



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***GEOSPATIAL MAPPING AND MODELLING OF MANGROVE
ECOSYSTEM HEALTH AT MATANG MANGROVE FOREST RESERVE***

RHYMA PURNAMASAYANGSUKASIH PARMAN

FPAS 2021 16



**GEOSPATIAL MAPPING AND MODELLING OF MANGROVE ECOSYSTEM
HEALTH AT MATANG MANGROVE FOREST RESERVE**

By

RHYMA PURNAMASAYANGSUKASIH PARMAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science**

December 2020

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

GEOSPATIAL MAPPING AND MODELLING OF MANGROVE ECOSYSTEM HEALTH AT MATANG MANGROVE FOREST RESERVE

By

RHYMA PURNAMASAYANGSUKASIH PARMAN

December 2020

Chair : Norizah Kamarudin, PhD
Faculty : Forestry and Environment

Among the multi-functional ecosystem provided by mangrove forest, the health like biotic and abiotic variables represent the most challenging components to be monitored due to their diverse characteristics and spatially distributed over mangrove area. Since the biotic and abiotic variables factors are vital for the mangrove ecosystem health (MEH), the information of remotely sensing data and spatial analysis were integrated to evaluate the health condition of mangrove. The objective of this study was (1) to examine mangrove vegetation cover by integrating NDVI and SAVI, and (2) to model the MEH using ordinary kriging (OK) for the entire MMFR.

Supervised classification, NDVI and SAVI were performed to determine vegetation coverage. Since SAVI requires a suitable *L*-factor to be used to distinguish the vegetation areas, four different *L*-factors viz. 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 were tested with the multiple linear regressions using the stepwise regression method of backward elimination. The relationship of NDVI and SAVI in detecting mangrove vegetation covers were examined with correlation-Pearson analysis. For Objective 2, eight variables from Faridah-Hanum et al. (2019) were used as preference factors to determine the MEH. Semivariogram model and interpolation method of OK were used to generate spatial autocorrelation of MEH. Prediction accuracy was examined through ME, RMSE and RMSSE. All variables were then overlay and combined via linear weight regression (LWC) to see the overall health status. Reclassification was conducted to standardise the health value viz. 1 (worst), 2 (poor), 3 (moderate), 4 (good) and 5 (excellent). In order to verify the health status, NDVI analysis performed in Objective 1 were used to support the accuracy of MEH.

Supervised classification was observed with good accuracy; Kuala Sepetang (71.8%; $K=0.668$), Kuala Trong (83.8%; $K=0.798$) and Sungai Kerang (73.5%; $K=0.681$). SAVI with L -factor 0.75 was found to be significant to be used for MMFR. The vegetation indices (VIs) resulting from NDVI and SAVI demonstrate the classification variations when compared to the initial supervised classification. In Objective 2, all variables had an overall prediction accuracy with 85.16% (AGB), 90.78% (crab abundance), 97.3% (soil C), 99.91% (soil N), 89.23% (number of phytoplankton species), 95.62% (number of diatom species), 99.36% (DO) and 87.33% (turbidity). The spatial prediction autocorrelation delineating an area of 307.9 ha for excellent MEH, 15935.68 ha for good MEH, 5224.34 ha for moderate MEH, 17795.63 ha for bad MEH and 715.55 ha for worst MEH. This study modelled the overall MEH through OK with selected semivariogram model and comparison to VIs consequently promoting the restoration affects, relevant management and facilities distribution, and therefore improving the MEH over the entire MMFR.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PEMETAAN GEOSPATIAL DAN PERMODELAN KESIHATAN EKOSISTEM HUTAN PAYA BAKAU DI HUTAN SIMPAN PAYA BAKAU MATANG

Oleh

RHYMA PURNAMASAYANGSUKASIH PARMAN

Disember 2020

Pengerusi : Norizah Kamarudin, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan dan Alam Sekitar

Di antara ekosistem pelbagai fungsi yang disediakan oleh hutan bakau, kesihatan seperti pemboleh ubah biotik dan abiotik merupakan komponen yang paling mencabar untuk dipantau kerana ciri-cirinya yang pelbagai dan tersebar secara spasial di kawasan bakau. Oleh kerana faktor pemboleh ubah biotik dan abiotik sangat penting untuk kesihatan ekosistem paya bakau (KEPB), maklumat data penderiaan jarak jauh dan analisis spasial disatukan untuk menilai keadaan kesihatan bakau. Objektif kajian ini adalah (1) untuk memeriksa penutup vegetasi bakau dengan mengintegrasikan *NDVI* dan *SAVI*, dan (2) untuk memodelkan KEPB menggunakan *Ordinary Kriging* (OK) untuk keseluruhan HSPBM.

Pengelasan yang diselia, *NDVI* dan *SAVI* dilakukan untuk menentukan liputan vegetasi. Oleh kerana *SAVI* memerlukan faktor *L* yang sesuai untuk digunakan untuk membezakan kawasan tumbuh-tumbuhan, empat faktor *L* yang berbeza. 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 dan 0.75 diuji dengan regresi linear berganda menggunakan kaedah regresi linear berganda langkah demi langkah ke belakang. Hubungan *NDVI* dan *SAVI* dalam mengesan penutup tumbuh-tumbuhan bakau diperiksa dengan analisis Korelasi-Pearson. Untuk Objektif 2, lapan pemboleh ubah dari Faridah-Hanum et al. (2019) digunakan sebagai faktor pilihan untuk menentukan KEPB. Model semivariogram dan kaedah interpolasi *OK* digunakan untuk menghasilkan autokorelasi spasial KEPB. Ketepatan ramalan diperiksa melalui *ME*, *RMSE* dan *RMSSE*. Semua pemboleh ubah kemudian dilapisi dan digabungkan melalui kombinasi berat linear (LWC) untuk melihat keseluruhan status kesihatan. Pengelasan semula adalah dijalankan untuk menyeragamkan nilai kesihatan iaitu 1 (sangat tidak sihat), 2 (tidak sihat), 3 (sederhana), 4 (sihat) dan 5 (sangat sihat). Untuk mengesahkan keadaan kesihatan, analisis *NDVI* yang dilakukan dalam Objektif 1 digunakan untuk menyokong ketepatan KEPB.

Pengelasan yang diselia diperhatikan dengan ketepatan yang bagus; Kuala Sepetang (71.8%; $K=0.668$), Kuala Trong (83.8%; $K=0.798$) and Sungai Kerang (73.5%; $K=0.681$). SAVI dengan faktor L 0.75 didapati signifikan untuk digunakan untuk MMFR. Indeks-indeks tumbuhan (VIs) yang dihasilkan dari NDVI dan SAVI menunjukkan variasi klasifikasi jika dibandingkan dengan pengelasan awal yang diselia. Dalam objektif 2, semua pemboleh ubah mempunyai ketepatan ramalan keseluruhan dengan 85.16% (AGB), 90.78% (kelimpahan ketam), 97.3% (K tanah), 99.91% (N tanah), 89.23% (bilangan spesies fitoplankton), 95.62% (bilangan spesies diatom), 99.36% (DO) dan 87.33% (kekeruhan). Ramalan autokolarasi spatial menggambarkan kawasan seluas 307.9 ha untuk KEPB yang sangat baik, 15935.68 ha untuk KEPB yang baik, 5224.34 ha untuk KEPB sederhana, 17795.63 ha untuk KEPB tidak sihat dan 715.55 ha untuk KEPB sangat tidak sihat. Kajian ini memodelkan keseluruhan KEPB melalui OK dengan model semivariogram terpilih dan perbandingan VIs yang mendorong pemulihan mempengaruhi, pengurusan dan pengagihan kemudahan yang relevan, dan dengan itu meningkatkan KEPB di seluruh MMFR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In the name of ALLAH, the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful. All praise is due to ALLAH, the lord of the world. My grateful to ALLAH S.W.T. for giving good health, strength, patience and opportunity to me to complete my thesis. My sincere gratitude to my remarkable supervisor and advisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norizah Kamarudin for her continuous support, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, assistance, guidance and valuable advice in keeping my progress on schedule.

Most importantly, the appreciation goes to my parents and family members for their continuing prayer, love, and sacrifice for me completing the study. Thanks to my co-supervisor; Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin and Dr. Hamdan Omar for constructive comments and opinions. Thanks also go to all staff of Taiping and Selama District Forestry Office for field support, Malaysian Agency Remote Sensing for providing satellite data, and Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for fees during my study through MyBrain scholarship.

Not forgotten, a special thanks to my extraordinary colleagues for helping me during ground truthing, and GIS technical support. Last but not least, a thanks also goes to those who have contributed their ideas, suggestions and support, directly or indirectly.

May Almighty ALLAH S.W.T. repay all your kindness and bless all of you.

Thank you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Norizah Kamarudin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry and Environment
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry and Environment
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Hamdan Omar, PhD

Head of Geoinformatic Programme
Division of Forestry and Environment
Forest Research Institute Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Associate Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 6 May 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Rhyma Purnamasayangasukasih Parman GS43984

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory

Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norizah Kamarudin

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory

Committee:

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory

Committee:

Dr. Hamdan Omar

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General background	1
1.2 Problem statement	5
1.3 Aim and objectives	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Overview of mangrove forests	8
2.3 Mangrove in Malaysia	11
2.4 Mangrove ecosystem	14
2.5 Mangrove monitoring and mapping	15
2.6 Vegetation indices	17
2.7 Spatial analysis	19
3 METHODOLOGY	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Study area	23
3.3 Materials	27
3.3.1 Satellite data	27
3.3.2 Variables	27
3.4 Methods	29
3.4.1 MMFR boundary preparation	29
3.4.2 Vegetation mapping	31
3.4.3 Geostatistical analysis	31
4 MANGROVE VEGETATION DELINEATION USING VEGETATION INDICES	32
4.1 Introduction	32
4.2 Methodology	33
4.2.1 Supervised classification	33
4.2.2 Normalised difference vegetation index	34
4.2.3 Soil-adjusted vegetation index	34
4.2.4 Ground truthing	35

4.2.5	Accuracy assessment	35
4.2.6	Canopy density determination	35
4.2.7	Correlation analysis	37
4.3	Result and Discussion	39
4.3.1	Land cover	39
4.3.2	Vegetation indices	46
4.3.3	Relationship NDVI and SAVI	50
4.4	Summary	52
5	MANGROVE ECOSYSTEM HEALTH	53
5.1	Introduction	53
5.2	Methodology	54
5.2.1	Mangrove ecosystem health variables	54
5.2.2	Geostatistical analysis	55
5.2.3	Reclassification and standardisation	56
5.2.4	Linear weight combination model	56
5.3	Result and Discussion	58
5.3.1	Best-fit-model of geostatistical analysis	58
5.3.2	Geospatial distribution map	59
5.3.3	Mangrove ecosystem health distribution	74
5.4	Summary	78
6	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	79
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	81
	APPENDICES	104
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	108
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	109

