



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ANALYSIS OF MULTICLASS PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN TEA USING
ACCELERATED SOLVENT EXTRACTION WITH IN-CELL CLEANUP
AND GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY***

HASLINA ABDUL KADIR

IB 2015 8



**ANALYSIS OF MULTICLASS PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN TEA USING
ACCELERATED SOLVENT EXTRACTION WITH IN-CELL CLEANUP AND
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY**

By

HASLINA ABDUL KADIR

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

August 2015

COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



This thesis is especially dedicated to

My beloved husband and children who always there for me

Mohamad Shahabudin Bin Said

Nursyaza Irdina Bt Mohamad Shahabudin

Nur Khalisha Zahra Bt Mohamad Shahabudin

To my beloved families

Abdul Kadir Bin Yusof

Zainap Bt Hussin

Mohd Yusri Bin Abdul Kadir

Haslinda Bin Abdul Kadir

Muhammad Rizzuan Bin Abdul Kadir

*Without whose support and trust this study could never have been
completed*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**ANALYSIS OF MULTICLASS PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN TEA USING
ACCELERATED SOLVENT EXTRACTION WITH IN-CELL CLEANUP AND
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY**

By

HASLINA ABDUL KADIR

August 2015

Chairman: Associate Professor Faridah Binti Abas, PhD
Institute : Bioscience

The application of pesticides in tea plantations is a common practice to control pests and plant diseases. Chemical pesticides are excessively used by the farmers in order to meet the increasing demand for food for the fast growing population. Due to a lack of a proper, continuous and efficient monitoring, farmers are facing severe quality related problems when exporting agricultural products and various problems related to human health being reported. The existing situation urgently demands for the implementation of advanced analytical procedures for accurate monitoring of pesticides in agriculture products and which would be needed for the management of the existing pesticide problem. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to develop an efficient method for analysis of pesticide residues in tea. The current trend in pesticide residue analysis is the use of multiresidue methods that not only provide a simultaneous determination of multiple pesticides but also applicable for analysis of a large number of samples. Despite advances in the development of high performance analytical instrumentation for determination, sample preparation still an important part of obtaining accurate quantitative results.

QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods are amongst the modern sample preparation methods developed to overcome the drawback of traditional approach for pesticide analysis. There are many study reported for analysis of multiresidue pesticide analysis using QuEChERS method and the original version of this method also has been modified in order to establish efficient extraction and cleanup procedure for pesticide determination in tea. On the other hand, ASE with in-cell cleanup method has been successfully applied in pesticides analysis but it has not been applied for extraction of pesticides in tea. However, both methods had shown high performance for the analysis of multiresidue pesticides in tea, but their extraction performance based on recovery value was evaluate using spiked sample. Unlike incurred sample, the pesticide in spiked samples only coats the surface of matrices and may not be bound up in the tea cell structure. The first part of this study is to compare the extraction efficiencies of the QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods by using the reference material of incurred pesticide in tea. The extracts were analysed by gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS). Following this, the selected method for analysis of

multiresidue pesticides in tea was validated and subsequently apply to determine the occurrence of pesticides in tea samples. In addition, the uncertainty of pesticides measurement using ASE with in-cell cleanup method was also quantified and estimated based on top down approach.

ASE with in-cell cleanup method gave an average recovery of 91% with a 17% RSD whereas the QuEChERS method gave an average recovery of 64% with a 18% RSD. The recovery obtained from the QuEChERS method was outside the requirement set by the validation guidelines implying that the method is not reliable for extraction of pesticides in tea. Therefore, the ASE with in-cell cleanup method was selected and further validate for sample preparation of multiresidual pesticide analysis of a real sample.

ASE with in-cell cleanup method was applied for the validation extraction of multiresidue pesticides comprising endosulfan, lindane, dieldrin, chlorpyrifos and bifenthrin. Method validation parameters such as the linearity, limits of detection and quantification, recovery, precision and accuracy of the method for all of the pesticides studied were carried out. Linear correlation coefficients for all of the tested pesticides were better than 0.995. The overall average recoveries using this method at three spiked concentration of 0.04, 2.0 and 3.5 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ranged from 90 to 98%, and the relative standard deviations (RSDs) were less than 10% for all of the analytes. The limits of detections (LODs) ranged from 0.001 to 0.007 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, and the limits of quantifications (LOQs) ranged from 0.009 to 0.021 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. The results obtained from the validation parameters suggested that the ASE with in-cell cleanup method has good purification effect and therefore, resulting in a better detection and quantification by the GC-MS/MS.

This method was subsequently applied to ten different brands of tea samples obtained from a local supermarket. The concentration of pesticide residues in all samples were detected to be lie in the range of 0.008 to 0.161 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. The uncertainty in the measurement of each pesticide was also evaluated. The measurement uncertainty (MU) was used to decide whether the results indicate compliance or non-compliance with the maximum residual limits (MRLs) value. Two out of ten samples were found non-compliance with European Union's regulation. The relative expanded uncertainty for all pesticides were ranged from 24 to 34% and were considered as satisfactory for routine analysis.

