

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

GOVERNANCE, EMPOWERMENT AND BENEFITS OF CO-MANAGEMENT OF INLAND OPEN WATER FISHERIES IN BANGLADESH

GOLAM MD. SHAMSUL KABIR FEP 2009 9





GOVERNANCE, EMPOWERMENT AND BENEFITS OF CO-MANAGEMENT OF INLAND OPEN WATER FISHERIES IN BANGLADESH

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

GOLAM MD. SHAMSUL KABIR

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

December 2009



DEDICATION

To my mother and late father; my wife beloved lucky and my loving sons Rafi and Rasfi



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

GOVERNANCE, EMPOWERMENT AND BENEFITS OF CO-MANAGEMENT OF INLAND OPEN WATER FISHERIES IN

BANGLADESH

By

GOLAM MD. SHAMSUL KABIR

December 2009

Chairman: Professor Tai Shzee Yew, PhD

Faculty

: Economics and Management

The main objective of the study is to assess co-management approaches as

institutional arrangement of inland openwater fisheries in Bangladesh. It was done by

examining the factors enhancing the governance and empowerment of fishers for

improved arrangements of fishers' and co-management based organisations' (CBOs.

The benefits of co-management approaches on income and transaction costs of fisher

communities are examined and the effectiveness of conflict mitigation and resolution

are compared. A survey of 311 fishing households in Bangladesh was conducted

from July 2008 to October 2008 to obtain information from fishers in the Community

Based Fisheries Management (CBFM) project sites and non CBFM sites (control

sites) using structured interview questionnaire.

The CBFM approaches aim mainly at building local fishery community

organizations for managing fisheries sustainably and to improve institutional

arrangements of fisher communities. Institutional arrangements have been done

iii

through formation of local co-management based organisations which have led to increased democratic participation, empowerment, enhanced fisheries management and better compliance of rules and regulations. Descriptive analysis, Factor analysis and finally Regression analysis are used to analyse the data.

The results of the study reveal that the fishers of CBFM sites have increased participation in collective decision-making, improved leadership, decentralisation of management, power sharing responsibility and social networks which have led to improve governance of the fisher communities. The study shows that the fishers and CBOs are more empowered due to training in skill development and capacity enhancement and awareness raising programs facilitated by the Government and NGOs. In addition, they have greater individual access rights to their fisheries through administrative support from the government and they are more capable of controlling the exploitation of fisheries resources in project areas. All these factors have made the CBOs more accountable and transparent. The results also indicate that there are improvements in compliance and legitimacy and lowering of transaction costs. The study shows that conflicts are resolved quickly and conflict management process is smooth in project sites compared to non CBFM control sites. The results of the study show that there is significant difference in total transaction costs in management between project and control sites over the years. The transaction costs trended downward within project sites, but it is increasing in control sites.



The results of regression analysis of governance and empowerment models show that all the variables except institutional arrangement are statistically significant factor in CBFM sites. The conflict resolution variable has negative relationships with the dependent variables. Institutional arrangement is insignificant and positively correlated which indicates that there is still lack of institutional arrangement under co-management intervention to be achieved at optimum level. On the other hand, all of the variables are found to be insignificant in the control sites.

The results of the regression analysis of household income model show that earning member per household, age of household head, fishing area, gear used, education and resource abundance are significant contributors to household income in project areas. Total land size and income generating activities have positive but insignificant impact. On the other hand, age of household head and total land size are significant factors to household income in control sites.

The overall findings indicate a positive outcome of co-management institution of fisher communities in Bangladesh and provide support for the development of co-management based fisheries to improve resource management. The positive impact of fisheries co-management approach leads towards improved institutional arrangements of fisher communities.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KERAJAAN, PEMBERDAYAAN DAN FAEDAH PENGURUSAN BERSAMA PERIKANAN PERAIRAN TERBUKA PEDALAMAN DI BANGLADESH

Oleh

GOLAM MD. SHAMSUL KABIR

December 2009

Pengerusi : Professor Tai Shzee Yew, PhD

Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menilai pendekatan pengurusan bersama yang dilaksanakan melalui projek Pengurusan Perikanan Berasaskan Komuniti (PPBK) sebagai peraturan institusi dalam perikanan perairanan terbuka kawasan pedalaman di Bangladesh. Penelitian dibuat dengan melihat faktor tadbir urus dan pemberian kuasa (empowerment) kepada nelayan bagi berasaskan komuniti memperbaiki susunan dan organisasi nelayan dan komuniti. Faedah pendekatan pengurusan bersama ke atas pendapatan dan kos transaksi komuniti nelayan dikaji dan keberkesanan pengurangan dan penyelesaian konflik juga dibandingkan. Survei nelayan 311 isirumah perikanan yang dilaksanakan di antara Julai hingga Oktober 2008 untuk mendapatkan maklumat daripada nelayan dalam kawasan Pengurusan Perikanan Berasaskan Komuniti (PPBK) dan bukan PPBK menggunakan borang soal selidik berstruktur.

