



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***PROTECTIVE PROPERTIES OF STINGLESS BEE HONEY IN  
ZEBRAFISH EMBRYO EXPOSED TO GAMMA IRRADIATION***

**MOHD NOOR HIDAYAT BIN ADENAN**

**IB 2021 10**



**PROTECTIVE PROPERTIES OF STINGLESS BEE HONEY IN ZEBRAFISH  
EMBRYO EXPOSED TO GAMMA IRRADIATION**

**By**

**MOHD NOOR HIDAYAT BIN ADENAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of  
Science**

**January 2021**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## **PROTECTIVE PROPERTIES OF STINGLESS BEE HONEY IN ZEBRAFISH EMBRYO EXPOSED TO GAMMA IRRADIATION**

By

**MOHD NOOR HIDAYAT BIN ADENAN**

**January 2021**

**Chair : Professor Latifah binti Saiful Yazan, PhD**  
**Institute : Bioscience**

There are many benefits of ionizing radiation applications particularly in the field of medicine, industry, agriculture and research. As the use increases, the risk of getting health hazards is the major concern if not properly used or contained. Overexposure to ionizing radiation can cause acute health effects or late consequences which is cancer risk. At the cellular level, the radiation will induce DNA damage and after that apoptosis as leading route of cell inactivation. Cells exposed to ionizing radiation can release signals that induce very similar effects in non-targeted neighbouring cells, a phenomenon known as bystander effects. In this study, we have used the zebrafish as the powerful *in vivo* model organism to evaluate the potential of Kelulut honey (KH) in reducing the harmful effects of gamma irradiation. Several types of honey are well documented to reduce radiation-induced damage *in vitro* and *in vivo*. All of the honey were chosen based on antioxidant properties reported in previous studies. None of the studies have discussed on the potential of KH as radioprotectant. Our aim was to investigate the effectiveness of KH in radioprotecting the zebrafish embryo from adverse effects of gamma radiation. Zebrafish embryos were collected according standard guidelines. Briefly, 24 hours post fertilization (hpf) zebrafish embryos were irradiated at the doses of 11 – 20 Gy of gamma ray (caesium-137). The embryos were examined for lethality and abnormalities until 96 hours post-irradiation (120 hours post fertilization). The optimum concentration of KH for zebrafish embryos treatment was obtained through toxicity evaluation. Evaluation of KH for radioprotective properties has been performed by using phenotypic assay and immunohistochemistry analysis involving  $\gamma$ H2AX and caspase-3 proteins represented DNA damage and apoptosis. All of the results were analyzed using two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test using GraphPad Prism version 8 and presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Amifostine was used as a positive control for comparison purposes. The dose of KH selected for determination of survival, morphology, DNA damage and apoptosis was 8 mg/mL. KH also was found to be not toxic in the zebrafish embryos depending

on the concentration used. For lethality evaluation, coagulated zebrafish embryos found at 24 and 48 hours post-irradiation. The highest coagulation were from 19 and 20 Gy of the irradiation and the lowest at 11 Gy. LC50 value of gamma radiation at 120 hpf was 13.68 Gy. Several combinations of abnormality (body curvature, micropthalmia, microcephaly and pericardial oedema) were found in the study. KH treatment was found to increase survival rate up to 20 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). It is also found to reduce morphological abnormalities of embryos specifically body curvature incidence. Bystander embryos pre-treated with KH and amifostine were found to reduce lethality and abnormality effect of irradiated-embryo conditioned media (IECM) irradiated. The lethality and abnormality occurrence in the study overall were independent of irradiation doses. Amifostine was identified to reduce DNA damage in the zebrafish embryos at 11 to 20 Gy of gamma irradiation ( $p < 0.05$ ). KH treatment was recorded to be significantly reduced the DNA damage at 14 and 15 Gy of radiation exposure ( $p < 0.05$ ). The DNA damage was only recorded in the bystander zebrafish embryos at 18 and 19 Gy of IECM exposure ( $p < 0.05$ ). Amifostine showed less protective effect to reduce DNA damage at 18 and 19 Gy and KH did not reduce the intensity of  $\gamma$ H2AX in the bystander embryos. Similar to DNA damage analysis, amifostine was found to reduce the apoptosis incidence in the zebrafish embryos at 11 to 20 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). KH was recorded to reduce the apoptosis at 11, 12, 13 and 15 Gy of the irradiation dose ( $p < 0.05$ ). Caspase-3 intensity was found to be similar at 11 to 18 Gy in all of the bystander embryos which was no apoptosis incidence showed in the analysis. Amifostine was identified to reduce the apoptosis at 20 Gy of IECM exposure and KH was not reduce the apoptosis incidence in the bystander embryos ( $p < 0.05$ ). The collective results suggest that KH exhibited radioprotective properties in zebrafish embryos by reducing the adverse effects of gamma irradiation and can be categorized as the one of potential Type A2 radioprotectant which focused to scavenge free radicals by ionizing radiation in the study. Besides, KH can be developed as a future radioprotector.

Keywords: Kelulut honey, radioprotective agent, zebrafish model, bystander effects

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## SIFAT PERLINDUNGAN MADU LEBAH KELULUT DALAM EMBRIO ZEBRAFISH YANG DIDEHAHKAN PADA SINARAN GAMA

Oleh

**MOHD NOOR HIDAYAT BIN ADENAN**

Januari 2021

**Pengerusi : Profesor Latifah binti Saiful Yazan, PhD**  
**Institut : Biosains**

Sinaran radiasi mempunyai banyak kegunaan yang bermanfaat terutamanya dalam bidang perubatan, industri, pertanian dan penyelidikan. Ketika penggunaannya meningkat, risiko mendapat bahaya kesihatan menjadi perhatian utama jika tidak digunakan atau dibendung dengan betul. Dedahan terhadap sinaran mengion yang melampau boleh menyebabkan kesan kesihatan akut atau kesan yang lewat iaitu risiko kanser. Pada peringkat sel, radiasi akan menyebabkan kerosakan DNA dan kemudiannya apoptosis sebagai laluan utama kepada inaktivasi sel. Sel yang terdedah kepada sinaran pengion dapat melepaskan isyarat yang menimbulkan kesan yang serupa pada sel yang tidak disinarkan atau lebih dikenali sebagai fenomena kesan bystander. Dalam kajian ini, kami telah menggunakan zebrafish sebagai model organisma *in vivo* yang kuat untuk menilai potensi madu Kelulut (KH) dalam mengurangkan kesan berbahaya penyinaran gamma. Beberapa jenis madu telah didokumentasikan dengan baik untuk mengurangkan kerosakan akibat sinaran secara *in vitro* dan *in vivo*. Semua madu dipilih berdasarkan sifat antioksidan yang dilaporkan dalam kajian sebelumnya. Tidak ada kajian yang membincangkan potensi KH sebagai pelindung sinaran. Tujuan kami adalah untuk mengkaji keberkesanan KH dalam melindungi embrio zebrafish dari kesan buruk sinaran gama. Embrio zebrafish dikumpulkan mengikut garis panduan piawai. Secara ringkas, embrio zebrafish pada 24 jam selepas persenyawaan (hpf) disinari pada dos sinar gama 11 - 20 Gy (cesium-137). Embrio – embrio telah diperiksa kematian dan keabnormalan sehingga 96 jam selepas penyinaran (120 jam selepas persenyawaan). Kepekatan optimum KH untuk rawatan embrio zebrafish diperoleh melalui penilaian ketoksikan. Penilaian KH untuk sifat radioprotektif telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan analisis fenotipik dan analisis imunohistokimia yang melibatkan protein  $\gamma$ H2AX dan caspase-3 yang mewakili kerosakan DNA dan apoptosis. Semua keputusan yang diperoleh telah dianalisis dengan ANOVA dua hala diikuti oleh ujian post hoc Tukey dengan menggunakan GraphPad Prism versi 8. Amifostine telah digunakan sebagai kawalan positif untuk tujuan perbandingan. Dos KH yang dipilih untuk

menentukan kelangsungan hidup, morfologi, kerosakan DNA dan apoptosis adalah 8 mg/mL. KH juga didapati tidak toksik pada embrio zebra bergantung pada kepekatan yang digunakan. Untuk penilaian kematian, embrio zebrafish yang terkoagulasi ditemui pada 24 dan 48 jam selepas penyinaran. Koagulasi tertinggi adalah dari penyinaran 19 dan 20 Gy dan terendah adalah pada 11 Gy. Nilai LC50 radiasi gamma pada 120 hpf adalah 13.68 Gy. Beberapa gabungan kelainan (kelengkungan badan, mikroftalmia, mikrosefali dan edema perikardial) ditemui dalam kajian ini. Rawatan KH didapati meningkatkan kadar kelangsungan hidup hingga 20 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). Ia juga didapati dapat mengurangkan keabnormalan morfologi embrio khususnya kejadian kelengkungan badan. Embrio bystander yang dirawat dengan KH dan amifostine didapati dapat mengurangkan kesan kematian dan keabnormalan daripada media kondisi embrio (IECM) yang disinari. Kematian dan kejadian abnormaliti dalam keseluruhan kajian tidak bergantung pada dos penyinaran. Amifostine dikenal pasti dapat mengurangkan kerosakan DNA pada embrio zebrafish pada 11 hingga 20 Gy penyinaran gamma ( $p < 0.05$ ). Rawatan KH dicatat dapat mengurangkan kerosakan DNA secara signifikan pada pendedahan radiasi pada 14 dan 15 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). Kerosakan DNA hanya dicatat pada embrio zebrafish bystander pada pendedahan IECM 18 dan 19 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). Amifostine menunjukkan kesan radioprotektif yang kurang bagi mengurangkan kerosakan DNA pada 18 dan 19 Gy dan KH tidak mengurangkan intensiti  $\gamma$ H2AX pada embrio bystander. Seiring dengan analisis kerosakan DNA, amifostine didapati dapat mengurangkan kejadian apoptosis pada embrio zebra pada 11 hingga 20 Gy ( $p < 0.05$ ). KH direkodkan untuk mengurangkan apoptosis pada 11, 12, 13 dan 15 Gy dari dos penyinaran ( $p < 0.05$ ). Intensiti caspase-3 didapati serupa pada 11 hingga 18 Gy di semua embrio bystander iaitu tiada kesan apoptosis dilihat daripada analisis. Amifostine dikenal pasti mengurangkan apoptosis pada 20 Gy pendedahan IECM dan KH tidak mengurangkan kejadian apoptosis pada embrio bystander ( $p < 0.05$ ). Keputusan secara keseluruhannya menunjukkan bahawa KH memperlihatkan sifat radioprotektif pada embrio zebra dengan mengurangkan kesan buruk penyinaran gamma dan dapat dikategorikan sebagai salah satu radioprotektan Jenis A2 yang berpotensi untuk menumpaskan radikal bebas oleh sinaran pengion dalam kajian. Selain itu, KH dapat dikembangkan sebagai pelindung sinaran pada masa hadapan.

Kata kunci: Madu Kelulut, agent radioprotektif, model zebrafish, kesan bystander

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, praise be to Almighty Allah for all his blessings for giving me patience and good health throughout the duration of this Master of Science research.

I am very fortunate to have Professor Dr. Latifah Saiful Yazan as a research supervisor. Thank you and appreciation to her whose insight and knowledge into the subject matter steered me through this research. Also, I would like to express my high appreciation to my co-supervisor Dr. Nur Fariesha Md Hashim and Dr. Annie Christianus.

Moreover, I am grateful to Mr. Shuhaimi Shamsudin, operator of Gamma Cell BIOBEAM Facility, Malaysian Nuclear Agency for the guidance throughout the irradiation process.

I would like to say a special thanks to Dr. Suzita Mohd Noor from Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, for sharing her knowledge in zebrafish research.

