



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTE TRANSPORT PHENOMENON FROM  
RAINFED SWEET CORN FIELD IN TROPICAL CLIMATE***

**MAZHAR IQBAL**

**FK 2020 103**



**BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTE TRANSPORT PHENOMENON FROM  
RAINFED SWEET CORN FIELD IN TROPICAL CLIMATE**

By

**MAZHAR IQBAL**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**July 2020**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to;

My father and my mother for their endless love and wish for their son to achieve this higher dream. Especially, to my father (late) who encouraged me, rather insisted to pursue my study overseas when he was suffering from cancer. Being a well-wisher, his sacrifice is unmatched. My sisters, who have been supportive during my study. Finally, to my wife for her sacrifices and my son who needed me during the early days of his life.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTE TRANSPORT PHENOMENON FROM  
RAINFED SWEET CORN FIELD IN TROPICAL CLIMATE**

By

**MAZHAR IQBAL**

**July 2020**

**Chairman : Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Solute transport from agricultural fields is the main cause of non-point contamination, resulting in degradation of surface and groundwater due to runoff and deep percolation. It varies significantly among agricultural fields of different climates. The amount and duration of rainfall occurrence in the tropical climate is of great importance in controlling solute movement from agricultural fields. The heavy rainfall in tropical climate results in the solute loss to increase manifold as compared to arid and semi-arid climate. Therefore, assessment of water and solute balance in rainfed conditions is essential for the efficient use of water and fertiliser in increasing productivity. The study intended to assess the water and solute dynamics from a sweet corn field under tropical rainfed conditions using the HYDRUS-1D numerical model. The intensive field investigations were carried out to explore the water and solute losses in a sweet corn field for two growing seasons (Feb.-May and Sep.-Nov. 2018) under the rainfed conditions at the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Malaysia. The water and solute balance components were observed using modern devices integrated with data loggers in real field conditions and the empirical relationships between solute concentrations and EC were developed. Then HYDRUS-1D numerical analysis was performed to simulate soil water balance in the sweet corn field. The HYDRUS-1D numerical model was also used to simulate solute transport dynamics in the field. The observed soil water content and solute concentrations were used for calibration and validation of the model. Finally, the AquaCrop simulations of crop growth were performed to predict crop yield using the data obtained from the intensive field experiments.

The empirical relationships between the observed NPK concentrations and EC were developed during the first season using polynomial regression analysis. Based on the developed empirical equations, the NPK concentrations were determined and compared with observed concentrations during the second season. The average  $R^2$

values for NPK were 0.91, 0.97, and 0.98 (first season) and 0.97, 0.95 and 0.98 (second season). The empirical relationship is an important and easier way to know the NPK status in the soil at any given time during the crop growing seasons and could be helpful in the efficient use of fertiliser. The total water inputs during the first and second seasons were 75.8 cm and 79.7 cm, respectively. HYDRUS-1D simulation results of evapotranspiration (ET) accounted for 40.7% and 33.1% of total water input during the first and second seasons, respectively. Surface runoff accounted for 41.0% (first season) and 28.6% (second season). Water leaching accounted for 10.6% and 26.8% of total water input during both seasons, respectively.

The total NPK input to sweet corn was 120:60:60 kg/ha for both seasons. The nitrogen (N) surface runoff loss accounted for 35.3% and 22.2% of total nitrogen input during the first and second seasons, respectively. The N leaching loss at 60 cm depth accounted for 4.0% (first season) and 18.5% (second season). The crop N uptake was 37.5% (first season) and 24.9% (second season). The phosphorus (TP) losses were negligible. The simulated amounts of K lost through runoff and leaching were 43.1% and 17.0% (first season), 34.1% and 38.6% (second season). The K uptake accounted for 32.1% and 21.4% of total K input during the first and second seasons, respectively. NPK losses through surface runoff and leaching were dominating pathways. Overall, the HYDRUS-1D simulation results of soil water fluxes and NPK concentrations were found in good agreement with observed data. The simulated total biomass of 11.2 ton/ha and 8.8 ton/ha were obtained using the AquaCrop model during the first and second seasons, respectively. The total yields were 5.4 ton/ha (first season) and 4.2 ton/ha (second season). The simulated results show higher water productivity ( $WP_{ET}$ ) 1.69 kg/m<sup>3</sup> during the first season as compared to 1.58 kg/m<sup>3</sup> during the second season. The AquaCrop simulation results matched the observed results well. The overall simulation results validate the HYDRUS-1D as an effective tool for improved water and fertiliser use and AquaCrop to simulate the crop growth in the tropical climate.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PERILAKU FENOMENON PERGERAKAN LARUTAN DARI LADANG  
JAGUNG MANIS YANG BERGANTUNG KEPADA PENGAIRAN AIR  
HUJAN DI KAWASAN IKLIM TROPIKA**

Oleh

**MAZHAR IQBAL**

**Julai 2020**

**Chairman : Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD**  
**Faculty : Kejuruteraan**

Kehilangan zat larutan dari ladang pertanian adalah punca utama pencemaran tidak-bertitik yang mengakibatkan kemerosotan sumber air tanah dan permukaan yang berlaku disebabkan oleh penyusupan dan larian masing-masing. Ianya sangat berbeza antara ladang-ladang pertanian dan iklim-iklim yang berbeza. Jumlah dan jangka masa berlakunya hujan sangat penting dalam mengawal pergerakan larutan dari ladang pertanian di iklim tropika. Hujan lebat di iklim tropika mengakibatkan kehilangan larutan meningkat berkali ganda berbanding dengan iklim gersang dan separa-gersang. Oleh itu, penilaian air dan keseimbangan larutan dalam keadaan hujan adalah penting dalam penggunaan air dan baja yang efektif bagi meningkatkan produktiviti. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai air dan dinamik larutan dari ladang jagung manis di bawah keadaan hujan tropika dengan menggunakan model numerikal HYDRUS-1D. Kajian ladang intensif dijalankan untuk meneroka air dan kehilangan larutan dalam ladang jagung manis bagi dua musim (Feb.-Mei dan Sep.-Nov. 2018) di bawah keadaan hujan di Institut Penyelidikan dan Kemajuan Pertanian Malaysia (MARDI), Malaysia. Komponen-komponen air danimbangan larutan diperhatikan dengan menggunakan alat moden yang disepadukan dengan logger data dalam keadaan ladang sebenar dan hubungan empirikal antara kepekatan larutan dan EC yang dihasilkan. Kemudian analisis numerikal HYDRUS-1D dilakukan untuk mensimulasikan keseimbangan air tanah di ladang jagung manis. Model numerikal HYDRUS-1D kemudian digunakan untuk mensimulasikan pergerakan dinamik larutan di ladang. Kemudian, kandungan air tanah dan kepekatan larutan diperhatikan untuk digunakan sebagai penentukuran dan pengesahan model. Akhirnya, simulasi AquaCrop bagi pertumbuhan tanaman dilaksanakan bertujuan meramalkan hasil tanaman menggunakan data yang diperolehi daripada eksperimen ladang intensif.

Hubungan empirikal antara kepekatan NPK yang diperhatikan dan EC dibangunkan semasa musim pertama menggunakan analisis regresi polinomial. Berdasarkan persamaan empirikal yang dibangunkan, kepekatan NPK ditentukan dan dibandingkan dengan kepekatan semasa musim kedua. Nilai purata  $R^2$  untuk NPK adalah 0.91, 0.97, dan 0.98 (musim pertama) dan 0.97, 0.95 dan 0.98 (musim kedua). Hubungan empirikal adalah penting dan cara paling mudah untuk mengetahui status NPK di dalam tanah pada bila-bila masa semasa musim tanaman dan dapat membantu dalam penggunaan baja yang cekap. Jumlah input air pada musim pertama dan kedua adalah 75.8 cm dan 79.7 cm masing-masing. Hasil simulasi HYDRUS-1D menunjukkan evapotranspirasi (ET) menyumbang sebanyak 40.7% dan 33.1% daripada jumlah input air pada musim pertama dan kedua masing-masing. Larian permukaan menyumbang sebanyak 41.0% (musim pertama) dan 28.6% (musim kedua). Penyusupan air menyumbang sebanyak 10.6% dan 26.8% daripada jumlah input air pada kedua-dua musim masing-masing.

