survey conducted in 2018 by Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC) - a body that regulates the multimedia and communications industry - depicted that Malaysians were indeed heavy Internet users. In fact, the MCMC reported that Malaysian Internet users had escalated to 87.4% (28.7 million users) in 2019 from 76.9% (24.5 million users) in 2016. Likewise, a report by Digital 2019 found that Malaysia was ranked top five and the highest in global and Southeast Asia, respectively, for Internet penetration (Hootsuite and We Are Social, 2019). Bernama (2019) depicted that 80% of Malaysians spent eight hours daily to surf the Internet, in which two hours and 58 minutes were spent for SNSs. Until February 2022, Facebook ranked first as the most used SNS among Malaysian at 85.04%, followed by Instagram (4.84%), Twitter (3.52%), YouTube (3.5%), Pinterest (2.08%), Reddit (0.68%) and others (0.34%) (Statcounter Globalstats, 2022). Despite the advantages of easy Internet access and online communication, some people use this technology medium to hurt others (Barlett, Dewitt, Maronna & Johnson, 2018). The Internet is an alternative medium for its users to engage in new communication violence against others (Eastin, 2013). Communication violence is a communication that uses manipulative or coercive language to cause fear, guilt, shame, satire, burden of duty, or punishment (Rosenberg, 2005). These behaviours may create uncontrollable circumstances that can lead to undesirable consequences, such as cyberbullying. According to Berne, Frisen, and Berne (2019), cyberbullying involves uploading offensive texts, images, and videos onto Internet websites or SNSs to inflict pain in others. Whittaker and Kowalski (2015) also highlighted that even there is potential positive outcomes associated with social networking use, but from their study suggested social media use is also related to maladaptive behaviours, including cyberbullying.

Cyberbullying is use of technology by a person or a group of individuals to intentionally act aggressively towards a victim (Hinduja & Patchin, 2019; Zych, Baldry, & Farrington, 2017). Simon (2017) indicates cyberbullying as a risk that stems from Internet usage when one is attacked intentionally in the cyber realm. The cyberbullying issue has garnered attention from multiple domains, including legal, communications and media, sociology, information and communication

technology (ICT), psychology, and education. Cyberbullying exists in the bully-victim interpersonal relationship during verbal exchange or even in nonverbal form within the contexts of cultural and organisational communication processes.

1.1.1 Cyberbullying on social media in Malaysia

Cyberbullying, as indicated by Abu Bakar (2015), has been regarded as a "silent epidemic" that lurks among Malaysians and their society. As reported in a study by Lai, Mohamad, Lee, Mohd Salleh, Sulaiman, Rosili, and Chang (2017), 66% of the participants admitted that they were cyberbullied, with a higher prevalence among Malay female victims. Meanwhile, another study found that 23% of Malaysian parents believed their children were cyberbullied at least once in 2018 (Yusuf, Hassan & Ibrahim, 2018). In addition, out of 28 surveyed countries, Malaysia was the second-highest to record cyberbullying cases: 37% worse than Saudi Arabia, 19% more than China, 17% more than South Korea, and 13% more than Japan (Rosli, 2018). Surprisingly, in 2020, Malaysia was ranked second in Asia for cyberbullying among youth ("Malaysia ranked second," 2022).

Likewise, Lai et al., (2017) denoted that cyberbullying caused its victims to undergo emotional changes and become over-sensitive towards their environment. In addition, the World Health Organisation (WHO) reported suicide as the second leading cause of death among those aged 15-19 years. Suicide cases in Malaysia rose among youth aged 13-17 years, where 10% had suicidal ideas in 2017 while 7.9% in 2012 (National Health and Morbidity Study, 2017). Based on the 2019 National Health Morbidity Survey, half a million Malaysian adults had depression. Although these issues are not stated directly as a result of cyberbullying consequences, previous studies have proven that mental health, depression and suicide are the effects of cyberbullying victimization (Hinduja & Patchin, 2019).

Further, cyberbullying in Malaysia mainly occurred on Facebook (65.7%) and mobile phone applications (apps) (60.2%), including Telegram and WeChat (Lai et al., 2017). Similarly, another study found that 25.0% of adolescents were moderate to severely cyberbullied, 53.5% had a moderate to high tendency to turn into cyberbullies, and 36.0% experienced aggressive behaviour on the online platform (Yuan, Lee, & Say, 2018). In addition, an exploratory study was conducted by Balakrishnan (2015) on cyberbullying in Malaysia with about 400 youth aged 17 to 30 years. The study found that the intensity of internet use could give rise to the occurrence of cyberbullying and that existing social network sites and the Internet could prompt cyberbullying among the youth.

With better accessibility to social media, cases of cyberbullying have escalated (Beng & Hua, 2019). A bully can create a dummy account to give negative comments or harass anonymously. A study conducted by Che Noh and Ibrahim (2014) among 134 university students in Malaysia found out that those

respondents have experienced cyberbullying situations. Among the situations were receiving negative and embarrassing comments, personal photos or videos disseminated without permission, slandered through social media, personal information disseminated without permission, received embarrassing criticism, password breach, received dirty and obscene words, received threats by unknown individuals, was made the subject of jokes by social media friends and received sexual harassment.

Past work on cyberbullying mainly focused on school children (Patchin & Hinduja, 2019; Milosevic & Vladisavljevic, 2020), adolescents (Sittichai & Smith (2018), and youth (Tokunaga, 2010; Balakrishnan, 2018) as these categories of groups are often described as a "digital generation" (Buckingham, 2013, p.1). However, the latest trends on cyberbullying have shown that anyone, regardless of age, race, religion, education level, job status, or social status, has the potential to become a victim of cyberbullying. Cyberbullying victims may include public figures such as celebrities, politicians, and social media celebrities, also known as Social Media Influencers. These public figures are increasingly utilizing social media platforms to establish their brand and interact with their fan base, but they often become the targets of cyberbullying activities.

According to Abidin (2016), many young people pursue celebrity on the Internet as a profession, with commercial benefits, cross-platform visibility, and established marketable personas that function as highly relatable Influencers. Influencers generally begin as ordinary social media users who, through publishing highly curated content and updates about their lifestyles, come to accumulate followers whose viewership can be monetised for advertorials (Abidin, 2016). As SMIs continue to embrace social media, cyberbullying by haters has become a more common occurrence and a substantial concern. In today's online world, Influencers are among the most prominent, crucial, and controversial victims of cyberbullying (Abidin, 2019).

Sticca and Perren (2013) stressed out, the adverse effect of anonymous cyberbullying was rated worse than known perpetrator or private incidence. Besides that, these public figures are among the victims of the horrible comments from anonymous attackers (Khamis, Ang & Welling, 2017). For example, on March 28, 2020, the Internet was ablaze with the story surrounding Malaysian entrepreneur and Influencer Vivy Yusof when she posted a comment on her view regarding the COVID-19 economic impact and the Economic Stimulus Package announced by former Prime Minister Tan Sri Muhyiddin Yassin on her Instagram account. However, her statements were misunderstood by netizens. She had been badly cyberbullied by receiving nasty comments and embarrassing criticism. Even netizens had insulted her family's dignity online, causing people to launch a petition to push for her dismissal from Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) as the board of directors. Vivy had to come out with a video of herself explaining and apologizing to the netizens for what she had said earlier to stop the cyberbullying. She cried out throughout the video recording.

According to Vivy, the cyberbullying incidents she had faced made her feel sad, depressed, and shame. This case has revealed that cyberbullying can result in unpleasant and dramatic outcomes even among Influencers.

Consequently, cyberbullying across SNSs has turned into an alarming concern as it interferes with one's physical and mental well-being, even leading to suicide attempts (Hinduja & Patchin, 2019; Rivituso, 2014). Even in Malaysia, cyberbullying victims suffer from depression and humiliation, turning them into recluses. In extreme cases, they commit suicide (Beng & Hua, 2019). More and more cases of cyberbullying have involved Influencers as the victims. For instance, a K-Pop Singer/Influencer, Suli, has committed suicide after she struggled with her online abuse in what became known as the 'no-bra' scandal on social media (Fortin, 2019). Another case involved Hana Kimura, a Japanese reality TV show star/Influencer who committed suicide when she received a hateful online message ("Hana Kimura Death: Cyberbullying," 2020). The stress of being cyberbullied through the medium that has become a large part of netizens' lives, and is central to young people's social development, is an issue that is important to address.

Nowadays, Influencers have been viewed as opinion leaders by young internet users and have millions of followers on social media (Khalid, Jayasainan & Hassim, 2018). Influencers communicate with followers through their effective digital strategies applied across various potentially integrated digital platforms. These Influencers initiate trends over the social networks, and they play a significant role in shaping the opinions of users on the Internet (Qualman, 2012). As Influencers, their opinions play an essential role in the lifestyles of young adults as they are viewed as the dominant users (Nandagiri & Philip, 2018) on social networking such as Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, or YouTube.

