

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES AND PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF GYNURA PROCUMBENS (LOUR.) MERR. AFFECTED BY SHADE AND PLANT DENSITY

OMAR ALI AHMED

FP 2021 4



PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES AND PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) MERR. AFFECTED BY SHADE AND PLANT DENSITY



Thesis Submission to the School of Graduate Studies, Unversiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To the spirit of my late father. To my precious mother, my wife and children (Ahmed, Maryam, Abdulrahman and Ali), my brothers and sisters who stood behind me and were supporting me all the time.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES AND PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) MERR. AFFECTED BY SHADE AND PLANT DENSITY

By

OMAR ALI AHMED

September 2020

Chairman: Faculty: Martini Mohammad Yusoff, PhD Agriculture

Gynura procumbens (Lour.) Merr., locally known as Sambung Nyawa, has been documented to possess high phytochemicals. Light intensity and plant density are known parameters that affect composition and quantity of phytochemicals in plants. The present 3-part study examined the effects of these parameters on growth and development of G. procumbens aimed at producing higher biomass yield with consistently high secondary metabolite contents. The first experiment was conducted to determine the effects of four levels of shades (0, 30, 50 and 70%) on growth, physiological attributes, biomass yield and phytochemical contents using nested design with four replications. Results showed significant effects of shade levels on plants grown under 30% shade recording high total leaf fresh weight (TLFW), total fresh weight (TFW), total leaf dry weight (TLDW) and total dry weight (TDW), with increased number of branches and higher crop growth rate. Control treatment (0% of shade) revealed the lowest fresh and dry biomass yield in TLFW, TFW, TLDW and TDW corresponding to low net photosynthesis rate, total chlorophyll content, leaf area and number of branches. Total phenol, flavonoid contents, C/N ratio and antioxidant activities decreased with increase in shade levels. The highest phenol and flavonoid yields per plant were recorded from 30% shade producing high biomass yield, while high phytochemical contents and antioxidant activities were recorded from control plants. The second experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of different shade levels (0 and 30% shade) and plant density (9, 15 and 25 plants m^{-2}) on shoot-root ratio (SRR) and its relationship with growth, physiology and phytochemical composition. Increasing shade level to 30% significantly affected shootroot ratio (SRR), total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC). Increasing plant density from 9 to 25 plants m⁻² resulted in significant decrease in SRR, whereas, TPC and TFC increased. Under stressed conditions in control and high plant density, size of above-ground parts was significantly reduced compared to below-ground parts which resulted in low SRR with high phytochemicals. In the third experiment, the effects of plant density and shade levels were evaluated on growth, physiological attributes, biomass yield and phytochemical contents using split-plot design with four replications. Results showed higher total leaf dry weight (TLDW) and total shoot dry

weight (TShDW) from 30% shade with 9 plants m⁻² density as reflected in high net photosynthesis rate, total chlorophyll content, leaf area and number of branches. Higher dry weight per square meter, TLDW m⁻² and TShDW m⁻² were observed from 25 plants m⁻² density which were associated with higher leaf area index. High total phenol, total flavonoid and antioxidant activities were also detected due to high C:N ratio and low protein content. The highest yield in phytochemical was recorded from 25 plants m⁻² density, implying that this density was the best approach for *G. procumbens* to balance the trade-off between biomass and quantity of secondary metabolites in achieving high photochemical contents with high biomass yield per unit area. In conclusion, the selection of appropriate light intensity and plant density improves both biomass yield and phytochemical composition of *G. procumbens*.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

TINDAK BALAS FISIOLOGI DAN KOMPOSISI FITOKIMIA Gynura procumbens (Lour.) MERR. DIPENGARUH NAUNGAN DAN KEPADATAN TANAMAN

Oleh

OMAR ALI AHMED

September 2020

Pengerusi: Fakulti: Martini Mohammad Yusoff, PhD Pertanian

Gynura procumbens (Lour.) Merr., dengan nama tempatan Sambung Nyawa, telah didokumen sebagai mengandungi fitokimia yang tinggi. Keamatan cahava dan kepadatan tanaman adalah parameter yang mempengaruhi komposisi dan kuantiti fitokimia tumbuhan. Kajian 3-bahagian ini meneliti kesan parameter tersebut ke atas pertumbuhan dan perkembangan G. procumbens bertujuan untuk menghasilkan biojisim dengan kandungan metabolit sekunder yang tinggi dan konsisten. Kajian pertama dijalankan untuk menentukan kesan empat paras naungan (0, 30, 50 dan 70%) ke atas pertumbuhan, sifat fisiologi, hasil biojisim dan kandungan fitokimia dalam reka bentuk nested dalam empat replikasi. Keputusan menunjukkan kesan ketara paras naungan ke atas tanaman di bawah naungan 30% dengan mencatatkan jumlah berat daun segar (TLFW), jumlah berat segar (TFW), jumlah berat kering daun (TLDW) dan jumlah berat kering (TDW) berserta penambahan bilangan dahan dan kadar tumbesaran tanaman yang tinggi. Rawatan kawalan (0% naungan) menghasilkan biojisim segar dan kering yang terendah bagi TLFW, TFW, TLDW dan TDW seiring dengan kadar fotosintesis bersih, jumlah kandungan klrofil, keluasan daun dan bilangan dahan yang rendah. Jumlah kandungan fenol dan flavonoid, nisbah C/N dan aktiviti antioksidan didapati mengurang dengan peningkatan paras naungan. Nilai tertinggi fenol dan flavonoid bagi setiap tanaman mencatatkan biojisim tertinggi daripada naungan 30%, sementara kandungan fitokimia dan aktiviti antioksidan yang tinggi dicatatkan daripada kawalan. Eksperimen kedua dijalankan untuk menilai kesan paras naungan (0 dan 30% naungan) dan kepadatan tanaman (9, 15 dan 25 pokok m⁻²) ke atas nisbah pucuk-akar (SRR) dan hubungannya dengan pertumbuhan, fisiologi dan komposisi fitokimia. Peningkatan naungan ke 30% memberi kesan yang ketara ke atas nisbah pucuk-akar (SRR), jumlah kandungan fenol (TPC) dan jumlah kandungan flavonoid (TFC). Peningkatan kepadatan tanaman daripada 9 ke 25 tanaman m⁻² menyebabkan pengurangan SRR, sementara TPC dan TFC meningkat dengan ketaranya. Dalam keadaan tekanan tanpa naungan dan kepadatan tanaman yang tinggi, saiz tanaman di bahagian atas tanah menyusut dengan ketara berbanding bahagian bawah menyebabkan SRR rendah, tetapi tinggi dalam fitokimia. Dalam eksperimen ketiga, kesan kepadatan tanaman dan paras naungan telah

dinilai dari segi pertumbuhan, sifat fisiologi, hasil biojisim dan kandungan fitokimia dengan menggunakan rekabentuk 'split-plot' dalam empat replikasi. Keputusan menunjukkan jumlah berat kering daun (TLDW) dan jumlah berat kering pucuk (TShDW) yang tinggi daripada paras naungan 30% dengan kepadatan 9 tanaman m⁻² sepertimana yang dilihat dalam kadar fotosintesis bersih, jumlah kandungan klorofil, keluasan daun dan bilangan dahan. Berat kering per meter persegi, TLDW m⁻² dan TShDW m⁻² dicatatkan daripada kepadatan 25 tanaman m⁻² yang berkaitan dengan indeks keluasan daun yang tinggi. Jumlah fenol, flavonoid dan aktiviti antioksidan yang tinggi juga direkodkan yang disebabkan oleh nisbah C: N yang tinggi dan kandungan protin yang rendah. Hasil tertinggi fitokimia yang telah direkodkan daripada kepadatan 25 tanaman m⁻² memberi implikasi bahawa kepadatan ini adalah pendekatan yang terbaik bagi G. procumbens untuk mengimbangkan pertukaran antara biojisim dan kuantiti metabolit sekunder dalam menghasilkan kandungan fitokimia yang tinggi dengan hasil biojisim per meter persegi yang tinggi. Pada kesimpulannya, penentuan intensity cahaya yang sesuai dan kepadatan tanaman boleh memperbaiki kedua-dua penghasilan biojisim dan komposisi fitokimia G. procumbens.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my special thanks to the government of Iraq and Diyala University for the scholarship of my PhD study. Also, my sincere thanks to the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Industries Malaysia for funding the NKEA Research Grant Scheme (NRGS) (Project number: NH1015A025) which covered the financial support in conducting my PhD study project.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and sincere appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Martini Mohammad Yusoff and my former supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Siti Aishah Binti Hassan for their supervision, contributions and constructive criticisms throughout my study. My sincere thanks also are indebted to my supervisory committee members Dr. Azizah Misran and Dr. Puteri Edaroyati Megat Wahab, for their help and support toward the completion of this study.

I also would like to thank Mr. Yusof Yasin, Mr. Mazlan Bangi, Mr. Khoiri Kandar, Mr. Azahar Othman, Mr. Haris Ahmad, Mr. Mohd Yunus ???, Mr. Mohd Norhaizan Saliudin and Mr. Jamil Omar for their kindness, help and cooperation in the lab as well as in the field which partially led to the successful completion of this PhD research project.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Martini Mohammad Yusoff, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Azizah Misran, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Puteri Edaroyati Megat Wahab, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 06 May 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

Page

i

11

14

15

16

ABSTRA	K				iii
ACKNO	WLEDG	EMENT	5		v
APPROV	/AL				vi
DECLAF	RATION	[viii
LIST OF	TABLE	S			xvii
LIST OF	FIGUR	ES			xix
СНАРТЕ	R				
1	INTR	ODUCTI	ON		1
	1,1	Backgro	und		1
	1.2	Objectiv	es of Study		3
		1.2.1	General C	bjective	3
		1.2.2	Specific C	Dejectives of Study	3
				and the second second	
2	LITE	RATURE	REVIEW	S	4
	2.1	Gynura	procumbens		4
		2.1.1	Taxonom	y, Morphology and Botanical Description	on 4
		2.1.2	Habitats a	nd Distribution	4
		2.1.3	Traditiona	al Use of G. procumbens	5
	2.2	Importa	nt Secondar	y Metabolites	6
	2.3	Medicin	al Plants		7
	2.4	Factors A	Affecting Pl	ant Growth, Physiology and	
		Phytoch	emicals Cor	ntents	8
		2.4.1	Light Inte	nsity	8
			2.4.1.1	Effects of Light Intensity on Plant	
				Growth and Physiology	8
			2.4.1.2	Effects of Light Intensity on	
				Phytochemical Contents	10
		2.4.2	Plant Den	sity	11
			2.4.2.1	Effects of Plant Density on Plant	