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Distribution of mangrove extents in Malaysia for 1990, 2000 and 2017 (Source: Hamdan et al., 2019)	12
3.1	Remotely sensed imagery data information	27
3.2	Variables, number of samples and number of sampling points collected within MMFR for geostatistical modelling	28
4.1	The attribute of supervised classification	33
4.2	Description for forest canopy density classification	36
4.3	Accuracy of supervised classification for Kuala Sepetang	42
4.4	Accuracy of supervised classification for Kuala Trong	43
4.5	Accuracy of supervised classification for Sungai Kerang	44
4.6	Results of ANOVA and summary showing the significant L-factor for distinguishing vegetation cover in MMFR	49
4.7	Spatial correlation of vegetation and non-vegetation areas distinguished from VIs	50
5.1	Descriptive statistics of mangrove quality variables	58
5.2	Best-fitted-model of semivariogram for OK interpolation	59
5.3	Vegetation indices of Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve in 2016	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The world's mangrove distribution changes were observed from 1996 to 2010 (Source: Thomas et al. 2017)	9
2.2	Distribution of mangroves in Malaysia as in 2017 (Source: Hamdan et al., 2019)	12
3.1	Flowchart for research methodology	22
3.2	Study area of Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve (MMFR), Perak	24
3.3	Map of Matang Forest Reserve boundary as in 2014 (Source: Roslan and Nik Mohd Shah, 2014)	30
4.1	Process of vegetation and non-vegetation delineation using MatLab; 1) R-G-B image captured from stationary camera, 2) B-component detection, (3) Canopy closure calculated from black and white pixel, (4) Canopy cover calculated from painted gap of black and white pixels	36
4.2	Distribution of sample location for supervised classification and accuracy assessment	38
4.3	Map showing land cover from supervised classification for MMFR	40
4.4	Map showing NDVI indicating vegetation and non-vegetation area in MMFR	47
4.5	Map showing SAVI with different L-factor indicating vegetation and non-vegetation area in MMFR	48
5.1	Distribution of sampling location for ecosystem health variables	55
5.2	Spatial interpolation of AGB	61
5.3	Spatial interpolation of crab abundance	63
5.4	Spatial interpolation of soil C	65
5.5	Spatial interpolation of soil N	66

5.6	Spatial interpolation of number of phytoplankton species	68
5.7	Spatial interpolation of number of diatom species	70
5.8	Spatial interpolation of DO	72
5.9	Spatial interpolation of turbidity	73
5.10	Spatial interpolation of turbidity	75
5.11	Relationship of vegetation indices (a = NDVI, b = SAVI) with ecosystem health of MMFR (c = MEHD)	76



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGB	Aboveground Biomass
C	Carbon
CPs	Control Points
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
GCPs	Ground Control Points
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
M	Meter
ME	Mean Error
MEH	Mangrove Ecosystem Health
MEHD	Mangrove Ecosystem Health Distribution
MLC	Maximum Likelihood Classification
MMFR	Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve
N	Nitrogen
NDVI	Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
OGF	Old Growth Forest
RMSSE	Root-Mean-Square Standardised Error
SAVI	Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index
SPOT	Satellite Probatoire d'Observation de la Terre
VIs	Vegetation Indices
VJR	Virgin Jungle Reserve



© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General background

Mangroves are a form of vegetation that connects the terrestrial landscapes with the sea environment; these sea-land interface forests are commonly found along the coastlines and around estuaries of the tropical and sub-tropical regions (Ewell et al., 1998; Alongi, 2002; Hamdan et al., 2012; Ammar, 2014). These salt-tolerant trees are found in abundance in the tropical Asia, Africa, and the islands of the Southwest Pacific (Hamdan et al., 2012). The unique mangrove ecosystem influences the existence of a diverse range of tree species. Most of the mangrove species survive and even flourish under the high and low tides as the trees have a complex root system to cope with salt water immersion and wave action (Lugo and Snedaker, 1974; Kuenzer et al., 2011; Nowak, 2013). Mangrove forests are difficult to access due to several factors: the presence of aerial root systems that arch high over the water and extend to a wide area; dense tree stands of the mangrove species; and regular occurrence of high and low tides with deep mudflats. Despite the harsh environment (Giri et al., 2011; Rhyma et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019), this forest ecosystem has many important functions and produces various invaluable goods and services that are beneficial to human well-being. These benefits include availability of timber and forest products (Putz and Chan, 1986; Aschbacher et al., 1995; Alongi, 2002; Ammar, 2014), coastal protection, nutrient and sediment filtration, provision of nurseries and feeding ground for fishes and crustaceans (Barbier, 2003; Mumby et al., 2004; Sharitz and Penning, 2006; Ammar, 2014), supporting coastal fisheries (Gong and Ong, 1990; Aschbacher et al., 1995; Thu, 2006; Heumann, 2011), shoreline stabilisation, climate regulation, water quality maintenance as well as creating recreational and cultural values (Hemminga et al., 1994; Ewell et al., 1998; Alongi, 2008; Kamaruzaman and Dahlan, 2008; Liu et al., 2008; UNEP, 2014; Heumann, 2011). The positive contribution of the mangroves to the human race has been globally recognised; and people are more aware of the coastal security after the Indonesian tsunami disaster in 2004, which affected neighbouring countries such as Thailand and Malaysia.

Globally, approximately 35-36% of the total mangrove forest had been lost in the last two decades (Feller et al., 2017; Carugati et al., 2018) due to natural disasters such as erosion (Thomas et al., 2017), cyclones and typhoons (FAO, 2007; Islam et al., 2018). It is also reported that the mangrove forest area has significantly declined due to anthropogenic activities such as agriculture/rice production (Alongi, 2002; Giri et al., 2011), coastal development (Maiti and Chowdhury, 2013; UNEP, 2014), urbanisation (UNEP, 2014; Richards and Friess, 2016), aquaculture (Richards and Friess, 2016; Islam et al., 2018), over-harvesting/exploitation (Giri et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2017), tourism (Giri et al., 2011; Islam et al., 2018), forestry (Valiela et al., 2001; Richards and

Friess, 2016), mariculture (Valiela et al., 2001), artisanal and commercial fisheries, human settlements, harbours construction, ports operation, recreation, mining and industrial development (Santos et al., 2014), and pollution (UNEP, 2014; Feller et al., 2017). These human-related activities cause the declining trend in conserving the mangroves ecosystem. According to Carugati et al. (2018), climate changes and anthropogenic activities are the major threats to the mangroves (Van Lavieren et al., 2012; Ellison and Zouh, 2012); they are detrimental to the health of the mangrove ecosystem and would contribute to the functional loss (Duke et al., 2007; Thomas et al., 2017). Generally, mangroves loss is associated with a loss of biodiversity (Polidoro et al., 2010; Carugati et al., 2018). An ecology theory predicts that the functions of an ecosystem can be influenced by biodiversity, and contrasting results are shown through correlative investigation and manipulative experiments (Loreau, 2010; Carugati et al., 2018). An ecosystem functions more often than not, is positively related to biodiversity (Cardinale et al., 2012; Carugati et al., 2018); therefore, a loss of biodiversity could result in a reduction in the ecosystem functioning and negatively affect the capacity of the ecosystem to provide goods and services to humans (Worm et al., 2006; Danovaro et al., 2008; Bulling et al., 2010; Cardinale et al., 2012; Carugati et al., 2018). This is particularly evident in the tropical ecosystem, which hosts an important fraction of the coastal biodiversity such as mangroves, and is among those that will experience the earliest emergence of the impacts of global changes (Solan et al., 2006; Carugati et al., 2018).

The remote sensing technology has revolutionised the way ecological research is conducted; ecological datasets from field data which include land cover classifications and vegetation indices at local to global scales, can be collected through the remotely sensed imagery analysis or derived by using the GIS techniques (Cohen and Justice, 1999; Rushton et al., 2004; Miller and Rogan, 2007). The integration of these pieces of information has been proven (Pimm et al., 2015; Marvin et al., 2016) effective, and the innovative data gathering procedure expands the boundaries of the traditional ecological inference and conservation strategies (Snaddon et al., 2013; Marvin et al., 2016). According to Kerr and Ostrovsky (2003), the estimation of ecosystem functions cannot be easily converted from field-based measurements covering an entire system; however, the integration of the remotely sensed data can provide simultaneous estimates of the ecosystem functions over a wide area. This statement concurs with views of Miller and Rogan (2007), who opine that the integration of remotely sensed data can improve the data quality and integrity of a GIS database (field-based measurement), which can then be employed to describe the actual ground conditions. The remotely sensed measurements such as synoptic view, temporal frequency and repeatability are valuable for detecting and monitoring changes to ground conditions (Rogan et al., 2003; Miller and Rogan, 2007).

Over the past 20 years, remotely sensed data have been used extensively to map and monitor mangrove environments (Heumann 2011; Kuenzer et al., 2011). The remote sensing technology provides key advantages for mangrove studies, which include the following: (i) indirect access to mangrove habitats in

areas that are temporarily inundated and often inaccessible due to geographical location in intertidal zones (Ramsey III and Jensen 1996; Davis and Jensen 1998); (ii) observation results at specific sampling sites can be extrapolated to encompass an entire image extent (Hardisky et al. 1986); (iii) providing a synoptic overview and repeated coverage of mangrove sites (Giri et al. 2007); and (iv) delivery of data at multi-scale levels to address key problems in coastal areas (Malthus and Mumby 2003). The recent developments of the remote sensing and image processing technologies provide new avenues of exploring various types of image datasets as well as types of mapping techniques or combinations of them, to map mangrove environments (Heumann 2011; Kuenzer et al. 2011). Problems could arise when there is a need to match the scale of an analysis to the scale of the phenomenon under investigation because environmental inferences are scale-dependent (Wiens, 1989). As such, there are many knowledge gaps in dealing with remote sensing approaches for mapping mangrove forests, which include knowing the type of mangrove information that can be mapped from specific image resolutions and the level of detail in that information.

In Malaysia, the applications of remote sensing and geographical information system (GIS) have been deployed for different purposes in managing the mangrove forests. Many researches have been undertaken using these two modern data-collecting technologies and below are some examples of the studies: (i) mangrove mapping and monitoring in Terengganu (Sulong et al., 2002), Selangor (Khali Aziz et al., 2009), the entire Peninsular Malaysia (Hamdan et al., 2012), Kelantan (Che Ku Akmar et al., 2009, Satyanarayana et al., 2011), Penang (Beh et al. 2011), Perak (Roslan et al., 2014), and Selangor (Samad et al., 2011); (ii) species identification via spectra examination in Selangor (Jusoff, 2006), and Perak (Zulfa et al. 2020; Beh et al., 2015); (iii) canopy density identification in Kedah (Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2008) and Johor (Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011); (iv) mangrove ecological and ecosystem monitoring and production management in Perak (Ammar et al., 2015a; Ammar et al., 2015b; Ammar et al. 2016), Kedah (Khuzaimah, 2015; Khuzaimah et al., 2017; Otero et al., 2017; Faridah-Hanum et al., 2019; Otero et al., 2019; Rhyma et al., 2020); (v) carbon stock and biomass assessment in Perak (Hamdan et al., 2014; Hamdan et al., 2013; Otero et al., 2018); and (vi) soil quality assessment in Selangor (Jeyanny et al., 2018).