Often, cyberbullying related to celebrities highlighted their role to support a good cause in preventing cyberbullying (Click, Lee & Holladay, 2013). These influencers somehow managed to always inspire their users in doing something new, i.e., influencing their opinion on their lifestyle or buying a new product (Khalid et al., 2018). Serman and Sims (2020) also believe that Influencers have the power to influence others through their lifestyle, buying habits, attitude, and behaviour. In other words, the Influencers can connect with the netizens on such a level that they are willing to let them affect their decision-making process.

Having said that, knowing how Influencers lived experiences with cyberbullying and the coping strategies they used to overcome cyberbullying may help other victims use the same strategies. In addition, it can also help netizens equip their knowledge on cyberbullying issues to avoid becoming the next perpetrator or victim of cyberbullying on social media. To the best of the researcher's knowledge, no study has explored first-hand knowledge of how Influencers respond to cyberbullying in Malaysia. Hence, it is timely to shed some light on

the cyberbullying experiences on social media and coping strategies of one particular group of public figures, namely Social Media Influencers.

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

Studies on cyberbullying have escalated over the last decade, mainly concentrating on its definition, prevalence, measurement, and correlations (Cassidy, Faucher & Jackson, 2018). Some scholars have focused on parental roles and norms (Sasson & Mesch, 2017); social networking sites (SNSs) cyberbullying (Sterner & Felmlee, 2017; Nilan, Burgess, Hobbs, Threadgold & Alexander, 2015); empathy (Zych et al., 2018); avoidance and resilience (Hinduja & Patchin, 2017); mental well-being (Kim et al., 2018); estimation (Chen, Ho & Lwin, 2017); prevention (Hong & Espelage, 2017); bystander behaviour (Kazeerooni, Taylor, Bazarova & Whitlock, 2018); socio-emotional aspects (Olenik-Shemesh & Heiman, 2017); as well as coping strategies (Sittichai & Smith, 2018). Many studies have highlighted the significance of the research issues.

Despite the growing literature, some gaps were detected, missing primarily on the effectiveness of cyberbullying coping strategies used to overcome cyberbullying (Machakova et al., 2013; Neaville, 2017; Shaik, Rehaman & Amin, 2020). Due to the unique aspects of cyberbullying, most scholars used quantitative methodologies (Chen, Ho & Lwin, 2017; Swenson-Lepper & Kerby, 2019; Balakrishnan, 2018). These studies relied on questionnaires or surveys to gather data, which may not have captured the full experiences of being a victim (Smith, 2019). There are qualitative studies which aim at exploring the perspective of cyberbullying but seek to understand the motive for cyberbullying, the impact of cyberbullying or the coping method used (Alipan, Skues & Theiller, 2018). However, these studies did not specifically focus on the efficacy of coping strategies used to overcome cyberbullying incidents from the experiences of actual cyberbullying victims.

The cyberbullying coping strategies prescribed in past studies are changing of contact details/usernames, reporting to authorities, as well as ignoring, warning, or blocking the perpetrator (Alipan, Skues, & Theiler, 2018; Tokunaga, 2010). Additionally, Sittichai and Smith (2018) reported that cyberbullying coping strategies that have been commonly used are ignoring and avoiding the situation, blocking the perpetrator, changing account settings, seeking advice; as well as confronting the perpetrator in order to cope with cyberbullying. As most of the participants in previous studies were not actual cyberbullying victims, the response on how the victims would cope with incidents of cyberbullying were hypothetical (Rosa et al. 2019) rather than on how victims had actually responded to cyberbullying (Smith, 2019).

Furthermore, most studies on cyberbullying have focused on isolation schools (Anderson, 2018) and university students (Balakrishnan, 2018), working adults (Harris-Dale, 2020), lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT), and special needs groups (Gearhart & Zang, 2014); it is imperative to assess a broad spectrum of categories to avoid missing factor(s) unravelled in isolation studies. It is because victims from varied groups face different issues due to cyberbullying (Abidin, 2019). For instance, it is imminent to assess cyberbullying amongst social media influencers (SMIs) on SNSs, primarily because the influencer marketing industry is massive, and its worth may hit USD5 to USD10 billion in the next five years (Mediakix, 2018).

SMIs can quickly become victims of cyberbullying as they need to communicate with their followers via successful digital marketing stratagems deployed across SNSs (Abidin, 2019). For fans alike, SMIs generate haters as well. Although SMIs are endorsers of prestigious brands due to their popularity, they also face cyberbullying threats (Hassan, Yacob, Nguyen, & Zambri, 2018). Knowing their experiences as victims of cyberbullying will add to the literature on cyberbullying among different groups.

Hence, conducting an in-depth qualitative study sheds light on the participants' stances based on their own experiences. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to address a gap in the literature information gained from an actual victim of cyberbullying, especially from the lived experiences of SMIs, which can be used to organise cyberbullying awareness programmes and help the netizens get an idea of coping strategies to overcome cyberbullying. The study's outcome can help strengthen one's self-efficacy and self-awareness in escaping cyberbullying on social media and aid one in hindering the adverse impacts of cyberbullying.

1.3 Research Questions

This qualitative phenomenological study gathered the experiences and coping strategies used by Social Media Influencers (SMIs) when dealing with cyberbullying incidents on social media. The following research questions outlined for this study are:

- 1. What are the lived experiences of Social Media Influencers (SMIs) who have been victims of cyberbullying on social media?
- 2. How do Social Media Influencers (SMIs) who have been victims of cyberbullying describe their lived experiences of cyberbullying, affect content creation on social media?
- 3. How do Social Media Influencers (SMIs) who have been victims of cyberbullying on social media describe their lived experiences of coping strategies to overcome cyberbullying?

1.4 Research Objectives

1.4.1 General Objective

The study's general objective is to understand the lived experiences of cyberbullying and coping strategies among Social Media Influencers on social media.

1.4.2 Specific objectives

- To explore the lived experiences of cyberbullying faced by Social Media Influencers (SMIs) on social media.
- To determine the impact of cyberbullying on content creation activities of the affected Social Media Influencers (SMIs).
- To analyse the effective coping strategies used by Social Media Influencers (SMIs) to overcome with cyberbullying on social media.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research is essential for a variety of reasons which has contributed to helping in understanding cyberbullying issues in Malaysia towards practicality, policy, and the academic world. The study results add to the body of literature by capturing information from Social Media Influencers (SMIs) about their lived experiences as victims of cyberbullying and the coping strategies to overcome cyberbullying on social media. Learning directly from the victim about their coping strategies in overcoming cyberbullying offers relatable sources and first-hand knowledge, which could help other cyberbullying victims in such a terrible situation to wriggle their way out from further harm.

1.5.1 Practical

This study adds to the existing knowledge on cyberbullying issues in Malaysia by identifying strategies that can effectively overcome cyberbullying on social media. Hence, this study contributes to reducing emotional distress suffered by victims and providing essential knowledge for netizens to hinder the adverse impacts of cyberbullying – ultimately maintaining their mental and physical wellbeing. One effective way to overcome cyberbullying is to raise awareness in the cyber community about the harmful impacts of cyberbullying. This may facilitate netizens to sense the suffering faced by victims and turn their empathy to actual intervening behaviour (Wang, 2021). Having said this, SMIs was seen as people who can influence others by their action or words. Therefore, the SMIs who are the victims of cyberbullying can share their cyberbullying experiences and

coping strategies and give followers awareness of cyberbullying issues on social media.

1.5.2 Policy

Besides devising effective prevention and intervention programs to halt cyberbullying threats, the study outcomes serve as a measure to evaluate the efficacy of the strategies for coping with cyberbullying. The study findings can aid Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC) or other NGO organizations to prepare a module on content self-regulation on social media and ways to cope with cyberbullying issues on social media. This module can help Malaysian online users equip knowledge to produce social media content that will not create an issue that can trigger cyberbullying. Besides that, a public service announcement (PSA) using the Influencers as the spokesperson can be made to educate the effective ways to cope with cyberbullying issues on social media. If Malaysian netizens face emotional distress, their well-being and development will be badly affected. Information that enhances adolescents' learning and social conditions ensure that their self-worth and dignity are protected, thus encouraging positive social change.

1.5.3 Academic

Past work on cyberbullying has adopted chiefly the quantitative approach. Therefore, by adopting the phenomenological qualitative approach, this present study offers the opportunity for the SMIs as a victim to voice out issues about cyberbullying, particularly on the effective coping strategies that can be used by other or potential victims of cyberbullying. Moreover, the outcome can help educators involve communication studies to identify content related to social media and cyberbullying. Having good knowledge about cyberbullying on social media from teachers, lecturers, or even parents, may help to reduce the risk of becoming the next victim or perpetrator of cyberbullying by knowing effective coping strategies to overcome cyberbullying and good ways of posting and responding to the content posted on social media.

