

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

EXTRACTION OF STARCH, XYLOSE AND GLUCOSE FROM OIL PALM TRUNK FOR BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION

WONG LIH JIUN

FPAS 2021 13



EXTRACTION OF STARCH, XYLOSE AND GLUCOSE FROM OIL PALM TRUNK FOR BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION

By

WONG LIH JIUN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EXTRACTION OF STARCH, XYLOSE AND GLUCOSE FROM OIL PALM TRUNK FOR BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION

By

WONG LIH JIUN

September 2019

Chair Faculty : H'ng Paik San, PhD : Forestry and Environment

Use of oil palm trunk as sugars resource of biomass is currently under intensive study as an alternative growth substrate for bio-based chemical an energy production. It will benefit the environmental and reduce the agricultural waste. The complexity of chemical compositions in lignocelluloses as inhibits in degradation of lignin, hemicelluloses and cellulose which contain starch, five-and six-carbon sugars; prior to hydrolyzed and followed by co-fermentation for bioethanol production. Generally, this study aims to optimize the extraction of starch and mixed xylose-glucose from oil palm trunk and co-fermentation process of mixed sugars to bioethanol.

Chemical analysis was carried out based on TAPPI Test Methods (TAPPI, 2000) in determination of the chemical compositions of different levels and different portions of OPT. Low lignin and hemicelluloses and cellulose were found in this batch of study. It shows that OPT contains considerable extractives content which is valuable be source of chemical value added products like fermentable sugars.

For the study 2 (Starch extraction by Distilled Water and Chemical from OPT), starch in the oil palm trunk was extracted by 0.2 % sodium metabsisulphite (w/v) and 0.5 % lactic acid (v/v), and aqueous water respectively treated with levels of temperatures and times. Value P≤0.01 significance was observed for the main effects (temperature and time) on the starch yield with water solution and chemicals. A significant interaction was also found between two main effects (temperature and time). 19.7 % of starch yield was obtained by distilled water at 50 °C, 1 h, whereas 14.0 % of starch was obtained by chemicals at 26 ± 2 °C, 1 h). From the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) optimization analysis, optimum starch yield 12.8 % was extracted by room temperature 26 ± 2 °C and 1 h by chemical solution. Whereas, from the RSM optimization analysis, optimum

starch yield 16.3 % was extracted by distilled water under parameter 50 °C and 1 h. From the findings for study 2, the optimum starch yield can be obtained in lower temperature when OPT powder treated with chemicals solution than aqueous water.

Continuous dilute acid hydrolysis and simultaneous acid hydrolysis (115 °C, 120 °C and 130 °C reacted with 15 min, 30 min and 60 min) for producing xylose and glucose. 2 %, 4 % and 6 % of acid sulphuric was used for hydrolysis process. For continuous acid hydrolysis, optimum parameter of starch extraction method parameter (0.2 % sodium metabsisulphite (w/v) and 0.5 % lactic acid (v/v), 26 \pm 2 °C and 1 h), the extracted starch was mixed with residue of oil palm trunk powder for dilute acid hydrolysis process. Simultaneous acid hydrolysis used untreated raw OPT powder for glucose and xylose production. The higher glucose yield occurred at dilute acid hydrolysis with the parameter of 6 % sulphuric acid concentration reacted for 60 min on 60 mesh of OPT powders at 100 °C with a total glucose yield of approximately 15.3 % by simultaneous hydrolysis. The optimum xylose yield occurred with the parameter of 2 % sulphuric acid concentration reacted for 30 min on 60 mesh of OPT powders at 115 °C with a total xylose yield of approximately 32.4 % by continuous acid hydrolysis. Value P≤0.01 significance was observed for the main effects (acid concentration, temperature and time). By RSM analysis, optimum glucose and xylose can be obtained by conducting continuous hydrolysis temperature 130 °C for 53 min with 2 % acid concentration. Conclusively, the total yield of glucosexylose was improved and approximately range of 2.5 % - 28.8 % higher than total glucose-xylose yield from untreated OPT powder.

Co-fermentation of glucose and xylose to bioethanol was carried out using engineered strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* 424A(LNH-ST) and *E.coli* strain B, respectively. There was a preferential order of sugar utilization: first using glucose followed by xylose. Generally, the optimum ethanol yield of 85.41 % using yeast as fermentation microbes was obtained at temperature 30 °C, pH 4. While, the optimum ethanol yield obtained from *E.coli* strain B was 86.01 % at temperature 34 °C, pH 6. Value P≤0.0001 significance was observed for the main effects (pH and temperature). From the optimization analysis, 87.9 % of ethanol conversion yield can be obtained by conducting the fermentation at temperature 34 °C and pH 6 by *E.coli* strain B; whereas 82.1 % of ethanol conversion yield can be obtained at 30 °C and pH 4 by *S.cerevisiae* 424A(LNH-*ST*).

Generally, Oil palm trunk contains considerable amount of extractives and lower lignin which suitable for starch and sugars extraction especially glucose and xylose and potentially be one of the biomass resource energy in bioethanol production.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGEKSTRASI KANJI, GLUKOSA DAN XILOSA DARIPADA BATANG KELAPA SAWIT BAGI PENGELUARAN BIOETANOL

Oleh

WONG LIH JIUN

September 2019

Pengerusi : H'ng Paik San, PhD Fakulti : Perhutanan dan Alam Sekitar

Kajian intensif atas penggunaan batang kelapa sawit sebagai alternatif sumber biomas untuk bahan kimia dan sumber pengeluaran tenaga. Oleh itu, idea pembangunan ini dapat menyelasai isu-isu alam sekitar dan mengurangkan sisa pertanian. Kerumitan komposisi kimia dalam lignocelluloses sebagai satu faktor penghalang degradasi lignin, hemicelluloses dan selulosa yang mengandungi kanji, lima dan enam karbon gula; sebelum terhidrolisis dan diikuti oleh rakan penapaian untuk pengeluaran bioetanol. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini untuk mendapat parameter pemprosesan optimum untuk kanji, campuran xylosa glukosa yang diekstrak daripada batang kelapa sawit serta penapaian campuran gula untuk penghasilan bioetanol. Objektif umum kajian ini adalah untuk mendapat parameter optimum bagi penghasilan jumlah hasil kandungan kanji, glukosa dan xylosa; serta fermentasi proses.

Analisis komposisi kimia batang kelapa sawit dalam bentuk bentuk serbuk yang bersaiz 60 mesh siri analisis kimia dijalankan berdasarkan standard TAPPI (2000) untuk mendapatkan kandungan lignin, selulosa dan hemiselulosa dalam batang kelapa sawit. Nilai-nilai ini penting untuk pengiraan jumlah kandungan glukosa dan xylosa yang diekstrak dalam eksperimen ini. Keputusan menunjukkan kandungan lignin yang rendah , hemiselulosa dan selulosa didapati. Ini menunjukkan batang kelapa sawit mengandungi ekstrasi kimia yang sesuai untuk dijadikan bahan gula fermentasi.

Bagi kajian kedua iaitu pengeskstrasi kanji, serbuk batang kelapa sawit direndam dengan kepekatan 0.2 % metabisulfit natrium (Na₂S₂O₅), 0.5% laktat asid (C₃H₆O₃) dan air suling pada suhu-suhu berlainan dan masa yang berbeza. Nilai P≤0.01 dipadati *significant* bagi tiga parameter (suhu dan masa). 19.7 % kanji didapatkan melalui air suling pada 50 °C, 1 h, manakala 14.0 % kanji didapatkan melalui kimia pada 26 ± 2 °C, 1 h). Daripada analysis, 12.8 %

optimum kanji didapatkan melalui bahan kimia dengan parameter suhu bilik 26 \pm 2 °C dan 1 jam. Di samping itu, 16.3 % optimum kanji didapatkan melalui air suling pada dengan parameter suhu bilik 50 °C dan 1 jam. Keputusan ini menunjukkan bahawa optimum kanji boleh didapatkan pada suhu yang lebih rendah jika serbuk batang kelapa sawit direndamkan dengan bahan kimia.

Hidrolisis berterusan and hidrolisis serentak (115 °C, 120 °C dan 130 °C dengan 15 min, 30 min dan 60 min) digunakan untuk hidrolisis xilosa dan glukosa. 2 %, 4 % dan 6 % asid sulfurik digunakan bagi proses hidrolisis. Daripada analisis RSM, optimum parameter bagi penghasilan xylosa-glukosa ialah pada suhu 130 °C, 53 min, 2 % asid sulfurik. Daripada analisis, optimum parameter ialah pada suhu bilik 26 ± 2 °C dan 1 jam daripada bahan kimia. Parameter ini dipilihkan sebagai parameter pra-rawatan hidrolisis berterusan. Kanji yang diekstrasi dicampurkan dengan serbuk kelapa sawit untuk hidrolisis gula-gula yang seterusnya. Bagi hidrolisis serentak, serbuk kepala sawit yang belum direndamkan dengan bahan kimia digunakan untuk menghasilkan xylosa dan glukosa. 15.3 % glukosa yang optimum boleh didapatkan melalui proses hidrolisis serentak pada suhu 100 °C, 6 % asid sulfurik dan 60 min. 32.4 % xylosa yang optimum boleh didapatkan melalui proses hidrolisis berterusan pada suhu 115 °C, 2 % asid sulfurik dan 300 min. Nilai P≤0.01 dipadati significant bagi tiga parameter (kepekatan asid, masa dan suhu). Daripada analisis RSM, optimum glukosa dan xylosa boleh dihasikan daripada hidrolisis berterusan pada suhu 130 °C, 53 min dan 2 % asid sulfurik. Kesimpulannya, terdapat penambahan jumlah hasil glukosa dan xylosa sebanyak 2.5 % - 28.8 % daripada jumlah hasil glukosa dan xylose yang dihasilkan daripada batang kelapa sawit yang belum direndamkan bahan kimia.

Proses fermentasi glukosa dan xylosa untuk bioetanol dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan rekombinan strain *Saccharomyces cerevisae 424A(LNH-ST) dan E.coli* strain B masing-masing. Optimum 85.41 % ethanol dihasilkan pada suhu 30 °C, pH 4 dengan menggunakan yeast manakala optimum 86.01 % ethanol dihasilkan pada suhu 34 °C, pH 6 dengan menggunakan *E.coli*. Nilai P≤0.0001 dipadati *significant* bagi dua parameter (pH dan suhu). Daripada analisis, 87.9 % hasil etanol boleh diperolehi dengan suhu penapaian 34 °C dan pH 6 oleh *E.coli*; manakala 82.1 % hasil etanol boleh diperolehi dengan suhu penapaian 30 °C dan pH 4 daripada fermentasi oleh *S.cerevisae 424A(LNH-ST)*.

Kesimpulanya, batang kelapa sawit mengandungi bahan ekstrasi dan lignin yang rendah jadi ia sesuai untuk proses ekstrasi kanji dan gula terutamanya glukosa dan xylosa serta berbakat sebagai salah satu sumber biomas untuk pengeluaran tenaga

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to the following persons, who have directly or indirectly given generous contribution toward the completion of this research project.