Vegetation indices are one of the analysis with satellite imageries. This analysis works by transforming the spectral metric contains in the satellite data for measuring the presence and examining the state of vegetation (Bannari et al., 1995; Khan et al., 2018). This analysis has widely adopted by a number of researchers for monitoring forest states for example, Kefalas et al. (2018) used Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Normalised Difference Moisture Index (NDMI) and Modified Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (MSAVI) in heterogeneous landscape (forest stands are mixed with cultivations), Tian et al. (2016) and Zhang et al. (2020) used NDVI in dryland, Xulu et al., (2020) used NDVI and Normalised Difference Vegetation Infrared Index (NDVII) in plantation forest, Oon et al. (2019) used NDVI in peatlands, and Ren et al. (2018) and Hashim et al. (2019) used NDVI

in urban forest. Khan et al. (2018) mentioned the high indices value indicates healthy plants that exhibit high infrared reflectance and low red reflectance due to absorption of red light by chlorophyll. Analysing vegetation cover is first step in assessing ecosystem health (Liao et al., 2018), and VIs were simple algorithm and effective tools used to measure vegetation status (Xue and Su, 2017). Therefore, Liao et al. (2018) suggested VIs measure can be used to facilitate measure for ecosystem health.

Geostatistical analysis is a common spatial modelling technique used to help in decision making by making an evaluation and prediction from sample data (Towfiqul Islam et al., 2017). This analysis has been widely used by various fields for example on vegetation to assess variation of carbon density (Pan et al., 2019) and quantification carbon stock for mangrove soil (Castillo et al., 2017), on soil to assess soil quality for regenerating mangrove forest (Jeyanny et al., 2018), and water to assess spatial variability of water quality for development and rehabilitation of the mangrove trees (Lotfinasabasl et al., 2018) and to determine habitat suitability for predicting lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) distribution (Bernal et al. 2015). Estimating the ecosystem health has also one of the fields that applied geostatistical analysis. Due to the complex environmental data and evolution of spatial data analysis with advances algorithm analysis, geostatistical analysis is treated as the best tools to help in ecosystem services decision making (Obida et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018; Shaheen and Iqbal, 2018). Since there are unique ecosystem of mangrove forests, estimation on the mangroves health can also be investigated with geostatistical analysis, by taking an advantages that the spatial data of mangroves is worth wile. Forest managers may find these investigative results helpful: assessment of the ecosystem services for a huge area by prediction analysis as well as vegetation indices mapping and modelling through a selected point. Armed with the useful data, the forest managers are able make informed decisions and take necessary actions in managing the mangrove forests to the best conditions and protect the mangrove ecosystem when it is at risk. The simultaneous use of geospatial analysis, modelling of remotely sensed imagery data, and GIS is a method of utilising all available data to monitor the vegetation and ecosystem health as well as providing the current status of the mangrove forest at a given site. Therefore, this study is conducted for the purpose of mangrove mapping and monitoring by using the geospatial modelling.

1.2 Problem statement

Despite the large number of studies of mangrove mapping and monitoring reported in the literature, no complete assessment technique has been found that incorporates the crucial aspects of mangrove health. Biotic and abiotic evidences are known as an indicator to determine the health of an ecosystem. By examining the conditions of the mangroves, the acceptable health level can be updated and the adversely affected part of the ecosystem can be verified. However, there is a limitation in collecting accurate information which is unevenly distributed over space and in different times; such situation necessitates obtaining information about the biotic and abiotic conditions. The environmental measurement is based on samples at specific locations, and provides useful information to predict the outcome of the samples in a studying area. With the advances of the image and geostatistical analysis algorithm, data taken from a specified location may represent the conditions of the examined variable adjacent to it. However, reliable estimates or predictions of the mangrove ecosystem health need to be examined.

Therefore, the current study is undertaken to investigate the vegetation health distribution by using two procedures: first, examine the indices of vegetation through the remotely sensed imagery data; second, apply the geostatistical analysis with data interpolation to interpret areas attributed to different ecosystem health indexes. The vegetation indices represent reactions to photosynthetic activity and determine the health of vegetation; the higher the NDVI value, the greater the vegetation covers will be (Xiao et al., 2020). While SAVI helps to correct the influences on soil brightness and subpixel classification to improve the classification by identifying different places in single spectrum. The findings of this study are crucial in reducing the manpower, time and cost of monitoring the mangroves; the current practice of manually checking the timber stock volume as an indicator of the mangrove health is labour-intensive, time-consuming and high-cost. Moreover, data collected with the use of modern methods like geostatistical analysis, especially pieces of information about tidal events in relation to the unique mangrove forest characteristics, are reliable and accurate; and it can be carried out within a short time, less laborious and less costly. Methodology applied in this study is also expected to be useful for forest managers and/or stakeholders to make similar ecosystem health monitoring in other forest types due to no limitation designed in this study to be applied specifically in mangrove forest.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to monitor the ecosystem health of MMFR. The specific objectives are as follows:

1. To examine the mangrove vegetation cover by integrating NDVI and SAVI of the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve.
2. To map and model the health of the mangrove ecosystem of the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adamu, B., Ogutu, B., & Tansey, K. (2016). Remote sensing for detection and monitoring of vegetation affected by oil spills. *Preprints*, 2016090081.
- Aguilar, B. J. (1999). Applications of ecosystem health for the sustainability of managed systems in Costa Rica. *Ecosystem Health*, 5: 36-48.
- Ahl, D. E., Gower, S. T., Mackay, D. S., Burrows, S. N., Norman, J. M., & Diak, G. R. (2004). Heterogeneity of light use efficiency in a northern Wisconsin forest: Implications for modeling net primary production with remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 93: 168-178.
- Alex, E. C., Ramesh, K. V., & Sridevi, H. (2017). Quantification and understanding the observed changes in land cover patterns in Bangalore. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 8: 597-603.
- Almutairi, B., Battay, A. E., Belaid, M. A., & Musa, N. (2013). Comparative study of SAVI and NDVI vegetation indices in Sulaibiya area (Kuwait) using Worldview satellite imagery. *International Journal of Geosciences and Geomatics*, 1: 50-53.
- Alongi, D. M. (2002). Present state and future of the world's mangrove forests. *Environmental Conservation*, 29: 331-349.
- Alongi, D. M. (2008). Mangrove forests: Resilience, protection from tsunamis, and responses to global climate change. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 76: 1-13.
- Alongi, D. M. (2015). The impact of climate change on mangrove forest. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 1: 30-39.
- Amanollahi, J., Abdullah, A. M., Ramli, M. F., & Pirasteh, S. (2012). Land surface temperature assessment in semi-arid residential area of Tehran, Iran using Landsat imagery. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 20: 319-326.
- Ammar, A. A. (2014). *Integrating a REDD + Project into the Management of a Production Mangrove Forest in Matang Forest Reserve, Malaysia*, PhD Dissertation, The University of Queensland, Australia.
- Ammar, A. A., Phinn, S., Dargusch, P., Hamdan, O., & Arjasakusuma, S. (2015a). Assessing the potential applications of Landsat image archive in the ecological monitoring and management of a production mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 23: 1049-1066.
- Ammar, A. A., Phinn, S., & Dargusch, P. (2015b). Investigating the decline of ecosystem services in a production mangrove forest using Landsat and object-based image analysis. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 164: 353-366.

- Ammar, A. A., Thomas, S., Dargusch, P., & Phinn, S. (2016). Assessing the potential of REDD+ in a production mangrove forest in Malaysia using stakeholder analysis and ecosystem services mapping. *Marine Policy*, 74: 6-17.
- Anderson, J. H., Weber, K. T., Gokhale, B., & Chen, F. (2011). Intercalibration and evaluation of ResourceSat-1 and Landsat-5 NDVI. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 3: 213-219.
- Antonelli, M., Wetzel, C. E., Ector, L., Teuling, A. J., & Pfister, L. (2017). On the potential for terrestrial diatom communities and diatom indices to identify anthropic disturbance in soils. *Ecological Indicators*, 75: 73-81.
- Aschbacher, J., Ofren, R., Delsol, J. P., Suselo, T. B., Vibulsresth, S., & Charrupat, T. (1995). An integrated comparative approach to mangrove vegetation mapping using advanced remote sensing and (GIS) technologies: preliminary results. *Hydrobiologia*, 295: 285-294.
- Askar, Nuthammachot, N., Phairuang, W., Wicaksono, P., & Sayektiningsih, T. (2018). Estimating aboveground biomass on private forest using Sentinel-2 imagery. *Journal of Sensors*, 2018: 6745625.
- Azahar, M., & Nik Mohd Shah, N. M. (2003). *A Working Plan for the Matang Mangrove Forest, Perak: The Third 10-Year Period (2000-2009) of the Second Rotation (Fifth Revision)*. State Forestry Department of Perak, Malaysia.
- Baghi, N. G., & Oldeland, J. (2019). Do soil-adjusted or standard vegetation indices better predict above ground biomass of semi-arid, saline rangelands in North-East Iran? *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 40: 8223-8235.
- Bannari, A., Morin, D., Bonn, F., & Huete, A. R. (1995). A review of vegetation indices. *Remote Sensing Reviews*, 13: 95-120.
- Bannari, A., Asalhi, H., & Teillet, P. M. (2002). Transformed difference vegetation index (TDVI) for vegetation cover mapping. *IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, 5: 3053-3055).
- Barati, S., Rayegani, B., Saati, M., Sharifi, A., & Nasri, M. (2011). Comparison the accuracies of different spectral indices for estimation of vegetation cover fraction in sparse vegetated areas. *The Egyptian Journal Remote Sensing and Space Sciences*, 14: 49-56.
- Barbier, E. B. (2003). Habitat-fishery linkages and mangrove loss in Thailand. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 21: 59-77.
- Baret, F., Guyot, G., & Major, D. J. (1989). TSAVI: A vegetation index which minimizes soil brightness effect on LAI and APAR estimation. *12th Canadian Symposium on Remote Sensing Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, 6: 1355-1358.