2.7Concluding remark163GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS183.1Experimental location183.2Planting materials and plant propagation183.3Measurements of variables19

Above- and Below- Ground Biomass Production and its Effect on Growth, Physiology and Phytochemical

Trade-off Between Biomass and Secondary Metabolites

2.4.2.2

Contents

2.5

2.6

3.3.1 Physiological responses 19

Growth and Physiology

Effects of Plant Density on Phytochemical Contents

		3.3.1.1	Photosynthesis rate, stomatal	
			conductance, transpiration rate and	
			water- use efficiency	19
		3.3.1.2	Chlorophyll content	19
	3.3.2	Growth r	parameters	20
		3.3.2.1	Plant height (cm)	20
		3.3.2.2	Number of branches	20
		3.3.2.3	Total leaf area (TLA), specific leaf	
			area (SLA) and leaf area index (LAI)	20
		3.3.2.4	Biomass vield	20
		3.3.2.5	Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relativ	re
		0.0.2.0	Growth Rate (RGR)	21
		3326	Shoot: Root Ratio (SRR)	21
	333	Carbon :	Nitrogen (C : N) Ratio	21
	334	Phytoche	emical constituents	21
	5.5.4	3341	Extraction	22
		3.3.4.1	Total phenolic content	22
		3.3.4.2	Total flavonoid content	22
	225	5.5.4.5	ant A stivities	22
	5.5.5		2 2 Dish and 1 sizedbudgered	23
		5.5.5.1	(DDDL) assau	22
		2252	(DPPH) assay	23
		3.3.3.2	Ferric reducing antioxidant power	22
	226	F1 .	(FRAP) assay	23
	3.3.0	Flavonoi	a compounds	23
3.4	water n	nanagemen	t and weed control	24
3.3	Data an	alysis		24
			TO CHEMICAL COMPOSITION O	-
4 PHYS				
	SIULUG	AND PH	Y IOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION O	OF QC
Gynu	ra procun	AND PH	ESPONSE TO SHADE LEVELS	۹۴ 25
<i>Gynu</i> . 4.1	ra procun Introduc	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction	ESPONSE TO SHADE LEVELS	9F 25 25
<i>Gynu</i> . 4.1 4.2	ra procun Introduc Materia	AND PH <i>ibens</i> IN R ction ls and Meth	SPONSE TO SHADE LEVELS	0F 25 25 26
<i>Gynu</i> . 4,1 4,2	ra procun Introduc Materia 4.2.1	and PH abens IN R ction ls and Meth Experiment	ental design and treatments	25 25 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4,1 4,2	ra procun Introduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction ls and Meth Experime Measure	ands ental design and treatments d variables	25 25 26 26 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4,1 4,2	<i>ra procum</i> Introduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction ls and Meth Experiment Measured 4.2.2.1	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and	25 25 26 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4,1 4,2	<i>ra procum</i> Introduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments	25 25 26 26 26 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4.1 4.2	<i>ra procum</i> Introduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2	and treatments ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4,1 4.2	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3	and and and and and and and and	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26
<i>Gynu.</i> 4,1 4.2 4.3	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH abens IN R ction ls and Meth Experime Measure 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr	athesis components	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction ls and Meth Experime Measure 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1	and treatments and treatments a variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys atthesis components Net photosynthesis rate (PN)	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction ls and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys thesis components Net photosynthesis rate (PN) Stomatal conductance (gs)	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 28
4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys thesis components Net photosynthesis rate (PN) Stomatal conductance (gs) Transpiration rate (E)	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 28 29
4.3 4.4	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyre 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4	ands ental design and treatments d variables Photosynthesis components and chlorophyll pigments Growth characteristics Phytochemical and antioxidant analys thesis components Net photosynthesis rate (<i>PN</i>) Stomatal conductance (<i>gs</i>) Transpiration rate (<i>E</i>) Water Use Efficiency (WUE)	PF 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 29 29 29
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosym 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme	atthesis components Net photosynthesis rate (<i>PN</i>) Stomatal conductance (<i>gs</i>) Transpiration rate (<i>E</i>) Water Use Efficiency (WUE) nts	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyre 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme 4.5.1.1	The second seco	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme 4.5.1.1 4.5.1.2	Transpiration rate (E) Water Use Efficiency (WUE) nots chlorophyll a (Chl-a) Chlorophyll b (Chl-b)	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme 4.5.1.1 4.5.1.2 4.5.1.3	The second seco	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1	AND PH <i>abens</i> IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyr 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme 4.5.1.1 4.5.1.2 4.5.1.3 4.5.1.4	The second seco	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
4.3 4.4 4.5	ntroduc Materia 4.2.1 4.2.2 Data an Results 4.4.1 Chlorop	AND PH abens IN R ction Is and Meth Experime Measured 4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2 4.2.2.3 alysis Photosyre 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 4.4.1.4 ohyll pigme 4.5.1.1 4.5.1.2 4.5.1.3 4.5.1.4 Growth p	The second seco	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 30 30 30 31 31 32 33

		4.5.2.2	Number of branches	33
		4.5.2.3	Total Leaf Area (LA)	34
		4.5.2.4	Specific Leaf Area (SLA)	35
	4.5.3	Fresh and	dry weights	36
		4.5.3.1	Total leaf fresh weight (TLFW)	36
		4.5.3.2	Total shoot fresh weight (TShFW)	36
		4.5.3.3	Total fresh weight (TFW)	37
		4.5.3.4	Total leaf dry weight (TLDW)	38
		4.5.3.5	Total shoot dry weight (TShDW)	38
		4.5.3.6	Total dry weight (TDW)	39
		4.5.3.7	Crop Growth Rate (CGR)	40
		4.5.3.8	Relative Growth Rate (RGR)	40
		4.5.3.9	Shoot-root ratio (SRR) and root-shoot	
			ratio (RSR)	41
	4.5.4	Protein and	d C: N ratio	43
		4.5.4.1	Crude protein content (CP)	43
		4.5.4.2	C: N Ratio	43
	4.5.5	Phytochen	nical Content	44
		4.5.5.1	Total phenolic content (TPC)	44
		4.5.5.2	Total phenol yield per total leaf dry	
			weight per plant (TP/plant)	45
		4.5.5.3	Total flavonoid content (TFC)	46
		4.5.5.4	Total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry	
			weight per plant (TF/plant)	47
	4.5.6	Antioxida	nt activity	48
		4.5.6.1	2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl	
			(DPPH) Assay	48
		4.5.6.2	Ferric reducing antioxidant power	
			(FRAP) assay	48
	4.5.7	Flavonoid	Acids	49
		4.5.7.1	Kaempferol-3-rotinoside (KMR)	49
		4.5.7.2	Kaempferol-3-glucoside (KMG)	50
		4.5.7.3	Myrecetin (MYR)	51
4.6	Discussio	n		54
4.7	Conclusio	on		60

5

EFFECTS OF SHADE AND PLANT DENSITY ON SHOOT-ROOT RATIO AND ITS RELATIONSHIPS WITH GROWTH AND PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF *Gynura* procumbens

procu	mbens			61
5.1	Introdu	ction		61
5.2	Materia	als and meth	ods	63
	5.2.1	Experim	ental designs and treatments	63
	5.2.2	Measure	ment of variables	63
		5.2.2.1	Shoot: Root Ratio (SRR) and	
			Root: Shoot Ratio (RSR)	63
		5.2.2.2	Photosynthesis components and	
			chlorophyll pigments	63
		5.2.2.3	Growth parameters	63
		5.2.2.4	Phytochemical and antioxidant	
			analyses	64

6.2	5.2.3	Data anal	lyses	64		
5.5	Results	C1 4		64		
	5.5.1	Shoot - r	ool railo (SKK)	04		
	5.5.2	Root-shoot ratio (KSK)				
	5.5.5	Photosyn	thesis Components	6/		
		5.5.5.1	Net Photosynthesis Rate (P/V)	6/		
		5.3.3.2	Stomatal conductance (g_s)	68		
		5.3.3.3	I ranspiration rate (E)	69		
		5.3.3.4	Water-Use Efficiency (WUE)	70		
	5.3.4	Chloroph	lyll Pigments	71		
		5.3.4.1	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (<i>Chl-a</i>)	/1		
		5.3.4.2	Chlorophyll <i>b</i> (<i>Chl-b</i>)	72		
		5.3.4.3	Total chlorophyll $(a+b)$	72		
		5.3.4.4	Chlorophyll <i>a:b</i> Ratio	73		
	5.3.5	Growth p	parameters	74		
		5.3.5.1	Plant height (cm)	74		
		5.3.5.2	Number of branches (N. branch)	75		
		5.3.5.3	Leaf area (LA)	76		
		5.3.5.4	Leaf area index (LAI)	77		
	5.3.6	Fresh and	Dry Weight	78		
		5.3.6.1	Total Leaf Fresh Weight Per Plant			
			(TLFW)	78		
		5.3.6.2	Total leaf fresh weight per square			
			meter (TLFW g m ⁻²)	79		
		5.3.6.3	Total Shoot Fresh Weight Per Plant			
			(TShFW)	80		
		5.3.6.4	Total Shoot Fresh Weight Per Square			
			Meter (TShFW m ⁻²)	81		
		5.3.6.5	Total fresh weight per plant (TFW)	82		
		5.3.6.6	(TFW m ⁻²)	83		
		5.3.6.7	Total leaf dry weight per plant	02		
			(TLDW)	84		
		5.3.6.8	Total leaf dry weight per square meter	-		
			$(TLDW m^{-2})$	85		
		5.3.6.9	Total shoot dry weight per plant			
			(TShDW)	86		
		5.3.6.10	Total Shoot Dry Weight Per Square			
			Meter (TShDW m ⁻²)	87		
		5.3.6.11	Total dry weight per plant (TDW)	88		
		5.3.6.12	Total Dry Weight Per Square Meter			
			$(TDW m^{-2})$	89		
		5.3.6.13	Crop growth rate (CGR)	90		
		5.3.6.14	Relative growth rate (RGR)	91		
	5.3.7	Protein an	nd C:N ratio	94		
		5.3.7.1	Crude protein content	94		
		5.3.7.2	C: N Ratio	94		
	5.3.8	Phytoche	mical contents	95		
		5.3.8.1	Total phenolic content (TPC)	95		
		5.3.8.2	Total phenolic yield per total leaf dry			
			weight per plant (TP/plant)	96		

