



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***MEDIATING ROLE OF WORKPLACE SPIRITUALITY AND
ANTECEDENTS OF WORK ENGAGEMENT AMONG CANCER
SURVIVORS IN MALAYSIA***

SITI NUR SYUHADA MUSA

FPP 2021 11



**MEDIATING ROLE OF WORKPLACE SPIRITUALITY AND ANTECEDENTS
OF WORK ENGAGEMENT AMONG CANCER SURVIVORS IN MALAYSIA**

By

SITI NUR SYUHADA MUSA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy

**MEDIATING ROLE OF WORKPLACE SPIRITUALITY AND ANTYECEDENTS
OF WORK ENGAGEMENT AMONG CANCER SURVIVORS IN MALAYSIA**

By

SITI NUR SYUHADA MUSA

January 2021

Chair : Siti Raba'ah binti Hamzah, PhD
Faculty : Educational Studies

The increased number of cancer survivors in the workforce warrants immediate intervention by organisations to boost work engagement and ensure that employees who are cancer survivors stay focused at the workplace. Unfortunately, employers often have the perception that the work performance of such employees might be adversely affected due to their medical condition and treatment. It is, therefore, important to investigate the antecedents of work engagement of cancer survivors. Hence, this study was conducted to examine three selected antecedents (*viz.* employee resilience, social support, and quality of working life) of work engagement and the mediating role of workplace spirituality among cancer survivors in Malaysia. The framework of the study was underpinned by the Social Cognitive Theory (SCT).

A quantitative research paradigm was adopted in this study, with a cross-sectional design using data collected from respondents who were cancer survivors registered at the General Hospital, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. A total of 270 participants in this study were randomly selected by inclusive criteria sampling. A validated instrument was tested in a pilot study. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and the Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Model (PLS-SEM).

The results revealed high readings in the measurements of all the variables (work engagement, workplace spirituality employee resilience, social support and quality of working life) among cancer survivors. Employee resilience, workplace social support and workplace spirituality had significant relationships with work engagement ($p < 0.05$). However, the quality of working life (QWL) revealed a non-significant association with work engagement. The results also

showed a direct relationship of work engagement with workplace spirituality. Both employee resilience and workplace social support significantly contributed to workplace spirituality of cancer survivors in Malaysia, although a non-significant relationship was found between QWL and workplace spirituality. Nevertheless, workplace spirituality mediated the relationship between employee resilience and workplace social support towards work engagement.

This study contributes to the body of knowledge in terms of developing a research framework in the context of work engagement in relation to cancer survivors in Malaysia. It also enriches the literature on workplace spirituality as a mediator, thus providing useful insight to academics and HRD practitioners. This study supports the SCT by showing that it is important to ensure high levels of work engagement among employed cancer survivors in Malaysia. With respect to practice, this study suggests that HRD practitioners should implement a diversity of pragmatic interventions to help train employees, especially cancer survivors, to tackle challenges in a manner that promotes resilience. Furthermore, organisations should provide adequate training to supervisors of cancer survivors to enable them to develop mentoring programmes to render support to cancer survivors.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Doktor Falsafah

**PERANAN PENGANTARA SPIRITUAL DI TEMPAT KERJA DAN
ANTESEDEN KEPADA KETERLIBATAN KERJA DALAM KALANGAN
PEMANDIRI KANSER DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

SITI NUR SYUHADA MUSA

Januari 2021

Pengerusi : Siti Raba'ah binti Hamzah, Ph.D
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Peningkatan bilangan pemandiri kanser dalam tenaga kerja memerlukan campur tangan segera oleh organisasi untuk meningkatkan penglibatan kerja dan memastikan bahawa pekerja yang merupakan mangsa kanser tetap fokus di tempat kerja. Malangnya, majikan sering mempunyai persepsi bahawa prestasi kerja pekerja tersebut mungkin terjejas kerana keadaan dan rawatan perubatan mereka. Oleh itu, adalah penting untuk mengkaji anteseden penglibatan kerja bagi pemandiri kanser. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk meneliti tiga anteseden terpilih iaitu (ketahanan pekerja, sokongan sosial, dan kualiti kehidupan kerja) terhadap penglibatan kerja dan peranan pengantara kerohanian di tempat kerja di kalangan mangsa kanser di Malaysia. Kerangka kajian ini disokong oleh Teori Kognitif Sosial (TKS).

Kajian ini menerima pakai paradigma penyelidikan kuantitatif, dengan reka bentuk keratan rentas menggunakan data yang dikumpulkan dari responden pemandiri kanser yang didaftarkan di Hospital Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Sebanyak 270 peserta dalam kajian ini dipilih secara rawak dengan pengambilan sampel kriteria inklusif. Instrumen yang disahkan diuji dalam kajian rintis. Data dianalisis menggunakan statistik deskriptif dan Model Persamaan Struktur-Separa Terkecil (PLS-SEM).

Hasil kajian menunjukkan semua pemboleh ubah (penglibatan kerja, ketahanan pekerja kerohanian di tempat kerja, sokongan sosial dan kualiti kehidupan kerja) di tahap yang tinggi dalam kalangan pemandiri kanser. Ketahanan pekerja, sokongan sosial di tempat kerja dan kerohanian di tempat

kerja mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan penglibatan kerja ($p < 0.05$). Walau bagaimanapun, kualiti kehidupan bekerja (QWL) menunjukkan hubungan yang tidak signifikan dengan penglibatan kerja. Hasilnya juga menunjukkan hubungan langsung penglibatan kerja dengan kerohanian di tempat kerja. Ketahanan pekerja dan sokongan sosial di tempat kerja banyak menyumbang kepada kerohanian tempat kerja pemandiri kanser di Malaysia, walaupun hubungan tidak signifikan antara QWL dan kerohanian di tempat kerja. Walaubagaimanapun, kerohanian di tempat kerja memantapkan hubungan antara ketahanan pekerja dan sokongan sosial di tempat kerja terhadap penglibatan kerja.

Kajian ini menyumbang kepada disiplin ilmu dalam membangunkan satu kerangka kerja penyelidikan dari konteks konteks penglibatan kerja pemandiri kanser di Malaysia. Kajian ini juga boleh diperluaskan bagi memperkaya literatur mengenai kerohanian di tempat kerja sebagai pengantara yang penting kepada ahli akademik dan pengamal dalam pembangunan sumber manusia (PSM). Kajian ini menyokong SCT dengan menunjukkan bahawa penting untuk memastikan tahap penglibatan kerja yang tinggi di kalangan mangsa kanser yang bekerja di Malaysia. Sehubungan dengan amalan, kajian ini mencadangkan pengamal PSM untuk melaksanakan kepelbagaian intervensi yang pragmatik supaya pekerja dapat dilatih dalam menangani cabaran dan kesukaran dengan cara yang dapat meningkatkan daya tahan pekerja. Tambahan pula, organisasi juga perlu merangka latihan yang lebih komprehensif kepada penyelia pemandiri kanser untuk membolehkan mereka mengembangkan program bimbingan untuk memberi sokongan kepada pemandiri kanser di tempat kerja.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Merciful and the Most Benevolence. All praise to Allah for His Guidance and Mercy. Peace and Blessings be upon His Prophet Muhammad and the believers who followed His path till the Day of Judgement.

My dissertation represents a body of work that could not have been accomplished without guidance, assistance and encouragement of a great number of people. I would like to express my profound gratitude to the chairman of my supervisory committee Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Raba'ah Hamzah, for her invaluable supervision, inspired guidance, valuable suggestions, insightful criticism, great patience, constant encouragement, tutelage and supported me relentlessly in obtaining this achievement and has developed me into what I am today. My deepest appreciation also goes to my co-supervisor, Prof Madya Dr Khairuddin Idris dan Prof Madya Dr Soaib Asmiran for their valuable feedbacks in preparing this thesis.

My gratitude extends to the Ministry of Education of Malaysia and UPM for awarding me a scholarship and providing me with the financial means to complete this study. I also render my warmest thanks to all lecturers and fellow colleagues in the Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education (JPPPL), Faculty of Educational Studies, for the relentless support. I convey my highest appreciation to all healthcare professional from Hospital Kuala Lumpur and cancer survivors who took part in this study. Their participation in this study was a significant assistance to me.

Last but not least, I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to my family and my beloved husband for their love, understanding, sacrifices, emotional support, and prayers for my success throughout the course of my postgraduate study. They are a source of enjoyment and happiness for me. Their assistance is precious to me and kept me grounded.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding support provided by the Universiti Putra Malaysia (9597500), Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (2018-2021) Acknowledgements are written expressions of appreciation for guidance and assistance received from individuals and institutions.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Siti Raba'ah Hamzah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Soaib Asimiran, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Khairuddin Idris, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 June 2021

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Siti
Raba'ah Hamzah

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Soaib
Asimiran

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

p.p

Associate Professor Dr.
Khairuddin Idris

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	9
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	12
	1.4 Significant of the Study	12
	1.5 Scope of the Study	13
	1.6 Limitation of the Study	13
	1.7 Assumptions of the Study	14
	1.8 Definitions of Terms	14
	1.9 Chapter Summary	15
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	16
	2.1 Philosophy of Human Resource Development	16
	2.2 Work Engagement	18
	2.3 Theorizing Work Engagement	20
	2.3.1 Social Cognitive Theory	21
	2.4 Hypothesis Development	24
	2.4.1 Employee Resilience and Work Engagement	24
	2.4.2 Workplace social support and work engagement	27
	2.4.3 Quality of working Life and work engagement	30
	2.4.4 Workplace Spirituality and Work Engagement	33
	2.5 Factors influencing Workplace Spirituality	36
	2.5.1 The influence of Resilience on Workplace Spirituality	36
	2.5.2 The influence of Workplace Social Support on Workplace Spirituality	37

	2.5.3	The influence of Quality of Working Life on Workplace Spirituality	38
	2.6	Workplace Spirituality as Mediator	39
	2.7	Theoretical Framework	41
	2.8	Research Framework	41
	2.9	Chapter Summary	43
3		METHODOLOGY	44
	3.1	Research Design	44
	3.2	Sampling Design	44
		3.2.1 Population	44
		3.2.2 Sample Size	45
		3.2.3 Sampling Technique	46
	3.3	Research Instrument	47
	3.4	Pilot Study	51
		3.4.1 Reliability and Validity of Instrument	51
	3.5	Data collection procedures	52
	3.6	Ethical considerations	54
	3.7	Data analysis	54
	3.8	Assessment of the Measurement Model	56
	3.9	Structural Model	67
	3.10	Mediator Analysis	69
	3.11	Chapter Summary	70
4		FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	71
	4.1	Respondents' Demographic Profile	71
	4.2	Research Objective 1:	73
	4.3	Assessment of Structural model	76
		4.3.1 Assessment of Collinearity	76
		4.3.2 Assessing the Significance and Relevance of the Structural Model Path Coefficients	77
		4.3.3 Coefficient of Determination (R^2 Value)	80
		4.3.4 Blindfolding and Predictive Relevance (Q^2)	80
	4.4	Research Objective 2: Direct Effect of Work Engagement	81
	4.5	Research Objective 3: Direct Effect on Workplace Spirituality	86
	4.6	Research Objective 4: Mediating effect on Work Engagement	87
	4.7	Overall Result Presentation	91
	4.8	Chapter Summary	95
5		SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	96
	5.1	Research Summary	96

5.2	Conclusion	98
5.3	Research Implications	101
5.4	Recommendations	106
REFERENCES		110
APPENDICES		152
BIODATA OF STUDENT		169
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		170



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Instrument Measurement	48
3.2	Results of Common Method Variance	55
3.3	Second-order Factors and Sub-factors	56
3.4	Loading and AVE of First Order Construct	59
3.5	Discriminant Validity of First Order Construct using Fornell and Larker's Criterion	61
3.6	Results for Reliability of the First Order Constructs	63
3.7	Results for Validity of Second Order Construct	64
3.8	Fornell and Larker Results for Second-Order Constructs	66
3.9	Results for Internal Consistency Reliability of Second Order Construct	66
3.10	Indices for Structural Model Analysis using Partial Least Square SEM (PLS-SEM)	68
3.11	Summary of Data Analyses based on Research Objectives	69
4.1	Demographic Profile of the Respondents (n=270)	71
4.2	Level of Variables (n=270)	76
4.3	Table of VIF (Collinearity)	77
4.4	Hypotheses Testing of the Structural Model	78
4.5	Coefficient of Determination (R^2 Value)	80
4.6	Q2 results	81
4.7	Results of Mediating Effect on the Relationship of Resilience with Work Engagement using Bootstrapping	87
4.8	Results of Mediating Effect on the Relationship of Workplace Social Support with Work Engagement using Bootstrapping	89
4.9	Results of Mediating Effect on the Relationship of Quality of Working Life with Work Engagement using Bootstrapping	91
4.10	Objectives, Hypotheses, and Results of Study	93

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Triadic Reciprocal Determinism	21
2.2	Theoretical Framework	42
2.3	Research Framework	43
3.1	G-power Analysis	46
3.2	Summary of Data Collection	53
3.3	The Path Model	57
3.4	The measurement model for this study	62
3.5	Path Coefficient Model for Second Order Constructs	65
4.1	The structural model for direct and indirect effect of Work Engagement	79

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	Average of Variance Extracted
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CR	Construct Reliability
HKL	Hospital Kuala Lumpur
QWL	Quality of Working Life
EMPRESS	Employee Resilience
GTP	Government Transformation Programme
OD	Organizational Development
HRD	Human Resource Development
SCT	Social Cognitive Theory
UWES	Ulrich Work Engagement Scale
WPS	Workplace Spirituality

CHAPTER 1

TITLE OF CHAPTER

This chapter presents the background of the study that includes the antecedents of work engagement, statement of the problem, research objectives, significance, scope, limitations and assumptions in this study, together with operational definitions of the terms used.

1.1 Background of the Study

If a country wants to be economically competitive, organisations should consider employees as primary contributors and as a backbone to their success. Given the time and effort spent at work, there are occasions when employees need to be able to cope with unexpected and challenging circumstances at the workplace. Such employees need to have a high level of work engagement in order to contribute to the success of the organization's performance. It is very challenging for employees to be fully engaged in their work when they have to adapt to a challenging economic landscape. In this respect, having a highly engaged workforce is fundamental to the success of the organization. Work engagement is one of the critical factors of an organization's achievement because it enables the successful implementation of distinct effective changes and fosters positive employee attitudes (Diedericks, Cilliers & Bezuidenhout, 2019; Matthysen & Harris, 2018; Mone & London, 2018). According to Albrecht et al. (2020), the positive impact of work engagement on individual and organizational outcomes is becoming an increasingly salient topic in the context of the changing workplace. Therefore, it is not surprising to observe the abundance of studies on work engagement in the past two decades (Bakker & Albrecht, 2018). Work engagement has become a popular topic among organizations interested in maximizing human capital performance and employee wellbeing at work (Robinson, Perryman, & Hayday, 2004).

There are various aspects of work engagement. Generally, work engagement is viewed as a vital benchmark that denotes an organizational sustainability (Schaltegger & Burritt, 2018), organizational productivity (Loerzel, 2019), and organizational commitment (Geldenhuis et al., 2014). Moreover, work engagement has been linked to positive individual outcomes (Musa et al., 2020), with engaged employees often recording low absenteeism and turnover, with engaged employees often recording low absenteeism and turnover (Karatepe et al., 2020). Work engagement also instils creativity in employees (Asif et al., 2019) and promotes employee wellbeing (Robledo et al., 2020). Next, work engagement is negatively correlated to outcomes such as turnover intentions, and burnout (Memon, Salleh, & Baharom, 2015). According to Crawford, LePine & Rich (2010), work engagement refers to a motivational

state, characterized by exerting oneself in a work role. In other words, when employees have high work engagement, they give all of themselves to their work, and this behaviour includes cognitive and emotional aspects (Kahn, 1990).

Work engagement is linked to other positive individual outcomes. Organisations with employees who have high work engagement will improve quality of work (Hamzah et al., 2020). Work engagement also has been positively associated with job satisfaction at the individual level (Saks, 2006) and employee wellbeing (Shuck & Reio Jr, 2014). Such correlations are not surprising as work engagement itself is posited as a positive and fulfilling state of mind, making work enjoyable for employees (Schaufeli et al., 2002). Sonnentag (2003) offers further support for this perspective, suggesting that engagement is related to positive work effect. In other words, employees with high work engagement generally exude good vibes at the workplace. According to Bakker and Demerouti (2008), engaged employees perform better than their disengaged counterparts as they are more likely to experience positive emotions and enjoy better physical health while performing their role at the workplace and using personal resources. The emotions of others can have an impact on the team as a whole; this phenomenon is known as emotional contagion. Hence, engaged employees collectively contribute to the organisation's success by their positive attitude and behaviour.