- Batadlan, B. D., Paringit, E. C., Santillan, J. R., Caparas, A. S., & Fabila, J. L. (2009). *Analysis of background variations in computed spectral vegetation indices and its implications for mapping mangrove forests using satellite imagery*. 4th Engineering Research and Development for Technology (ERDT) Conference, Manila, Philippines.
- Beh, B. C., Mohd Zubir, M. F., & Lim, H. S. (2011). Mangrove mapping in Penang Island by using artificial neural network technique. 2011 *IEEE Conference on Open Systems* (pp. 245-249). IEEE.
- Beh, B. C., Mat Jafri, M. Z., & Lim, H. S. (2015). Mangrove species mapping in Kuala Sepetang mangrove forest, Perak using high resolution airborne data. *Proceeding of SPIE 9637, Remote Sensing for Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Hydrology XVII*, 96370E.
- Ben-Ze'ev, E., Karnieli, A., Agam, N., Kaufman, Y., & Holben, B. (2006). Assessing vegetation condition in the presence of biomass burning smoke by applying the aerosol-free vegetation index (AFRI) on MODIS images. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27: 3203-3221.
- Bernal, N. A., DeAngelis, D. L., Schofield, P. J., & Sealey, K. S. (2015). Predicting spatial and temporal distribution of Indo-Pacific lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) in Biscayne Bay through habitat suitability modeling. *Biological Invasions*, 17: 1603-1614.
- Bhunja, G. S., Keshavarzi, A., Shit, P. V., Omran, E. E., & Bagherzadeh, A. (2018). Evaluation of groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking and irrigation using GIS and geostatistics techniques in semiarid region of Neyshabur, Iran. *Applied Water Science*, 8: 168.
- Bisrat, E., & Berhanu, B. (2018). Identification of surface water storing sites using topographic wetness index (TWI) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI). *Journal of Natural Resources and Development*, 8: 91-100.
- Blasco, F., Gauquelin, T., Rasolofoharino, M., Denis, J., Aizpuru, M., & Caldairou, V. (1998). Recent advances in mangrove studies using remote sensing data. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 49: 287-296.
- Bulling, M. T., Hicks, N., Murray, L., Paterson, D. M., Raffaelli, D., White, P. C. L., & Solan, M. (2010). Marine biodiversity-ecosystem functions under uncertain environmental futures. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 365: 2107-2116.
- Cannici, S., Fusi, M., Cimó, F., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., & Fratini, S. (2018). Interference competition as a key determinant for spatial distribution of mangrove crabs. *BMC Ecology*, 18: 8.

- Cao, L., Liu, T., & Wei, L. (2014). A comparison of multi-resource remote sensing data for vegetation indices. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environment Science*, 17: 012067.
- Cardinale, B. J., Dufy J. M., Gonzales, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A., Mace, G. M., Tilman, D., Wardle, D. A., Kinzig, A. P., Daily, G. C., Loreau, M., Grace, J. B., Larigauderie, A., Srivastava, D. S., & Naeem, S. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature*, 486: 59-67.
- Carlson, T. N., & Ripley, D. A. (1997). On the relation between NDVI, fractional vegetation cover, and leaf area index. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 62: 241-252.
- Carugati, L., Gatto, B., Rastelli, E., Martire, M. L., Coral, C., Greco, S., & Danovaro, R. (2018). Impact of mangrove forests degradation on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Scientific Reports*, 8: 13298.
- Castillo, J. A. A., Apan, A. A., Maraseni, T. N., & Salmo III, S. G. (2017). Soil C quantities of mangrove forests, their competing land uses, and their spatial distribution in the coast of Honda Bay, Philippines. *Geoderma*, 293: 82-90.
- Cerón, R. M., Cerón, J. G., Muriel, M., Anguebes, F., Ramirez, M., Zavala, J., Carballo, C., & Escoffie, R. C. (2015). Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Throughfall Deposition of Nitrogen and Sulfur in the Mangrove Forests Associated to Termoninos Lagoon. In F. Nejadkoorki (Ed.), *Current Air Quality Issues* (pp. 147-164). IntechOpen.
- Chaudhuri, P., Chaudhuri, S., & Ghosh, R. (2019). The Role of Mangroves in Coastal and Estuarine Sedimentary Accretion in Southeast Asia. In G. Aiello (Ed.), *Sedimentary Process – Examples from Asia, Turkey and Nigeria* (pp. 1-23). IntechOpen.
- Che Ku Akmar, C. K. O., Mohd Hasmadi, I., Kasawani, I., Norsaliza, U., & Kamaruzaman, J. (2009). *Comparison of several vegetation indices for mangrove mapping using remotely sensed data*. Environmental Science and Technology Conference 2009, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia.
- Civco, D. L. (1993). Artificial neural networks for land-cover classification and mapping. *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems*, 7: 173-186.
- Cohen, W. B., & Justice, C. O. (1999). Validating MODIS terrestrial ecology products: Linking in situ and satellite measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 70: 1-3.
- Compton, J. E., Boone, R. D., Motzkin, G., & Foster, D. R. (1998). Soil carbon and nitrogen in a pine-oak sand plain in central Massachusetts: Role of vegetation and land-use history. *Oecologia*, 116: 536-542

- Cornejo, R. H., Koedam, N., Ruiz, A. L., Troell, M., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2005). Remote sensing and ethnobotanical assessment of the mangrove forest changes in the Navachiste-San Ignacio-Macapule lagoon complex, Sinaloa, Mexico. *Ecology and Society*, 10: 16.
- Costanza, R. (1992). Toward an Operational Definition of Health. In R. Costanza, B. Norton, B.D. Haskell (Eds.), *Ecosystem Health—New Goals for Environmental* (pp. 239-236). Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Crabtree, R., Potter, C., Mullen, R., Sheldon, J., Huang, S., Harmsen, J., Rodman, A., & Jean, C. (2009). A modelling and spatio-temporal analysis framework for monitoring environmental change using NPP as an ecosystem indicator. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113: 1486-1496.
- da Silva, V. S., Salami, G., da Silva, M. I. O., Silva, E. A., Monteiro Junior, J. J., & Alba, E. (2020). Methodological evaluation of vegetation indexes in land use and land cover (LULC) classification. *Geology, Ecology, and Landscapes*, 4: 159-169.
- Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2002). The use of remote sensing and GIS in the sustainable management of tropical coastal ecosystems. *Environment, Development and Sustainable*, 4: 93-112.
- Dai, L., Wang, L., Li, L., Liang, T., Zhang, Y., Ma, C., & Xing, B. (2018). Multivariate geostatistical analysis and source identification of heavy metals in the sediment of Poyang lake in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 621: 1433-1444.
- Danovaro, R., Gambi, C., Dell'Anno, A., Corinaldesi, C., Fraschetti, S., Vanreusel, A., Vincx, M., & Gooday, A. J. (2008). Exponential decline of deep-sea ecosystem functioning linked to benthic biodiversity loss. *Current Biology*, 18: 1-8.
- Davis, B. A., & Jensen, J. R. (1998). Remote sensing of mangrove biophysical characteristics. *Geocarto International*, 13: 55-64.
- Davis, P. R., Love, P. E. D., & Baccharini, D. (2009). Bills of quantities: Nemesis or nirvana? *Structural Survey*, 27: 99-108.
- Deering, D. W., Rouse, J. W., Haas, R. H., & Schell, J. A. (1975). *Measuring forage production of grazing units from Landsat MSS data*. Proceedings of the Tenth International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment, United State.
- Desrosiers, C., Leflaive, J., Eulin, A., & Ten-Hage, L. (2013). Bioindicators in marine waters: Benthic diatoms as a tool to assess water quality from eutrophic to oligotrophic coastal ecosystems. *Ecological Indicators*, 32: 25-34.

- Donato, D. C., Boone Kauffman, J., Murdiyarto, D., Kurnianto, S., Stidham, M., & Kanninen, M. (2011). *Nature Geoscience*, 4: 293-297.
- Duke, N. C. & Schmitt, K. (2015). Mangroves: Unusual Forests at the Seas Edge. In M. Köhl, & L. Pancel (Eds.), *Tropical Forestry Handbook* (pp. 1693-1724). Germany: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Duke, N. C, Meynecke, J.-O., Dittmann, S., Ellison, A. M., Anger, K., Berger, U., Cannicci, S., Diele, K., Ewel, K. C., Field, C. D., Koedam, N., Lee, S. Y., Marchand, C., Nordhaus, I., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2007). A world without mangroves? *Science*, 317: 41-42.
- Ellison, J. C., & Zouh, I. (2012). Vulnerability to climate change of mangroves: assessment from Cameroon, Central Africa. *Biology*, 1: 617-638.
- Escadafal, R. (2017). Remote sensing of drylands: When soils come into the picture. *Ciência & Trópico*, 41: 33-50.
- ESRI. (2019a). An overview of ArcMap extension: ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst. <https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/extensions/main/about-arcgis-for-desktop-extensions.htm>. (Accessed on 7 January 2019).
- ESRI. (2019b). Understanding a semivariogram: The range, sill, and nugget. <https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/help/analysis/geostatistical-analyst/understanding-a-semivariogram-the-range-sill-and-nugget.htm>. (Accessed on 11 February 2020).
- Ewa-Oboho, I. O. (1993). Substratum preference of the estuarine crabs, *Uca tangeri* Eydoux (Ocypodidae) and *Ocypode cursor* Linne (Ocypodidae). *Hydrobiologia*, 271: 119-127.
- Ewel, K. C., Twilley, R. R., & Ong, J. E. (1998). Different kinds of mangrove forests provide different goods and services. *Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters*, 7: 83-94.
- FAO. (2007). *The World's Mangroves 1980-2005*. FAO Forestry Paper 153. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy.
- FAO. (2010). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010*. FAO Forestry Paper 163. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy.
- Faridah-Hanum I., Yusoff, F. M., Firianto, A., Ainuddin, N. A., Gandaseca, S., Zaiton S., Norizah, K., Nurhidayu, S., Roslan, M. K., Hakeem, K. R., Shamsuddin, I., Adnan, I., Awang Noor, A. G., Balqis A. R. S., Rhyrna, P. P., Siti Aminah, I., Hilaluddin, F., Fatin R., & Harun, N. Z. N. (2019). Development of a comprehensive mangrove quality index (MQI) in Matang mangrove: Assessing mangrove ecosystem health. *Ecological Indicator*, 102: 103-117.

- Fatin, R., Zaiton, S., & Awang Noor, A. G. (2017). Willingness to pay for conservation fee using contingent valuation method: The case of Matang mangrove forest reserve, Perak, Malaysia. *The Malaysian Forester*, 80: 99-110.
- FDPM. (1997). *Kod amalan Pengusahasilan Hutan Paya Laut*. Forest Department Peninsular Malaysia: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Feka, N. Z., & Morrison, I. (2017). Managing mangroves for coastal ecosystems change: A decade and beyond of conservation experiences and lessons for and from west-central Africa. *Journal of Ecology and Natural Environment*, 9: 99-123.
- Feller, I. C., Friess, D. A., Krauss, K. W., & Lewis III, R. R. (2017). The state of the world's mangroves in the 21st century under climate change. *Hydrobiologia*, 803: 1-12.
- Fischer, M. M., & Wan, J. (2011). *Spatial Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Forest Research Institute (FRI). (2015). Forest cover classification by environmental information system of India. http://www.frienviis.nic.in/Database/Forest-Cover-Classification_2241.aspx. (Accessed 10 November 2016).
- Frith, D. W., & Brunenmeister, S. (1980). Ecological and population studies of fiddler crabs (Ocypodidae, Genus Uca) on a mangrove shore at Phuket Island, western peninsular Thailand. *Crustaceana*, 39: 157-184.
- Gao, B-C. (1996). NDWI-A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 58: 257-266.
- Geerken, R., Zaitchik, B., & Evans, J. P. (2005). Classifying rangeland vegetation type and coverage from NDVI time series using Fourier Filtered Cycle Similarity. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26: 5535-5554.
- Gidey, A. (2018). Geospatial distribution modelling and determining suitability of groundwater quality for irrigation purpose using geospatial methods and water quality index (WQI) in northern Ethiopia. *Applied Water Science*, 8: 82.
- Gilbert, M. A, González-Piqueras, J., García-Haro, F. J., & Meliá, J. (2002). A generalized soil-adjusted vegetation index. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 82: 303-310.
- Gilman, E. L., Ellison, J., Duke, N. C., & Field, C. (2008). Threats to mangroves from climate change and adaptation options: A review. *Aquatic Botany*, 89: 237-250.

