

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

LIFE CYCLE AND JUSTIFICATION OF SUSTAINABLE CHEMICAL CONTROL OF OIL PALM FRUIT BUNCH MOTHS ON PEAT OIL PALM ESTATES

SU CHONG MING

FSPM 2020 2



LIFE CYCLE AND JUSTIFICATION OF SUSTAINABLE CHEMICAL CONTROL OF OIL PALM FRUIT BUNCH MOTHS ON PEAT OIL PALM ESTATES.

By

SU CHONG MING

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, In Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

LIFE CYCLE AND JUSTIFICATION OF SUSTAINABLE CHEMICAL CONTROL OF OIL PALM FRUIT BUNCH MOTHS ON PEAT OIL PALM ESTATES.

By

SU CHONG MING

October 2020

Chairman: Patricia King Jie Hung, PhDFaculty: Agriculture and Food Sciences, Bintulu Campus

Indonesia and Malaysia are the world's biggest oil palm producers that contribute 85% of the global palm oil supply, equivalent to 34% of world vegetable oils consumption in 2018. However, the oil palm industry is facing multifaceted challenges; among them are severe oil palm bunch moth, *Tirathaba mundella* Walker infestation that adversely affected the oil palm yield. In order to effectively and sustainably manage the pest, their life cycle and sensitivity to pesticide need to be understand. This study aims to formulate Tirathaba-specific artificial diet to allow laboratory rearing of the pest to study their life cycle. Second, to determine the economic destruction by T. mundella in the field through inter-correlate field census, fruit set counting and oil to bunch analysis. Third, to determine the optimum dosage of an anthranilic diamide insecticide, chlorantraniliprole as well as chromafenozide, an insect growth regulator against oil palm bunch moth, T. mundella Walker. Fourth, to identify the impact of insect growth regulators (IGRs) and other potential chemicals on the survival of oil palm pollinators, *Elaeidobius* kamerunicus. Last but not least, to evaluate the effectiveness of rotation mechanisms among chlorantraniliprole, insect growth regulators (IGRs), biological insecticide, Bacillus thuringiensis and other potential weevil friendly chemical insecticides with different mode of actions against T. mundella Walker in young mature oil palm plantation. The study found that the mean lifespan of T. mundella was 47 ± 4 days with 49% spent as larvae. The economic damage caused by T. mundella mainly in larvae stage and the result showed that the larvae count was positively correlated with the economic losses and number of malformed fruitlets. The overall oil extraction rate (OER) of moderate and severely infested fruit bunches was significantly reduced as compared to clean fruit bunches. Based on average crude palm oil market price and production per hectare, an Economic Injury Level (EIL) for T. mundella was estimated at 110 bunches with moderate infestation or 81 bunches of severe infestation. Such a low EIL would require an effective pest control approach that is not only cost effective and which will not harm the pollinating weevil. The results of this study suggested that both chromafenozide and pyridalyl were weevil friendly insecticides in addition to chlorantraniliprole, which has been established as weevil friendly insecticides in previous study. The optimum and most cost-effective pest control approach for one-year protection was found to be two rounds of 30.0 g active ingredient of chlorantraniliprole per ha rotated with two rounds of 25.0 g active ingredient of chromafenozide. The finding of this study would benefit future pest management practice in oil palm plantation established on peatland.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KITARAN HIDUP DAN JUSTIFIKASI KAWALAN KUPU-KUPU TANDAN BUAH KELAPA SAWIT SECARA KIMIA DAN LESTARI DI LADANG-LADANG KELAPA SAWIT ATAS TANAH GAMBUT.

Oleh

SU CHONG MING

Oktober 2020

Pengerusi : Patricia King Jie Hung, PhD Fakulti : Sains Pertanian dan Makanan, Kampus Bintulu

Malaysia dan Indonesia merupakan pengeluar minyak kelapa sawit yang terbesar di dunia dan turut menyumbang kepada 85% bekalan minyak sawit sedunia, bersamaan dengan penggunaan 34% minyak sayuran sedunia pada tahun 2018. Walau bagaimanapun, industri kelapa sawit sedang menghadapi pelbagai aspek cabaran, antaranya termasuklah serangan kupu-kupu tandan buah kelapa sawit, Tirathaba mundella Walker yang memberi kesan negatif ke atas hasil kelapa sawit. Untuk mengawal serangga perosak ini secara berterusan dengan lebih berkesan, kitaran hidup dan tahap kepekaannya terhadap racun serangga perlu difahami. Pertama, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mencipta formulasi diet tiruan khas untuk Tirathaba supaya ia dapat dipelihara di dalam makmal untuk pengajian kitaran hidup mereka. Kedua, untuk menentukan aras kerosakan ekonomi bagi T. mundella dalam ladang kelapa sawit melalui perkaitan antara bancian dalam ladang, peratusan biji buah kelapa sawit yang baik dan peratusan kandungan minyak dalam tandan buah kelapa sawit. Ketiga, untuk menentukan kadar optima racun serangga jenis 'anthranilic diamide' iaitu klorantaniliprole dan juga khromafenozide, sejenis pengawal selia pertumbuhan serangga terhadap kupu-kupu tandan buah kelapa sawit, T. mundella Walker. Keempat, untuk mengesan implikasi pengawal selia pertumbuhan serangga dan racun-racun perosak berpotensi yang lain terhadap kehidupan serangga pendebungaan kelapa sawit, Elaeidobius kamerunicus. Yang terakhir tetapi tidak kurang pentingnya daripada yang lain adalah untuk menilai keberkesanan kaedah penggunaan racun perosak seperti klorantraniliprole, pengawal selia pertumbuhan serangga, racun perosak biologi, Bacillus thuringiensis dan racun-racun perosak berpotensi yang mesra terhadap serangga pendebungaan dalam pengawalan T. mundella Walker di ladang-ladang kelapa sawit matang muda. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa purata kitaran hidup bagi T. mundella adalah 47 ± 4 hari dengan sebanyak 49% masa dalam peringat larva. Kerosakan ekonomi dalam tandan-tandan buah kelapa sawit kebanyakkannya disebabkan oleh larva-larva T. mundella. Keputusan dalam kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa bilangan larva-larva mempunyai perkaitan yang positif dengan kerugian ekonomik dan kandungan jumlah biji-biji buah sawit yang tidak subur. Kadar pemerahan minyak yang diperolehi dari

tandan-tandan buah kelapa sawit yang telah diserang secara sederhana teruk dan teruk sekali adalah berkurangan secara nyata jika dibandingkan dengan kadar pemerahan minyak yang diperolehi dari tandan-tandan buah kelapa sawit yang bersih. Berdasarkan kepada purata harga minyak sawit mentah di pasaran dan pengeluaran sehektar, sebanyak 110 tandan buah kelapa sawit yang diserang secara sederhana teruk dan 81 tandan buah kelapa sawit yang diserang teruk sekali dapat dianggarkan. Paras kerosakan ekonomik yang begitu rendah memerlukan satu cara pengawalan serangga perosak yang berkesan bukan sahaja dari segi harga malah tidak akan membahayakan serangga pendebungaan. Keputusan kajian ini turut mencadangkan bahawa kedua-dua racun perosak iaitu khromafenozide dan pyridalyl tidak membahayakan serangga-serangga pendebungaan. Tambahan pula, klorantraniliprole telah terbukti dalam kajian sebelum ini sebagai racun serangga perosak yang mesra terhadap serangga pendebungaan. Kadar optima dan pendekatan pengawalan T. mundella yang paling ekonomik bagi perlindungan selama satu tahun adalah dengan menggunakan dua pusingan 30 g perawis aktif klorantraniliprole bergilir dengan dua pusingan 25 g perawis aktif khromafenozide sehektar. Hasil-hasil kajian ini akan memanfaatkan pengusaha kelapa sawit yang akan datang khususnya dari aspek pengurusan serangga perosak bagi tanaman kelapa sawit yang ditanam di atas tanah gambut.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of all mighty God, the most gracious and merciful who gives me the strength and patience to complete my study. My deepest gratitude goes to my respected supervisory committee chairperson, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Patricia King Jie Hung and other members namely Dr. Kwan Yee Min and Dr. Zamri for their expertise, advise, guidance, supports, contributions and encouragements during my study. I also would like to express my gratitude to my beloved wife, Mrs. Annie Siaw Ching Fong for her prayers and endless love. Not to forget, thanks to Mr. Paul Wong Hee Kwong, group chief executive officer of Sarawak Oil Palms Berhad, Mr Ong Kim Pin, consultant agronomist of Sarawak Oil Palms Berhad for their valuable comments and recommendations rendered. Special thanks to all the supportive staffs in Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Campus (UPMKB) and to all my colleagues and friends for being my strong support throughout my study. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 6 October 2020 to conduct the final examination of Su Chong Ming on his thesis entitled "Life Cycle and Justification of Sustainable Chemical Control of Oil Palm Fruit Bunch Moths on Peat Oil Palm Estates" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science (Bintulu Campus) Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Dzolkhifli b Omar, PhD Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Nur Azura binti Adam, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Edhi Martono, PhD