Jumlah input NPK untuk jagung manis adalah 120:60:60 kg/ha untuk kedua-dua musim. Nitrogen (N) larian permukaan menyumbang sebanyak 35.3% dan 22.2% daripada jumlah input nitrogen semasa musim pertama dan kedua masing-masing. Kehilangan penyusupan N pada kedalaman 60 cm menyumbang sebanyak 4.0% (musim pertama) dan 18.5% (musim kedua). Pengambilan tanaman N adalah 37.5% (musim pertama) dan 24.9% (musim kedua). Kehilangan fosforus (TP) diabaikan. Jumlah simulasi K yang hilang melalui larian dan penyusupan adalah 43.1% dan 17.0% (musim pertama), 34.1% dan 38.6% (musim kedua). Pengambilan K menyumbang sebanyak 32.1% dan 21.4% daripada jumlah input K pada musim pertama dan kedua masing-masing. Kehilangan NPK melalui larian permukaan dan penyusupan adalah laluan dominasi. Secara keseluruhan, keputusan simulasi HYDRUS-1D menunjukkan fluks air tanah dan kepekatan NPK sepadan dengan data yang diperhatikan. Jumlah simulasi biomass sebanyak 11.2 tan/ha dan 8.8 tan/ha diperolehi menggunakan model AquaCrop semasa musim pertama dan kedua masing-masing. Jumlah hasil tanaman adalah 5.4 tan/ha (musim pertama) dan 4.2 tan/ha (musim kedua). Hasil simulasi menunjukkan produktiviti air yang lebih tinggi (WPET) 1.69 kg/m<sup>3</sup> semasa musim pertama berbanding 1.58 kg/m<sup>3</sup> semasa musim kedua. Keputusan simulasi AquaCrop adalah sepadan dengan keputusan hasil pemerhatian. Hasil simulasi keseluruhan mengesahkan bahawa HYDRUS-1D adalah satu alat yang sangat efektif dalam menambahbaikkan penggunaan air dan baja dan AquaCrop untuk mensimulasikan pertumbuhan tanaman di iklim tropika.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Allah Almighty is always beneficent and affectionate to mankind; that's why according to His words, every piece of work is rewarded according to the devotion and dedication incorporated in it. Like every humble particle of His kingdom, I am also thankful to Allah Almighty who bestowed me with the potential and fondness to complete this research. I present my humblest and wet eyed thanks from the core of my heart to the Holy Prophet Muhammad (Sallallahu alaihi wa-alaihi wasallam), the greatest man, a permanent source of inspiration and guidance for the mankind.

I wish to record my sincerest appreciations to my supervisor Dr Md Rowshon Kamal (Associate Professor), Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for his supervision and encouragement throughout the course of my studies. I feel much honour to complete my research work under his enthusiastic guidance and enlightened supervision. I am thankful to my supervisor for his cooperation.

I also express deep my gratitude to Dr. Aimrun wayayok (Senior Lecturer) and Dr. Hasfalina binti Che Man (Associate Professor), Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for their constructive criticism, valuable suggestions and encouragements to improve this manuscript.

I am also thankful to University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, Research Management Center (UPM), Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute MARDI, Soil Laboratory Faculty of Agriculture, Soil Laboratory Faculty of Engineering, Irrigation and Drainage Laboratory Faculty of Engineering, Mechanical Laboratory Faculty of Engineering, Biological laboratory Faculty of Engineering United Plantations Berhad, for providing me research facilities and support.

I thank my fellow lab mates and friends especially, Dr. Muhammad Yamin, Muhammad Tuseef Asghar, Husnain and Sadaf Shakoor for the stimulating discussions, valuable comments and suggestions.

I owe a lot to my family members especially my father Muhammad Yaqoob (late), my mother Bakhtawar Bibi, my wife Maryam Mazhar and my son Mahad Mazhar for their prayers, support and best wishes. It is not fair if I do not remember my sisters who had always been encouraging me.

**Mazhar Iqbal**

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Aimrun Wayayok, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Hasfalina Bt. Che Man, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 November 2020

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Md Rowshon Kamal

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Aimrun Wayayok

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Hasfalina Bt. Che Man

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>		i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>		iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>		v
<b>APPROVAL</b>		vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>		viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>		xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>		xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>		xx
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the research	3
	1.4 Scope of the study	3
	1.5 Outlines of the thesis	3
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Solute transport in the soil profile	6
	2.3 Modelling water and solute transport	8
	2.4 Effect of irrigation techniques on solute transport	11
	2.5 Rainfall considerations	14
	2.6 Numerical models of water and solute transport	17
	2.6.1 LEACHM Model	17
	2.6.2 SWAP Model	18
	2.6.3 VS2DT model	18
	2.6.4 HYDRUS-1D model	19
	2.7 Modelling crop growth	19
	2.8 Summary of the literature review	20
<b>3</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	22
	3.1 Research procedure	22
	3.2 Field experiment and measurements	24
	3.2.1 Site location	24
	3.2.2 Experimental design	24
	3.2.2.1 Description of field layout	25
	3.2.3 Soil sampling and analysis	27
	3.3 Monitoring the water and solute dynamics	27
	3.3.1 Monitoring the water dynamics	27
	3.3.1.1 Rainfall (RF)	28
	3.3.1.2 Surface runoff (RO)	28
	3.3.1.3 Crop evapotranspiration (ET <sub>c</sub> )	30

3.3.1.4	Computation of reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ )	30
3.3.1.5	Soil water contents	31
3.3.1.6	Water leaching	33
3.3.1.7	Miscellaneous	33
3.3.2	Monitoring the solute dynamics	34
3.3.2.1	Solute loss through runoff	35
3.3.2.2	Solute loss through leaching	35
3.3.2.3	Solute plant uptake	37
3.3.2.4	Quantification of solute losses	37
3.3.3	Development of empirical equations	38
3.4	HYDRUS-1D simulation of water and solute transport dynamics	38
3.4.1	Model description	38
3.4.2	Working Procedure of using HYDRUS-1D	38
3.4.2.1	Main process	39
3.4.2.2	Geometry information	40
3.4.2.3	Time information	40
3.4.2.4	Print information	41
3.4.2.5	Soil hydraulic model	42
3.4.2.6	Water flow parameters	42
3.4.2.7	Initial and boundary conditions	43
3.4.2.8	Solute transport and reaction parameters	44
3.4.2.9	Estimation of soil hydraulic parameters	46
3.4.2.10	Estimation of potential ET	46
3.4.2.11	Root water uptake	46
3.4.2.12	Root distribution and solute uptake	46
3.4.3	Model evaluation	47
3.5	AquaCrop simulation of crop yield and water productivity	48
3.5.1	Model description	48
3.5.2	Model input data	48
3.5.2.1	Climate data	48
3.5.2.2	Crop parameters	50
3.5.2.3	Soil data	51
3.5.2.4	Irrigation and field management	51
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Soil and climate	53
4.2	Water and solute balance analysis	56
4.2.1	Water balance analysis	56
4.2.2	Solute concentrations	61
4.2.3	Development of N P K empirical equations.	68
4.3	HYDRUS-1D simulation of water and solute transport	76
4.3.1	Water flow dynamics	76
4.3.1.1	Model calibration and validation	76
4.3.1.2	Evapotranspiration (ET)	77
4.3.1.3	Soil water content	78
4.3.1.4	Surface runoff	83
4.3.1.5	Root water uptake	86

4.3.1.6	Water leaching	86
4.3.1.7	Water balance	88
4.3.2	Solute transport dynamic	89
4.3.2.1	Model calibration and validation	89
4.3.2.2	Nitrogen concentrations in the soil profile	90
4.3.2.3	Potassium and phosphorus concentrations in soil profile	94
4.3.2.4	Crop nitrogen uptake	98
4.3.2.5	Crop potassium uptake	99
4.3.2.6	Nitrogen loss due to surface runoff	101
4.3.2.7	Potassium loss due to surface runoff	102
4.3.2.8	Nitrogen leaching	104
4.3.2.9	Potassium leaching	108
4.4	AquaCrop simulation of crop yield and water productivity	113
4.4.1	Model calibration and validation	113
4.4.2	Canopy cover and biomass	114
4.4.3	Crop yield and water productivity	119
4.5	Summary of simulation scheme of models	120
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>122</b>
5.1	Conclusions	122
5.2	Recommendations	123
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>125</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>139</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>145</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>146</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Details of the agricultural activities in the experimental field at MARDI during two sweet corn growing seasons 2018	27
3.2	Optimised values of soil hydraulic properties	46
4.1	Physical properties of the soil in the experimental field at MARDI during the first season (February – May) 2018	53
4.2	Physical properties of the soil in the experimental field at MARDI during the second season (September - November) 2018	54
4.3	Chemical properties of the soil in the experimental field at MARDI during the first season (February – May) 2018	54
4.4	Chemical properties of the soil in the experimental field at MARDI during the second season (September - November) 2018	54
4.5	Monthly weather data of the experimental area during two growing seasons in 2018	55
4.6	Optimised values of soil hydraulic properties	76
4.7	Statistical analysis of the comparison between observed and simulated water contents	77
4.8	Simulated and observed water balance components (cm) in the soil profile during two seasons of sweet corn in MARDI, Malaysia	89
4.9	Statistical analysis of the comparison between observed and simulated N contents	90
4.10	Main input parameters used in AquaCrop for sweet corn during two seasons 2018	114