G

		5.3.8.3	Total phenolic yield per total leaf dry	
			weight per square meter (TP/m ⁻²)	97
		5.3.8.4	Total flavonoid content (TFC)	98
		5.3.8.5	Total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry	
			weight per plant (TF/plant)	99
		5.3.8.6	Total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry	
			weight per square meter (TF/m ²)	100
	5.3.9	Antioxida	nt activity	101
		5.3.9.1	2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl	
			(DPPH) assay	101
		5.3.9.2	Ferric reducing antioxidant power	
			(FRAP) assay	102
	5.3.10	Flavonoid	acids	103
		5.3.10.1	Kaempferol-3- rotinoside (KMR)	103
		5.3.10.2	Kaempferol-3-glucoside (KMG)	104
		5 3 10 3	Myrecetin (MYR)	105
54	Discussio	010		108
5.5	Conclusi	on		117
5.5	Conciusi	on		11/
PHYS	IOLOGY	BIOMAS	S VIELD AND PHYTOCHEMICAL	
COM	POSITIO	N OF Gyni	ura procumbens IN RESPONSE TO	
SHAF	F LEVE	IS AND PI	ANT DENSITY	118
6 1	Introduct	tion		118
6.2	Material	and metho	ode	110
0.2	6 2 1	Experime	ntal design and treatments	110
	6.2.2	Massuran	ant of variables	120
	0.2.2	6 2 2 1	Photosynthesis components and	120
		0.2.2.1	ablerenbull nigments	120
		6222	Crowth governmenters	120
		0.2.2.2	Direct of an inclusion in the second	120
		0.2.2.3	Phytochemical and antioxidant	120
	(1)	Dete anali	anaryses	120
62	0.2.3 D14-	Data anar	ysis	120
0.3	Results		1 .	121
	6.3.1	Photosynt	inesis components	121
		6.3.1.1	Net photosynthesis rate (P/V)	121
		6.3.1.2	Stomatal conductance (gs)	122
		6.3.1.3	Transpiration rate (E)	123
	())	6.3.1.4	Water use efficiency (WUE)	123
	6.3.2	Chlorophy	yll pigments	124
		6.3.2.1	Chlorophyll a (Chl a)	124
		6.3.2.2	Chlorophyll <i>b</i>	125
		6.3.2.3	Total chlorophyll (<i>Chl</i> $a+b$)	125
		6.3.2.4	Chlorophyll <i>a:b</i> ratio	126
	6.3.3	Growth pa	arameters	127
		6.3.3.1	Plant height (cm)	127
		6.3.3.2	Number of branches (N. branch)	127
		6.3.3.3	Leaf area (LA)	128
		6.3.3.4	Leaf area index (LAI)	129
	6.3.4	Fresh and	dry weight	130
		6.3.4.1	Total leaf fresh weight per	
			plant (TLFW)	130

	٠	
х	1	V

			6.3.4.2	Total leaf fresh weight per square	
				meter (TLFW m ⁻²)	131
			6.3.4.3	Total shoot fresh weight per plant (TShFW)	132
			6.3.4.4	Total shoot fresh weight per square	
				meter (TShFW m ⁻²)	133
			6.3.4.5	Total leaf dry weight per plant	
				(TLDW)	134
			6.3.4.6	Total Leaf Dry Weight Per Square	
				Meter (TLDW m ⁻²)	134
			6.3.4.7	Total shoot dry weight per plant	
				(TShDW)	135
			6.3.4.8	Total shoot dry weight per square	
				meter (TShDW m ⁻²)	136
			6.3.4.9	Crop growth rate (CGR)	137
			6.3.4.10	Shoot relative growth rate (RGR)	138
		6.3.5	Protein an	nd C:N ratio	140
			6.3.5.1	Crude protein content	140
		6.3.6	C:N ratio		140
		6.3.7	Phytoche	mical content	141
			6.3.7.1	Total phenolic content (TPC)	141
			6.3.7.2	Total phenol yield per total leaf dry	
				weight per plant (TP/plant)	142
			6.3.7.3	Total phenol yield per total leaf dry	
				weight per square meter (TP/m ⁻²)	143
			6.3.7.4	Total flavonoid content (TFC)	143
			6.3.7.5	Total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry	
				weight per plant (TF/plant)	144
			6.3.7.6	Total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry	
				weight per square meter (TF/m ²)	145
		6.3.8	Antioxida	ant activity	146
			6.3.8.1	2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl	
				(DPPH) assay	146
			6.3.8.2	Ferric reducing antioxidant power	
				(FRAP) assay	147
		6.3.9	Flavonoic	1 acids	148
			6.3.9.1	Kaempferol-3- rotinoside (KMR)	148
			6.3.9.2	Kaempferol-3- glucoside (KMG)	149
			6.3.9.3	Myrecetin (MYR)	150
	6.4	Discuss	ion		154
	6.5	Conclus	sion		161
7	SUM	MARY, G	GENERAL	CONCLUSION AND	
	RECO	OMMENI	DATIONS	FOR FUTURE STUDIES	162
	7.1	Summar	ry		162
	7.2	General	Conclusion	1	163
	7.3	Recomm	nendations t	for Future Studies	164

REFERENCES	165
APPENDICES	193
BIODATA OF STUDENT	223
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	224



 (\mathcal{G})

LIST OF TABLES

Table

- 2.1 Botanical Classification of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr.
- 2.2 Vernacular names for *G. procumbens*
- 4.1 Soil analysis at the beginning of experiment I.
- 4.2 Correlation coefficients (r) between photosynthesis rate (PN), chlorophyll content(Chl) a+b, plant height (PH), number of branches (Nb), leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA), total leaf fresh weight (LFW), total leaf dry weight (LDW), total fresh weight (TFW), total dry weight (TDW), crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), root-shoot ratio (RSR) and shoot-rootratio (SRR) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels
- 4.3 Correlation coefficients (r) between net photosynthesis rate (PN), chlorophyll content (Ch) a+b, leaf area(LA), total leaf dry weight (LDW), total dry weight (TDW), shoot-root ratio (SRR), carbonnitrogen ratio (C:N), crude protein (CP), total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and ferric reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels
- 4.4 Correlation coefficients (r) between total leaf dry weight (TLDW), total dry weight (TDW), carbon- nitrogen ratio (C:N), crude protein (CP), total phenolic content (TPC), total phenolic yield (TP/plant), total flavonoid content (TFC), total flavonoid yield (TF/plant), Kaempferol-3-rotinoside (KMR), Kaempferol-3-glucoside (KMG) and myrecetin (MYR) of G. procumbens under different shade levels.

5.1 Soil analysis at the beginning of Experiment II.

- 5.2 Correlation coefficient (r) between shoot-root ratio (SRR), root-shoot ratio (RSR), photosynthesis rate (Pn), chlorophyll content a+b (Ch), plant height (PH), number of branches(Nb), leaf area(LA), leaf area index (LAI), total fresh weight (TFW), total leaf dry weight (LDW), total shoot dry weight (ShDW), total root dry weight (RDW), total dry weight (TDW), total dry weight per square meter (TDW m⁻²), crop growth rate (CGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels and nitrogen rates.
- 5.3 Correlation coefficient (r) between shoot-root ratio (SRR), root-shoot ratio (RSR), total leaf dry weight(TLDW), total leaf dry weight per square meter (TLDW/m2), crude protein content (CP), C:N ratio, total phenolic content (TPC), total phenolic per total leaf dry weight (TP/plant), total phenolic per total leaf dry weight per square meter

Page 5

5

27

42

53 64

(TP/m2), total flavonoid content (TFC), total flavonoid per total leaf dry weight (TF/plant), total flavonoid per total leaf dry weight per square meter (TF/m2) of G. procumbens under different shade levels and nitrogen rate

- 5.4 Correlation coefficient (r) between shoot-root ratio (SRR), root-shoot ratio (RSR), total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), antioxidant activity (DPPH and FRAP) and flavonoids acid: kaempferol-3-rotinoside (KMR), Kaempferol-3-glucoside (KMG) and myrecetin (MYR) of G. procumbens under different shade levels and plant density
- 6.1 Soil analysis at the beginning of Experiment III.
- 6.2 Correlation coefficient (r) between photosynthesis rate (PN), chlorophyll content a+b (Chl), plant height (PH), number of branches (Nb), leaf area (LA), leaf area index (LAI), total leaf dry weight (TLDW), total leaf dry weight per square meter (TLDW/m²), total shoot dry weight (TShDW), total shoot dry weight per square meter (TShDW/m²), crop growth rate of shoot (CGR) and relative growth rate of shoot (RGR) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels and plant density
- 6.3 Correlation coefficient (r) between total leaf dry weight(TLDW), total leaf dry weight per square meter (TLDW/m²), total phenolic content (TPC), total phenolic per total leaf dry weight (TP/plant), total phenolic per total leaf dry weight per square meter (TP/ m²), total flavonoid content

(TFC), total flavonoid per total leaf dry weight (TF/plant) and total flavonoid per total leaf dry weight per square meter (TF/ m^2) of G. procumbens under different shade levels and plant density.

6.4 Correlation coefficient (r) between crude protein (CP), carbon-nitrogen ratio (C: N), total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), kaempferol-3- rotinoside (KMR), kaempferol-3- glucoside (KMG) and myrecetin (MYR) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levelsand plant densities.