The main aim in this study was to examine work engagement behaviour, particularly that of cancer survivors in the Malaysian context. There have been numerous studies conducted with professionals to demonstrate the importance of work engagement in successful employment. Various concepts of work engagement have been discussed by scholars; employee activities, behaviours, and psychological states; commitment, motivation, and satisfaction have been identified as core components of work engagement. Work engagement behaviour is generally understood as increased levels of effort directed towards organisational goals (Shuck & Wollard, 2010; Macey & Scheneider, 2008). In other words, work engagement behaviour is the broadening of employees' available resources that are displayed overtly, and is often reflected in their behaviours and actions at the workplace.

Individual and work-related factors foster work engagement behaviour. Engaged employees often go the extra the mile. Thus, it is important for practitioners to identify factors that promote work engagement behaviour. Previous researchers have also embarked on the exploration of the role of personal factors (especially resilience) that can enhance work engagement behaviours (Malik & Garg, 2020; Pramanik et al., 2020; Dai, Zhuang & Huan, 2019; Oshio et al., 2018; Kašpárková et al., 2018; Cooke et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2015; Lee, Vargo, & Seville, 2013). Resilient employees exhibit positive emotions and are quick in adapting to adversities and uncertainties especially in the business environment.

While it is important to inculcate work engagement, there must be strong workplace social support from within the organization. As stated by Glen (2006), employees show higher levels of work engagement when they are provided with continuous opportunities to grow and develop within the organization. Thus, the present study would investigate workplace social support as one of antecedents for work engagement. Another work-related factor that contributes to work engagement behaviour is quality of working life (QWL). Geisler et al. (2019), in their study on the contribution of QWL to fostering work engagement, points out that QWL incorporates work-based satisfaction of a variety of needs through resources, activities, and outcomes stemming from participation in the workplace. Thus, employees feel satisfied with their work performance when their needs are fulfilled; they experience higher QWL as well as work engagement.

Based on the above discussion, it is possible that the influence of potential factors is likely not a simple direct relationship. In response to calls in the literature for an examination of potential antecedents and mediators of work engagement (Albrecht, 2013), this study was conducted to investigate whether individual and work-related factors might have a positive relationship with work engagement. One plausible mechanism through which individual and work-related may exert these positive effects on work engagement is the involvement of psychological processes. The first to coin the work engagement concept, Khan (1990) postulates that an employee will be engaged when he or she is psychologically attuned to conditions at the workplace, i.e., when psychological meaningfulness acts as a mediator on work engagement. Work that is meaningful and purposeful has also been identified as the most important dimension of workplace spirituality (Ashmos and Duchon 2000). Albrecht (2013) suggests that psychological mechanisms (workplace spirituality) can explain how and why the provision or experience of either individual or personal factor (employee resilience) and work-related factors (workplace social support and QWL) results in increased engagement.

An important mechanism to bolster engagement at the workplace is through workplace spirituality (Singh & Chopra, 2018) that focuses on the humanistic aspect of work. Indeed, workplace spirituality has emerged as a positive organisational scholarship field (Lavine, Bright, Powley, & Cameron, 2014). Workplace spirituality creates wholeness and contentment (Dent, Higgins, & Wharff, 2005) such that employees can find purpose and meaning in their work; they can express their complete selves at work and feel connected with others at the workplace (Kinjerski & Skrypnek, 2004). Workplace spirituality is postulated to enhance work engagement (Benefiel et al., 2014). In addition, it is recommended that organisations create an environment that is conducive for employees to experience spirituality so that the level of work engagement can be raised (Breytenbach, 2016). When employees' hearts and minds are captured at the workplace, the organisation can be assured of totally engaged employees (Murray & Evers, 2011).

The present study is motivated by recent findings on workplace spirituality and work engagement by Milliman et al. (2018), who called for more studies to identify and explain the underlying human intrinsic motivation that spurs employees to be more engaged at the workplace. The fulfilment of employees' inner needs (Cartwright & Holmes, 2006) and the experience of satisfaction that work provides (Jung & Yoon, 2016) have been found to lead to higher levels of work engagement.

1.1.1 Overview of work engagement in Malaysia

According to a Qualtrics study (2020) entitled "Employee Experience Trends: Malaysia," Malaysia was placed 7th among countries having the most engaged employees in the world, with a score of 54% which is above the average score of 53%. This finding should encourage Malaysian human resource professionals, team leaders, and managers to further improve employee experience at the workplace so as to reinforce work engagement, a crucial factor that attracts and retains not only local talents but also those from abroad. For instance, Lin and Ping (2016) found that one out of two Malaysian employers deemed people issues as the top business challenge, thus emphasizing the need for employee engagement in order to propel Malaysia's economic development to greater heights.

However, another study by Hewitt (2018) found there were still several countries, including Malaysia, with employee engagement below the global average score of 65%. In 2017, Malaysia recorded 59% for the employee engagement score, lower than that of other countries such as India (69%), China (67%), Thailand (65%), Philippines (65%), and Indonesia (61%). In 2018, the score increased from 59% to 63%, but this was still below the global average score of 65%, and lower than that of other countries such as Indonesia (76%), India (71%), China (67%) and Philippines (71%). A totally engaged employee should be 100% psychologically committed to his or her work (Gallup, 2017).

With respect to this concern, the Malaysian government plays a significant role in attempts to foster greater work engagement. For example, the Malaysian government has introduced the Government Transformation Programme (GTP) that is aimed at improving performance of public servants in Malaysia (Government Transformation Programme, 2011). The programme provides incentives, such as salary increment and attractive remuneration to ensure that Malaysian employees, especially public servants, stay engaged and motivated. In addition, various statutes and legislation have been drawn up to protect Malaysian workers' rights and interests, as well as their wellbeing, health and safety at the workplace. The current laws and labour statutes enforced in Malaysia are the Employment Act 1955, the Industrial Relations Act 1967, the Employees Provident Fund Act 1951, the Employees Social Security Act 1969, and the Occupational Health and Safety Act 1994 (Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed, 2014; Marilyn Aminuddin, 1990).

The existing laws, policies, and initiatives formulated by the Malaysian government focus on making Malaysian employees contented to perform their jobs effectively and contribute to their organisation's profit and productivity. This objective is prioritised by the government as it is parallel with the national aspiration towards sustainable economic growth as targeted in the Shared Prosperity Vision 2030 (WKB2030). With a recent shift from a labour-intensive market to one that is knowledge-based, there are efforts to implement work-life policies and practices that are deemed attractive so as to retain skilled and qualified employees.

At present, the Ministry of Human Resources is the central agency responsible for labour market matters. The Ministry plays a crucial role in shaping human resources management policies and practices in Malaysia. It is responsible for developing labour administration policy, promoting employees' welfare, and fostering good relations between employees and employers. Hence, it is obvious that the Malaysian government takes great effort to protect the welfare of Malaysian employees.

That being said, some individuals and employees need additional policies, initiatives, and support in working life. Within the spectrum of employment arrangements, including full-time (government and private employee), self-employed or own account worker, part-time, contract, and salaried or hourly workers, there exists a hierarchy of vulnerabilities and protections (Bradley et al., 2018). Some workers are at more risk than others, have fewer legal protections and benefits, and may experience self-care barriers in the workplace. Concerns of individuals with a critical illness (such as cancer) require immediate intervention by the government and employer. The impact of critical illnesses on the country's workforce is significant in terms of loss of productivity, especially when cancer affects the working-age population, resulting in premature death, morbidity, disability, and failure to resume work (Ferlay et al., 2015). For the more fortunate ones who have undergone treatments successfully, they face new challenges during transition to the recovery phase of survivorship as they gradually resume their roles as employees (Feurstein et al., 2010). While the workplace may accommodate workers' abilities to participate in the workforce, there has been little in-depth investigation of the types of accommodations reported to have been provided to cancer survivors (Stergiou-Kita et al., 2016).

Employers have a central role in protecting employees' well-being and promoting better health outcomes. In the absence of comprehensive policies to protect all employed cancer survivors, employers are the key to developing and implementing more equitable solutions that mitigate problems that cancer survivors might face in the workplace (Hannon & Harris, 2018). Efforts to ensure cancer survivors resume their employment are of paramount importance to the productivity of the country. Hence, research that integrates the needs of cancer survivors, employers, the changing workforce and changing treatment approaches is required so that steps can be taken to support cancer survivors and their employers (Bradley et al., 2018).

1.1.2 Work engagement of cancer survivors

Cancer survivors are often disconnected from the labour market or not engaged in productive activities owing to adverse physical and psychological health-related outcomes (Lucas, Clark, Georgellis, & Diener, 2004). While having disabilities related to health may exert little influence on the work engagement of some individuals, in contrast, others may find the same disabilities hampering their career, self-esteem, and life experiences in general. Being seriously ill impacts the cancer survivor's work-life in numerous ways. Cancer is a life-threatening disease, but with improvements in screening, diagnosis, and treatment, the number of persons surviving cancer and staying at or returning to work is increasing (Hoffman, 2005). The overarching aim of cancer treatment is not only to ensure cure, but also to allow cancer survivors to have normal lives in order to realize their full potential as productive and healthy adults who can focus more on developing their careers. However, initial experiences during cancer treatment might change the outlook of the patients altogether. The impact can be so negatively powerful that it alters not only the physical appearance of the individual but the inner self as well. Hence, cancer survivors are faced with a unique set of challenges after the diagnosis, including uncertainties about the ability to return to work and their general well-being during the transitional period (Curtin, Galvin, & Robinson, 2019).

Understanding the effects of cancer and treatment for long-term survivors has become increasingly important (Firkins et al., 2020; Kenzik, 2019; Ahles and Root, 2018). Among the long-term outcomes, the issue of cancer survivors' employment is one of the core areas of cancer survivorship research (Arndt et al., 2019; Fillon, 2019; de Moor et al., 2018; Mehnert, 2011). Despite the increase in the number of cancer survivors returning to work, it has been reported that cancer survivors are at risk of experiencing discrimination at work owing to their condition that is presumed to adversely affect their ability to work, (Strauser et al., 2020; Leslie et al., 2020) or because of misinformation or negative attitudes of co-workers and employers (Grunfeld, Low & Cooper, 2010). Employers' negative perceptions of people with disabilities, such as cancer survivors, have adversely impacted the employment and retention of employees with chronic illnesses (Amir, Strauser & Chan, 2009). Organisations often hold negative beliefs about the impact of cancer on work and have concerns about the ability of cancer survivors to meet the demands of the workplace (Stergiou-Kita et al., 2016; Grunfield et al., 2010). This could potentially be detrimental to the smooth transition of cancer survivors who return to work because a non-supportive work environment has been shown to affect cancer survivors negatively at the workplace (Mak et al., 2014).

In countries like the USA and Australia, cancer survivors who return to work benefit from legal protection under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) 1992 and Disability Discrimination Act 1992, respectively. Such legal protection is aimed at preventing employers from discriminating against workers with disabilities in the workplace. The legal protection covers all employment practices, including termination, advancement, compensation, training, leave, as well as all other privileges related to employment. Cancer is considered as a

disability condition under the Act whereby its treatment or side effects could significantly limit one or more of the individual's current major life activities. It is clearly stated in the ADA that it is illegal for employers to discriminate against any worker with disability but is otherwise qualified for a job (Americans with Disabilities Act, 1990).

Unfortunately, not all cancer survivors around the world enjoy such legal protection. In Malaysia, there is no legal provision that offers protection against the discrimination of cancer survivors at the workplace. Thus, the prevalence of unemployment or loss of job as a result of workplace discrimination due to cancer is not unknown in Malaysia. As such, issues regarding challenges faced by cancer survivors needs to be explored. Civil servants in Malaysia enjoy full paid leave up to not more than 24 months upon being diagnosed with cancer. As for individuals working in the private sector, paid sick leave is dependent on the length of their service. If hospitalisation is deemed necessary, then – irrespective of the length of service – an employee is entitled to 60 days paid leave. Apart from workplace discrimination, there are multiple factors affecting the decision to resume work following successful cancer treatment (Feuerstein, 2007).

Given that cancer is a potentially life-threatening illness that is often associated with demanding treatments such as radiation and chemotherapy, it is not surprising that cancer survivors experience cognitive, physical, and affective challenges when they resume work. The challenges that survivors face mirror Kahn's (1990) conceptualization of distractions to psychological availability in work engagement. Kahn suggests that the most salient distractions for psychological availability include depleted physical energy (exhaustion or inability to take on tasks physically), depleted emotional energy (emotional and cognitive exhaustion), work insecurity and anxiety (lack of self-confidence and heightened self-consciousness), and outside life (preoccupation with non-work events). These distractions are, undoubtedly, similar to cancer-related and cancer treatment-related symptoms such as fatigue, physical limitations, cognitive limitations, emotional strain, job insecurity, and anxiety, all of which prevent optimal performance and work engagement among cancer survivors.

Hakanen and Lindbohm (2008) conducted a study to determine the role of job resources (organisational climate, social support, and avoidance behaviour) and personal resources (optimism vs. pessimism) on employee engagement among cancer survivors in comparison to a referent group of non-cancer survivors. Their results suggested that, compared to the referent group, cancer survivors were slightly less engaged. However, studies have shed some light regarding levels of engagement among survivors. Gubergesson et al. (2008) found that despite having significantly lower vigour (level of work energy and willingness and ability to invest energy into work) than the non-cancer survivor referents in the study, cancer survivors maintained overall work engagement. Similarly, a study by Omar (2014) found that cancer survivors were no less engaged in their work than those without cancer despite facing additional challenges.

Research that integrates the needs of survivors, employers, and the workforce is required so that measures can be taken to support employed cancer survivors (Popa et al., 2020; Greidanus et al., 2020; Bradley et al., 2018). Moreover, such studies hint at the potential for individuals and organisations to help dispel possible misconceptions that cancer survivors are less engaged in their work. Thus, it is important to study the antecedents of work engagement of cancer survivors in Malaysia.

1.1.3 Landscape of cancer survivors in Malaysia

Cancer is a major morbidity and mortality concern in Malaysia. Based on the National Cancer Registry in the period of 2012–2016, Malaysia recorded an 11.3% increase in new cancer cases from 103,507 in 2007–2011 to 115,238 in the 2012–2016 period, with 44.7% of the patients being males and 55.3% females. The Age-standardised incidence rates (ASR) were 86.1 for males and 101.6 for females per 100,000 population. Cancer imposes a tremendous economic burden on patients, families, and the society in which they live. Apart from hefty medical expenses, cancer has a serious psychosocial effect on patients and their families owing to work-related issues (De Souza et al., 2017; Dusetzina et al., 2014). Employment is important for the individual's wellbeing; it is necessary for economic, socialisation, and accomplishment reasons, besides contributing to society. Being prevented from full participation at the workplace is a challenge that cancer survivors often have to face (Chow et al., 2015).

As improvements in early detection and effective treatments of cancer lead to higher survival rates, the survival rate of cancer has also been increasing in Malaysia. The first population-based cancer survival study in Malaysia, conducted in 2018 by the National Cancer Registry Department, National Cancer Institute, reported a five-year relative survival (RS) rate involving 15 types of cancer in Malaysia. The survival rate was higher in women and the younger patients aged 15–44 years who comprised 21% of the sample. The top five overall 5-year RS rates were for patients with thyroid (82.3%), prostate (73.0%), corpus uteri (70.6%), female breast (66.8%), and colon (56.8%) cancers. The lowest RS rate (11.0%) was for lung, trachea & bronchus cancers. The study also revealed that the cancer survival estimate in Malaysia was comparable to that of other Asian countries, and the pattern was also not very different from that of other studies for similar types of cancers. Nevertheless, the survival rate in Malaysia is still lower compared to that in Australia, New Zealand, the USA and most European countries. In Malaysia, survivors of haematological malignancies were higher in children as compared to adults. As a result, the population of young cancer survivors is steadily increasing. This has led to a growing number of studies on cancer survivors and the consideration of survivorship as a major factor in the continuum of care (Miller et al., 2019; Nekhlyudov et al., 2019; Poon et al., 2018). The growing number of young cancer survivors in Malaysia has led to their increasing number in workforce participation. Hence, it is important to design interventions to facilitate cancer survivors' return to the workplace.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the current proliferation of work engagement research, the application of work engagement theory is a particularly underexplored topic in HRD research (Kwon & Park, 2019). The scarcity of empirical evidence on issues concerning work engagement has circumscribed ways to assess, boost, and sustain work engagement in real-world contexts (Knight, Patterson, & Dawson are, 2017). Ongoing research on work engagement is needed to refine and develop current knowledge; there should be specific demographic focus on people with disabilities to enable framing the most ecologically valid interventions that would have the be most likely chance to be effective (Bakker & Albrecht, 2018). Given the potential importance of employees' engagement in achieving organizational objectives, more studies should be conducted on work engagement issues among cancer survivors in Malaysia.