- Giri, C., Pengra, B., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., & Tieszen, L. L. (2007). Monitoring mangrove forest dynamics of the Sundarbans in Bangladesh and India using multi-temporal satellite data from 1973 to 2000. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 73: 91-100.
- Giri, C., Zhu, Z., Tieszen, L. L., Singh, A., Gillette, S., & Kelmelis, J. A. (2008). Mangrove forest distributions and dynamics (1975–2005) of the tsunami-affected region of Asia. *Journal of Biogeography*, 35: 519-528.
- Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L. L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J., & Duke, N. (2011). Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20: 154-159.
- Godoy, M. D. P., & De Lacerda, L. (2015). Mangroves response to climate change: A review of recent findings on mangrove extension and distribution. *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences*, 87: 651-667.
- Goessens, A., Satyanarayana, B., der Stocken, T. V., Zuniga, M. Q., Mohd-Lokman, H., Sulong, I., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2014). Is Matang mangrove forest in Malaysia sustainably rejuvenating after more than a century of conservation and harvesting management? *PLoS One*, 9: 14.
- Gong, W-K., & Ong, J-E. (1990). Plant biomass and nutrient flux in a managed mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 31: 519-530.
- Gowri, L. & Manjula, K. R. (2019). Evaluation of various vegetation indices for multispectral satellite images. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 8: 7.
- Green, E. P., Clark, C. D., Mumby, P. J., Edwards, A. J., & Ellis, A. C. (1998). Remote sensing techniques for mangrove mapping. *International Journal Remote Sensing*, 19: 935-956.
- Gulinck, H., Dufourmont, H., Coppin, P., & Hermy, M. (2000). Landscape research, landscape policy and Earth observation. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 21: 2541-2554.
- Hamdan, O., Khali Aziz, H., Shamsudin, I., & Raja Barizan, R. S. (2012). *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia: Selangor.
- Hamdan, O., Khairunnisa, M. R., Ammar, A. A., Mohd Hasmadi, I., & Khali Aziz, H. (2013). Mangrove carbon stock assessment by optical satellite imagery. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 25: 554-565.
- Hamdan, O., Khali Aziz, H., & Mohd Hasmadi, I. (2014). L-band ALOS PALSAR for biomass estimation of Matang mangroves, Malaysia. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 155: 69-78.

- Hamdan, O., Muhammad Afizzul, M. & Samsudin, M. (2019). GIS and remote sensing for mangroves mapping and monitoring. In J. Rocha & P. Abrantes (Eds.), *Geographic Information System and Science* (pp. 1-15). IntechOpen.
- Hanrahan, G. (2012). *Key Concepts in Environmental Chemistry*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Hardisky, M. A., Gross, M. F., & Klemas, V. (1986). Remote sensing of coastal wetlands. *BioScience*, 36: 453-460.
- Hartnoll, R. G., Cannicci, S., Emmerson, W. D., Fratini, S., Macia, A., Mgya, Y., Porri, F., Ruwa, R. K., Shunula, J. P., Skov, M. W., & Vannini, M. (2002). Geographic trends in mangrove crab abundance in East Africa. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 10: 203-213.
- Hashim, H., Latif, Z., A., & Adnan, N. A. (2019). Urban vegetation classification with NDCI threshold value method with very high resolution (VHR) Pleiades imagery. In A. Abdul Rahman, I. Hassan, I. A. Musliman, S. Azri, and U. Ujang (Eds.), *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XLII-4/W16, 2019: 6th International Conference on Geomatics and Geospatial Technology 2019*, (pp. 237-240). ISPR.
- Hemminga, M. A., Slim, F. J., Kazungu, J., Ganssen, G. M., Nieuwenhuize, J., & Kruij, N. M. (1994). Carbon outwelling from a mangrove forest with adjacent seagrass beds and coral reefs (Gazi Bay, Kenya). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 106: 291-301.
- Heumann, B. W. (2011). An object-based classification of mangroves using a hybrid decision tree - support vector machine approach. *Remote Sensing*, 3: 2440-2460.
- Hòa, N. H. (2017). Comparison of various spectral indices for estimating mangrove covers using planetscope data: A case study in Xuan Thuy national park, Nam Dinh province. *Journal of Forestry Science and Technology*, 5: 10.
- Hobbs, T. J. (1995). The use of NOAA-AVHRR NDVI data to assess herbage production in the arid rangelands of Central Australia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 16: 1289-1302.
- Hsieh, H-C., & Cheng, C-C. (1995). An analysis of vegetation greenness of Fushan with SPOT XS multitemporal data. *Plum X*, 10: 105-120.
- Hu, Q., Wu, W., Xia, T., Yu, Q., Yang, P., Li, Z., & Song, Q. (2013). Exploring the use of google earth imagery and object-based methods in land use/cover mapping. *Remote Sensing*, 5: 6026-6042.
- Huete, A. R. (1988). A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 25: 295-309.

- Huete, A. R., Jackson, R. D., & Post, D. F. (1985). Spectral response of a plant canopy with different soil backgrounds. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 17: 37-53.
- Huete, A. R., Liu, H. Q., Batchily, K., & van Leeuwen, W. (1997). A comparison of vegetation indices over a global set of TM images for EOS-MODIS. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 59: 440-451.
- Huete, A., Justice, C., & van Leeuwen, W. (1999). MODIS vegetation index (MOD 13) Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document Version 3.0. EOS Proj. Off. 2-3.
- Ibharim, N. A., Mustapha, M. A., Lihan, T., & Mazlan, A. G. (2015). Mapping mangrove changes in the Matang mangrove forest using multi temporal satellite imageries. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 114: 64-76.
- Imani, G., Boyemba, F., Lewis, S., Nabahungu, N.L., Calders, K., Zapfack, L., Riera, B., Balegamire, C., & Cuni-Sanchez, A. (2017). Height-diameter allometry and above ground biomass in tropical montane forests: Insights from the Albertine Rift in Africa. *PLoS ONE*, 12: e0179653.
- Islam, M. M., Borgqvist, H., & Kumar, L. (2018). Monitoring mangrove forest landcover changes in the coastline of Bangladesh from 1976 to 2015. *Geocarto International*, 34: 1458-1476.
- Jackson, R. D., & Huete, A. R. (1991). Interpreting vegetation indices. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 11: 185-200.
- Jensen, J. R. (2009). *Remote sensing of the environment: An earth resource perspective* (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Pearson Education India.
- Jeyanny, V., Siva Kumar B., Ne'ryez, S. R., Fakhri M. I., Daljit S. K., Maisarah M. Z., Wan Rasidah K., & Husni, M. H. A. (2018). Assessing soil quality of a regenerating mangrove forest using geospatial modelling approach. *Malaysian Journal of Soil Science*, 22: 161-173.
- Jia, X. (2017). Supervised Classification. In D. Richardson, N. Castree, M. F. Goodchild, A. Kobayashi, W. Liu & R. A. Marston (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Geography: People, the Earth, Environment and Technology*, (pp. 1–3). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Jia, M., Wang, Z., Wang, C., Mao, D., & Zhang, Y. (2019). A new vegetation index to detect periodically submerged mangrove forest using single-tide Sentinel-2 imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 11: 2043.
- Jones, D. A., Hansen, A. J., Bly, K., Doherty, K., Verschuyf, J. P., Paugh, J. I., Carle, R., & Story, S. J. (2009). Monitoring land use and cover around parks: A conceptual approach. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113: 1346-1356.

- Johnstons, K., ver Hoefft, J. M., Krivoruchko, K., & Lucas, N. (2001). *Using ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst* (vol. 380). Redlands: Esri.
- Jordan, C. F. (1969). Derivation of leaf area index quality of light on the forest floor. *Ecology*, 50: 663-666.
- Jusoff, K. (2006). Individual mangrove species identification and mapping in Port Klang using airborne hyperspectral imaging. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 1: 27-36.
- Lugo, A. E., & Snedaker, S. C. (1974). The ecology of mangroves. *Annual Review of Ecology Systematics*, 5: 39-64.
- Kamaruzaman, J., & Dahlan, T. (2008). Managing sustainable mangrove forests in peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 1: 88-96.
- Kanellopoulos, I., & Wilkinson, G. G. (1997). Strategies and best practice for neural network image classification. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 18: 711-725.
- Kanniah, K. D., Sheikhi, A., Cracknell, A. P., Goh, H. C., Tan, K. P., Ho, C. S., & Rasli, F. N. (2015). Satellite images for monitoring mangrove cover changes in a fast growing economic region in southern peninsular Malaysia. *Remote Sensing*, 7: 14360-14385.
- Karnieli, A., Agam, N., Pinker, R. T., Anderson, M., Imhoff, M. L., Gutman, G. G., Panov, N., & Goldberg, A. (2010). Use of NDVI and land surface temperature for drought assessment: Merits and limitations. *Journal of Climate*, 23: 618-633.
- Kathiresan, K., & Bingham, B. L. (2001). Biology of mangroves and mangroves ecosystem. *Advance in Marine Biology*, 40: 81-251.
- Kefalas, G., Lattas, P., Xofix, P., Lorilla, R. S., Martinis, A., & Poirazidis, K. (2018). The use of vegetation indices and change detection technique as a tool for monitoring ecosystem and biodiversity integrity. *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Management and Informatics*, 4: 47-66.
- Kennedy, R. E., Townsend, P. A., Gross, J. E., Cohen, W. B., Bolstad, P., Wang, Y. Q., & Adams, P. (2009). Remote sensing change detection tools for natural resource managers: Understanding concepts and tradeoffs in the design of landscape monitoring projects. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113: 1382-1396.
- Kerr, J. T., & Ostrovsky, M. (2003). From space to species: Ecological applications for remote sensing. *TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution*, 18: 299-305.