107 121

139

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig	gure		Page
2.	2.1	Chemical structures of some phenolic acids and flavonoids extracted from leaves of G. procumbens (Yusoff et al., 2019).	7
4.	.1	Effects of shade levels on net photosynthesis rate (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	28
4.	.2	Photosynthetic response curve under different light intensities in <i>G. procumbens</i> ($n=16$)	28
4.3	.3	Effects of shade levels on transpiration rate (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) in <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	29
4.	.4	Effects of shade levels on water use efficiency in <i>G. procumbens</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	30
4.	4.5	Effects of shade levels on Chlorophyll <i>a</i> content (mg g ⁻¹ FW) in <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	30
4.	.6	Effects of shade levels on total chlorophyll $(a + b)$ content (mg g ⁻¹ FW) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	31
4.	.7	Total chlorophyll $(a + b)$ content response curve of <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> under different light intensities $(n = 16)$	32
4.	.8	Effects of shade levels on Chlorophyll <i>a b</i> ratio in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	32
4.	.9	Effects of shade levels on plant height (cm) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	33
4.	.10	Effects of shade levels on number of branches in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	34
4.	.11	Effect of shade levels on total leaf area (cm ²) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	34

4.12	Total leaf area response curve of <i>G. procumbens</i> under different light intensities	35
4.13	Effects of shade levels on specific leaf area (cm ² g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	35
4.14	Effects of shade levels on total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	36
4.15	Effects of shade levels on total shoot fresh weight (TShFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	37
4.16	Effects of shade levels on total fresh weight (TFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of G. procumbens. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	37
4.17	Effects of shade levels on total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of G. procumbens. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	38
4.18	Effects of shade levels on total shoot dry weight (TShDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	39
4.19	Effects of shade levels on total dry weight (TDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	39
4.20	Effect of shade levels on crop growth rate (CGR) (g day ⁻¹) of G. procumbens. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	40
4.21	Effects of shade levels on relative growth rate (g g ^{-1 d}) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	41
4.22	Effects of shade levels on crude protein contents (CP) (%) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	43
4.23	Effects of shade levels on C: N ratio of G. procumbens. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	44
4.24	Effects of shade levels on total phenolic content (TPC) (mg GAE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	45

4.25	Total phenolic content (TPC) response curves of <i>G. procumbens</i> under different light intensities ($n=16$)	45
4.26	Effects of shade levels on total phenolic yield per total leaf dry weight per plant (TP) (mg GAE/plant) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	46
4.27	Effects of shade levels on total flavonoid content (TFC) (mg CE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	46
4.28	Total flavonoid content response curve under different light intensities on G. procumbens ($n=16$)	47
4.29	Effects of shade levels on total flavonoid yield per total leaf dry weight per plant (TF) (mg CE/plant) <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	47
4.30	Effects of shade levels on 2,2-diphynyl-1-picylhydrazyll (DPPH) activity of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	48
4.31	Effects of shade levels on ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) activity of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	49
4.32	Effects of shade levels on kaempferol-3-rotinoside (KMR) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	50
4.33	Effects of shade levels on kaempferol-3- glucoside (KMG) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	50
4.34	Effects of shade levels on myrecetin (MYR) (mg g ⁻¹) in <i>G. procumbens</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	51
5.1	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on shoot-root ratio (SRR) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	65
5.2	Effects of plant density and plant age on shoot-root (SRR) ratio of <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	66
5.3	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on root-shoot ratio of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	66

	5.4	Effects of plant density and plant age on root shoot ratio (RSR) of <i>G. procumbens</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	67
	5.5	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on net photosynthesis rate (PN) (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	68
	5.6	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on stomatal conductance (g_s) (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	69
	5.7	Effect of shade level (A) and plant density (B) on transpiration rate (E) (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	70
	5.8	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on water-use efficiency (WUE) (μ mol mmol ⁻¹) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	71
	5.9	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on chlorophyll <i>a</i> content (<i>Chl-a</i>) (mg g ⁻¹ FW) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	72
	5.10	Effects of shade level (A) and plant density (B) on total chlorophyll content $(a + b)$ (mg g ⁻¹ FW) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	73
	5.11	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on chlorophyll <i>ab</i> ratio of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	74
	5.12	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on plant height (cm) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	75
	5.13	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on number of branches of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	76
	5.14	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on leaf area (LA) (cm ²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $n \le 0.05$ using LSD test	77
	5.15	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on leaf area index (LAI) of <i>G. procumbens.</i> Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	78

5.16	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	79
5.17	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	80
5.18	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot fresh weight (TShFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	81
5.19	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot fresh weight (TShFW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	82
5.20	Effects of shade levels(A) and plant density (B) on total fresh weight (TFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	83
5.21	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total fresh weight (TFW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	84
5.22	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	85
5.23	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	86
5.24	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot dry weight (TShDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	87
5.25	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot dry weight (TShDW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	88
5.26	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total dry weight (TDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	89
5.27	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total dry weight (TDW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	90

	5.28	Effect of shade level (A) and plant density (B) on crop growth rate (CGR) (g day ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	91
	5.29	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on relative growth rate (RGR) (g g ^{-1 d}) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	92
	5.30	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on crude protein content (%) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	94
	5.31	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on C N ratio of G. procumbens. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	95
	5.32	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic content (TPC) (mg GAE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	96
	5.33	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic yield (TP) (mg GAE/plant) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	97
	5.34	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic yield (TP) (mg GAE/ m ²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	98
	5.35	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid content (TFC) (mg CE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	99
	5.36	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid yield (TF) (mg CE/plant) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	100
	5.37	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid yield (TF) (mg CE/m ²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	101
	5.38	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on 2, 2 diphenyl-1- picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) activity of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	102
	5.39	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) activity of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD	102
		test.	103

5.40	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on kaempferol 3-rotinoside (KMR) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	104
5.41	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on kaempferol-3-glucoside (KMG) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	105
5.42	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on myrecetin (MYR) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	105
6.1	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on net photosynthetic rate (PN) (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	122
6.2	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on stomatal conductance (gs) (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	122
6.3	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on transpiration rate (E) (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	123
6.4	Effect of shade level (A) and plant density (B) on water use efficiency (WUE) (μ mol mmol ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	124
6.5	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on chlorophyll a content (<i>Chl-a</i>) (mg g ⁻¹ FW) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	125
6.6	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total chlorophyll ($a + b$) content (mg g ⁻¹ FW) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	126
6.7	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on chlorophyll <i>ab</i> ratio of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	126
6.8	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on plant height (cm) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	127
6.9	Effects of shade levels and plant density on number of branches of <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	128

	6.10	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on leaf area (cm ²) of <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	129
	6.11	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on leaf area index of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	130
	6.12	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	131
	6.13	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	132
	6.14	Effects of shade levels (SL) and plant density on total shoot fresh weight (TShFW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	133
	6.15	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot fresh weight (TShFW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	133
	6.16	Effects of shade levels and plant density on total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	134
	6.17	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	135
	6.18	Effects of shade levels and plant density on total shoot dry weight (TShDW) (g plant ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	136
	6.19	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total shoot dry weight (TShDW) (g m ⁻²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	137
	6.20	Effects of shade levels and plant density on crop growth rate (CGR) (g day ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	137
	6.21	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on shoot relative growth rate (RGR) (g g ^{-1 d}) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	138

6.22	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on crude protein content (%) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	140
6.23	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on CN ratio of <i>G</i> . <i>procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	141
6.24	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic content (TPC) (mg GAE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	142
6.25	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic yield (TP) (mg GAE/plant) on <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	142
6.26	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total phenolic yield (TP) (mg GAE/m ²) in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	143
6.27	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid content (TFC) (mg CE/g) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	144
6.28	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid yield (TF) (mg CE/plant) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	145
6.29	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on total flavonoid yield (TF) (mg CE/m ²) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	146
6.30	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on 2,2-Diphenyl 1- picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	147
6.31	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay in <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	148
6.32	Effect of shade level (A) and plant density (B) on kaempferol-3 rotinoside (KMR) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	149
6.33	Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on kaempferol 3- glucoside (KMG) (mg g ⁻¹) of <i>G. procumbens</i> . Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.	150

6.34 Effects of shade levels (A) and plant density (B) on myrecetin (MYR) (mg g⁻¹) of *G. procumbens*. Means with different letters indicate significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ using LSD test.



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Presently, medicinal plants play an important role in the provision of remedies for human ailments of much of the world's population, with undoubted increase in demand both herbal medicinal products and beneficial plant raw materials being traded. Medicinal plants have been truly valuable sources of herbal products and stand as important sources of food and medicines especially in developing countries (Chen et al., 2016). It has been recorded that more than 80% of population in developing countries are dependent on herbal drugs for their primary healthcare, and more than 25% of prescribed medicines in developed countries are resultant from wild plant species (Dubey et al., 2004; Hamilton, 2004; Jasemi et al., 2016). Recently, there exists a global trend of increasing acceptance for many popular and effective plant species in Europe, North America, and Asia, with growth in demand of between 8 and 15% per year due to increasing knowledge in herbal medicines because of fewer or no side-effects compared to conventional medicines (Grunwald & Buttel, 1996). In this context, world trade volume of medicinal plants has been recorded to be more than US\$43 billion and has been predicted to reach US\$5 trillion by the year 2050 (Mashayekhan et al., 2016; Niyaki et al., 2011).

Gynura procumbens is one of the well-known medicinal herbs found in Southeast Asia. It has long been used as a vegetable and medicinal plant (Yam et al., 2009). As traditional medicine, the leaves were used for treatment of diseases caused by oxidative stresses, such as inflammation, cancer, diabetes and hypertension as well as other general diseases (Perry & Metzger, 1980).

In Malaysia, fresh leaves are usually consumed raw as salads and also used as one of ingredients in a number of dishes (Hew & Gam, 2011). In Thailand, the leaves are commonly consumed raw or boiled and eaten with chili paste or used in curries, as well as garnishing or ingredient in soups and entrees. Presently, people in the Tropics are consuming an increasing amount of G. procumbens leaves, believing that this vegetable can cure several illnesses and diseases (Akowuah et al., 2002; Yusoff et al., 2019).

Literature has it that the leaves or leaf extracts of G. procumbens have numerous beneficial health properties including anti-herpes simplex virus (Nawawi et al., 1999), antihyperglycemic (Akowuah et al., 2002; Li et al., 2009), antihyperlipidemic (Zhang & Tan, 2000), anti-inflammatory (Iskander et al., 2002), anti-carcinogenic (Agustina et al., 2006), blood hypertension reduction capabilities (Hoe et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2006), anti-proliferative on human mesangial cells (Lee et al., 2007), antioxidative (Puangpronpitag et al., 2010; Yam et al., 2009; Yam et al., 2008), and antiulcerogenic (Mahmood et al., 2010). On the other hand, leaves of G. procumbens do not have any toxic effects (Rohin et al., 2018; Yam et al., 2009).

Undoubtedly, advances in plant biochemistry and herbal medicines bring forth the need for experimental evidence for the benefits derived from them. Over the past decades, hundreds of phytochemicals have been identified in plants (Khaled-Khodja et al., 2014). The most extensively studied group of plant secondary metabolites has been polyphenols including flavonoids, phenolic acids, stilbenes, lignans and others (Sung et al., 2016). In this regard, several studies have reported the presence of secondary metabolites with health benefits when consuming G. procumbens mainly related to a number of bioactive compounds such as saponins, flavonoids and terpenoids (Akowuah et al., 2002; Kaewseejan et al., 2015).