I am also thankful to all of my colleagues who are also Associate Professor Dr Latifah Saiful Yazan's students for all the considerate guidance.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Universiti Putra Malaysia Grant programme under project code GP-IPS/2018/9614700, funded by the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Last but not least, to my dearest wife, Puan Nur Faizana Che Amram, my beloved mother, Puan Laila Mohd Sharif, my beloved father, Mr. Adenan Ayob, biggest thanks to them for all the support they have shown me through this research.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on (date of viva voce) to conduct the final examination of (student's name) on his (her) thesis entitled ("Title of Thesis") in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the (insert the name of relevant degree).

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Dr. Huzwah Khaza'ai, PhD**

Lecturer  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Associate Professor Dr. Roslida Abd Hamid, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Associate Professor Dr. Radiah Abdul Ghani, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences  
International Islamic University Malaysia  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**(ZURIATI AHMAD ZULKARNAIN, PhD)**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Latifah binti Saiful Yazan, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Nur Fariesha binti Md Hashim, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Annie Christianus, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of Research	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Hypothesis	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Ionizing Radiation	5
2.1.1 Radioactivity	6
2.1.2 Categories of Ionizing Radiation	6
2.1.3 Radioactivity Measurements	8
2.1.4 Radiation Sources	9
2.1.5 Radiation Applications	9
2.1.6 Radiation in Everyday Life	10
2.1.7 Radiation Accidents	10
2.1.8 Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation	13
2.1.8.1 Somatic and Genetic Effects	13
2.1.8.2 DNA Damage	13
2.1.8.3 Apoptosis	14
2.1.9 Bystander Effects	18
2.2 Radioprotection	20
2.2.1 Current Medication	21
2.2.2 Natural Agents	23
2.3 Kelulut Honey	24
2.3.1 Compositions of Kelulut Honey	25
2.3.2 Medicinal Values of Kelulut Honey	25
2.4 Animal Model for Radiation Biology Studies	27
2.4.1 Zebrafish Model System	28
<b>3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1 Materials	30
3.1.1 Zebrafish Care	30
3.1.2 Feeding Zebrafish	30
3.1.3 Kelulut Honey	31

3.2	Methodology	31
3.2.1	Embryos Collection	31
3.2.1.1	Zebrafish Development Stages	32
3.2.2	Radiosensitivity of Zebrafish Embryos Exposed to Gamma Irradiation	33
3.2.2.1	Embryo Irradiation and Dosimetry	33
3.2.2.2	Radiation Effects	35
3.2.2.3	Lethality of Zebrafish Embryos	35
3.2.2.4	Abnormalities of Zebrafish Embryos	36
3.2.3	Toxicity of KH	37
3.2.3.1	Treatment of KH	37
3.2.3.2	Assessment of Toxicological Effects	37
3.2.3.3	Lethality of Zebrafish Embryos	38
3.2.3.4	Abnormalities of Zebrafish Embryos	38
3.2.4	Phenotypic Effects of Treatment Groups on Irradiated Zebrafish Embryo	38
3.2.4.1	Treatment	38
3.2.4.2	Gamma Irradiation	39
3.2.4.3	Survival Analysis	39
3.2.4.4	Morphological Analysis	40
3.2.5	Immunohistochemistry Analysis (DNA Damages and Apoptosis Analysis)	40
3.2.6	Data Analysis	41
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>42</b>
4.1	Effects of Gamma Irradiation on Zebrafish Embryos	42
4.1.1	Evaluation of Gamma Irradiation Doses	42
4.1.2	Lethality of Zebrafish Embryos	43
4.1.3	Abnormalities of Zebrafish Embryos	46
4.2	Toxicity of KH Using Zebrafish Embryos	51
4.2.1	Lethality of Zebrafish Embryos	51
4.2.2	Abnormalities of Zebrafish Embryos	54
4.3	Phenotypic Changes of Zebrafish Embryos in Treatment Groups	55
4.3.1	Lethality Analysis	56
4.3.2	Lethality in Bystander Embryos	59
4.3.3	Abnormality Analysis at Different Growth Stages	59
4.3.3.1	Abnormality in Each Treatment Group	67
4.3.4	Abnormality in Bystander Embryos	71
4.4	Immunohistochemistry Analysis	73
4.4.1	DNA Damage ( $\gamma$ -H2AX)	73
4.4.2	DNA Damage ( $\gamma$ -H2AX) in Bystander Embryos	75
4.4.3	Apoptosis (Caspase-3)	78

4.4.4	Apoptosis (Caspase-3) in Bystander Embryos	81
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1	Evaluation of Gamma Irradiation Doses	84
5.2	Effects of Gamma Rays in Zebrafish Embryos	84
5.2.1	Lethality in Irradiated Zebrafish Embryos	84
5.2.1.1	Lethality at Different Growth Stage	85
5.2.1.2	Total Coagulated Zebrafish Embryos	85
5.2.2	Abnormality in Irradiated Zebrafish Embryos	85
5.2.2.1	Abnormality at 24 Hours Post-irradiation	86
5.2.2.2	Abnormality at 48 to 96 Hours Post-irradiation	87
5.3	Toxicity Study of KH	87
5.3.1	Lethality in The Zebrafish Embryos Treated with KH	88
5.3.1.1	Lethality at 24 Hours Post-treatment	88
5.3.1.2	Lethality at 48 to 96 Hours Post-treatment	88
5.3.1.3	The LC <sub>50</sub> and MAC Value of KH	88
5.3.2	Abnormalities in The Zebrafish Embryos Treated with KH	89
5.4	Phenotypic Effects of Treatment Groups in The Zebrafish Embryos	89
5.4.1	Lethality Analysis for The Embryos in Treatment Groups	89
5.4.1.1	Total Coagulated Zebrafish Embryos in Treatment Groups	90
5.4.2	Lethality Analysis of The Bystander Embryos	90
5.4.3	Abnormality Analysis of The Embryos in Treatment Groups	91
5.4.3.1	Abnormality Analysis of The Embryos at Different Growth Stage	92
5.4.3.2	Abnormality Analysis of The Embryos in Each Treatment Group	92
5.4.4	Abnormality Analysis of The Bystander Embryos	94
5.5	DNA Damage	95
5.5.1	DNA Damage in The Pre-treated Embryos	95
5.5.1.1	DNA Damage in Amifostine Treatment Group	95

	5.5.1.2	DNA Damage in KH Treatment Group	95
	5.5.2	DNA Damage in The Bystander Embryos	96
	5.5.2.1	DNA Damage in The Bystander Embryos Pre-treated with Amifostine	96
	5.5.2.2	DNA Damage in The Bystander Embryos Pre-treated with KH	97
5.6		Apoptosis	97
	5.6.1	Apoptosis in The Pre-treated Embryos	98
	5.6.1.1	Apoptosis in Amifostine Treatment Group	98
	5.6.1.2	Apoptosis in KH Treatment Group	98
	5.6.2	Apoptosis in The Bystander Embryos	99
	5.6.2.1	Apoptosis in The Bystander Embryos Pre-treated with Amifostine	99
	5.6.2.2	Apoptosis in The Bystander Embryos Pre-treated with KH	100
<b>6</b>		<b>CONCLUSION</b>	
	6.1	Conclusion	101
	6.2	Limitation and Recommendation of Future Studies	102
		<b>REFERENCES</b>	104
		<b>APPENDICES</b>	126
		<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT PUBLICATIONS</b>	128
			129

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Units to measure radioactivity, absorbed dose, exposure and dose equivalent	8
2.2	The mechanisms of cell death and the definitions	16
3.1	The chemical compositions and protocols for preparation of E3 medium	32



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Structure of an atom	5
2.2	The emission of an alpha particle consisting of two protons and two neutrons from the nucleus of an atom	6
2.3	The emission of a beta particle in the form of an electron or positron from the nucleus of an atom	7
2.4	The emission of high-energy wave (photons) from the nucleus of an atom	8
2.5	Conversion of nitroxide radical to hydroxylamine and chemical properties associated with both forms	22
2.6	Kelulut honey hive	24
2.7	Adult AB wild-type zebrafish, a powerful model organism	28
3.1	The position of Fricke dosimeter and petri dish containing zebrafish embryos in the stainless steel BB75-4 beaker for irradiation	34
3.2	The Gamma cell BIOBEAM GM 8000	35
3.3	The coagulated zebrafish embryo	36
3.4	The abnormalities in the zebrafish embryo	37
3.5	The treatment groups for radioprotection study	39
4.1	The comparison of radiation absorbed dose in Gy between Fricke dosimeter and actual irradiation doses	43
4.2	Coagulated zebrafish embryos. (a) At 24 hours post-irradiation; (b) at 48 hours post-irradiation	43
4.3	Coagulated zebrafish embryos at 24 and 48 hours post-irradiation	44
4.4	Total coagulated zebrafish embryos	45



4.5	Survival curve of irradiated zebrafish embryos	45
4.6	Zebrafish embryos with normal and abnormal morphology	46
4.7	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos at 24 hours post-irradiation	47
4.8	Normal and abnormal development of zebrafish embryos	49
4.9	Abnormalities in the irradiated zebrafish embryos for each irradiation dose	50
4.10	Normal and abnormal development of the zebrafish embryos	51
4.11	Coagulated zebrafish embryos treated with KH for 24 hours	52
4.12	Zebrafish embryos without cardiac pulse treated with KH for 48, 72 and 96 hours	53
4.13	Survival curve of zebrafish embryos treated with KH	54
4.14	Zebrafish embryos with normal morphology	54
4.15	Zebrafish embryos with body curvature treated with KH for 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours	55
4.16	Normal and coagulated zebrafish embryos	56
4.17	(a) Coagulated zebrafish embryos in IN, IKH and IA groups at 24 hours post-irradiation. (b) Coagulated zebrafish embryos in IN, IKH and IA groups at 48 hours post-irradiation	57
4.18	Total coagulated zebrafish embryos in IN, IKH and IA group	58
4.19	Coagulated zebrafish embryos in the bystander embryos at 48 hours post-irradiation	59
4.20	Normal and abnormal zebrafish embryos at 24 hours post-irradiation	60
4.21	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos at 24 hours post-irradiation	61

4.22	Normal and abnormal zebrafish embryos at 48 hours post-irradiation	62
4.23	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos at 48 hours post-irradiation	63
4.24	Normal and abnormal zebrafish embryos at 72 hours post-irradiation	64
4.25	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos at 72 hours post-irradiation	65
4.26	Normal and abnormal zebrafish embryos at 96 hours post-irradiation	66
4.27	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos at 96 hours post-irradiation	67
4.28	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos in IN group	68
4.29	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos in IKH group	69
4.30	Abnormalities for each dose in the irradiated zebrafish embryos in IA group	70
4.31	Normal and abnormal zebrafish embryos at 48 and 72 hours of exposure to IECM	71
4.32	Abnormalities in the bystander zebrafish embryos exposed to different dose of IECM	72
4.33	Expression of $\gamma$ -H2AX in the non-irradiated and irradiated zebrafish embryos	74
4.34	Intensity of $\gamma$ -H2AX in the irradiated and non-irradiated zebrafish embryos	75
4.35	Expression of $\gamma$ -H2AX in the non-irradiated and bystander zebrafish embryos	76
4.36	Intensity of $\gamma$ -H2AX in the bystander and non-irradiated zebrafish embryos	77
4.37	Expression of caspase-3 in the non-irradiated and irradiated zebrafish embryos	79
4.38	Intensity of caspase-3 in the irradiated and non-irradiated zebrafish embryos	80

4.39	Expression of caspase-3 in the non-irradiated and bystander zebrafish embryos	82
4.40	Intensity of caspase-3 in the bystander and non-irradiated zebrafish embryos	83
A1	Zebrafish aquarium system	126
A2	Protocols to prepare pronase solution	127



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

KH	Kelulut honey
LC10	Concentration at which 10% of lethality was observed
LC50	Concentration at which 50% of lethality was observed
IECM	Irradiated-embryo conditioned media
TENORM	Technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive materials
ARS	Acute radiation syndrome
OH.	Hydroxyl
DSB	Double strand breaks
LET	Linear energy transfer
TNFR	Tumor necrosis factor receptor
DD	Death domain
FADD	Fas-associated death domain
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
GJIC	Gap-junctional intercellular communication
ATM	Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
TLR5	Toll-like receptor 5
ACF	Aberrant crypt foci
PMNs	Polymorphonuclear neutrophils
RT	Radiotherapy
EAC	Esophageal adenocarcinoma
IACUC	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