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Flow chart describing water and solute dynamics and assessment of water productivity	23
3.2	Location of the study site for sweet corn production at MARDI	24
3.3	Field layout of equipment installed for investigation of water and solute balance components	25
3.4	A view of the installation of different equipment in the field	26
3.5	Data-logging rain-gauge sensor installed in the field	28
3.6	Data download from rain-gauge installed in the field	29
3.7	RBC flume at drainage point in the experimental plot	29
3.8	RBC flume data downloading process	30
3.9	ET-gauge installed in the field	31
3.10	5TE moisture sensor with EM 50 data logger installed in the field	32
3.11	Data download from 5TE moisture sensor installed in the field	32
3.12	View of the sub-surface water collection system in the sweet corn field experiment	33
3.13	Field equipment installed in sweet corn field (a) observation well, (b) TDR, (c) infiltrometer, and (d) tensiometer	34
3.14	Laboratory test of water samples for $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ , $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ , T-P, and K concentrations (a) flame photometer (M410), (b) spectrophotometer (315), (c) titration process, and (d) sample dilution process	36
3.15	The layout of the soil water samplers installed at 20, 40, 60, 80, and 140 cm depths	37
3.16	HYDRUS-1D dialog window showing project data manager	39
3.17	HYDRUS-1D main process dialog window	39
3.18	HYDRUS-1D geometry information dialog window	40
3.19	HYDRUS-1D time information dialog window	41
3.20	HYDRUS-1D print information dialog window	41



3.21	HYDRUS-1D soil hydraulic model dialog window	42
3.22	HYDRUS-1D water flow parameter dialog window	43
3.23	HYDRUS-1D Water Flow Boundary Conditions dialog window	44
3.24	Flow chart presenting model simulation process and calculation of water and solute balance component	45
3.25	Comparison of observed temperature during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	49
3.26	Comparison of ETo during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	50
3.27	AquaCrop window showing the input files to simulate crop growth	52
4.1	Presents the water balance data during the first season (February-May) and second season (September-November) 2018	55
4.2	Presents soil water characteristic curve for the soil of sweet corn experimental field	56
4.3	Comparison of observed rainfall during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	57
4.4	Comparison of observed runoff during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	58
4.5	Comparison of observed cumulative runoff during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	59
4.6	Comparison measured daily crop evapotranspiration during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	60
4.7	Comparison of observed water table fluctuation during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	61
4.8	Comparison of observed $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N, $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N, K, and TP concentrations in runoff during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	63
4.9	Comparison of observed $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N concentrations in the soil profile during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	64
4.10	Comparison of observed $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N concentrations in the soil profile during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	65

4.11	Comparison of observed K concentrations in the soil profile during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	66
4.12	Comparison of observed TP concentrations in the soil profile during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	67
4.13	Relationship between (TN) and EC within the root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	69
4.14	Relationship between (TN) and EC below root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	70
4.15	Relationship between (K) and EC within the root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	71
4.16	Relationship between (K) and EC below root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	72
4.17	Relationship between (TP) and EC within the root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	73
4.18	Relationship between observed and modelled (TN) within and below root zone during the second season (September-November) 2018	74
4.19	Relationship between observed and modelled (K) within and below root zone (a,b) and (TP) below root zone (c) during the second season (September-November) 2018	75
4.20	Comparison of simulated and observed daily crop evapotranspiration during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	78
4.21	Comparison of simulated and observed soil water contents within the root zone at 20, 40, and 60 cm depths during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	80
4.22	Comparison of simulated and observed soil water contents below the root zone at 80 cm and 140 cm depths during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	81

4.23	HYDRUS-1D post-processing window view showing simulated water content results for sweet corn growing during the (a) first seasons and (b) second season 2018	82
4.24	Comparison of simulated and observed daily surface runoff during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	84
4.25	Comparison of simulated and observed cumulative surface runoff during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	85
4.26	Comparison of simulated and observed daily and cumulative root water uptake during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	87
4.27	Simulated daily and cumulative water leaching losses during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	88
4.28	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N concentrations within the root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	91
4.29	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N concentrations below root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	92
4.30	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N concentrations within root zone during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	93
4.31	HYDRUS-1D post-processing window view showing simulated solute results for sweet corn during the (a) first seasons and (b) second season 2018	94
4.32	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) K concentrations within the root zone (0-60 cm) during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	96
4.33	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) K concentrations below the root zone (60-140 cm) during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	97

4.34	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) TP concentrations within the root zone (0-60 cm) during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	98
4.35	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) cumulative nitrogen uptake during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	100
4.36	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) cumulative potassium uptake during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	101
4.37	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) cumulative N runoff fluxes during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	103
4.38	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) cumulative potassium runoff fluxes during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	104
4.39	Simulated (Sim.) cumulative N fluxes at 20 cm during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	106
4.40	Simulated (Sim.) cumulative N fluxes at 40 cm during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	107
4.41	Simulated (Sim.) N leaching fluxes, cumulative N fluxes and observed (Obs.) cumulative N fluxes at 60 cm depth during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	108
4.42	Simulated (Sim.) cumulative K fluxes at 20 cm during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	110
4.43	Simulated (Sim.) cumulative K fluxes at 40 cm during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	111
4.44	Simulated (Sim.) K leaching fluxes, cumulative K fluxes and observed (Obs.) cumulative K fluxes at 60 cm depth during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	112
4.45	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) canopy cover during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	116

4.46	Model validation results in simulating canopy cover of sweet corn during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	117
4.47	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) crop biomass during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	118
4.48	Model validation results in simulating biomass of sweet corn during the (a) first season (February-May) and (b) second season (September-November) 2018	119
4.49	Comparison of simulated (Sim.) and observed (Obs.) crop yield during the first season (February-May) and second season (September-November) 2018	120



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DOA	Department of Agriculture
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
SIRIM	Standard and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
CNS	Carbon Nitrogen Sulphur
EC	Electric Conductivity
RF	Rainfall
SWS	Soil Water Sampler
ET	Evapotranspiration
Kc	Crop Coefficient
RO	Runoff
mg/L	Milligram per Litre
WI	Water Input
CC	Canopy Cover
B	Biomass
Y	Yield
HI	Harvest Index
WP	Water Productivity
TDR	Time Domain Reflectometer
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the study

According to DOA (2017), about 10477 ha of the cultivated area produced 72,560 tons of sweet corn in Malaysia. Sweet corn is used for both human and animal consumption, along with its use in industry as raw material (Saeed et al., 2001). The country is self-sufficient in sweet corn production (Wahab, 2018). In Malaysia, sweet corn grows on acidic, weathered soils with low pH and soil fertility, which results in low yield (Shamshuddin et al., 2010). Imbalance of fertiliser is also one of the reasons for low corn production in tropical regions (Oad et al., 2004).

Solute losses from agricultural fields cause the fertiliser imbalance, which affects the sweet corn production. The solutes are dynamic in nature, especially nitrogen. Nitrogen (N) pollution has become a global environmental problem with serious implications on surface and ground waters (Chandna et al., 2011). The groundwater contamination due to agricultural activity depends on the amount of N applied and its effective use by crops (Becker et al., 2007). As Nitrogen (N) highly affects the crop yield (Wienhold et al., 1995), the farmers apply N-fertiliser to high-yield crops in large quantity. Corn also demands a large amount of N to achieve optimal yield. Due to a lack of management guidelines, most farmers apply fertiliser based on their experience and do not consider their environmental consequences (Wei et al., 2009). N leaching out of the root zone due to excessive application of N-fertilisers is a potential cause of water resource pollution, which has been observed in many parts of the world (Karandish et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2005). In soil, N is present in different forms such as organic N, ammonia ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N). Due to its mobility,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N contributes to groundwater pollution more than other forms (Wang et al., 2010).

In addition, the low recovery of fertiliser by crop increases the leaching of residual N to groundwater during off-season rainfall in the humid regions (Tamini & Mermoud, 2002). Rainfall triggers flow processes such as surface runoff, preferential flow, and nitrate leaching (McGrath et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010). Water percolation below the root zone is a major factor controlling the N leaching (Tamini & Mermoud, 2002). Thus, the optimum use of water along with fertiliser is also important. The change in water balance components such as evapotranspiration, runoff, leaching, and rainfall might also affect the fertiliser imbalance.