- Khali Aziz, H, Hamdan, O., Shamsudin, I., & Ismail, H. (2009). Digital change detection of mangrove forest in Selangor using remote sensing and geographic information system (GIS). *The Malaysian Forester*, 72: 61-69.
- Khan, Z., Rahimi-Eichi, V., Haefele, S., Garnett, T., & Miklavcic, S. J. (2018). Estimation of vegetation indices for high-throughput phenotyping of wheat using aerial imaging. *Plant Methods*, 14: 20.
- Khuzaimah, Z. (2015). *Remote Sensing Analysis of Mangrove Changes and Evaluation of Ecosystem Services Value in Sungai Merbok Forest Reserve, Malaysia*, PhD Dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Khuzaimah, Z., Mansor S., & Mohd Hasmadi, I. (2017). The impact of land use change on mangrove ecosystem and evaluation of ecosystem service using remote sensing technology in Sg. Merbok Forest Reserve, Malaysia. In A.Siti Nur Akmar, W. Mui Yun, B. Siti Khairunniza, A. Lilly Suriani, M. Farrah Melissa & M. A. Azzreena (Eds.), *International Conference on Big Data Application in Agriculture 2017* (pp. 219-225), Institute of Plantation Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Kirui, K. B., Kairo, J. G., Bosire, J., Viergever, K. M., Rudra, S., Huxham, M., & Briers, R. A. (2013). Mapping of mangrove forest land cover change along the Kenya coastline using Landsat imagery. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 83: 19-24.
- Koh, C-N., Lee, P-F., & Lin, R-S. (2006). Bird species richness patterns of northern Taiwan: Primary productivity, human population density, and habitat heterogeneity. *Diversity and Distributions*, 12: 546-554.
- Kovacs, J. M., Flores-Verdugo, F., Wang, J., & Aspden, L. P. (2004). Estimating leaf area index of a degraded mangrove forest using high spatial resolution satellite data. *Aquatic Botany*, 80: 13-22.
- Kuenzer, C., Bluemel, A., Gebhardt, S., Quoc, T. V., & Dech, S. (2011). Remote sensing of mangrove ecosystems: A review. *Remote Sensing*, 3: 878-928.
- Kurtz, J. C., Jackson, L. E., & Fisher, W. S. (2001). Strategies for evaluating indicators based on guidelines from Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Research and Development. *Ecological Indicators*, 1: 49-60.
- Lee, S. Y. (1998). Ecological role of grapsid crabs in mangrove ecosystems: A review. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 49: 335-343.
- Lee, T-M., & Yeh, H-C. (2009). Applying remote sensing techniques to monitor shifting wetland vegetation: A case study of Danshui River estuary mangrove communities, Taiwan. *Ecological Engineering*, 35: 487-496.

- Liao, C., Yue, Y., Wang, K., Fensholt, R., Tong, X., & Brandt, M. (2018). Ecological restoration enhances ecosystem health in the karst regions of southwest China. *Ecological Indicators*, 90: 416-425.
- Lin, W-T., Lin, C-Y., & Chou, W-C. (2006). Assessment of vegetation recovery and soil erosion at landslides caused by a catastrophic earthquake: A case study in central Taiwan. *Ecological Engineering*, 28: 79-89.
- Lindquist, E. S., Krauss, K. W., Green, P. T., O'Dowd, D. J., Sherman, P. M., & Smith III, T. J. (2009). Land crabs as key drivers in tropical coastal forest recruitment. *Biological Reviews*, 84: 203-223.
- Liu, X., & Kafatos, M. (2005). Land-cover mixing and spectral vegetation indices. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26: 3321-3327.
- Liu, K., Li, X., Shi, X., & Wang, S. (2008). Monitoring mangrove forest changes using remote sensing and GIS data with decision-tree learning. *Wetlands*, 28: 336-346.
- Loreau, M. (2010). Linking biodiversity and ecosystem: Towards a unifying ecological theory. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 365: 49-60.
- Lotfinasabasl, S., Gunale, V. R., & Khosroshahi, M. (2018). Applying geographic information systems and remote sensing for water quality assessment of mangrove forest. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 38: 135-143.
- Lucas, R., Van De Kerchove, R., Otero, V., Lagomasino, D., Fatoyinbo, L., Omar, H., Satyanarayana, B., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2020). Structural characterisation of mangrove forest achieved through combining multiple sources of remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 237: 111543.
- Ma, X., Huete, A., & Tran, N. N. (2019). Interaction of seasonal sun-angle and Savanna phenology observed and modelled using MODIS. *Remote Sensing*, 11: 1398.
- Mackey, R. L., & Currie, D. J. (2001). The diversity-disturbance relationship: Is it generally strong and peaked? *Ecology*, 82: 3479-3492.
- Maiti, S. K., & Chowdhury, A. (2013). Effects of anthropogenic pollution on mangrove biodiversity: A review. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 4: 1428-1434.
- Mallmann, C. L., Prado, D. A., & Pereira Filho, W. (2015). Índice de vegetação por diferença normalizada para caracterização da dinâmica florestal no parque estadual Quarta Colônia, estado do Rio Grande do Sul - Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Geografia Física*, 8: 1454-1469.

- Malthus, T. J., & Mumby, P. J. (2003). Remote sensing of the coastal zone: An overview and priorities for future research. *International Journal Remote Sensing*, 24: 2805-2815.
- Malvić, T., Ivšinić, J., Velić, J., & Rajić, R. (2019). Kriging with a small number of data points supported by Jack-Kniffing, a case study in the Sava Depression (Northern Croatia). *Geosciences*, 9: 36.
- Manson, F. J., Loneragan, N. R., McLeod, I. M., & Kenyon, R. A. (2001). Assessing techniques for estimating the extent of mangroves: Topographic maps; aerial photographs and Landsat TM images. *Marine Freshwater Research*, 52: 787-792.
- Marvin, D. C., Koh, L. P., Lynam, A. J., Wich, S., Davies, A. B., Krishnamurthy, R., Stokes, E., Starkey, R., & Asner, G. P. (2016). Integrating technologies for scalable ecology and conservation. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 7: 262-275.
- Mchenga, I. S. S., & Tsuchiya, M. (2013). Crab engineering effects on soil organic matter and nutrients flow in subtropical mangroves forest. *Journal of Global Biosciences*, 2: 10-16.
- McLeod, E., Chmura, G. L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Björk, M., Duarte, C. M., Lovelock, C. E., Schlesinger, W. H., & Silliman, B. R. (2011). A blueprint for blue carbon: Toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9: 552-560.
- McLeod, L., Bharadwaj, L., Epp, T., & Waldner, C. L. (2017). Use of principal components analysis and Kriging to predict groundwater-sourced rural drinking water quality in Saskatchewan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14: 1065.
- Milani A. S. (2018). Mangrove Forests of the Persian Gulf and the Gulf of Oman. In C. Makowski & C. Finkl (Eds.), *Threats to Mangrove Forests: Coastal Research Library* (vol. 25). Springer, Cham.
- Miller, J., & Rogan, J. (2007). Using GIS and Remote Sensing for Ecological Mapping and Monitoring. In V. Mesev (Ed.), *Integration of GIS and Remote Sensing* (pp. 233-268). Wiley.
- Mishra, A. K., Deep, S., & Choudhry, A. (2015). Identification of suitable sites for organic farming using AHP & GIS. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 18: 181-193.
- Misra, A., Mani Murali, R., & Vethamony, P. (2015). Assessment of the land use/land cover (LU/LC) and mangrove changes along the Mandovi–Zuari estuarine complex of Goa, India. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 8: 267-279.

- Mitchell, A. (2005). *The ESRI Guide to GIS Analysis* (vol. 2). Redlands, California: ESRI Press.
- Mitsch, W. J., & Gosselink, J. G. (2000). *Wetlands* (3rd ed.). New York, USA: John Wiley.
- Mohd Hasmadi, I., Pakhriazad, H. Z., & Kamaruzaman, J. (2008). Mangrove canopy density of Sungai Merbok Forest Reserve, Kedah from Landsat TM. *The Malaysian Forester*, 71: 67-74.
- Mohd Hasmadi, I., Pakhriazad, H. Z., & Norlida, K. (2011). Remote sensing for mapping RAMSAR Heritage site at Sungai Pulai Mangrove Forest Reserve, Johor, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40: 83-88.
- Morawitz, D. F., Blewett, T. M., Cohen, A., & Alberti, M. (2006). Using NDVI to assess vegetative land cover change in central Puget Sound. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 114: 85-106.
- Mróz, M., & Sobieraj, A. (2004). Comparison of several vegetation indices calculated on the basis of a seasonal SPOT XS time series, and their suitability for land cover and agricultural crop identification. *Technical Sciences*, 7: 39-66.
- Mumby, P. J., Edwards, A. J., Ernesto Arias-González, J., Lindeman, K. C., Blackwell, P. G., Gall, A., Gorczyńska, M. I., Harborne, A. R., Pescod, C. L., Renken, H., Wabnitz, C. C. C., & Llewellyn, G. (2004). Mangroves enhance the biomass of coral reef fish communities in the Caribbean. *Nature*, 427: 533-536.
- Mumby, P. J., Green, E. P., Edwards, A. J., & Clark, C. D. (1999). The cost-effectiveness of remote sensing for tropical coastal resources assessment and management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 55: 157-166.
- Nguyen, T. S., Bui, X. T., & Chau, T. D. (2016). Monitoring mangrove forest changes from multi-temporal Landsat data in Can Gio biosphere reserve, Vietnam. *Wetlands*, 36: 565-576.
- Norizah, K., Mohd Hasmadi, I., & Kamaruzaman, J. (2014). Quantification of least cost path analysis for best forest road planning. *The Malaysian Forester*, 77: 99-108.
- Nowak, K. (2013). Mangrove and peat swamp forests: Refuge habitats for primates and felids. *Folia Primatol*, 83: 361-376.
- Nursuhayati, A. S., Yusoff, F. M., & Sharrif, M. (2013). Spatial and temporal distribution of phytoplankton in Perak Estuary, Malaysia, during monsoon season. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 4: 480-493.
- Obida, C. B., Alan Blackburn, G., Duncan Whyatt, J., & Semple, K. T. (2018). Quantifying the exposure of humans and the environment to oil pollution

in the Niger Delta using advanced geostatistical techniques. *Environment International*, 111: 32-42.