MNA	Malaysian Nuclear Agency
MAC	Maximum allowable concentration
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
PFA	Paraformaldehyde
BO	Body curvature with pericardial oedema
BC	Body curvature
MO	Microphthalmia with pericardial oedema
BOO	Body curvature with microphthalmia and pericardial oedema
BCO	Body curvature with microcephaly and pericardial oedema
AA	All abnormalities
IN	The irradiated zebrafish embryos group without any treatment
BNT	The untreated bystander embryos group
IKH	The irradiated embryos group pre-treated with KH
BKH	The bystander embryos group pre-treated with KH
IA	The irradiated embryos group pre-treated with amifostine
BA	The bystander embryos group pre-treated with amifostine
M	Microphthalmia
BM	Body curvature with microphthalmia
BMC	Body curvature with microphthalmia and microcephaly
MCO	Microphthalmia with microcephaly and pericardial oedema
MC	Microphthalmia and microcephaly
AUTS2	Activator of transcription and developmental regulator
CNS	Central nervous system
RIBE	Radiation induced bystander effects
LCL	Lymphoblastoid cell line

IAP	Inhibitor of apoptosis
TGF $\beta$	Transforming growth factor
TNF $\alpha$	Tumour necrosis factor
TRAIL	TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand





© COPYRIGHT UPM

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Background of Research

Radiation is an energy that comes from a variety of natural and artificial sources and may be able to penetrate various materials. It is travelling as waves or particles in environment. It can be divided into two types namely ionizing radiation and non-ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation has sufficient energy to change the chemical composition of matter while non-ionizing radiation has less energy but still can elicit molecules and atoms causing them to vibrate faster. Ionizing radiation is emitted from radioactive atomic structures as high energy electromagnetic waves (gamma and x-rays) or actual particles, which are alpha, beta and neutrons (Goldman, 1982). Non-ionizing radiation derives from extremely low frequency (ELF), very low frequency (VLF), radio waves, microwaves, infrared radiation and visible light (Syaza et al., 2018).

Radiation has many beneficial uses in human life and its application is increasing recently. In medicine, radioisotopes are used extensively in diagnosis and therapy for a number of diseases. For diagnosis, x-rays can provide images to identify abnormal changes in body and tissues. The radioactive isotopes from gamma-rays sources can be used in cancer treatments by destroying the abnormal formed of cells. The industrial application of radiation is power generation based on the release fission energy of uranium. Other applications are the use of radioisotopes with high penetrating capability to capture defects image in welds and metal castings as well as to measure thickness of electroplates and to eliminate static charges in industries. Radioisotopes are employed in agricultural field as tracers. Selected fertilizers labelled with radioisotopes is important to find out the amount of fertilizer uptake by plants and the amount that is lost during the plant physiological processes (Singh et al., 2013).

Application of radiation have resulted in increasing number of human exposed to the risk of using it. Radiation workers may have higher risk to face radiation accidents. About 417 radiation accidents happened in year 1944 to 2000 and led to significant overexposure of at least one person (Turai and Veress, 2001). Exposure to high levels of radiations can cause acute health effects such as skin burns and acute radiation syndrome. Exposure to low levels of radiation does not cause immediate health effects, but contributes to cancer risk (Mraz and Becker, 2017). For cancer patients under radiotherapy treatment, radiation does not only kill the cancer cells but also causes side effects to neighbouring normal cells. Therefore, studies are needed to find a suitable and more effective radiation protection agent that has capability to reduce the harmful effects of radiation as well as protecting neighbouring non-irradiated cells.



Ionizing radiation can trigger the formation of free radicals, which induces biological damage even at a very low dose (Prasad, 2005). It can also cause DNA damage in the cells. Following the induction of DNA damage, a leading route of cell inactivation is apoptosis (Roos and Kaina, 2006). Apoptosis is a secondary response to DNA damage in order to protect a multicellular organism against a damaged cell (Wang, 2001). Ionizing radiation exposure often triggers the onset of p53-dependent apoptotic pathways or known as intrinsic apoptotic pathways (Liu et al., 2014). This involves other proteins activation such as puma, bax, cytochrome C, Apaf-1, caspase-9 and caspase-3. Although ionizing radiation effects have been well defined in a variety of *in vitro* models, the mechanisms as well as the presence of such effect *in vivo* is not well described (Chai and Hei, 2008). Therefore, the use of a suitable model organism is required for any radiobiological studies in order to develop more efficient and effective strategies to prevent, cure and reduce radiation harmful effects.

Amifostine is a known radioprotectant developed by Amifostine Walter Reed Army Institute to protect personnel from radiation sickness (Hall, 1985). It has capability to protect cells from damage by scavenging free radicals, was later assessed for a potential role in reducing the toxicities from radiation and chemotherapeutic agents. Besides, preclinical studies demonstrated that amifostine can selectively protect almost all normal tissues from the cytotoxic effects of some chemotherapeutic agents and radiation therapy (Kouvaris et al., 2007). However, clinical application of amifostine is currently limited due to its harmful side effects, including nausea and vomiting (Pandit et al., 2015). Therefore, there is a need to develop an effective as well as non-toxic radioprotectant agent. Natural products are non-toxic with proven therapeutic benefits and have been screened for their radioprotective potential in various *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies (Kuruba and Gollapalli, 2018). The use of natural products and dietary modulators in combination with radiation enhanced radioprotecting activities as well as protection of normal cells against radiation (Jagetia, 2007).

Honey is one of the natural products that has a potential to reduce harmful effects of ionizing radiation exposure and appropriate to be developed as radioprotectant agent. Previously, Tengku Ahmad et al. (2013) have tested Gelam honey against human diploid fibroblasts before gamma irradiation exposure. They found that the Gelam honey upregulated antioxidant enzyme to detoxify free radicals produced by ionizing radiation. On the other hand, a study on the effect of honey on radiation-induced oral mucositis in head and neck cancer patients was performed by Amanat et al. (2017). The results showed that Ziziphus honey significantly reduced the severity of muscositis in head and neck cancer patients under radiotherapy treatment. A study by Ahmad et al. (2012) identified that Tualang honey protected keratinocytes from adverse effects of ultraviolet radiation. In fact, the Tualang honey has the capability to reduce inflammation and DNA damage by radiation.

There were several types of honey have been used to test the the radioprotective effects against ionizing radiation. Basically, these honeys were chosen based on

antioxidant properties reported in previous studies (Tengku Ahmad et al., 2013; Amanat et al., 2017; Ahmad et al., 2012). In this study, we focused on Kelulut honey (stingless bee honey) because it has been proven to contain highest concentration of antioxidants as compared to other types of honey (Nweze et al., 2017; Selvaraju et al., 2019). Besides, Selvaraju et al. (2019) have performed analysis on various types of honey from West Coast of Malaysia and found that stingless bee honey from *Trigona itama* has the highest antioxidant potential with the highest phenolic and flavonoid contents as compared to *Apis mellifera* (honeybee).

Furthermore, as a natural product possessed high antioxidants, Kelulut honey (KH) has been assessed to reduce the development of aberrant crypt foci (ACF) in rat induce with colon cancer. In the study, ACF has been used as biomarker to identify the progression of development of colon cancer. It showed that KH has possessed chemopreventive properties as it successfully reduced the risk of cancer development induced by carcinogenic compound (Latifah et al., 2016). Additionally, Latifah et al. (2016) also reported that KH was not toxic to the rat since the level of blood profile parameters, liver enzymes and kidney functions was in normal range. Since KH was reported to have strong antioxidant activities as well as proven to be not toxic in animal study, it could be one of the potential radioprotective agent from natural resources.

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) is a powerful vertebrate model system for evaluating potential radioprotectant agents. It is because the zebrafish are rapidly bred, easy to maintain in the laboratory and the transparent visualization of the embryo allows the large-scale screening of therapeutic agents such as ionizing radiation and its modifiers (McAleer et al., 2005). In previous studies, several therapeutic agents have been tested on the zebrafish model to observe for radioprotective activities and there were amifostine and fullerene nanoparticle DF-1 (McAleer et al., 2005), epicatechin compound (Shin et al., 2014), and mistletoe extract (Rim et al., 2019). Most of the radioprotectant studies only focused on survival and abnormalities developed in the zebrafish embryos. In the present study, we investigated the phenotypic changes in the zebrafish embryos during the development as well as the expression of selected proteins for DNA damage ( $\gamma$ -H2AX) and apoptosis (caspase-3) evaluation.

## 1.2. Objectives

The general objective of the study was to determine the protective properties of Kelulut honey (KH) in zebrafish embryo exposed to gamma irradiation.

The specific objectives of this study were:

1. To determine the radiosensitivity of zebrafish embryo exposed to different dose of gamma rays.
2. To determine the toxicity and LC<sub>50</sub> of KH in zebrafish embryo.

3. To determine the effects of KH treatment on the irradiated and bystander zebrafish embryos.

### 1.3. Hypothesis

KH as a potential radioprotectant is expected to increase the survival rate, reduce the abnormalities and lower the expression of DNA damage and apoptosis proteins in the zebrafish embryos.



## REFERENCES

- Abd, K. & Azali, M. (2006). Handbook of Radiation Protection. Malaysian Nuclear Agency, pp. 1–139.
- Abdullah, A. A. (2013). Internal and external radiation exposure evaluation amongst selected workers and locations in Iraq, (Doctoral Thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia). Retrieved 12 June 2020 from <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2298.6640>.
- Achel, D. G., Alcaraz-Saura, M., Castillo, J., Olivares, A., & Alcaraz, M. (2019). Radioprotective and antimutagenic effects of *Pycnanthus angolensis* warb seed extract against damage induced by x-rays. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(1), 1–17.
- Ahmad, Israr & Jimenez, Hugo & Yaacob, Nik & Yusuf, Nabiha. (2012). Tualang honey protects keratinocytes from ultraviolet radiation-induced inflammation and DNA damage. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 88, 1198-204.
- Akagi, T., Ushinohama, K., Ikesue, S., Yukawa, E., Higuchi, S., Hamase, K., Zaitso, K. & Ohdo, S. (2004). Chronopharmacology of melatonin in mice to maximize the antitumor effect and minimize the rhythm disturbance effect. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 308(1), 378–384.
- Ali, N. (2007). Teratology in Zebrafish Embryos : A Tool for Risk Assessment, (Master of Science Thesis, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Retrieved 13 June 2020 from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/11988206.pdf>.
- Amanat, A., Ahmed, A., Kazmi, A., & Aziz, B. (2017). The effect of honey on radiation-induced oral mucositis in head and neck cancer patients. *Indian Journal of Palliative Care*, 23(3), 317–320.
- Ambekar, S. S., Hattur, S. S. & Bule, P. B. (2018). DNA: Damage and repair mechanisms in humans. *Global Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 3(3), 1–8.
- Andreassen, C. N., Grau, C., & Lindegaard, J. C. (2003). Chemical radioprotection: A critical review of amifostine as a cytoprotector in radiotherapy. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*, 13(1), 62–72.
- Antkiewicz, D. S., Geoffrey, Burns, C., Carney, S. A., Peterson, R. E. & Heideman, W. (2005). Heart malformation is an early response to TCDD in embryonic zebrafish. *Toxicological Science*, 84, 368-377.