First, I would like to dedicate my utmost appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dato' Dr. H'ng Paik San for his guidance, invaluable help, and advice throughout the period of this study. I am also very grateful indeed to supervisors, Professor. Dr. Luqman Chuah Abdullah and Professor Dr. Paridah Md. Tahir for their patience, motivation, kind assistance, encouragement and insightful comments.

Furthermore, I would like to show my appreciation to administration and laboratory staff from the Faculty of Forestry and Environment, and Faculty of Engineering. Also, special thanks to the Manager of Inkaya Sdn Bhd, Mr. Kuon for giving permission to visit the oil palm plantation.

Last but not least, I would like to thank to my family and friends for the continuous support and helps along the Ph.D. study.

Thanks a lot for every one of you.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on (date of viva voce) to conduct the final examination of Wong Lih Jiun on her thesis entitled "Extraction of Starch, Xylose And Glucose From Oil Palm Trunk for Bioethanol Production" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the (insert the name of relevant degree).

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Edi Suhaimi Bakar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry and Environment Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rosfarizan binti Mohamad, PhD

Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Bimolecular Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Rasmina binti Halis, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry and Environment Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Wasrin Syafii, PhD

Professor Ir. Faculty of Forestry Bogor Agricultural University Indonesia (External Examiner)

ZURIATI AHMAD ZUKARNAIN, PhD

Professor Ts. and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 1 November 2021

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

H'ng Paik San, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry and Environment Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Luqman Chuah Abdullah, PhD Professor

Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Paridah binti Md Tahir, PhD

Professor Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Product Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 November 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

| Signature: | Date: |
|----------------------|-------|
| Name and Matric No.: | |
| | |
| | |

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

| Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: | |
|--|--|
| Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: | |
| Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | V |
| APPROVAL | vi |
| DECLARATION | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xvi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xix |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxi |

| СНАІ | PTER | | |
|--------|------|---|----------|
| 01.7.1 | | | |
| 1 | INTE | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | General | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Statement of Problem | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Justification | 2 3 |
| | 1.4 | Objectives of Study | 5 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2 | | RATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Accessibility of Oil Palm in Malaysia | 6 |
| | | 2.2.1 Availability of Oil Palm Waste in | 7 |
| | | Malaysia | |
| | | 2.2.2 Chemical and Physical Properties of | 8 |
| | | Oil Palm Trunk | |
| | 2.3 | Chemical Structure and Composition of | 8 |
| | | Lignocellulosic Biomass | • |
| | | 2.3.1 Cellulose | 8 |
| | | 2.3.2 Glucose | 9 |
| | | 2.3.3 Hemicellulose | 10 |
| | | 2.3.4 Xylose | 10 11 |
| | | 2.3.5 Lignin 2.3.6 Starch | 11 |
| | 2.4 | Oil Palm Trunk Starch | 12 |
| | 2.4 | Starch Extraction Method | 12 |
| | 2.5 | Pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass | 14 |
| | 2.0 | Hydrolysis | 14 |
| | 2.8 | Fermentation for Ethanol Production | 14 |
| | 2.0 | Co-fermentation | 16 |
| | 2.3 | 2.9.1 Factors of pH and | 10 |
| | | Temperature for | 17 |
| | | Fermentation | |
| | | 2.9.2 Medium in Fermentation | 17 |
| | | Process | |
| | | 2.9.2.1 Yeast (Saccharomyces sp.) | 17 |
| | | | |

| | | 2.9.2.2 Escherichia coli (E.coli) | 18 |
|---|-----------|--|----------|
| | 2.10 | Advantages and Disadvantages of Using | 18 |
| | | Lignocellulose and Oil-Based Resources as | 18 |
| | | Feedstock for Bioenergy | |
| | 2.11 | Response Surface Methodology | 20 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 3 | | CHARACTERISTICS OF OIL PALM TRUNK | 21 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 21 |
| | 3.2 | Objectives | 22 |
| | 3.3 | Methodology | 22 |
| | | 3.3.1 Sample Preparation | 22 |
| | | 3.3.2 Experimental Design | 23 |
| | | 3.3.3 Determination of Chemical | 23 |
| | | Composition (TAPPI Test | |
| | | Methods) | |
| | | 3.3.3.1 Water Solubility | 23 |
| | | 3.3.3.2 Alcohol Solubility | 24 |
| | | 3.3.3 Lignin | 24 |
| | | 3.3.3.4 Holocellulose | 25 |
| | | 3.3.3.5 Cellulose | 25 |
| | | 3.3.4 Statistical Analysis | 26 |
| | 3.4 | Results and Discussions | 26 |
| | | 3.4.1 General observation on OPT | 26 |
| | | 3.4.2 Moisture Content of OPT | 27 |
| | | 3.4.3 Extractives Content of OPT | 29 |
| | | 3.4.3.1 Alcohol-Acetone | 29 |
| | | Extractives | |
| | | 3.4.3.2 Water-soluble | 31 |
| | | 3.4.4 Acid-soluble Lignin | 34 |
| | | (ASL) 3.4.5 Holocellulose of OPT | 20 |
| | | 3.4.5Holocellulose of OPT3.4.6α-cellulose of OPT | 36 37 |
| | 3.5 | | |
| | 3.5 | Conclusion | 39 |
| | | | |
| 4 | OPTIMIZAT | ION OF STARCH EXTRACTION FROM OIL | 40 |
| - | | JNK USING WATER AND CHEMICALS | -10 |
| | STEEPING | | |
| | - | ntroduction | 40 |
| | | Dbjectives | 42 |
| | | Vethodology | 42 |
| | | 4.3.1 Method Flowchart | 43 |
| | | 4.3.2 Starch Extraction | 43 |
| | | 4.3.3 Analysis | 44 |
| | | 4.3.3.1 Starch Determination | 44 |
| | | 4.3.3.2 Physicochemical | 45 |
| | | properties of Starch | - |
| | | | |

| 4.4 | Statistical Analysis | 45 |
|---|--|--|
| 4.5 | Results and Discussion | 45 |
| | 4.5.1 Effect of Temperature on OPT | 49 |
| | Starch Yield through Water and | |
| | Chemical Extraction | |
| | 4.5.2 Effect of Time on Starch Yield from OPT | 50 |
| | through Water Solution and Chemical | |
| | Solution | |
| | Extraction | |
| | 4.5.3 Optimization by RSM analysis | 51 |
| | on Intercept Incubation | |
| | Temperature, Incubation | |
| | Period on Starch Yield Through | |
| | Water Solution and Chemical | |
| | Solution Extraction | |
| | Respectively | |
| | 4.5.4 Verification Statement | 52 |
| | 4.5.5 Combined Effect of Intercept Incubation | 52 |
| | Temperature at Different Levels of | 52 |
| | | |
| | Incubation (A X B Terms) on Starch | |
| | Yield (SY) from OPT | F 4 |
| 1.0 | 4.5.6 Properties of Starch | 54 |
| 4.6 | Conclusion | 56 |
| | | |
| | | |
| 5 OPTIMIZ | ZATION OF XYLOSE AND GLUCOSE YIELD | 57 |
| | ZATION OF XYLOSE AND GLUCOSE YIELD | 57 |
| HYDRO | LY <mark>SED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USI</mark> NG | 57 |
| HYDRO DILUTE | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS | |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction | 57 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives | 57 60 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method | 57 60 61 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation | 57 60 61 61 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design | 57 60 61 61 61 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow | 57 60 61 61 61 62 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 65 65 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 65 65 65 65 66 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis 5.5.2.2 Continuous | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 65 65 65 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis 5.5.2.2 Continuous Hydrolysis | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 72 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis 5.5.2.2 Continuous | 57 60 61 61 61 62 64 65 65 65 65 66 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis 5.5.2.2 Continuous Hydrolysis | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 72 |
| HYDRO DILUTE 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | LYSED FROM OIL PALM TRUNK USING ACID HYDROLYSIS Introduction Objectives Materials and Method 5.3.1 Materials Preparation 5.3.2 Experimental Design 5.3.3 Process Flow Analysis 5.4.1 TGA Analysis 5.4.2 Statistical Analysis Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Chemical Composition of OPT by TGA Analysis 5.5.2 Glucose and Xylose Yield 5.5.2.1 Simultaneous Hydrolysis 5.5.2.2 Continuous Hydrolysis | 57 60 61 61 62 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 72 |

| | | 5.5.3.1 | Glucose and Xylose Yield from Pre-Treated OPT Using Dilute | 76 |
|------|--------|--|--|----------|
| | | 5.5.3.2 | Acid Hydrolysis Effect of Independent Parameters on Glucose and | 77 |
| | | 5.5.3.3 | Temperature on Glucose and | 77 |
| | | 5.5.3.4 | Time on Glucose and Xylose | 78 |
| | | 5.5.3.5 | Yield Effect of Acid Concentration on Glucose and Xylose Yield | 79 |
| | 5.5.4 | Tempera and Acid | ation on Intercept Hydrolysis ature, Hydrolysis Reaction Time d Concentration on Glucose (Y1) ose Yield (Y2) by RSM. | 79 |
| | 5.5.5 | Combine Tempera Time (A of Acid | ed Effect of Intercept Hydrolysis ature and Hydrolysis Reaction X B Terms) at Different Levels Concentration (C) on Glucose d Xylose Yield (Y2). | 80 |
| | 5.5.6 | Combine Tempera X C T Reaction | ed Effect of Intercept Hydrolysis ature and Acid Concentration (A erms) At Different Levels of n Time (B) On Glucose (Y1) And Yield (Y2) | 82 |
| | 5.5.7 | Combine Reaction (B X C Tempera | ed Effect of Intercept Hydrolysis n Time and Acid Concentration Terms) at Different Levels of ature on Glucose (Y1) and Yield (Y2). | 84 |
| 5.6 | Conclu | | | 85 |
| FROM | | PALM | DF GLUCOSE-XYLOSE SUGARS TRUNK FOR BIOETHANOL | 87 |
| 6.1 | | Introductio | n | 87 |
| 6.2 | | Objectives | | 88 |
| 6.3 | | | and Method | 88 |
| | | 6.3.2 Fe | eparation of Hydrolysates ermentation of the Oil alm Trunk Hydrolysate | 89 89 |
| | | 6.3 | 3.2.1 Inoculum Preparation | 89 |
| 6.4 | | | 3.2.2 Fermentation Process Itation of Glucose-Xylose by Yeast B strain | 90 90 |

xiii

6

 \mathbf{C}

| | 6.5 | Analyti | ic Method | | | 91 |
|------|--------|-----------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | | 6.5.1 | | ation of Cell Gr | owth | 91 |
| | | 6.5.2 | | ation of Glu | | 91 |
| | | | | oncentration | | - |
| | | 6.5.3 | Determina | | Ethanol | 91 |
| | | 0.010 | Concentra | | | 0.1 |
| | | 6.5.4 | | n on the Ethar | ol Yields | 91 |
| | | | | Parameters | for Batch | |
| | | 6.5.5 | | tion of Glucos | e-Xvlose for | 92 |
| | | 0.010 | | I Production fr | | ~ |
| | 6.6 | Results | s and Discu | | | 92 |
| | | 6.6.1 | | | | 92 |
| | | | | ure on Bioetha | nol | |
| | | | Production | | | |
| | | 6.6.2 | Kinetic Pa | rameter Value | | 98 |
| | | | 6.6.2.1 E | Effect of | | 98 |
| | | | | ndependent | | |
| | | | | Parameters on | | |
| | | | E | thanol Yield fr | rom | |
| | | | (| ОРТ | | |
| | | | 6.2.2.2 E | Effect of | | 100 |
| | | | 7 | Cemperature of | n | |
| | | | E | Ethanol Yield | | |
| | | | 6.2.2.3 E | Effect of pH on | | 100 |
| | | | E | Ethanol Yield | | |
| | | 6.6.3 | Optimizatio | n of Fermentat | tion | 101 |
| | | (| Condition for | or Ethanol Proc | duction | |
| | 6.7 | Conclu | usion | | | 103 |
| | | | | | | |
| 7 | CONCI | | RECOMM | | | 104 |
| ' | 7.1 | Conclus | | LINDATION | | 104 |
| | 7.2 | | mendation | | | 104 |
| | 1.2 | Recom | nenuation | | | 105 |
| RFF | ERENCE | 5 | | | | 106 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | STUDENT | | | | 122 124 |
| | | | | | | |
| LIST | OF PUB | LICATIONS | | | | 125 |