1.6 Limitations of the Study

A potential limitation was research bias during the data collected. Results from a study with a small sample size should be carefully interpreted. The study had a relatively small sample size of ten informants, which might bias the results. However, the detailed data analysis and the detailed descriptions gathered from the interviews enhance the transferability of the findings in this study. Another potential limitation was that participants might not have provided honest or complete answers to the interview questions. The data collected were based on the self-reporting of experiences described by the informants. As the study

informants are victims of cyberbullying and are from prominent groups, the informants may not fully disclose information they consider confidential or socially unacceptable about their experiences without the researcher's knowledge. Researcher bias is possible in qualitative research, but bracketing was used to enhance the credibility of the research. Bracketing in qualitative studies lowers research bias and enhances research credibility. In bracketing, preconceived ideas or experiences that may affect the ability of a researcher to remain impartial are set aside. In addition, the member checking method was used in the study to lower research bias.

1.7 Definition of Terms

Cyberbullying: Common elements among definitions of cyberbullying include the intentional nature of the harm caused to another person using electronic means. Causing harm using electronic means may refer to online impersonation, spreading rumours, sending threatening messages, unsolicited pictures, or harassment via text messaging, instant messaging, or chat rooms (Goldstein, 2015; Wright, 2017). The term cyberbullying has also been used interchangeably with online harassment, cyberaggression, online bullying, cyber harassment, online peer harassment, and cyber victimization (Corcoran, Guckin, & Prentice, 2015; Wright, 2017). In this present study, cyberbullying denotes communication using social media with the intent to coerce, intimidate, harass, degrade, humiliate, and spread/make fake news/stories.

Social Media: Social media is defined as "Internet-based, disentranced, and persistent channels of mass personal communication, facilitating perceptions of interactions among users, deriving value primarily from user-generated content" (Carr & Hayes 2015; p. 49). Social networking websites (Facebook, YouTube, Yelp, Tiktok, etc.), social video games (Farmville, second life, etc.), chat boards and blogs, professional networking websites (LinkedIn, Beehive, etc.), and socially designed mobile applications (Instagram, Yik Yak, etc.) are examples of social media platforms.

Social Media Influencers: Also known as social media celebrities, they turn famous due to their social media presence instead of traditional celebrities who become famous from film, music, and television programs (Khamis, Ang, & Welling, 2017). Influencers are a subset of a digital content creator defined by their significant online following, distinctive brand persona, and patterned relationship with commercial sponsors (Duffy, 2020).

Coping Strategies: Skinner and Wellborn (1994) defined a coping strategy as action or failure to act by an individual to regulate a stressful situation. Coping can be appraised using either a problem-focused approach or an emotion-focused approach. The strategies are then employed depending on the

approach being either adaptive, maladaptive, or absent of action (Folkman & Lazarus, 1988; Folkman & Moskowitz, 2004; Herman & Tetrick, 2009).

1.8 Chapter Summary

Cyberbullying on social media among Social Media Influencers (SMIs) has become a serious problem that negatively impacts people who are victimized. Therefore, the study conducted was timely and significant to current events. Chapter 1 contained an introduction to the background of the study to highlight the overview context of the study. To better understand the purpose of the problem, a statement of the problem was highlighted, and three research questions were identified that guided the data collection of the study. A discussion of the significance and limitation of the study was also provided, which discussed the present challenges and how they were addressed. Lastly, the definitions of terms sections consisted of important key terms in the study to better understand the study topic. Chapter 2 contains a review of the literature related to social media as a platform to facilitate cyberbullying, primarily focusing on cyberbullying on Facebook, further details on the nature of cyberbullying, looking deeper into the meaning of SMIs and types of coping strategies. The next chapter will also take an in-depth look into the theoretical framework used to guide the study.

REFERENCES

- Abaido, G. M. (2020). Cyberbullying on social media platforms among university students in the United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 407-420. doi:10.1080/02673843.2019.1669059.
- Abidin, C. (2019). Victim, Rival, Bully: Influencers' Narrative Cultures Around Cyberbullying. In *Narratives in Research and Interventions on Cyberbullying among Young People* (pp. 199-212). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04960-7_13.
- Abidin, C. (2016). "Aren't these just young, rich women doing vain things online?": Influencer selfies as subversive frivolity. Social media+society, 2(2), 2056305116641342.
- Abu Bakar, H. S. (2015). The emergence themes of cyberbullying among adolescences. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 20(4), 393-406.
- Adebayo, D. O., Ninggal, M. T., & Bolu-Steve, F. N. (2019). Influence of motivations for social media use on cyberbullying behaviours among undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. *Global Journal of Guidance and Counselling in Schools: Current Perspectives*, *9*(1), 36–47. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjgc.v9i1.4252.
- Al-Kandari, Y. Y., & Al-Sejari, M. M. (2021). Social isolation, social support and their relationship with smartphone addiction. *Information Communication and Society*, 24(13). https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1749698.
- Ali, F.S (2022, January 8). 500 panggilan kes buli siber diterima setiap bulan: MMHA. Sinar Harian. Retrieved from https://www.sinarharian.com.my/article/181984/BERITA/Nasional/500-panggilan-kes-buli-siber-diterima-setiap-bulan-MMHA.
- Ali, T., Esmaeili, M., Falsafinejad, R. M., Kazemian, S., & Borjali, A. (2019). Violence and aggression in virtual social networks from the perspective of teenagers: A phenomenological study. *Biannual Journal of Applied Counselling*, *9*(1), 25-48. doi: 10.22055/jac.2019.28700.1660.
- Alipan, A., Skues, J. L., & Theiler, S. (2018). "They will find another way to hurt you": Emerging adults' perceptions of coping with cyberbullying. *Emerging Adulthood*, *9*(1), 22-34. doi:10.1177/2167696818816896\.
- Alipan, A., Skues, J. L., Theiler, S., & Wise, L. (2019). Defining cyberbullying: A multifaceted definition based on the perspectives of emerging adults. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, 2(2), 79–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-019-00018-6.

- Ampong, G., Mensah, A., Adu, A., Addae, J. A., Omoregie, O. K., & Ofori, K. S. (2018). Examining Self-Disclosure on Social Networking Sites: A Flow Theory and Privacy Perspective. *Behavioral sciences (Basel, Switzerland)*, *8*(6), 58. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs8060058
- Anderson, M. (2018). A majority of teens have experienced some form of cyberbullying. Centre for Victim Research Repository. Retrieved from https://www.pewinternet.org/2018/09/27/a-majority-of-teens-haveexperienced-some-form-of-cyberbullying/.
- Anderson, V. (2017). Criteria for evaluating qualitative research. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 28(2), 125–133. doi:10.1002/hrdq.21282.
- Armstrong, S. B., Dubow, E. F., & Domoff, S. E. (2019). Adolescent coping: inperson and cyber-victimization. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 13(4).
- Arnarsson, A., Nygren, J., Nyholm, M., Torsheim, T., Augustine, L., Bjereld, Y., ... & Bendtsen, P. (2020). Cyberbullying and traditional bullying among Nordic adolescents and their impact on life satisfaction. Scandinavian journal of public health, 48(5), 502-510.
- Babrow, A. S. (1995). Communication and problematic integration: Milan Kundera's "lost letters" in the book of laughter and forgetting. Communications Monographs, 62(4), 283-300.
- Baccarella, C. V., Wagner, T. F., Kietzmann, J. H., & McCarthy, I. P. (2018). Social media? It's serious! Understanding the dark side of social media. *European Management Journal*, *36*(4), 431-438.
- Balakrishnan, V. (2018). Actions, emotional reactions, and cyberbullying–From the lens of bullies, victims, bully-victims and bystanders among Malaysian young adults. *Telematics and Informatics*, *35*(5), 1190-1200.
- Balakrishnan, V. (2015). Cyberbullying among young adults in Malaysia: The roles of gender, age and Internet frequency. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 46, 149-157.
- Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An Agentic Perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *52*(1), 1–26. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.
- Bandura, A. (2002a). Growing primacy of human agency in adaptation and change in the electronic era. *European Psychologist*, 7(1), 2–16. http://doi.org/10.1027//1016-9040.7.1.2.
- Bandura, A. (2002b). Selective Moral Disengagement in the Exercise of Moral Agency. *Journal of Moral Education*, 31(2), 2002.