- Asaithamby, A., Hu, B., & Chen, D. J. (2011). Unrepaired clustered DNA lesions induce chromosome breakage in human cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(20), 8293–8298.
- Augustine, A. D., Gondré-Lewis, T., McBride, W., Miller, L., Pellmar, T. C., & Rockwell, S. (2005). Animal models for radiation injury, protection and therapy. *Radiation Research*, 164(1), 100–109.
- Austerlitz, C. de, Souza, V., Villar, H. P., & Cordilha, A. (2006). The use of fricke dosimetry for low energy x-rays. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 49, 17–23.
- Aziz, M. S. A., Giribabu, N., Rao, P. V., & Salleh, N. (2017). Pancreatoprotective effects of *Geniotrigona thoracica* stingless bee honey in streptozotocin-nicotinamide-induced male diabetic rats. *Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy*, 89, 135–145.
- Azzam, E. I., de Toledo, S. M., Harris, A. L., Ivanov, V., Zhou, H., Amundson, S. A., Lieberman, H. B. & Hei, T. K. (2013). In: Sonis, S. T. & Keefe, D. M. (eds). *Pathobiology of cancer regimen-related toxicities*. New York, USA: Springer. pp 35–61.
- Barlow, I. L., & Rihel, J. (2017). Zebrafish sleep: from geneZZZ to neuronZZZ. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 44, 65–71.
- Baskar, R., Balajee, A. S., & Geard, C. R. (2007). Effects of low and high LET radiations on bystander human lung fibroblast cell survival. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 83(8), 551–559.
- Bernhardsson, C., Zvonova, I., Rääf, C., & Mattsson, S. (2011). Measurements of long-term external and internal radiation exposure of inhabitants of some villages of the Bryansk region of Russia after the Chernobyl accident. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(22), 4811–4817.
- Bhuvaneswari, S., AshwinKarthick, N., Deepa, S., Hima Aishwarya & UdayaPrakash, N. K. (2014). Quality analysis of phytocomposition of branded and unbranded honey procured from the markets of Chennai, India. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, 6(9), 4070-4077.
- Biluca, F. C., de Gois, J. S., Schulz, M., Braghini, F., Gonzaga, L. V., Maltez, H. F., Rodrigues, E., Vitali, L., Micke, G. A., Borges, D. L. G., Costa, A. C. O. & Fett, R. (2017). Phenolic compounds, antioxidant capacity and bioaccessibility of minerals of stingless bee honey (Meliponinae). *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 63(May), 89–97.
- Bladen, C. L., Lam, W. K., Dynan, W. S., & Kozlowski, D. J. (2005). DNA damage response and Ku80 function in the vertebrate embryo. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(9), 3002–3010.

- Bogdanov, S., Rouff, K. & Oddo, L. P. (2004). Physico-chemical methods for the characterization of unifloral honey: a review. *Apidologie*, 35(4), 275-282.
- Borsato, D. M., Prudente, A. S., Döll-Boscardin, P. M., Borsato, A. V., Luz, C. F. P., Maia, B. H. L. N. S., Cabrini, D. A., Otuki, M. F., Miguel, M. D., Farago, P. V. & Miguel, O. G. (2014). Topical anti-inflammatory activity of a monofloral honey of *Mimosa scabrella* provided by *Melipona marginata* during winter in Southern Brazil. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 17(7), 817–825.
- Boyd, C. E. (2005). LC50 calculations help predict toxicity. *Global Aquaculture Advocate* 8(1), 84-87.
- Braasch, I. & Postlethwait, J. H. (2012). Polyploidy in fish and the teleost genome duplication (pp. 341-383). In: Soltis, P. S., Soltis, D. E. (eds.), *Polyploidy and Genome Evolution*. Springer. 420p.
- Brand, M., Heisenberg, C. P., Warga, R. M., Pelegri, F., Karlstrom. R. O., Beuchle, D., Picker, A., Jiang, Y. J., Furutani-Seiki, M., Van Eeden, F. J., Granato, M., Haffter, P., Hammerschmidt, M., Kane, D. A., Kelsh, R. N., Mullins. M. C., Odenthal. J. & Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (1996). Mutations affecting development of the midline and general body shape during zebrafish embryogenesis. *Development (Cambridge, England)*, 123,129-142.
- Brook, B. W., Alonso, A., Meneley, D. A., Misak, J., Blee, T. & Van Erp, J. B. (2014). Why nuclear energy is sustainable and has to be part of the energy mix. *Sustainable materials and technologies*, 1(2), 8 – 16.
- Burdelya, L. G., Krivokrysenko, V. I., Tallant, T. C., Strom, E., Gleiberman, A. S., Gupta, D., Kurnasov, O. V., Fort, F. L., Osterman, A. L., DiDonato, J. A., Feinstein, E. & Gudkov, A. V. (2008). An agonist of toll-like receptor 5 has radioprotective activity in mouse and primate models. *Science*, 320(5873), 226–230.
- Chai, Y., Calaf, G. M., Zhou, H., Ghandhi, S. A., Elliston, C. D., Wen, G., Nohmi, T., Amundson, S. A. & Hei, T. K. (2013). Radiation induced COX-2 expression and mutagenesis at non-targeted lung tissues of gpt delta transgenic mice. *British Journal of Cancer*, 108(1), 91–98.
- Chai, Yunfei, & Hei, T. K. (2008). Radiation induced bystander effect *in vivo*. *Acta Medica Nagasakiensia*, 53, S65–S69.
- Charles Busby, C., Stather, J. W., Geiger, G. A., Parker, S. E., Beothy, A. P., Tucker, J. A., Mullins, M. C., Kao, G. D., Soda, M., Sakata, R., Hayashi, M. & Kasagi, F. (2019). The secondary photoelectron effect: Gamma ray ionisation enhancement in tissues from high atomic number elements. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 30, 147–148.

- Chen, J. (2013). Impaired cardiovascular function caused by different stressors elicits a common pathological and transcriptional response in zebrafish embryos. *Zebrafish*, 10(3), 389–400.
- Choi, V. W. Y., Ng, C. Y. P., Cheng, S. H., & Yu, K. N. (2012). A-particle irradiated zebrafish embryos rescued by bystander unirradiated zebrafish embryos. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46(1), 226–231.
- Choi, V. W. Y., Ng, C. Y. P., Kobayashi, A., Konishi, T., Suya, N., Ishikawa, T., Cheng, S. H. & Yu, K. N. (2013). Bystander effect between zebrafish embryos in vivo induced by high-dose X-rays. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(12), 6368–6376.
- Choudhary, S. (2018). Deterministic and stochastic effects of radiation. *Cancer Therapy and Oncology International Journal*, 12(2), 1–2.
- Citrin, D., Cotrim, A. P., Hyodo, F., Baum, B. J., Krishna, M. C., & Mitchell, J. B. (2010). Radioprotectors and mitigators of radiation-induced normal tissue injury. *The Oncologist*, 15(4), 360–371.
- Clara, S., Beunders, G., Voorhoeve, E., Golzio, C., Pardo, L. M., Rosenfeld, J. A. & Ahituv, N. (2013). Exonic deletions in *AUTS2* cause a syndromic form of intellectual disability and suggest a critical role for the C Terminus, 9(1), 210–220.
- CNSC. (2017). Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, First Annual Report 2016-17. Retrieved 23 April 2020 from [https://doi.org/ISSN: 1912-0753](https://doi.org/ISSN:1912-0753).
- Coeytaux, K., Bey, E., Christensen, D., Glassman, E. S., Murdock, B., & Doucet, C. (2015). Reported radiation overexposure accidents worldwide, 1980-2013: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*, 10(3), 1–26.
- Courcet, J. B., Faivre, L., Malzac, P., Masurel-Paulet, A., Lopez, E., Callier, P., Lambert, L., Lemesle, M., Thevenon, J., Gigot, N., Duplomb, L., Ragon, C., Marle, N., Mosca-Boidron, A. L., Huet, F., Philippe, C., Moncla, A. & Thauvin-Robinet, C. (2012). The *DYRK1A* gene is a cause of syndromic intellectual disability with severe microcephaly and epilepsy. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 49(12), 731–736.
- Craenen, K., Verslegers, M., Buset, J., Baatout, S., Moons, L., & Benotmane, M. A. (2018). A detailed characterization of congenital defects and mortality following moderate X-ray doses during neurulation. *Birth Defects Research*, 110(6), 467–482.
- Craenen, K., Verslegers, M., Craeghs, L., Quintens, R., Janssen, A., Coolkens, A., Baatout, S., Moons, L., & Benotmane, M. A. (2020). Abnormal retinal pigment epithelium melanogenesis as a major determinant for radiation-induced congenital eye defects. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 91(March 2019), 59–73.

- Dai, Y. J., Jia, Y. F., Chen, N., Bian, W. P., Li, Q. K., Ma, Y. B., Chen, Y. L., & Pei, D. S. (2014). Zebrafish as a model system to study toxicology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 33(1), 11–17.
- Department of Energy United States Of America (2017). The DOE Ionizing Radiation Dose Ranges Chart. Retrieved 24 February 2021 from <https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2018/01/f46/doe-ionizing-radiation-dose-ranges-jan-2018.pdf>.
- Daroczi, B., Kari, G., McAleer, M. F., Wolf, J. C., Rodeck, U., & Dicker, A. P. (2006). *In vivo* radioprotection by the fullerene nanoparticle DF-1 as assessed in a zebrafish model. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 12(23), 7086–7091.
- Daryoush, K. & Ismail, A. (2012). Acute toxicity test of zink, on Java medaka (*Oryzias javanicus*) fish as an indicator of estuary pollution. *Scientific Research and Essays* 7(39), 3302-3306.
- De Luca, E., Zaccaria, G. M., Hadhoud, M., Rizzo, G., Ponzini, R., Morbiducci, U. & Santoro, M. M. (2014). ZebraBeat: a flexible platform for the analysis of the cardiac rate in zebrafish embryos. *Scientific Reports*, 4(4898), 1–13.
- deAlmeida, C. E., Ochoa, R., Lima, M. C. de, David, M. G., Pires, E. J., Peixoto, J. G., Salata, C. & Bernal, M. A. (2014). A feasibility study of fricke dosimetry as an absorbed dose to water standard for 192Ir HDR sources. *PLoS ONE*, 9(12), e115155.
- DeMicco, A., Cooper, K. R., Richardson, J. R. & White, L. A. (2010). Developmental neurotoxicity of pyrethroid insecticides in zebrafish embryos. *Toxicological Sciences*, 113(1), 177-186.
- Desouky, O., Ding, N., & Zhou, G. (2015). Targeted and non-targeted effects of ionizing radiation. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, 8(2), 247–254.
- Dimri, M., Joshi, J., Chakrabarti, R., Sehgal, N., Sureshbabu, A., & Prem Kumar, I. (2015). Todralazine protects zebrafish from lethal effects of ionizing radiation: Role of hematopoietic cell expansion. *Zebrafish*, 12(1), 33–47.
- Dodd, A. (2000). Zebrafish: bridging the gap between development and disease. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 9(16), 2443–2449.
- Domenech, H. (2016). Chapter 2: Biological effects of ionizing radiation. *Radiation Safety: Management and Programs*, Switzerland: Springer, pp.1–332.
- Donya, M., Radford, M., ElGuindy, A., Firmin, D., & Yacoub, M. H. (2014). Radiation in medicine: Origins, risks and aspirations. *Global Cardiology Science and Practice*, 2014(4), 57.