Professor Ir. Faculty of Agriculture Universitas Gadjah Mada Indonesia (External Examiner)

ZURIATI AHMAD ZUKARNAIN, PhD Professor Ts. and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 May 2021

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Patricia King Jie Hung, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Chairman)

Kwan Yee Min, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Member)

Zakry Fitri bin Abdul Aziz, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Member)

Joseph Bong Choon Fah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 June 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date: 01/09/2021

Name and Matric No.: Su Chong Ming GS48880

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision; •
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate • Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Patricia King Jie Hung Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Kwan Yee Min Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Zakry Fitri bin Abdul Aziz Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Joseph Bong Choon Fah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	111
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix

CHAPTER

1

2

INTR	ODUCT	ION	1
LITE	RATURI	E REVIEW	3
2.1	Peat soi	ls in Sarawak and its common pests	3
	2.1.1	Distribution of peat soil	3
	2.1.2	Common pests in peat	3
2.2	Oil paln	n bunch moth - T. mundella Walker	4
2.3	Artificia		5
	2.3.1	Components of artificial diet for	5
		lepidopteran insects	
	2.3.2	Minerals and vitamins in artificial diet	6
	2.3.3	Other inert components in artificial diet	6
	2.3.4	Different categories of artificial diet	6
	2.3.5	Modification of artificial diet	7
2.4	Control	of T. mundella Walker	7
	2.4.1	Rynaxypyr as insect control compound	8
	2.4.2	Mode of action of chlorantraniliprole	9
	2.4.3	Safety features of chlorantraniliprole	9
	2.4.4	Insect growth regulators (IGRs)	9
	2.4.5	Types of insect growth regulator-chitin	10
		synthesis inhibitor	
	2.4.6	Safety features of flufenoxuron	10
	2.4.7	Types of insect growth regulator-	11
		ecdysone agonist insecticide	
	2.4.8	Mode of action of chromafenozide	12
	2.4.9	Safety features of chromafenozide	12
	2.4.10	Protein synthesis inhibitor-pyridalyl	12
	2.4.11	Mode of action of pyridalyl	13
	2.4.12	Safety features of pyridalyl	13
2.5	-	ed pest management (IPM)	13
	2.5.1	General principals of integrated pest	14
		management (IPM)	
	2.5.2	Control mechanism applied in IPM	14
2.6		ides resistant management	15
	2.6.1	Resistance development mechanism	15

	2.6.2	Factors that affect development of	16
	~	resistance in insecticides	
2.7	-	of pest's thresholds	16
	2.7.1	Economic injury level (EIL)	16
	2.7.2	Economic threshold level (ETL)	17
2.8	Oil palm	n natural pollinators and fruit set	17
	2.8.1	Oil palm natural pollinators –	17
		Elaeidobius kamerunicus	
	2.8.2	Oil palm flower	18
	2.8.3	Anthesis of oil palm flower and weevil	19
		visitation	
	2.8.4	Natural and assisted pollination	19
	2.8.5	Natural pollination and its effect on oil	20
	2.0.0	palm yield	20
	2.8.6	Factors that affect oil palm pollination	20
	2.8.7	Implication of oil palm fruit set on overall	20
	2.0.7	vield	20
		yield	
LIFE	CYCLE	OF OIL PALM BUNCH MOTH,	22
		ndella WALKER (LEPIDOPTERA:	
		REARED UNDER LABORATORY	
		ON ARTIFICIAL DIET	
3.1	Introduc		22
3.2		s and methods	23
5.2	3.2.1	Adult moth rearing and longevity study	23
	3.2.2	Development of artificial diet and insect	23
	5.2.2	rearing	23
	3.2.3	Preparation of artificial diet	23
	3.2.4	Data collection on larvae instars	23
	5.2.4	monitoring and analysis	24
	3.2.5	Comparison of width and breath for <i>T</i> .	25
	5.2.5	mundella eggs between field catch and	25
		diet rearing	
	3.2.6		25
	5.2.0	Comparison of head capsule and body length for <i>T. mundella</i> 1 st instar larvae	23
		obtained from field catch and diet rearing	
	3.2.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25
	5.2.1	Comparison of wingspan and body length	23
		of <i>T. mundella</i> moth captured from field	
2.2	Dogulta	and laboratory reared individuals	25
3.3		and discussion	25
	3.3.1	Life cycle of <i>T. mundella</i> in general	25
	3.3.2	Adult moth stage	28
	3.3.3	Incubation of <i>T. mundella</i> eggs	30
	3.3.4	<i>T. mundella</i> young larvae stage	32
	3.3.5	<i>T. mundella</i> mature larvae stage	35
	3.3.6	<i>T. mundella</i> pupal stage	40
	3.3.7	Parameters comparison among field	41
	<i>.</i> .	captured and diet reared samples	
3.4	Conclus	10n	42

xi

ECONOMIC INJURY LEVEL OF OIL PALM 43 BUNCH MOTH. T. mundella WALKER FOR PEST MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS IN OIL PALM PRODUCTION 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Materials and methods 43 4.2.1 Assessment of pest infestation severity in 43 mineral and peat estates 4.2.2 Larvae count for oil palm fruit bunches 44 and male inflorescences based on severity categories 4.2.3 Percentage of fruit set for oil palm fruit 44 bunches in each severity categories 4.2.4 Bunch weight and oil extraction rate for 46 each severity category of oil palm fruit bunches 4.3 Results and discussions 47 4.3.1 Comparison of T. mundella infestation 47 percentage between mineral and peat oil palm estates 4.3.2 *T. mundella* infestation severity index 49 4.4 Conclusion 58 THE IMPACT OF INSECTICIDES ON OIL PALM 59 POLLINATING WEEVILS (Elaeidobius kamerunicus) WITH EMPHASIS ON FLOWER VISITATION AND EMERGING WEEVILS 59 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Materials and methods 60 5.2.1 Experimental site and design 60 5.2.2 Insecticides application and sampling of 60 male inflorescence 5.2.3 Counting for visiting adult weevils 64 Counting for newly emerged adult 5.2.4 64 weevils 5.2.5 Statistical analysis for weevil count 64 5.3 Results and discussion 65 5.4 Conclusions 70 **OPTIMUM DOSE OF ANTHRANILIC DIAMIDES** 72 INSECTICIDE, CHLORANTRANILIPROLE AND **INSECT** GROWTH AN **REGULATOR**, CHROMAFENOZIDE AGAINST OIL PALM BUNCH MOTH, T. mundella WALKER 6.1 Introduction 72 6.2 Materials and methods 73 Experimental site and design 73 6.2.1 Optimal dosage of chlorantraniliprole 6.2.2 73 6.2.3 Optimal dosage of chromafenozide in 73

4

5

rotation with chlorantraniliprole

		6.2.4 Evaluation of efficacy of insecticides application	77
		6.2.5 Statistical analysis	77
	6.3	Results and discussion	77
	0.5	6.3.1 Optimal dosage of chlorantraniliprole against oil palm bunch moth, <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	77
		6.3.2 The efficacy of different dosages of chromafenozide rotated with chlorantraniliprole	85
	6.4	Conclusion	90
	EFFI USIN	ECTIVENESS OF ROTATION MECHANISM G DIFFERENT CHEMICAL AND	91
		LOGICAL INSECTICIDES AGAINST OIL	
	PAL	M BUNCH MOTH, T. mundella WALKER IN NG MATURE OIL PALM PLANTATION	
	7.1	Introduction	91
	7.2	Materials and methods	92
		7.2.1 Experimental site and design	92
		7.2.2 Description of treatments	93
		7.2.3 Chemical stock solution preparation and field site spraying	93
		7.2.4 Field census for <i>T. mundella</i> infestation	93
		7.2.5 Statistical analysis for field census data	98
	7.3	Results and discussions	98
	7.4	Conclusions	120
		MARY, GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND OMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	121
E	5		125
ES			141
	OTT		1.0

7

8

 \mathbf{G}

REFERENCES	125
APPENDICES	141
BIODATA OF STUDENT	162
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	163

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Total peatland and the extent of peat soil developed for agriculture in Malaysia	4
3.1	Components of 1 litre diet for oil palm bunch moth, T. mundella Walker	24
3.2	Life cycle period of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker fed on artificial diet under laboratory conditions	27
3.3	Morphometrics measurement (mm) for different larvae instars of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker fed on artificial diet under laboratory conditions	27
3.4	Morphometrics measurement (mm) for egg, pupal and adult moth of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	32
3.5	Measurements of width and breadth of <i>T. mundella</i> eggs (mean \pm SD) from field catch and artificial diet rearing under laboratory conditions	41
3.6	Measurements of head capsule and body length of <i>T</i> . mundella 1 st instar larvae obtained from laboratory reared and field sampled (mean \pm SD)	42
3.7	Measurements of wingspan and body length of <i>T. mundella</i> moth captured from fields and laboratory reared individuals (mean \pm SD)	42
4.1	Difference of mean percentage of clean, light, moderate and severe infestation of oil palm fruit bunches between mineral and peat estates	48
4.2	Mean number of <i>T. mundella</i> larvae found on both oil palm fruit bunches and male inflorescences based on different categories of infestation severity	50
4.3	Mean bunch weight for bunches in clean, light, moderate and severe infestation categories	53
4.4	The oil to bunch percentage for bunches in clean, light, moderate and severe infestation categories	54
4.5	Potential OER reduction for moderate and severe pest infestation based on bunch analysis and calculated oil extraction rate (OER)	54