UPM BR

Pendekatan PPBK terutamanya bertujuan untuk membangun organisasi komuniti nilayan tempatan bagi mengurus perikanan secara mapan and menambahbaik susunan institusi bagi komuniti nelayan. Penyusunan institusi dilaksanakan melalui pembentukan organisasi berasaskan pengurusan bersama yang telah meningkatkan penglibatan demokratik, pemberian kuasa, peningkatan pengurusan perikanan dan pematuhan. Analisis deskriptif, analisis komponen prinsipal dan analisis regresi digunakan dalam kajian ini.

Keputusan kajian menunjukkan nelayan dalam kawasan PPBK telah meningkatkan penglibatan di dalam pembuatan keputusan bersama, meningkatkan kepimpinan, kepercayaan, pemberian kuasa dan pengurusan nyahpusat, tanggungjawab perkongsian kuasa, jaringan sosial yang telah menambahbaik tadbir urus komuniti nelayan. Kajian mendapati nelayan dan organisasi berasaskan komuniti (OBK) diberi lebih kuasa berasaskan peningkatan kemahiran dan kapasiti modal insan melalui latihan dan program bagi meningkatkan kesedaran yang dilaksankan oleh kerajaan dan OBK. Seterusnya mereka mempunyai akses individu kepada perikanan dengan sokongan pentadbiran daripada kerajaan dan mampu mengawal perikanan dalam kawasan projek masing-masing. Kini OBK lebih bertanggungjawab dan telus. Keputusan juga menunjukkan terdapat penambahbaikan bagi pematuha dan kesahihan dan kos transaksi adalah lebih rendah. Kajian mendapati konflik diselesaikan lebih cepat dan proses pengurusan konflik lebih licin dalam kawasan projek berbanding kawasan kawalan – di luar kawasan projek.

Keputusan kajian menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan di antara jumlah kos transaksi bagi pengurusan kawasan projek dan kawasan kawalan bagi beberapa



tahun lampau. Bagaimanapun, kos transaksi mempunyai tren berkurangan dalam kawasan projek manakala bertambah bagi kawasan kawalan.

Keputusan model analisis regresi tadbir urus dan pemberian kuasa menunjukkan kesemua pemboleh ubah kecuali peraturan institusi adalah faktor signifikan dalam kawasan PPBK. Pemboleh ubah penyelesaian konflik mempunyai pertalian negatif. Peraturan institusi adalah tidak signifikan dan berkorelasi positif menunjukkan masih terdapat kekurangan peraturan institusi dengan campur tangan pengurusan bersama berbanding tahap optimum yang mungkin dicapai. Sebaliknya, didapati kesemua pemboleh ubah signifikan bagi kawasan kawalan.

Keputusan model analisis regresi pedapatan isirumah menunjukkan pendapatan anggota isirumah, usia ketua isirumah, kawasan penangkapan, peralatan yang digunakan, pendidikan dan kepadatan sumber memberi sumbangan positif kepada pendapatan isirumah dalam kawasan projek. Jumlah keluasan tanah, aktiviti yang menjana pendapatan mempunyai impak positif tetapi tidak signifikan. Sebaliknya, usia ketua isirumah dan jumlah kawasan tanah adalah faktor signifikan dalam kawasan kawalan.