The quality of medicinal plants is mainly determined by their superior genotypes and high biomass yield with consistent secondary metabolite contents (Kozai et al., 2005). Generally, environmental factors have remarkable effects on secondary metabolite biosynthesis (Seigler, 1996). Due to the unpredictability of other abiotic factors, high quality medicinal plants can only be produced under controlled environments (Zobayed et al., 2005). Agronomic practices have been reported to be the key factor in influencing quantitative and qualitative characteristics of herbal medicines (Chikezie et al., 2015). The major advantages of growing plants under controlled environments include optimization of plant biomass and consistency, and sustenance of quality of bioactive compounds. Environmental factors such as light intensity can significantly improve growth and alter metabolite concentrations (Ma et al., 2010).

Light intensity which affects many physiological processes in plants is one of the most important environmental factors affecting plant's survival, growth, reproduction and distribution (Keller & Lüttge, 2005; Kumar et al., 2011). Phenol and flavonoid biosyntheses are enhanced by light. Flavonoid formation is definitely light-dependent, and its biosynthetic rate is related to light intensity (Ghasemzadeh et al., 2010). However, different plants respond differently to light intensity which results in differences in their production of secondary metabolites (Nasiri, 2016).

Plant density is also one of the important agronomic practices for optimal use of the environmental resources, as it has a major role in the regulation of plant competition within the plant canopy (Singh et al., 2006). Optimal plant population enhances the capacity of the canopy to capture environmental resources such as light, water, and nutrients (Rossini et al., 2011).

Due to various reported health benefits of G. procumbens, commercialization of the species appears to be a promising market. In this regard, optimization of plant productivity would be a promoting key to encourage investment. As earlier mentioned, agricultural practices have significant effects on medicinal plants yield and productivity. Despite their importance as yield-limiting factors in herbal crops, documented information on their effects on physiological responses, biomass yield and chemical compositions of G. procumbens under local conditions is scarcely available. This lack of information is the major hindrance in exploiting this economically important herb. Thus, further research on the issues is crucial and justified to be conducted.

1.2 Objectives of Study

1.2.1 General Objective

The general objective of the present study was to optimize cultivation practices for G. procumbens for high biomass and phytochemical contents under Malaysian conditions. This is an initiative to meet the ever-growing demand for G. procumbens as a natural source of food, natural antioxidants and alternative remedy for treatments of an assortment of health issues.

1.2.2 Specific Objectives of Study

The present study had the following specific objectives:

- a) To determine growth, physiological responses, biomass yield and phytochemical contents of *G. procumbens* as affected by different shade levels.
- b) To evaluate the effects of plant density on growth, physiological responses and secondary metabolites of *G. procumbens* at two different shade levels.
- c) To investigate and determine the relationship between shoot and root systems on phytochemical contents of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels and planting density.

REFERENCES

- Abdal Dayem, A., Choi, H. Y., Yang, G.-M., Kim, K., Saha, S. K., & Cho, S.-G. (2016). The anti-cancer effect of polyphenols against breast cancer and cancer stem cells: Molecular mechanisms. *Nutrients*, 8(9), 581.
- Abdullah, T. L., Misrol, M. Z., Stanslas, J., Abd Aziz, M., & Goh, L. P. (2014). Effect of shade and morphological characterization of Janggut Adam (*Tacca sp.*) as a promising native ornamental and medicinal plant. *Innovative Plant Productivity and Quality*, 13(22),13-15.
- Abrams, M. D., & Mostoller, S. A. (1995). Gas exchange, leaf structure and nitrogen in contrasting successional tree species growing in open and understory sites during a drought. *Tree Physiology*, 15(6), 361–370.
- Adamson, H. Y., Chow, W. S., Anderson, J. M., Vesk, M., & Sutherland, M. W. (1991). Photosynthetic acclimation of *Tradescantia albiflora* to growth irradiance: morphological, ultrastructural and growth responses. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 82(3), 353–359.
- Agustina, D., Wasito, W., Haryana, S. M., & Supartinah, A. (2006). Anticarcinogenesis effect of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour) Merr on tongue carcinogenesis in 4NQO-induced rat. *Dental Journal (Majalah Kedokteran Gigi)*, 39(3), 126–132.
- Ajithkumar, K., Jayachandran, B. K., & Ravi, V. (2002). Influence of shade regimes om photosynthetic rate and stomatal characters of ginger (*Zingiber* officinale R.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops, 11(1), 26-29.
- Akowuah, G. A., Sadikun, A., & Mariam, A. (2002). Flavonoid identification and hypoglycaemic studies of the butanol fraction from *Gynura procumbens*. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 40(6), 405–410.
- Albrizio, R., & Steduto, P. (2005). Resource use efficiency of field-grown sunflower, sorghum, wheat and chickpea: I. Radiation use efficiency. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 130(3–4), 254–268.
- Albayrak, S., & Çamas, N. (2007). Effects of temperature and light intensity on growth of fodder beet (*Beta vulgaris* L. var. crassa Mansf.). *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 36(1), 1-12.
- Ali, A., Ahmad, A., Khaliq, T., & Akhtar, J. (2012). Planting density and nitrogen rates optimization for growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hybrids. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 22(4), 1070–1075.
- Amador, B., Nieto-Garibay, A., López-Aguilar, R., Troyo-Diéguez, E., Rueda-Puente, E. O., Flores-Hernández, A., & Ruiz-Espinoza, F. H. (2013).
 Physiological, morphometric characteristics and yield of *Origanum vulgare* L. and Thymus vulgaris L. exposed to open-field and shade-enclosure. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 49, 659-667.
- An, H., & Shangguan, Z. P. (2009). Effects of light intensity and nitrogen application on the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of *Trifolium repens* L. *Shengtai Xuebao/Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 29, 6017–6024.

- Ann Lila, M. (2006). The nature-versus-nurture debate on bioactive phytochemicals: the genome versus terroir. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *86*(15), 2510–2515.
- Anten, N. P. R., Schieving, F., Medina, E., Werger, M. J. A., & Schuffelen, P. (1995). Optimal leaf area indices in C3 and C4 mono-and dicotyledonous species at low and high nitrogen availability. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 95(4), 541–550.
- Antonietta, M., Fanello, D. D., Acciaresi, H. A., & Guiamet, J. J. (2014). Senescence and yield responses to plant density in stay green and earlier-senescing maize hybrids from Argentina. *Field Crops Research*, 155, 111–119.
- Anttonen, M. J., Hoppula, K. I., Nestby, R., Verheul, M. J., & Karjalainen, R. O. (2006). Influence of fertilization, mulch color, early forcing, fruit order, planting date, shading, growing environment, and genotype on the contents of selected phenolics in strawberry (*Fragaria*× ananassa Duch.) fruits. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 54(7), 2614–2620.
- Ao, C., Li, A., Elzaawely, A. A., Xuan, T. D., & Tawata, S. (2008). Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Ficus microcarpa* L. fil. extract. *Food control*, 19(10), 940-948.
- Aphalo, P. J., Ballare, C. L., & Scopel, A. L. (1999). Plant-plant signalling, the shadeavoidance response and competition. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 50(340), 1629–1634.
- Arabaci, O., & Bayram, E. (2004). The effect of nitrogen fertilization and different plant densities on some agronomic and technologic characteristic of *Ocimum basilicum* L.(basil). *Journal of Agronomy*, 3(4), 255–262.
- Araya, T., von Wirén, N., & Takahashi, H. (2016). CLE peptide signaling and nitrogen interactions in plant root development. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 91(6), 607–615.
- Arens, N. C. (1997). Responses of leaf anatomy to light environment in the tree fern *Cyathea caracasana* (Cyatheaceae) and its application to some ancient seed ferns. *Palaios*, 12 (1), 84–94.
- Arora, A., Nair, M. G., & Strasburg, G. M. (1998). Structure–activity relationships for antioxidant activities of a series of flavonoids in a liposomal system. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 24(9), 1355–1363.
- Arthur, H. R. (1954). A phytochemical survey of some plants of North Borneo. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, 6(1), 66–72.
- Awais, M., Wajid, A., Ahmad, A., Saleem, M., Bashir, M., Saeed, U., Hussain, J., & Habib-ur-Rahman, M. H. (2015). Nitrogen fertilization and narrow plant spacing stimulates sunflower productivity. *Turkish Journal Of Field Crops*, 20(1), 99–108.
- Bailey, S., Walters, R. G., Jansson, S., & Horton, P. (2001). Acclimation of Arabidopsis thaliana to the light environment: the existence of separate low light and high light responses. Planta, 213(5), 794–801.

- Bardgett, R. D., Mommer, L., & De Vries, F. T. (2014). Going underground: root traits as drivers of ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(12), 692–699.
- Barros, L., Baptista, P., & Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2007). Effect of *Lactarius piperatus* fruiting body maturity stage on antioxidant activity measured by several biochemical assays. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 45(9), 1731–1737.
- Baselga, A. (2012). The relationship between species replacement and dissimilarity derived from turnover and nestedness. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *9*, 134–143.
- Bazzaz, F. A., Chiariello, N. R., Coley, P. D., & Pitelka, L. F. (1987). Allocating resources to reproduction and defense. *BioScience*, *37*(1), 58–67.
- Bessada, S. M. F., Barreira, J. C. M., Barros, L., Ferreira, I. C. F. R., & Oliveira, M.
 B. P. P. (2016). Phenolic profile and antioxidant activity of *Coleostephus myconis* (L.) Rchb. f.: An underexploited and highly disseminated species. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 89, 45–51.
- Biederman, L. A., & Harpole, W. S. (2013). Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis. *GCB Bioenergy*, 5(2), 202–214.
- Bloom, A. J., Chapin III, F. S., & Mooney, H. A. (1985). Resource limitation in plantsan economic analogy. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 16(1), 363–392.
- Bo, W. A. N. G., Tao, L. A. I., Huang, Q. W., Xing-Ming, Y. A. N. G., & Qi-Rong, S. H. E. N. (2009). Effect of N fertilizers on root growth and endogenous hormones in strawberry. *Pedosphere*, 19(1), 86-95.
- Bolinder, M. A., Angers, D. A., Bélanger, G., Michaud, R., & Laverdière, M. R. (2002). Root biomass and shoot to root ratios of perennial forage crops in eastern Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 82(4), 731–737.
- Borer, E. T., Seabloom, E. W., & Tilman, D. (2012). Plant diversity controls arthropod biomass and temporal stability. *Ecology Letters*, 15(12), 1457–1464.
- Bos, H. J., Vos, J., & Struik, P. C. (2000). Morphological analysis of plant density effects on early leaf area growth in maize. NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 48(2), 199–211.
- Bote, A. D., & Vos, J. (2017). Tree management and environmental conditions affect coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) bean quality. *NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 83, 39–46.
- Briskin, D. P., & Gawienowski, M. C. (2001). Differential effects of light and nitrogen on production of hypericins and leaf glands in *Hypericum perforatum*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 39(12), 1075–1081.
- Brown, S. (2002). Measuring carbon in forests: current status and future challenges. *Environmental Pollution*, *116*(3), 363–372.
- Bryant, J. P., Chapin III, F. S., & Klein, D. R. (1983). Carbon/nutrient balance of boreal plants in relation to vertebrate herbivory. *Oikos*, 40(3), 357–368.