Previously, most work engagement studies were underpinned by the Job Demand Resource (JDR) Model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008), which focused on the provision of resources such as job-related resources, personal resources, and demands in raising work engagement. However, the JDR model has been criticized for its limited generalizability as a descriptive model that specifies the relationship between classes of variables without providing any particular psychological explanation of the relationship (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014). In fact, additional explanatory theoretical frameworks are usually needed to argue why particular demands interact with particular resources. Hence, in the present study, the Social Cognitive theory (SCT) is used to explain the antecedents of work engagement, with emphasis on the proactive and intentional role of the individual and the work environment. In addition, the efficacy expectation resulting from efficacious beliefs (Bandura, 1986) to successfully experience a greater sense of purpose and expression of inner life desires may reinforce work engagement. In this study, psychological processes involve workplace spirituality that acts as the efficacious belief in explaining how the provision of the individual factor (employee resilience) and environment factors (workplace social support and QWL) can affect cancer survivors' experiences of work engagement. The SCT is often employed to help understand and predict human behaviours in various contexts, and to investigate workplace-related behaviours and organisational applications (Rana, & Dwivedi, 2015). However, there have been limited reports on the application of SCT to workplace spirituality (Otaye-Ebede et al., 2019).

Examining the determinants of work engagement is essential for both practical and theoretical reasons. Little has been published on the impact of personal and environmental factors on employment quality of cancer survivors (Newman et al., 2019; Verbeek, 2006; Verbeek & Spelten, 2007). According to relational theory, resilience and workplace spirituality (individual factor), workplace social support and quality of working life (environment factor) are related to cultivating work engagement among cancer survivors. As such, an investigation of these variables and their relationships will contribute to a better understanding of the concept of work engagement and help build a robust theory that is related to work engagement in organisational development. Resilience of employees is

vital to functioning in the 'chaotic practice world' (Duchek, 2020; Winwood et al., 2013). Hence, resilience of cancer survivors can be viewed as the ability to maintain or restore relatively stable psychological and physical functioning when confronted with stressful life events and adversity (Seiler & Jenewein, 2019). Although marked variations exist in how cancer patients cope with cancer as a disease, there is growing recognition that the resilience of cancer survivors is crucial to work engagement. Hence, employee resilience was identified as a factor to be investigated in this study on work engagement.

Next, a scant but continuously growing body of literature has been probing into workplace support for cancer survivors (Poulsen et al., 2016). The critical elements for social support include the creation of a culture where concerns can be shared in a safe (i.e., confidential) and trustworthy relationship (Poulsen et al., 2016). Engaged workers who experience social support are more likely to report positive emotions, experience better health, create their own job and personal resources, and transfer their engagement to others (Fredrickson, 2001). The exploration of the association between social support by either co-workers or supervisors and work engagement, which is sometimes regarded as the antithesis of burnout, is even more limited (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004). Clear communication and support from employer and organisation would be helpful in supporting an employee with cancer (Greidanus et al., 2018). Hence, research is needed from the perspective of the individual employer or employers' associations to engender bidirectional sharing of information and expertise to optimize work outcomes among cancer survivors. The paucity of knowledge in this regard (de Moor et al., 2018) has prompted the current investigation. Thus, in this study, social support from supervisor and co-workers is categorized as an individual related factor.

To date, study on work engagement in Malaysia has been done extensively across various sectors such as the automotive industry (Basheer et al., 2019), Information Technology (IT) industry (Alias, Noor, & Hassan, 2014), and manufacturing industry (Yahya et al., 2018). There have been only few studies on work limitation for cancer survivors (van Maarschalkerweerd et al., 2019; Chow, Loh & Su, 2015; Mehnert, de Boer & Feurstein, 2013). Previous research has found that cancer survivors experience (chronic) fatigue and cognitive problems at work (Becker, Henneghan & Mikan, 2015). In addition, people with disabilities report decreased employment quality (Marques et al., 2019; Lustig, Strauser, & Donnell, 2003), as indicated by decreased job satisfaction, increased turnover intention, increased absenteeism, decreased job performance, and decreased organizational commitment (Gonzalez et al., 2018; Saks, 2005). Such findings would only reinforce misconceptions of employers towards cancer survivors' ability to work as before, as well as confirm their negative perceptions of the impact of cancer and its treatments on work performance (Fitch & Nicoll, 2019; Grunfeld et al., 2010). Little is known about cancer survivors' well-being, engagement, their daily activities, and their work ability at the workplace (Hakanen et al., 2019; Bradley et al., 2018; Mehnert, 2011; Hakanen & Lindbohm, 2008). As suggested by Klaver et al. (2020), it is increasingly important to lend support to cancer survivors so that they can return to work. Work engagement contributes to the sustainability in

work for cancer survivors (Von Ah & Crouch, 2021) because work engagement is an indicator of productivity and well-being (Schaufeli et al., 2002; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004). There should be more research on the unique needs of cancer survivors as engaged employees (Von Ah & Crouch, 2021). However, to date, not much research has been conducted to examine work engagement of cancer survivors (Von Ah & Crouch, 2021; Airila, 2012; Crist, 2013; Hakanen & Lindbohm, 2008; Gudbergsson et al., 2008). Work engagement of cancer survivors in Malaysia remains scanty (Hamzah et al., 2020; Omar, 2014). Are cancer survivors less engaged at work? This question warrants an answer and thus this study would attempt to bridge the research gap by investigating the antecedents of work engagement of cancer survivors in Malaysia, with workplace spirituality as a mediator.

Workplace spirituality is expected to influence the relationships of resilience, workplace social support, and quality of working life with work engagement. According to van der Walt and de Klerk (2014), workplace spirituality is a predictor of several positive organisational outcomes. It can play a corrective or boosting action role on job satisfaction (Gupta, Kumar, & Singh, 2014), organisational citizenship behaviour (Genty et al., 2017), and performance (De Carlo et al., 2016; Do, 2018; Rahman et al., 2019). In this connection, it can provide new insight on employee attitude (Benefiel et al., 2014). Therefore, workplace spirituality is crucial to strengthening and sustaining work engagement. There are very few studies that examine workplace spirituality independently (e.g., Ghadi, 2017; Chawla, 2014). Considering the importance of workplace spirituality among cancer survivors, this study seeks to bridge the gap in the literature regarding the relationships of resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life with work engagement of cancer survivors, as mediated by workplace spirituality in the Malaysian context. Therefore, in this study, the researcher has sought to examine in a single study how an individual factor (resilience), and work-related factors (social support and quality of working life) predict work engagement among cancer survivors in Malaysia, and to examine the possible mediating effect of workplace spirituality on these relationships.

Therefore, taking into consideration the above discussion, the research questions for this study are formulated as follows:

- 1) What are the levels of employee resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life, workplace spirituality and work engagement experienced among cancer survivors?
- 2) Do employee resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life predict work engagement and workplace spirituality?
- 3) Does workplace spirituality of cancer survivors predict work engagement?
- 4) Does workplace spirituality have a mediating effect on the relationships of employee resilience, workplace social support, and quality of working life with work engagement among cancer survivors?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study was to investigate selected antecedents of work engagement of Malaysian cancer survivors and the mediating effect of workplace spirituality.

Specifically, this study aimed to:

- i. determine the level of work engagement workplace spirituality, employee resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life of cancer survivors in Malaysia.
- ii. examine the relationships of resilience, workplace social support, and quality of working life with work engagement of cancer survivors in Malaysia.
- iii. examine the relationship of resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life with workplace spirituality among cancer survivors in Malaysia.
- iv. examine the mediating effect of workplace spirituality on the relationships of employee resilience, workplace social support and quality of working life with work engagement of cancer survivors in Malaysia.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This study is aimed at making a number of related contributions to the discipline theory and research on HRD in general, and work engagement specifically. First, it responds to the call for broadening the scope of engagement studies (Jenkins & Delbridge, 2013) to include societal and relational contexts (Truss et al., 2013) through the investigation of the mediating role of workplace spirituality on the influence of an individual factor (employee resilience) and two environmental factors (workplace social support and QWL) on work engagement of employed cancer survivors. The role of workplace spirituality as a mediator will provide new insight into understanding the antecedents of workplace engagement. Second, this study contributes to research on the work engagement of employed cancer survivors through bridging the gap in the engagement literature by conducting a more inclusive empirical study that emphasizes a specific demographic group. Bakker and Albrecht (2018) are of the view that more empirical research is needed to enrich the knowledge of work engagement in the effort to have more ecological interventions. Third, underpinned by the SCT, this study contributes to research on the application of the SCT as an explanatory theory to explicate the relationships between the variables of work engagement that highlight the core element of “Triadic Reciprocity”, which represents the co-interaction of individual, environmental and behavioural factors (Bandura, 1986). Crane et al. (2016) suggest that the contribution to a theory in terms of its application can be made by providing knowledge on how an existing theory can be applied in a particular field of empirical study. Hence, the present study aims to contribute significantly to HRD and the engagement theory by providing empirical evidence using the SCT to underpin the findings.

This study also contributes to the literature by elucidating the need for greater attention to the practical applications of work engagement by HRD scholars, managers and practitioners who need to have a better understanding of work engagement. The findings of the study will identify which research constructs are important and relevant in the study of work engagement. Such information will assist HRD practitioners in appreciating the nature of inclusivity from the employee's perspective. Moreover, this study involves practices in HRD development which can be implemented to clarify the need to increase HRD interferences and practices in the broader context of staff development, especially where employed cancer survivors are involved.

1.5 Scope of the Study

This study is confined to an understanding of work engagement of Malaysian cancer survivors who had registered for follow-up sessions at the Kuala Lumpur General Hospital. The study sample comprised respondents who had childhood cancer. The reason for this selection was owing to the fact that the Paediatric Institute in Hospital Kuala Lumpur (HKL) is a primary treatment centre for childhood cancer in Malaysia, and the patients come from all over Malaysia. HKL is also the largest hospital in Malaysia under the Ministry of Health Malaysia. This study focused on cancer survivors who were 18-40 years of age and had been diagnosed with cancer (all types of cancer); they were employed at the time of participation in the study.

Issues surrounding the employee's behaviour at the workplace are sensitive as they may affect the employee's reputation and self-esteem. Employees may not be entirely willing to share or disclose their experiences truthfully regarding their level of work engagement. The same challenge has been widely recognised by other researchers (Jensen, 2020; Berry, Ones, & Sackett, 2007) who had concerns with self-reported behavioural studies as the honesty of responses might be an issue. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that surveys using self-reported data are generally precise (Lines et al., 2020), including data on undesirable behaviours. Thus, self-administered questionnaires were used in this study for data collection.

1.6 Limitations of the Study

One obvious limitation of this study concerns the participants' interpretations of work engagement. What one participant identifies as work engagement may be different from that of another participant. Therefore, the responses to the questionnaire regarding work engagement items might not truly reflect the concept of work engagement as envisaged by the researcher. To minimize this limitation, the definition of work engagement was explained beforehand to the respondents. In addition, care was taken to ensure appropriateness of the design of the questionnaire, correct word usage, standardization along with precise and unambiguous phrasing (Dykema et al., 2020); the scale used was checked by the researchers and supervisory committee members.

As this study adopted a quantitative research design, other important variables that might affect the outcome of the study were not included in questionnaire. Unlike the qualitative approach that involves interviewing the participants, this study did not give the participants the opportunity to express their views directly to the researcher.

Another limitation of the study is social desirability which is seen as a potential source of common method bias. Criticisms of self-reported data often focus on social desirability bias (Caputo, 2017). The participants tend to provide socially desirable responses in studies of this nature (Vesely & Klockner, 2020). The participants may not be willing to disclose their responses to the items in the questionnaire. Reducing social desirability and maintaining participants' anonymity have been proposed to ensure greater confidence in the results (Findley et al., 2017).

The population of this study comprised cancer survivors who were employees from various sectors in Malaysia. As such, the results would therefore not focus on a specific working sector, and may not be applicable to any particular sector.

1.7 Assumptions of the Study

This study takes into account several primary assumptions. Based on extensive literature reviews of articles, reports as well as verbal resources from the Malaysian Ministry of Health, the researcher is fully aware of the existence of work engagement among cancer survivors and is of the view that it deserves adequate attention.

The independent variables used in this study were identified as important antecedents of work engagement based on the literature review. Thus, the study assumes that the examination of the relationships of the selected independent variables, with workplace spirituality as the mediating variable, would help in understanding work engagement of cancer survivors.

All cancer survivors in this study were employed by organizations in various sectors. This study assumes that the cancer survivors have full volitional control over their work engagement. The workplace is presumed to be a learning organisation which supports and allows workers, including cancer survivors, to unleash their potential by engaging in organisation activities to promote and enhance work engagement.

1.8 Definitions of Terms

In this study, work engagement refers to a positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterized by vigour, dedication, and absorption (Schaufeli et

al. (2002). The level of work engagement is measured using these three dimensions.

Employee resilience refers to employee capability that is facilitated and supported by the organisation to utilize resources, to continually adapt and flourish at work even if/when faced with challenging circumstances. Employee resilience in the present study was measured on an Employee Resilience scale (EmPres) (Naswall et al., 2015).

In this study, QWL refers to the individual's perception of work-based satisfaction factors as well as life satisfaction and general feelings of wellbeing. The present study used the scale from de Jong, (2016) to measure QWL among cancer survivors. It consists of five dimensions, namely perception of the work situation, atmosphere in the work environment, meaning of work, understanding and recognition in the organization, as well as his or her health situation.

Workplace social support is defined as "continuing social aggregates that provide individuals with opportunities for feedback about themselves and validations of their expectations of others." (Caplan, 1974). Workplace social support in this study refers to cancer survivors' perception that their supervisor and co-workers value their contributions, compliment them, and genuinely care about their well-being.

In this study, workplace spirituality is defined as "a framework of organizational values evidenced in the culture that promotes employees' experience of transcendence through the work process, facilitating their sense of being connected to others in a way that brings feelings of completeness and joy" (Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003, p. 13). This study operationalized workplace spirituality as the recognition by employers that cancer survivors have an inner life that is nourished by meaningful work and a sense of community and alignment with the organisation's values.

1.9 Chapter Summary

This chapter presents an overview of the research. It begins with the introduction and background of the study that highlight work engagement among cancer survivors. Then, it continues with the explanation of the statement of the problem, research objectives and significance of the study. This is followed by the scope and limitations of the study. This chapter ends with a brief statement about the conceptual and operational definitions of terms used in this study. In the next chapter, a review of literature on the selected variables will be discussed in detail.

REFERENCES

- Adawiyah, W. R., Purnomo, R., Pramuka, B. A., & Sholikhah, Z. (2020). Integrating workplace spirituality, total quality management, and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 21(2), 749-766.
- Adnan, N., Bhatti, O. K., & Farooq, W. (2020). Relating ethical leadership with work engagement: How workplace spirituality mediates?. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1739494.
- Afsar, B. & Badir, Y. (2017). "Workplace spirituality, perceived organizational support and innovative work behavior: The mediating effects of person-organization fit", *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 29(2), 95-109. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-11-2015-0086>
- Afsar, B., & Rehman, M. (2015). The relationship between workplace spirituality and innovative work behavior: The mediating role of perceived person–organization fit. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 12(4), 329-353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2015.1060515>
- Agus, A., & Selvaraj, R. (2020). The mediating role of employee commitment in the relationship between quality of work life and the intention to stay. *Employee Relations*, 42(6), 1231-1248. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2019-0292>
- Ahmed, U., Majid, A., Al-Aali, L., & Mozammel, S. (2019). Can meaningful work really moderate the relationship between supervisor support, co-worker support and work Engagement?. *Management Science Letters*, 9(2), 229-242.
- Ahles, T. A., & Root, J. C. (2018). Cognitive effects of cancer and cancer treatments. *Annual review of clinical psychology*, 14, 425-451. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050817-084903
- Airila, A., Hakanen, J., Punakallio, A., Lusa, S., & Luukkonen, R. (2012). Is work engagement related to work ability beyond working conditions and lifestyle factors?. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, 85(8), 915-925.
- Airila, A., Hakanen, J. J., Schaufeli, W. B., Luukkonen, R., Punakallio, A., & Lusa, S. (2014). Are job and personal resources associated with work ability 10 years later? The mediating role of work engagement. *Work & Stress*, 28(1), 87-105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2013.872208>
- Akhtar, A., Nawaz, M.K., Mahmood, Z., Shahid, M.S. (2016). Impact of high performance work practices on employees' performance in Pakistan:

Examining the mediating role of employee engagement, *Pakistan Journal of Commerce Social Sciences*, 10 (3), 708–724.
<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/188275>

Al-Ababneh, M. (2020). Linking ontology, epistemology and research methodology. *Science & Philosophy*, 8(1), 75-91.