7

G

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Comparison between dilute acid hydrolysis and concentrated acid hydrolysis methods | 16 |
| 3.1 | Determination of chemical composition (TAPPI Test Methods) | 23 |
| 3.2 | Analysis of variance of the effect between location, portions and levels on the moisture content (MC) of OPT | 28 |
| 3.3 | Mean comparison of MC (%) using Tukey's HSD | 29 |
| 3.4 | Analysis of variance of the effect from portions and levels on the acetone extractives of OPT | 30 |
| 3.5 | Mean comparison of alcohol-acetone (%) using Tukey's HSD | 30 |
| 3.6 | Analysis of variance of the effect of different portions and levels on the cold-water solubility of OPT | 32 |
| 3.7 | Mean comparison of cold-water solubility (%) using Tukey's HSD | 32 |
| 3.8 | Analysis of variance of the effect of different sections and levels on the hot-water solubility of OPT | 33 |
| 3.9 | Mean comparison of hot-water solubility (%) using Tukey's HSD | 33 |
| 3.10 | Analysis of variance of the effect between sections and levels on the acid-soluble lignin of OPT | 35 |
| 3.11 | Mean comparison of lignin (%) using Tukey's HSD | 35 |
| 3.12 | Analysis of variance of the effect between location, sections and levels on the holocellulose content of OPT | 37 |

| | 3.13 | Mean comparison of holocellulose (%) using Tukey's HSD | 37 |
|------------|------|--|----|
| | 3.14 | Analysis of variance of the effect between sections and levels on the cellulose content of OPT | 38 |
| | 3.15 | Mean comparison of cellulose (%) using Tukey's HSD | 39 |
| | 4.1 | Composition of starch extraction medium | 44 |
| | 4.2 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on starch yield from OPT by water solution | 47 |
| | 4.3 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on starch yield | 47 |
| | 4.4 | Mean comparison of starch yield (%) by water solution using Tukey's HSD | 47 |
| | 4.5 | Mean comparision of starch yield (%) by chemical solution using Tukey's HSD | 48 |
| | 4.6 | Optimized solution by RSM for temperature and time on starch yield production from OPT through water extraction | 51 |
| | 4.7 | Optimized solution by RSM for temperature and time on starch yield production from OPT through chemicals solution extraction | 52 |
| | 5.1 | Mean of glucose and xylose yield with standard deviation at different hydrolysis parameter from simultaneous hydrolysis and continuous hydrolysis | 68 |
| | 5.2 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on glucose yield from OPT by simultaneous hydrolysis | 69 |
| \bigcirc | 5.3 | Mean comparison of glucose yield (%) by simultaneous acid hydrolysis from OPT using Tukey's HSD | 70 |
| U | 5.4 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on xylose yield from OPT by simultaneous hydrolysis | 71 |

| | 5.5 | Mean comparison of xylose yield (%) by simultaneous acid hydrolysis from OPT using Tukey's HSD Mean comparison of hot-water solubility (%) using Tukey's HSD | 71 |
|-----|------|---|----|
| | 5.6 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on glucose yield from OPT by continuous hydrolysis | 73 |
| 5.7 | | Mean comparison of glucose yield (%) by continuous acid hydrolysis from OPT using Tukey's HSD | 73 |
| | 5.8 | Significant probabilities for variables and interaction on xylose yield from OPT by continuous hydrolysis | 74 |
| | 5.9 | Mean comparison of xylose yield (%) by continuous acid hydrolysis from OPT using Tukey's HSD | 75 |
| | 5.10 | RSM solution for hydrolysis temperature, reaction time, concentration of dilute acid hydrolysis for glucose and xylose production from OPT | 80 |
| | 6.1 | Composition of inoculum medium | 90 |
| | 6.2 | The composition of fermentation medium | 90 |
| | 6.3 | Mean comparison of kinetic parameter using Tukey's HSD for bioethanol from OPT by Saccharomyces cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST) | 94 |
| | 6.4 | Mean comparison of kinetic parameter using Tukey's HSD for bioethanol from OPT by <i>E.coli</i> Strain B | 95 |
| | 6.5 | Mean conversion yield and kinetic parameters of glucose and xylose utilization by S.cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST) | 95 |
| | 6.6 | Mean conversion yield and kinetic parameters of glucose and xylose utilization by <i>E.coli</i> B strain | 97 |

xvii

- 6.7 ANOVA for response surface linear model: Analysis of variance table (partial sum of square Type III) for ethanol yield by yeast fermentation
- 6.8 ANOVA for Response Surface Linear Model: Analysis of variance table (partial sum of square Type III) for ethanol yield by *E.coli* strain B fermentation
- 6.9 RSM solution for fermentation temperature, pH, for ethanol yield production by engineered strain of yeast from OPT
- 6.10 RSM solution for fermentation temperature, pH, for ethanol yield production by *E.coli* from OPT

101

99

102

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Products produced from starch | 12 |
| 2.2 | SEM micrograph of OPT starch with magnification at 2900 x | 13 |
| 3.1 | The experimental design for chemical composition of OPT | 23 |
| 3.2 | Cross-cut of an oil palm trunk at transverse section | 27 |
| 3.3 | Mean moisture content (MC) (%) with error bar from different levels and portions of OPT | 28 |
| 3.4 | Mean acetone extractives (%) with error bar from different levels and sections of OPT | 30 |
| 3.5 | Mean cold-water solubility (%) with error bar from Different levels and sections of OPT | 31 |
| 3.6 | Mean hot-water solubility (%) with error bar from different levels and sections of OPT | 33 |
| 3.7 | Mean acid soluble lignin (%) with error bar from different levels and sections of OPT | 34 |
| 3.8 | White, cream colored of holocellulose found in OPT | 36 |
| 3.9 | Mean holocellulose (%) with error bar from different levels and sections of OPT | 36 |
| 3.10 | Mean cellulose (%) with standard error from different levels and sections of OPT | 38 |
| 4.1 | The experimental design of the starch extraction from OPT | 42 |
| 4.2 | The flowchart of the extraction of OPT starch by chemical and water extraction | 43 |
| 4.3 | Mean starch yield (%) with error bar from OPT by water extraction with different parameters | 46 |

G

| 4.4 | Mean starch yield (%) with error bar from OPT by chemical extraction with different parameters | 46 |
|-----|---|----|
| 4.5 | The effect of temperature at different levels of time (A x B terms) on OPT starch yield (SY) by water solution | 53 |
| 4.6 | The effect of incubation temperature at different levels of incubation periods (A x B terms) on OPT starch yield (SY) by chemicals solution | 54 |
| 4.7 | The OPT starch showed in the dark blue colour with the iodine | 55 |
| 4.8 | The OPT starch granule under Microscope 5.5mm X 5.00k | 55 |
| 4.9 | Pure starch granule showed in the purple-blue colour with the jodine | 56 |
| 5.1 | The experimental design for simultaneous dilute acid hydrolysis process | 61 |
| 5.2 | The experimental design for continuous dilute acid hydrolysis process | 62 |
| 5.3 | The flowchart of the simultanous and continous acid hydrolysis | 63 |
| 5.4 | Chemical composition of raw OPT powder | 66 |
| 5.5 | Chemical composition of chemical pre-treated OPT powder | 66 |
| 5.6 | Effect of hydrolysis temperature and hydrolysis reaction time (A x B terms) at different levels of acid concentration (C) on glucose (Y1) | 81 |
| 5.7 | Effect of hydrolysis temperature and hydrolysis reaction time (A x B terms) at different levels of acid concentration (C) on xylose (Y2) | 82 |
| | | |

хх

| | 5.8 | Effect of intercept hydrolysis temperature and acid concentration (A \times C terms) at different levels of temperature on glucose (Y1) | 83 |
|---|------|--|-----|
| | 5.9 | Effect of intercept hydrolysis temperature and acid concentration (A \times C terms) at different levels of temperature on xylose (Y2) | 83 |
| | 5.10 | Effect of hydrolysis reaction time and acid concentration (B x C terms) at different levels of temperature on glucose (Y1) | 85 |
| | 5.11 | Effect of hydrolysis reaction time and acid concentration (B x C terms) at different levels of temperature on xylose (Y2) | 85 |
| | 6.1 | Flowchart of the preparation of oil palm trunk acid hydrolysate for co-fermentation process | 88 |
| | 6.2 | Experimental design of the co-fermentation process of OPT hydrolysates | 89 |
| | 6.3 | Total conversion of ethanol yield (%) of glucose and xylose of oil palm trunk by <i>Saccharomyces</i> <i>cerevisiae</i> 424A(LNH-ST) | 93 |
| | 6.4 | Total conversion of ethanol yield (%) of glucose and xylose of oil palm trunk by <i>E.coli</i> B strain | 93 |
| | 6.5 | Time course profiles for the co-fermentation of glucose and xylose by <i>S.cerevisiae</i> 424A(LNH-ST) for the optimum parameter of co-fermentation (34 °C, pH4) | 96 |
| | 6.6 | Time course profiles (optimum parameter) for the co-fermentation of glucose and xylose from OPT by <i>E.coli</i> strain B | 98 |
| C | 6.7 | Effect of fermentation temperature (A) and pH (B) on ethanol yield by yeast | 101 |
| | 6.8 | Effect of fermentation temperature (A) and pH (B) on ethanol yield by <i>E.coli</i> | 102 |
| | | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Am:Ap | Amylose:Amylopectin ratio |
|-------|--|
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| CZ | Central zone |
| EFB | Empty fruit bunches |
| GOS | Galactoologosaccharides |
| ha | hectares |
| HMF | 5-hydroxymethly-furfural |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| h | Hour |
| IZ | Inner zone |
| LSD | Least Significant Difference |
| Min | Minute |
| Мра | Pressure unit in SI system |
| OPF | Oil palm fronds |
| OPT | Oil palm trunk |
| Pm | Maximum ethanol concentration rate |
| POME | Palm shells and palm oil mill effluent |
| PPF | Palm pressed fibres |
| PZ | Peripheral zone |
| RSM | Response surface methodology |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscope |
| SY | Starch yield |
| w/w | Weight/weight |
| w/v | Weigh/volume |

xxii

 \bigcirc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

With the inevitable depletion of the world's energy supply, there has been an increasing worldwide interest in alternative sources of energy (Chin et al., 2010; Zaldivar et al., 2001; Aristidou & Penttila, 2000; Jeffries & Jin, 2000; Wheals et al., 1999; Kerr, 1998). The United States is looking to wean itself off of fossil fuels, especially petroleum used in gasoline. This may due to the factors such as global climate change, dependence on other countries, and increased of world political instability are only the reasons why. One possible way to decrease dependence on petroleum as a transportation fuel is by using more ethanol. Currently, many countries regulated the policy to have gasoline contains approximately 10% ethanol as an additive. Special flex-fuel vehicles in Brazil can use E85 gasoline, which is 85% ethanol (Chris, 2019).