- Burkill, I. H. (1966). A dictionary of the economic products of the Malay Peninsula. A Dictionary of the Economic Products of the Malay Peninsula., 2 (2nd Edition).
- Bussotti, F. (2008). Functional leaf traits, plant communities and acclimation processes in relation to oxidative stress in trees: a critical overview. *Global Change Biology*, *14*(11), 2727–2739.
- Butsat, S., Weerapreeyakul, N., & Siriamornpun, S. (2009). Changes in phenolic acids and antioxidant activity in Thai rice husk at five growth stages during grain development. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57(11), 4566– 4571.
- Cai, Z. Q., Wang, W. H., Yang, J., & Cai, C. T. (2009). Growth, photosynthesis and root reserpine concentrations of two Rauvolfia species in response to a light gradient. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 30(2), 220–226.
- Can, Z., Baltaş, N., Keskin, S., Yıldız, O., & Kolaylı, S. (2017). Properties of antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity and phenolic profiles of Şevketi Bostan (*Cnicus benedictus* L.) cultivated in Aegean Region from Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 5(4), 308– 314.
- Čanadanović-Brunet, J. M., Ćetković, G. S., Djilas, S. M., Tumbas, V. T., Savatović, S. S., Mandić, A. I., ... Cvetković, D. D. (2009). Radical scavenging and antimicrobial activity of horsetail (*Equisetum arvense L.*) extracts. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 44(2), 269–278.
- Caretto, S., Linsalata, V., Colella, G., Mita, G., & Lattanzio, V. (2015). Carbon fluxes between primary metabolism and phenolic pathway in plant tissues under stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(11), 26378–26394.
- Carsky, R. J., & Iwuafor, E. N. O. (1997). Contribution of soil fertility research and maintenance to improved maize production and productivity in sub-Saharan Africa. In Strategy for sustainable maize production in West and Central Africa. Proc. Regional Maize Workshop, IITA-Cotonou, Benin Republic (pp. 21–25).
- Cartea, M. E., Francisco, M., Soengas, P., & Velasco, P. (2010). Phenolic compounds in. GEN, Brassica. *Molecules*, 16(1), 251-280.
- Cartechini, A., & Palliotti, A. (1995). Effect of shading on vine morphology and productivity and leaf gas exchange characteristics in grapevines in the field. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 46(2), 227–234.
- Casey, C. A., Mangan, F. X., Herbert, S. J., Barker, A. V, & Carter, A. K. (2002). The effect of light intensity and nitrogen fertilization on plant growth and leaf quality of ngo gai (*Eryngium foetidum* L.) in Massachusetts. In XXVI International Horticultural Congress: The Future for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants 629, 215–229.
- Castrillo, M., Vizcaíno, D., Moreno, E., & Latorraca, Z. (2005). Specific leaf mass, fresh: dry weight ratio, sugar and protein contents in species of Lamiaceae from different light environments. *Revista de Biologia Tropical*, 53(1–2), 23–28.

- Castro-Díez, P., Villar-Salvador, P., Pérez-Rontomé, C., Maestro-Martínez, M., & Montserrat-Martí, G. (1998). Leaf morphology, leaf chemical composition and stem xylem characteristics in two Pistacia (Anacardiaceae) species along a climatic gradient. *Flora*, 193(2), 195-202.
- Cavero, J., Ortega, R. G., & Gutierrez, M. (2001). Plant density affects yield, yield components, and color of direct-seeded paprika pepper. *HortScience*, *36*(1), 76–79.
- Cechin, I., & de Fátima Fumis, T. (2004). Effect of nitrogen supply on growth and photosynthesis of sunflower plants grown in the greenhouse. *Plant Science*, *166*(5), 1379–1385.
- Chauser-Volfson, E., & Gutterman, Y. (1998). Content and distribution of anthrone C-glycosides in the South African arid plant species *Aloe mutabilis* growing in direct sunlight and in shade in the Negev Desert of Israel. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 40(4), 441–451.
- Chen, S. L., Yu, H., Luo, H. M., Wu, Q., Li, C. F., & Steinmetz, A. (2016). Conservation and sustainable use of medicinal plants : Problems, progress, and prospects. *Chinese Medicine*, 11(1), 37.
- Chen, Z., Niu, Y., Zhao, R., Han, C., Han, H., & Luo, H. (2019). The combination of limited irrigation and high plant density optimizes canopy structure and improves the water use efficiency of cotton. Agricultural Water Management, 218, 139–148.
- Chenard, C. H., Kopsell, D. A., & Kopsell, D. E. (2005). Nitrogen concentration affects nutrient and carotenoid accumulation in parsley. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 28(2), 285–297.
- Chikezie, P., Ojiako, O., & Nwufo, C. (2015). Diabetes & Metabolism Overview of Anti-Diabetic Medicinal Plants : The Nigerian Research Experience. J Diabetes Metab, 6(6), 546.
- Chrysargyris, A., Panayiotou, C., & Tzortzakis, N. (2016). Nitrogen and phosphorus levels affected plant growth, essential oil composition and antioxidant status of lavender plant (*Lavandula angustifolia* Mill.). *Industrial Crops and Products*, *83*, 577–586.
- Ciampitti, I. A., & Vyn, T. J. (2011). A comprehensive study of plant density consequences on nitrogen uptake dynamics of maize plants from vegetative to reproductive stages. *Field Crops Research*, 121(1), 2–18.
- Coelho, G. C., Rachwal, M. F. G., Dedecek, R. A., Curcio, G. R., Nietsche, K., & Schenkel, E. P. (2007). Effect of light intensity on methylxanthine contents of *Ilex paraguariensis* A. St. Hil. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 35(2), 75–80.
- Coley, P. D., Bryant, J. P., & Chapin, F. S. (1985). Resource availability and plant antiherbivore defense. *Science*, 230(4728), 895–899.
- Cronin, G. (2001). Resource allocation in seaweeds and marine invertebrates: chemical defense patterns in relation to defense theories. *Marine Chemical Ecology*, 325–353.

- Czeczuga, B. (1987). Carotenoid contents in leaves grown under various light intensities. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 15(5), 523–527.
- Daghigh, A. G. (2011). Effect of different light intensity and CO₂ enrichment on yield and pharmaceutical quality of young ginger *(Zingiber officinale* ROSCOE). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia, (PhD. Thesis).
- Dai, Y., Shen, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, L., Hannaway, D., & Lu, H. (2009). Effects of shade treatments on the photosynthetic capacity, chlorophyll fluorescence, and chlorophyll content of *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* Diels et Gilg. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 65(2–3), 177–182.
- DaMatta, F. M., Ronchi, C. P., Maestri, M., & Barros, R. S. (2007). Ecophysiology of coffee growth and production. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 19(4), 485–510.
- Demmers-Derks, H., Mitchell, R. A. C., Mitchell, V. J., & Lawlor, D. W. (1998). Response of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) yield and biochemical composition to elevated CO₂ and temperature at two nitrogen applications. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 21(8), 829–836.
- Deng, J., Wang, G., Morris, E. C., Wei, X., Li, D., Chen, B. M., Zhao, B. M., Liu. J., & Wang, Y. (2006). Plant mass-density relationship along a moisture gradient in north-west China. *Journal of Ecology*, 94(5), 953–958.
- Dewick, P. M. (2002). *Medicinal natural products: A biosynthetic approach*. John Wiley & Sons. University of Nottingham, UK.
- Dicke, M., & Takken, W. (2006). *Chemical ecology: from gene to ecosystem* (Vol.16). Springer Science & Business Media. Wageningen Unversity and Research center, Netherlands.
- Dolt, C., Goverde, M., & Baur, B. (2005). Effects of experimental small-scale habitat fragmentation on above-and below-ground plant biomass in calcareous grasslands. *Acta Oecologica*, 27(1), 49–56.
- Donaldson, J. R., Kruger, E. L., & Lindroth, R. L. (2006). Competition-and resourcemediated tradeoffs between growth and defensive chemistry in trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). New Phytologist, 169(3), 561–570.
- Dong, F., Hu, J., Shi, Y., Liu, M., Zhang, Q., & Ruan, J. (2019). Effects of nitrogen supply on flavonol glycoside biosynthesis and accumulation in tea leaves (*Camellia sinensis*). *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 138, 48–57.
- Dong, H., Li, W., Tang, W., Li, Z., Zhang, D., & Niu, Y. (2006). Yield, quality and leaf senescence of cotton grown at varying planting dates and plant densities in the Yellow River Valley of China. *Field Crops Research*, *98*(2–3), 106–115.
- Dordas, C. A., & Sioulas, C. (2008). Safflower yield, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency response to nitrogen fertilization under rainfed conditions. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 27(1), 75–85.
- Dubey, N. K., Kumar, R., & Tripathi, P. (2004). Global promotion of herbal medicine: India's Opportunity. *Current Science*, 86(1), 37–41.