- Dos Santos, N. F. G., Silva, R. F., Pinto, M. M. P. L., Da Silva, E. B., Tasat, D. R., & Amaral, A. (2017). Active caspase-3 expression levels as bioindicator of individual radiosensitivity. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias*, 89(1), 649–659.
- Douple, E. B., Mabuchi, K., Cullings, H. M., Preston, D. L., Kodama, K., Shimizu, Y., Fujiwara, S. & Shore, R. E. (2011). Long-term radiation-related health effects in a unique human population: Lessons learned from the atomic bomb survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 5(1), S122–S133.
- Doyi, I., Essumang, D. K., Dampare, S. & Glover, E. T. (2015). Technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive materials (TENORM) in the oil and gas industry: A review. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 2015, 1-13.
- Dröge, W. (2002). Free radicals in the physiological control of cell function. *Physiological Reviews*, 82(1), 47–95.
- Eid, H. M., Martineau, L. C., Saleem, A., Muhammad, A., Vallerand, D., Andaloussi, A. B., Nistor, L., Afshar, A., Arnason, J. T. & Haddad, P. S. (2010). Stimulation of AMP-activated protein kinase and enhancement of basal glucose uptake in muscle cells by quercetin and quercetin glycosides, active principles of the antidiabetic medicinal plant *vaccinium vitis-idaea*. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 54(7), 991–1003.
- Eimon, P. M., & Ashkenazi, A. (2010). The zebrafish as a model organism for the study of apoptosis. *Apoptosis*, 15(3), 331–349.
- Elmore, S. (2007). Apoptosis: A review of programmed cell death. *Toxicologic Pathology*, 35(4), 49–516.
- Epa, U. (2018). Home buyer's and seller's guide to radon indoor air quality (IAQ), 2018 (March). Retrieved 14 May 2020 from [www.epa.gov/radon](http://www.epa.gov/radon).
- Erdtmann, G. & Soyka, W. (1979). The gamma rays of the radionuclides. *Journal of the German Chemical Society*, 18(9), 703–877.
- Felemovicius, I., Bonsack, M. E., Baptista, M. L., & Delaney, J. P. (1995). Intestinal radioprotection by vitamin E (alpha-tocopherol). *Annals of Surgery*, 222(4), 504–510.
- Ferrufino, U., & Vit, P. (2013). Amboró National Park, Bolivia. In Vit, P., Pedro, S. R. M., & Roubik, D. Pot-Honey: A Legacy of Stingless Bees. New York, USA: Springer Science & Business Media. pp 409–416.
- Freeman, J. L., Weber, G. J., Peterson, S. M., & Nie, L. H. (2014). Embryonic ionizing radiation exposure results in expression alterations of genes associated with cardiovascular and neurological development, function, and disease and modified cardiovascular function in zebrafish. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 5(AUG), 1–11.

- Freeman, J. L., Weber, G. J., Peterson, S. M., Nie, L. H., Szabó, E. R., Reisz, Z., Polanek, R., Tóké, T., Czifrus, Sz., Pesznyák, Cs., Biró, B., Fenyvesi, A., Király, B., Molnár, J., Brunner, Sz., Daroczi, B., Varga, Z. & Hideghéty, K. (2018). A novel vertebrate system for the examination and direct comparison of the relative biological effectiveness for different radiation qualities and sources. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 5(AUG), 985–995.
- Galluzi, L., Vitale, I. & Aronson, S. (2018). Molecular mechanisms of cell death: Recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death. *Cell Death Differ*, 25, 486–541.
- Geiger, G. A., Parker, S. E., Beothy, A. P., Tucker, J. A., Mullins, M. C., & Kao, G. D. (2006). Zebrafish as a “biosensor”? Effects of ionizing radiation and amifostine on embryonic viability and development. *Cancer Research*, 66(16), 8172–8181.
- Gemberling, M., Bailey, T. J., Hyde, D. R., & Poss, K. D. (2013). The zebrafish as a model for complex tissue regeneration. *Trends in Genetics*, 29(11), 611–620.
- Gerlai, R. (2017). Zebrafish and relational memory: Could a simple fish be useful for the analysis of biological mechanisms of complex vertebrate learning? *Behavioural Processes*, 141, 242–250.
- Ghallab, A. (2013). *In vitro* test systems and their limitations. *EXCLI Journal*, 12(December 2013), 1024–1026.
- Ghosh, S., Indracanti, N., Ray, J., & PremKumar, I. (2017). Deep hypometabolic state mitigates radiation-induced lethality in zebrafish. *Biomedical Research and Clinical Practice*, 2(2), 1-9.
- Goldman, M. (1982). Ionizing radiation and its risks. *Western Journal of Medicine*, 137(6), 540–547.
- Gómez-Millán, J., Katz, I. S. S., Farias, V. D. A., Linares-Fernández, J. L., López-Peñalver, J., Ortiz-Ferrón, G., Ruiz-ruiz, C., Oliver, F. J & DeAlmodóvar, J. M. R. (2012). The importance of bystander effects in radiation therapy in melanoma skin-cancer cells and umbilical-cord stromal stem cells. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 102(3), 450–458.
- González, E. A. P., Fuchs, A. G., & Sánchez, G. S. (2009). Amifostine (WR2721) confers DNA protection to *in vivo* cisplatin-treated murine peripheral blood leukocytes. *Dose-Response*, 7(3), 234-246.
- Goodenough, D. A. & Paul, D. L. (2009). Gap junctions. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives Biology*, 2009;1:a002576, 1 – 19.

- Gosselin-Acomb, T. K. (2005). Principles of radiation therapy. In: Yarbro, C. H., Goodman, M., Frogge, M. H., editors. *Cancer nursing: Principles and practice*, 6 th ed. Sudbury: Jones and Bardett Publishers; 2005, pp. 230-49.
- Grilo, A. L., & Mantalaris, A. (2019). Apoptosis: A mammalian cell bioprocessing perspective. *Biotechnology Advances*, 37(3), 459–475.
- Gruppen, C. (2010). Introduction to radiation protection: practical knowledge for handling radioactive sources (Google eBook). Retrieved 16 June 2020 from <http://books.google.com/books?id=hdj9eLVGBkQC&pgis=1>.
- Hale, A.J. & den Hertog, J. (2016). Studying protein-tyrosine phosphatases in zebrafish. In: Pulido R. (eds) *Protein Tyrosine Phosphatases*. New York, USA: Humana Press. pp 35 –372.
- Hall, E. J. (1985). Radiation biology. *Cancer*, 55(9 S), 2051–2057.
- Hashim, A. (2016). Potensi perniagaan lebah kelulut. *Dimensikoop*, 49(2016), 58-65.
- Hatzi, V. I., Laskaritou, D. A., Mavragani, I. V., Nikitaki, Z., Mangelis, A., Panayiotidis, M. I., Pantelias, G. E., Terzoudi, G. I. & Georgakilas, A. G. (2015). Non-targeted radiation effects *in vivo*: A critical glance of the future in radiobiology. *Cancer Letters*, 356(1), 34–42.
- Havaki, S., Kotsinas, A., Chronopoulos, E., Kletsas, D., Georgakilas, A., & Gorgoulis, V. G. (2015). The role of oxidative DNA damage in radiation induced bystander effect. *Cancer Letters*, 356(1), 43–51.
- Haymart, M. R., Banerjee, M., Stewart, A. K., Koenig, R. J., Birkmeyer, J. D. & Griggs, J. J. (2011). Use of radioactive iodine for thyroid cancer. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, 306(7), 721–728.
- Hazirah, H., Yasmin Anum, M. Y. & Norwahidah, A. (2019). Antioxidant properties of stingless bee honey and its effect on the viability of lymphoblastoid cell line. *Medicine & Health*, 14(1), 91–105.
- Hei, T. K. (2006). Cyclooxygenase-2 as a signaling molecule in radiation-induced bystander effect. *Molecular Carcinogenesis*, 45, 455-460.
- Hei, T. K., Zhou, H., Chai, Y., Ponnaiya, B., & Ivanov, V. N. (2011). Radiation induced non-targeted response: mechanism and potential clinical implications. *Current Molecular Pharmacology*, 4, 96–105.
- Hengartner, M. O. (2000). The biochemistry of apoptosis. *Nature*, 407(6805), 770–776.

- Henn, K., & Braunbeck, T. (2011). Dechoriation as a tool to improve the fish embryo toxicity test (FET) with the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 153(1), 91–98.
- Hérodin, F., Bourin, P., Mayol, J. F., Lataillade, J. J., & Drouet, M. (2003). Short-term injection of antiapoptotic cytokine combinations soon after lethal  $\gamma$ -irradiation promotes survival. *Blood*, 101(7), 2609–2616.
- Higley, K. A., Kocher, D. C., Real, A. G., & Chambers, D. B. (2012). Relative biological effectiveness and radiation weighting factors in the context of animals and plants. *Annals of the ICRP*, 41(3–4), 233–245.
- Hofer, M., Falk, M., Komůrková, D., Falková, I., Bačíková, A., Klejduš, B., Pagáčová, E., Štefančíková, L., Weiterová, L., Angelis, K., Kozubek, S., Dusek, L., & Galbavý, Š. (2016). Two new faces of amifostine: Protector from DNA damage in normal cells and inhibitor of DNA repair in cancer cells. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 59(7), 3003–3017.
- Hongmei, Z. (2012). Extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis signal pathway review. *Apoptosis and Medicine*, Retrieved 12 May 2020 from [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/9f9e/ea295fb87d75b2a0d6fc637ceceba44d31e9.pdf?\\_ga=2.262895758.329362944.1600718857-895663995.1600718857](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/9f9e/ea295fb87d75b2a0d6fc637ceceba44d31e9.pdf?_ga=2.262895758.329362944.1600718857-895663995.1600718857).
- Howe, K., Clark, M. D., Torroja, C. F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., & Stemple, D. L. (2013). The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. *Nature*, 496(7446), 498–503.
- Hricak, H., Brenner, D. J., Adelstein, S. J., Frush, D. P., Hall, E. J., Howell, R. W., Mccollough, C. H., Mettler, F. A., Pearce, M. S., Suleiman, O. H., Thrall, J. H., & Wagner, L. K. (2011). Managing radiation use in medical imaging: A multifaceted challenge. *Radiology*, 258(3), 1-17.
- Hua, L., Hilliard, J. J., Shi, Y., Tkaczyk, C., Cheng, L. I., Yu, X., Datta, V., Ren, S., Feng, H., Zinsou, R., Keller, A., O'Day, T., Du, Q., Cheng, L., Damschroder, M., Robbie, G., Suzich, J., Stover, C. K., & Sellman, B. R. (2014). Assessment of an anti-alpha-toxin monoclonal antibody for prevention and treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus*-induced pneumonia. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 58(2), 1108–1117.
- Huang, Y. Y., & Neuhauss, S. C. F. (2008). The optokinetic response in zebrafish and its applications. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 13(5), 1899–1916.
- Hutchison, S. G. & Hutchison, F. I. (1997). Radioactivity in everyday life. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74(5), 501–505.
- Ilnytskyy, Y., Kortubash, I., & Kovalchuk, O. (2009). Radiation-induced bystander effects *in vivo* are epigenetically regulated in a tissue-specific manner. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 50, 105–113.

- Ishikawa, Eisei, Swain & David, L. (1982). Hiroshima and Nagasaki: The physical, medical, and social effects of the atomic bombings. *Naval War College Review*, 35(4), 106–108.
- Ishikawa, Y., & Kitamura, M. (2000). Bioflavonoid quercetin inhibits mitosis and apoptosis of glomerular cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 279(2), 629–634.
- Isla, M. I., Craig, A., Ordoñez, R., Zampini, C., Sayago, J., Bedascarrasbure, E., Alvarez, A., Salomón, V., & Maldonado, L. (2011). Physico chemical and bioactive properties of honeys from Northwestern Argentina. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 44(9), 1922–1930.
- Jackson, S. P., & Bartek, J. (209AD). UKPMC Funders Group The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. *Nature*, 461(7267), 1071–1078.
- Jagetia, G. C. (2007). Radioprotective potential of plants and herbs against the effects of ionizing radiation. *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition*, 40(2), 74–81.
- Jagetia, G. C., & Reddy, T. K. (2002). The grapefruit flavanone naringin protects against the radiation-induced genomic instability in the mice bone marrow: A micronucleus study. *Mutation Research - Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis*, 519(1–2), 37–48.
- Jalal, N., Haq, S., Anwar, N., Nazeer, S., & Saeed, U. (2015). Radiation induced bystander effect and DNA damage. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, 10(4), 819.
- Jeong, J., Kim, S., Lim, D.-S., Kim, S.-H., Doh, H., Kim, S.-D., & Song, Y. S. (2017). TLR5 activation through NF- $\kappa$ B is a neuroprotective mechanism of postconditioning after cerebral ischemia in mice. *Experimental Neurobiology*, 26(4), 213–226.
- Kamaruzzaman, M., Thanu, A., Yusof, M., Soelaiman, I., & Ramli, E. (2019). Kelulut honey ameliorates glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis via its antioxidant activity in rats. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 9(12), 493–500.
- Khan, N. T. (2017). Radioactivity: An introduction to mysterious science. *Journal of Physical Chemistry & Biophysics*, 7(3), 10–13.
- Khan, N., & Mukhtar, H. (2013). Modulation of signaling pathways in prostate. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 85(5), 1–12.
- Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. (1995). Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Developmental Dynamics*, 203, 253–310.
- Knipling, E. F. (1955). Atomic Radiation for Insect Control. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 48(4), 459–462.