Albrecht, S. L. (2013). Work engagement and the positive power of meaningful In *Advances in positive organizational psychology*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S2046-410X\(2013\)0000001013](https://doi.org/10.1108/S2046-410X(2013)0000001013)

Albrecht, S. L., (2010). *Handbook of employee engagement*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.

Albrecht, S. L., Connaughton, S., Foster, K., Furlong, S., & Yeow, C. J. L. (2020). Change Engagement, Change Resources, and Change Demands: A Model for Positive Employee Orientations to Organizational Change. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 2854.

Albrecht, S. L., & Su, M. J. (2012). Job resources and employee engagement in a Chinese context: the mediating role of job meaningfulness, felt obligation and positive mood. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 4(4), 277-292.
<https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEM.2012.049823>

Alessandri, G., Consiglio, C., Luthans, F. and Borgogni, L. (2018), "Testing a dynamic model of the impact of psychological capital on work engagement and job performance", *Career Development International*, 23(1), 33-47. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-11-2016-0210>

Alias, N. E., Noor, N., & Hassan, R. (2014). Examining the mediating effect of employee engagement on the relationship between talent management practices and employee retention in the Information and Technology (IT) organizations in Malaysia. *Journal of Human Resources Management and Labor Studies*, 2(2), 227-242.

Allan, B. A., Duffy, R. D., & Collisson, B. (2018). Task significance and performance: Meaningfulness as a mediator. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 26(1), 172-182.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072716680047>

Allan, B. A., Tay, L., & Sterling, H. M. (2017). Construction and validation of the Subjective Underemployment Scales (SUS). *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 99, 93-106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2017.01.001>

Alleaume, C., Paraponaris, A., Bendiane, M. K., Peretti-Watel, P., & Bouhnik, A. D. (2020). The positive effect of workplace accommodations on the continued employment of cancer survivors five years after diagnosis. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-05189-y>

- Al Masaeid, T. F. (2020). Organisational Development Interventions to solve performance management challenges. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(6), 7575-7586.
- Allport, G.W. (1968). *The person in psychology*; Beacon Press: Boston, MA, USA.
- Alqarni, S. A. Y. (2016). Quality of work life as a predictor of work engagement among the teaching faculty at King Abdulaziz University. *International journal of humanities and social science*, 6(8), 118-135.
- Amir, Z., Neary, D., & Luker, K. (2008). Cancer survivors' views of work 3 years post diagnosis: a UK perspective. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 12(3), 190-197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2008.01.006>
- Amir, Z., Strauser, D. R., & Chan, F. (2009). Employers' and survivors' perspectives. In *Work and cancer survivors* (pp. 73-89). Springer, New York, NY.
- Amir, Z., Wynn, P., Chan, F., Strauser, D. R., Whitaker, S., & Luker, K. (2010). Return to work after cancer in the UK: Attitudes and experiences of line managers. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 20(4), 435-442. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-009-9197-9>
- Arndt, V., Koch-Gallenkamp, L., Bertram, H., Eberle, A., Holleczeck, B., Pritzkeleit, R., ... & Thong, M. S. (2019). Return to work after cancer. A multi-regional population-based study from Germany. *Acta Oncologica*, 58(5), 811-818. doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2018.1557341
- Asano, K., Kotera, Y., Tsuchiya, M., Ishimura, I., Lin, S., Matsumoto, Y., ... & Gilbert, P. (2020). The development of the Japanese version of the compassionate engagement and action scales. *Plos one*, 15(4), e0230875.
- Asif, M., Qing, M., Hwang, J., & Shi, H. (2019). Ethical leadership, affective commitment, work engagement, and creativity: Testing a multiple mediation approach. *Sustainability*, 11(16), 4489.
- Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed. 2014. *Dismissal from Employment and the Remedies*. Second Edition. Malaysia: LexisNexis
- Ashmos, D. P., & Duchon, D. (2000). Spirituality at work: A conceptualization and measure. *Journal of management inquiry*, 9(2), 134-145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/105649260092008>
- Asl, R. T., Van Osch, L., De Vries, N., Zendejdel, K., Shams, M., Zarei, F., & De Vries, H. (2020). The role of knowledge, risk perceptions, and cues to action among Iranian women concerning cervical cancer and screening: a qualitative exploration. *BMC public health*, 20(1), 1-12.

- Bakker, A. B., & Albrecht, S. (2018). Work engagement: current trends. *Career Development International*, 23(1), 4-11. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-11-2017-0207>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223. <http://doi.org/10.1108/13620430810870476>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056>
- Bakker, A. B., & de Vries, J. D. (2021). Job Demands–Resources theory and self-regulation: new explanations and remedies for job burnout. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, 34(1), 1-21.
- Bakker, A. B., Hakanen, J. J., Demerouti, E., & Xanthopoulou, D. (2007). Job resources boost work engagement, particularly when job demands are high. *Journal of educational psychology*, 99(2), 274. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-0663.99.2.274>
- Bamishigbin, O. N., Jr., Stein, K. D., Leach, C. R., & Stanton, A. L. (2020). Spirituality and depressive symptoms in a multiethnic sample of cancer survivors. *Health Psychology*, 39(7), 589–599. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000878>
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman Lawrence.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 1–26.
- Barclay, Donald, Higgins, Christopher, & Thompson, Ronald. (1995). The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration. *Technology studies*, 2(2), 285-309.
- Bardoel, E. A., Pettit, T. M., De Cieri, H., & McMillan, L. (2014). Employee resilience: an emerging challenge for HRM. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 52(3), 279-297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12033>
- Basheer, M. F., Hameed, W. U., Rashid, A., & Nadim, M. (2019). Factors effecting Employee Loyalty through Mediating role of Employee Engagement: Evidence from PROTON Automotive Industry, Malaysia. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, 13(2).

- Becker, T. E., Atinc, G., Breaugh, J. A., Carlson, K. D., Edwards, J. R., & Spector, P. E. (2016). Statistical control in correlational studies: 10 essential recommendations for organizational researchers. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(2), 157-167.
- Becker, H., Henneghan, A., & Mikan, S. Q. (2015). When do I get my brain back?. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 19(2), 180-184.
- Beckhard, R. (1969). *Organization development: Strategies and models*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Benefiel, M., Fry, L. W., & Geigle, D. (2014). Spirituality and religion in the workplace: History, theory, and research. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 6(3), 175. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036597>
- Berry, C. M., Ones, D. S., & Sackett, P. R. (2007). Interpersonal deviance, organizational deviance, and their common correlates: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of applied psychology*, 92(2), 410.
- Berthelsen, H., Conway, P. M., & Clausen, T. (2018). Is organizational justice climate at the workplace associated with individual-level quality of care and organizational affective commitment? A multi-level, cross-sectional study on dentistry in Sweden. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, 91(2), 237-245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-017-1275-2>
- Bhatnagar, J., & Aggarwal, P. (2020). Meaningful work as a mediator between perceived organizational support for environment and employee eco-initiatives, psychological capital and alienation. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(6), 1487-1511. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-04-2019-0187>
- Black, J. K., Balanos, G. M., & Whittaker, A. C. (2017). Resilience, work engagement and stress reactivity in a middle-aged manual worker population. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 116, 9-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2017.02.013>
- Blattner, M. C., & Franklin, A. J. (2017). Why Are OST Workers Dedicated—or Not? Factors That Influence Commitment to OST Care Work. *Afterschool Matters*, 25, 9-17.
- Boehm, J. K. (2021). Positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular disease: Exploring mechanistic and developmental pathways. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12599>
- Boonrod, R. (2009). Quality of working life: perceptions of professional nurses at Phramongkutklao Hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai*, 92(1), S7-15.
- Borges, M. L., Caldeira, S., Loyola-Caetano, E. A., de Magalhães, P. A., Areco, F. S., & Panobianco, M. S. (2017). Spiritual/religious coping of women

with breast cancer. *Religions*, 8(11), 254-264.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/rel8110254>

- Boyratz, G., Horne, S. G., & Sayger, T. V. (2012). Finding meaning in loss: The mediating role of social support between personality and two construals of meaning. *Death studies*, 36(6), 519-540.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2011.553331>
- Bradley, C. J., Brown, K. L., Haan, M., Glasgow, R. E., Newman, L. S., Rabin, B., ... & Tenney, L. (2018). Cancer survivorship and employment: intersection of oral agents, changing workforce dynamics, and employers' perspectives. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 110(12), 1292-1299 doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djy172
- Bradley, C. J., Neumark, D., Luo, Z., Bednarek, H., & Schenk, M. (2005). Employment outcomes of men treated for prostate cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 97(13), 958-965.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji171>
- Breytenbach, C. (2016). *The relationship between three constructs of spirituality and the resulting impact on positive work outcomes* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- Brough, P., & Frame, R. (2004). Predicting police job satisfaction and turnover intentions: The role of social support and police organisational variables. *New Zealand journal of psychology*, 33(1), 8-18.
- Brunetto, Y., Dick, T., Xerri, M., & Cully, A. (2020). Building capacity in the healthcare sector: A strengths-based approach for increasing employees' well-being and organisational resilience. *Journal of Management and Organization*, 26(3), 309-323.
- Burns, & Grove. (2003). *Understanding Nursing Research* (3rd edn) Saunders. In: Philadelphia.
- Butow, P., Laidsaar-Powell, R., Konings, S., Lim, C. Y. S., & Koczwara, B. (2020). Return to work after a cancer diagnosis: a meta-review of reviews and a meta-synthesis of recent qualitative studies. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 14(2), 114-134.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010) *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications and programming*.
- Caesens, G., & Stinglhamber, F. (2014). The relationship between perceived organizational support and work engagement: The role of self-efficacy and its outcomes. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 64(5), 259-267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2014.08.002>
- Caplan, G., Killilea, M., & Abrahams, R. B. (Eds.). (1976). *Support systems and mutual help: Multidisciplinary explorations*. Grune & Stratton.

- Caputo, A. (2017). Social desirability bias in self-reported well-being measures: Evidence from an online survey. *Universitas Psychologica*, 16(2), 245-255.
- Cardador, M. T., & Hill, P. (2018). Career paths in engineering firms: Gendered patterns and implications. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 26(1), 95-110. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072716679987>
- Cartwright, S., & Holmes, N. (2006). The meaning of work: The challenge of regaining employee engagement and reducing cynicism. *Human resource management review*, 16(2), 199-208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrnr.2006.03.012>
- Cavanagh, G.F. (1999), "Spirituality for managers: context and critique", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 12(3) 186-199. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09534819910273793>
- Cesário, F., & Chambel, M. J. (2017). Linking organizational commitment and work engagement to employee performance. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 24(2), 152-158. <https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1542>
- Chawla, V. (2014). The effect of workplace spirituality on salespeople's organisational deviant behaviours: research propositions and practical implications. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 29(3), 199-208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-08-2012-0134>
- Chin. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. In *Handbook of partial least squares* (pp. 655-690): Springer.
- Chinomona, R. (2012). The impact of organizational support on work spirituality, organizational citizenship behaviour and job performance: The case of Zimbabwe's small and medium enterprises (SME) sector. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(36), 10003-10014. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM11.2286>
- Chitakornkijssil, P. (2010). Broad perspective and framework of quality of work life. *International Journal of Organizational Innovation (Online)*, 3(2), 214.
- Chirico, A., Lucidi, F., Merluzzi, T., Alivernini, F., De Laurentiis, M., Botti, G., & Giordano, A. (2017). A meta-analytic review of the relationship of cancer coping self-efficacy with distress and quality of life. *Oncotarget*, 8(22), 36800.
- Chow, S. L., Loh, S. Y., & Su, T. T. (2015). Perceived Barriers and Facilitators for Return to Work Among Colorectal Cancer Survivors: Malaysian Healthcare Professionals Experience-A Qualitative Inquiry. *Journal of UOEH*, 37(2), 127-138. <https://doi.org/10.7888/juoeh.37.127>
- Consiglio, C., Borgogni, L., Di Tecco, C., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2016). What makes employees engaged with their work? The role of self-efficacy

and employee's perceptions of social context over time. *Career development international* 21(2), 125-143.

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*, 2nd. Hillsdale: Erlbaum
- Colbert, A. E., Bono, J. E., & Purvanova, R. K. (2016). Flourishing via workplace relationships: Moving beyond instrumental support. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(4), 1199-1223. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0506>
- Cooke, F. L., Cooper, B., Bartram, T., Wang, J., & Mei, H. (2019). Mapping the relationships between high-performance work systems, employee resilience and engagement: A study of the banking industry in China. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(8), 1239-1260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1137618>
- Cooper, C., Flint-Taylor, J., & Pearn, M. (2013). *Building resilience for success: A resource for managers and organizations*. Springer.
- Crane, A., Henriques, I., Husted, B. W., & Matten, D. (2016). What constitutes a theoretical contribution in the business and society field?. *Business & Society*, 55(6), 783-791.
- Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: a theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. *Journal of applied psychology*, 95(5), 834-848.
- Crawford, A., Hubbard, S. S., Lonis-Shumate, S. R., & O'Neill, M. (2008). Workplace spirituality and employee attitudes within the lodging environment. *Journal of human resources in hospitality & tourism*, 8(1), 64-81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332840802274445>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage publications.
- Creswell, John & Guetterman, Timothy. (2018). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*, 6th Edition.
- Crist, P. (2013). Functional challenges among late effects cancer survivors: A preliminary report on work engagement issues. *Work*, 46(4), 369-379.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of management*, 31(6), 874-900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602>
- Cronbach, L. J. (1971). Test validation. *Educational measurement*.

- Cumming, T.G., & Worley, C.G. (1997). *Organization development and change* (6th ed.). Mason, OH: Southwestern College Publishing.
- Cummings, T. & Huse, R. F. (1989). *Organization development and change* (4th ed.) San Francisco, CA: West Publishing.
- Curtin, S., Galvin, R., & Robinson, K. (2019). The relationship between cancer survivors' well-being and participation in work, activities of daily living and social engagement: Findings from the European Social Survey (2014). *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11038128.2019.1695932>
- Dahl, A. A., Brennhovd, B., Fosså, S. D., & Axcrona, K. (2020). A cross-sectional study of current workability after radical prostatectomy. *BMC urology*, 20(1) <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12894-020-0579-9>
- Dahling, Jason J, & Perez, Luis A. (2010). Older worker, different actor? Linking age and emotional labor strategies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48(5), 574-578.
- Dai, Y. D., Hou, Y. H., Chen, K. Y., & Zhuang, W. L. (2018). To help or not to help: antecedents of hotel employees' organizational citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-03-2016-0160>
- Dai, Y. D., Zhuang, W. L., & Huan, T. C. (2019). Engage or quit? The moderating role of abusive supervision between resilience, intention to leave and work engagement. *Tourism Management*, 70, 69-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.07.014>
- Daniel, J.L. (2015). Workplace spirituality and stress: evidence from Mexico and US, *Management Research Review*, 38(1), 29-43. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-07-2013-0169>
- Dastgeer, G., ur Rehman, A., & Asghar, M. A. (2020). Selection and use of mediation testing methods; application in management sciences. *Business & Economic Review*, 12(3), 1-48.
- Davies, N. (2016). Resilience tops bill at psychology conference. *Occupational Health & Wellbeing*, 68(3), 16.
- Dent, E. B., Higgins, M. E., & Wharff, D. M. (2005). Spirituality and leadership: An empirical review of definitions, distinctions, and embedded assumptions. *The leadership quarterly*, 16(5), 625-653.
- de Boer, A. G., Torp, S., Popa, A., Horsboel, T., Zadnik, V., Rottenberg, Y., ... & Sharp, L. (2020). Long-term work retention after treatment for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 14(2), 135-150.