1% oil reduction4.7Severity index and other parameters measured for different infestation category of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker5.1Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 0 and Day 16.2Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 2 and Day 36.3Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 4 and Day 56.4Treatments for weevil topical spraying trial and the rate used5.5Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day6.6Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position6.7Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages6.8Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day6.9Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments6.1Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial6.2Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial6.3Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial7.1Rate used per hectare for insecticide application and the application week7.4Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i>			
infestation category of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker 5.1 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 0 and Day 1 6 5.2 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 2 and Day 3 6 5.3 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 4 and Day 5 6 5.4 Treatments for weevil topical spraying trial and the rate used 6 5.5 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day 6 5.6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 6 5.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 6 5.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 6 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 7 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 7 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 7 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 9 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 9 7.4 Material cost per	4.6	• • • •	55
 5.2 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 2 and Day 3 5.3 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 4 and Day 5 5.4 Treatments for weevil topical spraying trial and the rate used 5.5 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day 6.6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 5.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 5.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide 7 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides 9 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 	4.7		56
 5.3 Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 4 and Day 5 5.4 Treatments for weevil topical spraying trial and the rate used 5.5 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day 6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 6 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 9 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 	5.1	Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 0 and Day 1	61
 5.4 Treatments for weevil topical spraying trial and the rate used 5.5 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day 6.6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 6.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 6.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 9 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 	5.2	Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 2 and Day 3	62
used 5.5 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day 5.6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 6.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 6.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i>	5.3	Anthesis stage of male inflorescence at Day 4 and Day 5	63
 5.6 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position 5.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 5.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide 7 optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides 9 and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 	5.4		64
 5.7 Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages 5.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide 7 optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 9 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 	5.5	Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet per day	65
 5.8 Mean number of newly emergence weevils per spikelet per day 5.9 Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments 6.1 Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial 6.2 Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide 7 optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 9 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 9 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides 9 and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 	5.6	Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by position	67
day5.9Correlation coefficient between total number of visiting weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments76.1Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial76.2Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial76.3Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial77.1Rate used per hectare for insecticide application optimal dosage trial97.2Stock solution preparation for field spraying and the application week97.4Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 117.5Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the11	5.7	Mean number of visiting weevils per spikelet by stages	68
weevils and newly emerged weevils among different treatments6.1Insecticides application rate for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial76.2Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial76.3Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial77.1Rate used per hectare for insecticide application for field spraying97.2Stock solution preparation for field spraying and the application week97.4Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 117.5Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the11	5.8		69
dosage trial6.2Insecticides application rate and rotation schedule for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial6.3Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial7.1Rate used per hectare for insecticide application7.2Stock solution preparation for field spraying7.3Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week7.4Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the	5.9	weevils and newly emerged weevils among different	70
 chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 6.3 Rotation of insecticides application for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial 7.1 Rate used per hectare for insecticide application 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 	6.1		74
optimal dosage trial7.1Rate used per hectare for insecticide application7.2Stock solution preparation for field spraying7.3Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides and the application week7.4Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the	6.2		75
 7.2 Stock solution preparation for field spraying 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides 9 and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 	6.3		76
 7.3 Rotation mechanism for chemical / biological insecticides 9 and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of 11 treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 11 	7.1	Rate used per hectare for insecticide application	94
 and the application week 7.4 Material cost per ha per year by rotation mechanism of treatments for <i>T. mundella</i> 7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 11 	7.2	Stock solution preparation for field spraying	95
treatments for <i>T. mundella</i>7.5 Overall percentage of infested fruit bunches for all the 11	7.3		96
	7.4		118
	7.5		119

G

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Male adult moth of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	29
3.2	Female adult moth of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	29
3.3	Fertile egg of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker (reddish yellow in colour)	30
3.4	Cluster of infertile eggs of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker (whitish yellow in colour)	31
3.5	Cluster of fertile eggs with readily seen black head capsule of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker one day before hatching	31
3.6	Head capsule for 1 st instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	32
3.7	Head capsule for 2 nd instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	33
3.8	1 st instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	33
3.9	2 nd instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	34
3.10	Head capsule for 3 rd instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	34
3.11	3 rd instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	35
3.12	Head capsule for 4 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	36
3.13	Head capsule for 5 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	37
3.14	Head capsule for 6 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	37
3.15	Head capsule for 7 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	38
3.16	4 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	38
3.17	5 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	39
3.18	6 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	39
3.19	7 th instar larvae of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	40
3.20	Ovoid reddish brown colour cocoon of <i>T. mundella</i> Walker	41
4.1	All spikelets of fruitlets arranged in circle form after dissection	45
4.2A	Fully formed oil palm fruitlets with kernel and nut as fertile fruits	45

 \overline{C}

4.2B	Fully formed oil palm fruitlets without kernel nut as parthenocarpic fruit	46
4.3	Oil palm fruit bunches with severe new infestation covered by reddish faeces (yellow circle)	48
4.4	Oil palm fruit bunches with severe old infestation covered by brownish black faeces (yellow circle)	49
4.5	Aborted and rotten oil palm fruit bunch associated to severe <i>T. mundella</i> infestation	51
4.6	Percentage of fully formed, malformed and parthenocarpic fruitlets found on clean, light, moderate and severe infested fruit bunches. Mean percentage with different superscripts were significantly different at $p = 0.05$ by Duncan Multiple Range Test	52
6.1	Mean percentage of clean to light fruit bunches for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	79
6.2	Mean percentage of moderately infested fruit bunches for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	80
6.3	Mean percentage of severely infested fruit bunches for chlorantraniliprole optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	82
6.4	Mean percentage of clean to light fruit bunches for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	86
6.5	Mean percentage of moderately infested fruit bunches for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	87
6.6	Mean percentage of severely infested fruit bunches for chromafenozide optimal dosage trial. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significant different	89

xvii

G

	according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	
7.1	Mean percentage of clean to light fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 0 to week 24. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	100
7.2	Mean percentage of clean to light fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 28 to week 52. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	101
7.3	Mean percentage of moderately infested fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 0 to week 24. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	102
7.4	Mean percentage of moderately infested fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 28 to week 52. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	103
7.5	Mean percentage of severely infested fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 0 to week 24. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	104
7.6	Mean percentage of severely infested fruit bunches in chemical treated fields from week 28 to week 52. Means with the same letters within sampling period are not significantly different according to DNMRT at $p = 0.05$. The vertical bars represent the standard error of means for 4 replicates	105
G		

LIST OF ABBREVIAITONS

0⁄0	Percentage
На	Hectare
Bt	Bacillus thuringiensis
СРО	Crude palm oil
SAS	Statistical analysis system
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
WG	Water dispersible granule
EC	Emulsifiable concentrate
ES	Emulsified soluable
МРОВ	Malaysian Palm Oil Board

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Recent studies have shown that oil palm estates established on peat frequently faced more severe pest infestation than mineral soil estates. (Su, 2016; Prasetyo *et al.*, 2018). Among the common pests, oil palm bunch moth, *Tirathaba mundella* Walker (Pyralidae), is becoming a prominent pest in oil palm estates established on peat, causing more than 50% of crop losses when there is a severe outbreak (Lim, 2012). Bunch abortion is a common scenario when the attack is severe and no proper control is in place (Su, 2016).

In order to effectively and sustainably controlling *T. mundella*, their life cycle and susceptibility to chemical pesticides control need to be understood. The only documented life cycle for *T. mundella* was reported in 1977 by Ng (Ng, 1977). The report was based on limited samples gathered from the field. There are possibility of some larvae instars may be missed. In this study, we were reexamined the life cycle by studying at least five generations of the pest in the laboratory.

It is known that a substantial loss in yield could occur due to *T. mundella* infestation. However, pest control measures are often costly. Therefore, in this study, the economic injury level (EIL) of *T. mundella* for oil palm plantation was investigated to estimate the economic losses and determine the breakout point where a pest control treatment must be carried out.