Therefore, the accurate estimation of water balance in agricultural fields is key to water resources management. Evapotranspiration and leaching are the leading sinks of water that affect soil water status in a soil-plant-atmosphere environment (Shelia et al., 2018). Furthermore, devising water management strategies depends on information relating to evapotranspiration. Measuring other water balance components such as runoff, and capillary movement in field conditions is also a big

challenge (Igbadun, 2012). Whereas, rainfall is the most important component of water balance. The response of the water balance components varies with climate geographically. The significant differences between regions necessitate a need to evaluate the response of water balance components in various geo-climatic regions (Wang et al., 2011). Climate change also has a significant effect in altering rainfall patterns in Malaysia (NAHRIM, 2014). The change in rainfall patterns is more crucial than the change in temperature in Malaysia.

The simulation models have been very effective in describing the water and solute transport processes and the extent to which management practices affect crop yield and the environment. However, the validation of simulation models for local conditions is crucial (Watts & Martin, 1981). The significance of their use is multiplied when the prediction of distribution is done based on local soil and climate conditions (Santos et al., 1997). Among different available models, a software package HYDRUS-1D (Šimůnek et al., 2008) has been widely used for simulating water flow and solute transport in soils, to analyse flow and transport processes in agricultural fields. Several researchers have applied the HYDRUS-1D model to evaluate water and solute balance. As compared to other models, HYDRUS-1D has the flexibility of accommodating different boundary conditions. The model can take into account the root uptake of water and nutrients simultaneously. The model is capable of simulating soil water and solute dynamics under different management practices (Gabiri et al., 2018; He et al., 2017a; Hou et al., 2017; Karandish & Šimůnek, 2017; Li et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Martello et al., 2015; Negm et al., 2017; Ramos et al., 2011; Ramos et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2016; Ursolino et al., 2019). All these researchers validated HYDRUS-1D as a reliable tool for such investigations.

Many researchers in the past have studied the effect of lower fertiliser rates on crop productivity. The reduction in fertiliser use, however, can also reduce the yield. Therefore the farmers are not keen to adopt this technique. Reducing the application of water could be an option, particularly in tropical regions to reduce fertiliser leaching. Also, validation of the model in quantifying water losses through field experiments is worthwhile. This study, therefore, considered rainfed conditions to evaluate the rainfall effect on nutrients distribution in the tropical region, based on intensive fieldwork avoiding scheduled irrigation.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

Solute losses from agricultural fields result in the degradation of ground and surface water resource due to deep percolation and runoff, respectively. The heavy rainfall results in the solute loss to increase manifold in the tropical region. Indeed, heavy rainfall in Malaysia can potentially meet crop water requirements. However, the fluctuation in rainfall duration and frequency can affect the water and nutrients balance. It reduces nutrients availability and limits crop growth. Quantifying the water and nutrients balance components under rainfed conditions can be useful to improve water management and to assess the scale of fertiliser loss for sweet corn production. Based on a literature review, no research has yet been reported to assess rainfall impact



on nutrients dynamics in the region. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the rainfall potential to meet the water requirement of sweet corn and its impact on nutrients dynamics in the tropics.

### **1.3 Objectives of the research**

The study aims to simulate water and solute dynamics for improved fertiliser use that will be helpful in increasing the productivity of rainfed sweet corn production in the tropical climate through intensive field investigations. The specific objectives include:

1. To monitor water and nutrients (NPK) balance components from rainfed sweet corn and the development of empirical equations.
2. To evaluate the temporal soil water dynamics using the HYDRUS-1D simulation.
3. To evaluate the temporal nutrients (NPK) dynamics using the HYDRUS-1D simulation.
4. To assess the water productivity of rainfed sweet corn due to nutrients transport using the AquaCrop model.

### **1.4 Scope of the study**

This study used the modelling approach for better fertiliser use in sweet corn production in the field located at the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Serdang, Malaysia. The intensive field investigations were carried out to monitor the water and solute balance and their losses for two growing seasons (Feb.-May and Sep.-Nov. 2018). The HYDRUS-1D and AquaCrop models were validated for the local climatic conditions. Various empirical equations were developed using polynomial regression analyses for NPK estimates to predict NPK concentrations in the soil profile at any time during the crop growing seasons. The study outcomes could be helpful in optimising the water and fertiliser use to improve sweet corn productivity. Further, the reduction in fertilisers cost and contamination of the surface and groundwater are within the research scope.

### **1.5 Outlines of the thesis**

The thesis introduces the research work and its objectives in chapter 1. In chapter 2, previous studies related to current research have been discussed while chapter 3 explains the materials and methods involved in this study. Chapter 4 of the thesis illustrates the results and discussion on those results. Finally, chapter 5 concludes the research work and gives recommendations for future study in this field. Further, chapter-wise outlines of the thesis are given below.

**Chapter 1** refers to the basic information of sweet corn, its production, factors affecting production, management of resources, water and fertiliser use, modelling approach to improve fertiliser efficiency. At the end of the chapter, the problem statement, research objectives along with the scope of the study have been described.

**Chapter 2** consists of the literature review. It summarises the earlier studies on solute transport mechanism and important parameters involved in the transport process. The chapter also describes the use of models under different irrigation and fertiliser management practices in various climate regions to predict N P K losses. In the last part, the crop growth model AquaCrop and summary of the literature review have been presented.

**Chapter 3** describes the study design and its implementation. The chapter gives an introduction to the study area and discusses the installation of equipment and sensors including soil water samplers, rain gage, 5TE sensors, RBC flume, subsurface water collection system. The chapter describes the monitoring process and computations involved to determine different components of water and nutrients balance along with the development of empirical equations. Sampling and testing of soil, water and plant have also been discussed. In the last part, the use of HYDRUS-1D and AquaCrop simulation models have been described.

**Chapter 4** presents the field observations and simulation results of the study. The first part includes the graphical presentation of observed water balance components and NPK concentrations and development of NPK empirical equations. Later, the HYDRUS-1D simulation results were presented and analysed. In the last part of the chapter, the AquaCrop simulation results of crop growth parameters were discussed.

**Chapter 5** presents the general conclusion and gives recommendations for future research in this field.

## REFERENCES

- Abedinpour, M., Sarangi, A., Rajput, T. B. S., Singh, M., Pathak, H., & Ahmad, T. (2012). Performance evaluation of AquaCrop model for maize crop in a semi-arid environment. *Agricultural water management*, 110, 55-66. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2012.04.001
- Adeboye, O. B., Schultz, B., Adekalu, K. O., & Prasad, K. C. (2019). Performance evaluation of AquaCrop in simulating soil water storage, yield, and water productivity of rainfed soybeans (*Glycine max L. merr*) in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Agricultural water management*, 213, 1130-1146.
- Ajdary, K., Singh, D. K., Singh, A. K., & Khanna, M. (2007). Modelling of nitrogen leaching from experimental onion field under drip fertigation. *Agricultural water management*, 89(1-2), 15-28. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2006.12.014
- Akbariyeh, S., Bartelt-Hunt, S., Snow, D., Li, X., Tang, Z., & Li, Y. (2018). Three-dimensional modeling of nitrate-N transport in vadose zone: Roles of soil heterogeneity and groundwater flux. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 211, 15-25.
- Akumaga, U., Tarhule, A., & Yusuf, A. A. (2017). Validation and testing of the FAO AquaCrop model under different levels of nitrogen fertilizer on rainfed maize in Nigeria, West Africa. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 232, 225-234.
- Ali, M. (2011). Pollution of water resources from agricultural fields and its control *Practices of Irrigation & On-farm Water Management: Volume 2* (pp. 241-269): Springer.
- Allen, R. G., Luis, S. P., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. Crop Evapotranspiration (guidelines for computing crop water requirements). *Irrigation and Drainage*, 300(56), 300-300. doi:10.1016/j.eja.2010.12.001
- Arampatzis, G., Tzimopoulos, C., Sakellariou- Makrantonaki, M., & Yannopoulos, S. (2001). Estimation of unsaturated flow in layered soils with the finite control volume method. *Irrigation and Drainage: The journal of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*, 50(4), 349-358.
- Bah, A. R., Kravchuk, O., & Kirchof, G. (2009). Sensitivity of drainage to rainfall, vegetation and soil characteristics. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 68(1), 1-8. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2009.03.005
- Baillie, S., Kaye-Blake, W., Smale, P., & Dennis, S. (2016). Simulation modelling to investigate nutrient loss mitigation practices. *Agricultural water management*, 177, 221-228. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2016.07.018