- Oelsner, G. P., & Stets, E. G. (2019). Recent trends in nutrient and sediment loading to coastal areas of the conterminous U.S: Insights and global context. *Science of the Total Environment*, 654: 1225-1240.
- Omran, E. E. (2012). A proposed model to assess and map irrigation water well suitability using geospatial analysis. *Water*, 4: 545-567.
- Oon, A., Mohd Shafri, H. Z., Lechner, A. M., & Azhar, B. (2019). Discriminating between large-scale oil palm plantations and smallholdings on tropical peatlands using vegetation indices and supervised classification of LANDSAT-8. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 40: 17.
- Otero, V., Martinez-Espinosa, C., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Van De Kerchove, R., Satyanarayana, B., & Lucas, R. (2017). Variation in mangrove regeneration rates under different management plans: An analysis of Landsat time-series in the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve, peninsular Malaysia. *9th International Workshop on the Analysis of Multitemporal Remote Sensing Images (MultiTemp)*, 2017: 1-3.
- Otero, V., Van De Kerchove, R., Satyanarayana, B., Martinez-Espinosa, C., Fisol, M. A., Ibrahim, M. R., Sulong, I., Mohd-Lokman, H, Lucas, R., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2018). Managing mangrove forests from sky: forest inventory using field data and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery in the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve, peninsular Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 411: 35-45.
- Otero, V., Van De Kerchove, R., Satyanarayana, B., Mohd-Lokman, H., Lucas, R., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2019). An analysis of the early regeneration of mangrove forest using Landsat time series in the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve, peninsular Malaysia. *Remote Sensing*, 11: 774.
- Otero, X. L., Araújo Jr., J. M. C., Barcellos, D., Queiroz, H. M., Romero, D. J., Nóbrega, G. N., Neto M. S., & Ferreira T. O. (2020). Crab bioturbation and seasonality control nitrous oxide emissions in semiarid mangrove forests (Ceará, Brazil). *Applied Sciences*, 10: 2215.
- Palmer, M. A., Bernhardt, E. S., Allan, J. D., Lake, P. S., Alexander, G., Brooks, S., Carr, J., Clayton, S., Dahm, C. N., Follstad Shah, J., Galat, D. L, Loss, S. G., Goodwin, P., Hart, D. D., Hasset, B., Jenkinson, R., Kondolf, G. M., Lave, R., Meyer, J. L., O'Donnell, T. K., Pagano, L., & Sudduth, E. (2005). Standards for ecologically successful river restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 42: 208-217.
- Pan, P., Sun, Y., Ouyang, X., Zang, H., Rao, J., & Ning, J. (2019). Factors affecting spatial variation in vegetation carbon density in *Pinus massoniana* Lamb. Forest in subtropical China. *Forests*, 10: 880.

- Pimm, S. L., Alibhai, S., Bergl, R., Dehgan, A., Giri, C., Jewell, Z., Joppa, L., Kays, R., & Loarie, S. (2015). Emerging technologies to conserve biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 30: 685-696.
- Polidoro, B. A., Carpenter, K. E., Collins, L., Duke, N. C., Ellison, A. M., Joanna, C. E., Farnsworth, E. J., Fernando, E. S., Kathiresan, K., Koedam, N. E., Livingstone, S. R., Miyagi, T., Moore, G. E., Nam, V. N., Ong, J. E., Primavera, J. H., Salmo III, S. G., Sanciangco, J. C., Sukardjo, S., Wang, Y., & Yong, J. W. H. (2010). The loss of species: Mangrove extinction risk and geographic areas of global concern. *PLoS ONE*, 5: e10095.
- Potere, D. (2008). Horizontal positional accuracy of Google Earth's high-resolution imagery archive. *Sensors*, 8: 7973-7981.
- Putz, F. E., & Chan, H. T. (1986). Tree growth, dynamics, and productivity in a mature mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 17: 211-230.
- Qi, J., Chehbouni, A., Huete, A. R., Kerr, Y. H., & Sorooshian, S. (1994). A modified soil adjusted vegetation index. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 48: 119-126.
- Qiu, D., Cui, B., Yan, J., Ma, X., Ning, Z., Wang, F., Sui, H., & Bai, J. (2019). Effect of burrowing crabs on retention and accumulation of soil carbon and nitrogen in an intertidal salt marsh. *Journal of Sea Research*, 154: 101808.
- Quintana-Alcantara, C. E. (2014). *Carbon Sequestration in Tidal Salt Marshes and Mangrove Ecosystems*, Master Dissertation, University of San Francisco, California.
- Ramsey III, E. W., & Jensen R. (1996). Remote sensing of mangrove wetlands: Relating canopy spectra to site-specific data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 62: 939-948.
- Rapport, D. J., Costanza, R., & McMichael, A. J. (1998). Assessing ecological health. *Trends in Ecology Evolution*, 13: 397-401.
- Ren, Z., Du, Y., He, X., Pu, R., Zheng, H., & Hu, H. (2018). Spatiotemporal pattern of urban forest leaf area index in response to rapid urbanization and urban greening. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 29: 785-796.
- Revilla, M., Franco, J., Bald, J., Borja, Á., Laza, A., Seoane, S., & Valencia, V. (2009). Assessment of the phytoplankton ecological status in the Basque coast (northern Spain) according to the European Water Framework Directive. *Journal of Sea Research*, 61: 60-67.
- Rhyma, P. P., & Norizah, K. (2016). Kriging analysis – Optimizing values in unknown areas using known data point. In S. Nurhidayu, A. N. Ainuddin, K. Norizah, M. Nazre, G. Seca, H. Mohd Zaki, S. H. Lee (Eds.),

Proceeding of the International Conference on Sustainable Forest Development in View of Climate Change 2016 (pp. 94-98). Universiti Putra Malaysia.

- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah, K., Ismail Adnan, A.M., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Ibrahim, S. (2015). Canopy density classification of Matang mangrove forest reserve using machine learning approach in remote sensing for transect establishment. *The Malaysian Forester*, 78: 75-86.
- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah, K., Ismail Adnan, A. M., & Shamsudin, I. (2016). A review of uses of satellite imagery in monitoring mangrove forests. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 37: 012034.
- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah, K., Hamdan, O., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Zulfa, A. W., (2020). Integration of normalised different vegetation index and soil-adjusted vegetation index for mangrove vegetation delineation. *Remote Sensing Application: Society and Environment*, 17: 100280.
- Richards, D. R., & Friess, D.A., 2016. Rates and drivers of mangrove deforestation in Southeast Asia, 2000–2012. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113: 344-349.
- Richardson, A. J., & Weigand, C. L. (1977). Distinguishing vegetation from soil background information. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*. 43: 1541-1552.
- Robinson, N. P., Allred, B. W., Jones, M. O., Moreno, A., Kimball, J. S., Naugle, D. E., Erickson, E., & Richardson, A. D. (2017). A dynamic landsat derived normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) product for the conterminous United States. *Remote Sensing*, 9: 863.
- Rogan, J., Miller, J., Stow, D., franklin, J., Levien, L., & Fischer, C. (2003). Land-cover change monitoring with classification trees using landsat TM and ancillary data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 69: 793-804.
- Roslan, A., & Nik Mohd Shah, N. M. (2014). *A Working Plan for the Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve, Perak: The First 10-Year period (2010-2019) of the third rotation (Sixth Revision)*. Forestry Department of Perak, Malaysia.
- Roslani, M. A., Mustapha, M. A., Lihan T., & Wan Juliana, W. A. (2014). Applicability of Rapideye satellite imagery in mapping mangrove vegetation species at Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve, Perak, Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 7: 123-136.
- Rouse Jr., J. W., Haas, R., Schell, J., & Deering, D. (1974). Monitoring Vegetation Systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. Paper-A20. NASA. Goddard Space Flight Center 3d ERTS-1 Symp., Vol. 1, Sect. A.

- Roy, S., Mahapatra, M., & Chakraborty, A. (2018). Mapping and monitoring of mangrove along the Odisha coast based on remote sensing and GIS techniques. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 5: 217-226.
- Ruiz-Luna, A., & Berlanga-Robles, C. A. (1999). Modifications in coverage patterns and land use around the Huizache-Caimanero lagoon system, Sinaloa, Mexico: A multi-temporal analysis using Landsat images. *Estuaries, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 49: 37-44.
- Rushton, S. P., Ormerod, S. J., & Kerby, G. (2004). New paradigms for modelling species distributions? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 41: 193-200.
- Samad, A. M., Yahya, Z., Kamadi, M. S., & Hussein, S. M. (2011). Sustainable mangrove area determination using IDRISI at Tanjung Karang. In T. Mohd Nasir, A. Ramli, S. Abd Manan, M. T. Nooritawati, H. Zakaria & F. R. Mohd Hezri (Eds.), *2011 IEEE 7th International Colloquium on Signal Processing and its Application* (pp. 348-353). IEEE.
- Santos, L. C. M., Matos, H. R., Schaeffer-Novelli, Y., Cunha-Lignon, M., Bitencourt, M. D., Koedam, N., & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2014). Anthropogenic activities on mangrove areas (São Francisco river estuary, Brazil northeast): A GIS-based analysis of CBERS and SPOT images to aid in local management. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 89: 39-50.
- Sarker, S. K., Matthiopoulus, J., Mitchell, S. N., Ahmed, Z. U., Al Mamun, M. B., & Reeve, R. (2019). 1980s-2010s: The world's largest mangrove ecosystem is becoming homogeneous. *Biological Conservation*, 236: 79-91.
- Satyanarayana, D., Mohamad, K. A., Idris, I. F., Mohd-Lokman, H., & Dahdouh-Guebas F. (2011). Assessment of mangrove vegetation based on remote sensing and ground-truth measurements at Tumpat, Kelantan Delta, East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of remote Sensing*, 32: 1635-1650.
- Scales, I. R., & Friess, D. A. (2019). Pattern or mangrove forest disturbance and biomass removal due to small-scale harvesting in southwestern Madagascar. *Wetlands Ecology Management*, 27: 609-625.
- Schowengerdt, R. A. (1997). *Remote Sensing Models and Methods for Image Processing*. Academic Press, New York.
- Schweiger, A. H., Iri, S. D. H., Steinbauer, M. J., Dengler, J., & Beierkuhnlein C. (2016). Optimizing sampling approaches along ecological Gradients. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7: 463-471.
- Shaheen, A., & Iqbal, J. (2018). Spatial distribution and mobility assessment of carcinogenic heavy metals in soil profiles using geostatistics and random forest, Boruta algorithm. *Sustainability*, 10: 799.