- Duvick, D. N. (1996). Plant breeding, an evolutionary concept. *Crop Science*, *36*(3), 539–548.
- Dwyer, L. M., & Tollenaar, M. (1989). Genetic improvement in photosynthetic response of hybrid maize cultivars, 1959 to 1988. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 69(1), 81–91.
- Edwards, J. A., & Smith, R. I. L. (1988). Photosynthesis and respiration of Colobanthus quitensis and Deschampsia antarctica from the maritime Antarctic. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin, 81, 43–63.
- El Gendy, A. G., El Gohary, A. E., Omer, E. A., Hendawy, S. F., Hussein, M. S., Petrova, V., & Stancheva, I. (2015). Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilizer on herbage and oil yield of chervil plant (*Anthriscus cerefolium* L.). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 69, 167–174.
- Endara, M., & Coley, P. D. (2011). The resource availability hypothesis revisited: a meta-analysis. *Functional Ecology*, 25(2), 389–398.
- Enríquez, S., & Sand-Jensen, K. (2003). Variation in light absorption properties of Mentha aquatica L. as a function of leaf form: implications for plant growth. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 164(1), 125–136.
- Ericsson, T. (1995). Growth and shoot: root ratio of seedlings in relation to nutrient availability. In *Nutrient uptake and cycling in forest ecosystems* (pp. 205–214). Chap, Springer.
- Evers, J. B., Vos, J. A. N., Andrieu, B., & Struik, P. C. (2006). Cessation of tillering in spring wheat in relation to light interception and red: far-red ratio. *Annals* of Botany, 97(4), 649–658.
- Ezz, A. L. (2009). Plant growth strategies of Thymus vulgaris L. in response to population density. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 30(3), 389-394.
- Faizah, H., Tanjung, M., Purnobasuk, H., & Wulan, Y. S. (2018). Biomass and flavonoid production of *Gynura procumbens* (L.) Merr adventitious root culture in baloon-type bubble-bioreactor influenced by elicitation. *Asian J Plant Sci*, 17, 107–119.
- Fakim, A. (2006). Medicinal plants: traditions of yesterday and drugs of tomorrow. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 27(1), 1–93.
- Fang, X., Li, Y., Nie, J., Wang, C., Huang, K., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., She, H., Liu, X., Ruan, R., &Yuan, X. (2018). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and planting density on the leaf photosynthetic characteristics, agronomic traits and grain yield in common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* M.). *Field Crops Research*, 219, 160–168.
- Farrior, C. E., Tilman, D., Dybzinski, R., Reich, P. B., Levin, S. A., & Pacala, S. W. (2013). Resource limitation in a competitive context determines complex plant responses to experimental resource additions. *Ecology*, 94(11), 2505– 2517.
- Feng, X. P., Cha, Z. Y., & Wang, H. S. (2002). Study on the extractive technology of total flavones from foliage of Gingko. *Chem. Ind. Corros. Control Sichuan*, 2, 138.

- Ferreira, I. C. F. R., Baptista, P., Vilas-Boas, M., & Barros, L. (2007). Free-radical scavenging capacity and reducing power of wild edible mushrooms from northeast Portugal: Individual cap and stipe activity. *Food Chemistry*, 100(4), 1511–1516.
- Fine, P. V., Miller, Z. J., Mesones, I., Irazuzta, S., Appel, H. M., Stevens, M. H. H., Saaksjarvi, I., Schultz, J. C. & Coley, P. D. (2006). The growth-defense trade-off and habitat specialization by plants in Amazonian forests. *Ecology*, 87(sp7), S150–S162.
- Franić, M., Mazur, M., Volenik, M., Brkić, J., Brkić, A., & Šimić, D. (2015). Effect of plant density on agronomic traits and photosynthetic performance in the maize IBM population. *Poljoprivreda*, 21(2), 36–40.
- Freschet, G. T., Bellingham, P. J., Lyver, P. O., & Bonner, K. I., Wardle Da.(2013) Plasticity in above-and belowground resource acquisition traits in response to single and multiple environmental factors in three tree species. *Ecology* and Evolution, 3(4),1065-1078.
- Galati, G., & O'brien, P. J. (2004). Potential toxicity of flavonoids and other dietary phenolics: significance for their chemopreventive and anticancer properties. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, *37*(3), 287–303.
- Geromel, C., Ferreira, L. P., Davrieux, F., Guyot, B., Ribeyre, F., dos Santos Scholz, M. B., Pereira, L. F. P., Vaast, P., Pot, D., Leroy, T., & Androcioli, F. A. (2008). Effects of shade on the development and sugar metabolism of coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) fruits. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 46(5–6), 569–579.
- Gersani, M., Brown, J. S., O'Brien, E. E., Maina, G. M., & Abramsky, Z. (2001). Tragedy of the commons as a result of root competition. *Journal of Ecology*, *89*(4), 660–669.
- Gershenzon, J. (1994). Metabolic costs of terpenoid accumulation in higher plants. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 20(6), 1281–1328.
- Ghasemzadeh, A., & Ghasemzadeh, N. (2011). Flavonoids and phenolic acids: Role and biochemical activity in plants and human. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(31), 6697–6703.
- Ghasemzadeh, A., Jaafar, H. Z. E., Rahmat, A., Wahab, P. E. M., & Halim, M. R. A. (2010). Effect of different light intensities on total phenolics and flavonoids synthesis and anti-oxidant activities in young ginger varieties (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 11(10), 3885–3897.
- Ghasemzadeh, A., Jaafar, H., & Karimi, E. (2012). Involvement of salicylic acid on antioxidant and anticancer properties, anthocyanin production and chalcone synthase activity in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) varieties. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 13(11), 14828–14844.
- Ghosh, P. K., Bandyopadhyay, K. K., Manna, M. C., Mandal, K. G., Misra, A. K., & Hati, K. M. (2004). Comparative effectiveness of cattle manure, poultry manure, phosphocompost and fertilizer-NPK on three cropping systems in

vertisols of semi-arid tropics. II. Dry matte yield, nodulation, chlorophyll content and enzyme activity. *Bioresource Technology*, 95(1), 85-93.

- Gonçalves, J. F. de C., Barreto, D. C. de S., Santos Junior, U. M. dos, Fernandes, A. V., Sampaio, P. D.T.B., & Buckeridge, M.S. (2005). Growth, photosynthesis and stress indicators in young rosewood plant (*Aniba rosaeodora Ducke*) under different light intensity. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 17(3), 325-334.
- Gonçalves, R. P., Bernadac, A., Sturgis, J. N., & Scheuring, S. (2005). Architecture of the native photosynthetic apparatus of *Phaeospirillum molischianum*. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 152(3), 221–228.
- Gonzalo, M., Vyn, T. J., Holland, J. B., & McIntyre, L. M. (2007). Mapping reciprocal effects and interactions with plant density stress in Zea mays L. Heredity, 99(1), 14.
- Gregoriou, K., Pontikis, K., & Vemmos, S. (2007). Effects of reduced irradiance on leaf morphology, photosynthetic capacity, and fruit yield in olive (*Olea europaea* L .), 45(2), 172–181.
- Griffin, J. J., Ranney, T. G., & Pharr, D. M. (2004). Photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence, and carbohydrate content of *Illicium taxa* grown under varied irradiance. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 129(1), 46–53.
- Grunwald, J., & Buttel, K. (1996). The European phytotherapeutics market: Figures, trends and analyses. *Drugs Made Ger*, 39, 6–11.
- Gu, X.-D., Sun, M.-Y., Zhang, L., Fu, H.-W., Cui, L., Chen, R. Z., Zhang, D. W., & Tian, J. K. (2010). UV-B induced changes in the secondary metabolites of *Morus alba* L. leaves. *Molecules*, 15(5), 2980–2993.
- Guo, Y.P., Guo, D.P., Zhou, H.F., Hu, M.J., & Shen, Y.G. (2006). Photoinhibition and xanthophyll cycle activity in bayberry (*Myrica rubra*) leaves induced by high irradiance. *Photosynthetica*, 44(3), 439.
- Guyot, B., Gueule, D., Manez, J.C., Perriot, J.J., Giron, J., & Villain, L. (1996). Influence de l'altitude et de l'ombrage sur la qualité des cafés Arabica. *Plantations, Recherche, Développement, 3*(4), 272–283.
- Hallik, L., Kull, O., Niinemets, Ü., & Aan, A. (2009). Contrasting correlation networks between leaf structure, nitrogen and chlorophyll in herbaceous and woody canopies. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 10(4), 309–318.
- Hanudin, E., Wismarini, H., Hertiani, T., & Hendro Sunarminto, B. (2012). Effect of shading, nitrogen and magnesium fertilizer on phyllanthin and total flavonoid yield of Phyllanthus niruri in Indonesia soil. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 6(30), 4586-4592.
- Hamilton, A. C. (2004). Medicinal plants, conservation and livelihoods. *Biodiversity* & *Conservation*, 13(8), 1477–1517.
- Hanudin, E., Wismarini, H., Hertiani, T., & Hendro Sunarminto, B. (2012). Effect of shading, nitrogen and magnesium fertilizer on phyllanthin and total flavonoid yield of *Phyllanthus niruri* in Indonesia soil. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 6(30), 4586–4592.

- Hashemi-Dezfouli, A., & Herbert, S. J. (1992). Intensifying plant density response of corn with artificial shade. *Agronomy Journal*, 84(4), 547–551.
- Hassan, S. A., Mijin, S., Yusoff, U. K., Ding, P., Edaroyati, P., & Wahab, M. (2012). Nitrate, ascorbic acid, mineral and antioxidant activities of *Cosmos caudatus* in response to organic and mineral-based fertilizer rates. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules17077843.
- Havsteen, B. H. (2002). The biochemistry and medical significance of the flavonoids. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 96(2–3), 67–202.
- Hekmati, M., Hadian, J., & Tabaei Aghdaei, S. R. (2012). Evaluating the effect of planting density on yield and morphology of savory (*Satureja khuzistanica* Jamzad). *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(8), 4017–4022.
- Hemm, M. R., Rider, S. D., Ogas, J., Murry, D. J., & Chapple, C. (2004). Light induces phenylpropanoid metabolism in Arabidopsis roots. *The Plant Journal*, 38(5), 765–778.
- Hermans, C., Hammond, J. P., White, P. J., & Verbruggen, N. (2006). How do plants respond to nutrient shortage by biomass allocation? *Trends in Plant Science*, *11*(12), 610–617.
- Herms, D. A., & Mattson, W. J. (1992). The dilemma of plants: to grow or defend. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 67(3), 283–335.
- Hew, C. S., & Gam, L.H. (2011). Proteome analysis of abundant proteins extracted from the leaf of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 165(7–8), 1577–1586.
- Hiiesalu, I., Pärtel, M., Davison, J., Gerhold, P., Metsis, M., Moora, M., Opik. M., Vasar. M., Zobel, M. & Wilson, S. D. (2014). Species richness of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: associations with grassland plant richness and biomass. *New Phytologist*, 203(1), 233–244.
- Hirte, J., Leifeld, J., Abiven, S., & Mayer, J. (2018). Maize and wheat root biomass, vertical distribution, and size class as affected by fertilization intensity in two long-term field trials. *Field Crops Research*, 216, 197–208.
- Hoe, S., Yusof, M., & Lam, S. (2007). Inhibition of angiotensin-converting enzyme activity by a partially purified fraction of *Gynura procumbens* in spontaneously hypertensive rats. https://doi.org/10.1159/000100391.
- Hou, J. Ling, Li, W. Dong, Zheng, Q. Yun, Wang, W. Quan, Xiao, B., & Xing, D. (2010). Effect of low light intensity on growth and accumulation of secondary metabolites in roots of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 38(2), 160–168.
- Houghton, R. A. (2005). Aboveground forest biomass and the global carbon balance. *Global Change Biology*, 11(6), 945–958.
- Huang, M., Yang, C., Ji, Q., Jiang, L., Tan, J., & Li, Y. (2013). Tillering responses of rice to plant density and nitrogen rate in a subtropical environment of southern China. *Field Crops Research*, 149, 187–192.