- Bandura, A. (2003). Social cognitive theory for personal and social change by enabling media. In *Entertainment-education and social change* (pp. 97-118). Routledge.
- Bandura, A. (2009). Social cognitive theory of mass communication. In *Media effects* (pp. 110-140). Routledge.
- Barlett, C. P., DeWitt, C. C., Maronna, B., & Johnson, K. (2018). Social media use as a tool to facilitate or reduce cyberbullying perpetration: A review focusing on anonymous and nonanonymous social media platforms. *Violence and Gender, 5*(3), 147–152. https://doi.org/10.1089/vio.2017.0057.
- Barlińska, J., Szuster, A., & Winiewski, M. (2013). Cyberbullying among adolescent bystanders: Role of the communication medium, form of violence, and empathy. *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology*, 23(1), 37–51. https://doi.org/10.1002/CASP.2137.
- Barnham, C. (2015). Quantitative and qualitative research: Perceptual foundations. *International Journal of Market Research*, *57*(6), 837-854.
- Bastiaensens, S., Pabian, S., Vandebosch, H., Poels, K., Van Cleemput, K., DeSmet, A., & De Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2016). From normative influence to social pressure: How relevant others affect whether bystanders join in cyberbullying. Social Development, 25(1), 193-211. doi:10.1111/sode.12134.
- Beng, K. Y., & Hua, T. K. (2019). Differences in Cultural Perspectives on Cyberbullying-related words. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *9*(10).
- Bernama. (2019, Jan 31). Malaysia ranks top 5 globally in mobile social media penetration, highest in region. New Straits Times. Retrieved from https://www.nst.com.my/lifestyle/bots/2019/01/456119/malaysiaranks-top-5-globally-mobile-social-media-penetration-highest.
- Berne, S., Frisén, A., & Berne, J. (2019). Cyberbullying in childhood and adolescence: Assessment, negative consequences and prevention strategies. In *Policing schools: School violence and the juridification of youth* (pp. 141-152). Springer, Cham.
- Berry, J. M. & Sobieraj, S. (2014). *The outrage industry: Political opinion media and the new incivility*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bevan, M. T. (2014). A method of phenomenological interviewing. *Qualitative Health Research*, 24(1), 136–144. doi:10.1177/1049732313519710.
- Boddy, C. R. (2016). Sample size for qualitative research. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal, 19*(4), 426–432. doi:10.1108/qmr-06-2016-0053.

- Brake, D. R. (2014). Sharing our lives online. Risks and exposure in social media. *European Journal of Communication*, 30(3), 375–375. doi:10.1177/0267323115589263c.
- Bregar, K. O. (2022). Behaviors, Emotions, Thoughts, and Motivations of Female Cyber Aggressors: An Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (Doctoral dissertation, Alliant International University).
- Brewer, G., & Kerslake, J. (2015). Cyberbullying, self-esteem, empathy and loneliness. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 48, 255–260. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.01.073.
- Buckingham, D. (2013). Making sense of the 'Digital Generation': Growing up with digital media. *Self & Society*, *40*(3), 7-15.
- Byrne, V. L. (2021). Blocking and self-silencing: undergraduate students' cyberbullying victimization and coping strategies. *TechTrends*, *65*(2), 164-173. doi:10.1007/s11528-020-00560-x.
- Campbell, M., & Bauman, S. (2018). Cyberbullying: Definition, consequences, prevalence. *Reducing Cyberbullying in Schools*, 3–16. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-811423-0.00001-8.
- Campbell, M., Spears, B., Slee, P., Butler, D., & Kift, S. (2012). Victims' perceptions of traditional and cyberbullying, and the psychosocial correlates of their victimisation. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 17(3–4), 389–401. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632752.2012.704316.
- Cao, X., Khan, A. N., Ali, A., & Khan, N. A. (2020). Consequences of Cyberbullying and Social Overload while Using SNSs: A Study of Users' Discontinuous Usage Behavior in SNSs. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 22(6), 1343–1356. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-019-09936-8.
- Carr, C.T., & Hayes, R.A. (2015). Social media: Defining, developing, and divining. *Atlantic Journal of Communication*. 23(1), 46–65.
- Cassidy, W., Faucher, C., & Jackson, M. (2018). What parents can do to prevent cyberbullying: Students' and educators' perspectives. *Social Sciences*, 7(12), 251.
- Chae, J. (2018). Explaining females' envy toward social media influencers. *Media Psychology*, 21(2), 246-262.
- Chamizo-Nieto, M. T., & Rey, L. (2021). Cybervictimisation and depressive symptoms among adolescents: A moderated mediation model. *Current Psychology*, 1-11.
- Chan, T. K. H., Cheung, C. M. K., & Lee, Z. W. Y. (2021). Cyberbullying on social networking sites: A literature review and future research directions. *Information and Management*, 58(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103411.

- Chen, L., Ho, S. S., & Lwin, M. O. (2017). A meta-analysis of factors predicting cyberbullying perpetration and victimization: From the social cognitive and media effects approach. *New Media & Society, 19*(8), 1194–1213. doi:10.1177/1461444816634037.
- Chen, L. M., & Cheng, Y. Y. (2017). Perceived severity of cyberbullying behaviour: differences between genders, grades and participant roles. *Educational psychology*, *37*(5), 599-610.
- Cheney-Lippold, J. (2017). We are data: Algorithms and the making of our digital selves. New York: New York University Press.
- Che Noh, C. H., & Ibrahim, M. Y. (2014). Research on cyberbullying among UMT students. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 134, 323-329.
- Cho, Y. K., & Yoo, J. W. (2017). Cyberbullying, internet and SNS usage types, and perceived social support: a comparison of different age groups. *Information Communication and Society*, 20(10), 1464–1481. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1228998.
- Claessens, N., & Van den Bulck, H. (2014). A severe case of disliking Bimbo Heidi, Scumbag Jesse and Bastard Tiger: Analyzing celebrities' online anti-fans. In S. Reijnders, K. Zwaan, & L. Duets (Eds.), *The Ashgate research companion to fan cultures* (pp. 63-76). Surrey, UK: Ashgate.
- Clark, M., & Bussey, K. (2020). The role of self-efficacy in defending cyberbullying victims. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 109, 1-7. [106340]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106340.
- Click, M. A., Lee, H., & Holladay, H. W. (2013). Making monsters: Lady Gaga, fan identification, and social media. *Popular Music and Society*, 36(3), 360-379.
- Colaizzi, P. (1978). *Psychological research* as the phenomenologist views it. In Vale RS, King M (Eds) Existential-Phenomenological Alternatives for Psychology. Oxford University Press, New York NY.
- Corcoran, L., Guckin, C. M., & Prentice, G. (2015). Cyberbullying or cyber aggression? A review of existing definitions of cyber-based peer-to-peer aggression. *Societies*, 5(2), 245-255. doi:10.3390/soc5020245.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, S. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed). Sage Publication.
- Creswell, J.W., & Creswell, J.D. (2018). Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches (5th ed). Sage Publication.
- Darley, J. M., & Latane, B. (1968). Bystander intervention in emergencies: diffusion of responsibility. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 8(4 PART 1), 377–383. https://doi.org/10.1037/H0025589.
- David R. B. (2015). sharing our lives online: Risks and exposure in social. *European Journal of Communication*, 30(3), 375–375.doi:10.1177/0267323115589263c

- Dignath, D., & Eder, A. B. (2015). Stimulus conflict triggers behavioral avoidance. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, *15*(4), 822-836.
- Digital 2021: The Latest Insights into the 'State Of Digital'. (2021, January 27). We are Social and Hootsuite. Retrieved from https://wearesocial.com/us/blog/2021/01/digital-2021-the-latest-insights-into-the-state-of-digital/.
- Doane, A. N., Pearson, M. R., & Kelley, M. L. (2014). Predictors of cyberbullying perpetration among college students: An application of the Theory of Reasoned Action. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 36, 154–162. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2014.03.051
- Dollarhide, M. (2019). Social media definition. *Investopedia*. Retrieved from https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/social-media.asp.
- Donegan, R. (2012). Bullying and cyberbullying: history, statistics, law, prevention and analysis. *The Elon Journal of Undergraduate Research in Communications*, 3(1), 33–42.
- Dredge, R., Gleeson, J., & De la Piedad Garcia, X. (2014). Cyberbullying in social networking sites: An adolescent victim's perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *36*, 13-20.
- Duffy, B. E., & Wissinger, E. (2017). Mythologies of creative work in the social media age: Fun, free, and "just being me". *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 20.
- Duffy, B. E. (2020). Social Media Influencers. *The International Encyclopaedia of Gender, Media, and Communication*, 1–4. doi:10.1002/9781119429128.iegmc219
- Duke, É., & Montag, C. (2017). Smartphone addiction and beyond: Initial insights on an emerging research topic and its relationship to Internet addiction. In *Internet addiction* (pp. 359-372). Springer, Cham.
- Eastin, M. S. (Ed.). (2013). *Encyclopedia of media violence: One-volume set.* Sage Publications.doi.org/10.4135/9781452299655.
- Espinoza, G., & Wright, M. (2018). Cyberbullying experiences among marginalized youth: what do we know and where do we go next?. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 11(1), 1-5.
- Esser, F. (1999). 'Tabloidization' of News. *European Journal of Communication*, 14(3), 291–324. doi:10.1177/0267323199014003001.
- Ferrer-Cascales, R., Albaladejo-Blázquez, N., Sánchez-SanSegundo, M., Portilla-Tamarit, I., Lordan, O., & Ruiz-Robledillo, N. (2019). Effectiveness of the TEI program for bullying and cyberbullying reduction and school climate improvement. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(4), 580.