- De Carlo, A., Dal Corso, L., Carluccio, F., Colledani, D., & Falco, A. (2020). Positive supervisor behaviors and employee performance: The serial mediation of workplace spirituality and work engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 1834.
- de Jong, M., Tamminga, S.J., de Boer, A.G. and Frings-Dresen, M.H. (2016). "Quality of working life of cancer survivors: Development of a cancer-specific questionnaire", *Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 10*(2), 394-405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-015-0485-4>
- de Jong, M., Tamminga, S. J., van Es, R. J., Frings-Dresen, M. H., & de Boer, A. G. (2018). The quality of working life questionnaire for cancer survivors (QWLQ-CS): factorial structure, internal consistency, construct validity and reproducibility. *BMC cancer, 18*(1), 1-13.
- de Moor, J. S., Alfano, C. M., Kent, E. E., Norton, W. E., Coughlan, D., Roberts, M. C., ... & Bradley, C. J. (2018). Recommendations for research and practice to improve work outcomes among cancer survivors. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 110*(10), 1041-1047.
- Deng, R., Benckendorff, P., & Gannaway, D. (2020). Learner engagement in MOOCs: Scale development and validation. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 51*(1), 245-262.
- De Souza, J. A., Yap, B. J., Wroblewski, K., Blinder, V., Araújo, F. S., Hlubocky, F. J., ... & Daugherty, C. K. (2017). Measuring financial toxicity as a clinically relevant patient-reported outcome: the validation of the COmprehensive Score for financial Toxicity (COST). *Cancer, 123*(3), 476-484. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.30369>
- Diamantopoulos, & Winklhofer. (2001). Index construction with formative indicators: An alternative to scale development. *Journal of marketing research, 38*(2), 269-277.
- Diedericks, J. C., Cilliers, F. V. N., & Bezuidenhout, A. (2019). Resistance to change, work engagement and psychological capital of academics in an open distance learning work environment. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 17*, a1142. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v17i0.1142>
- Dinapoli, L., Colloca, G., Di Capua, B., & Valentini, V. (2021). Psychological Aspects to Consider in Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment. *Current Oncology Reports, 23*(3), 1-7.
- Do, T. T. (2018). How spirituality, climate and compensation affect job performance. *Social Responsibility Journal, 14*(2), 396-409. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-05-2016-0086>
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: a capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research, 13*(1), 215-246.

- Duchon, D., & Plowman, D. A. (2005). Nurturing the spirit at work: Impact on work unit performance. *The leadership quarterly*, 16(5), 807-833.
- Duffy, R. D., Blustein, D. L., Diemer, M. A., & Autin, K. L. (2016). The psychology of working theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 63, 127-148. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000140>
- Dugan, A. G., Decker, R. E., Namazi, S., Cavallari, J. M., Bellizzi, K. M., Blank, T. O., ... & Salner, A. L. (2021). Perceptions of clinical support for employed breast cancer survivors managing work and health challenges. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 1-16.
- Dumas, A., Berger, C., Auquier, P., Michel, G., Fresneau, B., Allodji, R. S., ... & de Vathaire, F. (2016). Educational and occupational outcomes of childhood cancer survivors 30 years after diagnosis: a French cohort study. *British journal of cancer*, 114(9), 1060-1068.
- Duraisingam, V., Roche, A. M., Kostadinov, V., Hodge, S., & Chapman, J. (2020). Predictors of work engagement among Australian non-government drug and alcohol employees: Implications for policy and practice. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 76, 102638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.102638>
- Dusetzina, S. B., Winn, A. N., Abel, G. A., Huskamp, H. A., & Keating, N. L. (2014). Cost sharing and adherence to tyrosine kinase inhibitors for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*, 32(4), 306-311. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.52.9123>
- Dykema, J., Schaeffer, N. C., Garbarski, D., & Hout, M. (2020). The role of question characteristics in designing and evaluating survey questions. *Advances in Questionnaire Design, Development, Evaluation and Testing*, 117-152.
- Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2002). Perceived supervisor support: Contributions to perceived organizational support and employee retention. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 565-573. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.3.565>
- Eisenberger, R., & Stinglhamber, F. (2011). *Perceived organizational support: Fostering enthusiastic and productive employees*. American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/12318-000>
- Eleventh Malaysia Plan 2016 – 2020. (2015). *Anchoring Growth on People*. Kuala Lumpur: Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad.
- Fairchild, MacKinnon, Taborga, & Taylor. (2009). R² effect-size measures for mediation analysis. *Behavior research methods*, 41(2), 486-498.

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A. G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior research methods*, 39(2), 175-191.
- Favero, N., & Bullock, J. B. (2014). How (not) to solve the problem: An evaluation of scholarly responses to common source bias. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(1), 285-308.
- Federman, B. (2009). *Employee engagement: A roadmap for creating profits, optimizing performance, and increasing loyalty*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Dikshit, R., Eser, S., Mathers, C., Rebelo, M., ... & Bray, F. (2015). Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *International journal of cancer*, 136(5), E359-E386.
- Feuerstein, M., Todd, B. L., Moskowitz, M. C., Bruns, G. L., Stoler, M. R., Nassif, T., & Yu, X. (2010). Work in cancer survivors: A model for practice and research. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 4(4), 415-437. doi: 10.1007/s11764-010-0154-6
- Findley, M. G., Laney, B., Nielson, D. L., & Sharman, J. C. (2017). External validity in parallel global field and survey experiments on anonymous incorporation. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(3), 856-872.
- Fillon, M. (2019). Greater support and resources needed for cancer survivors returning to work. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 69(4), 253-255. doi.org/10.3322/caac.21562
- Firkins, J., Hansen, L., Driessnack, M., & Dieckmann, N. (2020). Quality of life in "chronic" cancer survivors: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 1-14. 504–517 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-020-00869-9>
- Firouzbakht, M., Hajian-Tilaki, K., & Moslemi, D. (2020). Analysis of quality of life in breast cancer survivors using structural equation modelling: the role of spirituality, social support and psychological well-being. *International health*, 12(4), 354-363.
- Fitch, M. I., & Nicoll, I. (2019). Returning to work after cancer: survivors', caregivers', and employers' perspectives. *Psycho-oncology*, 28(4), 792-798. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5021>
- Fornell, Claes, & Larcker, David F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, 39-50.
- Forza, C. (2002). Survey Research in Operations Management: A Process-based Perspective. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 22 (2), 152-194.

- Fraenkel, Jack & Wallen, Norman & Hyun, Helen. (2011). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education.
- Fredrickson, B. L., Tugade, M. M., Waugh, C. E., & Larkin, G. R. (2003). What good are positive emotions in crisis? A prospective study of resilience and emotions following the terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11th, 2001. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 84(2), 365-376.
- Freeze, R., & Raschke, R. L. (2007). An assessment of formative and reflective constructs in IS research.
- Frieder, R. E., Wang, G., & Oh, I. S. (2018). Linking job-relevant personality traits, transformational leadership, and job performance via perceived meaningfulness at work: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(3), 324-333. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000274>
- Frost, L., Hojer, S., Campanini, A., Sicora, A., & Kullburg, K. (2018). Why do they stay? A study of resilient child protection workers in three European countries. *European Journal of Social Work*, 21(4), 485-497. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2017.1291493>
- Gallon, S. L., Gabriel, R. M., & Knudsen, J. R. (2003). The toughest job you'll ever love: A Pacific Northwest treatment workforce survey. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*, 24(3), 183-196. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-5472\(03\)00032-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-5472(03)00032-1)
- Gallup. (2017). *State of the Global Workplace*.
- Garavan, T. N. (2007). A strategic perspective on human resource development. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 9(1), 11-30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422306294492>
- García Rubiano, M., & Forero Aponte, C. (2016). Quality of Life at Work and Willingness toward Organizational Change in Officers of Companies in the City of Bogotá-Colombia. *Acta Colombiana de Psicología*, 19(1), 91-102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14718/ACP.2016.19.1.5>
- Gatling, A., Kang, H. J. A., & Kim, J. S. (2016). The effects of authentic leadership and organizational commitment on turnover intention. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 37(2), 181-199. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-05-2014-0090>
- Gefen, & Straub. (2005). A practical guide to factorial validity using PLS Graph: Tutorial and annotated example. *Communications of the Association for Information systems*, 16(1), 5.
- Gefen, Straub, & Boudreau. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. *Communications of the association for information systems*, 4(1), 7.

- Geisler, M., Berthelsen, H., & Muhonen, T. (2019). Retaining social workers: The role of quality of work and psychosocial safety climate for work engagement, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. *Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance*, 43(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23303131.2019.1569574>
- Geisser, S. (1974). A predictive approach to the random effect model. *Biometrika*, 61(1), 101-107. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/61.1.101>
- Geldenhuys, M., Laba, K., & Venter, C. M. (2014). Meaningful work, work engagement and organisational commitment. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 40(1), 01-10.
- Genty, K. I., Fapohunda, T. M., Jayeoba, F. I., & Azeez, R. O. (2017). Workplace spirituality and organizational citizenship behaviour among Nigerian academics: The mediating role of normative organizational commitment. *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(2), 48-62.
- Ghadi, M.Y. (2017). "The impact of workplace spirituality on voluntary turnover intentions through loneliness in work", *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 33(1), 81-110. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-03-2016-0005>
- Giacalone, R. A., & Jurkiewicz, C. L. (2003). Right from wrong: The influence of spirituality on perceptions of unethical business activities. *Journal of business Ethics*, 46(1), 85-97. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024767511458>
- Gilbert, P., Catarino, F., Duarte, C., Matos, M., Kolts, R., Stubbs, J., ... & Basran, J. (2017). The development of compassionate engagement and action scales for self and others. *Journal of Compassionate Health Care*, 4(1), 1-24.
- Gillespie, B. M., Chaboyer, W., Wallis, M., & Grimbeek, P. (2007). Resilience in the operating room: Developing and testing of a resilience model. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 59(4), 427-438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04340.x>
- Gillet, N., Huart, I., Colombat, P., & Fouquereau, E. (2013). Perceived organizational support, motivation, and engagement among police officers. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 44(1), 46-55. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030066>
- Glen, C. (2006). Key skills retention and motivation: the war for talent still rages and retention is the high ground. *Industrial and commercial training*, 38(1), 37-45. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00197850610646034>

- Gokhale, M. (2015). Work-Related Quality of Life and Work Engagement of College Teachers. *Annamalai International Journal of Business Studies & Research*, 60-63.
- Gómez-Molinero, R., Ruiz-González, P., Zayas, A., & Gil, R. (2019). Resilience and workability among breast cancer survivors. *Revista INFAD de Psicología. International Journal of Developmental and Educational Psychology*, 4(1), 37-44.
- Gonzalez, B. D., Grandner, M. A., Caminiti, C. B., & Hui, S. K. A. (2018). Cancer survivors in the workplace: sleep disturbance mediates the impact of cancer on healthcare expenditures and work absenteeism. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 26(12), 4049-4055.
- Gordon, S. (2020). Organizational support versus supervisor support: The impact on hospitality managers' psychological contract and work engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 87, 102374.
- Götz, Oliver, Liehr-Gobbers, Kerstin, & Krafft, Manfred. (2010). Evaluation of structural equation models using the partial least squares (PLS) approach. In *Handbook of partial least squares* (pp. 691-711): Springer.
- Grant, A. M. (2007). Relational job design and the motivation to make a prosocial difference. *Academy of management review*, 32(2), 393-417. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24351328>
- Gray, D. E. (2013). *Doing research in the real world*. Sage.
- Greidanus, M. A., de Boer, A. G. E. M., Tiedtke, C. M., Frings-Dresen, M. H. W., de Rijk, A. E., & Tamminga, S. J. (2020). Supporting employers to enhance the return to work of cancer survivors: development of a web-based intervention (MiLES intervention). *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 14, 1-11.
- Greidanus, M. A., De Boer, A. G. E. M., De Rijk, A. E., Tiedtke, C. M., Dierckx de Casterlé, B., Frings-Dresen, M. H. W., & Tamminga, S. J. (2018). Perceived employer-related barriers and facilitators for work participation of cancer survivors: a systematic review of employers' and survivors' perspectives. *Psycho-oncology*, 27(3), 725-733.
- Groarke, A., Curtis, R., Groarke, J. M., Hogan, M. J., Gibbons, A., & Kerin, M. (2017). Post-traumatic growth in breast cancer: how and when do distress and stress contribute?. *Psycho-oncology*, 26(7), 967-974. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4243>
- Grunfeld, E. A., Low, E., & Cooper, A. F. (2010). Cancer survivors' and employers' perceptions of working following cancer

treatment. *Occupational medicine*, 60(8), 611-617.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/occmmed/kqq143>

- Gudbergsson, S. B., Fosså, S. D., & Dahl, A. A. (2008). Is cancer survivorship associated with reduced work engagement? A NOCWO Study. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 2(3), 159-168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-008-0059-9>
- Gupta, M., Kumar, V., & Singh, M. (2014). Creating satisfied employees through workplace spirituality: A study of the private insurance sector in Punjab (India). *Journal of business ethics*, 122(1), 79-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1756-5>
- Hair, & Graziano. (2003). Self-esteem, personality and achievement in high school: A prospective longitudinal study in Texas. *Journal of personality*, 71(6), 971-994.
- Hair, Hult, & Ringle. (2014). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). In: Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage Publications.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate data analysis 6th ed. *Uppersaddle River: Pearson Prentice Hall*.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis*. Pearson: New York.
- Hair, J. J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). *Sage Publication*. United States of America
- Hair, J., Bush, R., & Ortinau, D. (2006). Marketing Research within a changing environment. Revised international edition. *McGraw-Hill, New York, USA*, 589, 566.
- Hair, Ringle, & Sarstedt. (2013). Editorial-partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long Range Planning*, 46(1-2), 1-12.
- Hair, J. F. Black, William C. Babin, Barry J. Anderson, Rolph E. Tatham, Ronald L. 2006. Multivariate Data Analysis.
- Hakanen, J. J., & Lindbohm, M. L. (2008). Work engagement among breast cancer survivors and the referents: the importance of optimism and social resources at work. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 2(4), 283-295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-008-0071-0>
- Hakanen, J. J., Ropponen, A., Schaufeli, W. B., & De Witte, H. (2019). Who is engaged at work?: A large-scale study in 30 European

- countries. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 61(5), 373-381. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001528>
- Haldorai, K., Kim, W. G., Chang, H. S., & Li, J. J. (2020). Workplace spirituality as a mediator between ethical climate and workplace deviant behavior. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 102372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.102372>
- Hamzah, S. R. A., Musa, S. N. S., Muda, Z., & Ismail, M. (2020). Quality of working life and career engagement of cancer survivors: the mediating role of effect of disease and treatment. *European Journal of Training and Development*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-02-2020-0015>
- Hannon, P. A., & Harris, J. R. (2018). Dissemination and implementation research in worksites. *Dissemination and Implementation Research in Health: Translating Science to Practice*, 2nd ed. Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press, 417-432.
- Harbison, F. H., & Myers, C. A. (1964). *Education, manpower, and economic growth: Strategies of human resource development*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Harman, H.H. (1976). *Modern factor analysis* (3rd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.2.268>
- Harzer, C., & Ruch, W. (2012). When the job is a calling: The role of applying one's signature strengths at work. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 7, 362–371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2012.702784>
- Hassan, Z., Tnay, J. S., Yososudarmo, S. M. S., & Sabil, S. (2020). The relationship between workplace spirituality and work-to-family enrichment in selected public sector organizations in Malaysia. *Journal of religion and health*, 1-19.
- Haynes, C.E., Wall, T.D., Bolden, R.I., Stride, C., & Rick, J.E. (1999). Measures of perceived work characteristics for health services research: Test of a measurement model and normative data. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 4(3), 257-275. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135910799168614>
- Henseler, & Chin, Wynne (2010). A comparison of approaches for the analysis of interaction effects between latent variables using partial least squares path modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 17(1), 82-109.