The incurred pesticide in a complex matrix such as tea makes it difficult to obtain complete extraction of pesticides. However, the combination of high temperature and pressure of ASE with in-cell cleanup method results in better extraction efficiency. The built-in features of this method allow short time sample extraction and less solvent used compared with the QuEChERS and other methods developed for pesticide analysis. The convenience, simplicity and reliability of the ASE with in-cell cleanup method is undoubtedly makes it a valuable tool for analysis of multiresidue pesticides in other agricultural products and can be applied as a routine method.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**ANALISIS PELBAGAI JENIS KELAS SISA RACUN MAKHLUK PEROSAK
DIDALAM TEH DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN TEKNIK PENGEKSTRAKAN
PELARUT DIPERCEPAT DENGAN PEMBERSIHAN DIDALAM SEL DAN
GAS KROMATOGRAFI BERGANDINGAN SPEKTROMETER JISIM**

Oleh

HASLINA ABDUL KADIR

Ogos 2015

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Faridah Binti Abas, PhD
Institut : Biosains

Penggunaan racun perosak di dalam penanaman teh adalah amalan biasa untuk mengawal perosak dan penyakit terhadap tumbuhan. Racun perosak kimia yang berlebihan digunakan oleh peladang di dalam usaha untuk memenuhi permintaan makanan yang semakin meningkat. Oleh kerana kekurangan pemantauan yang betul, berterusan dan berkesan, petani menghadapi masalah apabila mengeksport produk pertanian disebabkan oleh kualiti yang teruk dan pelbagai masalah berkaitan dengan kesihatan manusia dilaporkan. Keadaan ini menuntut pelaksanaan kaedah analisis yang terbaik untuk memantau dengan tepat kandungan sisa racun perosak dalam produk pertanian dan bagi menguruskan masalah racun perosak yang sedia ada. Oleh itu, objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan satu kaedah yang berkesan untuk menganalisa sisa racun perosak dalam teh. Tren semasa dalam analisa sisa racun perosak ialah penggunaan kaedah yang bukan sahaja menyediakan penentuan serentak bagi berbagai jenis racun perosak tetapi juga terpakai untuk analisa sampel yang banyak. Walaupun kemajuan dalam pembangunan peralatan analisis yang berprestasi tinggi bagi kaedah penentuan, kaedah penyediaan sampel masih merupakan bahagian penting untuk mendapatkan keputusan yang tepat.

Kaedah QuEChERS (cepat, mudah, murah, berkesan, lasak dan selamat) dan pengekstrak pelarut terpecut (PPT) dengan pembersihan didalam sel adalah diantara kaedah penyediaan sampel moden yang telah dibangunkan untuk mengatasi kelemahan kaedah tradisional di dalam analisa racun perosak. Terdapat kajian yang dilaporkan untuk analisis pelbagai sisa racun perosak menggunakan kaedah QuEChERS dan versi asal kaedah ini juga telah diubah suai dalam usaha untuk mewujudkan pengekstrakan yang cekap dan prosedur pembersihan bagi penentuan sisa racun perosak dalam teh. Sebaliknya, PPT dengan kaedah pembersihan dalam sel telah berjaya digunakan dalam analisis racun perosak tetapi ia belum digunakan untuk pengekstrakan bagi sisa racun perosak dalam teh. Walaupun kedua-dua kaedah telah menunjukkan prestasi yang baik untuk analisa pelbagai sisa racun perosak dalam teh, tetapi prestasi pengekstrakan mereka adalah berdasarkan nilai pemulihan melalui kaedah sampel diperkaya. Tidak seperti sampel yang tersedia ada kandungan sisa racun perosak didalamnya, bahan didalam sampel diperkaya hanya menyelaputi permukaan matrik dan tidak melekat pada struktur

sel teh. Bahagian pertama kajian ini adalah untuk membandingkan kecekapan pengekstrakan QuEChERS dan PPT dengan pembersihan didalam sel dengan menggunakan bahan rujukan sisa racun perosak di dalam teh. Larutan bahan rujukan teh yang terekstrak dengan menggunakan kedua-dua jenis kaedah pengekstrakan itu kemudiannya dianalisis dengan menggunakan gas kromatografi bergandingan spektrometer jisim (GC/MS/MS). Kemudian, kaedah yang dipilih bagi analisa pelbagai sisa racun perosak di dalam teh dibuat pengesahan dan seterusnya di aplikasikan bagi penentuan sisa racun perosak dalam sampel teh. Di samping itu, ketidakpastian pengukuran (MU) bagi sisa racun perosak menggunakan PPT dengan kaedah pembersihan dalam sel juga diukur dan dianggarkan berdasarkan kaedah bawah ke atas.

Peratus bagi perolehan semula untuk kaedah pengekstrakan pelarut terpecut (PPT) dengan pembersihan didalam sel adalah sebanyak 91% dengan sisihan piawai relatif 17% manakala kaedah QuEChERS memberikan peratus perolehan semula sebanyak 64% dengan sisihan piawai relatif 18%. Nilai perolehan semula bagi kaedah QuEChERS adalah diluar julat yang di terima berdasarkan kepada garis panduan kaedah pengesahan. Oleh itu, kaedah PPT dengan pembersihan dalam sel telah dipilih bagi penentuan pelbagai sisa racun perosak yang terdapat didalam sampel teh sebenar.

Applikasi kaedah ini terhadap analisis sampel teh sebenar bagi mengekstrak pelbagai jenis sisa racun perosak seperti endosulfan, lindane, dieldrin, chlorpyrifos dan bifenthrin di sahkan. Parameter kaedah pengesahan yang meliputi kelinearan, had pengesanan dan kuantitatif, perolehan semula, kejutuan dan ketepatan bagi semua sisa racun perosak yang dikaji telah dijalankan. Korelasi koefisien bagi semua racun perosak adalah lebih daripada 0.995. Purata keseluruhan perolehan kembali pada tiga kepekatan (0.04, 2.0 dan 3.5 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) adalah daripada 90 ke 98% dengan sisihan piawai relatif kurang daripada 15% bagi semua racun perosak yang dikaji. Had pengesanan yang diperolehi adalah antara 0.001 hingga 0.007 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ manakala had kuantitatif adalah diantara 0.003 hingga 0.021 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. Hasil daripada parameter pengesahan ini menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang dipilih ini adalah sesuai digunakan bagi mengekstrak pelbagai sisa racun perosak.