- Barry, D. A., Parlange, J. Y., Saffigna, P. G., & Rose, C. W. (1983). Theory of solute transport in soils from the method of characteristics. *Irrigation Science*, 4(4), 277-287. doi:10.1007/BF00389650
- Becker, M., Asch, F., Maskey, S., Pande, K., Shah, S., & Shrestha, S. (2007). Effects of transition season management on soil N dynamics and system N balances in rice–wheat rotations of Nepal. *Field Crops Research*, 103(2), 98-108.
- Behera, S., Jha, M. K., & Kar, S. (2003). Dynamics of water flow and fertilizer solute leaching in lateritic soils of Kharagpur region, India. *Agricultural water management*, 63(2), 77-98. doi:10.1016/S0378-3774(03)00175-6
- Behera, S. K., & Panda, R. K. (2009). Effect of fertilization and irrigation schedule on water and fertilizer solute transport for wheat crop in a sub-humid sub-tropical region. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 130(3-4), 141-155. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2008.12.009
- Benjamin, J. G., Ahuja, L. R., & Allmaras, R. R. (1996). Modelling corn rooting patterns and their effects on water uptake and nitrate leaching. *Plant and Soil*, 179, 223-232. doi:10.1007/BF00009332
- Bern, C. R., Breit, G. N., Healy, R. W., Zupancic, J. W., & Hammack, R. (2013). Deep subsurface drip irrigation using coal-bed sodic water: Part I. Water and solute movement. *Agricultural water management*, 118, 122-134. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2012.11.014
- Beulke, S., Boxall, A., Brown, C., Thomas, M., & Falloon, P. (2007). *Impacts of climate change on pesticide transport to groundwater and surface water*. Paper presented at the Del Re, AAM, Capri, E., Fraoulis, G., and Trevisan, M., XIII Symposium Pesticide Chemistry, Piacenza, Italy.
- Beulke, S., Brown, C. D., Fryer, C. J., & Walker, A. (2002). Lysimeter study to investigate the effect of rainfall patterns on leaching of isoproturon. *Pest Management Science*, 58(1), 45-53. doi:10.1002/ps.419
- Bloomfield, J., Williams, R., Goody, D., Cape, J., & Guha, P. (2006). Impacts of climate change on the fate and behaviour of pesticides in surface and groundwater—a UK perspective. *Science of the Total Environment*, 369(1-3), 163-177.
- Brouwer, C., & Heibloem, M. (1986). Irrigation water management: irrigation water needs. *Training manual*, 3.
- Bruckler, L., Lafolie, F., Doussan, C., & Bussi eres, F. (2004). Modeling soil-root water transport with non-uniform water supply and heterogeneous root distribution. *Plant and Soil*, 260(1-2), 205-224. doi:10.1023/B:PLSO.0000030187.33135.b8

- Bueno-Delgado, M. V., Molina-Martínez, J. M., Correoso-Campillo, R., & Pavón-Mariño, P. (2016). Ecofert: An Android application for the optimization of fertilizer cost in fertigation. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *121*, 32-42.
- Caiqiong, Y., & Jun, F. (2016). Application of HYDRUS-1D model to provide antecedent soil water contents for analysis of runoff and soil erosion from a slope on the Loess Plateau. *Catena*, *139*, 1-8. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2015.11.017
- Chandna, P., Khurana, M., Ladha, J. K., Punia, M., Mehla, R., & Gupta, R. (2011). Spatial and seasonal distribution of nitrate-N in groundwater beneath the rice-wheat cropping system of India: a geospatial analysis. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, *178*(1-4), 545-562.
- Chen, B., Liu, E., Mei, X., Yan, C., & Garré, S. (2018). Modelling soil water dynamic in rain-fed spring maize field with plastic mulching. *Agricultural water management*, *198*, 19-27. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2017.12.007
- Chen, J. Y., Tang, C. Y., Sakura, Y., Kondoh, A., Shen, Y. J., & Song, X. F. (2004). Measurement and analysis of the redistribution of soil moisture and solutes in a maize field in the lower reaches of the Yellow River. *Hydrological Processes*, *18*(12), 2263-2273. doi:10.1002/hyp.5527
- Clothier, B. E., & Green, S. R. (1994). Rootzone processes and the efficient use of irrigation water. *Agricultural water management*, *25*(1), 1-12. doi:10.1016/0378-3774(94)90048-5
- Cote, C. M., Bristow, K. L., Charlesworth, P. B., Cook, F. J., & Thorburn, P. J. (2003). Analysis of soil wetting and solute transport in subsurface trickle irrigation. *Irrigation Science*, *22*(3-4), 143-156. doi:10.1007/s00271-003-0080-8
- Crevoisier, D., Popova, Z., Mailhol, J. C., & Ruelle, P. (2008). Assessment and simulation of water and nitrogen transfer under furrow irrigation. *Agricultural water management*, *95*(4), 354-366. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2007.10.021
- Dayananda, P. W. A., Winteringham, F. P. W., Rose, C. W., & Parlange, J. Y. (1980). Leaching of a sorbed solute: A model for peak concentration displacement. *Irrigation Science*, *1*(3), 169-175. doi:10.1007/BF00270881
- Di Paolo, E., & Rinaldi, M. (2008). Yield response of corn to irrigation and nitrogen fertilization in a Mediterranean environment. *Field Crops Research*, *105*(3), 202-210.
- DOA. (2017). *Department of Agriculture, Vegetables and Cash Crops Statistic*. Retrieved from
- Ducci, D., Della Morte, R., Mottola, A., Onorati, G., & Pugliano, G. (2019). Nitrate trends in groundwater of the Campania region (southern Italy). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *26*(3), 2120-2131.

- Ebrahimian, H., Liaghat, A., Parsinejad, M., Playán, E., Abbasi, F., & Navabian, M. (2013). Simulation of 1D surface and 2D subsurface water flow and nitrate transport in alternate and conventional furrow fertigation. *Irrigation Science*, 31(3), 301-316. doi:10.1007/s00271-011-0303-3
- El-Nesr, M. N., Alazba, A. A., & Šimůnek, J. (2014). HYDRUS simulations of the effects of dual-drip subsurface irrigation and a physical barrier on water movement and solute transport in soils. *Irrigation Science*, 32(2), 111-125. doi:10.1007/s00271-013-0417-x
- El-Sadek, A., Feyen, J., & Ragab, R. (2002). Simulation of nitrogen balance of maize field under different drainage strategies using the DRAINMOD-N model 1. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 51(1), 61-75. doi:10.1002/ird.33
- Feddes, R. A. (1978). Simulation of field water use and crop yield.
- Fuhrmann, I., He, Y., Lehdorff, E., Brüggemann, N., Amelung, W., Wassmann, R., & Siemens, J. (2018). Nitrogen fertilizer fate after introducing maize and upland-rice into continuous paddy rice cropping systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 258(December 2017), 162-171. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2018.02.021
- Fuller, K. D., & Moolman, J. H. (1992). The effect of timing on the redistribution of water-applied nitrogen in a sandy soil. *20*, 255-266.
- Gabiri, G., Burghof, S., Diekkrüger, B., Leemhuis, C., Steinbach, S., & Näschen, K. (2018). Modeling spatial soilwater dynamics in a tropical floodplain, East Africa. *Water (Switzerland)*, 10(2), 1-27.
- Gao, B., Walter, M. T., Steenhuis, T. S., Parlange, J. Y., Richards, B. K., Hogarth, W. L., & Rose, C. W. (2005). Investigating raindrop effects on transport of sediment and non-sorbed chemicals from soil to surface runoff. *Journal of Hydrology*, 308(1-4), 313-320. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.11.007
- Ghiberto, P. J., Libardi, P. L., Brito, A. S., & Trivelin, P. C. O. (2009). Leaching of nutrients from a sugarcane crop growing on an Ultisol in Brazil. *Agricultural water management*, 96(10), 1443-1448. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2009.04.020
- González, M. G., Ramos, T. B., Carlesso, R., Paredes, P., Petry, M. T., Martins, J. D., . . . Pereira, L. S. (2015). Modelling soil water dynamics of full and deficit drip irrigated maize cultivated under a rain shelter. *Biosystems Engineering*, 132, 1-18.
- Greaves, G., & Wang, Y.-M. (2016). Assessment of FAO AquaCrop Model for Simulating Maize Growth and Productivity under Deficit Irrigation in a Tropical Environment. *Water*, 8(12), 557. doi:10.3390/w8120557
- Guo, T., Wang, Q., Li, D., & Wu, L. (2010). Sediment and solute transport on soil slope under simultaneous influence of rainfall impact and scouring flow. *Hydrological Processes*, 24(11), 1446-1454. doi:10.1002/hyp.7605