For an effectively and sustainably controlling the pest, an optimum dosage of several suitable and environmentally friendly pesticides needs to be determined. The pesticides selected should not have severe detrimental effect to the beneficial insects such as pollinators. In this study, several pesticides were tested their effect on oil palm pollinating weevils, *Elaeidobius kamerunicus*. In addition, these pesticides were also tested for their optimum dosage for controlling the pest and how these pesticides can be rotatedly use to minimise the risk of pesticide resistance development by the pest. Therefore, the present study was undertaken with the following objectives:

- 1. to formulate suitable artificial diet for oil palm bunch moth and life cycle study throughout five generations of propagation under laboratory conditions.
- 2. to determine the economic destruction by *T. mundella* in the field through intercorrelate field census, fruit set counting and oil to bunch analysis.
- 3. to determine the optimum dosage of an anthranilic diamide insecticide, chlorantraniliprole, as well as chromafenozide, an insect growth regulator against oil palm bunch moth, *T. mundella* Walker.
- 4. to identify the impact of insect growth regulators (IGRs) and other potential chemicals on the survival of oil palm pollinators, *Elaeidobius kamerunicus*.

5. to evaluate the effectiveness of rotation mechanisms among chlorantraniliprole, insect growth regulators (IGRs), biological insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis* and other potential weevil friendly chemical insecticides with different mode of actions against *T. mundella* Walker in young mature oil palm plantation.



C

REFERENCES

- Adaigbe, V. C., Odebiyi, J. A., Omoloye, A. A., Aisagbonhi, C. I. and Iyare, O. (2011). Host location and ovipositional preference of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* on four host palm species. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, 3(5): 163-166.
- Adriana, M. (2003). *Environmental fate of indoxacarb*. Environmental Monitoring Branch, Department of pesticide regulation: Sacramento, CA, 1-8.
- Ahmed, K. S., El-Bermawy, S. M., Ismael, E. H., Abdel-Gawwad, R. M. and Saleh, T. A. (2015). Histopathological effects of diflubenzuron and chromafenozide (IGRs) on egg development in the cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Journal of Bioscience and Applied Research*, 1(4): 147-153.
- Amrith, S. G. and Tresca, T. (2007). *Environmental fate of fipronil*. Environmental Monitoring Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation: Sacramento, 1-25.
- Andrea, B., Rison, J. L. and Wiles, J. A. (2009). Chlorantraniliprole (DPX-EZY45, Rynaxypyr[®], Coragen[®]), a new diamide insecticide for control of codling moth (*Cydia pomonella*), Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) and European grapevine moth (*Lobesia botrana*). Zbornik predavanj in referatov 9. slovenskega posvetovanja o varstvu rastlin z mednarodno udeležbo Nova Gorica, 4-5: 39-54.
- Appiah, S. O. and Agyei, D. (2013). Studies on Entomophil pollination towards sustainable production and increased profitability in the oil palm: a review. *Elixir Agriculture*, 55: 12878-12883.
- Arnold, C. G. (1978). Diflubenzuron: some aspects of its ovicidal and larvicidal mode of action and an evaluation of its practical possibilities. *Chemical Industry*, 40-53.
- Arnold, C. G. and Tipker, J. (1980). Ovicidal and larvicidal structure activity relationships of benzoylureas on the housefly (*Musca domestica*). *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 13: 54-64.
- Arnold, C. G. (1980). General introduction. In. Some physiological aspect of the insecticidal action of diflubenzuron, an inhibitor of chitin synthesis. Centrale Landbouwcatalogus, Bibliotheer Der Landbouwhogeschool te Wageningen, 9-15.
- Arthur, R., Krell, P., Feng, Q. and Arif, B. (2003). Ecdysone agonists: mechanism and importance in controlling insect pests of agriculture and forestry. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 54: 187-199.
- Ashfaq, A. S., Jay, F. B. and Stephen, F. G. (2011). Biochemical characterization of chloratraniliprole and spinetoram resistance in laboratory- selected obliquebanded leafroller, *Choristoneura rosaceana* (Harris) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Journal* of Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 99: 274-279.

- Axel, D., Kristin, E. B., Niels, M. F. and Michael, D. W. (2009). Chlorantraniliprole (Rynaxypyr): A novel DuPontTM insecticide with low toxicity and low risk for honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and bumble bees (*Bombas terrestris*) providing excellent tools for uses in integrated pest management. *Proceedings from 10th International Symposium of the ICP-Bee Protection Group*, 548–555.
- Bakr, R. F., Ghoneim, K. S., Al-Dali, A. G., Tanani, M. A. and Bream, A. S. (2008). Efficiency of the chitin synthesis inhibitor lufenuron (cga-184699) on growth, development and morphogenesis of *Schistocerca gregaria* (orthoptera: acrididae). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, 1(1): 41-57.
- Balu, N., Azman, I., Norfadilah, H., Nazlin, I., Dayang, N., Nik Abdullah, N. I., Noriada, O. Kamalrudin, M. S., Nur Ain, M. H. and Kushairi, A. (2018). Malaysia: 100 years of resilient palm oil economic performance. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(1): 13-25.
- Basri, M. W., Abdul, H. H. and Ahmad, H. (1983). General status of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* Faust and its effect on the oil palm industry in Malaysia. *PORIM Occasional Paper No. 6*. Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, 39.
- Basri, M. W., Sharma, M. and Norman, K. (1991). Field evaluation of insecticides and a cultural practice against the bunch moth, *Tirathaba rufivena* in a mature oil palm plantation. *Elaeis*, 3(2): 355-362.
- Basri, M. W. and Norman, K. (1997). Role and effectiveness of *Elaeidobius kamernicus*, *Thrips hawaiiensis* and *Pyroderces* sp. in pollination of mature oil palm in Peninsular Malaysia. *Elaeis*, 9(1): 1-16.
- Becker, N., Zgomba, M., Ludwig, M., Petric, D. and Rettich, F. (1992). Factors influencing the activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *Israelensis* treatments. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 8(3): 285-289.
- Blaak, G., Sparnaaij, L. D. and Menendez, T. (1963). Breeding and inheritance in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) Part II. Methods of bunch quality analysis. *Journal* of West African Institute of Oil Palm Research, 4: 146-155.
- Butler, P. and Johnson R. A. (1993). Flufenoxuron A new high performance residual insecticide for public hygiene pest and vector control. Proceedings from *First International Conference on Urban Pests*, 472.
- Brugger, K. E., and Kannuck, R. M. (1997). Tier 1 environmental risk assessment of DPX- MP062 and competitive insecticides in the USA. Dupont Agricultural Products Document No. AMR 4635-97.
- Calvin, T. Z. K., Su, C. M. and King, J. H. (2018). They are different: Molecular approach on *Tirathaba* pest infesting oil palm and coconut tree. *Advances in Plants and Agriculture Research*, 8(1):71-73.

- Carl, T. R. and Daniel, A. P. (2017). Chlorantraniliprole: reduced-risk insecticide for controlling insect pests of woody ornamentals with low hazard to bees. *Journal of Arboriculture & Urban Forestry*, 43(6): 242-256.
- Castro, A. A., Mabio, C. L., Teresinha, V. Z., Francissco, S. R., Ricardo, A.P., Serrao, J. E. and Zanuncio, J. C. (2012). Effect of the insect growth regulator diflubenzuron on the predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Ecotoxicology*, 21: 96-103.
- Chan, K. S. (1981). The estimation of mill oil losses. *The Selangor Planters' Association Journal*, 1981. Chan, K. W. (1985). The status weevil effects on yield production in well manured palms under Malaysian conditions. *Planters*, 61(714): 439-453.
- Chan, C. O. (1973). Some notes on the oil palm bunch moth (*Tirathaba mundella* Walk.) and its control. R. L. Wastie, and D. A. Earp (eds). Advances in oil palm cultivation. Incorporated Society of Planters, Kuala Lumpur, 396-401.
- Chang, L. C., Abdullah Sani, A. R. and Basran, Z. (2003). An economic perspective of oil extraction rate in the oil palm industry of Malaysia. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 3(1): 25-31.
- Chee, K. H. and Chiu, S. B. (1998). A study of *Elaedobius kamerunicus* in west Kalimantan oil palm plantations. *Planters*, 74(872): 587-595.
- Chee, K. H. and Chiu, S. B. (1999). The oil palm pollinating weevil, *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* in Malaysia A review. *Planters*, 75(877): 187-198
- Chiu, S. B. (1984). Some aspects of the ecology of Elaeidobius kamerunicus Faust, the pollinating weevil of oil palm, with emphasis on developing sampling techniques. Master of Science Thesis. Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Chua, C. K., Ooi, K. E., Abdul, R. R., Paula, G, M. and Lee, K. L. (2010). Chlorantraniliprole: A novel insecticide for bagworm (*Metisa plana*) control in oil palm plantation. *The Planter*, 86(1009): 223-235.
- Coffelt, M., Scherer, C. and Saran, R. (2011). Chlorantraniliprole: A new insecticide for global termite control. Proceedings from *Seventh International Conference on Urban Pests*, Ouro Preto, Brazil. 193-197.
- Cook, D. R., Leonard, B. R. and Gore, J. (2004). Field and laboratory performance of novel insecticides against armyworms (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Florida Entomologist*, 87(4): 433-439.
- Cordova, D., Benner, E. A., Sacher, M. D., Sopa, J. S., Lahm, G. P., Selby, T. P., Stevenson, T. M., Flexner, L., Gutteridge, S., Rhoades, D. F., Wu, L., Smith, R. M. and Tao, Y. (2006). Anthranilic diamides: A new class of insecticides with a novel mode of action, ryanodine receptor activition. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 84: 196-214.
- Corley, R. H. V. and Tinker, P. B. H. (2003). *The Oil Palm*, 4th Edition. Blackwell Science Limited, England.