Dapatan keseluruhan menunjukkan natijah yang positif menganai institusi pengurusan bersama nelayan di Bangladesh dan memberi sokongan kepada pembangunan perikanan berasaskan pengurusan bersama bagi memperbaiki pengurusan sumber. Impak positif pendekatan pengurusan bersama perikanan ini memihak kepada penyusunan institusi bagi komuniti nelayan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Almighty Allah (S.W.A) the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful for blessing me with intelligence in the quest of knowledge in Economics and to complete the PhD thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude and sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor Professor Dr. Tai Shzee Yew, Faculty of Economics and Management and Deputy Vice Chancellor (Industry and Community Relations) Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for his thoughtful comments, valuable guidance, continuous inspiration and all way cooperation to complete the thesis. I express my sincere gratefulness and heartiest appreciation to Associate Professor Kusairi Mohd. Noh and Associate Professor Dr. Law Siong Hook, Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM, members of my supervisory committee for their valuable advices, guidance and long way cooperation to complete the thesis. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Dr. Khalid Abdul Rahim, Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM who taught Natural Resource Economics. I wish to thank my course teachers in the Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM for their valuable assistance during my study, without which my study might not have been possible.

I am grateful to WorldFish Center for providing financial support from DFID funded CBFM-2 project for undertaking this study. My sincere thanks and appreciation go to Dr. K. Kuperan Viswanathan and Alan Brooks, Portfolio Director, WorldFish Center, Bangladesh for their cordial encouragement. I would like to extend similar regards to Najir Ahmed Khan, DFIDB, Dr. M. G. Mustafa and Md. Muzaffar



Ahmed, WorldFish Center, Bangladesh, M. Anisul Islam, Director, CNRS and Md. Fakhrul Islam, MIS Specilaist, CNRS, Bangladesh.

I express my deep sense of gratitude to Dr. S. M. Nazmul Islam, Additional Secretary, Bangladesh Secretariat, Md. Mahbubur Rahman Khan, Project Director, CBFM-2 Project, DOF and Md. Abul Hashem Sumon, Assistant Director, DOF. I would like to express my special gratitude to Dr. Gazi Nurul Islam for his cordial cooperation and assistance in data analysis and presentation.

I wish to thanks to my friends Dr. Nurul Amin, Shafiq, Altaf, Saynul, Rita, Laila, and Saukat in UPM for their cooperation, consistent support and encouragement. I must express my appreciation to the Enumerators who helped me in collecting data from the field for the study and the respondents who cooperated a lot during field survey. I am grateful to field staff of DOF and partner NGOs particularly Caritas, BRAC, CNRS, BS and Proshika for their extending cooperation during field survey.

Lastly, I want to express my deepest gratitude to my mother, late father, father-in-law, mother-in-law, sisters, brother and sister-in-laws for their inspiration, prayer and encouragement for my success. I always felt the pain of my indebtedness to wife Lucky and my sons Rafi and Rasfi who's had to be separated from me and had to lead a lonely life in Bangladesh during my study period. They sacrificed a lot in the course of my study which would never be paid.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 29 December, 2009 to conduct the final examination of **Golam Md. Shamsul Kabir** on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Governance, Empowerment and Benefits of co-management of Inland Openwater Fisheries in Bangladesh" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

KHAIRIL WAHIDIN AWANG, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

KHALID ABDUL RAHIM, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

MOHD RUSLI YACOB, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

INDAH SUSILOWATI, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics Diponegoro Univesity Indonesia (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

TAI SHZEE YEW, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

KUSAIRI MOHD. NOH

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

LAW SIONG HOOK, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 April 2010



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which
have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is
not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at
any other institution.

GOLAM MD. SHAMSUL KABIR

Date:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPROVAL DECLARATION LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		Page ii iii vi ix xi xiii xviii xxiii xxiii	
CHA	PTER		
1	INTRO	DUCTION	
		ckground of Fisheries Resources	1
		and Openwater Fisheries	2
		2.1 Types of Inland Openwater Fisheries and Access Rights	4
		2.2 Fishing Community in Bangladesh	8
		story of National Fisheries Policy Change	10
		3.1 New Fisheries Management Policy3.2 Open Access to Waterbody	12 15
		3.3 Leasing of Closed Waterbody	16
		3.4 National Fisheries Policy	16
		3.5 National Water Policy	17
		3.6 Stocking Enhancement Approach	17
		3.7 Beel Management by Leasing	18
		3.8 National Fisheries Strategy	19
		3.9 Poverty Reduction Strategy Program	20
	1.4 Sta	atement of the Problem	20
	1.5 Sig	gnificance of the Study	25
	1.6 Ob	ejectives of the Study	26
	1.7 Or	ganisation of the Study	26
2		VIEW OF FISHERIES CO-MANAGEMENT IN LADESH	
	2.1 Fis	heries Co-management in Bangladesh	28
		heries Co-management as Partnership	31
		reasing Community Involvement in Fisheries Management	33
		proaches for Fisheries Co-management ough CBFM Project	34
		-management Based Organisations as Institutional Building	36
	2.6 Co	nflict Resolution and Mitigation	39
3		ATURE REVIEW	
		ncept and Goal of Co-management	42
	3	.1.1 Key Factors for Successful Fisheries Co-management	45