- Henseler, & Fassott. (2010). Testing moderating effects in PLS path models: An illustration of available procedures. In *Handbook of partial least squares* (pp. 713-735): Springer.
- Henseler, Ringle, & Sinkovics. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in international marketing*, 20(1), 277-319.
- Henseler, Ringle, Christian M, & Sarstedt, Marko. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43(1), 115-135.
- Herrman, H., Stewart, D. E., Diaz-Granados, N., Berger, E. L., Jackson, B., & Yuen, T. (2011). What is resilience?. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(5), 258-265.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/070674371105600504>
- Hewitt, A. (2018). Trends in Global Employee Engagement (2012). Retrieved from www.aon.com/attachments/humancapitalconsulting/2013_Trends_in_Global_Employee_Engagement_Report.pdf. Accessed October, 8.
- Hinton, P., Brownlow, C., McMurray, I., & Cozens, B. (2004). Using SPSS to analyse questionnaires: Reliability. *SPSS explained*, 356-366.
- Hobfoll, S. E., Shirom, A., & Golembiewski, R. (2000). Conservation of resources theory. *appears in Handbook of Organizational Behavior*, RT Golembiewski (ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 57-80.
- Hodges, H. F., Keeley, A. C., & Troyan, P. J. (2008). Professional resilience in baccalaureate-prepared acute care nurses: First steps. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 29(2), 80-89.
- Hoffman, B. (2005). Cancer survivors at work: a generation of progress. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 55(5), 271-280.
- Hong, Y., Pena-Purcell, N. C., & Ory, M. G. (2012). Outcomes of online support and resources for cancer survivors: a systematic literature review. *Patient education and counseling*, 86(3), 288-296.
- Hoseini, L., Kashani, F. L., Akbari, S., Akbari, M. E., & Mehr, S. S. (2016). Model development of illness perception and consequences in breast cancer patients. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 17(sup3), 185-190.
- Hu, Q., Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2016). Extending the job demands resources model with guanxi exchange. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 31(1), 127-140. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-04-2013-0102>

- Huo, M. L., & Boxall, P. (2020). Do workers respond differently to learning from supervisors and colleagues? A study of job resources, learning sources and employee wellbeing in China. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1744029>
- Islam, T., Dahlui, M., Abd Majid, H., Nahar, A. M., Taib, N. A. M., & Su, T. T. (2014). Factors associated with return to work of breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. *BMC public health*, 14(3), 1-13.
- Izak, M. (2012). "Spiritual episteme: sensemaking in the framework of organizational spirituality", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 25(1), 24-47. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09534811211199583>
- Jackson, D. L., Voth, J., & Frey, M. P. (2013). A note on sample size and solution propriety for confirmatory factor analytic models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 20(1), 86-97.
- Jena, L. K., & Pradhan, S. (2018). The mediating role of organizational citizenship behavior: A study of workplace spirituality and employee retention in Indian industries. *Performance Improvement*, 57(9), 17-35. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pfi.21805>
- Jenkins, S., & Delbridge, R. (2013). Context matters: Examining 'soft' and 'hard' approaches to employee engagement in two workplaces. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(14), 2670-2691.
- Jensen, U. T. (2020). Is self-reported social distancing susceptible to social desirability bias? Using the crosswise model to elicit sensitive behaviors. *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 3(2).
- Jin, J. H., & Lee, E. J. (2018). Factors Influencing Quality of Working Life of Cancer Survivors after Return to the Workplace. *Korean Journal of Occupational Health Nursing*, 27(4), 203-214.
- Jin, J. H., & Lee, E. J. (2019). The mediating effect of workplace spirituality on the relation between job stress and job satisfaction of cancer survivors returning to work. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(19), 3510.
- Joelle, M., & Coelho, A. M. (2017). The impact of spirituality at work on workers' attitudes and individual performance. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(7), 1111-1135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1314312>
- Jose, G., & Mampilly, S. R. (2015). Relationships among perceived supervisor support, psychological empowerment and employee engagement in Indian workplaces. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 30(3), 231-250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15555240.2015.1047498>

- Jung, H. S., & Yoon, H. H. (2016). What does work meaning to hospitality employees? The effects of meaningful work on employees' organizational commitment: The mediating role of job engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 53, 59-68.
- Kahn, W. A. (1992). To be fully there: Psychological presence at work. *Human relations*, 45(4), 321-349. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679204500402>
- Kahn, W. A. (2010). The essence of engagement: Lessons from the field. *Handbook of employee engagement: Perspectives, issues, research and practice*, 20-30.
- Kahn, W.A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724. <http://doi: 10.2307/256287>
- Kang, H. J. A., & Busser, J. A. (2018). Impact of service climate and psychological capital on employee engagement: The role of organizational hierarchy. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 75, 1-9.
- Kanten, S., & Sadullah, O. (2012). An empirical research on relationship quality of work life and work engagement. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 62, 360-366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.057>
- Karatepe, O. M. (2015). Do personal resources mediate the effect of perceived organizational support on emotional exhaustion and job outcomes?. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-09-2013-0417>
- Karatepe, O. M., Rezapouraghdam, H., & Hassannia, R. (2020). Job insecurity, work engagement and their effects on hotel employees' non-green and nonattendance behaviours. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 87, 102472.
- Kash, D. E. (2010). Technological innovation and culture: research needed for China and other countries. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy in China*, 1(2), 100-115. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17585521011059857>
- Kašpárková, L., Vaculík, M., Procházka, J., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2018). Why resilient workers perform better: The roles of job satisfaction and work engagement. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 33(1), 43-62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15555240.2018.1441719>
- Ke, J., Zhang, F., Yan, X., & Fu, Y. (2017). The effect of university teachers' workplace spirituality on employee engagement: Professional commitment as mediator. *Creative Education*, 8(13), 2147-2154 <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2017.813145>

- Kenzik, K. M. (2019). Health care use during cancer survivorship: review of 5 years of evidence. *Cancer*, 125(5), 673-680.
- Kiema-Junes, H., Saarinen, A., Muukkonen, H., Väyrynen, S., Ala-Mursula, L., & Hintsanen, M. (2020). Dimensions of social support in the experience of work engagement in middle age: A Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 Study. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12640>
- Kim, S. J., Kang, D., Kim, I. R., Yoon, S. E., Kim, W. S., Butow, P. N., ... & Cho, J. (2020). Impact of fear of cancer recurrence on survival among lymphoma patients. *Psycho-oncology*, 29(2), 364-372.
- Kim, J. S., Milliman, J., & Lucas, A. (2020). Effects of CSR on employee retention via identification and quality-of-work-life. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(3), 1163-1179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-06-2019-0573>
- Kim, W., Kolb, J. A., & Kim, T. (2013). The relationship between work engagement and performance: A review of empirical literature and a proposed research agenda. *Human Resource Development Review*, 12(3), 248-276. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484312461635>
- Kinjerski, V. M., & Skrypnik, B. J. (2004). Defining spirit at work: Finding common ground. *Journal of organizational change management*. 17(1), 26-42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09534810410511288>
- Klaver, K. M., Duijts, S. F., Engelhardt, E. G., Geusgens, C. A., Aarts, M. J., Ponds, R. W., ... & Schagen, S. B. (2020). Cancer-related cognitive problems at work: experiences of survivors and professionals. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 14(2), 168-178.
- Kluver, J. R., & Wicks, A. C. (2014). Decoration, self-transcendence, and spiritual expression: stakeholder cooperation and the creation of joint value in the workplace. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 11(4), 357-382.
- Knight, C., Patterson, M., & Dawson, J. (2017). Building work engagement: A systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the effectiveness of work engagement interventions. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 38(6), 792-812.
- Knudsen, H. K., Roman, P. M., & Abraham, A. J. (2013). Quality of clinical supervision and counselor emotional exhaustion: The potential mediating roles of organizational and occupational commitment. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*, 44(5), 528-533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2012.12.003>
- Kodden, B., & Groenveld, B. (2019). The mediating effect of work engagement on the relationship between person-organization fit and knowledge

sharing. *Journal of Applied Business and Economics*, 21(8).
<https://doi.org/10.33423/jabe.v21i8.2587>

- Krush, M. T., Agnihotri, R. A. J., Trainor, K. J., & Krishnakumar, S. (2013). The salesperson's ability to bounce back: Examining the moderating role of resiliency on forms of intrarole job conflict and job attitudes, behaviors and performance. *Marketing Management Journal*, 23(1), 42-56.
- Kuntz, J. R., Näswall, K., & Malinen, S. (2016). Resilient employees in resilient organizations: Flourishing beyond adversity. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 9(2), 456-462. DOI:10.1017/iop.2016.39
- Kwon, C. K. (2020). Resisting ableism in deliberately developmental organizations: A discursive analysis of the identity work of employees with disabilities. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 1-18
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21412>
- Kwon, K., & Park, J. (2019). The life cycle of employee engagement theory in HRD research. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 21(3), 352-370.
- Lavine, M., Bright, D., Powley, E. H., & Cameron, K. S. (2014). Exploring the generative potential between positive organizational scholarship and management, spirituality, and religion research. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 11(1), 6-26.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2013.801032>
- Lee, A. V., Vargo, J., & Seville, E. (2013). Developing a tool to measure and compare organizations' resilience. *Natural hazards review*, 14(1), 29-41.
- Leijten, F. R., de Wind, A., van den Heuvel, S. G., Ybema, J. F., van der Beek, A. J., Robroek, S. J., & Burdorf, A. (2015). The influence of chronic health problems and work-related factors on loss of paid employment among older workers. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 69(11), 1058-1065.
- Lent, R. W., & Brown, S. D. (2006). Integrating person and situation perspectives on work satisfaction: A social-cognitive view. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 69(2), 236-247.
- Leslie, M., Strauser, D. R., McMahon, B., Greco, C., & Rumrill, P. D. (2020). The Workplace Discrimination Experiences of Individuals with Cancer in the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act Era. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 30(1), 115-124.
- Levinson. (1965), "Reciprocation: the relationship between man and organization", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 9(4), 370-390.
- Li, M. Y., Yang, Y. L., Liu, L., & Wang, L. (2016). Effects of social support, hope and resilience on quality of life among Chinese bladder cancer

- patients: a cross-sectional study. *Health and quality of life outcomes*, 14(1), 1-9.
- Li, X., Sanders, K., & Frenkel, S. (2012). How leader–member exchange, work engagement and HRM consistency explain Chinese luxury hotel employees' job performance. *International journal of hospitality management*, 31(4), 1059-1066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.01.002>
- Lin, J. T. P., & Ping, N. C. L. (2016). Perceived job autonomy and employee engagement as predictors of organizational commitment. *Undergraduate Journal of Psychology*, 29(1).
- Lines, R. L., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., McVeigh, J. A., Ducker, K. J., Fletcher, D., & Gucciardi, D. F. (2020). Cross-sectional and longitudinal comparisons of self-reported and device-assessed physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Journal of science and medicine in sport*, 23(9), 831-835.
- Linnenluecke, M. K. (2017). Resilience in business and management research: A review of influential publications and a research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 19(1), 4-30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12076>
- Lips-Wiersma, M., & Morris, L. (2009). Discriminating between 'meaningful work' and the 'management of meaning'. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88(3), 491–511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-009-0118-9>
- Liu, S., Xin, H., Shen, L., He, J., & Liu, J. (2020). The influence of individual and team mindfulness on work engagement. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 2928.
- Liu, C., Wang, N., & Liang, H. (2020). Motivating information security policy compliance: The critical role of supervisor-subordinate guanxi and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Information Management*, 54, 102152.
- Lizano, E. L., & Barak, M. M. (2015). Job burnout and affective wellbeing: A longitudinal study of burnout and job satisfaction among public child welfare workers. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 55, 18-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2015.05.005>
- Loehlin, J. C., & Beaujean, A. A. (2016). *Latent variable models: An introduction to factor, path, and structural equation analysis*. Taylor & Francis.
- Loerzel, T. (2019). Smashing the barriers to employee engagement: Firms may boost productivity and satisfaction by taking actions on 3 fronts. *Journal of Accountancy*, 227(1), 28.

- Loredana, D., Giuseppe, C., & Vincenzo, V. (2021). Psychological Aspects to Consider in Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment. *Current Oncology Reports*, 23(3). DOI:10.1007/s11912-021-01049-3
- Lucas, R. E., Clark, A. E., Georgellis, Y., & Diener, E. (2004). Unemployment alters the set point for life satisfaction. *Psychological science*, 15(1), 8-13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2004.01501002.x>
- Ludolph, P., Kunzler, A. M., Stoffers-Winterling, J., Helmreich, I., & Lieb, K. (2019). Interventions to promote resilience in cancer patients. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*, 116(51-52), 865-872.
- Lustig, D. C., Strauser, D. R., & Donnell, C. (2003). Quality employment outcomes: Benefits for individuals with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 47(1), 5-14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00343552030470010201>
- Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., & Peterson, S. J. (2010). The development and resulting performance impact of positive psychological capital. *Human resource development quarterly*, 21(1), 41-67.
- Luthans, F. (2002). The need for and meaning of positive organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 23(6), 695-706. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.165>
- Luthans, F., & Peterson, S. J. (2002). Employee engagement and manager self-efficacy. *The Journal of Management Development*, 21(5), 376-387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710210426864>
- Luthans, F., Vogelgesang, G. R., & Lester, P. B. (2006). Developing the psychological capital of resiliency. *Human Resource Development Review*, 5(1), 25-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305285335>
- Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). Psychological capital: Investing and developing positive organizational behavior. *Positive organizational behavior*, 1(2), 9-24.
- Lysova, E. I., Allan, B. A., Dik, B. J., Duffy, R. D., & Steger, M. F. (2019). Fostering meaningful work in organizations: A multi-level review and integration. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 110, 374-389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.07.004>
- Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1, 3-30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2007.0002.x>
- MacKinnon, D. P., Valente, M. J., & Gonzalez, O. (2020). The correspondence between causal and traditional mediation analysis: The link is the mediator by treatment interaction. *Prevention Science*, 21(2), 147-157.

- MackKinnon, D. P., Cheong, J., & Pirlott, A. G. (2012). *Statistical mediation analysis*. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds.), *APA handbooks in psychology®. APA handbook of research methods in psychology, Vol. 2. Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological* (p. 313–331). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/13620-018>
- Mak, A. K. Y., Chaidaroon, S., Fan, G., & Thalib, F. (2014). Unintended consequences: the social context of cancer survivors and work. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 8*(2), 269-281.
- Malaysia. (2011). *Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015*. Economic Planning Unit, Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister's Department, Malaysia.
- Maitri, D. A., Agarwal, M. S., & Bhati, P. (2021). The Interdependence among Employee Incitement and Accomplishment by Precise Organization Development. *Psychology and Education Journal, 58*(2), 4835-4846.
- Malik, P., & Garg, P. (2020). Learning organization and work engagement: The mediating role of employee resilience. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 31*(8), 1071-1094. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1396549>
- Manot, S., & Halder, S. (2020). Cognitive functioning, self-esteem, and body image in breast cancer survivors. *International Archives of Health Sciences, 7*(4), 187.
- Marilyn Aminuddin. *Malaysian Industrial Relations*. 1990. Singapore: McGraw – Hill Book Co Publisher.
- Marques, A. P., Macedo, A. F., Lima Ramos, P., Moreno, L. H., Butt, T., Rubin, G., & Santana, R. (2019). Productivity losses and their explanatory factors amongst people with impaired vision. *Ophthalmic epidemiology, 26*(6), 378-392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2019.1632904>
- Marques, J., Dhiman, S., & King, R. (2007). *Spirituality in the workplace: What it is, why it matters, how to make it work for you*. Personhood Press.
- Martin, C. A., Rivera, D. E., Riley, W. T., Hekler, E. B., Buman, M. P., Adams, M. A., & King, A. C. (2014). *A dynamical systems model of social cognitive theory*. Paper presented at the American Control Conference, Portland.
- Marziliano, A., Tuman, M., & Moyer, A. (2020). The relationship between post-traumatic stress and post-traumatic growth in cancer patients and survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psycho-Oncology, 29*(4), 604-616.

- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (1997). *The truth about burnout: How organizations cause personal stress and what to do about it*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual review of psychology*, 52(1), 397-422. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01258>
- Matthysen, M., & Harris, C. (2018). The relationship between readiness to change and work engagement: A case study in an accounting firm undergoing change. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 16(1), 1-11.
- May, D. R., Gilson, R. L., & Harter, L. M. (2004). The psychological conditions of meaningfulness, safety and availability and the engagement of the human spirit at work. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 77(1), 11–37. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317904322915892>
- Mbous, Y. P., Patel, J., & Kelly, K. M. (2020). A systematic review and meta-analysis of physical activity interventions among colorectal cancer survivors. *Translational behavioral medicine*, 10(5), 1134-1143.
- McAdams, D. P., & Pals, J. L. (2006). A new Big Five: fundamental principles for an integrative science of personality. *American psychologist*, 61(3), 204.-217
- McLean, G. N., & McLean, L. (2001). If we can't define HRD in one country, how can we define it in an international context?. *Human Resource Development International*, 4(3), 313-326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678860110059339>
- McManus, S., Seville, E., Vargo, J., & Brunsdon, D. (2008). Facilitated process for improving organizational resilience. *Natural Hazards Review*, 9(2), 81-90. DOI:10.1061/(ASCE)1527-6988(2008)9:2(81)
- Mehnert, A. (2011). Employment and work-related issues in cancer survivors. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, 77(2), 109-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2010.01.004>
- Mehnert, A., de Boer, A., & Feuerstein, M. (2013). Employment challenges for cancer survivors. *Cancer*, 119(S11), 2151-2159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28067>
- Memon, M. A., Salleh, R., Mirza, M. Z., Cheah, J. H., Ting, H., Ahmad, M. S., & Tariq, A. (2020). Satisfaction matters: the relationships between HRM practices, work engagement and turnover intention. *International Journal of Manpower*. 0143-7720. DOI 10.1108/IJM-04-2018-0127
- Menguc, B., Auh, S., Fisher, M., & Haddad, A. (2013). To be engaged or not to be engaged: The antecedents and consequences of service employee

- engagement. *Journal of business research*, 66(11), 2163-2170.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.01.007>
- Miller, K. D., Nogueira, L., Mariotto, A. B., Rowland, J. H., Yabroff, K. R., Alfano, C. M., ... & Siegel, R. L. (2019). Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 69(5), 363-385.
- Miller, W. C. (1992). How do we put our spiritual values to work. *New Traditions in Business: Spirit and Leadership in the 21st Century*, Berrett-Koehler, San Francisco, CA, 69-80.
- Milliman, J., Czaplewski, A. J., & Ferguson, J. (2003). Workplace spirituality and employee work attitudes: An exploratory empirical assessment. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 16, 426-447.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09534810310484172>
- Milliman, J., Gatling, A., & Kim, J. S. (2018). The effect of workplace spirituality on hospitality employee engagement, intention to stay, and service delivery. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 35, 56-65.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2018.03.002>
- Ministry of Health Malaysia National cancer registry report: Malaysia cancer statistics-data and figure 2012-2016.
- Mitroff, I. I., & Denton, E. A. (1999). *A spiritual audit of corporate America: A hard look at spirituality, religion, and values in the workplace*. Jossey-Bass.
- Mitsakis, F. V. (2020). Human resource development (HRD) resilience: a new 'success element' of organizational resilience?. *Human Resource Development International*, 23(3), 321-328.
- Mohammadi, S., & Karupiah, P. (2020). Quality of work life and academic staff performance: a comparative study in public and private universities in Malaysia. *Studies in Higher Education*, 45(6), 1093-1107.
- Mone, E. M., London, M., & Mone, E. M. (2018). *Employee engagement through effective performance management: A practical guide for managers*. Routledge.
- Mongelli, M. N., Giri, S., Peipert, B. J., Helenowski, I. B., Yount, S. E., & Sturgeon, C. (2020). Financial burden and quality of life among thyroid cancer survivors. *Surgery*, 167(3), 631-637.
- Murray, M., & Evers, F. T. (2011). Reweaving the fabric: Leadership and spirituality in the 21st century. *Interbeing*, 5(1), 5-15.
- Musa, S. N. S., Hamzah, S. R., Asmiran, S., & Ismail, I. A. (2020). A Career Development Perspective among Young Adults Cancer Survivors.