In Brazil, ethanol is made by using sugarcane as the feedstock. The main product of sugarcane is sucrose, which is a disaccharide of glucose and fructose. Most species of yeast have the enzyme sucrase and are able to cleave the glucose – fructose bond. However, these raw materials are also sources of food for humans. Utilizing plants, wood, wood waste, paper waste, and food waste as raw materials for bioethanol production is extremely effective and environmentally friendly. These kinds of biomass are the most abundant raw materials on earth and are a potential carbon-neutral source for alternative natural resources in place of petroleum.

Oil Palm is cultivated in 43 countries throughout the World and the plantation currently cover more than 27 million hectares of the Earth's surface (The Oil Palm, 2017). Oil palm trunk (OPT) is considered as one of the lignocellulosic biomass waste due to the replanting season; it is an abundantly renewable resource on the Earth and typically nonedible plant materials for the production of biofuel, chemicals and polymers (Abdullah & Sulaiman, 2013). Oil palm trunk generated from replanting activity is left over at the plantation site or burned after replanting activity. There are some usages of the trunk for veneer and plywood production. Nonetheless, the utilization of OPT in plywood production is not so economic due to great variations in physical and mechanical properties which caused many difficulties during working and application (Dungani et al., 2013). Therefore, planters still preferred the trunks to be left over in the field to decompose and provide nutrient for the next replanting tree. However, this technique sometime takes several years. Furthermore, it has been reported that trunks are easily attacked by pests and can cause diseases. While burning of OPT can lead to environmental issues such as global warming. The management of these huge amounts of oil palm solid waste has become a problem for Malaysia, and other countries that also produce palm oil such as Indonesia.

With the expected large volume of oil palm trunk available annually due to replanting, the task of finding ways to utilize this enormous amount of lignocellulosic biomass is great. Many researchers have reported on the bioconversion of oil palm trunk into sugar production especially fermentable sugars and starch (Tay et al., 2013; Chin et al., 2010). Whereby, this method will benefit the environment by reducing the agricultural wastes, meanwhile increases the economic return for the country (Chin et al., 2010).

These kinds of biomass are mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, with cellulose being used as the main raw material for bioethanol production. Cellulose is a linear homopolymer of glucose which is rigid and requires special treatment for breakdown. Hemicellulose is a polymer of five different sugars. It consists of two, five-carbon sugars (d-xylose and I-arabinose) and three, six carbon sugars (d-galactose, d-glucose, and d-mannase) (Naraian, & Gautam, 2018) which they are the second most abundant type of polysaccharide in nature (Saha, 2003). Xylose, can be converted in to bioethanol as well (Rodrussamee et al., 2018; Toivari et al., 2001). Oil palm trunk have advantages compare to other plant based materials, oil palm trunk which the highest content of all parts of tree. These fermentable sugars can be converted into bioethanol (Wangpor et al., 2017; Okamoto et al., 2014; Prawitwong et al., 2012).

Considerable interest in cellulosic ethanol exists due to its important economical potential. The polymers present in a collective matrix which is lignocelluloses; mainly comprised of cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin (Harmsen et al., 2010). In addition, fermentable sugars refer to glucose and xyloses are mainly used for production of bioethanol for energy consumption (Liguori et al., 2016). The fermentable sugars can be converted from OPT through acid hydrolysis process which is a potential source of fermentable sugar. After the sugars extractions process, the resulting sugars (glucose and xylose) can be converted to bioethanol through co-fermentation by engineered strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST)* (Casey et al., 2010) and *E.coli* strain B (Munjal et al., 2012) which play a role as biocatalysts.

1.2 Statement of Problem

As mentioned early, the oil palm exhibits substantial amount of starch and fermentable sugars, however, there is lack of effective technology in extracting total available starch and fermentable sugars from OPT and convert into bioethanol; especially the starch content in trunk. The uneven distribution of vascular bundle and parenchyma tissues along the trunk and the starch

content is highly depends on the rate of photosynthesis process of a plant (Omar et al., 2011).

Oil palm belongs to monocotyledon and its trunks consist of vascular bundles and parenchymas. Oil palm trunks have such special characteristics as high moisture content (1.5 to 2.5 times the weight of the dry matter), low cellulose and lignin content and high content of water solubles and NaOH solubles (Tomimura, 1992). In order to maximize the production of fermentable sugar, pre-treatment of OPT is needed under controlled condition. Pre-treatment is needed to improve the digestibility of lignocellulose materials. It is hard to define which pre-treatment method is the best as it depends on several factors such as type of the lignocellulosic, the reaction factors on lignocelluloses, and the desired of products. Each of the pre-treatment method has its own effects on hemicelluloses, cellulose and lignin fractions. As for industrial practice, most commonly use pre-treatment method is acid-based and this pre-treatment normally used for the production of ethanol from biomass.

Moreover, it is very little known on how the starch extraction parameters affect the OPT hydrolysates and co-fermentation process of glucose-xylose sugars to form bioethanol at the final stage. Pre-treatment and hydrolysis are the crucial steps to determine total extractable fermentable sugars yield from OPT. In addition, starch is unable to be converted into ethanol directly. It needs to be hydrolyzed into fermentable monomer sugar first before the process of cofermentation. The additional of starch extracted through the pre-treatment process is aims to increase the fermentable sugars yield from oil palm trunk through acid hydrolysis so that optimized the bioethanol yield from OPT.

During the fermentation process, several phases of cell growth can be observed including lag phase, acceleration phase, exponential phase, stationary and death phase (Norhazimah & Faizal, 2014b). A series of experimental rate, including specific cell mass growth rate, specific sugar consumption rate, specific ethanol production rate, to monitor the sugar consumption and ethanol production. As different strains ferment sugars at different rates depending on the process conditions especially temperature and pH, there is a need to use different temperatures and pH when making a selection (Norhazimah & Faizal, 2014a).

1.3 Justification

As mentioned early, the oil palm trunk contains substantial amount of starch due to its natural characteristics. Extraction of starch, glucose, xylose for the conversion into bioethanol involved several steps. There are many factors that will affect the total starch, xylose and glucose yield, and bioethanol from OPT and the extraction methods. The pre-treatment employed in this study was functioned as starch extraction as well as preparation of oil palm trunk prior to the hydrolysis process. Chemical steeping method is a method for the production of starch by soaking the materials in dilute sulphur dioxide or bisulfate solution at certain time until saturated with soluble ingredient. The sulphur dioxide will disrupt the protein matrix that surrounds starch granules by breaking inter and intra molecular disulfide bonds, thus making the physical separation of starch easier (Pérez et al., 2001). Nonetheless, the yield of starch depends on the condition during chemical steeping process and this includes incubation time. Whereas, substantial time was required for the chemical reaction to take place so that starch can be released from the matrix during the chemical steeping. Industrial steeping times may range from 36-60 hrs (Watson et al., 1995). In most laboratory milling procedures, a steeping time of 48-50 hrs is frequently used (Eckhoff & Tso, 1991). Therefore, the effect of pre-treatment using chemical steeping process on the starch yield from OPT was evaluated.

Since the chemical steeping using lactic acid and sodium metabisulfite as medium for extraction of starch, there is possible of mild hydrolysis occur on the hemicellulose and cellulose of the OPT. This may remove some of lignin and make the hemicelluloses to be more easily hydrolyzed into xylose and glucose. During the past few years, pre-treatment uses various techniques, including physical treatment, chemical treatment, physicochemical treatment and biological treatment, to alter the structure of lignocellulosic more accessible to enhance the yield of xylose and glucose (Kumar & Sharma, 2017). Therefore, the interaction of present pretreatment on hydrolysis efficiency was evaluated.

In order to produce glucose and xylose, dilute acid hydrolysis should be carried out in fractionation of lignocellulose. On other hand, the acid hydrolysis depends mainly on operational conditions, which is also known as hydrolysis parameters and the properties of wood. The properties are proportion of easily hydrolysable hemicelluloses and cellulose, amount and rate of hydrolysis of the difficult-to-hydrolyze materials, the length of the macromolecules, degree of polymerization of cellulose, configuration of cellulose chain and association of cellulose with other protective polymeric structures within the plant cell wall such as lignin, pectin, hemicelluloses, proteins, minerals elements, etc. (Taherzadeh & Karimi, 2008). According to previous study, acid concentration is an important parameter for release of sugars (Neureiter et al., 2002). In acid hydrolysis of lignocelluloses material, it is believed that the mechanisms are leading to a scission of glycosidic bonds, which initially catalyzed by the action of proton (H⁺) existing in the aqueous medium (Fengel & Wegener, 1984). Therefore, the higher the concentration of H+, the more glycosidic bonds will be broken and the more xylose will be produced from amorphous structure of hemicelluloses and glucose from cellulose. However, the extremely high concentration of acid may lead to the decomposition of xylose to its byproducts such as furfural, acetic acid and so on. Hence, the suitable range of acid concentration was determined in this study in order to reduce the formation of decomposed products.

In recent, introduction of fermentation process on OPT has been started involved in research to optimise their utilisation. Derived fermentable sugars from OPT mainly glucose and xylose are the main sugars to converted into bioethanol. Fermentation is a critical steps in producing bioethanol as it dependent mainly on the processing condition; the fermentation parameters. The optimal fermentation parameters; temperature and pH contribute to high fermentation efficiency (Lin et al., 2012). Temperature plays a major role in the fermentation process. It is one of the important parameters that can affect the ethanol yield because it has an impact on the Saccharomyces sp. and E.coli growth rate and activity used in fermentation (Azhar et al., 2017). Yeasts and bacteria growth rate and metabolism increase as the temperature increases until it reaches the optimum value. Increase in ethanol concentration during fermentation can cause inhibition to microorganism growth and viability (Alexandre & Charpentier, 1998; Attfield, 1997). Continuous hydrolysis combined with co-fermentation of glucose-xylose at the same time to convert bioethanol using yeast and bacterium respectively under controlled reaction parameters. In this study, co-fermentation of glucose-xylose mixtures from OPT was studied using Saccharomyces cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST) and E.coli. B strain.