3.1.2 Decentralisation and Co-management	46
3.1.3 Partnership and Capacity Building	48
3.1.4 Internal and External Support and Role	48
for Co-management	
3.1.5 Key Players of Co-management	49
3.1.6 Process of Co-management	50
3.1.7 Community Participation for Co-management	51
3.1.8 Benefits of Co-management	53
3.2 Property Rights and Theories of Community Management	55
3.2.1 Property Rights and Resource Management Regimes	56
3.2.2 Property Rights	59
3.2.3 De Facto and De Jure Property Rights	60
3.2.4 State Property Rights through Centralized Management	61
3.2.5 Property Rights, Incentives and Outcomes	62
3.2.6 Common Property Rights	63
3.2.7 Collective Action and game Theory Literature	64
3.3 Institutional Analysis	68
3.3.1 Institutions	68
3.3.2 Organisation	69 70
3.3.3 Institutional Arrangement3.3.4 Institutional Arrangements and Collective Action	70 77
3.3.5 Institutional Analysis and Development	79
3.3.6 Evaluation of Institutional Arrangements	80
3.4 Governance	82
3.4.1 Governance and Decentralisation	85
3.4.2 Mechanisms towards Betters Governance	86
3.5 Community Empowerment	88
3.6 Transaction Costs	91
3.6.1 Information Costs	93
3.6.2 Decision-Making Costs	93
3.6.3 Collective Costs	93
3.6.4 Measuring Transaction Costs	94
3.7 Conflict Management	96
3.7.1 Typology of Fishery Conflicts	99
3.7.2 Fishery Conflicting Paradigms	100
3.7.3 Conflict Assessment	101
3.7.4 Conflict Mitigation and Resolution	102
3.8 Empirical Evidences	104
3.8.1 Co-management and Institutional Arrangements	104
3.8.2 Transaction Costs	112
3.8.4 Conflict Management	113
3.9 Conceptual Framework	115
METHODOLOGY	100
4.1 Data Sources and Sampling Techniques	122
4.1.1 Regional Characteristics of the Study Area	123
4.1.2 Study Area Selection	125
4.1.3 Selection of Sample Waterbody	126
4.1.4 Selection of Sample Households	127



	4.1.5 Data Collection	128
	4.1.6 Data Processing and Data Cleaning	129
	4.2 Analysis Procedure	130
	4.2.1 Factor Analysis	130
	4.2.2 Construction of Indices of Co-management	132
	4.2.3 Descriptive Analysis	134
	4.3 Regression Analysis	134
	4.3.1 Level of Governance	134
	4.3.2 Level of Empowerment	135
	4.4 Transaction Costs	139
	4.5 Model Specification for Income	139
	4.6 Statistical Tests for Model Diagnostic Checking	142
	4.7 Conflict Management	144
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	5.1 Socio-demographic Background of the Respondents	146
	5.1.1 Gender	147
	5.1.2 Age	147
	5.1.3.Ethnicity	148
	5.1.4 Educational Attainment	150
	5.1.5 Occupation	151
	5.1.6 Income	153
	5.1.7 Land Ownership	157
	5.1.8 Ownership of Other Assets	158
	5.1.9 Income Generating Activities	160
	5.1.10 Fishing Equipment	162
	5.1.11 Fishing Access	164
	5.1.12 Capacity Building	165
	5.1.13 Group Dynamics	171
	5.1.14 Compliance and legitimacy	174
	5.1.15 Solidarity, Trust and Cooperation 5.1.16 Access to information	178 183
	5.1.17 Conflict Management 5.2 Transaction Cost	200 187
	5.3 Income Inequality	190
	5.4 Statistical Analysis	191
	5.4.1 Results of Factor Analysis	192
	5.5 Results of Regression Analysis	213
	5.5.1 Diagnostic Checks of Governance Regression Model	213
	5.5.2 Significance of Individual Variables of Regression	216
	Model of Governance	
	5.5.3 Diagnostic Checks of Empowerment Regression Model	224
	5.5.4 Significance of Individual Variables of Regression	225
	Model of Empowerment	
	5.5.5 Diagnostic Checks of Regression Model for Income	234
	5.5.6 Significance of Individual Variables of Regression	236
	Model of Income	