- Hanson, B., Hopmans, J. W., & Šimůnek, J. (2008). Leaching with subsurface drip irrigation under saline, shallow groundwater conditions. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 7(2), 810-818.
- Hanson, B. R., Šimůnek, J., & Hopmans, J. W. (2006). Evaluation of urea-ammonium-nitrate fertigation with drip irrigation using numerical modeling. *Agricultural water management*, 86(1-2), 102-113. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2006.06.013
- Harman, C. J., Rao, P. S. C., Basu, N. B., McGrath, G. S., Kumar, P., & Sivapalan, M. (2011). Climate, soil, and vegetation controls on the temporal variability of vadose zone transport. *Water Resources Research*, 47(9), 1-21. doi:10.1029/2010WR010194
- He, K., Yang, Y., Yang, Y., Chen, S., Hu, Q., Liu, X., & Gao, F. (2017a). HYDRUS simulation of sustainable brackish water irrigation in a winter wheat-summer maize rotation system in the North China Plain. *Water (Switzerland)*, 9(7).
- He, Y., Lehdorff, E., Amelung, W., Wassmann, R., Alberto, M. C., von Unold, G., & Siemens, J. (2017b). Drainage and leaching losses of nitrogen and dissolved organic carbon after introducing maize into a continuous paddy-rice crop rotation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 249(March), 91-100. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2017.08.021
- Heng, L. K., Hsiao, T., Evett, S., Howell, T., & Steduto, P. (2009). Validating the FAO AquaCrop model for irrigated and water deficient field maize. *Agronomy Journal*, 101(3), 488-498.
- Hou, L., Zhou, Y., Bao, H., & Wenninger, J. (2017). Simulation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) water use with the HYDRUS-1D model in the semi-arid Hailiutu River catchment, Northwest China. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 62(1), 93-103.
- Hsiao, T. C., Heng, L., Steduto, P., Rojas-Lara, B., Raes, D., & Fereres, E. (2009). AquaCrop—The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water: III. Parameterization and testing for maize. *Agronomy Journal*, 101(3), 448-459.
- Hu, K., Li, B., Chen, D., Zhang, Y., & Edis, R. (2008). Simulation of nitrate leaching under irrigated maize on sandy soil in desert oasis in Inner Mongolia, China. *Agricultural water management*, 95(10), 1180-1188. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2008.05.001
- Hutson, J. L., and Wagenet, R. J. (1992). LEACHM, Leaching Estimation and Chemistry Model, Version 3.0, Dept (No. 92-3). SCAS Research Report.
- Igbadun, H. E. (2012). Estimation of Crop Water Use of Rain-Fed Maize and Groundnut Using Mini-Lysimeters. *Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 13(1), 527-535.
- Jeong, C.Y., Weindorf, D. C., DeRamus, A., and Goodeaux, L.L. (2011). Surface and subsurface phosphorus losses from sugarcane fields with different management practices. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 217(1-4), 649-661.

- Jin, X. L., Feng, H. K., Zhu, X. K., Li, Z. H., Song, S. N., Song, X. Y., . . . Guo, W. S. (2014). Assessment of the AquaCrop model for use in simulation of irrigated winter wheat canopy cover, biomass, and grain yield in the North China Plain. *PLoS One*, 9(1), e86938. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086938
- Kandelous, M. M., & Šimůnek, J. (2010). Comparison of numerical, analytical, and empirical models to estimate wetting patterns for surface and subsurface drip irrigation. *Irrigation Science*, 28(5), 435-444. doi:10.1007/s00271-009-0205-9
- Kanzari, S., Hachicha, M., Bouhlila, R., & Battle-Sales, J. (2012). Characterization and modeling of water movement and salts transfer in a semi-arid region of Tunisia (Bou Hajla, Kairouan) - Salinization risk of soils and aquifers. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 86, 34-42. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2011.09.010
- Karabulut, A., Egoh, B. N., Lanzanova, D., Grizzetti, B., Bidoglio, G., Pagliero, L., . . . Maes, J. (2016). Mapping water provisioning services to support the ecosystem–water–food–energy nexus in the Danube river basin. *Ecosystem services*, 17, 278-292.
- Karandish, F., Darzi-Naftchali, A., & Asgari, A. (2017). Application of machine-learning models for diagnosing health hazard of nitrate toxicity in shallow aquifers. *Paddy and water environment*, 15(1), 201-215.
- Karandish, F., & Šimůnek, J. (2017). Two-dimensional modeling of nitrogen and water dynamics for various N-managed water-saving irrigation strategies using HYDRUS. *Agricultural water management*, 193(August), 174-190.
- Katsoulos, P., Karatzia, M., Polizopoulou, Z., Florou-Paneri, P., & Karatzias, H. (2015). Effects of prolonged consumption of water with elevated nitrate levels on certain metabolic parameters of dairy cattle and use of clinoptilolite for their amelioration. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(12), 9119-9126.
- Kaufmann, V., Pinheiro, A., & Castro, N. M. D. R. (2014). Simulating transport of nitrogen and phosphorus in a Cambisol after natural and simulated intense rainfall. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 160, 53-64. doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2014.02.005
- Kim, M., & Gilley, J. E. (2008). Artificial Neural Network estimation of soil erosion and nutrient concentrations in runoff from land application areas. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 64(2), 268-275. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2008.05.021
- Kroes, J. G., Wesseling, J. G., and Van Dam, J. C. (2000). Integrated modelling of the soil–water–atmosphere–plant system using the model SWAP 2.0: an overview of theory and an application. *Hydrological processes*, 14(11-12), 1993-2002.



- Lappala, E. G., Healy, R. W., and Weeks, E. P. (1987). Documentation of computerprogram VS2D to solve the equations of fluid flow in variably saturated porous media (pp. 83-4099). Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.
- Lee, K. H., and Jose, S. (2005). Nitrate leaching in cottonwood and loblolly pinebiomass plantations along a nitrogen fertilization gradient. *Agriculture,ecosystems & environment*, 105(4), 615-623.
- Lewan, E., Kreuger, J., & Jarvis, N. (2009). Implications of precipitation patterns and antecedent soil water content for leaching of pesticides from arable land. *Agricultural water management*, 96(11), 1633-1640. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2009.06.006
- Li, Y., Šimůnek, J., Jing, L., Zhang, Z., & Ni, L. (2014). Evaluation of water movement and water losses in a direct-seeded-rice field experiment using Hydrus-1D. *Agricultural water management*, 142, 38-46.
- Li, Y., Šimůnek, J., Zhang, Z., Jing, L., & Ni, L. (2015). Evaluation of nitrogen balance in a direct-seeded-rice field experiment using Hydrus-1D. *Agricultural water management*, 148, 213-222. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2014.10.010
- Liang, W. L., & Uchida, T. (2014). Effects of topography and soil depth on saturated-zone dynamics in steep hillslopes explored using the three-dimensional Richards' equation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 510, 124-136. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.029
- Liang, X. Q., Xu, L., Li, H., He, M. M., Qian, Y. C., Liu, J., . . . Chen, Y. (2011). Influence of N fertilization rates, rainfall, and temperature on nitrate leaching from a rainfed winter wheat field in Taihu watershed. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 36(9-11), 395-400. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2010.03.017
- López-Urrea, R., Montoro, A., & Trout, T. (2014). Consumptive water use and crop coefficients of irrigated sunflower. *Irrigation Science*, 32(2), 99-109.
- Lotse, E., Jabro, J., Simmons, K., & Baker, D. (1992). Simulation of nitrogen dynamics and leaching from arable soils. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 10(3), 183-196.
- Ma, Y., Feng, S., Su, D., Gao, G., & Huo, Z. (2010). Modeling water infiltration in a large layered soil column with a modified Green-Ampt model and HYDRUS-1D. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 71(SUPPL. 1), 40-47. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2009.07.006
- Mailhol, J. C., Crevoisier, D., & Triki, K. (2007). Impact of water application conditions on nitrogen leaching under furrow irrigation: Experimental and modelling approaches. *Agricultural water management*, 87(3), 275-284. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2006.07.015