- Dadd, R. H. (1985). Nutrition organisms. Comprehensive Insect Physiology Biochemistry and Pharmacology, 4: 313-390.
- Dahi, H. F., Kamel, A. S., Barkey, N. M. and Abd-El Aziz, M. F. (2011). Pyridalyl effectiveness on some biological and physiological parameters of cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) *Journal of American Sciences*, 7(12): 855-863.
- Dai H., Ye L., Zhuang H., Dai B., Fang Y. and Shui Y. (2015). Design, synthesis and bioactivities of novel dichloro-allyloxy-phenol-containing pyrazole oxime derivatives. *Molecules*, 20: 21870-21880. Doi:10.3390/molecules201219811.
- Dales, M. J. (1994). Controlling insect pests of stored products using insect growth regulators and insecticides of microbial origin *NRI Bulletin 64*. Available at http://gala.gre.ac.uk/10734.
- David, W. O. (1987). Calculation of economic injury levels and economic thresholds for pest management. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 8(2): 297-303.
- Deepak, S., Eitan, B., Robert, M., Ze'ev, B., Sammy, B. and Arieh, Z. (2001). A UV tolerant mutant of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *Kurstaki* producing melanin. *Current Microbiology*, 44: 25-30.
- Dhileepan, K. (1994). Variation in populations of the introduced pollinating weevil (*Elaeidobius kamerunicus*) (Coleoptera:Curculionidae) and its impact on fruitset of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) in India. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 84: 447-485.
- Dinter, A., Brugger, K., Bassi, A., Frost, N. M. and Woodward M. D. (2008). Chloratraniliprole (DPX-E2Y45, Rynaxypyr[®]) (Coragen[®] 20SC and Altacor[®] 35WG) – a novel DuPont anthranilic diamide insecticide demonstrating low toxicity and low risk for beneficial insects and predatory mites. *IOBC WPRS Bulletin*, 35: 128-135.
- Donough, C. R., Chew, K. W. and Law, I. H. (1996). Effect of fruit set on OER and KER: Results from studies at Pamol Estates (Sabah) Sdn. Bhd. *The Planter*, 72(841): 203-219.
- Donough, C. R., Cock, J., Oberthur, T., Indrasuara, K., Rahmadsyah, G. A. R. and Dolong, T. (2015). Estimating oil content of commercially harvested oil palm fresh fruit bunches- A step torwards increasing palm oil yields. *Oil Palm Bulletin*, 70: 8-12.
- Dunkle, R. L. and Shasha, B. S. (1989). Response of starch-encapsulated *Bacillus* thuringiensis containing ultraviolet screens to sunlight. *Environmental Entomology*, 18(6): 1035-1041.
- Eman, K. E., Massoud, M. A. Z. and Manal, A. A. (2017). Biochemical and biological influences of sub-lethal concentrations of emamectin benzoate and certain IGR insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, 38(2): 212-219.

- EPA's the April 24, (2008). Pyridalyl Fact Sheet. Retrieved from http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/factsheets/pyridalyl.pdf.
- Farahida, Z., Nasuddin, O., Syahrizan, S., Zaini, M. R. and Muhammad, A. B. (2017). Fresh fruit bunch quality and oil losses in milling processes as factors that affect the extraction rate of palm oil. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Plantation*, 5: 99-103.
- Farag, M., Hany, M. A., Badawy, D. A. B. and Ayman, N. S. (2014). Residues, dissipation and safety evaluation of chromafenozide in strawberry under open field conditions. *Food Chemistry*, 152: 18-22.
- Ghamin, M. and Ishaaya, I. (2010). Insecticides with novel modes of action mechanism and resistance management. Retrieved from http://www.agri.gov.il/download/files/Ghanim & Ishaaya 2010 Book Chapter K10919_C016.pdf.
- Gholami, Z. and Sadeghi, A. (2016). Management strategies for western flower thrips in vegetable greenhouses in Iran: A review. *Plant Protection Science*, 52(2): 87-98.
- Ghoneim, K. and Tanani, M. (2017). Antifeedant activity and detrimental effects of ecdysteriod agonist chromafenozide on the food metabolic parameters of *Schistocera gregaria* (Forsk.) (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *International Journal of Entomology Research*, 2(2): 6-16.
- Ghoneim, Y. F., Singab, M., Hala, M. A. and Abd-El-Hai, N. S. (2012). Efficacy of certain insecticides and their mixtures with the tested IGRs against a field strain of the cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) under laboratory conditions. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(6): 300-304.
- Grady, J. G. (2010). Insecticide Resistance Management. Texas AgriLIFE Extension Serive Specialist. Retrieved from https://agrilifecdn.tamu.edu/aes/files/Insecticides-Resistance-Management-EPA-11.pdf.
- Gustavo, M. T., Senseman, S. A., Marchesan, E., Camargo, E. R., Jones, T. and McCauley, G. (2015). Residues of thiamethoxam and chlorantraniliprole in rice grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 63: 2119-2126.
- Hadi, M., Thomas, S., Swevers, L., Kostas, L., Nakagawa, Y. and Smagghe, G. (2008). Non-steriod ecdysteriod agonist chromafenozide: Gene induction activity, cell proliferation inhibition and larvicidal activity. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 92: 70-76.
- Hamadah, K., Tanani, M., Ghoneim, K., Basiouny, A. and Waheeb, H. (2015). Effectiveness of novaluron, chitin synthesis inhibitor, on the adult performance of Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *International Journal of Research Studies in Zoology*, 1(2): 45-55.

- Hamadah, K. S. (2014). Metabolic activity of the chitin synthesis inihibitor, flufenoxuron, on the desert locust *Schistocerea gregaria* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2(1): 87-95.
- Hanafy, H. E. M. and Walaa, E. (2013). Efficacy of bio-and chemical insecticides in the control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato plants. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 7(2): 943-948.
- Hanan, F. A. and Osman, H. H. (2013). Effects of pyridalyl and emamectin benzoate on some biological and biochemical parameters of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) and albino rat. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(3): 59-68.
- Harper, J. K., Mjelde, J. W., Rister, M. E., Michael, O. W. and Drees, B. M. (1994). Developing flexible economic thresholds for pest management using dynamic programming. *Journal of Agriculture and Applied Economics*, 26: 134-147.
- Harun, M. N. and Noor, M. R. M. (2002). Fruit set and oil palm bunch components. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 14(2): 24-33.
- Higley, L. G. and Pedigo, L. P. (1993). Economic injury level concepts and their use in sustaining environmental quality. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 46: 233-243.
- Howell, J. F. (1967). Paraffin films to control dehydration of an artificial rearing medium for codling moth. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 60: 289-291.
- Ignoffo, C. L., Hostetter, D. L., Sikorowski, P. P., Sutter, G. and Brooks, W. W. (1977). Inactivation of representatives species of entomopathogenic viruses, a bacterium, fungus and protozoan by an ultraviolet light source. *Environmental Entomology*, 6(3): 411-415.
- Isayama, S., Ogawa, M. and Kasamatsu, K. (2004). Observable changes in diamond back moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) susceptibility to insecticides in Iwaoka, Kobe City, Hygo Prefecture, Japan. Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology, 48: 337-343.
- Isayama, S., Saito, S., Kuroda, K., Umeda, K. and Kasamatsu, K. (2005). Pyridalyl, a novel insecticide: Potency and insecticidal selectivity. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 58(4): 226-233.
- Jan, L., Iwona, P. and Krzysztof, Z. (2008). Contemporary trends in development of active substances possessing the pesticidal properties: ryanodine-receptor targeting insecticides. *Pestycydy/ Pesticides*, (3-4): 15-26.
- Ji-Young, Y., Jae-Hun, P., Hye-Ree, M., Guk-Tak, H. and Kyu-Seung, L. (2013). Residue patterns of indoxacarb and pyridalyl in treated cauliflower. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4(3): 111-116.
- John, C. S. (2009). Principles and procedures for rearing high quality insects. Department of Entomology & Plant Pathology, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, 168-203