- Freis, S. D., & Gurung, R. A. R. (2013). A Facebook analysis of helping behavior in online bullying. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, *2*(1), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1037/A0030239.
- Folkman, S. (2013). Stress: Appraisal and coping. *Encyclopaedia of Behavioral Medicine*. New York: Springer: 1913–15.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1988). Coping as a mediator of emotion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *54*(3), 466-475. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.54.3.466.
- Folkman, S., & Moskowitz, J. T. (2004). Coping: Pitfalls and promise. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55(1), 745–774. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141456.
- Fortin, J. (2019). Sulli, south Korean K-pop star and actress, is found dead. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2019/10/14/arts/music/sulli-dead.html.
- Fox, J., & Moreland, J. J. (2015). The dark side of social networking sites: An exploration of the relational and psychological stressors associated with Facebook use and affordances. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 45, 168–176. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2014.11.083.
- Friedlander, J., & Riedy, C. (2018). Celebrities, credibility, and complementary frames: raising the agenda of sustainable and other 'inconvenient' food issues in social media campaigning. *Communication Research and Practice*, 4(3), 229-245.
- Fuchs. C (2017). Social media: A critical introduction (2nd edition). London: Sage.
- Ghazali, A. H. A., Abdullah, H., Omar, S. Z., Ahmad, A., Abu Samah, A., Ramli, S. A., & Shaffril, H. A. M. (2017). Malaysian youth perception on cyberbullying: The qualitative perspective. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(4), 87-98. doi: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i4/2782.
- Globokar, R. (2018). Impact of digital media on emotional, social and moral development of children. *Gulhane Medical Journal*, 60(4), 545–560.
- Goldstein, S. E. (2015). Parental regulation of online behaviour and cyber aggression: Adolescents' experiences and perspectives. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 9(4). doi: 10.5817/CP2015-4-2.
- Hamid, B. A. (2018). The power to destruct online fat shaming bullying in social media. *Stop Cyberbullying*. Penerbitan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Hamilton, E. (2020, May 29). The Instagram algorithm demystified. *TechTimes*. Retrieved from https://www.techtimes.com/articles/247396/20200218/instagram-algorithm- %E2%80%93-demystified.htm

- Hana Kimura death: Cyberbullying suspect faces possible charges. (2020, December 16). Nikkei Asia. Retrieved from https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Society/Hana-Kimura-death-Cyberbullying-suspect-faces-possible-charges.
- Harris-Dale, G. (2020). Constructs of Coping for Adult Victims of Bullying. Retrieved from https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations/7970.
- Harper, M. G. (2019). Tolerable Deviance and How it Applies to Cyberbullying. Deviant Behavior, 40(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2017.1411023.
- Hassan, S., Yacob, M. I., Nguyen, T., & Zambri, S. (2018). Social media influencer and cyberbullying: A lesson learned from preliminary findings. Knowledge Management International Conference (KMICe) 2018, Miri Sarawak, Malaysi. Retrieved from http://www.kmice.cms.net.my/.
- Hennink, M. M., Kaiser, B. N., & Marconi, V. C. (2016). Code saturation versus meaning saturation. *Qualitative Health Research*, 27(4), 591–608. doi:10.1177/1049732316665344.
- Herman, J. L., & Tetrick, L. E. (2009). Problem-focused versus emotion-focused coping strategies and repatriation adjustment. *Human Resource Management*, 48(1), 69–88. doi:10.1002/hrm.20267.
- Heyeres, M., Carter, M. A., Lui, S. M., Low-Lim, Ä., Teo, S., & Tsey, K. (2021). Cyberbullying prevention and treatment interventions targeting young people: an umbrella review. *Pastoral Care in Education*, *39*(2), 125-151.
- Hio, L. (2017, February 7). Campaign for a better internet launched, with focus on cyberbullying, fake news. *The Straits Times*. Retrieved from http://www.straitstimes.com/tech/campaign-for-a-better-internet-launched-with-focus-on-cyberbullying-fake-news.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2019). Connecting adolescent suicide to the severity of bullying and cyberbullying. *Journal of School Violence*, *18*(3), 333-346. https://doi.org/10/1080/15388220.2018.1492417.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2017). Cultivating youth resilience to prevent bullying and cyberbullying victimization. *Child abuse & neglect*, 73, 51-62.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2007). Offline consequences of online victimization: School violence and delinquency. *Journal of School Violence*, *6*(3), 89–112. https://doi.org/10.1300/J202V06N03_06.
- Hong, J. S., & Espelage, D. L. (2012). A review of research on bullying and peer victimization in school: An ecological system analysis. In *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 17(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2012.03.003.
- Hootsuite Inc. & We Are Social. (2019). The state of digital 2019. Retrieved from hootsuite.com.

- Hudson, M. (2020, June 23). What Is Social Media? The Balance Small Business. Retrieved from https://www.thebalancesmb.com/what-is-social-media-2890301.
- lared, V. G., de Oliveira, H. T., & Payne, P. G. (2016). The aesthetic experience of nature and hermeneutic phenomenology. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 47(3), 191–201. doi:10.1080/00958964.2015.10634.
- Institute for Public Health (2017). *National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS)* 2017: Adolescent Health Survey 2017. Ministry of Health Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Institute for Public Health (2019). *National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS)* 2019: Adolescent Health Survey 2019. Ministry of Health Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- IPSOS Global Advisor (2018). *Malaysian and global views on cyberbullying*. Retrieved from https://www.ipsos.com/en-my/malaysian-and-global-views-cyberbullying.
- Ismail, N., Ahmad, R., Yusuf, S. A. M., & Ali, A. B. M. (2017). Model of Cyberbullying Behaviour from the Lens of Media Synchronicity Theory (MST). Paper presented at the *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Computing & Informatics*.
- John, A., Glendenning, A.C., Marchant, A., Montgomery, P., Stewart, A., Wood, S., Lloyd, K., & Hawton, K. (2018). Self-Harm, Suicidal Behaviours, and Cyberbullying in Children and Young People: Systematic Review. *Journal Medicine Internet Research*, 20(4),129. doi: 10.2196/jmir.9044.
- Johnson, J. (2021). Worldwide digital population as of January 2021. Statista. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/617136/digital-population-worldwide/.
- Kabadayi, F., & Sari, S. V. (2018). What is the Role of Resilience in Predicting Cyber Bullying Perpetrators and Their Victims? *Journal of Psychologists and Counsellors in Schools*, 28(1). https://doi.org/10.1017/jgc.2017.20.
- Kaluarachchi, C., Warren, M., & Jiang, F. (2020). Responsible use of technology to combat Cyberbullying among adolescents. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 24.
- Kaufman, T. M., Huitsing, G., & Veenstra, R. (2020). Refining victims' self-reports on bullying: Assessing frequency, intensity, power imbalance, and goal-directedness. *Social Development*, *29*(2), 375-390.
- Kaur, B. (2017, May 3). Engineering student commits suicide by jumping off Penang flat. New Straits Times. Retrieved from https://www.nst.com.my/news/crime-courts/2017/05/236188/engineeringstudent-commits-suicide-jumping-penang-flat.

- Kazerooni, F., Taylor, S. H., Bazarova, N. N., & Whitlock, J. (2018). Cyberbullying bystander intervention: The number of offenders and retweeting predict likelihood of helping a cyberbullying victim. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 23(3), 146-162.
- Khairil, M. (2018). Understanding The Perpetrators and Victims of Cyberbullying Through Facebook in The City of Palu. *KOMUNIKA: Jurnal Dakwah Dan Komunikasi*, 12(1), 158-172.
- Khalid, N. L., Jayasainan, S. Y., & Hassim, N. (2018). Social media influencers-shaping consumption culture among Malaysian youth. In *SHS Web of Conferences* (Vol. 53, p. 02008). EDP Sciences. doi:10.1051/shsconf/20185302008.
- Khairy, M., Mahmoud, T. M., & Abd-El-Hafeez, T. (2021). Automatic Detection of Cyberbullying and Abusive Language in Arabic Content on Social Networks: A Survey. *Procedia Computer Science*, *189*, 156-166.
- Khang, H., Han, E. K., & Ki, E. J. (2014). Exploring influential social cognitive determinants of social media use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *36*, 48–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.03.038.
- Khamis, S., Ang, L., & Welling, R. (2017). Self-branding, "micro-celebrity" and the rise of Social Media Influencers. *Celebrity Studies*, 8(2), 191–208. doi:10.1080/19392397.2016.12182.
- Korstjens, I., & Moser, A. (2018). Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 4: Trustworthiness and publishing. *The European journal of general practice*, *24*(1), 120–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/13814788.2017.1375092.
- Kostygina, G., Tran, H., Binns, S., Szczypka, G., Emery, S., Vallone, D., & Hair, E. (2020). Boosting health campaign reach and engagement through use of social media influencers and memes. *Social Media+ Society*, *6*(2). doi: 2056305120912475.
- Kowalski, R. M., & Limber, S. P. (2013). Psychological, physical, and academic correlates of cyberbullying and traditional bullying. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *53*(1), S13–S20. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.09.
- Kowalski, R. M., Limber, S. P., & McCord, A. (2019). A developmental approach to cyberbullying: Prevalence and protective factors. *Aggression and Violent Behavior.* doi:10.1016/j.avb.2018.02.009.
- Kowalski, R. M., & Toth, A. (2018). Cyberbullying among youth with and without disabilities. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 11(1), 7-15.
- Kowalczyk, C. M., & Pounders, K. R. (2016). Transforming celebrities through social media: The role of authenticity and emotional attachment. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, *25*(4), 345–356. doi:10.1108/jpbm-09-2015-0969.