In this study, the starch in OPT was extracted using steeping method; whereas, xylose and glucose from OPT were extracted using dilute acid hydrolysis. In between, extracted starch is added into hydrolysate together with OPT powders for sugar production by dilute acid hydrolysis. The starch extraction process is treated as pre-treatment of lignocelluloses in this study. Next, the optimized glucose-xylose mixture sugar hydrolysate was continued for bioethanol co-fermentation process by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* 424A(LNH-ST) and *E.coli. B strain.*

1.4 Objectives of Study

The general objective of this study is to produce bioethanol from the fermentable sugars extracted from oil palm trunk.

The specific objectives of this study are:

- 1. To analyze the chemical compositions of OPT.
- 2. To analyze the effect of chemical steeping on the starch content extracted from OPT.
- 3. To evaluate the effect acid hydrolysis on the yield of glucose and xylose extracted from OPT.
- 4. To analyze the effect of co-fermentation parameters on ethanol yield from mixed fermentable sugars substrate using engineered yeast strain *Saccharomyces sp.*, and *Escherichia coli B strain.*

REFERENCES

- Abdul, A. H. (2007). *Reactive Extraction of Sugars From Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Hydrolysate Using Naphthalene-2-Boronic Acid* (Doctoral dissertation), Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Abdullah, N., & Sulaiman, F. (2013). The oil palm wastes in Malaysia, *Biomass Now-Sustainable Growth and Use. InTechOpen*, 75-100. DOI: 10.5772/55302
- Aeling, K. A., Salmon, K. A., Laplaza, J. M., Li, L., Headman, J. R., Hutagalung, A. H., & Picataggio, S. (2012). Co-fermentation of xylose and cellobiose by an engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 39(11), 1597-1604.
- Alexandre, H., & Charpentier, C. (1998). Biochemical aspects of stuck and sluggish fermentation in grape must. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology* and Biotechnology, 20(1), 20-27.
- Alvira, P., Tomás-Pejó, E., Ballesteros, M. J., & Negro, M. J. (2010). Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(13), 4851-4861.
- Aristidou, A., & Penttilä, M. (2000). Metabolic engineering applications to renewable resource utilization. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, *11*(2), 187-198.
- Arroyo-López, F. N., Orlić, S., Querol, A., & Barrio, E. (2009). Effects of temperature, pH and sugar concentration on the growth parameters of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *S. kudriavzevii* and their interspecific hybrid. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 131(2-3), 120-127.
- Attfield, P. V. (1997). Stress tolerance: The key to effective strains of industrial baker's yeast. *Nature Biotechnology*, *15*(13), 1351.
- Atul, S., & Sanjay, K. K. (2015). *Energy sustainability through green energy*. New Delhi: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-81-322-2337-5
- Azhar, S. H. M., Abdulla, R., Jambo, S. A., Marbawi, H., Gansau, J. A., Faik, A. A. M., & Rodrigues, K. F. (2017). Yeasts in sustainable bioethanol production: A review. *Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports*, 10, 52-61.
- Badal, C. S. (2004). Lignocellulosic biodegration. In Badal C. Saha and Kyoshi Hayashi (Eds.), Lignocellulosic biodegration and Application in Biotechnology (pp. 2-34). Washington: American Chemical Society Publishers. DOI: 10.1021/bk-2004-0889.ch001.
- Bakar, E. S., Mohd Hamami, S., & H'ng, P. S. (2008). Anatomical characteristics and utilization of oil palm wood. In T. Mohd Nobuchi and

D. Hamami (*Eds.*) The Formation of Wood in Tropical Forest trees: *A Challenge from the Perspective of Functional Wood Anatomy* (Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia), 161-180.

- Bakar, B. F. A., Tahir, P. M., Karimi, A., Bakar, E. S., Uyup, M. K. A., & Yong Choo, A. C. (2013). Evaluations of some physical properties for oil palm as alternative biomass resources. *Wood Material Science and Engineering*, 8(2), 119-128.
- Ben-Iwo, J., Manovic, V., & Longhurst, P. (2016). Biomass resources and biofuels potential for the production of transportation fuels in Nigeria. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 63, 172-192.
- Berg, J. M., & Tymoczko, J. L. (2002). Stryer: Biochemistry. WH Freeman and Company, 5, 306-307.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escaleira, L. A. (2008). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, *76*(5), 965-977.
- Broschat, T. K. (2016). *Palm Morphology and Anatomy.* The environmental Horticulture Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Available at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/ep/ep47300.pdf
- Buzas, Z. S., Dallmann, K., & Szajani, B. (1989). Influence of pH on the growth and ethanol production of free and immobilized *Saccaromyces cerevisiae* cells. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *34*(6), 882-884.
- Casey, E., Sedlak, M., Ho, N. W., & Mosier, N. S. (2010). Effect of acetic acid and pH on the cofermentation of glucose and xylose to ethanol by a genetically engineered strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS yeast research*, *10*(4), 385-393.
- Chemistry LibreText (2015). *Starch and Cellulose.* Retrieved from https://chem.libretexts.org/Textbook_Maps/Organic_Chemistry/Map%3A _Organic_Chemistry_(Smith)/Chapter_05%3A_Stereochemistry/5.01_St arch_and_CelluloseChemistry LibreText. (2016). *Polysaccharides.*
- Chiang, C. J., Lee, H. M., Guo, H. J., Wang, Z. W., Lin, L. J., & Chao, Y. P. (2013). Systematic approach to engineer *Escherichia coli* pathways for co-utilization of a glucose–xylose mixture. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *61*(31), 7583-7590.
- Chin, K. L., H'ng, P. S., Wong, L. J., Tey, B. T., & Paridah, M. T. (2010). Optimization study of ethanolic fermentation from oil palm trunk, rubberwood and mixed hardwood hydrolysates using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(9), 3287-3291.
- Chin, K. L. (2009). *Development of bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass* (Master's thesis). University of Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

- Chin, K. L. (2014). Evaluation of oil palm biomass and fast growing timber species as potential solid biofuel (PhD thesis). University of Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Chris, A. (2019). *Pros and Cons of Ethanol in Gas.* Retrieved from https://axleaddict.com/misc/Pros-and-Cons-of-Ethanol-in-Gas
- Cox, M. J., MacMasters, M. M., & Hilbert, G. E. (1944). Effect of the sulfurous acid steep in corn wet milling. *Cereal Chemistry*, *21*(6), 447-465.
- Crawford, R. L. (1981). *Lignin biodegradation and transformation*. United States: John Wiley and Sons.
- Daniel, R. M., & Danson, M. J. (2010). A new understanding of how temperature affects the catalytic activity of enzymes. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 35(10), 584-591.
- Dash, P. K., Mohaptra, S., Swain, M. R., & Thatoi, H. (2017). Optimization of bioethanol production from saccharified sweet potato root flour by cofermentation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and Pichia sp. using OVAT and response surface methodologies. *Acta Biologica Szegediensis*, *61*(1), 13-23.
- Deguchi, S., Tsujii, K., & Horikoshi, K. (2006). Cooking cellulose in hot and compressed water. *Chemical Communications*, (31), 3293-3295.
- Dickinson, J. R. (1999). Carbon metabolism. *The metabolism and molecular physiology of Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, 23-55.
- Demirbas, A. (2009). *Biohydrogen: For future engine fuel demands*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dominguez, J. M., Cao, N., Gong, C. S., & Tsao, G. T. (1997). Dilute acid hemicellulose hydrolysates from corn cobs for xylitol production by yeast. *Bioresource Technology*, 61(1), 85-90.
- Du, L., Li, B., Lopes-Filho, J. F., Daniels, C. R., & Eckhoff, S. R. (1996). Effect of selected organic and inorganic acids on corn wet-milling yields. *Cereal Chemistry*, 73(1), 96-98.
- Dungani, R., Jawaid, M., Khalil, H. A., Jasni, J., Aprilia, S., Hakeem, K. R., & Islam, M. N. (2013). A review on quality enhancement of oil palm trunk waste by resin impregnation: Future materials. *BioResources*, *8*(2), 3136-3156.
- Eckhoff, S. R., & Tso, C. C. (1991). Wet milling of corn using gaseous SO₂ addition before steeping and the effect of lactic acid on steeping. USA: *Cereal chemistry.*
- Eiteman, M. A., Lee, S. A., & Altman, E. (2008). A co-fermentation strategy to consume sugar mixtures effectively. *Journal of Biological Engineering*, *2*(1), 3.

- Faith, W. L. (1945). Development of the Scholler process in the United States. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, *37*(1), 9-11.
- Fan, L. T., Chen, H. C., Shellenb, J., & Chung, D. S. (1965). Comparison of rates of absorption of water by corn kernels with and without dissolved sulfur dioxide. *Cereal Chemistry*, 42(4), 385.
- Fan, L. T., L. Yong-Hyun & H. D. Beardmore. (2006). Major chemical and physical features of cellulosic materials as substrates for enzymatic hydrolysis. *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, 14(1980), 101-117.
- Faraco, V., & Hadar, Y. (2011). The potential of lignocellulosic ethanol production in the Mediterranean Basin. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(1), 252-266.
- Fengel, D., & Wegener, G. (1984). *Wood: Chemistry, ultrastucture, reactions.* New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Flach, M. (1997). Sago palm. Metroxylon sagu Rottb (No. 13). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute.
- Feng, L., Paridah, M., & Hoong, Y. (2011). Density distribution of oil palm stem veneer and its influence on plywood mechanical properties. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, *11*(5), 824-831.
- Fotadar, U., Zaveloff, P., & Terracio, L. (2005). Growth of Escherichia coli at elevated temperatures. *Journal of Basic Microbiology: An International Journal on Biochemistry, Physiology, Genetics, Morphology, and Ecology of Microorganisms, 45*(5), 403-404.
- Franklin, W. M. (1999). Multipurpose palm you can grow: Twenty of the world's best. *The Overstory Agroforestry Journal: Multipurpose palms*, *6.*
- Galbe, M., & Zacchi, G. (2002). A review of the production of ethanol from softwood. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *59*(6), 618-628.
- Girisuta, B. (2007). *Levulinic acid from lignocellulosic biomass* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Groningen, the Netherlands) Retrieved from https://www.rug.nl/research/portal/files/10230660/Chapter6.pdf
- Gough, B. M., & Pybus, J. N. (1971). Effect of the gelatinization temperature of wheat starch granules of prolonged treatment with water at 52°C. *Starch/St€aarke*, *18*, 210–212.
- Gupta, K. M. (2014). Engineering Materials: Research, Applications and Advances. CRC Press, 171-183.
- Hadar, Y. (2013) Sources for Lignocellulosic Raw Materials for the Production of Ethanol. In: Faraco V. (Eds) *Lignocellulose Conversion*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