- Kong, E. Y., Choi, V. W. Y., Cheng, S. H., & Yu, K. N. (2014). Some properties of the signals involved in unirradiated zebrafish embryos rescuing  $\alpha$ -particle irradiated zebrafish embryos. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 90(12), 1133–1142.
- Koontz, B. F., Verhaegen, F., & De Ruyscher, D. (2017). Tumour and normal tissue radiobiology in mouse models: How close are mice to mini-humans? *British Journal of Radiology*, 90(1069), 1-7.
- Koukourakis, M. I. (2012). Radiation damage and radioprotectants: New concepts in the era of molecular medicine. *British Journal of Radiology*, 85(1012), 313–330.
- Koukourakis, M. I., Giatromanolaki, A., Zois, C. E., Kalamida, D., Pouliliou, S., Karagounis, I. V., Yeh, T. L., Abboud, M. I., Claridge, T. D. W., Schofield, C. J., Sivridis, E., Simouopoulos, C., Tokmakidis, S. P., & Harris, A. L. (2016). Normal tissue radioprotection by amifostine via Warburg-Type effects. *Scientific Reports*, 6(July), 1–14.
- Kouvaris, J. R., Kouloulis, V. E., & Vlahos, L. J. (2007). Amifostine: The first selective-target and broad-spectrum radioprotector. *Oncologist*, 12(6), 738–747.
- Kouzarides, T. (2007). Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell*, 128(4), 693–705.
- Krishnasree, V., & Ukkuru, P. M. (2017). Quality analysis of bee honeys. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(2), 626–636.
- Kuo, L. J., & Yang, L. X. (2008).  $\gamma$ -H2AX- A novel biomaker for DNA double-strand breaks. *In Vivo*, 22(3), 305–310.
- Kuppusamy, P., Mashitah, M. Y., Maniam, G. P., & Govindan, N. (2014). Biosynthesized gold nanoparticle developed as a tool for detection of HCG hormone in pregnant women urine sample. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, 4(3), 223–252.
- Kuruba, V. & Gollapalli, P. (2018). Natural radioprotectors and their impact on cancer drug discovery. *Radiation Oncology Journal*, 36(4), 265–275.
- Kustiawan, P. M., Puthong, S., Arung, E. T., & Chanchao, C. (2014). *In vitro* cytotoxicity of Indonesian stingless bee products against human cancer cell lines. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 4(7), 549–556.
- Lam, R. K. K., Fung, Y. K., Han, W., & Yu, K. N. (2015). Rescue effects: Irradiated cells helped by unirradiated bystander cells. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(2), 2591–2609.

- Larrosa, M., Luceri, C., Vivoli, E., Pagliuca, C., Lodovici, M., Moneti, G., & Dolara, P. (2009). Polyphenol metabolites from colonic microbiota exert anti-inflammatory activity on different inflammation models. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 53(8), 1044–1054.
- Law, Y. L., Wong, T. P. W., Yu, K. N. (2010). Influence of catechins on bystander responses in CHO cells induced by alpha particle irradiation, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 68, 726–729.
- Latifah, S.Y., Muhamad Zali, M. F. S., Ali, R. M., Zainal, N. A., Esa, N., Sapuan, S., Ong, Y. S., Tor, Y. S., Gopalsamy, B., Voon, F. L., & Syed Alwi, S. S. (2016). Chemopreventive properties and toxicity of kelulut honey in sprague dawley rats induced with azoxymethane. *BioMed Research International*, 2016, 1-6.
- Liberato, M. D. C. T. C., De Moraes, S. M., Siqueira, S. M. C., De Menezes, J. E. S. A., Ramos, D. N., MacHado, L. K. A., & Magalhães, I. L. (2011). Phenolic content and antioxidant and antiacetylcholinesterase properties of honeys from different Floral origins. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 14(6), 658–663.
- Ling, C. C., Chen, C. H. & Li, W. X. (1994). Apoptosis induced at different dose rates: Implication for the shoulder region of cell survival curves. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 32(1994), 129–136.
- Liu, B., Bhatt, D., Oltvai, Z. N., Greenberger, J. S., & Bahar, I. (2014). Significance of p53 dynamics in regulating apoptosis in response to ionizing radiation, and polypharmacological strategies. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 1–12.
- Lu, B., Hwang, M., Yong, C., & Moretti, L. (2008). Zebrafish as a model system to screen radiation modifiers. *Current Genomics*, 8(6), 360–369.
- Lyng, F. M., Seymour, C. B., & Mothersill, C. (2000). Production of a signal by irradiated cells which leads to a response in unirradiated cells characteristic of initiation of apoptosis. *British Journal of Cancer*, 83(9), 1223–1230.
- Maaser, C., Heidemann, J., von Eiff, C., Lugerling, A., Spahn, T. W., Binion, D. G., Domschke, W., Lugerling, N., & Kucharzik, T. (2004). Human intestinal microvascular endothelial cells express toll-like receptor 5: A binding partner for bacterial flagellin. *Journal of Immunology*, 172(8), 5056–5062.
- Magné, N., Toillon, R. A., Bottero, V., Didelot, C., Houtte, P. Van, Gérard, J. P., & Peyron, J. F. (2006). NF- $\kappa$ B modulation and ionizing radiation: Mechanisms and future directions for cancer treatment. *Cancer Letters*, 231(2), 158–168.
- Manda, K., Ueno, M., & Anzai, K. (2007). AFMK, a melatonin metabolite, attenuates X-ray-induced oxidative damage to DNA, proteins and lipids in mice. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 42(4), 386–393.

- Marín, A., Martín, M., Liñán, O., Alvarenga, F., López, M., Fernández, L., Büchser, D., & Cerezo, L. (2015). Bystander effects and radiotherapy. *Reports of Practical Oncology and Radiotherapy*, 20(1), 12–21.
- Markiewicz, E., & Idowu, O. C. (2019). DNA damage in human skin and the capacities of natural compounds to modulate the bystander signalling. *Open Biology*, 9, 1–12.
- McAleer, M. F., Davidson, C., Davidson, W. R., Yentzer, B., Farber, S. A., Rodeck, U., & Dicker, A. P. (2005). Novel use of zebrafish as a vertebrate model to screen radiation protectors and sensitizers. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics*, 61(1), 10–13.
- McClure, M. M., McIntyre, P. B., & McCune, A. R. (2006). Notes on the natural diet and habitat of eight danionin fishes, including the zebrafish *Danio rerio*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 69(2), 553–570.
- McIlwain, D. R., Berger, T., & Mak, T. W. (2013). Caspase functions in cell death and disease. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 5(4), 1–28.
- Melsens, E., De Vlieghere, E., Descamps, B., Vanhove, C., Kersemans, K., De Vos, F., Goethals, I., Brans, B., Wever, O. D., Ceelen, W., & Pattyn, P. (2018). Hypoxia imaging with <sup>18</sup>F-FAZA PET/CT predicts radiotherapy response in esophageal adenocarcinoma xenografts. *Radiation Oncology*, 13(1), 1–8.
- Mena, P., Allende, M., & Morales, J. R. (2010). Survival study of zebrafish embryos under gamma irradiation. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1265, 455–456.
- Mercantepe, T., Unal, D., Tümkaya, L., & Yazici, Z. A. (2018). Protective effects of amifostine, curcumin and caffeic acid phenethyl ester against cisplatin-induced testis tissue damage in rats. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, 15(4), 3404–3412.
- Mettler, F. A. (2012). Medical effects and risks of exposure to ionising radiation. *Journal of Radiological Protection*, 32(1), 9–13.
- Meyers, J. R. (2018). Zebrafish: Development of a vertebrate model organism. *Current Protocols in Essential Laboratory Techniques*, 16(1), 1–26.
- Milan, D. J., Peterson, T. A., Ruskin, J. N., Peterson, R. T., & Macrae, C. A. (2003). Drugs that induce repolarization abnormalities cause bradycardia in zebrafish. *Circulation*, 107(10), 1355–1358.
- Mirdoraghi, M., & Abbasi, S. (2017). Natural Compounds with radioprotective properties in radiotherapy running title: Natural compounds with radioprotective properties. *Biomedical and Health*, 2(3), 150–174.



- Misra, R. S., Johnston, C. J., Groves, A. M., DeDiego, M. L., St. Martin, J., Reed, C., Hernady, E., Miller, J., Love, T., Finkelstein, J. N., Williams, J. P., Roos, W. P., Kaina, B., Meyers, J. R., Muhammad, W., Hussain, A., Maqbool, M., & Hadi, H. (2019). Zebrafish tp53 knockdown extends the survival of irradiated zebrafish embryos more effectively than the p53 inhibitor pifithrin- $\alpha$ . *Zebrafish*, 2(1), 1–8.
- Misra, R. S., Johnston, C. J., Groves, A. M., DeDiego, M. L., St. Martin, J., Reed, C., Hernady, E., Miller, J., Love, T., Finkelstein, J. N., & Williams, J. P. (2015). Examining the effects of external or internal radiation exposure of juvenile mice on late morbidity after infection with influenza A. *Radiation Research*, 184(1), 3–13.
- Mitchell, J. B., DeGraff, W., Kaufman, D., Krishna, M. C., Samuni, A., Finkelstein, E., Ahn, M. S., Hahn, S. M., Gamson, J. & Russo, A. (1991). Inhibition of oxygen-dependent radiation-induced damage by the nitroxide superoxide dismutase mimic, tempol. *Archive of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 15;289(1), 62-70.
- Mohammed, A. (2013). Why are early life stages of aquatic organisms more sensitive to toxicants than adults? In: Gowder, S. (Ed.). *New insights into toxicity and drug testing*. Retrieved 23 April 2020 from <https://doi.org/10.5772/55187>.
- Moo-huchin, V. M., Sauri, E., Moo-huchin, M., Estrada, R. J., & Estrada, I. (2016). In *Stingless bee's honey from Yucatán*. Retrieved 23 May 2020 from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303328572\\_Antioxidant\\_Activity\\_of\\_stingless\\_bee\\_honey](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303328572_Antioxidant_Activity_of_stingless_bee_honey).
- Mozdarani, H., & Nazari, E. (2009). Cytogenetic damage in preimplementation mouse generated after paternal and parental gamma-irradiation and the influence of vitamin C. *Reproduction*, 137, 35-43.
- Mraz, G. & Becker, O. (2017). Health effects of ionising radiation and their consideration in radiation protection. Vienna Ombuds-Office for Environmental Protection. Retrieved 27 January 2021 from <https://wua-wien.at/images/stories/publikationen/health-effects-and-radiation-protection-study.pdf>.
- Muhammad, W., Hussain, A., & Maqbool, M. (2017). Chapter 2: Basic concepts in radiation dosimetry. In M. Maqbool (ed.). *An introduction to medical physics, biological and medical physics*. Biomedical Engineering. Retrieved 17 April 2020 from [https://doi.org.10.1007/978-3-319-61540-0\\_2](https://doi.org.10.1007/978-3-319-61540-0_2).
- Muthalib, M., Kan, B., Nosaka, K., & Perrey, S. (2013). Effects of transcranial direct current stimulation of the motor cortex on prefrontal cortex activation during a neuromuscular fatigue task: An fNIRS study. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 789(July), 463–467.