- Juan, F. and Baltasar, E. (2017). Editorial for special issue: The insecticidal bacterial toxins in modern agriculture. *Toxins*, 9: 396. Doi:10.3390/toxins 9120396.
- Julia, A., Alwi, S., Mokhtar, M. N., Baharuddin, A. S. and Daud, N. M. (2017). Development of palm oil extraction performance index (EPI) based on oil extraction rate (OER) and oil loss (OL). *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 25: 335-344.
- Kalshoven, L. G. E. (1981). *Pests of Crops in Indonesia*. P.T. Ikhtiar Baru-Van Hoeve Jakarta, 701.
- Kang, S. M. and Zam, A. K. (1982). Quarantine Aspects of the introduction into Malaysia of an oil palm insect pollinator. Proceedings from the International Conference on Plant Protection In the Tropics. Kuala Lumpur.
- Kay, L. R. and Herron, G. A. (2010). Evaluation of existing and new insecticides including spirotetramat and pyridalyl to control *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on peppers in Queensland. *Australian Journal of Entomology*, 49: 175-181.
- Khater, H. F. (2012). Ecosmart biorational insecticides: Alternative insect control strategies. In Insecticides Advances in Integrated Pest Management, 17-60.
- Khoo, K. C., Ooi, A. C. and Ho, C. T. (1991). Crop pests and their management in Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: Tropical Press, 153-154.
- Klein, S., Cabirol, A., Devaud, J. M., Barron, A. B. and Lihoreau, M. (2017). Why bees are so vulnerable to environment stressors. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 32: 268-278.
- Kouakou, M., Hala, N'klo., Akpesse, A. A. M., Tuo, Y., Dagnogo, M., Konan, K. E. and Koua, H. K. (2014). Comparative efficacy of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus*, *E. plagiatus*, *E. subvittatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Microporum spp*. (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) in the pollination of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*). *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 2(6): 539-545.
- Koul O. (2011). Microbial biopesticides: opportunities and challenges. *Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 6(056): 1-26.
- Kramer, K. J. and Seib, P. A. (1982). Ascorbic acid and the growth and development of insects. *Advances in Chemistry*, 200: 275-291.
- Kushairi, A., Meilina, O. A., Balu, N., Elina, H., Mohd Noor, I. Z. B. R., Razmah, G., Vijaya, S., Shamala, S. and Ghulam, K. A. P. (2019). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and R&D progress in 2018. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 31(2): 165-194.
- Lahm, G. P., Selby, T. P., Freudenberger, J. H., Stevenson, T. N., Myers, B. J., Seburyamo, G., Smith, B. K., Flexner, L., Clark, C. E. and Cordova, D. (2005). Insecticidal anthranilic diamides: a new class of potent ryanodine receptor activators. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 15: 4898-4906.

- Lahm, G. P., Stevenson, T. M., Selby, T. P., Freudenberger, J. H., Cordova, D., Flexner, L., Bellin, C. A., Dubas, C. M., Smith, B. K., Hughes, K. A., Hollingshaus, J. G., Clark, C. E. and Berner, E. A. (2007). Rynaxypyr: a new insecticidal athranilic diamides that acts as a potent and selective ryanodine receptor activator. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 17: 6274-6279.
- Lessando, M. G., Daiane, C., Obiratanea, S. Q., Raul, N. C. and Marcelo, C. P. (2015). Impacts of azadirachtin and chlorantraniliprole on the development stages of pirate bug predators (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) of the tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Florida Entomologist*, 98(1): 59-64.
- Liau, S. S. (1984). Predators of the pollinating weevil, *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* Faust (Curculionidae) in Malaysian oil palm estates. *Proceedings from Symposium* "*Impact of the pollinating weevil on the Malaysian Oil Palm Industry*". PORIM & MOPGC, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 41-49.
- Lim, K. H. (2012). Integrated pest management of *Tirathaba* bunch moth on oil palm planted on peat. *The Planter*, 88(1031): 97-104.
- Lim, K. H., Lim, S. S., Parish, F. and Suharto, R. (2012). RSPO manual on best management practices (BMPs) for existing oil palm cultivation on peat. *RSPO*. Kuala Lumpur, 148-153.
- Logan, A. N. (1974). Behaviour of pesticides in plants. USDA forest service general technical report. *Proceedings from Environmental and Physiologic Chemodynamics Symposium*. Environmental Health Sciences Centre, Oregon State University, Corvallis, 1-6.
- Lopez, J. A., Eldridge, J. R., Zommick, D. H., Prasetyo, A. E., and Susanto, A. (2016). Long-term study of *Bacillus thuringiensis* SC (Strain ABTS-351) towards *Tirathaba rufivena* Walker and the effect to *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* Faust, insect biodiversity and oil palm productivity. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(1): 71-82.
- Mahdieh, K., Hejazi, M. J. and Shahzad, I. (2017). Effect of azadirachtin, chlorantraniliprole and some insect growth regulators on vegetable leafminer, *Liriomyza sativae* (Blanchard) (Diptera: agromyzidae). *Journal of Crop Protection*, 6(1): 115-123.
- Manal M. A. (2012). Lufenuron impair the chitin synthesis and development of Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 8(5): 2766-2775.
- Manal, A. A., Abd, E. and Zakaria, M. S. Mostafa. 2013. Joint action of two novel insecticides mixtures with insect growth regulators, synergistic compounds and conventional insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) Larvae. *American Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 3(4): 369-378.
- Manogem, E. M., Praseeja, C., Shibi, P., Arathi, S. and Ayisha B. (2015). Effect of chitin synthesis inhibitor, flufenoxuron on haemocytes of *Spodoptera mauritia* (Boisd.)

(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences, 6(1): 2231-4490.

- Maria, R. M. and William, P. P. (2016). Pollination in the oil palms *Elaeis guineensis*, *E. oleifera* and their hybrids (OXG), in tropical America. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Tropical*, 46(1): 102-110.
- Mervat, A. K., Ahmed, A. F., and Hemat, Z. (2012). Toxicological and biochemical studies of lufenuron, chlorfluazuron and chromafenozide against *Pectinophora* gossypiella (Saunders). Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, F. Toxicology & Pest Control, 4(1): 37-47.
- Mikio, Y., Yoshihisa, T., Tetsuo, W. and Akiyoshi K. (2006). Development of a novel lepidopteran insect control agent, chromafenozide. *Journal of Pesticide Science*, 31(2): 163-164.
- Mohammad, M., Aziz, S. G. and Habib, A. (2010). Ovicidal effect of some insecticides on the diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidea). *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research.*, 71(2): 226-230.
- Mohd, H. H. and Mohd, R. N. (2002). Fruit set and oil palm bunch components. *Journal* of Oil Palm Research, 14(2): 24-33.
- Mohamed, E. I. B., Ahmaed, F. E., Maher, I. A. and Mohamed, R. F. (2017). Effect of different soil treatment on dissipation of chlorantraniliprole and dehydrogenase activity using experimental modeling design. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Chemical Science*, 4(12): 7-23.
- Morton, A. C. (1979). Rearing butterfiles on artificial diets. *Journal of Research on The Lepidoptera*, 18(4): 221-227.
- MPOC Monthly Palm Oil Trade Statistics: January December 2019. Retrieved from http://mpoc.org.my/monthly-palm-oil-trade-statistics-2019.html
- Nakagawa, Y., Takahashi, K., Kishikawa, H., Ogura, T., Minakuchi, C., and Miyagawa, H. (2005). Classical and three dimensional QSAR for the inhibition of [3H] ponasterone A binding by diacylhydrazine-type ecdysone agonists to insect Sf-9 cells. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chem*istry, 13: 1333-1340.
- Nester, W. E., Thomashow, L. S., Matthew, M. and Gordon, M. (2002). 100 years of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, a paradigm for producing transgenic organisms: A critical scientific assessment. *American Academy of Microbiology*, 2002. Doi: 10.1128/AAMCol.16Nov.2002.
- Ng, K. Y. (1977). *Bionomics of Tirathaba mundella, a pest of oil palm*. Master of Agriculture Science. University of Malaya, Malaysia.
- Ng, S. K., Helmut, V. U. and Rolf, H. (2003). Botanical aspects of the oil palm relevant to crop management. *Oil Palm: Management for Large and Sustainable Yields*, 13-26.