- Sharitz, R. R., & Pennings, S. C. (2006). Development of wetland plant communities. In D. P. Batzer & R. R. Sharitz (Eds.), *Ecology of freshwater and estuarine wetlands* (pp. 177-241). University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Sherman, P. M. (2006). Influence of land crabs *Geocarcinus quadratus* (Gecarcinidae) on distributions of organic carbon and roots in a Costa Rican rain forest. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 54: 149-161.
- Shupe, S. M., & Marsh, S. E., (2004). Cover- and density-based vegetation classifications of the Sonoran Desert. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 93: 132-149.
- Simard, M., Zhang, K., Rivera-Monroy, V. H., Ross, M. S., Ruiz, P. L., Castañeda-Moya, E., Twilley, R. R., & Rodriguez, E. (2006). Mapping height and biomass of mangrove forests in Everglades national park with srtm elevation data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 72: 299-311.
- Simon, N. L. (2018). Cameroon Mangrove Forest Ecosystem: Ecological and Environmental Dimensions. In S. Sharma (Ed.), *Mangrove ecosystem ecology and function*. IntechOpen.
- Skov, M. W., & Hartnoll, R. G. (2001). Comparative suitability of binocular observation, burrow counting and excavation for the quantification of the mangrove fiddler crab *Uca annulipes* (H. Milne Edwards). *Hydrobiologia*, 449: 201-212.
- Snaddon, J., Petrokofsky, G., Jepson, P., & Willis, K. J. (2013). Biodiversity technologies: Tools as change agents. *Biology Letters*, 9: 20121029.
- Solan, M., Raffaelli, D. G., Peterson, D. M., White, P. C. L. & Pierce, G. J. (2006). Marine biodiversity and ecosystem function: Empirical approaches and future research needs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 311: 175-178.
- Spalding, M., Kainuma, M., & Collin, L. (2010). *World Atlas of Mangroves*. United Kingdom: Earthscan.
- Stewart, A. M., Edmisten, K. L., Wells, R. & Collins, G. D. (2007). Measuring canopy coverage with digital imaging. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 38: 895-902.
- Sulong, I, Mohd-Lokman, H, Mohd-Tarmizi, K., & Ismail, A. (2002). Mangrove mapping using Landsat imagery and aerial photographs: Kemaman District, Terengganu, Malaysia. *Environmental Development and Sustainability*, 4: 135-152.
- Sun, T., Lin, W., Chen, G., Guo, P., & Zeng, Y. (2016). Wetland ecosystem health assessment through integrating remote sensing and inventory

- data with an assessment model for the Hangzhou Bay, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 566-567: 627-640.
- Tenedório, J., A. & Rocha, J. (2018). Introductory chapter: Spatial analysis, modelling, and planning. In J. Rocha & J. A. Tenedório (Eds.), *Spatial analysis, modelling and planning*. IntechOpen.
- Thom, B. G. (1982). Mangrove ecology: A geomorphological perspective, In B. F. Clough (Ed), *Mangrove ecosystems in Australia, structure, function and management* (pp. 3-17). ANUPress: Canberra.
- Thomas, N., Lucas, R., Bunting, P., Hardy, A., Rosenqvist, A., & Simard, M., (2017). Distribution and drivers of global mangrove forest change, 1996 – 2010. *PLoS One*, 12: e0179302.
- Thu, P. M. (2006). *Application of remote sensing and GIS tools for recognizing changes of mangrove forests in Ca Mau province*. Paper presented at the International Symposium on GeoInformatics for Spatial Infrastructure Development in Earth and Allied Science 2006, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
- Tian, F., Brandt, M., Liu, Y. Y., Verger, A., Tagesson, T., Diouf, A. A., Rasmussen, K., Mbow, C., Wang, Y., & Fensholt, R. (2016). Remote sensing of vegetation dynamics in dryland: evaluating vegetation optical depth (VOD) using AVHRR NDVI and in situ green biomass data over West African Sahel. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 177: 266-276.
- Towfiqul Islam, A. R. M., Ahmed, N., Bodrud-Doza, M., & Chu, R. (2017). Characterizing groundwater quality ranks for drinking purposes in Sylhet district, Bangladesh, using entropy method, spatial autocorrelation index, and geostatistics. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24: 26350-26374.
- Tsai, Y. H., Stow, D. A., López-Carr, D., Weeks, J. R., Clarke, K. C., & Mensah, F. (2019). Monitoring forest cover change within different reserve types in southern Ghana. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191: 281.
- Tucker, C. J., Pinzon, J. E., Brown, M. E., Slayback, D. A., Pak, E. W., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E. F., & Saleous, N. E. (2005). An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26: 4485-4498.
- Turner, W., Spector, S., Gardiner, N., Fladeland, M., Sterling, E., & Steininger, M. (2003). Remote sensing for biodiversity science and conservation. *TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution*, 18: 306-314.
- Ukonmaanaho, L., & Heikkinen, J. (2013). Estimation of canopy cover using planar photography method. <http://www.metla.fi/metinfo/forest-condition/intensive-monitoring/canopy.htm>. (Accessed on 6 June 2016).

- UNEP, 2014. *The Importance of Mangroves to People: A Call to Action*. van Bochove, J., Sullivan, E. & Nakamura, T. (Eds.), United Nations Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre, Cambridge. 128 pp.
- Valiela, I., Bowen, J. L., & York, J. K. (2001). Mangrove forests: One of the world's threatened major tropical environments. *BioScience*, 51: 807-815.
- Van Lavieren, H., Spalding, M., Alongi, D. M., Kainuma, M., Clusener-Godt, M., & Adeel, Z. (2012). *Securing the Future of Mangroves. A Policy Brief*. UNU-INWEH, UNESCO MAB with ISME, ITTO, FAO, UNEP WCMP and TNC. pp. 53.
- Visser, V., Langdon, B., Pauchard, A., & Richardson, D. M. (2014). Unlocking the potential of Google Earth as a tool in invasion science. *Biological Invasions*, 16: 513-534.
- Wang, L., & Sousa, W. P. (2009). Distinguishing mangrove species with laboratory measurements of hyperspectral leaf reflectance. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30: 1267-1281.
- Wang, J. Q., Zhang, X. D., Jiang, L. F., Bertness, M. D., Fang, C. M., Chen, J. K., Hara, T., & Li, B. (2010). Bioturbation of burrowing crabs promotes sediment turnover and carbon and nitrogen movements in an Estuarine Salt Marsh. *Ecosystems*, 13: 586-599.
- Wang, L., Jia, M., Yin, D., & Tian, J. (2019). A review of remote sensing for mangrove forests: 1956–2018. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231: 111223.
- Wiens, J. A. (1989). Spatial scaling in ecology. *Functional Ecology*, 3: 385-397.
- Willis, K. S. (2015). Remote sensing change detection for ecological monitoring in United State protected areas. *Biological Conservation*, 182: 233-242.
- Worm, B., Barbier, E. B., Beaumont, N., Emmett Duffy, J., Folke, C., Halpern, B. S., Jackson, J. B. C., Lotze, H. K., Micheli, F., Palumbi, S. R., Sala, E., Selkoe, K. A., Stachowicz, J. J., & Watson, R. (2006). Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. *Science*, 314: 787-790.
- Xu, F. (1996). Ecosystem health assessment of Lake Chao, a shallow eutrophic Chinese lake. *Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management*, 2: 102-109.
- Xu, H. (2006). Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27: 3025-3033

- Xue, J., & Su, B. (2017). Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications. *Journal of Sensors*, 135369: 17.
- Xulu, S., Mbatha, N., Peerbhay, K., & Gebreslasie, M. (2020). Detecting harvest event in plantation forest using Sentinel-1 and -2 data via Google Earth engine. *Forests*, 11: 1283.
- Yu, L., & Gong, P. (2012). Google Earth as a virtual globe tool for Earth science applications at the global scale: Progress and perspectives. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 33: 3966-3986.
- Zhang, F., Wang, C., & Wang, Z.-H. (2020). Response of natural vegetation to climate in dryland ecosystem: A comparative study between Xinjiang and Arizona. *Remote Sensing*, 12: 3567.
- Zulfa, A., & Norizah, K. (2018). Remotely sensed imagery data application in mangrove forest: A review. *Pertanika Journal Science and Technology*, 26: 899–922.
- Zulfa, A. W., Norizah, K., Hamdan, O., Zulkifly, S., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Rhyma, P. P. (2020). Discriminating tree species from the relationship between spectral reflectance and chlorophyll contents of mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Ecological Indicators*, 111: 106024.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Rhyma Purnamasayangasukasih Parman was born at Hospital Kajang, Selangor on 4th May 1990. She is the youngest in her family of four siblings. She received her early education at Sekolah Kebangsaan Sungai Merab (SKSML) from 1997 until 2002. In 2003 until 2007, she had her secondary school at Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Dengkil (SMKD), Sungai Merab Luar, Kajang, Selangor. She started study on her higher education at University level at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) in 2007 until May 2011 for Diploma and Bachelor degree in Forestry Science and graduated with second class higher.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Articles

- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah, K., Hamdan, O., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Zulfa, A.W. (2020). Integration of normalised different vegetation index and soil-adjusted vegetation index for mangrove vegetation delineation. *Remote Sensing Application: Society and Environment*, 17: 100280.
- Zulfa, A. W., Norizah, K., Hamdan, O., Zulkifly, S., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Rhyma, P.P. (2020). Discriminating tree species from the relationship between spectral reflectance and chlorophyll contents of mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Ecological Indicators*, 111: 106024.
- Atiqah, A. H., Rhyma, P. P., Jamhuri, J., Zulfa, A. W., Samsinar, M. S., & Norizah, K. (2020). Using google earth imagery to detect distribution of forest cover change- Is the technique practical for Malaysian Forest? *Malaysian Forester*, 83(1): 1-15.
- Faridah-Hanum, I., Yusoff, F. M., Fitrianto, A., Ainuddin, N. A., Gandaseca, S., Zaiton, S., Norizah, K., Nurhidayu, S., Roslan, M. K., Khalid R. Hakeem, Shamsuddin, I., Ismail Adnan, Awang Noor, A. G., Balqis, A. R. S., Rhyma, P. P., Siti Aminah, I., Hilaluddin, F., Fatin, R. & Harun, N. Z. N. (2019). How to Develop a Comprehensive Mangrove Quality Index (MQI)? *MethodsX*, 6: 1591-1599.
- Faridah-Hanum, I., Yusoff, F. M., Fitrianto, A., Ainuddin, N. A., Gandaseca, S., Zaiton, S., Norizah, K., Nurhidayu, S., Roslan, M. K., Khalid R. Hakeem, Shamsuddin, I., Ismail Adnan, Awang Noor, A. G., Balqis, A. R. S., Rhyma, P. P., Siti Aminah, I., Hialuddin, F., Fatin, R., & Harun, N. Z. N. (2019). Development of a comprehensive mangrove quality index (MQI) in Matang Mangrove: Assessing mangrove ecosystem health. *Ecological Indicators*, 102: 103-117.
- Norizah, K., Ismail Adnan, A. M., Lokman A., Rhyma, P. P., & Muhammad Farid, A. R. (2017). Evaluating the efficiency of log procurement operation: A case study in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester*, 80(1): 85-98.
- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah, K., Ismail Adnan, A. M., & Shamsudin, I. (2016). A review of uses of satellite imagery in monitoring mangrove forests. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 37(1): 012034.
- Rhyma, P. P., Norizah K., Ismail Adnan, A. M., Faridah-Hanum I., & Shamsudin, I. (2015). Canopy density classification of Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve using machine learning approach in remote sensing. *Malaysian Forester*, 78(1&2): 75-86.

Proceeding

Rhyma, P. P., & Norizah, K. (2016). Kriging analysis- Optimizing values in unknown areas using known data point. In S. Nurhidayu, A. N. Ainuddin, K. Norizah, M. Nazre, G. Seca, H. Mohd Zaki & S. H. Lee (Eds.), *Proceedings of The International Conference on Sustainable Forest Development in view of Climate Change 2016* (pp. 96-99). Fakulti Perhutanan: Universiti Putra Malaysia. ISBN: 978-967-5526-14-5

Chapter in Book

Norizah, K., Nor Akmar, A. A., Jamhuri, J., Mohamad Ridzuan, M. S., Arief Aiman, L. & Rhyma, P. P. (2017). Light gap forest. In F. Qamaruz Zaman & M. N. Baharuddin (Eds.), *Nature's yield and wonders of art 2017: Light* (49-52).