Kaedah ini seterusnya diaplikasikan terhadap sepuluh sampel teh yang dijual dipasaran Malaysia. Kepekatan bagi sisa racun perosak yang dikesan didalam sampel teh adalah diantara 0.008 hingga 0.161 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. Ketidakpastian pengukuran untuk analisa setiap racun perosak juga dinilai. Ketidakpastian pengukuran adalah digunakan untuk membuat keputusan sama ada nilai pengukuran yang diperolehi itu mematuhi atau tidak dengan nilai had sisa maksimum (MRLs) yang dibenarkan. Dua daripada sepuluh sampel didapati tidak mematuhi peraturan yang ditetapkan oleh Kesatuan Eropah. Dengan mengambil kira MU, didapati bahawa kepekatan dieldrin dalam Sampel S6 dan S8 adalah melebihi nilai MRLs. Dua daripada sepuluh sampel didapati tidak mematuhi peraturan yang telah ditetapkan oleh Kesatuan Eropah. Ketidakpastian relatif berkembang untuk semua racun perosak adalah di antara 24-34 % dan ia dianggap sebagai memuaskan untuk analisa rutin.

Pengekstrakan lengkap bagi sisa racun perosak terkandung di dalam matriks kompleks seperti teh adalah sukar untuk dieprolehi. Walau bagaimanapun, dengan gabungan suhu dan tekanan tinggi PPT dengan pembersihan dalam sel menghasilkan pembersihan dalam kecekapan pengeluaran yang lebih baik. Ciri-ciri yang terbina di dalam kaedah ini membolehkan pengekstrakan sampel mengambil masa yang singkat dan kurang menggunakan pelarut berbanding dengan kaedah QuEChERS dan kaedah-kaedah lain

yang dibangunkan untuk analisa sisa racun perosak. Kemudahan, dan kebolehppercayaan keadah PPT dengan pembersihan di dalam sel sudah pasti menjadikannya sebagai kaedah yang berguna untuk analisa pelbagai sisa racun perosak dalam produk pertanian yang lain dan boleh digunakan sebagai kaedah rutin



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With all gratitude to Allah s.w.t who gave me the ideas and physical strength in preparing this dissertation. Completion of a dissertation of this nature requires more than just the efforts of the author. I wish to express deep thank to various people who, during the several years in which this attempt lasted, provided me with the useful, helpful and meaningful assistance. Without their consideration and care, this book would less likely to be developed.

First of all I would like to express my appreciation and acknowledgement to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Faridah Binti Abas, who has given me guidance and unfailing support. Also, special thanks for her useful comments and suggestion and her willingness to provide advices and motivation that made my project to be more efficient and success.

Special thanks also to my fellow colleague Ahmed Mediani from Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia for his invaluable assistance in preparation of this dissertation.

My sincere gratitude to SIRIM and MOSTI for providing the scholarship. I would like to thank Dr. Osman Haji Zakaria, Senior General Manager of National Metrology Laboratory and all my colleagues for their support and assistance in various occasion. Their views and opinions are indeed useful.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to my friends for their support and encouragement. A very special gratitude goes to my parents for their countless blessing and everlasting love.

May Allah bless all who have kindly helped me.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Faridah Binti Abas, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Intan Safinar Binti Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been dully referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular wirings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Haslina Bt. Abdul Kadir GS20364

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory

Committee: Faridah Binti Abas, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory

Committee: Intan Safinar Binti Ismail, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Scope of the study	3
1.4 Research objectives	3
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 4
2.1 Tea	4
2.1.1 Types of tea	4
2.1.2 Health benefits of tea	5
2.2 Pesticides and food control	5
2.2.1 Pesticide toxicity to health	5
2.2.2 Pesticide use in tea plantations	6
2.3 Pesticide residues in tea	6
2.3.1 Transfer of pesticide residues in brewed tea	7
2.3.2 Regulations for pesticide residues in tea	7
2.4 Organochlorine pesticides (OCs)	9
2.4.1 Toxicological effects	9
2.5 Organophosphate pesticides (OPs)	10
2.5.1 Toxicological effects	10
2.6 Pyrethroid pesticides	10
2.6.1 Toxicological effects	11
2.7 Analysis of pesticide residues in tea: An overview	11
2.7.1 Detection and quantification of pesticide residues in tea	14
2.7.2 QuEChERS method	14
2.7.3 Accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) with in-cell cleanup	15
2.8 Estimation of measurement uncertainty	17
 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Chemicals and reagents	19
3.2 Preparation of the standard solutions	19
3.3 Matrix matched calibration standards	20
3.4 Gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) analysis	20
3.5 Quantification	21

3.6	Comparison of extraction efficiency between QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods	22
3.6.1	Preparation of samples for analysis	22
3.6.2	Extraction by QuEChERS method	22
3.6.3	Extraction by ASE with in-cell cleanup method	25
3.7	Statistical analysis	26
3.8	Validation of ASE with in-cell cleanup method for analysis of multiresidue pesticides in tea	27
3.8.1	Preparation samples for analysis	27
3.8.2	Selectivity	27
3.8.3	Linearity	27
3.8.4	Recovery and precision	27
3.8.5	Limits of detection and quantification	27
3.9	Application of the ASE with in-cell cleanup method for analysis of multiresidue pesticides in tea samples	28
3.9.1	Preparation of samples for analysis	28
3.9.2	Estimation of measurement uncertainty	28
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Comparison of extraction efficiency between QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods	29
4.1.1	Recovery	29
4.1.2	Precision	30
4.1.3	Chromatogram of extracts	31
4.2	Validation of ASE with in-cell cleanup method for analysis of multiresidue pesticides in tea	32
4.2.1	Selectivity	32
4.2.2	Linearity	34
4.2.3	Recovery and precision	34
4.2.4	Limits of detection and quantification	36
4.2.5	Identification of uncertainty sources	36
4.2.6	Uncertainty of method precision	38
4.2.7	Uncertainty of recovery	38
4.2.8	Uncertainty of calibration curve	39
4.2.9	Uncertainty of sample mass	41
4.2.10	Uncertainty of calibration standard	41
4.2.11	Combined standard uncertainties	42
4.2.12	Expanded uncertainty	43
4.2.13	Application to real samples	43
4.2.14	Factors influencing the uncertainty	45
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	46
5.1	Summary	46
5.2	Conclusion	47
5.3	Recommendations	47
	REFERENCES	48
	APPENDICES	56
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	63
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	64