- Mailhol, J. C., Ruelle, P., & Nemeth, I. (2001). Impact of fertilisation practices on nitrogen leaching under irrigation. *Irrigation Science*, 20(3), 139-147. doi:10.1007/s002710100038
- Maniruzzaman, M., Talukder, M., Khan, M., Biswas, J., & Nemes, A. (2015). Validation of the AquaCrop model for irrigated rice production under varied water regimes in Bangladesh. *Agricultural water management*, 159, 331-340.
- Martello, M., Dal Ferro, N., Bortolini, L., & Morari, F. (2015). Effect of incident rainfall redistribution by maize canopy on soil moisture at the crop row scale. *Water (Switzerland)*, 7(5), 2254-2271.
- McGrath, G., Hinz, C., & Sivapalan, M. (2010). Assessing the impact of regional rainfall variability on rapid pesticide leaching potential. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 113(1-4), 56-65. doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2009.12.007
- Mguidiche, A., Provenzano, G., Douh, B., Khila, S., Rallo, G., & Boujelben, A. (2015). Assessing hydrus-2D to simulate soil water content (SWC) and salt accumulation under an SDI system: Application to a potato crop in a semi-arid area of Central Tunisia. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 64(2), 263-274. doi:10.1002/ird.1884
- Mirjat, M. S., Mughal, A. Q., & Chandio, A. S. (2014). Simulating water flow and salt leaching under sequential flooding between subsurface drains. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 63(1), 112-122. doi:10.1002/ird.1782
- Mo'allim, A., Kamal, M., Muhammed, H., Mohd Soom, M., Mohamed Zawawi, M., Wayayok, A., & Che Man, H. (2018a). Assessment of Nutrient Leaching in Flooded Paddy Rice Field Experiment Using Hydrus-1D. *Water*, 10(6), 785. doi:10.3390/w10060785
- Mo'allim, A., Kamal, M., Muhammed, H., Yahaya, N., Zawawe, M., Man, H., & Wayayok, A. (2018b). An assessment of the vertical movement of water in a flooded paddy rice field experiment using hydrus-1D. *Water*, 10(6), 783.
- NAHRIM. (2014). Climate projection downscaling for Peninsular Malaysia and Sabah-Sarawak using Hadley Centre PRECIS model. *Technical consultation report for National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM), Seri Kembangan*.
- Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I—A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10(3), 282-290.
- Negm, A., Capodici, F., Ciraolo, G., Maltese, A., Provenzano, G., & Rallo, G. (2017). Assessing the Performance of Thermal Inertia and Hydrus Models to Estimate Surface Soil Water Content. *Applied Sciences*, 7(10), 975.

- Oad, F. C., Buriro, U. A., & Agha, S. K. (2004). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer application on maize fodder production. *Asian J. Plant Sci*, 3(3), 375-377.
- Oliver, D. P., Kookana, R. S., Anderson, J. S., Cox, J., Waller, N., & Smith, L. (2012). The off-site transport of pesticide loads from two land uses in relation to hydrological events in the Mt. Lofty Ranges, South Australia. *Agricultural water management*, 106, 70-77. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2011.07.012
- Pandey, R. N. (1979). Dispersion of surface-applied salts in porous media. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 92(3), 663-668.
- Paredes, P., de Melo-Abreu, J., Alves, I., & Pereira, L. (2014). Assessing the performance of the FAO AquaCrop model to estimate maize yields and water use under full and deficit irrigation with focus on model parameterization. *Agricultural water management*, 144, 81-97.
- Patel, N., & Rajput, T. B. S. (2008). Dynamics and modeling of soil water under subsurface drip irrigated onion. *Agricultural water management*, 95(12), 1335-1349. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2008.06.002
- Perkins, K. S., Nimmo, J. R., Rose, C. E., & Coupe, R. H. (2011). Field tracer investigation of unsaturated zone flow paths and mechanisms in agricultural soils of northwestern Mississippi, USA. *Journal of Hydrology*, 396(1-2), 1-11. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.09.009
- Phogat, V., Mahadevan, M., Skewes, M., & Cox, J. W. (2012). Modelling soil water and salt dynamics under pulsed and continuous surface drip irrigation of almond and implications of system design. *Irrigation Science*, 30(4), 315-333. doi:10.1007/s00271-011-0284-2
- Phogat, V., Skewes, M. A., Cox, J. W., Alam, J., Grigson, G., & Šimůnek, J. (2013). Evaluation of water movement and nitrate dynamics in a lysimeter planted with an orange tree. *Agricultural water management*, 127, 74-84.
- Poch-Massegú, R., Jiménez-Martínez, J., Wallis, K. J., Ramírez de Cartagena, F., & Candela, L. (2014). Irrigation return flow and nitrate leaching under different crops and irrigation methods in Western Mediterranean weather conditions. *Agricultural water management*, 134, 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2013.11.017
- Raats, P. A. C. (1981). Residence Times of Water and Solutes Within and Below the Root Zone. *Developments in Agricultural Engineering*, 2(C), 63-82. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-41999-6.50009-4
- Ragab, R. (2015). Integrated Management Tool for Water, Crop, Soil and N-Fertilizers: The Saltmed Model. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 64(1), 1-12. doi:10.1002/ird.1907

- Raine, S. R., Meyer, W. S., Rassam, D. W., Hutson, J. L., & Cook, F. J. (2007). Soil-water and solute movement under precision irrigation: Knowledge gaps for managing sustainable root zones. *Irrigation Science*, 26(1), 91-100. doi:10.1007/s00271-007-0075-y
- Ramos, T., Šimůnek, J., Gonçalves, M., Martins, J., Prazeres, A., Castanheira, N., & Pereira, L. (2011). Field evaluation of a multicomponent solute transport model in soils irrigated with saline waters. *Journal of Hydrology*, 407(1-4), 129-144.
- Ramos, T. B., Darouich, H., Šimůnek, J., Gonçalves, M. C., & Martins, J. C. (2019). Soil salinization in very high-density olive orchards grown in southern Portugal: Current risks and possible trends. *Agricultural water management*, 217(February), 265-281. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2019.02.047
- Ramos, T. B., Šimůnek, J., Gonçalves, M. C., Martins, J. C., Prazeres, A., & Pereira, L. S. (2012). Two-dimensional modeling of water and nitrogen fate from sweet sorghum irrigated with fresh and blended saline waters. *Agricultural water management*, 111, 87-104.
- Ravikumar, V., Vijayakumar, G., Šimůnek, J., Chellamuthu, S., Santhi, R., & Appavu, K. (2011). Evaluation of fertigation scheduling for sugarcane using a vadose zone flow and transport model. *Agricultural water management*, 98(9), 1431-1440. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2011.04.012
- Re, V., Sacchi, E., Kammoun, S., Tringali, C., Trabelsi, R., Zouari, K., & Daniele, S. (2017). Integrated socio-hydrogeological approach to tackle nitrate contamination in groundwater resources. The case of Grombalia Basin (Tunisia). *Science of the Total Environment*, 593, 664-676.
- Rekolainen, S., Gouy, V., Francaviglia, R., Eklo, O. M., & Bärlund, I. (2000). Simulation of soil water, bromide and pesticide behaviour in soil with the GLEAMS model. *Agricultural water management*, 44(1-3), 201-224. doi:10.1016/S0378-3774(99)00092-X
- Ren, D., Xu, X., Hao, Y., & Huang, G. (2016). Modeling and assessing field irrigation water use in a canal system of Hetao, upper Yellow River basin: Application to maize, sunflower and watermelon. *Journal of Hydrology*, 532, 122-139.
- Roose, T., & Fowler, A. C. (2004). A mathematical model for water and nutrient uptake by plant root systems. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 228(2), 173-184. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2003.12.013
- Saeed, I., Abassi, K. M., & Kazmi, M. (2001). Response of Maize (*Zea mays*, L.) to NP Fertilization under Agro-climatic Conditions of Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 23(4), 8-8.

- Sahle, M., Saito, O., Fürst, C., & Yeshitela, K. (2019). Quantifying and mapping of water-related ecosystem services for enhancing the security of the food-water-energy nexus in tropical data-sparse catchment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 646, 573-586.
- Sammis, T., Gutschick, V., Wang, J., & Miller, D. R. (2013). Model of water and nitrogen management in pecan trees under normal and resource-limited conditions. *Agricultural water management*, 124, 28-36. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2013.03.012
- Santos, D. V., Sousa, P. L., & Smith, R. E. (1997). Model simulation of water and nitrate movement in a level-basin under fertigation treatments. *Agricultural water management*, 32(3), 293-306. doi:10.1016/S0378-3774(96)01273-5
- Schaap, M. G., Leij, F. J., & Van Genuchten, M. T. (2001). Rosetta: A computer program for estimating soil hydraulic parameters with hierarchical pedotransfer functions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 251(3-4), 163-176.
- Selim, H., & Iskandar, I. (1981). MODELING NITROGEN TRANSPORT AND TRANSFORMATIONS IN SOILS: 1. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS1. *Soil Science*, 131(4), 233-241.
- Shaffer, M. J., Bartling, P. N. S., & McMaster, G. S. (2004). GPFARM modeling of corn yield and residual soil nitrate-N. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 43(2), 87-107. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2003.11.001
- Shamshuddin, J., Sharifuddin, H. A. H., Che Fauziah, I., Edwards, D. G., & Bell, L. C. (2010). Temporal changes in chemical properties of acid soil profiles treated with magnesium limestone and gypsum. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 33(2), 277-295.
- Shelia, V., Šimunek, J., Boote, K., & Hoogenboom, G. (2018). Coupling DSSAT and HYDRUS-1D for simulations of soil water dynamics in the soil-plant-atmosphere system. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, 66(2), 232-245.
- Shen, Z., Chen, L., Liao, Q., Liu, R., & Hong, Q. (2012). Impact of spatial rainfall variability on hydrology and nonpoint source pollution modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 472-473, 205-215. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.09.019
- Šimunek, J., Bristow, K. L., Helalia, S. A., & Siyal, A. A. (2016). The effect of different fertigation strategies and furrow surface treatments on plant water and nitrogen use. *Irrigation Science*, 34(1), 53-69. doi:10.1007/s00271-015-0487-z
- Šimunek, J., Šejna, M., & Van Genuchten, M. T. (1998). The HYDRUS-1D software package for simulating the one-dimensional movement of water, heat, and multiple solutes in variably-saturated media, Version 2.0, 202 pp. *Rep. IGWMC-TPS*, 70.