- Harmsen, P. F. H., Huijgen, W., Bermudez, L., & Bakker, R. (2010). Literature review of physical and chemical pretreatment processes for lignocellulosic biomass (No. 1184). Wageningen: Wageningen UR Food and Biobased Research.
- Harris, E. E., Beglinger, E., Hajny, G. J., & Sherrard, E. C. (1945). Hydrolysis of wood-treatment with sulfuric acid in a stationary digester. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, *37*(1), 12-23.
- Haros, M., & Suarez, C. (1999). Effect of chemical pretreatments and lactic acid on the rate of water absorption and starch yield in corn wet-milling. *Cereal Chemistry*, 76, 783-787.
- Haros, P. M., Blaszezak Oscart, E. P., Jadwiga, S., & Cristina, M. R. (2006). Effect of ground corn steeping on starch properties. *European Food and Research Technology*, 222, 194-200.
- Harper, J. L., & White, J. (1974). The Demography of Plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *5*, 419-463.
- Harris, E. E., Beglinger, E., Hajny, G. J., & Sherrard, E. C. (1945). Hydrolysis of wood-treatment with sulfuric acid in a stationary digester. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, *37*(1), 12-23.
- Hasan, S. H., Srivastava, P., & Talat, M. (2009). Biosorption of Pb (II) from water using biomass of *Aeromonas hydrophila*: Central composite design for optimization of process variables. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 168(2-3), 1155-1162.
- Hashem, A. M., & Rashad, M. M. (1993). Production of ethanol by yeasts grown on hydrolysate of Egyptian sweet potato. *Egyptian Journal of Food Science*, *21*(2), 171-180.
- Hashim, R., Wan Nadhari, W. N. A., Sulaiman, O., Kawamura, F., Hiziroglu, S., Sato, M., Tay, G. S., Tanaka, R. (2011). Characterization of raw materials and manufactured binderless particleboard from oil palm biomass. *Materials and Design*, *1*, 246-254.
- Hoseinpour, H., Karimi, K., Zilouei, H., Taherzadeh, J. (2010). Lignocellulose and starch. *Bioresource*. *5*(4), 2457-2469.

Henson, I. E., Chang, K. C., Siti, N. A., Chai, S. H., Hasnuddin, M. Y., & Zakaria, A. (1999). The oil palm trunk as a carbohydrate reserve. *Journal of Oil Palm Research, 11*(2), 98-113.

- Hilis, W. E. (1971). Distribution, properties and formation of some wood extractives. *Wood Sciences and Technology*, 5, 272-289.
- Humphreys, F. R., & Kelly, J. (1961). A method for the determination of starch in wood. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 24, 66 – 70.

- Iranmahboob, J., Nadim, F., & Monemi, S. (2002). Optimizing acid-hydrolysis: A critical step for production of ethanol from mixed wood chips. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 22(5), 401-404.
- Iroba, K. (2014). Effect of pretreatment on the breakdown of lignocellulosic matrix in barley straw as feedstock for biofuel production (Doctoral dissertation). University of Saskatchewan, Canada.
- Jalanni, N. A. (2010). *Study on bioethanol production from oil palm trunk sap* (Doctoral dissertation, UMP, Malaysia). Retrieved from http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/5284/1/CD5515.pdf
- Jeffries, T. W., & Jin, Y. S. (2000). Ethanol and thermotolerance in the bioconversion of xylose by yeasts. *Advances in applied microbiology*, *47*, 221-268.
- Ji, Y., Seetharaman, K., & White, P. J. (2004). Optimizing a small-scale cornstarch extraction method for use in the laboratory. *Cereal chemistry*, *81*(1), 55-58.
- Jin, M., Sarks, C., Gunawan, C., Bice, B. D., Simonett, S. P., Narasimhan, R. A., ... & Sato, T. K. (2013). Phenotypic selection of a wild Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain for simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation of AFEX[™] pretreated corn stover. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 108.
- Karim, A. A., Tie, A., Manan, D. M. A., & Zaidul, I. S. M. (2008). Starch from the sago (Metroxylon sagu) palm tree—properties, prospects, and challenges as a new industrial source for food and other uses. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 7(3), 215-228.
- Karimi, Kheradmandinia, & Taherzadeh. (2006). Conversion of the rise straw to sugars by dilute acid hydrolysis. *Biomass Bioenergy*, *30*(3), 247-253.
- Kelsall, D. R., & Lyons, T. P. (1999). Management of fermentations in the production of alcohol: Moving toward 23% ethanol. *The alcohol textbook: A reference for the beverage, fuel and industrial alcohol industries* (3rd ed.). Nottingham: Nottingham University Press, 26-38.
- Kerr, R. A. (1998). The next oil crisis looms large--and perhaps close. *Science*, *281*(5380), 1128-1131.
- Khozirah, S., Choo, K. C., & Abdul Razak M. A. (1991). Oil palm stem utilization. Review of research. FRIM. Malaysia. *Journal of Wood Science and Technology*, 10, 215-229.
- Khuri, A. I., & Mukhopadhyay, S. (2010). Response surface methodology. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 2(2), 128-149.

- Kim, J. H., Block, D. E., & Mills, D. A. (2010). Simultaneous consumption of pentose and hexose sugars: An optimal microbial phenotype for efficient fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 88(5), 1077-1085.
- Klemm, D., Heublein, B., Fink, H. P., & Bohn, A. (2005). Cellulose: Fascinating biopolymer and sustainable raw material. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 44(22), 3358-3393.
- Koçar, G., & Civaş, N. (2013). An overview of biofuels from energy crops: Current status and future prospects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 28, 900-916.
- Kocher, G. S., & Uppal, S. (2013). Fermentation variables for the fermentation of glucose and xylose using Saccharomyces cerevisiae Y-2034 and Pachysolan tannophilus Y-2460. *Indian Journal of Biotechnology, 12*, 531-536.
- Kuhad, R. C., & Singh, A. (2007). *Lignocellulose biotechnology: Future prospects*. IK International Pvt Ltd.
- Kumar, P., Barrett, D. M., Delwiche, M. J., & Stroeve, P. (2009). Methods for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for efficient hydrolysis and biofuel production. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 48(8), 3713-3729.
- Kumar, A. K., & Sharma, S. (2017). Recent updates on different methods of pretreatment of lignocellulosic feedstocks: A review. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 4(1), 7.
- Lai, O. M., Tan, C. P., & Akoh, C. C. (2012). *Palm oil: Production, processing, characterization, and uses.* Urbana: AOCS Press.
- Law, K. N., Daud, W. R. W., & Ghazali, A. (2007). Morphological and chemical nature of fiber strands of oil palm empty-fruit-bunch (OPEFB). *BioResources*, 2(3), 351-362.
- Laurie, B. (2012). *Put a Zebra in Your Tank: A Chemical Crapshoot?* Retrieved November 17, 2012, from Greenprophet.com.
- Lavarack, B. P., Griffin, G. J., & Rodman, D. (2002). The acid hydrolysis of sugarcane bagasse hemicellulose to produce xylose, arabinose, glucose and other products. *Biomass Bioenergy*, *23*(5), 367-380.
- Lenihan, P., Orozco, A., O'neill, E., Ahmad, M. N. M., Rooney, D. W., & Walker, G. M. (2010). Dilute acid hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 156(2), 395-403.
- Liese, W. (1980). Anatomy of bamboo. In *Bamboo research in Asia:* proceedings of a workshop held in Singapore, 28-30 May 1980. IDRC, Ottawa, ON, CA.

- Liguori, R., Ventorino, V., Pepe, O., & Faraco, V. (2016). Bioreactors for lignocellulose conversion into fermentable sugars for production of high added value products. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(2), 597-611.
- Lim, K. O., Faridah , H. A., & Malar, V. S. (1997). A note on the conversion of oil-palm trunks to glucose via acid hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 59(1), 33-35.
- Lim, S. C., & Khoo, K. C. (1986). Characteristics of oil palm trunk and its potential utilization. *The Malaysian Forester*, *49*(1), 3-22.
- Lim, S. C., & Gan, K. S. (2005). Characteristics and utilization of oil palm stem. *Timber Technology Bulletin*, *35*, 81-96.
- Lindsay, S. E., Bothast, R. J., & Ingram, L. O. (1995). Improved strains of recombinant Escherichia coli for ethanol production from sugar mixtures. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 43(1), 70-75.
- Lin. Y., Zhang. W., Li. C., Sakakibara. K., Tanaka. S., & Kong. H. (2012). Factors affecting ethanol fermentation using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4742. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *47*, 395-401.
- Lin, Y., & Tanaka, S. (2006). Ethanol fermentation from biomass resources: Current state and prospects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 69(6), 627-642.
- Lorenz, K., & Kulp, K. (1978). Steeping of wheat at various temperatures– Effects on physicochemical characteristics of the starch. *Starch-Stärke*, *30*(10), 333-336.
- Low, J. C. (2016). Improvement of Banana Pseudostem fermentation conditions for bioethanol production (PhD thesis). University of Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Mansor, H., Sudo, K., & Ishihara, M. (1990). Preliminary studies on the steam explosion pretreatment of the oil palm stem. *Pertanika*, *13*(2), 165-170.

MASCOMA. (nd.). Retrieved from https://www.mascoma.com/

- Michailos, S., Parker, D., & Webb, C. (2016). Simulation Studies on Ethanol Production from Sugar Cane Residues. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, *55*(18), 5173-5179.
- Mistry, A. H., Schmidt, S. J., Eckhoff, S. R., & Sutherland, J. W. (1992). Alkali extraction of starch from corn flour. *Starch-Stärke*, *44*(8), 284-288.
- Mistry, A. H., & Eckhoff, S. R. (1992). Characteristics of alkali extracted starch obtained from corn flour. *Cereal Chemistry*, 69, 296-303.