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 MRLs of pesticides in tea (mg kg ⁻¹) fixed by international regulatory bodies and countries	8
2.2 Summary of methods for analysis of pesticides in tea	13
3.1 Purity of pesticides	19
3.2 Quantification parameters for pesticides in tea analysed by GC-MS/MS	21
4.1 The measured value of beta-endosulfan in CCQM-K95 sample using QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods	29
4.2 Pesticides calibration curve results using linear least squares fit	34
4.3 Results of the intra-and inter-day recoveries (Rec., %) and precision (RSD, %) measurements	35
4.4 LODs, LOQs and MRLs of the pesticides	36
4.5 Accuracy and precision of the LOQ	36
4.6 Uncertainty of method precision for the ASE with in-cell cleanup method	38
4.7 Uncertainty of recovery for each pesticides	39
4.8 Uncertainty of the predicted values of pesticides from the calibration curve	40
4.9 Uncertainty of tea samples mass	41
4.10 Uncertainty of calibration standard solution	42
4.11 Relatives standard uncertainty and combined standard uncertainty (u_c) of the investigated pesticides for linear range of 0.04 to 3.5 µg g ⁻¹	67
4.12 The pesticide level (µg g ⁻¹) found in the sample and its uncertainty	69

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Pesticide consumption in Malaysia (2006-2010)	5
2.2 Chemical structure of the organochlorine pesticides	9
2.3 Chemical structure of the organophosphate pesticides	10
2.4 Chemical structure of the pyrethroid pesticide	11
2.5 Schematic diagram of ASE system	16
2.6 Packing of the extraction cell in the ASE with in-cell cleanup method	17
3.1 Flow chart of extraction of pesticides in tea using QuEChERS method	24
3.2 Packing of the stainless steel cell for the ASE with in-cell cleanup method	25
3.3 Flow chart of ASE with in-cell cleanup method for pesticides in tea	26
4.1 Total ion chromatogram (TIC) for beta-endosulfan of CCQM-K95 sample obtained from (a) QuEChERS (b) ASE with in-cell cleanup method	32
4.2 Full scan total ion chromatogram (TIC) obtained from (a) spiked sample at concentration of $1.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ (b) blank sample	33
4.3 Cause and effect diagram for the determination of pesticides in tea using ASE with in-cell cleanup method	37

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASE	Accelerated solvent extractor
APMP	Asia Pacific Metrology Programme
CODEX	Codex Alimentarius Commissions
CRM	Certified reference material
D-SPE	Dispersive solid phase extraction
ECD	Electron capture detector
EI	Electron impact
EPA	Environment Protection Agency
EPU	Economic Planner Unit
EU	European Union
ISO	International Standard Organisation
IUPAC	International union and pure applied chemistry
ISTD	Internal standard
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organizations
GCB	Graphitized carbon black
GC-MS	Gas chromatography mass spectrometry
HKGL	Hong Kong Government Laboratory
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
LOD	Limits of detection
LOQ	Limits of quantification
MAE	Microwave assisted extraction
MRM	Multi-residue method

MRL	Maximum Residual Limits
MSPD	Matrix solid phase dispersion
MU	Measurement uncertainty
OCF	Organochlorine pesticide
OPP	Organophosphate pesticide
PSA	Primary secondary amine
QuEChERS	Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe
RSD	Relative standard deviation
SPE	Solid phase extraction
SPME	Solid phase micro-extraction
UPLC	Ultra-performance liquid chromatography
VIM	Vocabulary of Metrology
WHO	World Health Organisation

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Concern over food safety issues regarding pesticide residues in tea has increased among producers and consumers. As tea producers have strived to increase the yields of their crops, cultivation practices have become more extensive. The tea industry uses integrated pest management practices in which the use of pesticides is an essential part of protecting the plants and producing a large quantity of high quality tea (Gurusubramanian, 2008). Pesticides are applied during plant cultivation as well as during storage for post-harvest protection. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), in its documents, has stated that the use of pesticides resulted in pollution to the environment and threatened the ecological environment as well as human health.

There has been an increase in studies and investigation related to the occurrence of pesticide residues in tea. There are many methods developed for determination of pesticides in tea. One of the most important aspects is technique on how the pesticide residues can be thoroughly extracted from the complex matrices and how the co-extracted interfering compounds can be cleaned up. The current trend in pesticide residue analysis is the use of a multiresidue method that not only provides the simultaneous determination of multiple pesticides but is also applicable to a large number of samples.

Traditional sample preparation methods for pesticide analysis such as liquid-liquid extraction, Soxhlet extraction and the Luke method are laborious, time consuming, expensive, require large amounts of organic solvents and usually involve many steps leading to the loss of some quantity of analyte (Beyer and Biziuk, 2008). As a result, modern sample preparation procedures such as accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) (Feng et al., 2013), supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) (Cai et al., 2003), microwave assisted extraction (MAE) (Ning et al., 2006), solid phase extraction (SPE) (Lambropoulou and Albanis, 2007), solid phase micro-extraction (SPME) (Schurek et al., 2008), matrix solid phase dispersion (MSPD) extraction (Hu et al., 2005) and QuEChERS (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe) (Anastassiades et al., 2003) have been developed to overcome the drawbacks of traditional approaches for the extraction of pesticides in tea.

QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods was a streamlined sample preparation method whereby the sample extraction and cleanup was performed in a single step. QuEChERS is a manual technique whereas ASE with in-cell cleanup is an automated technique. These methods have been successfully used for the determination of multi-residue pesticides in different food matrices (Lambropoulou and Albanis, 2007). Both methods offer the advantages of high recovery, accuracy and high sample throughput, as well as a low solvent and glassware usage. In addition, ASE has the potential to be easily automated for sequential extraction. In ASE, the extraction and cleanup processes can be integrated into a single step via the incorporation of an in-cell cleanup (Labarta et al., 2012).