6 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMRENDATION FOR FURE STUDY 6.1 Introduction 245 6.2 Main Findings of the Study 251 6.3 Policy Implications and Recommendations 262 6.3.1 Policy on Improving Governance and Empowerment 262 6.3.2 Policy on Access Rights 264 6.3.3 Policy on Leasing Arrangement 265 6.3.4 Credit Disbursement Policy 266 6.3.5 Policy on Replication of CBFM approach 267 6.3.6 Policy on Conflict Resolution and Mitigation 268 6.3.7 National Policy on Inland Fisheries and PRSP 269 6.3.8 Policy on Compliance and Legitimacy 271 6.4 Limitation of the Study 271 6.5 Recommendations for Further Study 273 **REFERENCES** R.1 **APPENDIX A A.1** APPENDIX B B.1

BIODATA OF STUDENT



C.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Inland fisheries areas and productivity, 2005-2006	4
2.1 Major community involvement projects in Bangladesh	34
3.1 Level of Co-management	44
3.2 Conditions affecting the success of fisheries co-management	46
3.3 Idealized types of property-rights regimes relevant to common property resources	58
3.4 Decision-making arrangements in different property regimes	75
3.5 Choice of an individual in relation to the behavior of others	76
3.6 Design principles for viable and stable co-management regimes of institutional arrangements	78
3.7. Situation of institutions to operate	80
3.8 Performance criteria in fisheries co-management institution	81
3.9 Principles of institutional arrangements	82
3.10 Typology of fishery conflicts	99
3.11 A typology of tropical fisheries conflicts in three countries	114
4.1 Information on the study districts	124
4.2. CBFM waterbodies by region and type	125
4.3 Control waterbodies by location	126
1.4. Sample waterbodies by location	127
4.5. Sample waterbodies and households	127
4.6 Definition of variables and expected sign of variables in regression	136
4.7 Definition of variables for measuring governance and empowerment	137
4.8 Definition of variables and expected sign of variables in regression	140



5.1 Distribution of head of households by gender	147
5.2 Age distribution of head of households	148
5.3 Household religion groups by CBFM approach	149
5.4 Household religion groups by waterbody type	149
5.5 Educational attainment of household members by waterbody type	150
5.6 Educational attainment of household members by CBFM apprach	151
5.7 Head of households' occupation by waterbody type	152
5.8 Head of households' occupation by CBFM approach	152
5.9 Household annual income category by waterbody type	154
5.10 Household annual income category by CBFM approach	154
5.11 Sources of household income by waterbody type	156
5.12 Sources of household income by waterbody type	156
5.13 Change in household fishing income by waterbody type	157
5.14 Change in household fishing income by CBFM approach	157
5.15 Household land ownership by waterbody type	158
5.16 Household land ownership by CBFM approach	158
5.17 Household assets ownership by waterbody type	159
5.18 Household assets ownership by waterbody type	160
5.19 Household investment in AIG programs by waterbody type	161
5.20 Household investment in AIG programs by CBFM approach	161
5.21 Respondents' opinion on adequate credit support by waterbody type	162
5.22 Respondents" opinion on adequate credit support by CBFM approach	162
5.23 Household fishing gear ownership by waterbody type	163
5.24 Household fishing gear ownership by waterbody type	164
5.25 Training received by household by waterbody type	166



5.26 Training received by household by CBFM approach	167
5.27 Respondents' opinion on knowledge due to training by waterbody type	168
5.28 Meeting attended by household member by waterbody type	169
5.29 Meeting attended by household member by CBFM approach	170
5.30 Community meeting attended by waterbody type	171
5.31Community meeting attended by CBFM approach	171
5.32 Household perception in organisation by waterbody type	172
5.33 Household perception in organisation by CBFM approach	172
5.34 NGO's membership of household members by waterbody type	173
5.35 NGO's membership of household members by CBFM approach	173
5.36 Respondents' opinion on compliance and legitimacy by waterbody type	174
5.37 Respondents' opinion on compliance and legitimacy by CBFM approach	175
5.38 Respondents' opinion on fisheries rule breaking by waterbody type	176
5.39 Respondents' opinion on degree of compliance by waterbody type	178
5.40 Respondents' opinion on trust, cooperation and solidarity by waterbody	179
5.41 Respondents' opinion on trust, cooperation and solidarity by approach	179
5.42 Opinion of respondents' on solidarity, trust and cooperation waterbody	180
5.43 Opinion of respondents' on solidarity, trust and cooperation approach	181
5.44 Household access to information by waterbody type	182
5.45 Household access to information by CBFM approach	182
5.46 Present level of access to information by water body type	183
5.47 Respondent' opinion on community security by CBFM approach	184
5.48 Respondent' opinion on community security by water body type	184
5.49 Household heads' opinion on resolving conflicts water body type	185
5.50 Perception of respondents on major causes of conflicts in project sites	186