- Kwan, G. C. E., & Skoric, M. M. (2013). Facebook bullying: An extension of battles in school. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(1), 16–25. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.07.014.
- Kwan, I., Dickson, K., Richardson, M., MacDowall, W., Burchett, H., Stansfield, C., ... & Thomas, J. (2020). Cyberbullying and children and young people's mental health: a systematic map of systematic reviews. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 23(2), 72-82.
- Lai, C.S., Mohamad, M.M., Lee, M.F., Mohd Salleh, K., Sulaiman, N.L., Rosli, D.J. & Chang, W.V.S (2017). Prevalence of cyberbullying among students in Malaysia higher learning institutions. *Advance Science Letters*, 23(2), 781-784.
- Langos, C. (2012). Cyberbullying: The challenge to define. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 15*(6), 285–289. doi:10.1089/cyber.2011.0588.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping.* New York, NY: Springer.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1987). Transactional theory and research on emotions and coping. *European Journal of Personality*, 1(3), 141-169.
- Lee, E. B. (2017). Cyberbullying: Prevalence and predictors among African American young adults. *Journal of Black Studies*, *48*(1), 57-73.
- Lee, C., & Shin, N. (2017). Prevalence of cyberbullying and predictors of cyberbullying perpetration among Korean adolescents. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *68*, 352-358.
- Lee, J., Lim, J. J. C., & Heath, R. L. (2019). Negotiating faces in coping with workplace bullying: A cross-cultural investigation. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, 1–20. doi:10.1080/17475759.2019.15726.
- Lee, O.-K. D., Lee, S., Suh, W., & Chang, Y. (2021). Alleviating the impact of SNS fatigue on user discontinuance. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, *ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2020-0497.
- Lee, S. H., & Kim, H. W. (2015). Why people post benevolent and malicious comments online. *Communications of the ACM*, *58*(11), 74–79. https://doi.org/10.1145/2739042.
- Lim, B. (2017, March 21). Straight 9A+ SPM achiever pelted with sexist, "lookist", abusive comments by netizens following media coverage. *New Straits Times*. Retrieved from https://www.nst.com.my/news/2017/03/222918/straight-9a-spm-achiever-pelted-sexist-lookist-abusive-comments-netizens.
- Lindlof, T.R., & Taylor, B.C. (2017). *Qualitative communication research method* (4th. ed). Thousand OK, CA: Sage.

- Lindgren, S. (2018). A ghost in the machine: tracing the role of 'the digital' in discursive processes of cybervictimisation. *Discourse & Communication*, 12(5), 517-534.
- Liu, Y., Rui, J. R., & Cui, X. (2017). Are people willing to share their political opinions on Facebook? Exploring roles of self-presentational concern in spiral of silence. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *76*, 294-302.
- Livingstone, S., Kirwil, L., Ponte, C., & Staksrud, E. (2014). In their own words: What bothers children online?. *European Journal of Communication*, 29(3), 271-288.
- Lo, T.C. (2020, May 22). Cyberbullying victim leaves suicide notes. *The Star.* Retrieved from https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2020/05/22/cyberbullying-victim-leaves-suicide-note.
- Lune, H. & Berg, B.L. (2017). Qualitative research methods for the social science. Pearson Education Limited: Pearson.
- Luo, A., & Bussey, K. (2019). The selectivity of moral disengagement in defenders of cyberbullying: Contextual moral disengagement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 93, 318-325.
- Lup, K., Trub, L., & Rosenthal, L. (2015). Instagram# instasad?: exploring associations among instagram use, depressive symptoms, negative social comparison, and strangers followed. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 18(5), 247-252.
- Lutkenhaus, R. O., Jansz, J., & Bouman, M. P. (2019). Tailoring in the digital era: stimulating dialogues on health topics in collaboration with social media influencers. *Digital health*, *5*, 2055207618821521.
- Machackova, H., Cerna, A., Sevcikova, A., Dedkova, L., & Daneback, K. (2013). Effectiveness of coping strategies for victims of cyberbullying. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 7(3), 1-12.
- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2018). Internet Users Survey 2018: Statistical Brief Number Twenty-Three. Retrieved from https://www.mcmc.gov.my/skmmgovmy/media/General/pdf/Internet-Users-Survey-2018.pdf.
- Malaysia ranked second in Asia for cyberbullying among youth. (2022, January 14). *Malay Mail*. Retrieved from https://www.malaymail.com/news/malaysia/2022/01/14/malaysia-ranked-second-in-asia-for-cyberbullying-among-youth/2035100.
- Maxwell, J. A. (2012). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. Sage publications.

- McFarland, L. A., & Ployhart, R. E. (2015). Social media: A contextual framework to guide research and practice. *Journal of applied psychology*, *100*(6), 1653.
- Mediakix (2018, March 6). *The influencer marketing industry global ad spend: a* \$5-\$10 billion market by 2020. Retrieved from https://mediakix.com/blog/influencer-marketing-industry-ad-spend-chart/.
- Merriam, S. B & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook (3rd ed.). London, UK: SAGE.
- Milosevic, T. (2016). Social media companies' cyberbullying policies. *International Journal of Communication*, *10*, 22.
- Milosevic, T., & Vladisavljevic, M. (2020). Norwegian children's perceptions of effectiveness of social media companies' cyberbullying policies: an exploratory study. *Journal of children and media*, *14*(1), 74-90.
- Mitchell, K. J., & Jones, L. M. (2015). Cyberbullying and bullying must be studied within a broader peer victimization framework. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *56*(5), 473-474.
- Morrow, R., Rodriguez, A. & King, N. (2015). Colaizzi's descriptive phenomenological method. *The Psychologist*, 28(8), 643-644.
- Moustakas, C. (1994). *Phenomenological Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Murwani, E. (2019). Cyberbullying Behavior Patterns in Adolescents in Jakarta. *Jurnal Komunikasi Ikatan Sarjana Komunikasi Indonesia*, 4(2). https://doi.org/10.25008/jkiski.v4i2.330
- Nandagiri, V., & Philip, L. (2018). The impact of influencers from Instagram and YouTube on their followers. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education*, 4(1), 61-65.
- Neaville, S. L. (2017). *Investigating the Efficacy of the Coping Strategies Adolescents Use to Handle Cyberbullying* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Nelson, H. J., Burns, S. K., Kendall, G. E., & Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2019). Preadolescent children's perception of power imbalance in bullying: A thematic analysis. *PLoS one*, *14*(3), e0211124.
- Neubaum, G., & Krämer, N. C. (2017). Opinion climates in social media: Blending mass and interpersonal communication. *Human Communication Research*, *43*(4), 464-476

- Nilan, P., Burgess, H., Hobbs, M., Threadgold, S., & Alexander, W. (2015). Youth, social media, and Cyberbullying Among Australian Youth: "Sick Friends." Social Media and Society, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115604848
- Noor, N., & Hamid, B. A. (2021). Cyberbullying in the Name of God: Critical Discourse Analysis of Online Responses to the Act of De-hijabbing in Malaysia. 3L: Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies, 27(4).
- Olenik-Shemesh, D., & Heiman, T. (2017). Cyberbullying victimization in adolescents as related to body esteem, social support, and social self-efficacy. *The Journal of genetic psychology*, 178(1), 28-43.
- Olweus, D. (1993). Bullying at school: What we know and what we can do. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing, 140. *Psychology in the Schools*, *40*(6). https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.10114.
- Omar, S. Z., Saharuddin, N. F., & Bolong, J. (2019). Measuring Internet Addiction among Multiracial Youths in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9 (9).
- Ouvrein, G., Vandebosch, H., & De Backer, C. J. S. (2017). Celebrity critiquing: hot or not? Teen girls' attitudes on and responses to the practice of negative celebrity critiquing. *Celebrity Studies*, 8(3), 461–476. doi:10.1080/19392397.2017.13071.
- Ouvrein, G., Vandebosch, H., & De Backer, C. J. S. (2019). Celebrities' experience with cyberbullying: A framing analysis of celebrity stories in online news articles in teen magazines. *Narratives in Research and Interventions on Cyberbullying Among Young People*, 181–198. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-04960-7_12.
- Ouvrein, G., Hallam, L., JS De Backer, C., & Vandebosch, H. (2021). Bashed at first sight: The experiences and coping strategies of reality-TV stars confronted with celebrity bashing. *Celebrity Studies*, 12(3), 389-406.
- Pabian, S., & Vandebosch, H. (2016). An investigation of short-term longitudinal associations between social anxiety and victimization and perpetration of traditional bullying and cyberbullying. *Journal of youth and adolescence*, *45*(2), 328-339.
- Palladino, B. E., Menesini, E., Nocentini, A., Luik, P., Naruskov, K., Ucanok, Z., ... & Scheithauer, H. (2017). Perceived severity of cyberbullying: Differences and similarities across four countries. Frontiers in psychology, 8, 1524.
- Panis, K., & Van Den Bulck, H. (2012). Celebrities' quest for a better world: understanding Flemish public perceptions of celebrities' societal engagement. *Javnost-the public*, 19(3), 75-92.