International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 10(16), 41–57.

- Musenze, I. A., Mayende, T. S., Wampande, A. J., Kasango, J., & Emojong, O. R. (2020). Mechanism between perceived organizational support and work engagement: explanatory role of self-efficacy. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-02-2020-0016>
- Nadler, Z., & Nadler, L. (2012). *Designing Training Programs* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Taylor and Francis.
- Nasurdin, A. M., Ling, T. C., & Khan, S. N. (2018). Linking Social Support, Work Engagement and Job Performance in Nursing. *International Journal of Business & Society*, 19(2).
- Näswall, K., Kuntz, J., and Malinen, S. (2015) Employee Resilience Scale (EmpRes): Technical Report. *Resilient Organisations Research Report Christchurch, New Zealand*. 2015/04. ISSN 1178-7279.
- Nawrin, R. (2018). Mediating role of meaningful work between resources and work engagement in Bangladesh's private banks. *Management & Marketing. Challenges for the Knowledge Society*, 13(1), 777-795. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mmcks-2018-0005>
- Naz, S., Li, C., Nisar, Q. A., Khan, M. A. S., Ahmad, N., & Anwar, F. (2020). A Study in the Relationship Between Supportive Work Environment and Employee Retention: Role of Organizational Commitment and Person–Organization Fit as Mediators. *SAGE Open*, 10(2), 2158244020924694.
- Neal, J. A., & Bennett, J. (2000). Examining multi-level or holistic spiritual phenomena in the work place. *Management, Spirituality, and Religion Newsletter, Academy of Management*, 1, 1-2.
- Neck, C.P. & Milliman, J.F. (1994), "Thought Self-leadership: Finding Spiritual Fulfilment in Organizational Life", *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 9(6), 9-16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683949410070151>
- Nekhlyudov, L., Mollica, M. A., Jacobsen, P. B., Mayer, D. K., Shulman, L. N., & Geiger, A. M. (2019). Developing a quality of cancer survivorship care framework: implications for clinical care, research, and policy. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 111(11), 1120-1130.
- Newman, R. M., Alfano, C. M., Radomski, M. V., Pergolotti, M., Wolf, T. J., Sleight, A. G., ... & Lyons, K. D. (2019). Catalyzing research to optimize cancer survivors' participation in work and life roles. *OTJR: occupation, participation and health*, 39(4), 189-196.

- Nienaber, H., & Martins, N. (2020). Exploratory study: determine which dimensions enhance the levels of employee engagement to improve organisational effectiveness. *The TQM Journal*, 32(3), 475-495.
- Noor, S. M., & Abdullah, M. A. (2012). Quality work life among factory workers in Malaysia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 739-745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.02.144>
- Novitasari, D., Asbari, M., Purwanto, A., Fahmalatif, F., Sudargini, Y., Hidayati, L. H., & Wiratama, J. (2021). The Influence of Social Support Factors on Performance: A Case Study of Elementary School Teachers. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies*, 2(1), 41-52.
- Nuccio, A. G., & Stripling, A. M. (2020). Resilience and post-traumatic growth following late life polyvictimization: A scoping review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 101481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2020.101481>
- Ochoa Arnedo, C., Sánchez, N., Sumalla, E. C., & Casellas-Grau, A. (2019). Stress and growth in cancer: Mechanisms and psychotherapeutic interventions to facilitate a constructive balance. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 177.
- Ojo, A. O., Fawehinmi, O., & Yusliza, M. Y. (2021). Examining the predictors of resilience and work engagement during the covid-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2902.
- Okolie, U. C., Ochinanwata, C., Ochinanwata, N., Igwe, P. A., & Okorie, G. O. (2021). Perceived supervisor support and learner's career curiosity: the mediating effect of sense of belonging, engagement and self-efficacy. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/HESWBL-09-2020-0207>
- Omar, Z. (2014). Work Engagement among Breast Cancer Survivors: Are They Less Engaged in Their Work?. *International Journal of Public Health Research*, 4(2), 457-464.
- Orgambidez, A., Borrego, Y., & Vázquez-Aguado, O. (2020). Linking Self efficacy to Quality of Working Life: The Role of Work Engagement. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 42(10), 821-828. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945919897637>
- Orr, D., & Matthews, H. (2012). Employee engagement and OD strategies. *Handbook for Strategic HR: Best Practices in Organization Development from the OD Network*, 349.
- Oshio, A., Taku, K., Hirano, M., & Saeed, G. (2018). Resilience and Big Five personality traits: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 127, 54-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.01.048>

- Otaye-Ebede, L., Shaffakat, S., & Foster, S. (2019). A multilevel model examining the relationships between workplace spirituality, ethical climate and outcomes: a social cognitive theory perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04133-8>
- Paek, S., Schuckert, M., Kim, T. T., & Lee, G. (2015). Why is hospitality employees' psychological capital important? The effects of psychological capital on work engagement and employee morale. *International journal of hospitality management*, 50, 9-26.
- Pallant, C. (2011). *Demystifying Disney: a history of Disney feature animation*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- Park, S., & Park, S. (2020). How can employees adapt to change? Clarifying the adaptive performance concepts. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*. 32:E1–E15.
- Pattnaik, S. C., & Panda, N. (2020). Supervisor support, work engagement and turnover intentions: evidence from Indian call centres. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 1558-7894. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-08-2019-0261>
- Paul, M., Jena, L. K., & Sahoo, K. (2020). Workplace spirituality and workforce agility: A psychological exploration among teaching professionals. *Journal of religion and health*, 59(1), 135-153.
- Paulin, D., & Griffin, B. (2016). The relationships between incivility, team climate for incivility and job-related employee well-being: a multilevel analysis. *Work & Stress*, 30(2), 132-151.
- PEMANDU (2011). The government transformation programme: The annual report, 2011, Jabatan Perdana Menteri, Malaysia.
- Peikert, M. L., Inhestern, L., Krauth, K. A., Escherich, G., Rutkowski, S., Kandels, D., & Bergelt, C. (2020). Returning to daily life: a qualitative interview study on parents of childhood cancer survivors in Germany. *BMJ open*, 10(3), e033730.
- Petchsawang, P., & McLean, G. N. (2017). Workplace spirituality, mindfulness meditation, and work engagement. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 14(3), 216-244. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2017.1291360>
- Peteet, J. R. (2000). Cancer and the meaning of work. *General hospital psychiatry*, 22(3), 200-205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-8343\(00\)00076-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-8343(00)00076-1)
- Pfeffer, J. (2010). Business and the spirit: Management practices that sustain values. In *Handbook of workplace spirituality and organizational performance* (pp. 43-59). Routledge.

- Platania, S., Castellano, S., Petralia, M. C., Digrandi, F., Coco, M., Pizzo, M., & Di Nuovo, S. (2020). The moderating effect of the dispositional resilience on the relationship between Post-traumatic Stress Disorder and the professional quality of life of the military returning from the peacekeeping operations. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 8(3).
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual review of psychology*, 63, 539-569.
- Polit, Beck, C Tatano, & Hungler, BP. (2001). Essentials of nursing research. *Methods*.
- Poon, L. H. J., Yu, C. P., Peng, L., Ewig, C. L. Y., Zhang, H., Li, C. K., & Cheung, Y. T. (2019). Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes in Asian survivors of childhood cancer: a systematic review. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 13(3), 374-396.
- Popa, A. E., Morândău, F., Popa, R. I., Rusu, M. S., & Sidor, A. (2020). Supporting the return to work after cancer in Romania: exploring employers' perspectives. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*, 30(1), 59-71.
- Poulsen, M. G., Khan, A., Poulsen, E. E., Khan, S. R., & Poulsen, A. A. (2016). Work engagement in cancer care: The power of co-worker and supervisor support. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 21, 134-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2015.09.003>
- Pramanik, P. D., Dewi, T. R., & Ingkadijaya, R. (2020). Is the Higher Employees' Resilience, the Higher Their Work Engagement Will Be?. *TRJ (Tourism Research Journal)*, 4(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.30647/trj.v4i1.72>
- Preacher, & Hayes. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers*, 36(4), 717-731.
- Preacher, & Hayes. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior research methods*, 40(3), 879-891.
- Pudkasam, S., Polman, R., Pitcher, M., Fisher, M., Chinlumprasert, N., Stojanovska, L., & Apostolopoulos, V. (2018). Physical activity and breast cancer survivors: Importance of adherence, motivational interviewing and psychological health. *Maturitas*, 116, 66-72.
- Quinn, R. E., & Rohrbaugh, J. (1983). A spatial model of effectiveness criteria: Towards a competing values approach to organizational analysis. *Management science*, 29(3), 363-377. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.29.3.363>

- Rahman, M.S., Osmangani, A.M., Daud, N.M., Chowdhury, A.H. and Hassan, H. (2015). Trust and work place spirituality on knowledge sharing behaviour: Perspective from non-academic staff of higher learning institutions, *The Learning Organization*, 22(6), 317-332. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-05-2015-0032>
- Rahman, M.S., Zaman, M.H., Hossain, M.A., Mannan, M. and Hassan, H. (2019). "Mediating effect of employee's commitment on workplace spirituality and executive's sales performance: An empirical investigation", *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 10(4), 1057-1073. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-02-2018-0024>
- Ramayah, T., Yeap, J. A., Ahmad, N. H., Halim, H. A., & Rahman, S. A. (2017). Testing a confirmatory model of facebook usage in smartPLS using consistent PLS. *International Journal of Business and Innovation*, 3(2), 1-14.
- Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). Citizen's adoption of an e-government system: Validating extended social cognitive theory (SCT). *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(2), 172-181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.02.002>
- Ranasinghe, V. R., & Samarasinghe, S. M. (2019). The Effect of Workplace Spirituality on Innovative Work Behavior. *International Business Research*, 12(12), 29-38. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v12n12p29>
- Rashidin, M. S., Javed, S., & Liu, B. (2019). Empirical study on spirituality, employee's engagement and job satisfaction: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 1-13.
- Rasmussen, D. M., & Elverdam, B. (2008). The meaning of work and working life after cancer: an interview study. *Psycho-Oncology*, 17(12), 1232-1238. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1354>
- Rees, C., Alfes, K., & Gatenby, M. (2013). Employee voice and engagement: connections and consequences. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(14), 2780-2798. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2013.763843>
- Richard, H. J., & Oldham, G. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational behavior and human performance*, 16(2), 250-279.
- Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Straub, D. W. (2012). Editor's comments: a critical look at the use of PLS-SEM in "MIS Quarterly". *MIS quarterly*, iii-xiv.
- Robledo, E., Zappalà, S., & Topa, G. (2019). Job crafting as a mediator between work engagement and wellbeing outcomes: A time-lagged study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(8), 1376.

- Robinson D., Perryman S., and Hayday S. (2004). The Drivers of Employee Engagement Report 408, Brighton, Institute for Employment Studies, UK.
- Riley, R. D., Ensor, J., Snell, K. I., Harrell, F. E., Martin, G. P., Reitsma, J. B., ... & van Smeden, M. (2020). Calculating the sample size required for developing a clinical prediction model. *Bmj*, 368.
- Rock, A. D., & Garavan, T. N. (2011). *Understanding the relational characteristics of effective mentoring and developmental relationships at work*. In Supporting Workplace Learning (107-127). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Rodríguez Montalbán, R. L., Martínez Lugo, M., & Salanova Soria, M. (2014). Organizational Justice, Work Engagement and Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: A Winning Combination. *Universitas Psychologica*, 13(3), 961-974. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.UPSY13-3.joet>
- Roof, R. A. (2015). The association of individual spirituality on employee engagement: The spirit at work. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 130(3), 585-599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2246-0>
- Raque-Bogdan, T. L., Hoffman, M. A., Ginter, A. C., Piontkowski, S., Schexnayder, K., & White, R. (2015). The work life and career development of young breast cancer survivors. *Journal of counseling psychology*, 62(4), 655-669.
- Rossi, A. M., Meurs, J. A., & Perrew., P. L. (2013). *Improving Employee Health and Well Being*. United States of America: Information Age Publishing Inc.
- Rosso, B. D., Dekas, K. H., & Wrzesniewski, A. (2010). On the meaning of work: A theoretical integration and review. *Research in organizational behavior*, 30, 91-127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2010.09.001>
- Roy, V., Ruel, S., Ivers, H., Savard, M. H., Gouin, J. P., Caplette-Gingras, A., ... & Savard, J. (2020). Stress-buffering effect of social support on immunity and infectious risk during chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity-Health*, 100186.
- Ruini, C., Vescovelli, F., & Albieri, E. (2013). Post-traumatic growth in breast cancer survivors: new insights into its relationships with well-being and distress. *Journal of clinical psychology in medical settings*, 20(3), 383-391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-012-9340-1>
- Saari, L. M., & Judge, T. A. (2004). Employee attitudes and job satisfaction. *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 43(4), 395-407.

- Sahni, J. (2019). Role of Quality of Work Life in Determining Employee Engagement and Organizational Commitment in Telecom Industry. *International Journal for Quality Research*, 13(2).
- Saks, A. M. (2021). Caring human resources management and employee engagement. *Human Resource Management Review*, 100835.
- Saks, A. M. (2005). Job search success: A review and integration of the predictors, behaviors, and outcomes. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), *Career development and counseling: Putting theory and research to work* (pp. 155-179). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of managerial psychology*, 21(7), 600-619. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169>
- Saks, A. M. (2011). Workplace spirituality and employee engagement. *Journal of management, spirituality & religion*, 8(4), 317-340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2011.630170>
- Saks, A. M. (2019). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement revisited. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*. 6(1), 19-38. DOI:10.1108/JOEPP-06-2018-0034
- Saks, A. M., & Gruman, J. A. (2014). What do we really know about employee engagement?. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 25(2), 155-182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21187>
- Schaltegger, S., & Burritt, R. (2018). Business cases and corporate engagement with sustainability: Differentiating ethical motivations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 147(2), 241-259.
- Schaufeli, H. B., & Salanova, M. (2010). How to improve work engagement?. *Handbook of employee engagement: Perspectives, issues, research and practice*, 399.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.248>
- Schaufeli W.B., Taris T.W. (2014). A Critical Review of the Job Demands-Resources Model: Implications for Improving Work and Health. In: Bridging Occupational, Organizational and Public Health. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5640-3_4
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness studies*, 3(1), 71-92. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015630930326>

- Schmitt, A., Den Hartog, D. N., & Belschak, F. D. (2016). Transformational leadership and proactive work behaviour: A moderated mediation model including work engagement and job strain. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 89(3), 588-610. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12143>
- Schnell, T., Höge, T., & Pollet, E. (2013). Predicting meaning in work: Theory, data, implications. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 8(6), 543–554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2013.830763>
- Seiler, A., & Jenewein, J. (2019). Resilience in cancer patients. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 10, 208. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00208>
- Sekaran, U. (2009). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (4th ed.). U.S.A.: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Shahpouri, S., Namdari, K., & Abedi, A. (2016). Mediating role of work engagement in the relationship between job resources and personal resources with turnover intention among female nurses. *Applied Nursing Research*, 30, 216-221.
- Pawar, B. S. (2016). Workplace spirituality and employee well-being: An empirical examination. *Employee Relations*, 38(6), 975-994. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-11-2015-0215>
- Shin, Y., Hur, W. M., & Choi, W. H. (2020). Coworker support as a double edged sword: A moderated mediation model of job crafting, work engagement, and job performance. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(11), 1417-1438. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1407352>
- Shimada, S., Aoyanagi, M., & Sumi, N. (2021, March). Components and Related Factors of Nursing Interventions for Improving Resilience in Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy. In *Healthcare*, 9(3), 300-313.
- Shuck, B. & Wollard, K. (2010). Employee engagement and HRD: a seminal review of the foundations. *Human Resource Development Review*, 9(1), 89-110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1534484309353560>
- Shuck, B., & Reio Jr, T. G. (2014). Employee engagement and well-being: A moderation model and implications for practice. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 21(1), 43-58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051813494240>
- Shuck, B., & Rose, K. (2013). Reframing employee engagement within the context of meaning and purpose: Implications for HRD. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 15(4), 341-355.