5.51 Perception of respondents on major causes of conflicts in control sites	186
5.52 Transaction costs by waterbody type	189
5.53 Transaction costs by CBFM approach	190
5.54 Values of Gini indices	191
5.55 Fisheries governance index in project sites	194
5.56 Fisheries governance index in control sites	195
5.57 Fisheries empowerment index in project sites	197
5.58 Fisheries empowerment index in control sites	199
5.59 Fisheries equity index in project sites	200
5.60 Fisheries equity index in control sites	201
5.61 Fisheries conflict management index in project sites	204
5.62 Fisheries conflict management index in control sites	221
5.63 Fisheries compliance and legitimacy index in project sites	205
5.64 Fisheries compliance and legitimacy index in control sites	206
5.65 Fisheries right index in project sites	208
5.66 Fisheries right index in control sites	209
5.67 Institutional arrangement index in project sites	210
5.68 Institutional arrangement index in control sites	212
5.69 Statistical test of factor analysis in project site	212
5.70 Statistical test of factor analysis in control site	212
5.73 Hypothesis testing for normality of the residuals	214
5.72 Range of values for VIF and TOL	214
5.73 Hypothesis testing for heteroscedasticity (B-P-G test)	215
5.74 Goodness of fit	216
5.75 Relationships between governance and other variables by water body type	218



	by CBFM approach	223
5.77]	Hypothesis testing for normality of the residuals	224
5.78]	Range of values for VIF and TOL	225
5.79]	Hypothesis testing for heteroscedasticity (B-P-G test)	225
5.80	Goodness of fit	226
	Relationships between empowerment and other variables by water body type	229
	Relationships between governance and other independent variables by CBFM approach	233
5.83]	Hypothesis testing for normality of the residuals	234
5.84]	Range of values for VIF and TOL	234
5.85]	Hypothesis testing for heteroscedasticity (B-P-G test)	235
5.86 (Goodness of fit	236
	Relationships between household income and other socio-economic variables by water body type	237
	Relationships between household income and other socio-economic variables by CBFM approach	244



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	
1.1 Allocation of Rights and Benefit Distribution under NFMP	14
2.1 Fisheries Co-management Model in Bangladesh	29
2.2 Schematic Model of Organisation of Fisheries Co-management	32
3.1 A Hierarchy of Co-management Arrangements	43
3.2 Framework for Analysing Fisheries Co-management Arrangements (IAD Framework)	72
3.3 The Empowerment Process	90
3.4 Process of Moving towards Co-management	95
3.5 The Schematic Flow Diagram of the Transaction Costs in Fisheries Co-management	96
3.6 Framework for Understanding and Resolving Conflicts	101
3.7 Conceptual Framework of Institutional Arrangements under Fisheries Co-management	117



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB Asian Development Bank

AIGA Alternative Income Generating Activity

baor An oxbow lake

BBS Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics

Beel Deepest part of a floodplain, often with a permanent area of

water/wetland

BMC Beel Management Committee

CBO Co-management Based Organisation

CBFM Community Based Fisheries Management

DANIDA Danish International Development Agency

DFID Department for International Development

DOF Department of Fisheries

EBSATA East Bengal Acquisition and Tenancy Act

FAP Flood Action Plan (a series of flood mitigation and water

management planning studies undertaken in 1990-1995)

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation

FFP Fourth Fisheries Project

FPCO Flood Plan Coordination Organisation

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GOB Government of Bangladesh

GO Government Organisation

haor Deeply flooded saucer shaped depression

ICF Inland Capture Fisheries Strategy

ICLARM International Center for Aquatic Resources Management