- Park, S. (2020). How celebrities' green messages on twitter influence public attitudes and behavioral intentions to mitigate climate change. *Sustainability*, *12*(19), 7948.
- Parmar, Y., Mann, B. J. S., & Ghuman, M. K. (2020). Impact of celebrity endorser as in-store stimuli on impulse buying. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 30(5), 576-595.
- Patchin, J. W., & Hinduja, S. (Eds.). (2012). Cyberbullying prevention and response: Expert perspectives. Routledge.
- Patterson, L. J., Allan, A., & Cross, D. (2017). Adolescent perceptions of bystanders' responses to cyberbullying. *New Media & Society*, 19(3), 366–383. doi:10.1177/1461444815606369.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation: Evaluation methods (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Peluchette, J. v., Karl, K., Wood, C., & Williams, J. (2015). Cyberbullying victimization: Do victims' personality and risky social network behaviors contribute to the problem? *Computers in Human Behavior*, *52*, 424–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.06.028.
- Perren, S., Corcoran, L., Cowie, H., Dehue, F., Garcia, D. J., Mc Guckin, C., ... & Vollink, T. (2012). Coping with cyberbullying: A systematic literature review. In *Cyberbullying*.
- Petrocchi, N., & Couyoumdjian, A. (2016). The impact of gratitude on depression and anxiety: the mediating role of criticizing, attacking, and reassuring the self. Self and Identity, 15(2), 191–205. doi:10.1080/15298868.2015.1095794.
- Phua, J., Lin, J. S. E., & Lim, D. J. (2018). Understanding consumer engagement with celebrity-endorsed E-Cigarette advertising on Instagram. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *84*, 93-102.
- Plaisime, M., Robertson-James, C., Mejia, L., Núñez, A., Wolf, J., & Reels, S. (2020). Social media and teens: a needs assessment exploring the potential role of social media in promoting health. *Social Media+Society*, *6*(1), 2056305119886025.
- Qualman, E. (2012). Socialnomics: How social media transforms the way we live and do business. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rachoene, M., & Oyedemi, T. (2015). From self-expression to social aggression: Cyberbullying culture among South African youth on Facebook. *Communicatio*, 41(3), 302-319.
- Raskauskas, J., & Huynh, A. (2015). The process of coping with cyberbullying: A systematic review. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 23, 118-125.
- Ravitch, S. M., & Carl, N. M. (2016). *Qualitative Research: Bridging the Conceptual, Theoretical, and Methodological (1st ed.).* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

- Razak, A., Chin, L. S., & Choon, T.C.K. (2018). Framing the Victim: A Study of Cyberbullying. *Tan Kim Hua*, 62. Penerbitan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Replogle, E. (2014). Fame, Social Media Use, and Ethics. *Sociological Forum*, 29(3), 736–742. http://www.jstor.org/stable/43653959
- Resnik, F., Bellmore, A., Xu, J.-M., & Zhu, X. (2016). Celebrities emerge as advocates in tweets about bullying. *Translational Issues in Psychological Science*, *2*(3), 323–334. doi:10.1037/tps0000079.
- Richards, D., Caldwell, P. H., & Go, H. (2015). Impact of social media on the health of children and young people. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, *51*(12), 1152–1157. doi:10.1111/jpc.13023.
- Rivituso, J. (2014). Cyberbullying victimization among college students: An interpretive phenomenological analysis. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 25(1), 71. https://doi.org/10.17760/d20002393.
- Rodríguez-de-Dios, I., van Oosten, J. M. F., & Igartua, J. (2018). A study of the relationship between parental mediation and adolescents' digital skills, online risks and online opportunities. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 82, 186–198.doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.01.012.
- Rosa, H., Pereira, N., Ribeiro, R., Ferreira, P. C., Carvalho, J. P., Oliveira, S., ... & Trancoso, I. (2019). Automatic cyberbullying detection: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *93*, 333-345.
- Roslan S., Hassan S. H., Abd Malik, M., Mat, A.A., & Sapran, S.A (2021, September 8). Buli siber: Serang sampai koyak. *Harian Metro*. Retrieved from https://www.hmetro.com.my/utama/2021/09/752340/buli-siber-serang-sampai-koyak.
- Rosli, J. (2018, Oct 27). Malaysia sixth-worst in global cyber-bullying ladder, survey shows. *Malay Mail*. Retrieved from https://www.malaymail.com/news/malaysia/2018/10/27/malaysia-sixth-worst-in-global-cyber-bullying-ladder/1687181.
- Rosenberg, M. B. (2005). Practical Spirituality: The Spiritual Basis of Nonviolent Communication. Puddle Dancer Press.
- Roth, S., & Cohen, L. J. (1986). Approach, avoidance, and coping with stress. *American psychologist*, *41*(7), 813.
- Safaria, T. (2015). Are daily spiritual experiences, self-esteem, and family harmony predictors of cyberbullying among high school student? *International Journal of Research Studies in Psychology*, *4*(3). https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsp.2015.1103.
- Salawu, S., He, Y., & Lumsden, J. (2020, December). BullStop: A Mobile App for Cyberbullying Prevention. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations* (pp. 70-74).

- Sandrine P., A., Anandhi, I., & Sheeba, J. I. (2019). Cyberbullying and self-harm in adolescence, an assessment of detection, prevention, and recovery from forum. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, *813*, 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1498-8_44.
- Saldana, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Sasson, H., & Mesch, G. (2017). The role of parental mediation and peer norms on the likelihood of cyberbullying. *The Journal of genetic psychology*, 178(1), 15-27.
- Savage, M.W., Deiss, D.M., Roberto, A.J., & Aboujaoude, E. (2017). Theorybased formative research on an anti-cyberbullying victimization intervention message. *Journal of Health Communication*, 22(2), 124-134. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2016.125281.
- Savoldi, F., & Ferraz de Abreu, P. (2016). Bullying, cyberbullying and Internet usage among young people in post-conflict Belfast. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 2(1). doi:10.1080/23311886.2015.1132985.
- Scott, G. G., Brodie, Z. P., Wilson, M. J., Ivory, L., Hand, C. J. and Sereno, S. C. (2020) Celebrity abuse on Twitter: the impact of tweet valence, volume of abuse, and dark triad personality factors on victim blaming and perceptions of severity. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 103, pp. 109-119.
- Senft, T. M. (2019). Fame, shame, remorse, authenticity: A prologue (p. xiii). Emerald.
- Serman, Z., & Sims, J. (2020). How Social Media Influencers Affect Consumers
 Purchase Habits? UK Academy for Information Systems Conference
 Proceedings 2020,10. Retrieved from
 https://aisel.aisnet.org/ukais2020/10.
- Ševčíková, A., Šmahel, D., & Otavová, M. (2012). The perception of cyberbullying in adolescent victims. *Emotional and behavioural difficulties*, 17(3-4), 319-328.
- Shaffer-Hudkins, E., Johnson, N., Melton, S., & Wingert, A. (2014). Social media use among individuals with diabetes. *International Journal of Communication Health*, *4*, 38-43.
- Shaikh, F. B., Rehman, M., Amin, A., Shamim, A., & Hashmani, M. A. (2021). Cyberbullying behaviour: A study of undergraduate university students. *IEEE Access*, 9, 92715–92734. doi:10.1109/access.2021.3086679.
- Shaikh, F. B., Rehman, M., & Amin, A. (2020). Cyberbullying: a systematic literature review to identify the factors impelling university students towards cyberbullying. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 148031-148051.
- Simon, S. (2017). Cyber victimization: School experience of Malaysian cyberbullied teenagers. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(3), 713-720.