In this study, the extraction efficiencies of QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods for analysis of pesticides in tea were compared in order to select the method that provides the highest possible recovery and good precision.

1.2 Problem statement

QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup were developed as an alternative to the traditional methods that employed large volumes of organic solvents and were lengthy as well as laborious (Lambropoulou and Albanis, 2007). In the last few years, QuEChERS method has been widely used for analysis of pesticides in tea (Steiniger et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Guan et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014). This method also has been modified from its original method for better selectivity of pesticides in tea.

Applications of ASE resulting in better extraction efficiencies and short analysis times for the simultaneous extraction of multiple pesticides in tea have been reported in the literature (Hu et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2013). Recent advances in these automated system with an in-cell cleanup have demonstrated the selective removal of interferences for matrices such as fish and fish oil, soil and mushroom (Haglund et al., 2007; Jia and Deng, 2008; Labarta et al., 2012). To the best of our knowledge, no procedures have been reported on the use ASE with in-cell cleanup for simultaneous extraction of multiresidue pesticides in tea.

It was found that the claims of high recovery and reasonably good precision for most of the methods used to analyze pesticide residues in tea are usually based on the result of spiked sample. These spiked samples was prepared by adding known amounts of analyte into a matrix sample and the recovered spiked analyte from the sample matrix is calculated (Magnusson and Örnemark, 2014). Although this method was accepted by IUPAC for the recovery study, the actual recovered value of the analyte might be underestimated or overestimated when the recovery study was performed using the spiked samples. This inaccuracy is observed because unlike the incurred sample, the pesticide in spiked samples only coats the surface of matrices and may not be bound up in the sample the same way that the incurred analyte is incorporated (Betterncourt et al., 2003). When the pesticides sprayed to the growing plant, they penetrate to the inside of a cell wall and travelling along its absorption path. Thus, the pesticide was incorporated and tightly bond into the tea cell structure (Guan et al., 2013). Studies on the analysis of pesticide residues in incurred tea samples are seldom reported. There is no published data regarding the extraction efficiency of QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup methods using the incurred pesticides in tea sample. This is due to a limited number of reference material available especially pesticides in tea (Sin et al., 2011).

In order to utilize a result to decide whether it indicates compliance or non-compliance with a specification, it is necessary to take into account the measurement uncertainty. Measurement uncertainties provide good evidence that the measurement value is well above or well below the limit. Previous studies on the analysis of multiresidue pesticides in tea often lacked information on the uncertainty of results. Therefore, the decision on compliance with regulatory for a measurement result is difficult especially when pesticides concentration are detected close to the MRLs value (Rodriguez et al., 2002).

1.3 Scope of the study

The results from the extraction of pesticides in tea using different extraction methods (QuEChERS and ASE with in-cell cleanup) were investigated on a reference material (RM) of tea containing pesticides. This RM contained incurred beta-endosulfan pesticides and comes with not only the assigned pesticide value but also an uncertainty value. The results were compared to determine the method with the highest extraction efficiency. The selected method was later applied for the multi-residual determination of pesticides in real tea samples. Due to the absence of reference material of multiple pesticides in tea, a spiked sample was used for the recovery study in method validation.

The uncertainty for each pesticide associated with the selected method was estimated to establish the comparability of the results. The estimation of the uncertainty takes into account either random or systematic errors and gives information about the range in which result can be expected. In this study, an adequate identification and estimation of each source of uncertainty was established to provide information on the accuracy and reliability of the measurement results.

1.4 Research objectives

The objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To compare the extraction efficiencies of QuEChERS and accelerated solvent extractor (ASE) with in-cell cleanup methods by using the reference material of pesticides in tea.
2. To validate the best method for the analysis of pesticide residues in tea.
3. To quantify the occurrence of pesticides in tea samples.
4. To quantify and estimate the uncertainty of the measurement for pesticides in tea using the selected method.

REFERENCES

- Amirahmadi, M., Shoeibi, S., Abdollahi, M., Rastegar, H., Khosrokhavar, R. and Hamedani, M.P. (2013). Monitoring of some pesticide residue in consumed tea in Tehran Market. *Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Sciences and Engineering*. 10:9.
- Anastassiades, M., Lehotay, S.J., Stajnbaher, D. and Schenck, F.J. (2003). Fast and easy multiresidue method employing acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and "dispersive solid-phase extraction" for the determination of pesticide residues in produce. *Journal of AOAC International*. 86(2): 412-431.
- Barooah, A. K. (2011). Present status of use of agrochemicals in tea industry of eastern India and future directions. *Science and Culture*. 77(9-10): 385-390.
- Barthakur, B.K. (2011). Recent approach of Tocklai to plant protection in tea in North East. *India Science and Culture*. 77: 381-384.
- Betterncourt da Silva, R.J.N., Santos, J.R. and Camões, M.F.G.F.C. (2003). Evaluation of the analytical method performance for incurred samples. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 485(2):241-252.
- Beyer, A. and Biziuk, M. (2008). Applications of sample preparation techniques in the analysis of pesticides and PCBs in food. *Food Chemistry*. 108(2): 669-680.
- Bishnu, A., Chakrabarti, K., Chakraborty, A. and Saha, T. (2009). Pesticide residue level in tea ecosystems of Hill and Dooars regions of West Bengal, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 149(1-4): 457-464.
- Björklund, E., Spöring, S., Wiberg, K., Haglund, P. and Holst, C.V. (2006). New strategies for extraction and clean-up of persistent organic pollutants from food and feed samples using selective pressurized liquid extraction. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. 25(4): 318-325.
- Blasco, C., Font, G. and Pico, Y. (2002). Comparison of microextraction procedures to determine pesticides in orange by liquid chromatography- mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography*. 970:201-212.
- Cai, L., Xing, J. and Wu, C. (2003). Application of polyphenylmethylsiloxane coated fiber for solid-phase microextraction combined with microwave-assisted extraction for the determination of organochlorine pesticides in Chinese teas. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1015(1-2):11-21.
- Cajka, T., Sandy, C., Bachanova, V., Drabova, L., Kalachova, K., Pulkranova, J. and Hajslova, J. (2012). Streamlining sample preparation and gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of multiple pesticide residues in tea. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 743: 51-60.
- Chen, Z. and Wan, H. (1988). Factors affecting residues of pesticides in tea. *Pesticide Science*. 23(2): 109-118.