- Mohd Nor, N. (2008). The Effects of Hydrothermal Treatment on the Physico-Chemical Properties of Oil Palm Frond (OPF) Derived Hemicelluloses [QK898. H45 N822 2008 f rb] (Doctoral dissertation) Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Mohagheghi, A., Evans, K., Chou, Y. C., & Zhang, M. (2002). Cofermentation of glucose, xylose, and arabinose by genomic DNA-integrated xylose/arabinose fermenting strain of *Zymomonas mobilis* AX101. Applied biochemistry and biotechnology, 98(1-9), 885.
- Mokhtar, A., Hassan, K., Aziz, A. A., & May, C. Y. (2012). Oil Palm Biomass for Various Wood-based Products. *Palm Oil*, 625-652.
- Monteny, J., De Belie, N., & Taerwe, L. (2003). Resistance of different types of concrete mixtures to sulfuric acid. *Materials and Structures*, *36*(4), 242-249.
- Moysés, D. N., Reis, V. C. B., Almeida, J. R. M. D., Moraes, L. M. P. D., & Torres, F. A. G. (2016). Xylose Fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Challenges and prospects. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 17(3), 207.
- Mosier, N., Wyman, C., Dale, B., Elander, R., Lee, Y. Y., Holtzapple, M., & Ladisch, M. (2005). Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, *96*(6), 673-686.
- Mohsin, T. (nd.) Molasses types and its utilization. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/5991944/Molasses_Types_and_its_Utilizati on1
- Mosier, N., Wyman, C., Dale, B., Elander, R., Lee, Y. Y., Holtzapple, M., & Ladisch, M. (2005). Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, *96*(6), 673-686.
- Munjal, N., Mattam, A., Pramanik, D., Srivastava, P., & Yazdani, S. S. (2012). Modulation of endogenous pathways enhances bioethanol yield and productivity in *Escherichia coli. Microbial Cell Factories*, *11*(1), 145.
- Neureiter, M., Danner, H., Thomasser, C., Saidi, B., & Braun, R. (2002). Dilute acid hydrolysis of sugar cane bagasse at varying conditions. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, *98*, 48-58.
- Nevoigt, E. (2008). Progress in metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 72(3), 379-412.
- Nieves, I. U., Geddes, C. C., Miller, E. N., Mullinnix, M. T., Hoffman, R. W., Fu, Z., ... & Ingram, L. O. (2011). Effect of reduced sulfur compounds on the fermentation of phosphoric acid pretreated sugarcane bagasse by

ethanologenic *Escherichia coli*. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(8), 5145-5152.

- Noor, M., Azemi, M., Mohd, D., Manan, A., Islam, M., & Mehat, N. A. (1999). Physico-chemical Properties of Oil Palm Trunk Starch. *Starch-Stärke*, *51*(8-9), 293-301.
- Nordin, N. A., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., & Kassim, M. H. M. (2016). Characterization of different parts of oil palm fronds (*Elaeis guineensis*) and its properties. *International Journal on Advanced Science*, *Engineering and Information Technology*, 6(1), 74-76.
- Norhazimah, A. H., & Faizal, C. K. M. (2014a). Bioconversion of oil palm trunks sap to bioethanol by different strains and co-cultures at different temperatures. *Journal of Medical and Bioengineering, 3*(4), 297-300.
- Norhazimah, A. H., & Faizal, C. K. M. (2014b). Kinetic parameters for bioethanol production from oil palm trunk juice. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Chemical, Molecular, Nuclear, Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, 8(4), 357-359.
- Noor, M., Azemi, M., Mohd, D., Manan, A., Islam, M., & Mehat, N. A. (1999). Physico-chemical properties of oil palm trunk starch. *Starch-Stärke*, *51*(8-9), 293-301.
- Noor, R., Islam, Z., Munshi, S. K., & Rahman, F. (2013). Influence of temperature on *Escherichia coli* growth in different culture media. *Journal of Pure Applied Microbiology*, 7(2), 899-904.
- Nam, S. W. (nd). Experiment No. 9C. Measurements of cell biomass concentration concentration. Retrieved from https://user.eng.umd.edu/~nsw/ench485/lab9c.htm
- Oehlert, G. W. (2000). Design and analysis of experiments: Response surface design. W. H. Freeman and Company. New York.
- Naraian, R., & Gautam, R. L. (2018). Penicillium enzymes for the saccharification of lignocellulosic feedstocks. *New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering* (pp. 121-136).
- Okamoto, K., Uchii, A., Kanawaku, R., & Yanase, H. (2014). Bioconversion of xylose, hexoses and biomass to ethanol by a new isolate of the white rot basidiomycete *Trametes versicolor*. *Springerplus*, *3*(1), 121.
- Olsson, L., & Ahring, B. K. (2007). *Biofuels: Advances in biochemical engineering/biotechnology.* New York: New York.

- Omar, N. S., Bakar, E. S., Jalil, N. M., Tahir, P. M., & Yunus, W. M. Z. W. (2011). Distribution of oil palm starch for different levels and portions of oil palm trunk. *Wood Research Journal*, 2(2), 73-77.
- Parajo, J. C., Dominguez, H., & Dominguez, J. M. (1995). Production of xylitol from raw wood hydrolysate by *Debaryomyces hansenii* NRRL Y-7426. *Bio-process Engineering*, 13,125-131.
- Pérez, O. E., Haros, M., & Suarez, C. (2001). Corn steeping: Influence of time and lactic acid on isolation and thermal properties of starch. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 48(3), 251-256.
- Pessoa, A., Mancilha, I. M., & Sato, S. (1996). Cultivation of *Candida tropicalis* in sugar cane hemicellulosic hydrolyzate for microbial protein production. *Journal of Biotechnology*, *51*(1), 83-88.
- Pothiraj, C., Kanmani, P., & Balaji, P. (2006). Bioconversion of lignocellulose materials. *Mycobiology*, *34*(4), 159-165.
- Pranger, L., & Tannenbaum, R. (2008). Biobased nanocomposites prepared by in situpolymerization of furfuryl alcohol with cellulose whiskers or montmorillonite clay. *Macromolecules*, *41*(22), 8682.
- Prawitwong, P., Kosugi, A., Arai, T., Deng, L., Lee, K. C., Ibrahim, D., ... & Ibrahim, W. A. B. (2012). Efficient ethanol production from separated parenchyma and vascular bundle of oil palm trunk. *Bioresource Technology*, 125, 37-42.
- Qureshi, N., & Manderson, G. (1995). Bioconversion of renewable resources into ethanol: An economic evaluation of selected hydrolysis, fermentation, and membrane technologies. *Energy Sources*, 17, 241-265.
- Rahayu, I. S. (2001). Basic Properties of Vascular Bundles and Parenchyma Tissues of Oil Palm Trunk (pp. 111-115) (Master's Thesis), Bogor Agriculture University, Indonesia.
- Rahman, S. H. A., Choudhury, J. P., & Ahmad, A. L. (2006). Production of xylose from oil palm empty fruit fiber using sulfuric acid. *Journal of Biochemical Eng*ineering, *30*, 97-103.
- RamLe, S. F. M., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., Arai, T., Kosugi, A., Murata, Y., & Mori, Y. (2012). Characterization of parenchyma and vascular bundle of oil palm trunk as function of storage time. *Lignocellulose*, *1*(1), 33-44.
- Ratnam, B. V. V., Narasimha, R. M., Damodara, R.M., Subba, R. S., Ayyanna, C. (2003). Optimization of fermentation conditions for the production of ethanol from sago starch using response surface methodology. *World Journal Microbiology Biotechnology*, *19*, 523–6.

- Ratnam, B. V. V., Somalanka, S. R., Mendu, D. R., Madicherla, N. R., Chityala, A. (2006). Optimization of fermentation conditions for the production of ethanol from sago starch by co-immobilized amyloglucosidase and cells of Zymomonas mobilisusing response surface methodology. *Enzyme Microbial Technology*, *38*, 209–14.
- Ray, K. H. (2011). Cars could run on recycled newspaper, Tulane scientists say. *Tulane University news webpage Tulane University*. Retrieved March 4, 2018
- Rivas, B., Dominguez, J. M., Dominguez, H., & Parajó, J. C. (2002). Bioconversion of posthydrolysed autohydrolysis liquors: An alternative for xylitol production from corn cobs. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *31*(4), 431-438.
- Roberto, I. C., Mussatto, S. I., & Rodrigues, R. C. (2003). Dilute-acid hydrolysis for optimization of xylose recovery from rice straw in a semi-pilot reactor. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *17*(3), 171-176.
- Rodrussamee, N., Sattayawat, P., & Yamada, M. (2018). Highly efficient conversion of xylose to ethanol without glucose repression by newly isolated thermotolerant *Spathaspora passalidarum* CMUWF1–2. *BMC Microbiology*, *18*(1), 73.
- Rosli, F., Ghazali, C. M. R., Abdullah, M. M. A. B., & Hussin, K. (2016). A review: Characteristics of oil palm trunk (OPT) and quality improvement of palm trunk plywood by resin impregnation. *BioResources*, *11*(2), 5565-5580.
- Saha, B. C. (2003) Hemicellulose bioconversion. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 30(5), 279–2791.
- Santhosh, L. (2018, March 14). The Effects of Temperature on Enzyme Activity and Biology. *Sciencing*. Retrieved from https://sciencing.com/effectstemperature-enzyme-activity-biology-6049.htmL
- Sedlak, M., & Ho, N. W. (2004). Characterization of the effectiveness of hexose transporters for transporting xylose during glucose and xylose co-fermentation by a recombinant Saccharomyces yeast. *Yeast*, *21*(8), 671-684.
- Shandera, D. L., & Jackson, D. S. (1996). Effect of corn wet-milling conditions (sulfur dioxide, lactic acid, and steeping temperature) on starch functionality. *Cereal Chemistry*, 73, 632-637.
- Sherrard, E., & Kressman, F. (1945). Review of processes in the United States prior to World War II (2nd ed.). *Engineering Chemistry*, *37*(1), 5-8.
- Shirley, M. B. (2002). *Cellular Structure of Stem and Fronds of 14 and 25 Years Old Elaeis Guineensis jacq* (Master Thesis) Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

- Sime Darby. (2018). Invest Malaysia Kuala Lumpur 2018 (23 24 January 2018). Retrieved from http://www.simedarbyplantation.com/sites/default/files/investorrelations/calendar/IMKL2018-Full-Deck.PDF
- Singleton, P. (1999). Bacteria in Biology, Biotechnology and Medicine (5th eds.). Wiley. 444–454.
- So' & Max. (2017). *What is: Fermentation vs Distillation.* Retrieved from https://www.foodvinebrations.com/single-post/2017/07/10/What-is-Fermentation-VS-Distillation
- Spigno, G., & De Faveri, D. M. (2004). Gelatinization kinetics of rice starch studied by non-isothermal calorimetric technique: Influence of extraction method, water concentration and heating rate. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 62(4), 337-344.
- Statiska. (2018). Sugar production worldwide from 2009/2010 to 2017/18. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/249679/totalproduction-of-sugar-worldwide/
- Stenius, P. (2000). Forest Products Chemistry. Papermaking Science and Technology. 3(1), 35. Finland: Fapet OY.
- Stenius, P. (2000). Forest Products Chemistry. Papermaking Science and Technology. 3(1), 35. Finland: Fapet OY.
- Sulaiman, O., Salim, N., Nordin, N. A., Hashim, R., Ibrahim, M., & Sato, M. (2012). The potential of oil palm trunk biomass as an alternative source for compressed wood. *BioResources*, 7(2), 2688-2706.
- Sun, R., Fang, J. M., Mott, L., & Bolton, J. (1999). Extraction and characterization of hemicelluloses and cellulose from oil palm trunk and empty fruit bunch fibres. *Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology*, 19(1-2), 167-185.
- Taherzadeh, M. J., & Karimi, K. (2007). Enzyme-based hydrolysis processes for ethanol from lignocellulosic materials: A review. *BioResources*, *2*(3), 707-738.
- Taherzadeh, M. J., & Karimi, K. (2008). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes to improve ethanol and biogas production: A review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *9*(9), 1621-1651.
- TAPPI Standard and Method. (2000). *Teachnical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry*; TAPPI Testing Procedure. Atlanda, USA.
- Tay, P. W., H'ng, P. S., Chin, K. L., Wong, L. J., Luqman, A. C. (2013). Effects of steeping variables and substrate mesh size on starch yield extracted from oil palm trunk. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *44*, 240–245.