- Singh, S., Thapar, V., & Bagga, S. (2020). Exploring the hidden patterns of cyberbullying on social media. *Procedia Computer Science*, *167*, 1636–1647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.374.
- Singh, K. D. (2015). Creating your own qualitative research approach: Selecting, integrating and operationalizing philosophy, methodology and methods. Vision, 19(2), 132-146.
- Sittichai, R., & Smith, P. (2018). Bullying and cyberbullying in Thailand: Coping strategies and relation to age, gender, religion and victim status. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 7, 24-30. Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.7821/naer.2018.1.254
- Sittichai, R., & Tudkea, T. (2015). Cyberbullying behavior for youth in the three Southern Border Provinces of Thailand. Research report. Pattani: Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Prince of Songkla University. doi: 10.5539/ass. v10n11p132.
- Sivabalan, T. V., Zaki, R. A., & Yuen, C. W. (2020). The prevalence of cyberbullying and its associated factors among young adolescents in Penang, Malaysia. *Journal of Health and Translational Medicine*, 202-211.
- Skinner, E. A., & Wellborn, J. G. (1994). Coping during childhood and adolescence: A motivational perspective. In D. L. Featherman, R. M. Lerner, & M. Perlmutter (Eds.), *Life-span Development and Behavior*, 12, 91–133.
- Slonje, R., Smith, P. K., & Frisén, A. (2013). The nature of cyberbullying, and strategies for prevention. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(1), 26–32. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.05.024.
- Smith, P. K. (2019). Research on cyberbullying: strengths and limitations. In *Narratives in research and interventions on cyberbullying among young people* (pp. 9-27). Springer, Cham.
- Soimah, Hamid, A. Y. S., & Daulima, N. H. C. (2019). Family's support for adolescent victims of bullying. *Enfermería Clínica*, 747-751. doi:10.1016/j.enfcli.2019.04.113.
- Statcounter Global Stats, (2022). *Social Media Stats Malaysia*. Retrieved from https://gs.statcounter.com/social-media-stats/all/malaysia.
- Statista (2022). *Number of smartphone subscriptions worldwide from 2016 to 2027*. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/330695/number-of-smartphone-users-worldwide/.
- Sterner, G., & Felmlee, D. (2017). The social networks of cyberbullying on Twitter. *International Journal of Technoethics (IJT)*, *8*(2), 1-15.
- Swenson-Lepper, T., & Kerby, A. (2019). Cyberbullies, trolls, and stalkers: Students' perceptions of ethical issues in social media. *Journal of Media Ethics*, *34*(2), 102-113.doi:10.1080/23736992.2019.15997.

- Syahputra, I. (2018). New media, new relations: Cyberstalking on social media in the interaction of Muslim scholars and the public in West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 34(1), 153–169. https://doi.org/10.17576/JKMJC-2018-3401-09.
- Tandoc Jr, E. C., Ferrucci, P., & Duffy, M. (2015). Facebook use, envy, and depression among college students: Is facebooking depressing? *Computers In Human Behavior, 43*, 139-146.
- Thornberg, R. (2011). 'She's weird!'—The social construction of bullying in school: A review of qualitative research. *Children & society*, *25*(4), 258-267.
- Tokunaga, R. S. (2010). Following you home from school: A critical review and synthesis of research on cyberbullying victimization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(3), 277–287. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2009.11.014.
- Topcu-Uzer, C., & Tanrıkulu, I. (2018). Technological solutions for cyberbullying. In *Reducing Cyberbullying in Schools* (pp. 33-47). Academic Press.
- Tremolada, M., Silingardi, L., & Taverna, L. (2022). Social Networking in Adolescents: Time, Type and Motives of Using, Social Desirability, and Communication Choices. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(4), 2418.
- UNICEF (2019). Safer internet day: UNICEF calls for concentrated action to prevent bullying and harassments for over 70 per cent of young people online worldwide. Retrieved from https://www.unicef.org/press-releases/safer-internet-day-unicef-calls-concerted-action-prevent-bullying-and-harassment.
- Van Cleemput, K., Vandebosch, H., & Pabian, S. (2014). Personal characteristics and contextual factors that determine "helping," "joining in," and "doing nothing" when witnessing cyberbullying. *Aggressive Behavior*, 40(5), 383–396. doi:10.1002/ab.21534.
- Van Hee, C., Jacobs, G., Emmery, C., Desmet, B., Lefever, E., Verhoeven, B., & Hoste, V. (2018). Automatic detection of cyberbullying in social media text. *PLOS ONE, 13*(10), e0203794. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0203794.
- Walther, J. B., & Jang, J. W. (2012). Communication processes in participatory websites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *18*(1), 2-15.
- Wang, J., Nansel, T. R., & lannotti, R. J. (2011). *Cyber and traditional bullying:* differential association with depression. Journal of Adolescent Health, 48(4), 415–417. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.07.012.
- Wang, S. (2021). Standing up or standing by: Bystander intervention in cyberbullying on social media. *New Media & Society*, *23*(6), 1379-1397. doi:10.1177/1461444820902541.
- Weiten, W., Dunn, D. S., & Hammer, E. Y. (2014). *Psychology applied to modern life: Adjustment in the 21st century.* Cengage Learning.

- Whittaker, E., & Kowalski, R. M. (2015). Cyberbullying via social media. *Journal of School Violence*, *14*(1), 11–29. doi:10.1080/15388220.2014.949377.
- Willard, N. E. (2007). Cyberbullying and cyberthreats: Responding to the challenge of online social aggression, threats, and distress. Champaign, IL: Research Press.
- Withers, K. L. (2019). A Psychosocial Behavioral Attribution Model: Examining the Relationship between the Dark Triad and Cyber-Criminal Behaviors Impacting Social Networking Sites (Doctoral dissertation, Nova Southeastern University).
- Worsley, J. D., Wheatcroft, J. M., Short, E., & Corcoran, R. (2017). Victims' voices: Understanding the emotional impact of cyberstalking and individuals' coping responses. *Sage open*, 7(2), 2158244017710292.
- Wright, M. F. (2016). Cyber victimization on college campuses: Longitudinal associations with suicidal ideation, depression, and anxiety. *Criminal Justice Review,* 41(2), 190–203. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734016816634785.
- Wright, M. F. (2017). Parental mediation, cyberbullying, and cyber-trolling: The role of gender. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 71, 189-195.
- Wright, M. F. (2021). What Is Cyberbullying?. In *Encyclopaedia of Information Science and Technology, Fifth Edition* (pp. 374-386). IGI Global.
- Xie, W., & Kang, C. (2015). See you, see me: Teenagers' self-disclosure and regret of posting on social network site. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *52*, 398-407.
- Xu, Y. (2021). The invisible aggressive fist: features of cyberbullying language in China. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law-Revue Internationale de Sémiotique Juridique*, 34(4), 1041-1064.
- Yang, F. (2021). Coping strategies, cyberbullying behaviors, and depression among Chinese netizens during the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based nationwide survey. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 281, 138-144.
- Yardley, L. (2016). Demonstrating the validity of qualitative research. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 12(3), 295–296. doi:10.1080/17439760.2016.1262624.
- Young, R., Miles, S., & Alhabash, S. (2018). Attacks by Anons: A content analysis of aggressive posts, victim responses, and bystander interventions on a social media site. *Social Media* + *Society*, 4(1), 205630511876244. doi:10.1177/2056305118762444.
- Young, R., Subramanian, R., Miles, S., Hinnant, A., & Andsager, J. L. (2017). Social Representation of Cyberbullying and Adolescent Suicide: A Mixed-Method Analysis of News Stories. *Health Communication*, *32*(9), 1082–1092. https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2016.1214214

- Yuan, M. K., Lee, L. M., & Say, C. (2018, March 18). Our teens are bullies. *The Star Online*. Retrieved from https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2018/03/18/behaving-badly-in-cyberspace-malaysian-teensmore-likely-to-be-cyberbullies-than-victims-says-study.
- Yusuf, S., Hassan, M. S. H., & Ibrahim, A. M. (2018). *Cyberbullying among Malaysian children based on research evidence*. In M. Khosrow-Pour (Ed.), Encyclopaedia of information science and technology (4th ed.) (pp. 1704-1722). Hershey, USA: IGI Global.
- Zalaquett, C. P., & Chatters, S. J. (2014). Cyberbullying in college: Frequency, characteristics, and practical implications. *Sage Open*, *4*(1), 2158244014526721.
- Zignani, M., Quadri, C., Galdeman, A., Gaito, S., & Rossi, G. P. (2019). Mastodon content warnings: Inappropriate contents in a microblogging platform. *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web and Social Media, ICWSM 2019.*
- Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., & Skinner, E. A. (2011). Review: The development of coping across childhood and adolescence: An integrative review and critique of research. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 35(1), 1–17. doi:10.1177/0165025410384923.
- Zhang, H., Zhou, H., & Cao, R. (2021). Bullying Victimization Among Left-Behind Children in Rural China: Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(15–16). https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260519843287
- Zhang, K., Zhang, M., & Li, C. (2021). Effects of celebrity characteristics, perceived homophily, and reverence on consumer-celebrity para-social interaction and brand attitude. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4039.
- Zhou, Z., Tang, H., Tian, Y., Wei, H., Zhang, F., & Morrison, C. M. (2013). Cyberbullying and its risk factors among Chinese high school students. *School Psychology International*, 34(6), 630–647. doi:10.1177/0143034313479692.
- Zurbriggen, E. L., Ben Hagai, E., & Leon, G. (2016). Negotiating privacy and intimacy on social media: Review and recommendations. *Translational Issues in Psychological Science*, 2(3), 248.
- Zych, I., Baldry, A. C., & Farrington, D. P. (2017). School bullying and cyberbullying: Prevalence, characteristics, outcomes, and prevention. *Handbook of Behavioral Criminology*, 113–138. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-61625-4
- Zych, I., Ortega-Ruiz, R., & Del Rey, R. (2015). Systematic review of theoretical studies on bullying and cyberbullying: Facts, knowledge, prevention, and intervention. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 23, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2015.10.001.