- Najafi, M., Fardid, R., Hadadi, G., & Fardid, M. (2014). The mechanisms of radiation-induced bystander effect. *Journal of Biomedical Physics & Engineering*, 4(4), 163–172.
- Natsoulas, A., & Organizer, I. F. (2003). *Codex Alimentarius and the International Politics of Food Irradiation*. Toronto Food Policy Council. Retrieved 12 July 2020 from <http://www.citizen.org/documents/BadTaste.pdf>.
- Nellore, J., & Nandita, P. (2015). Paraquat exposure induces behavioral deficits in larval zebrafish during the window of dopamine neurogenesis. *Toxicology Reports*, 2, 950-956.
- Ng, C. Y. P., Kong, E. Y., Kobayashi, A., Suya, N., Uchihori, Y., Cheng, S. H., Konishi, T., & Yu, K. N. (2015). Neutron induced bystander effect among zebrafish embryos. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 117, 153–159.
- Ng, W. J., Chan, Y. J., Lau, Z. K., Lye, P. Y., & Ee, K. Y. (2017). Antioxidant properties and inhibitory effects of *Trigona* honey against *Staphylococcus aureus* planktonic and biofilm cultures. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 13(7), 28–33.
- Novorol, C., Burkhardt, J., Wood, K. J., Iqbal, A., Roque, C., Coutts, N., Almeida, A. D., He, J., Wilkinson, C. J. & Harris, W. A. (2013). Microcephaly models in the developing zebrafish retinal neuroepithelium point to an underlying defect in metaphase progression. *Open Biology*, 13(130065), 1–16.
- Nweze, J. A., Okafor, J. I., Nweze, E. I. & Nweze, J. E. (2017). Evaluation of physicochemical and antioxidant properties of two stingless bee honeys: A comparison with *Apis mellifera* honey from Nsukka, Nigeria. *BMC Research Notes*, 10(566), 1–6.
- Oberoi-Khanuja, T. K., Murali, A., & Rajalingam, K. (2013). IAPs on the move: Role of inhibitors of apoptosis proteins in cell migration. *Cell Death and Disease*, 4(9), e784-9.
- OECD (2013). Test No. 236: Fish Embryo Acute Toxicity (FET) Test. *OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2*, OECD Publishing, (July), 1–22.
- Okatani, Y., Wakatsuki, A., & Reiter, R. J. (2001). Melatonin counteracts potentiation by homocysteine of KCL-induced vasoconstriction in human umbilical artery: Relation to calcium influx. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 280(3), 940–944.
- Opferman, J. T., & Kothari, A. (2018). Anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family members in development. *Cell Death and Differentiation*, 25(1), 37–45.
- Pandit, J. J., Allen, C., Little, E., Formenti, F., Harris, A. L., & Robbins, P. A. (2015). Does amifostine reduce metabolic rate? Effect of the drug on gas exchange and acute ventilatory hypoxic response in humans. *Pharmaceuticals*, 8(2), 186–195.

- Pasupuleti, V. R., Sammugam, L., Ramesh, N., & Gan, S. H. (2017). Honey, propolis, and royal jelly: a comprehensive review of their biological actions and health benefits. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2017, 1-21.
- Pereira, S., Malard, V., Ravanat, J. L., Davin, A. H., Armengaud, J., Foray, N., & Adam-Guillermin, C. (2014). Low doses of gamma-irradiation induce an early bystander effect in zebrafish cells which is sufficient to radioprotect cells. *PLoS ONE*, 9(3), 1–11.
- Phillips, T. A., Ni, J., & Hunt, J. S. (2001). Death-inducing tumour necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily ligands and receptors are transcribed in human placenta, cytotrophoblasts, placental macrophages and placental cell lines. *Placenta*, 22(8–9), 663–672.
- Podhorecka, M., Skladanowski, A., & Bozko, P. (2010). H2AX phosphorylation: Its role in DNA damage response and cancer therapy. *Journal of Nucleic Acids*, 2010, 1-9.
- Prasad, K. N. (2005). Rationale for using multiple antioxidants in protecting humans against low doses of ionizing radiation. *British Journal of Radiology*, 78, 485-492.
- Prassas, I. & Diamandis, E. P. (2008). Novel therapeutic applications of cardiac glycosides. *Nature Reviews: Drug Discovery*, 7, 926-935.
- Primiano, T., Sutter, T. R., & Kensler, T. W. (1997). Redox regulation of genes that protect against carcinogens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - B Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 118(3), 487–497.
- Protection, R. (1971). International commission on radiological protection. *The British Journal of Radiology*, 44(526), 814–814.
- Purschke, M., Laubach, H. J., Anderson, R. R., & Manstein, D. (2010). Thermal injury causes DNA damage and lethality in unheated surrounding cells: Active thermal bystander effect. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 130(1), 86–92.
- Radhakrishnan, V., & Rmaraju, K. (2017). New honeybee mite, *Blattisocius trigonae* sp. nov. (Acari: Laelapidae), phoretic on *Trigona iridipennis* (Apidae: Hymenoptera) from Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(6), 841–844.
- Rafalski, A., Rzepna, M., Gryczka, U., & Bułka, S. (2017). Radiation sterilization. In: Sun, Y., Chmielewski, A.G. (Eds.), *Applications of ionizing radiation in materials processing*. Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology (ICHTJ), Warsaw, pp. 269–289.

- Ramos, F. A., Takaishi, Y., Shirotori, M., Kawaguchi, Y., Tsuchiya, K., Shibata, H., Higuti, T., Tadokoro, T., & Takeuchi, M. (2006). Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of quercetin oxidation products from yellow onion (*Allium cepa*) skin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(10), 3551–3557.
- Ranneh, Y., Akim, A. M., Hamid, H. A., Khazaai, H., Fadel, A., & Mahmoud, A. M. (2019). Stingless bee honey protects against lipopolysaccharide induced-chronic subclinical systemic inflammation and oxidative stress by modulating Nrf2, NF- $\kappa$ B and p38 MAPK. *Nutrition and Metabolism*, 16(1), 1–17.
- Rao, P. V., Krishnan, K. T., Salleh, N., & Gan, S. H. (2016). Biological and therapeutic effects of honey produced by honey bees and stingless bees: A comparative review. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 26(5), 657–664.
- Raviraj, J., Kumar, B. V., Suneel, K. V., Shankar, R. U., & Venkata, S. (2014). Radiosensitizers, radioprotectors, and radiation mitigators. *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, 25(1), 83-90.
- Reuter, S., Gupta, S. C., Chaturvedi, M. M., & Aggarwal, B. B. (2010). Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked? *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 49(11), 1603–1616.
- Rim, C. H., Koun, S., Park, H. C., Lee, S., & Kim, C. Y. (2019). Radioprotective effects of mistletoe extract in zebrafish embryos in vivo. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 95(8), 1150–1159.
- Romagosa, C. M. R., David, E. S., & Dulay, R. M. R. (2016). Embryo-toxic and teratogenic effects of *Tinospora cordifolia* leaves and bark extracts in Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos. *Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research*, 6(2), 37-41.
- Roos, W. P., & Kaina, B. (2006). DNA damage-induced cell death by apoptosis. *Trends in Molecular Medicine*, 12(9), 440–450.
- Rouse, M., Younes, A., & Egan, J. M. (2014). Resveratrol and curcumin enhance pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell function by inhibiting phosphodiesterase activity. *Journal of Endocrinology*, 223(2), 107–117.
- Sak, K. (2014). Cytotoxicity of dietary flavonoids on different human cancer types. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 8(16), 122–146.
- Samarth, R. M., Panwar, M., Kumar, M., Soni, A., Kumar, M., & Kumar, A. (2008). Evaluation of antioxidant and radical-scavenging activities of certain radioprotective plant extracts. *Food Chemistry*, 106(2), 868–873.

- Samuni, A., Tochner, Z., Murali, C. K., Glass, J., Wilson, L., Sprague, M., Venzon, D., Glatstein, E., Mitchell, J. B., & Russo, A. (1992). Tempol, a stable free radical, is a novel murine radiation protector. *Cancer Research*, 52(7), 1750–1753.
- Santoro, M. M., Samuel, T., Mitchell, T., Reed, J. C., & Stainier, D. Y. R. (2007). Birc2 (clap1) regulates endothelial cell integrity and blood vessel homeostasis. *Nature Genetics*, 39(11), 1397–1402.
- Sasaki, T., Lian, S., Qi, J., Bayliss, P. E., Carr, C. E., Johnson, J. L., Guha, S., Kobler, P., Catz, S. D., Gill, M., Jia, K., Klionsky, D. J., & Kishi, S. (2014). Aberrant autolysosomal regulation is linked to the induction of embryonic senescence: Differential roles of Beclin 1 and p53 in vertebrate SPNS1 deficiency. *PLoS Genetics*, 10(6), 1-21.
- Sayed, A. E. D. H., & Mitani, H. (2016). The notochord curvature in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) embryos as a response to ultraviolet irradiation. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 164, 132–140.
- Schae, D., Micewicz, E. D., Ratikan, J. A., Xie, M. W., Cheng, G., & McBride, W. H. (2015). Radiation and inflammation. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*, 25(1), 4–10.
- Schülte, E., Bräuer-Krisch, E., Blattmann, H., Requardt, H., Laissue, J. A., & Hildebrandt, G. (2018). Survival of rats bearing advanced intracerebral F 98 tumors after glutathione depletion and microbeam radiation therapy: Conclusions from a pilot project. *Radiation Oncology*, 13(1), 1–11.
- Se, K. W., Ibrahim, R. K. R., Wahab, R. A., & Ghoshal, S. K. (2018). Accurate evaluation of sugar contents in stingless bee (*Heterotrigona itama*) honey using a swift scheme. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 66(12), 46–54.
- Selvaraju, K., Vikram, P., Soon, J.M. et al. Melissopalynological, physicochemical and antioxidant properties of honey from West Coast of Malaysia. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 56, 2508–2521.
- Seth, I., Schwartz, J. L., Stewart, R. D., Emery, R., Joiner, M. C., & Tucker, J. D. (2014). Neutron exposures in human cells: Bystander effect and relative biological effectiveness. *PLoS ONE*, 9(6), 1-11.
- Shamsudin, S., Selamat, J., Sanny, M., Abd. Razak, S. B., Jambari, N. N., Mian, Z., & Khatib, A. (2019). Influence of origins and bee species on physicochemical, antioxidant properties and botanical discrimination of stingless bee honey. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 22(1), 238–263.
- Shao, C., Furusawa, Y., Aoki, M., & Ando, K. (2003). Role of gap junctional intercellular communication in radiation-induced bystander effects in human fibroblasts. *Radiation Research*, 160, 318–323.

- Shawgo, M. E., Shelton, S. N., & Robertson, J. D. (2008). Caspase-mediated bak activation and cytochrome c release during intrinsic apoptotic cell death in jurkat cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283(51), 35532–35538.
- Shi, Y. (2004). Caspase activation, inhibition, and reactivation: A mechanistic view. *Protein Science*, 13(8), 1979–1987.
- Shin, H. A., Shin, Y. S., Kang, S. U., Kim, J. H., Oh, Y. T., Park, K. H., Lee, B. H., & Kim, C. H. (2014). Radioprotective effect of epicatechin in cultured human fibroblasts and zebrafish. *Journal of Radiation Research*, 55(1), 32–40.
- Silva, I. A. A., da Silva, T. M. S., Camara, C. A., Queiroz, N., Magnani, M., de Novais, J. S., Soledade, L. E. B., Lima, E. D. O., Souza, A. L. D., & Souza, A. G. D. (2013). Phenolic profile, antioxidant activity and palynological analysis of stingless bee honey from Amazonas, Northern Brazil. *Food Chemistry*, 141(4), 3552–3558.
- Singh, B., Singh, J., & Kaur, A. (2013). Applications of radioisotopes in agriculture. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Bioengineering Research*, 4(3), 167–174.
- Singh, V. K., & Seed, T. M. (2019). The efficacy and safety of amifostine for the acute radiation syndrome. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 18(11), 1077–1090.
- Singh, V. K., Shafran, R. L., Jackson, W. E., Seed, T. M., & Kumar, K. S. (2006). Induction of cytokines by radioprotective tocopherol analogs. *Experimental and Molecular Pathology*, 81(1), 55–61.
- Sokolov, M. V., Smilenov, L. B., Hall, E. J., Panyutin, I. G., Bonner, W. M., & Sedelnikova, O. A. (2005). Ionizing radiation induces DNA double-strand breaks in bystander primary human fibroblasts. *Oncogene*, 24(49), 7257–7265.
- Sousa, J. M., de Souza, E. L., Marques, G., Meireles, B., de Magalhães Cordeiro, Â. T., Gullón, B., Pintado, M. M., & Magnani, M. (2016). Polyphenolic profile and antioxidant and antibacterial activities of monofloral honeys produced by Meliponini in the Brazilian semiarid region. *Food Research International*, 84, 61–68.
- Stewart, S., & Stankunas, K. (2012). Limited dedifferentiation provides replacement tissue during zebrafish fin regeneration. *Developmental Biology*, 365(2), 339–349.
- Sulistiyani, T. R., Lisdiyanti, P., & Lestari, Y. (2019). Screening of antimicrobial and toxicity activity of endophytic bacteria associated with *Curcuma Zedoaria*. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 308, 1–7.