- Tellez-Luis, S. J, Ramirez, J. A., & Vazquez, M. (2002). Mathematical modeling of hemicelluloses sugar production from sorghum straw. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 52, 285-291
- The Oil Palm. (2017). Retrieved December 1, 2017 from http://theoilpalm.org/about/
- Toivari, M. H., Aristidou, A., Ruohonen, L., & Penttilä, M. (2001). Conversion of xylose to ethanol by recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Importance of xylulokinase (XKS1) and oxygen availability. *Metabolic engineering*, *3*(3), 236-249.
- Tomimura, Y. (1992). Chemical characteristics and utilization of oil palm trunks. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 25, 283-288.
- Tor, E. S. (2007). *Starch Content from Oil Palm Trunk* (Degree thesis). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Ullmann, F., Gerhartz, W., Yamamoto, Y. S., Campbell, F. T., Pfefferkorn, R., & Rounsaville, J. F. (1985). *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*. Weinheim: VCH publishers.
- United Nations Environmental Program. (2012). Converting Waste Oil Palm Trees into a Resource. Retrieved from https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7744/IETC_Con verting_Waste_Oil_Palm_into_a_Resource.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed= y
- Usman, M., Ishfaq, M. T., Malik, S. R., Ishfaq, B., & Iqbal, M. (2014). Effects of temperature, pH and steeping time on the extraction of starch from Pakistani Rice. *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, *5*, 887-892.
- Wangpor, J., Prayoonyong, P., Sakdaronnarong, C., Sungpet, A., & Jonglertjunya, W. (2017). Bioethanol production from cassava starch by enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation and ex-situ nanofiltration. *Energy Procedia*, *138*, 883-888.
- Watkins, D., Nuruddin, M., Hosur, M., Tcherbi-Narteh, A., & Jeelani, S. (2015). Extraction and characterization of lignin from different biomass resources. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, *4*(1), 26-32.
- Watson, S. A., Hirata, Y., & Williams, C. B. (1995). A study of the lactic acid fermentation in commercial corn steeping. *Cereal Chemistry*, *32*, 382-394.
- Wernicke, T., & Gill, R. T. (2005). Organic acid toxicity, tolerance, and production in Escherichia coli biorefining applications. *Microbial cell factories*, 4(1), 25. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-4-25
- Wheals, A. E., Basso, L. C., Alves, D. M., & Amorim, H. V. (1999). Fuel ethanol after 25 years. *Trends in Biotechnology*, *17*(12), 482-487.

- Wong, L. J. (2012). Extraction of starch, xylose and glucose by using chemical steeping and dilute acid hydrolysis from oil palm trunk (Master's thesis). University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Wuttisela, K., Shobsngob, S., Triampo, W., & Triampo, D. (2008). Amylose/amylopectin simple determination in acid hydrolyzed tapioca starch. *Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society*, *53*(3), 1565-1567.
- Wyman, C. E. (1994). Ethanol from lignocellulosic biomass: Technology, economics and opportunities. *Bioresource Technology*, *50*, 3-16.
- Wyman, C. (1996). *Handbook on Bioethanol: Production and Utilization*. Applied Energy and Technology Series. p. 424. Washington D.C.: Taylor & Francis.
- Xu, J., Thomsen, M. H., & Thomsen, A. B. (2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentability of corn stover pretreated by lactic acid and/or acetic acid. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 139(4), 300-305.
- Yahya, M., Lee, H. V., Zain, S. K., & Hamid, S. A. (2015). Chemical conversion of palm-based lignocellulosic biomass to nano-cellulose. *Polymers Research Journal*, 9(4), 385.
- Yoichi, T. (1995). Lignin from oil palm seedlings. *Bulletin of the Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Ibaraki*, 369, 165-175.
- Young, R., & Rowell, R. M. (1986). *Cellulose: structure, modification and hydrolysis.* United States: John Wiley & Sons.
- Zabed, H., Sahu, J. N., Suely, A., Boyce, A. N., & Faruq, G. (2017). Bioethanol production from renewable sources: Current perspectives and technological progress. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *71*, 475-501.
- Zaldivar, J., Nielsen, J., & Olsson, L. (2001). Fuel ethanol production from lignocellulose: A challenge for metabolic engineering and process integration. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 56(1), 17-34.
- Zhang, X. M., Meng, L. Y., Xu, F., & Sun, R. C. (2011). Pretreatment of partially delignified hybrid poplar for biofuels production: Characterization of organosolv hemicelluloses. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 33(2), 310-316.
- Zhong, F., Li, Y., Ibáñez, A. M., Oh, M. H., McKenzie, K. S., & Shoemaker, C. (2009). The effect of rice variety and starch isolation method on the pasting and rheological properties of rice starch pastes. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(2), 406-414.
- Zheng, J., & Rehmann, L. (2014). Extrusion pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: A review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 15(10), 18967-18984.

- Zou, X., Uesaka, T., & Gurnagul, N. (1996a). Prediction of paper permanence by accelerated aging. Part I: Kinetic analysis of the aging process. *Cellulose*, *3*, 243–267.
- Zou, X., Uesaka, T., & Gurnagul, N. (1996b). Prediction of paper permanence by accelerated aging. Part II: Comparison of the predictions with natural aging results, *Cellulose*, 3, 269–279.



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Wong Lih Jiun is born on 27th August, 1986 in Teluk Intan, Perak. She completed her primary (UPSR) at S.R.J.K.C San Min (1), secondary school (PMR, SPM) at S.M.J.K.C San Min and STPM at S.M.J.K. Horley Methodist from 1993-2005. She entered University Putra Malaysia in 2006 and graduated with the degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in 2009. She entered the School of Graduate Studies at University Putra Malaysia in July 2009 to pursue her Master's degree in Faculty of Forestry and graduated with the Master of Science in 2012. Her research areas are mainly in wood science and technology. She then furthers her study at the same university as a full time Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) student in faculty of forestry in year 2013. In year 2014, she has successfully passed her comprehensive examination as a PhD student. Her research areas are mainly in hydrolysis process of lignocellulosic biomass and process of co-fermentation of polysaccharides.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Wong, L. J., H'ng P. S., Abdullah, L. C., Paridah, M. T., Chin, K. L. (2022). Effect of chemical steeping on yields of glucose and xylose from dilute acid hydrolysis of extract from oil palm trunk. *BioResources*, *17*(1), 207-222.
- Wong, L. J., H'ng P. S., Wong, S. Y., Lee, S. H., Lum, W. C., Chai, E. W., Wong, W. Z., Chin, K. L. (2014). Termite digestomes as a potential sources of symbiotic microbiota for ligncelluloses degradation: A review. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 17(8), 956 – 963.
- Tay, P. W., H'ng, P. S., Chin, K. L., Wong, L. J., Luqman, A. C. (2013). Effects of steeping variables and substrate mesh size on starch yield extracted from oil palm trunk. *Industry of Crops Production*, 44, 240-245.
- H'ng, P. S., Wong, L. J., Chin, K. L., Tor, E. S., Tan, S. E., Tey, B. T., Maminski, M. (2011). Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) trunk as a resource of starch and other sugars. *Journal of Applied Science*, 11(16), 3053-3057.
- Chin, K. L., H'ng, P. S., **Wong, L. J.**, Tey, B. T., Paridah, M. T. (2011). Production of glucose from oil palm trunk and sawdust from rubberwood and mixed hardwood. *Applied Energy*, *88*(11), 4222-4228.
- Chin, K. L, H'ng, P. S., **Wong, L. J.**, Tey, B. T., Paridah, M. T. (2010). Optimization study of ethanolic fermentation from oil palm trunk, rubberwood and mixed hardwood hydrolysates using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(9), 3287-3291.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

NAME OF STUDENT :

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (V)



RESTRICTED

CONFIDENTIAL



OPEN ACCESS

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.



Embargo from____ until ____

(date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: (Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]

This study focused to optimize the extraction of starch, xylose and glucose from OPT for bioethanol production. Chemical analaysis showed that OPT contained low lignin and hemicelluloses and cellulose were found in this batch of study hence OPT contains considerable extractives content which is valuable be source of chemical value added products like fermentable sugars. Starch in the OPT powder was extracted by soaking with 0.2 % sodium metabsisulphite (w/v) and 0.5 % lactic acid (v/v), and distilled water respectively under levels of temperatures and times. Value P≤0.01 significance was observed for the main effects on the starch yield with water solution and chemicals. 19.7 % of starch yield was obtained by distilled water at 50 °C, 1 h, whereas 14.0 % of starch was obtained by chemicals at 26 ± 2 °C, 1 h). RSM optimization analysis showed the optimum starch yield can be obtained in lower temperature when OPT powder treated with chemicals solution than water. Glucose and xylose were hydrolyzed by continuous and simultaneous dilute acid hydrolysis process. Optimum parameter of starch extraction method parameter (0.2 % sodium metabsisulphite (w/v) and 0.5 % lactic acid (v/v), 26 ± 2 °C and 1 h) was chosen as a pretreatment for continuous acid hydrolysis. Value P≤0.01 significance was observed for the effects (acid concentration, temperature and time). The higher glucose yield occurred at dilute acid hydrolysis with the parameter of 6 % sulphuric acid concentration reacted for 60 min on 60 mesh of OPT powders at 100 °C with a total glucose yield of approximately 15.3 % by simultaneous hydrolysis. The optimum xylose yield occurred with the parameter of 2 % sulphuric acid concentration reacted for 30 min on 60 mesh of OPT powders at 115 °C with a total xylose yield of approximately 32.4 % by continuous acid hydrolysis. RSM analysis showed that the optimum glucose and xylose can be obtained by conducting continuous hydrolysis temperature 130 °C for 53 min with 2 % acid concentration. The total yield of glucose-xylose was improved and approximately range of 2.5 % - 28.8 % higher than total glucose-xylose yield from untreated OPT powder. Co-fermentation of glucose and xylose to bioethanol was carried out using engineered strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST) and E.coli strain B, respectively. The optimum ethanol yield of 85.41 % using yeast as fermentation microbes was obtained at temperature 30 °C, pH 4. While, the optimum ethanol yield obtained from E.coli strain B was 86.01 % at temperature 34 °C, pH 6. Value P≤0.0001 significance was observed for the main effects (pH and temperature). From the optimization analysis, 87.9 % of ethanol conversion yield can be obtained by conducting the fermentation at temperature 34 °C and pH 6 by E.coli strain B; whereas 82.1 % of ethanol conversion yield can be obtained at 30 °C and pH 4 by S.cerevisiae 424A(LNH-ST). In conclusion, OPT contains considerable amount of extractives and lower lignin which suitable for starch and sugars extraction especially glucose and xylose and potentially be one of the biomass resource energy in bioethanol production.