- Chen, Z.M. and Wang, Y.H. (1996). Chromatographic methods for the determination of pyrethrin and pyrethroid pesticide residues in crops, foods and environmental samples. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 754 (1-2): 367-395.
- Chen, G., Cao, P. and Liu, R. (2011). A multi-residue method for fast determination of pesticides in tea by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry combined with modified Quechers sample preparation procedure. *Food Chemistry*. 125(4): 1406-1411.
- Chen, H.P., Yin, P., Wang, Q., Xiang, Y. and Liu, X. (2014). A modified Quechers sample preparation method for the analysis of 70 pesticide residues in tea using gas chromatography mass spectrometry. *Food Analytical Methods*. 7(8): 1577-1587.
- Cooper, R., Morré, D.J. and Morré, D.M. (2005). Medicinal Benefits of Green Tea: Part I. Review of Noncancer Health Benefits. *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*. 11(3): 521-528.
- Ellison, S.L.R. and Williams, A. (Eds). Eurachem/CITAC guide: Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement, Third edition, (2012) ISBN 978-0-948926-30-3. www.eurachem.org.
- EU (European Union). (2004). Maximum Residues Level (MRLs) of pesticides, established in Directives 76/895/EEC, 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC.
- Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO). (2013). World food and agriculture. Statistical Year Book. www.fao.org/economic/ess-publication/ess-yearbook/.
- Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO). (2014). FAO Intergovernmental Group on Tea. <http://www.fao.org/economic/est/est-events-new/tea21/en/>.
- Feng, J., Tang, H., Chen, D., Dong, H. and Li, L. (2013). Accurate determination of pesticide residues incurred in tea by gas chromatography-high resolution isotope dilution mass spectrometry. *Analytical Methods*. 5: 4196-4204.
- Guan, Y., Tang, H., Chen, D., Xu, T. and Li, L. (2013). Modified QuEChERS method for the analysis of 11 pesticide residues in tea by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytical Methods*. 5: 3056-3067.
- Gupta, M., Sharma, A. and Shanker, A. (2008). Dissipation of imidacloprid in orthodox tea and its transfer from made tea to infusion. *Food Chemistry*. 106: 158-164.
- Gurusubramanian, G., Rahman, A., Sarmah, M., Ray, S. and Bora, S. (2008). Pesticide usage pattern in tea ecosystem, their retrospects and alternative measures. *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 29(6): 813-826.
- Greenpeace India. (2014). *Trouble brewing- Pesticide residues in tea samples from India*. www.greenpeace.org/india.

Greenpeace East Asia. (2012). Pesticides: Hidden ingredients in Chinese tea.
www.greenpeace.org/eastasia.

Haglund, P., Sparring, S., Wiberg, K. and Björklund, E. (2007). Shape-selective extraction of PCBs and dioxins from fish and fish oil using in-cell carbon fractionation pressurized liquid extraction. *Analytical Chemistry*. 79(7): 2945-2951.

Hajslová, J. and Zrostlíková, J. (2003). Matrix effects in (ultra) trace analysis of pesticide residues in food and biotic matrices. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1000 (1-2): 181-197.

Hill, A.R.C. and Reynolds, S.L. (1999). Guidelines for in-house validation of analytical methods for pesticide residues in food and animal feeds. *The Analyst*. 124: 953-958.

Hibbert, D.B. (2007). Quality Assurance in the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory. Oxford University Press.

Hinsch, B., (2008). The ultimate guide to Chinese tea. White Lotus Press.

Hu, Y.Y., Zheng, P., He, Y.Z., Sheng, G.P. (2005). Response surface optimization for determination of pesticide multiresidues by matrix solid-phase dispersion and gas chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1098(1-2):188-193.

Hu, B., Song, W., Xie, L. and Shao, T. (2008). Determination of 33 pesticides in tea using accelerated solvent extraction/gel permeation chromatography and solid phase extraction/ gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Chinese Journal of Chromatography*. 26(1): 22-28.

Huang, Z., Li, Y., Chen, B. and Yao, S. (2007). Simultaneous determination of 102 pesticide residues in Chinese teas by gas chromatography mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B*. 853(1-2):154-162.

Hussen, A., Westbom, R., Megersa, N., Mathiasson, L. and Björklund, E. (2007). Selective pressurized liquid extraction for multi-residue analysis of organochlorine pesticides in soil. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1152 (1-2): 247-253.

ISO/IEC 17025. (2005). General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.

Jaggi, S., Sood, C., Kumar, V., Ravindranath, S.D. and Shanker, A. (2001). Leaching of Pesticides in Tea Brew. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 49(11): 5479-5483.

Jia, L. and Deng, Y. (2008). Determination of organochlorine pesticides in soils using gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Chinese Journal of Chromatography*. 26(6): 697-703.