- Shuck, B., Collins, J. C., Rocco, T. S., & Diaz, R. (2016). Deconstructing the privilege and power of employee engagement: Issues of inequality for management and human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(2), 208-229.
- Singh, A. S. (2014). Conducting Case Study Research in Non-Profit Organisations. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 17, 77-84.
- Singh, J., & Chopra, V. G. (2018). Workplace spirituality, grit and work engagement. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 14(1-2), 50-59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2319510X18811776>
- Sirgy, M. J., Efraty, D., Siegel, P., & Lee, D. J. (2001). A new measure of quality of work life (QWL) based on need satisfaction and spillover theories. *Social indicators research*, 55(3), 241-302. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010986923468>
- Smith, D. B., & Shields, J. (2013). Factors related to social service workers' job satisfaction: Revisiting Herzberg's motivation to work. *Administration in Social Work*, 37(2), 189-198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03643107.2012.673217>
- Sonnentag, S. (2003). Recovery, work engagement, and proactive behavior: a new look at the interface between nonwork and work. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(3), 518. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.3.518>
- Sopa, A., Asbari, M., Purwanto, A., Santoso, P. B., Mustofa, D. H., Maesaroh, S., & Primahendra, R. (2020). Hard Skills versus Soft Skills: Which are More Important for Indonesian Employees Innovation Capability. *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 13(2), 156-175.
- Stacey, F. G., James, E. L., Chapman, K., Courneya, K. S., & Lubans, D. R. (2015). A systematic review and meta-analysis of social cognitive theory-based physical activity and/or nutrition behavior change interventions for cancer survivors. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 9(2), 305-338.
- Steenkamp, P. L., & Basson, J. S. (2013). A meaningful workplace: Framework, space and context. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 69(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v69i1.1258>
- Steger, M. F. (2017). Creating meaning and purpose at work. *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of the psychology of positivity and strengths-based approaches at work* (pp. 60-81). New York: Wiley.
- Steger, M. F., & Dik, B. J. (2009). If one is looking for meaning in life, does it help to find meaning in work? *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-*

Being, 1(3), 303–320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01018.x>

- Steiner, J. F., Cavender, T. A., Main, D. S., & Bradley, C. J. (2004). Assessing the impact of cancer on work outcomes: what are the research needs?. *Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Society*, 101(8), 1703-1711. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.20564>
- Stergiou-Kita, M., Pritlove, C., & Kirsh, B. (2016). The “Big C”—stigma, cancer, and workplace discrimination. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 10(6), 1035-1050.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validation and multinomial prediction. *Biometrika*, 6(13), 509-515. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/61.3.509>
- Stone, Mervyn. (1977). An asymptotic equivalence of choice of model by cross-validation and Akaike's criterion. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 44-47.
- Strauser, D. R., Leslie, M. J., Rumrill, P., McMahon, B., & Greco, C. (2020). The employment discrimination experiences of younger and older Americans with cancer under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 14(5), 614-623.
- Strauser, D. R., Wong, A. W. K., & O'Sullivan, D. (2011). Career development, vocational behavior, and work adjustment. In D. R. Maki & V. M. Tarvydas (Eds.), *The professional practice of rehabilitation counselling* (pp. 311-335). New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company.
- Sullivan Havens, D., Warshawsky, N. E., & Vasey, J. (2013). RN work engagement in generational cohorts: The view from rural US hospitals. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 21(7), 927-940. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12171>
- Sürücü, I., & Maslakçı, A. (2020). Validity and reliability in quantitative research. *Business & Management Studies: An International Journal*, 8(3), 2694-2726.
- Swanberg, J. E., Nichols, H. M., Ko, J., Tracy, J. K., & Vanderpool, R. C. (2017). Managing cancer and employment: Decisions and strategies used by breast cancer survivors employed in low-wage jobs. *Journal of psychosocial oncology*, 35(2), 180-201.
- Swanson, R. A. (1995). Human resource development: Performance is the key. *Human resource development quarterly*, 6(2), 207-213.
- Swindell, K. (2019). Faith, work, farming and business: The role of the spiritual in West African livelihoods. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 54(6), 819-837. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021909619840754>

- Tabachnick, & Fidell. (2001). *Multivariate statistics. Needham Heights, MA:Allyn, 5.*
- Tehseen, S., Ramayah, T., & Sajilan, S. (2017). Testing and controlling for common method variance: a review of available methods. *Journal of Management Sciences, 4*(2), 142-168.
- Tenenhaus, Vinzi, Chatelin, & Lauro. (2005). PLS path modeling. *Computational statistics & data analysis, 48*(1), 159-205.
- Thomas, K. W. (2009). *Intrinsic motivation at work.* San Francisco, CA, USA: Berrett-Koehler.
- Tomich, P. L., & Helgeson, V. S. (2002). Five years later: A cross-sectional comparison of breast cancer survivors with healthy women. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer, 11*(2), 154-169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.570>
- Toscano-del Cairo, C.A., Vesga-Rodríguez, J.J. & Avendaño-Prieto, B.L. (2020). Quality of life at work and its relationship with engagement. *Acta Colombiana de Psicología, 23*(1), 138-146. <http://www.doi.org/10.14718/ACP.2020.23.1.7>
- Townsend, K., Wilkinson, A., & Burgess, J. (2014). Routes to partial success: Collaborative employment relations and employee engagement. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 25*(6), 915-930. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.743478>
- Travis, D. J., Lizano, E. L., & Mor Barak, M. E. (2016). 'I'm so stressed!': A longitudinal model of stress, burnout and engagement among social workers. in child welfare settings. *The British Journal of Social Work, 46*(4), 1076-1095. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bct205>
- Truss, C., Shantz, A., Soane, E., Alfes, K., & Delbridge, R. (2013). Employee engagement, organisational performance and individual well-being: exploring the evidence, developing the theory. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 24*(14), 2657-2669. 10.1080/09585192.2013.798921
- Tutar, H., & Oruç, E. (2020). Examining the effect of personality traits on workplace spirituality. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 28*(5), 1005-1017. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-09-2019-1891>
- Üzar-Özçetin, Y. S., & Hiçdurmaz, D. (2019). Effects of an empowerment program on resilience and posttraumatic growth levels of cancer survivors: randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Cancer nursing, 42*(6), E1-E13. doi: 10.1097/NCC.0000000000000644
- Van Berkel, J., Boot, C. R., Proper, K. I., Bongers, P. M., & van der Beek, A. J. (2014). Effectiveness of a worksite mindfulness-related multi-

component health promotion intervention on work engagement and mental health: results of a randomized controlled trial. *PloS one*, 9(1), e84118. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084118>

Van Bogaert, P., Clarke, S., Willems, R., & Mondelaers, M. (2013). Nurse practice environment, workload, burnout, job outcomes, and quality of care in psychiatric hospitals: a structural equation model approach. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 69(7), 1515-1524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12010>

van der Walt, F. (2018). Workplace spirituality, work engagement and thriving at work. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 44(1), 1-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v44i0.1457>

Van der Walt, F., & De Klerk, J. J. (2014). Workplace spirituality and job satisfaction. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(3), 379-389. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.908826>

van Maarschalkerweerd, P. E., Schaapveld, M., Paalman, C. H., Aaronson, N. K., & Duijts, S. F. (2019). Changes in employment status, barriers to, and facilitators of (return to) work in breast cancer survivors 5–10 years after diagnosis. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 4(21), 3052-3058. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1583779>

Van Woerkom, M., & Kroon, B. (2020). The Effect of Strengths-Based Performance Appraisal on Perceived Supervisor Support and the Motivation to Improve Performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1883.

Verbeek, J. H. A. M. (2006). How can doctors help their patients to return to work? *PLoS Medicine*, 3(3), 0312-0315.

Verbeek, J. H. A. M., & Spelten, E. R. (2007). Work. In M. Feuerstein (Ed.), *Handbook of Cancer Survivorship*. New York, NY: Springer.

Vesely, S., & Klöckner, C. A. (2020). Social desirability in environmental psychology research: three meta-analyses. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11:1395.

Von Ah, D., & Crouch, A. (2021). Relationship of perceived everyday cognitive function and work engagement in breast cancer survivors. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 1-7.

Vörösmarty, G., & Dobos, I. (2020, October). Green purchasing frameworks considering firm size: a multicollinearity analysis using variance inflation factor. In *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal* (Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 290-301). Taylor & Francis.

Wahlberg, T.A., Ramalho, N. and Brochado, A. (2017), "Quality of working life and engagement in hostels", *Tourism Review*, 72(4), pp. 411-428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-03-2017-0050>

- Walsh, D. M., Morrison, T. G., Conway, R. J., Rogers, E., Sullivan, F. J., & Groarke, A. (2018). A model to predict psychological-and health-related adjustment in men with prostate cancer: the role of post traumatic growth, physical post traumatic growth, resilience and mindfulness. *Frontiers in psychology*, 9, 136. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00136>
- Waller, L. (2020). Fostering a Sense of Belonging in the Workplace: Enhancing Well-Being and a Positive and Coherent Sense of Self. *The Palgrave Handbook of Workplace Well-Being*, 1-27.
- Wan, Q., Li, Z., Zhou, W., & Shang, S. (2018). Effects of work environment and job characteristics on the turnover intention of experienced nurses: The mediating role of work engagement. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 74(6), 1332-1341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13528>
- Wang, J., Cooke, F. L., & Huang, W. (2014). How resilient is the (future) workforce in China? A study of the banking sector and implications for human resource development. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 52(2), 132-154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12026>
- Wang, Z., Li, C., & Li, X. (2017). Resilience, leadership and work engagement: The mediating role of positive affect. *Social Indicators Research*, 132(2), 699-708. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-016-1306-5>
- Werts, C. E., Linn, R. L., & Joreskog, K. G. (1974). Interclass reliability estimates: Testing structural assumptions. *Education and Psychological Measurement*, 34, 25-33. doi:10.1177/001316447403400104
- Wetzels, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G., & Van Oppen, C. (2009). Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: Guidelines and empirical illustration. *MIS quarterly*, 177-195.
- Wolter, C., Santa Maria, A., Gusy, B., Lesener, T., Kleiber, D., & Renneberg, B. (2019). Social support and work engagement in police work. *Policing: An International Journal*. 42(6) 1022-1037. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-10-2018-0154>
- Wong, K. K. K. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) techniques using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, 24(1), 1-32.
- Wood, R. E., & Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of organisational management. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(3), 361-384. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279067>
- Woods, S. A., & Sofat, J. A. (2013). Personality and engagement at work: The mediating role of psychological meaningfulness. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 43(11), 2203-2210.

- Windle, G., Bennett, K. M., MacLeod, C., & CFAS WALES research team. (2020). The influence of life experiences on the development of resilience in older people with co-morbid health problems. *Frontiers in medicine*, 7:502314. [10.3389/fmed.2020.502314](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.502314)
- Winwood, P. C., Colon, R., & McEwen, K. (2013). A practical measure of workplace resilience: Developing the resilience at work scale. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 55(10), 1205-1212. doi: [10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182a2a60a](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182a2a60a)
- Wrzesniewski, A., Dutton, J. E., & Debebe, G. (2003). Interpersonal sensemaking and the meaning of work. *Research in organizational behavior*, 25, 93-135. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085\(03\)25003-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085(03)25003-6)
- Xanthopoulou, D., Baker, A. B., Heuven, E., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2008). Working in the sky: a diary study on work engagement among flight attendants. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, 13(4), 345-356.
- Xu, Y. E., & Chopik, W. J. (2020). Identifying moderators in the link between workplace discrimination and health/well-being. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11, 458.
- Yagil, D., Eshed-Lavi, N., Carel, R., & Cohen, M. (2019). Return to work of cancer survivors: Predicting Healthcare Professionals' Assumed Role Responsibility. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*, 29(2), 443-450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-018-9807-5>
- Yahya, K. K., Tee, C. W., & Johari, J. (2018). Employee Engagement: A Study on Gen Y in the Manufacturing Industry. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 4(1), 37-44.
- Yongxing, G., Hongfei, D., Baoguo, X., & Lei, M. (2017). Work engagement and job performance: the moderating role of perceived organizational support. *Anales de Psicología/Annals of Psychology*, 33(3), 708-713. <https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.33.3.238571>
- Yoon, M. O. (2009). The spiritual well-being and the spiritual nursing care of nurses for cancer patients. *The Korean Journal of hospice and palliative care*, 12(2), 72-79.
- Younas, M., & Bari, M. W. (2020). The relationship between talent management practices and retention of generation 'Y' employees: mediating role of competency development. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 33(1), 1330-1353.
- Youssef, C. M., & Luthans, F. (2007). Positive organizational behavior in the workplace: The impact of hope, optimism, and resilience. *Journal of management*, 33(5), 774-800. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307305562>

Zikmund, Babin, Carr, & Griffin. (2013). *Business research methods*: Cengage Learning.

Zyphur, M. J., & Pierides, D. C. (2017). Is quantitative research ethical? Tools for ethically practicing, evaluating, and using quantitative research. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 143(1), 1-16



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Siti Nur Syuhada Musa, Ph.D is a Young Academic Scheme of Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education, Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia. She had her Bachelor in Defence Human Resource Management from National Defence University of Malaysia (NDUM) and M.S and Ph.D in Human Resource Development from Universiti Putra Malaysia. She has received KPM scholarship (SLAB and Tenaga Akademik Muda (TAM) to pursue her PhD. Specialized in Human Resource Development field, she has done her research on the area of Organisational Development, Employee Engagement in Organization, Quality of Working life, organisational resilience and workplace spirituality. She has formal training in demography and expertise in quantitative data collection and analysis with 3+ years of research assistant experience which required her to coordinate and oversee research projects involving conducting research, analysing results and generating original ideas based on the outcomes.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Musa, S. N. S.**, Hamzah, S. R., Asmiran, S., & Ismail, I. A. (2020). A Career Development Perspective among Young Adults Cancer Survivors. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(16), 41–57. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i16/8291>
- Musa, S. N. S.**, Hamzah, S. R., Muda, Z., & Asimiran, S. (2021). The Role of Workplace Spirituality and Workplace Support Determining Work Engagement among Employed Cancer Survivors. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(4), 797-813. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i4/9480>
- Musa, S. N. S.**, Hamzah, S. R., Asimiran, S., & Muda, Z. (2021). Factors Influencing Work Engagement Among Cancer Survivors In Malaysia. *International Journal of Modern Trends in Social Sciences*, 4(15), 43-53. <http://dx.doi.org/10.35631/IJMTSS.415004>
- Hamzah, S. R. A., Kai Le, K., & **Musa, S. N. S.** (2021). The mediating role of career decision self-efficacy on the relationship of career emotional intelligence and self-esteem with career adaptability among university students. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 26(1), 83-93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2021.1886952>
- Hamzah, S. R. A., **Musa, S. N. S.**, Muda, Z., & Ismail, M. (2020). Quality of working life and career engagement of cancer survivors: the mediating role of effect of disease and treatment. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 45(2/3), 181-199. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-02-2020-0015>
- Siti Raba'ah Hamzah, Turiman Suandi & **Siti Nur Syuhada Musa** (2019). Profil Kesukarelawanan Belia Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Youth Studies*, 19, 18-37.