- Nishimura, S., Saito, S. and Isayama, S. (2007). Pyridalyl: A novel compound with excellent insecticidal activity, high selectivity and unique mode of action. *Japanese Journal of Plant Science*, 1(2): 85-94.
- Noriyasu, S., Shigeru, S., Taro, H., Masaya, S., Sanshiro, M., Keiichi, I., Toshio, N., Hiroshi, I., Kimitoshi, U., Kazunori, T. and Noritada, M. (2003). The discovery of Pyridalyl: a novel insecticidal agent for controlling lepidopterous pests. *Pest Management Science*, 60: 25-34.
- Noriyasu, S., Nobuhito, U., Kimitoshi, U., Sanshiro, M., Toru, H., Takuo, F. and Yoshitaka, T. (2005). Research and development of a novel insecticide 'pyridalyl'. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 58(4): 226-33
- Norman, K., Idris, A. S., Ramle, M., & Mohamed, M. (2016). Proceedings from SASS-DOA Conference: *Current challenges on pests and diseases of oil palm cultivation*. Kota Kinablu, Malaysia.
- Ong, K. P., Consultant Agronomist. Sarawak Oil Palms Berhad, Miri, Sarawak, pers. comm. 2018.
- O'Neal, S. D., Walsh, D. B. and Gent, D. H. (2015). Field guide for integrated pest management in Hops. Hop Industry Plant Protection Committee. https://www.usahops.org/. Retrieved 2015.
- Paramananthan, S. (2016). Extent of tropical peat. In Organic Soils of Malaysia (pp. 7-8). Malaysian Palm Oil Council.
- Parthiban, P., Ravikumar, A. and Chinniah, C. (2016). Newer insecticidal molecules and their implication on pest management- eco-friendly insecticides for IPM. *Advances in Life Sciences*. 5(23): 10854-10859.
- Pedigo, L. P., Hutchins, S. H. and Higley, L. G. (1986). Economic injury levels in theory and practice. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 31: 341-368.
- Pedigo, L. P. and Higley, L. G. (1992). The economic injury level concept and environmental quality: A new perspective. *American Entomologist*, 38: 12-21.
- Peter, G. K., Hussien, M. Y., Hussey, N. and Wahid, M. B. (1986). Modelling the use of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* for pollination of oil palm. *The Planter*, 62: 89-99.
- Pluciennik, Z. (2012). The morden insecticide (chlorantraniliprole) used to control codling moth (*Cydia pomonella* L.) *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research*, 20(2): 85-89.
- Ponnamma, K. N. (1999). Diurnal variation in the population of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* on the anthesising male inflorescenes of oil palm. *The Planter*, 75(881): 405-410.
- Ponnamma, K. N., Asha, V. and Sajeebkhan, A. (2006). Progeny emergence in Elaeidobius kamerunicus. The Planter, 82(962): 333-336.

- Potts, S. G., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V., Ngo, H. T., Marcelo, A. A., Jacobus, C., Thomas, D. B., Dicks, L. V., Lucas, A. G., Hill, R., Settele, J. and Vanbergen, A. J. (2016). Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature*, 540: 220-229
- Powell, G. F., Deborah A. W., Prescott M. C., Spiller D. G., Michael, R. H. W., Phillip, C. T., Fergus G. P. E., Philips, J. and Rees, H. H. (2011). The molecular action of the novel insecticides, Pyridalyl. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 41: 459-469.
- Praseeja, C., Ayisha, B. C. and Manogem, E. M. (2015). Evaluation of *Bougainvillea glabra* (Nyctaginaceae) leaf extract and chitin synthesis inhibitor, Flufenoxuron against *Spodoptera mauritia* (Boisd). (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): on larvicidal and pupicidal activity. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, 3(4): 147-153.
- Prasetyo, A. E., Lopez, J. A., Eldridge, I. R., Zommick, D. H. and Susanto, A. (2018). Long-term study of *Bacillus thuringiensis* application to control *Tirathaba rufivena*, along with the impact to *Elaeidobius kamerunicus*, insect biodiversity and oil palm productivity. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(1): 71-82.
- Rahim, A. and Elham, F. K. (2010). Comparative bio-residual activity of pyridalyl and methomy insecticides against larvae of the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 89(1): 55-71.
- Rachid, R., Houria, D. B. and Reda, D. M. (2008). Impact of flufenoxuron, an IGR pesticide on Gallus domesticus embryonic developmet in ovo. *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology*, 2(3): 87-91.
- Rajesh, T., Manohara, T. N., Nijalingappa, B. H. M. and Shivanna, K. R. (2001). Pollination and pollen-pistil interaction in oil plam, *Elaeis guineensis*. Annals of Botany, 87: 831-838.
- Rao, V. and Law, I. H. (1998). The problem of poor fruit set in parts of East Malaysia. *The Planter*, 74(870): 463-483.
- Reda, F. A., Nehad, M. E., Mona, F. A., Mohamed, H. A., and Hisham, M. E. (2010). Effect of chitin synthesis inhibitors (flufenoxuron) on some biological and biochemical aspects of the cotton leaf worm *Spodoptera littoralis Bosid* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2(2): 43-56.
- Renato, A., Marcos, V. G., Jaqueline, M., Jacqueline, C. B., Georgia, M. M., Francisca, A. A. and Andre, R. A. A. (2015). Diflubenzuron effectiveness in cattle tick (*Rhipicephalus Boophilus microplus*) control in field conditions. *Pharmaceutica Analytica Acta*, 6(6): 1000373.
- Retnakaran, A., Macdonald, A., Tomkins, W. L., Davis, C. N., Brownwright, A. J. and Pali, S. R. (1996). Ultrastructural effects of a non-steroidal Ecdysone Agonist, RH-5992 on the sixth instar larva of the spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana. Journal of Insect Physiology*, 43: 55-68.

- Retnakaran, A., Peter, K., Qili, F. and Basil, A. (2003). Ecdysone agonist mechanism and importance in controlling insect pests of agriculture and forestry. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 54: 187-199.
- Robb, J. G., Kerr, E. D. and Ellis, D. E. (1992). Factors affecting the economic threshold for *Heterodera schachtii* control in sugar beet. *Journal of Sugar Beet Research*, 29 (1&2): 31-43.
- Robea, M. A., Nicoara, M., Plavan, G., Strugaru, S. A. and Ciobica, A. (2018). Fipronil: mechanism of action on various organisms and future relevance for animal models studies. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 5(1): 20-31.
- Rouabhi, R., Djebar, B. H. and Djebar, M. R. (2008). Impact of flufenoxuron, an IGR pesticide on *Gallus domesticus* embryonic development in ovo. *Journal of Cell* and Animal Biology, 2(3): 87-91.
- Sadanandane, C., Doss, B. P. S. and Jambulingam, P. (2012). Efficacy of three formulations of diflubenzuron, an insect growth regulator, against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say, the vector of *Bancroftian filariasis* in India. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 136: 783-791.
- Saharul, A. M., Zulkefli, M., Ramle, Mohamad, M. R. S., Su, C.M., Siaw, T. C., Norman, K., Siti, R. A. A. and Siti, N. A. (2017). Biological agents and insecticides to control bunch moth, *Tirathaba rufivena* in oil palm estates In Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 29(3): 323-332.
- Saito, S., Isayama, S., Sakamoto N. and Umeda, K. (2004). Insecticidal activity of Pyridalyl : Acute and sub-acute symptoms in *Spodoptera litura* larvae. *Journal of Pesticide Science*, 29(4): 372-375.
- Sakamoto, N., Ueda, N., Umeda, K., Matsuo, S., Haga, T. and Fujisawa, T. (2005). Research and development of a novel insecticide 'pyridalyl'. *Research and Development Reports of Sumitomo Chemical*, 2005(1): 33-44.
- Sakamoto, N., Saito, S., Hirose, T., Suzuki, M., Matsuo, S., Izumi, K., Nagatomi, T., Ikegami, H., Umeda, K., Tsushima, K. and Matsuo, N. (2003). The discovery of pyridalyl: a novel insecticidal agent for controlling lepidopterous pests. *Pest Management Science*, 60: 25-34.
- Saleh, T. A. and Abdel-Gawad, R. M. (2018). Electrophoretic and colorimetric pattern of protein and isozyme as reflex to diflubenzuron and chromafenozide treatments of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 6(3): 1651-1660.
- Sanjay, C. M. and Bhat, R. A. (2019). Insect growth regulators for insect pest control. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8(12): 208-218.
- Saxena, D., Smruti, P. and Guenther, S. (2010). Fate and effects in soil of Cry proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*: Influence of physicochemical and biological characteristics of soil. *The Open Toxinology Journal*, 3: 151-171.