- Kanrar, B., Mandal, S. and Bhattacharyya, A. (2010). Validation and uncertainty of a multiresidue method for 42 pesticides in made tea, tea infusion and spent leaves using ethyl acetate extraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1217 (12): 1926-1933.
- Kanwar, J., Taskeen, M., Mohamad, I., Huo, C., Chan, T.H. and Duo, Q.P. (2012). Recent advances on tea polyphenols. *Frontiers in Bioscience E4*. 111-131.
- Khan, N. and Mukhtar, H. (2007). Tea polyphenols for health promotion. *Life Sciences*. 81(7): 519-533.
- Kolberg, D.I., Prestes, O.D., Adaime, M.B. and Zanella, A.R. (2011). Development of a fast multiresidue method for the determination of pesticides in dry samples (wheat grains, flour and bran) using QuEChERS based method and GC-MS. *Food Chemistry*. 125: 1436-1442.
- Kottiappan, M., Dhanakodi, K., Annamalai, S. and Anandhan, S.V. (2013). Monitoring of pesticide residues in South Indian tea. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 185 (8): 6413-6417.
- Krieger, R. (2010). Hayes's Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology 3rd Edition, Academic Press.
- Kruve, A., Künnapas, A., Herodes, K. and Leito, I. (2008). Matrix effects in pesticide multi-residue analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1187(1-2):58-66.
- Kumar, V., Sood, C., Jaggi, S., Ravindranath, S.D., Bhardwaj, S.P., Shanker, A. (2005). Dissipation behaviour of propargite-an acaricide residues in soil, apple (*Malus pumila*) and tea (*Camelia Sinensis*). *Chemosphere*. 58 (6): 837-843.
- Labarta, P., Martínez-Moral, M.P. and Tena, M.T. (2012). In-cell clean-up pressurized liquid extraction method to determine pesticides in mushroom compost by gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *ISRN Analytical Chemistry*. 2012.
- Lambropoulou D.A. and Albanis, T.A. (2007). Methods of sample preparation for determination of pesticide residues in food matrices by chromatography-mass spectrometry-based techniques: a review. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 389(6): 1663-1683.
- LeBlanc, G. (2001). A review of EPA sample preparation techniques for organic compound analysis of liquid and solid samples. *LCGC*. 19(11): 1120-1130.
- Lee, S., Choi, H., Kim, E., Choi, H., Chung, H. and Chung, K.H. (2010). Estimation of the measurement uncertainty by the bottom-up approach for the determination of methamphetamine and amphetamine in urine. *Journal of Analytical Technology*. 34:222-228.

- Lehotay, S.J., de Kok, A., Hiemstra, M and van Bodegraven, P. (2005). Validation of a fast and easy method for the determination of residues from 229 pesticides in fruits and vegetables using gas and liquid chromatography and mass spectrometric detection. *Journal of AOAC International*. 88(2): 595-614.
- Lehotay, S.J., Mastovská, K. and Lightfield, A. R. (2005). Use of buffering and other means to improve results of problematic pesticides in a fast and easy method for residue analysis of fruits and vegetables. *Journal of AOAC International*. 88(2): 615-629.
- Lehotay, S. J. (2006). Quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe approach for determining pesticide residues. In *Pesticide Protocols*. Humana Press.
- Lehotay, S.J. (2007). Determination of pesticide residues in foods by acetonitrile extraction and partitioning with magnesium sulfate: Collaborative study. *Journal of AOAC International*. 90(2): 485-520.
- Lehotay, S.J. (2011). Quechers sample preparation approach for mass spectrometric analysis of pesticide residues in foods. *Mass Spectrometry in Food Safety: Methods and Protocols*. 747: 65-91.
- Li, J., Dong, F., Xu, J., Liu, X., Li, Y., Shan, W. and Zheng, Y. (2011). Enantioselective determination of triazole fungicide simeconazole in vegetables, fruits, and cereals using modified Quechers (quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe) coupled to gas chromatography/ tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 702: 127-135.
- Lin, Y.S., Tsai, Y.J., Tsay, J.S. and Lin, J.K. (2003). Factors affecting the levels of tea polyphenols and caffeine in tea leaves. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*. 51(7): 1864-1873.
- López, F.J., Pitarch, E., Egea, S., Beltran, J. and Hernández, F. (2001). Gas chromatographic determination of organochlorine and organophosphorous pesticides in human fluids using solid phase micro extraction. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 433(2): 217-226.
- Love, J.L. (2002). Chemical metrology, chemistry and the uncertainty of chemical measurements. *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*. 7(3):95-100.
- Lu, C., Liu, X., Dong, F., Xu, J., Song, W., Zhang, C., Li, Y. and Zheng, Y. (2010). Simultaneous determination of pyrethrins residues in teas by ultra-performance liquid chromatography/ tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 678(1): 56-62.
- Magnusson, B. and Örnemark, U. (eds.) Eurachem Guide: The fitness for purpose of analytical methods – A laboratory guide to method validation and related topics, (2nd ed. 2014). ISBN 978-91-87461-59-0. <http://www.eurachem.org>.
- Manikandan, N., Seenivasan, S., Ganapathy, K.M.N., Muraleedharan, N.N., Selvasundaram R. (2009). Leaching of residues of certain pesticides from black tea to brew. *Food Chemistry*. 113(2): 522-525.