- Šimůnek, J., van Genuchten, M. T., & Šejna, M. (2008). Development and Applications of the HYDRUS and STANMOD Software Packages and Related Codes. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 7(2), 587-587.
- Sinclair, T. R., & Seligman, N. a. G. (1996). Crop modeling: from infancy to maturity. *Agronomy Journal*, 88(5), 698-704.
- Siyal, A. A., van Genuchten, M. T., & Skaggs, T. H. (2013). Solute transport in a loamy soil under subsurface porous clay pipe irrigation. *Agricultural water management*, 121, 73-80. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2013.01.005
- Steduto, P., Hsiao, T. C., Raes, D., & Fereres, E. (2009). AquaCrop—The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water: I. Concepts and underlying principles. *Agronomy Journal*, 101(3), 426-437.
- Su, X., Wang, H., & Zhang, Y. (2013). Health risk assessment of nitrate contamination in groundwater: a case study of an agricultural area in Northeast China. *Water resources management*, 27(8), 3025-3034.
- Tafteh, A., & Sepaskhah, A. R. (2012). Application of HYDRUS-1D model for simulating water and nitrate leaching from continuous and alternate furrow irrigated rapeseed and maize fields. *Agricultural water management*, 113, 19-29. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2012.06.011
- Tamini, T., & Mermoud, A. (2002). Water and nitrate dynamics under irrigated onion in a semi- arid area. *Irrigation and Drainage: The journal of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*, 51(1), 77-86.
- Tan, X., Shao, D., Gu, W., & Liu, H. (2015). Field analysis of water and nitrogen fate in lowland paddy fields under different water managements using HYDRUS-1D. *Agricultural water management*, 150, 67-80. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2014.12.005
- Tong, J. X., Yang, J. Z., Hu, B. X., & Bao, R. C. (2010). Experimental study and mathematical modelling of soluble chemical transfer from unsaturated/saturated soil to surface runoff. *Hydrological Processes*, 24(21), 3065-3073. doi:10.1002/hyp.7722
- Turkeltaub, T., Kurtzman, D., & Dahan, O. (2016). Real-time monitoring of nitrate transport in the deep vadose zone under a crop field—implications for groundwater protection. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(8), 3099-3108.
- Ursulino, S., Maria, S., Lima, G., & Coutinho, A. P. (2019). Modelling soil water dynamics from soil hydraulic parameters estimated by an alternative method in an experimental basin located in the Brazilian Northeast region. *Water (Switzerland)*, 1-19.
- USDA. (2018). United States Department of Agriculture, World Agricultural Production. *Circular Series, WAP 12-18*.

- Van Genuchten, M. T. (1980). A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil science society of America journal*, 44(5), 892-898.
- Wahab, A. G. (2018). *GAIN REPORT: Grain and Feed Annual 2018*. Retrieved from file:///D:/PhD/journals/maize/maize%20production%20refere.pdf
- Wang, H., Ju, X., Wei, Y., Li, B., Zhao, L., & Hu, K. (2010). Simulation of bromide and nitrate leaching under heavy rainfall and high-intensity irrigation rates in North China Plain. *Agricultural water management*, 97(10), 1646-1654. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2010.05.022
- Wang, S., Prasher, S. O., Patel, R. M., Yang, C. C., Kim, S. H., Madani, A., . . . Robertson, S. D. (2006). Fate and transport of nitrogen compounds in a cold region soil using DRAINMOD. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 53(2), 113-121. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2006.04.005
- Wang, W., Peng, S., Yang, T., Shao, Q., Xu, J., & Xing, W. (2011). Spatial and temporal characteristics of reference evapotranspiration trends in the Haihe River basin, China. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 16(3), 239-252.
- Wang, X., Huang, G., Yang, J., Huang, Q., Liu, H., & Yu, L. (2015). An assessment of irrigation practices: Sprinkler irrigation of winter wheat in the North China Plain. *Agricultural water management*, 159, 197-208. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.011
- Wang, Z., Li, J., & Li, Y. (2014a). Effects of drip irrigation system uniformity and nitrogen applied on deep percolation and nitrate leaching during growing seasons of spring maize in semi-humid region. *Irrigation Science*, 32(3), 221-236. doi:10.1007/s00271-013-0425-x
- Wang, Z., Li, J., & Li, Y. (2014b). Simulation of nitrate leaching under varying drip system uniformities and precipitation patterns during the growing season of maize in the North China Plain. *Agricultural water management*, 142, 19-28. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2014.04.013
- Watts, D. G., & Martin, D. L. (1981). Effects of water and nitrogen management on nitrate leaching loss from sands. *TRANSACTIONS of the ASAE*, 24(4), 911-916.
- Wei, Y., Chen, D., Hu, K., Willett, I. R., & Langford, J. (2009). Policy incentives for reducing nitrate leaching from intensive agriculture in desert oases of Alxa, Inner Mongolia, China. *Agricultural water management*, 96(7), 1114-1119.
- White, R. E. (1985). The transport of chloride and non-diffusible solutes through soil. *Irrigation Science*, 6(1), 3-10. doi:10.1007/BF00272470
- White, R. E., Wellings, S. R., & Bell, J. P. (1983). Seasonal variations in nitrate leaching in structured clay soils under mixed land use. *Agricultural water management*, 7(4), 391-410. doi:10.1016/0378-3774(83)90030-6

- Wienhold, B. J., Trooien, T. P., & Reichman, G. A. (1995). Yield and nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated corn in the northern Great Plains. *Agronomy Journal*, 87(5), 842-846.
- Wu, J., Ding, J., & Lu, J. (2016). Nitrate Transport Characteristics in the Soil and Groundwater. *Procedia Engineering*, 157, 246-254. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.363
- Y Garcia, A. G., Guerra, L. C., & Hoogenboom, G. (2009). Water use and water use efficiency of sweet corn under different weather conditions and soil moisture regimes. *Agricultural water management*, 96(10), 1369-1376.
- Yang, T., Wang, Q., Liu, Y., Zhang, P., & Wu, L. (2016a). A comparison of mathematical models for chemical transfer from soil to surface runoff with the impact of rain. *Catena*, 137, 191-202. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2015.09.014
- Yang, T., Wang, Q., Wu, L., Zhang, P., Zhao, G., & Liu, Y. (2016b). A mathematical model for the transfer of soil solutes to runoff under water scouring. *Science of the Total Environment*, 569-570, 332-341. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.094
- Yang, T., Wang, Q., Wu, L., Zhao, G., Liu, Y., & Zhang, P. (2016c). A mathematical model for soil solute transfer into surface runoff as influenced by rainfall detachment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 557-558, 590-600. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.087
- Yi, L., Shenjiao, Y., Shiqing, L., Xinping, C., & Fang, C. (2010). Growth and development of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in response to different field water management practices: Resource capture and use efficiency. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150(4), 606-613.
- Younger, P. M., Freer, J. E., & Beven, K. J. (2009). Detecting the effects of spatial variability of rainfall on hydrological modelling within an uncertainty analysis framework. *Hydrological Processes*, 23(14), 1988-2003. doi:10.1002/hyp.7341
- Zhou, J., Liu, F., & He, J. H. (2013). On Richards' equation for water transport in unsaturated soils and porous fabrics. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 54, 69-71. doi:10.1016/j.compgeo.2013.06.004
- Zhou, S.-L., Wu, Y.-C., Wang, Z.-M., Lu, L.-Q., & Wang, R.-Z. (2008). The nitrate leached below maize root zone is available for deep-rooted wheat in winter wheat–summer maize rotation in the North China Plain. *Environmental pollution*, 152(3), 723-730.
- Zhu, J., Li, X., Christie, P., & Li, J. (2005). Environmental implications of low nitrogen use efficiency in excessively fertilized hot pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* L.) cropping systems. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 111(1-4), 70-80.