- Suriyampola, P. S., Sykes, D. J., Khemka, A., Shelton, D. S., Bhat, A., & Martins, E. P. (2017). Water flow impacts group behavior in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Behavioral Ecology*, 28(1), 94–100.
- Susan, E. (2007). Apoptosis: A review of programmed cell death. *Toxicologic Pathology*, 35, 495–516.
- Suzuki, K., & Yamashita, S. (2014). Radiation-induced bystander response: Mechanism and clinical implications. *Advances in Wound Care*, 3(1), 16–24.
- Syaza, S. K. F., Umar, R., Hazmin, S. N., Kamarudin, M. K. A., Hassan, A., & Juahir, H. (2018). Non-ionizing radiation as threat in daily life. *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 9(2S), 308.
- Szabó, E. R., Brand, M., Hans, S., Hideghéty, K., Karsch, L., Lessmann, E., & Beyreuther, E. (2018). Radiobiological effects and proton RBE determined by wildtype zebrafish embryos. *PLoS ONE*, 13(11), 1–18.
- Szabó, E. R., Plangár, I., Tokés, T., Mán, I., Polanek, R., Kovács, R., Fekete, G., Szabó, Z., Csenki, Z., Baska, F., & Hideghéty, K. (2016). L-alpha glycerylphosphorylcholine as a potential radioprotective agent in zebrafish embryo model. *Zebrafish*, 13(6), 481–488.
- Tengku Ahmad, T. A. F., Jubri, Z., Rajab, N. F., Abdul Rahim, K., Mohd Yusof, Y. A. & Makpol, S. (2013). Gelam honey protects against gamma-irradiation damage to antioxidant enzymes in human diploid fibroblasts. *Molecules*, 18(2), 2200–2211.
- Tergaonkar, V., Pando, M., Vafa, O., Wahl, G., & Verma, I. (2002). p53 stabilization is decreased upon NFκB activation: A role for NFκB in acquisition of resistance to chemotherapy. *Cancer Cell*, 1(5), 493–503.
- Thierens, H., & Vral, A. (2009). The micronucleus assay in radiation accidents. *Annali Dell'Istituto Superiore Di Sanita*, 45(3), 260–264.
- Tle, H. G., Dowling, J. E., & Cameron, D. J. (2012). Early retinoic acid deprivation in developing zebrafish results in microphthalmia. *Visual Neuroscience*, 29(4–5), 219–228.
- Tu, W., Dong, C., Fu, J., Pan, Y., Kobayashi, A., Furusawa, Y., Konishi, T., & Shao, C. (2019). Both irradiated and bystander effects link with DNA repair capacity and the linear energy transfer. *Life Sciences*, 222(February), 228–234.
- Turai, I., & Veress, K. (2001). Radiation accidents: Occurrence, types, consequences, medical management, and the lessons to be learned. *Central European Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 7(1), 3–14.

- Uematsu, S., Jang, M. H., Chevrier, N., Guo, Z., Kumagai, Y., Yamamoto, M., Kato, H., Sougawa, N., Matsui, H., Kuwata, H., Hemmi, H., Coban, C., Kawai, T., Ishi, K. J., Takeuchi, O., Miyasaka, M., Takeda, K., & Akira, S. (2006). Detection of pathogenic intestinal bacteria by Toll-like receptor 5 on intestinal CD11c+ lamina propria cells. *Nature Immunology*, 7(8), 868–874.
- Vakil, C. (2017). *Primum Non Nocere Radiation and medical procedures*, 63(22), 774–775.
- Van Bon, B. W. M., Hoischen, A., Hehir-Kwa, J., De Brouwer, A. P. M., Ruivenkamp, C., Gijsbers, A. C. J., Marcelis, C. L., Leeuw, N. D., Veltman, J. A., & Brunner, H. G. & De Vries, B. B. A. (2011). Intragenic deletion in *DYRK1A* leads to mental retardation and primary microcephaly. *Clinical Genetics*, 79(3), 296–299.
- Varanda, E. A., & Tavares, D. C. (1998). Radioprotection: Mechanisms and radioprotective agents including honeybee venom. *Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins*, 4(1), 5-21.
- Vasin, M. V. (2014). Comments on the mechanisms of action of radiation protective agents: Basis components and their polyvalence. *SpringerPlus*, 3(1), 1–16.
- Vosniakos, F. K. (2012). *Environmental Science and Engineering: Radioactivity transfer in environment and food*. Berlin, Germany: Springer. pp 1-145.
- Walker, J. M. (2012). Cardiovascular development: structure and molecular mechanism. *Anatomical Science International*, 84(3), 65–66.
- Wang, C., & Youle, R. J. (2009). The role of mitochondria. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 43, 95-118.
- Wang, F., Lin, J., Jian, J., Wang, Y. H., Guo, N., & Li, Q. (2019). Protection role of resveratrol against alcohol-induced heart defect in zebrafish embryos. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 132(8), 990–993.
- Wang, J. Y. J. (2001). DNA damage and apoptosis. *Cell Death and Differentiation*, 8(11), 1047–1048.
- Widel, M. (2016). Radiation induced bystander effect: From *in vitro* studies to clinical application. *International Journal of Medical Physics, Clinical Engineering and Radiation Oncology*, 05(01), 1–17.
- Wijesinghe, W. A. J. P., & Jeon, Y. J. (2011). Biological activities and potential cosmeceutical applications of bioactive components from brown seaweeds: A review. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 10(3), 431–443.
- Woodbright, S. J., Schumacher, J. P. & Michonova-Alexova, E. I. (2014). From the dawn of nuclear physics to the first atomic bombs. *Asian Journal of Physics*, 23(2014), 1–20.



- Yaacob, M., Rajab, N. F., Shahar, S., & Sharif, R. (2017). Stingless bee honey and its potential value: a systematic review. *Food Research*, 2(2), 124–133.
- Yalcin, C., Aliyazicioglu, Y., Demir, S., Turan, I., Bahat, Z., Misir, S., & Deger, O. (2016). Evaluation of the radioprotective effect of Turkish propolis on foreskin fibroblast cells. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, 12(2), 990–994.
- Yamini, K., & Gopal, V. (2010). Natural radioprotective agents against ionizing radiation - an overview. *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, 2(2), 1421–1426.
- Zakaria, Z. Z., Benslimane, F. M., Nasrallah, G. K., Shurbaji, S., Younes, N. N., Mraiche, F., Da'as, S. I., & Yalcin, H. C. (2018). Using zebrafish for investigating the molecular mechanisms of drug-induced cardiotoxicity. *BioMed Research International*, 2018, 1642684.
- Zakariya, N. I., & Kahn, M. T. E. (2014). Benefits and biological effects of ionizing radiation. *Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences*, 2(9), 583–591.
- Zanzonico, P. B. (2016). The neglected side of the coin: Quantitative benefit-risk analyses in medical imaging. *Health Physics*, 110(3), 301–304.
- Zarghami, N., Khrapitchev, A. A., Perez-Balderas, F., Soto, M. S., Larkin, J. R., Bau, L., & Sibson, N. R. (2018). Optimization of molecularly targeted MRI in the brain: Empirical comparison of sequences and particles. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 13, 4345–4359.
- Zeisel, S. (2004). Free radicals: The pros and cons of antioxidants. *American Society for Nutritional Sciences*, 134(11), 3143S-3163S. Retrieved 13 Mei 2020 from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15514289>.
- Zhang, G. W., Shen, L., Zhong, W., Xiong, Y., Zhang, L. I. & Tao, H. W. (2016). Orexin activation counteracts decreases in nonexercise activity thermogenesis NEAT caused by high fat diet. *Physiology & Behavior*, 176(1), 139–148.
- Ziv, L., Muto, A., Schoonheim, P. J., Meijnsing, S. H., Strasser, D., Ingraham, H. A., Scaf, M. J. M., Yamamoto, K. R., & Baier, H. (2013). An affective disorder in zebrafish with mutation of the glucocorticoid receptor. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 18(6), 681–691.
- Zon, L. J. & Peterson, R. T. (2005). In vivo drug discovery in the zebrafish. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 4, 35–44.
- Zulkhairi Amin, F. A., Sabri, S., Mohammad, S. M., Ismail, M., Chan, K. W., Ismail, N., Norhaizan, M. E. & Zawawi, N. (2018). Therapeutic properties of stingless bee honey in comparison with European bee honey. *Advances in Pharmacological Sciences*, 2018, 1-12.

## BIODATA OF STUDENT

Mohd Noor Hidayat bin Adenan was born on 6<sup>th</sup> April 1985 in Pontian, Johor and later moved to Puchong, Selangor. He received his primary school education at Sekolah Kebangsaan Puchong Perdana. He continued his secondary school education in Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Agama Maahad Hamidiah, Kajang (Form 1 to 3) and later in Sultan Alam Shah Islamic College, Klang (Form 4 to 5). He completed his Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia (SPM) in the year of 2002. He was then offered to pursue Diploma in Medical Laboratory Technology in Universiti Malaya in the year of 2003 to 2006 with scholarship by Universiti Malaya Medical Centre. He has experience working as Medical Laboratory Technologist from 2006 to 2013. While working as a Medical Laboratory Technologist, he continued his study in Long Distance Learning Centre, Universiti Sains Malaysia in 2009 to 2013 and graduated in Bachelor of Science (Biology) (Hons). He was awarded as a Dean List Student during his final year of study in Universiti Sains Malaysia. In 2014, he got an offer to work at the Malaysian Nuclear Agency under the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation and he is now working at the agency as a Research Officer until the present day. He is at present a Master Science student of Universiti Putra Malaysia in Medical Biotechnology under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Latifah Saiful Yazan.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Mohd Noor Hidayat, A., Latifah, S. Y., Annie, C., Nur Fariesha, M. H., Suzita, M. N., Shuhaimi, S., Farah Jehan, A. B. & Khairuddin, A. R. (2021). Radioprotective effects of Kelulut honey in zebrafish model. *Molecules*, 26(6), 1–26.
- Mohd Noor Hidayat, A., Latifah, S. Y., Annie, C., Nur Fariesha, M. H., Abdul Rahim, H., Nur Hafizati, A. H., Mohd Zulmadi, S., & Khairuddin, A. R. (2018). Effects of Kelulut honey from *Trigona* sp. on zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryo that mimics human embryonic development. *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology*, 12(2), 5–14.





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT  
AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION: Second Semester 2020/2021

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT: PROTECTIVE PROPERTIES OF STINGLESS BEE HONEY IN ZEBRAFISH EMBRYO EXPOSED TO GAMMA IRRADIATION

NAME OF STUDENT:

MOHD NOOR HIDAYAT BIN ADENAN

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

\*Please tick (√)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

**RESTRICTED**

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

**OPEN ACCESS**

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for:



**PATENT**

Embargo from \_\_\_\_\_ until  
\_\_\_\_\_ (date)  
(date)

**Approved by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Student)  
New IC No/ Passport No.:  
Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Chairman  
of Supervisory Committee)  
Name: Professor Latifah binti  
Saiful Yazan  
Date: 15/9/2021

**[Note: If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentiality or restricted.]**