- Mukhtar, H and Ahmad N. (2000). Tea polyphenols: Prevention of cancer and optimizing health. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 71(suppl): 1698S-1702S.
- Nagayama, T. (1996). Behavior of residual organophosphorus pesticides in foodstuffs during leaching or cooking. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 44(8): 2388-2393.
- Ning, Y., Binbin, Y., Maosheng, Z. and Jingbin, Z. (2006). Simultaneous Determination of Residues of Organochlorine and Pyrethroid Pesticides in Tea by Microwave Assisted Extraction Solid Phase Microextraction-Gas Chromatography. *Chinese Journal of Chromatography*. 24(6): 636-640.
- Pang, G.F., Fan, C.L., Zhang, F., Li, Y., Chang, Q.Y., Cao, Y.Z., Liu, Y.M., Li, Z.Y., Wang, Q.J., Hu, X.Y. and Liang, P. (2011). High-Throughput GC-MS and HPLC-MS-MS techniques for the multiclass multiresidue determination of 653 pesticides and chemical pollutants in tea. *Journal of AOAC International*. 94(4): 1253-1296.
- Pareja, L., Cesio, V., Heinzen, H. and Fernandez-Alba, A.R. (2011). Evaluation of various QuEChERS based method for the analysis of herbicides and other commonly used pesticides in polished rice by LC-MS/MS. *Talanta*. 83(5): 1613-1622.
- Paya, P., Anastassiades, M., Mack, D., Sigalova, I., Tasdelen, B., Oliva J. and Barba, A. (2007). Analysis of pesticide residues using the Quick Easy Cheap Effective Rugged and Safe (Quechers) pesticide multiresidue method in combination with gas and liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometric detection. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 389(6):1697-1714.
- Rajski, L., Lozano, A., Belmonte-Valles, N., Uclés, A., Uclés, S., Mezcuca, M. and Fernandez- Alba, A.R. (2012). Comparison of three multiresidue methods to analyse pesticides in green tea with liquid and gas chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Analyst*. 138(3): 921-931.
- Reigart, J.R. and Roberts, J.R. (2013). Recognition and management of pesticide poisonings. 6th edition. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C.
- Richter, B.E., Jones, B.A., Ezzell, J.L. and Porter, N.L. (1996). Accelerated solvent extraction: A technique for sample preparation. *Analytical Chemistry*. 68(6): 1033-1039.
- Rodríguez, L.C., Torres, M.E.H., López, E.A., Gonzalez, F.J.E., Lièbanas, F.J.A. and Vidal, J.L.M. (2002). Assessment of uncertainty in pesticide multiresidue analytical methods: main sources and estimation. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 454: 297-314.

- Sampaio, M.R.F., Tomasini, D., Cardoso, L.V., Caldas, S.S., Duarte, F.A. and Primel, E.G. (2013). A method for the determination of multiclass pesticides in sugarcane juice employing QuEChERS and LC-ESI-MS/MS. *Analytical Methods*. 5(8): 2028-2033.
- SANCO Document No. Sanco/12495/2011. (2011). Method validation and quality control procedures for pesticide residues analysis in food and feed.
- Santos, F.J. and Galceran, M.T. (2002). The application of gas chromatography to environmental analysis. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. 21(9-10):672-685.
- Schurek, J., Portolés, T., Hajslova, J., Riddellova, K., Hernandez, F. (2008). Application of head-space solid phase microextraction coupled to comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography–time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the determination of multiple pesticide residues in tea samples. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 611(2): 163–172.
- Shanker, A., Sood, C., Kumar, V. and Ravindranath, S.D. (2001). A modified extraction and clean-up procedure for the detection and determination of parathion-methyl and chlorpyrifos residues in tea. *Pest Management Science*. 57:458-462.
- Sin, D.W., Chan, P.K., Cheung, S.T., Wong, Y.L., Wong, S.K., Mok, C.S. and Wong, Y.C. (2012). Development of a candidate certified reference material of cypermethrin in green tea. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 721:110-114.
- Sood, C., Jaggi, S., Kumar, V., Ravindranath, S.D. and Shanker, A. (2004). How manufacturing processes affect the level of pesticide residues in tea. *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture*. 84: 2123-2127.
- Steiniger, D., Lu, G., Butler, J., Phillips, E. and Fintschenko, Y. (2010). Determination of multiresidue pesticides in green tea by using a modified Quechers extraction and ion trap gas chromatography /Mass spectrometry. *Journal of AOAC International*. 93(4):1169-1179.
- Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), 2001; <http://www.pops.int/>
- Tewary, D.K., Kumar, V., Ravindranath, S.D., Shanker, A., (2005). Dissipation behavior of binfenthrin residues in tea and its brew. *Food Control*. 16(2): 231-237.
- Thompson, M., Ellison, S.L.R. and Wood, R. (2002). Harmonized guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*. 74(5): 835-855.
- VIM (International Vocabulary of Metrology). (2007). Basic and general concepts and associated terms.
- Westland, J.L. and Dorman, F.L. (2013). QuEChERS extraction of benzodiazepines in biological matrices. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*. 3(6): 509-517.

- Wong, Y.C., Wong, S.K. and Kam, T.T. (2008). Preparation of reference material for organochlorine pesticides in a herbal matrix. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 392 (7-8): 1507-1513.
- Xavier, R., Rekha, H. and Bairy, K.L. (2004). Health perspective of pesticide exposure and dietary management. *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*. 10(1): 39-51.
- Xu, X.M., Yu, C., Han, J.L., Li, J.P., El-Sepai, F, Zhu, Y., Cai, Z.X., Wu, H.W. and Ren, Y.P. (2011). Multi-residue analysis of pesticide in tea by online SEC-GC/MS. *Journal of Separation Science*. 34(2): 210-216.
- Yang, X., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, Y.C., Dong, A.J., Zhao, H.T., Sun, C.H. and Cui, J. (2011). Multiresidue method for the determination of 88 pesticides in berry fruits using solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry: Determination of 88 pesticides in berries using SPE and GC-MS. *Food Chemistry*. 127(2): 855-865.
- Zanella, R., Prestes, O. D., do Amaral Friggi, C., Martins, M. L., and Bohrer Adaime, M. (2012). Modern sample preparation methods for pesticide multiresidue determination in foods of animal origin by chromatographic-mass spectrometric techniques. *The Impact of Pesticides*. AcademyPublish. org.
- Zaveri, N.T. (2006). Green tea and its polyphenolic catechins: Medicinal uses in cancer and noncancer applications. *Life Sciences*. 78(18): 2073-2080.
- Zhang, X., Mobley, N., Zhang, J., Zheng, X., Lu, L., Ragin, O. and Smith, C.J. (2010). Analysis of agricultural residues in tea using d-SPE sample preparation with GC-NCI-MS and UHPLC-MS/MS. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 58: 11553-11560.
- Zhang, L., Li, S., Cui, X., Pan, C., Zhang, A. and Chen, F. (2012). A review of sample preparation methods for pesticide residue analysis in foods. *Central European Journal of Chemistry*. 10:900-925.