- Shinya, N., Shigeru, S. and Shinji, I. (2007). Pyridalyl: A novel compound with excellent insecticidal activity, high selectivity and unique mode of action. *Japanese Journal of Plant Science*, 1(2): 85-94.
- Smaggle, G. and Degheele, D. (1992). Effect of RH-5849, the first nonsteroidal ecdysteroid agonist on larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 21: 119-128.
- Spomer, A. N., Kamble, S. T. and Siegfried, D. B. (2009). Bioavailability of chlorantraniliprole and indixacarb to eastern subterranean termites (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) in various soils. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 102(5): 1922-7.
- Siddall, J. B. (1976). Insect growth regulators and insect control: A critical appraisal. *Environment Health Perspectives*, 14: 119-126.
- Silva, J. E., Siqueira, H. A. A., Tadeu, B. M. S., Mateus, R. C. and Barros, R. (2012). Baseline susceptibility to chlorantraniliprole of Brazilian populations of *Plutella xylostella*. *Crop Protection*, 35: 97-101.
- Stejskal, V. (2003). Economic injury level and preventive pest control. *Journal of Pest Science*, 76: 170-172.
- Stern, V. M., Smith, R. F., Bosch, R. and Hagen, K. S. (1959). The integrated control concept. *Hilgardia*, 29(1): 81-101.
- Su, C. M. (2016). Management of oil palm bunch moth (Tirathaba mundella Walker) in young mature oil palm plantation on peat soil in Sarawak, Malaysia. Master of Science Thesis. University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Su, C. M. and Bong, C. F. (2017). Effect of different insecticides on the survival of the oil palm pollinator, *Elaedobius kamerunicus* (Coleoptera: Curculionodae). *The Planter*, 93(1100): 777-788.
- Su, C. M., Joseph, B. C. F. and Khairulmazmi, A. (2017). Field ablation as cultural control for bunch moth *Tirathaba mundella* infestation in young mature oil palm. *The Planter*, 93: 421-431
- Suganthi, A., Jayakumar, R. and Kuttalam, S. (2007). Field performance of pyridalyl 10EC (S1812) against diamond back moth, *Pluttella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) on Cabbage. *Journal of Entomology*, 4(1): 60-63.
- Sugih, W. H., Ahmad, F. & Thiagarajan, S. (1996). Proceedings from 1996 Porim International Palm Oil Congress (Agriculture): *Influence of rainfall, palm age and assisted pollination on oil palm fruit set in Riao, Indonesia.* MPOB, Bangi, 207-220.
- Sun, J. and Zhou, J. (2015). Design, synthesis, and insecticidal activity of some novel diacylhydrazine and acylhydrazone derivatives. *Molecules*, 20: 5625-5637.

- Syed, R. A. (1980). Pollinating insect of oil palm 1977-1980. Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control Report, 1-234.
- Syed, R. A. (1981). Pollinating thrips of oil palm in West Malaysia. *The Planter*, 57: 62-81.
- Syed, R. A. (1982). Insect pollination of oil palm: Feasibility of introducing *Elaeidobius* spp into Malaysia. In E. Pushparajah, & Chew P. S. eds), Proceedings from *The Oil Palm in Agriculture in the Eighties*. The Incorporated Society of Planters, Kuala Lumpur, 1: 263-289.
- Syed, R. A., Law, I. H. and Corley, R. H. V. (1982). Insect pollination of oil palm: Introduction, establishment and pollinating efficiency of *Elaeidobius* kamerunicus in Malaysia. The Planter, 58: 547-561.
- Syed, R. and Saleh, A. (1987). Population of Elaeidobius kamerunicus Faust. in relation to fruit set. Proceedings of the 1987 International Oil Palm / Palm Oil Conferences: Progress and Prospect Module I Agriculture, Kuala Lumpur, 528-534.
- Szpyrka, E., Matyaszek, A. and Slowik-Borowise, M. (2017). Dissipation of chlorantraniliprole, chlorpyrifos-methyl and indoxacarb-insectides used to control codling moth (*Cydia Pomonella* L.) and leafrollers (Tortricidae) in apples for production of baby food. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(13): 12128-12135.
- Tabozada, E. K., El-Arnaouty, S. A. and Sayed, S. M. (2014). Effectiveness of two chitin synthesis inhibitors; flufenoxuron and lufenuron on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and side effect of sublethal concentrations of them on two hymenopteran parasitoids. *Life Science Journal*, 11(10): 239-245.
- Taniputra, B. and Chairul, M. (1989). The influence of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* on the yield pattern of *Elaeis quineensis* at Bukit Sentang Estate, North Sumatra, Indonesia. *The Planter*, 65: 493-499.
- Teixeira, L. A. F., Larry, J. G., John, C. W and Rufus, I. (2009). Lethal and sublethal effects of chlorantraniliprole on three species of *Rhagoletis* fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Pest Management Science*, 65: 137-143.
- Temple, J. H., Pommireddy, P. L., Cook, D. R., Marcon, P. and Leonard, B. R. (2009). Arthropod management susceptobility of selected Lepidopteran pests to rynaxypyr, a novel insecticide. *The Journal of Cotton Science*, 13: 23-31.
- Thomas, F. and Rolf, H. (2003). Botanical aspects of the oil palm relevant to crop management. In Oil palm: Management for large and sustainable yields. Potash and Phosphate Institute, East and Southeast Asia programs. *International Potash Institute*, 108-118.
- Thomas, P. K., James, F. W. and Helene, B. D. (2010). Control of *Helicoverpa zea* in tomatoes with chlorantraniliprole applied through drip chemigation. *Plant Health Progress*, 11(1). Doi:10.1094/PHP-2009-0407-01-RS.

- Trisyono, Y. A. (2002). Ecdysone agonists: New insecticides with novel modeof actions. *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia*, 8(2): 75-85.
- Tunaz, H. and Uygun, N. (2003). Insect growth regulators for insect pest control. *Turkey Journal of Agriculture*, 28(2004): 377-387.
- US Environmental Protection Agency. (2008). Pesticide fact sheet: Pyridalyl. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Wahid, M. B., May, C. Y. and Weng, C. K. (2011). Strategic direction in oil Palm Research. *Further Advances in Oil Palm Research*. MPOB, Bangi, 1-27.
- Wakil, W., Ghazanfar, M. U., Nasir, F., Qayyum, M. A. and Tahir, M. (2012). Insecticidal efficacy of Azadirachta indica, Nucleopolyhedrovirus and chlorantraniliprole singly or combined against field populations of Helicoverpa armigera Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 72(1): 53-61.
- Williams, C. M. (1967). Third-Generation Pesticides. Scientific American, 217: 13-17.
- Wing, K. D., Sacher, M. E., Kagaya, Y., Tsurubuchi, Y., Mulderig, L., Connair, M. and Schnee, M. (2000). Bioactivation and mode of action of the oxadiazine indoxacarb in insects. *Crop Protection*, 19: 537-545.
- Wood, B. J. (1968). Pests of oil palms in Malaysia and their control. *The Planters*, 111-113.
- Wood, B. J. and Ng, K. Y. (1974). Studies on the biology and control of oil palm bunch moth, *Tirathaba mundella* Walk. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Malaysian Agricultural Journal*, 49(3): 310-331.
- Yaakop, S. and Manaf, S. M. A. (2015). The bunch moth of the *Tirathaba* species as a hidden pest on the peat soil of oil palm plantations: Implications of biological life cycles, the DNA barcoding approach and infestation pattern detection. *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Chemical, Agricultural and Medical Sciences, Singapore.*
- Yalamoussa, T., Herve, K. K. and Nklo, H. (2011). Biology of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* and *Elaedobius plagiatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) main pollinators of oil palm in West Africa. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 49(3): 426-432.
- Yanagi, M., Tsukamoto, Y., Watanabe, T. and Kawagishi, A. (2006). Development of a novel lepidopteran insect control agent, chromafenozide. *Journal of Pesticide Science*, 31(2): 163-164.
- Yoon, J., Park, J., Moon, H., Han, G. and Lee, K. (2013). Residue patterns of indoxacarb and pyridalyl in treated cauliflower. *Agricultural Sciences*, 4(3): 111-116.
- Yue, J., Zhen, Y., Cheng, B., Zetan, C., Weifu, L. and Fangzhen, J. (2015). Pollination activity of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea) on oil palm on Hainan Island. *Florida Entomologist*, 98(2): 499-505.

- Yul, J. R., Jae, Y. C., Ming, S. L., Byung, R. J. and Yeon, H. J. (2007). Bacillus thuringiensis as a specific, safe and effective tool for insect pest control. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 17(4): 547-559.
- Yu, S. J. (2014). *The Toxicology and biochemistry of insecticides* (2nd. Ed.). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Yussof, B., Jalani, B. S. and Chan, K. W. (2000). Advances in Oil Palm Research, 27-28.
- Zhu, F., Laura, L., Sally, O'N., Mark, L., Carrie, F. and Douglas, W. (2015). Insecticide resistance and management strategies in urban ecosystems. *Insects*, 7(1): 2.
- Zulkefli, M., Norman, K., Mohd, B. W. and Mohd, M. M. (2012). Integrated pest management (IPM) of *Coptotermes* spp. In Termites of oil palm in Malaysia: Identification and management. Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB), 8-15. (Include book chapter)

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Su Chong Ming was born on 26th December 1979 in Miri Sarawak, Malaysia. He received his primary education in Sekolah Rendah Bantuan Chuang Hua, Miri. In 1993, he pursued his secondary secondary education in Sekolah Menengah Bantuan Chung Hua, Miri. He completed his Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia (STPM) in Kolej Tun Datu Tuanku Haji Bujang, Miri in 1999. In the following year, he continued his higher education in Universiti Malaysia Sabah and graduated with Bachelor of Science in Plant Technology. In 2013, he was enrolled in degree of Master in Plant Entomology and graduate in year 2016. After graduated from his Master, he decided to pursue in degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Entomology since year 2017.