- Huang, W. D., Wu, L. K., & Zhan, J. C. (2004). Growth and photosynthesis adaptation of dwarf-type Chinese cherry (*Prunus pseudocerasus* L. cv. Laiyang) leaves to weak light stress. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 37(12), 1981-1985.
- Hughes, A. P. (1965). Plant growth and the aerial environment: vii. The growth of impatiens parvieeora in very low light intensities. *New Phytologist*, 64(1), 55-64.
- Ibrahim, M. H., & Jaafar, H. Z. E. (2011). Increased carbon dioxide concentration improves the antioxidative properties of the Malaysian herb kacip fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Blume). *Molecules*, 16(7), 6068-6081.
- Ibrahim, M. H., & Jaafar, H. Z. E. (2012). Primary, secondary metabolites, H₂O₂, malondialdehyde and photosynthetic responses of *Orthosiphon stimaneus* Benth. to different irradiance levels. *Molecules*, 17(2), 1159–1176.
- Ibrahim, M. H., Jaafar, H. Z. E., Rahmat, A., & Rahman, Z. A. (2011a). Effects of nitrogen fertilization on synthesis of primary and secondary metabolites in three varieties of kacip fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Blume). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 12(8), 5238–5254.
- Ibrahim, M. H., Jaafar, H. Z. E., Rahmat, A., & Rahman, Z. A. (2011b). The relationship between phenolics and flavonoids production with total non structural carbohydrate and photosynthetic rate in *Labisia pumila* Benth. under high CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization. *Molecules*, *16*(1), 162–174.
- Ibrahim, M., Jaafar, H., Karimi, E., & Ghasemzadeh, A. (2013). Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers application on the phytochemical and antioxidant activity of kacip fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Benth). *Molecules*, 18(9), 10973– 10988.
- Iskander, M. N., Song, Y., Coupar, I. M., & Jiratchariyakul, W. (2002). Antiinflammatory screening of the medicinal plant *Gynura procumbens*. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 57(3–4), 233–244.
- Islam, M., Saha, S., Akand, H., & Rahim, A. (2011). Effect of spacing on the growth and yield of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.). *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 12(2), 328-335.
- Jackson, R. B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J. R., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E., & Schulze, E. D. (1996). A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia*, 108(3), 389–411.
- Jasemi, M., Moradnezhadi, H., & Salavarz, M. (2016). Entrepreneurial strategies of enhancing competitive advantage of medicinal herbs in Ilam Province, Iran. *International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development*, 6(4), 459–466.
- Jayaraj, P. (2010). Regulation of traditional and complementary medicinal products in Malaysia. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy (IJGP)*, 4(1), 10-14.
- Jiang, D., Dai, T., Jing, Q., Cao, W., Zhou, Q., Zhao, H., & Fan, X. (2004). Effects of long-term fertilization on leaf photosynthetic characteristics and grain yield in winter wheat. *Photosynthetica*, 42(3), 439-446.

- Jiang, W., Wang, K., Wu, Q., Dong, S., Liu, P., & Zhang, J. (2013). Effects of narrow plant spacing on root distribution and physiological nitrogen use efficiency in summer maize. *The Crop Journal*, 1(1), 77–83.
- Jin, J. Y., & He, P. (1999). Effect of N and K nutrition on post metabolism of carbon and nitrogen and grain weight formation in maize. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 32(4), 55–62.
- Jiratchariyakul, W., Jarikasem, S., Siritantikorn, S., Somanabandhu, A., & Frahm, A. W. (2000). Antiherpes simplex viral compounds from *Gynura procumbens* Merr. *Mahidol University Annual Research*. Salaya, Thailand.
- Jones, R. H., & McLeod, K. W. (1990). Growth and photosynthetic responses to a range of light environments in Chinese tallowtree and Carolina ash seedlings. *Forest Science*, 36(4), 851–862.
- Kaewseejan, N., Puangpronpitag, D., & Nakornriab, M. (2012). Evaluation of phytochemical composition and antibacterial property of *Gynura* procumbens extract. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 11(2), 77.
- Kaewseejan, N., & Siriamornpun, S. (2015). Bioactive components and properties of ethanolic extract and its fractions from *Gynura procumbens* leaves. *Industrial Crops & Products*, 74, 271–278.
- Kaewseejan, N., Sutthikhum, V., & Siriamornpun, S. (2015). Potential of *Gynura* procumbens leaves as source of flavonoid-enriched fractions with enhanced antioxidant capacity. Journal of Functional Foods, 12, 120–128.
- Kaggwa-Asiimwe, R., Andrade-Sanchez, P., & Wang, G. (2013). Plant architecture influences growth and yield response of upland cotton to population density. *Field Crops Research*, 145, 52–59.
- Kala, C. P., Dhyani, P. P., & Sajwan, B. S. (2006). Developing the medicinal plants sector in northern India: challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 2(1), 32.
- Kang, M., Ji, W., & Jiang, Y. (2012). Responses of belowground biomass and biomass allocation to environmental factors in central grassland of Inner Mongolia. *Acta Agrestia Sinica*, 20(2), 268–274.
- Karimi, E., Jaafar, H. Z. E., & Ahmad, S. (2011). Phenolics and flavonoids profiling and antioxidant activity of three varieties of Malaysian indigenous medicinal herb *Labisia pumila* Benth. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(7), 1200–1206.
- Karimi, E., Jaafar, H. Z. E., Ghasemzadeh, A., & Ibrahim, M. H. (2013). Light intensity effects on production and antioxidant activity of flavonoids and phenolic compounds in leaves, stems and roots of three varieties of *Labisia* pumila Benth. Aust J Crop Sci, 7(7), 1016–1023.
- Keller, P., & Lüttge, U. (2005). Photosynthetic light-use by three bromeliads originating from shaded sites (*Ananas ananassoides*, *Ananas comosus* cv. Panare) and exposed sites (*Pitcairnia pruinosa*) in the medium Orinoco basin, Venezuela. *Biologia Plantarum*, 49(1), 73.

- Keng, C. L., Yee, L. S., & Pin, P. L. (2009). Micropropagation of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. an important medicinal plant. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 3(3), 105–111.
- Khaled-Khodja, N., Boulekbache-Makhlouf, L., & Madani, K. (2014). Phytochemical screening of antioxidant and antibacterial activities of methanolic extracts of some Lamiaceae. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *61*, 41–48.
- Khaliq, T., Ahmad, A., Hussain, A., & Ali, M. A. (2009). Maize hybrids response to nitrogen rates at multiple locations in semiarid environment. *Pak. J. Bot*, *41*(1), 207–224.
- Khaliq, T., Ahmad, A., Hussain, A., Ranjha, A. M., & Ali, M. A. (2008). Impact of nitrogen rates on growth, yield, and radiation use efficiency of maize under varying environments. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci*, 45(3), 1–7.
- Kigalu, J. M. (2007a). Effects of planting density and drought on the productivity of tea clones (*Camellia sinensis* L.): Yield responses. *Physics and Chemistry* of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 32(15–18), 1098–1106.
- Kigalu, J. M. (2007b). Effects of planting density on the productivity and water use of tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) clones: I. Measurement of water use in young tea using sap flow meters with a stem heat balance method. *Agricultural Water Management*, 90(3), 224–232.
- Kim, J., Lee, C.W., Kim, E. K., Lee, S.-J., Park, N.-H., Kim, H. S., Kim. H. K., Char, K., Jang, Y. P., & Kim, J. W. (2011). Inhibition effect of *Gynura* procumbens extract on UV-B-induced matrix-metalloproteinase expression in human dermal fibroblasts. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 137(1), 427– 433.
- Kim, M.-J., Lee, H. J., Wiryowidagdo, S., & Kim, H. K. (2006). Antihypertensive effects of *Gynura procumbens* extract in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 9(4), 587–590.
- Kleinwächter, M., & Selmar, D. (2015). New insights explain that drought stress enhances the quality of spice and medicinal plants: Potential applications. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 35(1), 121–131.
- Kobe, R. K., Iyer, M., & Walters, M. B. (2010). Optimal partitioning theory revisited: nonstructural carbohydrates dominate root mass responses to nitrogen. *Ecology*, 91(1), 166–179.
- Koevoets, I. T., Venema, J. H., Elzenga, J. T., & Testerink, C. (2016). Roots withstanding their environment: exploiting root system architecture responses to abiotic stress to improve crop tolerance. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *7*, 1335.
- Kozai, T., Ohyama, K., & Chun, C. (2005). Commercialized closed systems with artificial lighting for plant production. In V International Symposium on Artificial Lighting in Horticulture, 711 (pp. 61–70).
- Kumar, R., Sharma, S., & Pathania, V. (2013). Effect of shading and plant density on growth, yield and oil composition of clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L.) in north western Himalaya. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 25(1), 23–32.

- Kumar, R., Sharma, S., & Singh, B. (2011). Influence of transplanting time on growth, essential oil yield and composition in clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L.) plants grown under mid hills of north-western Himalayas. J. Essen. Oil Bearing Pl, 14, 260–265.
- Kurata, H., Matsumura, S., & Furusaki, S. (1997). Light irradiation causes physiological and metabolic changes for purine alkaloid production by a *Coffea arabica* cell suspension culture. *Plant Science*, 123(1–2), 197–203.
- Labrooy, C. D., Abdullah, T. L., Abdullah, N. A. P., & Stanslas, J. (2016). Optimum shade enhances growth and 5,7-Dimethoxyflavone accumulation in *Kaempferia parviflora* Wall. ex Baker cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 213, 346–353.
- Laliberté, E., Grace, J. B., Huston, M. A., Lambers, H., Teste, F. P., Turner, B. L., & Wardle, D. A. (2013). How does pedogenesis drive plant diversity? *Trends* in Ecology & Evolution, 28(6), 331–340.
- Lambers, H., Chapin III, F. S., & Pons, T. L. (2008). *Plant Physiological Ecology*. Springer Science & Business Media. Unversity of Western Australia.
- Lattanzio, V., Cardinali, A., & Linsalata, V. (2012). Plant phenolics: a biochemical and physiological perspective. *Recent Advances in Polyphenol Research*, *3*, 1–39.
- Laughlin, D. C. (2014). The intrinsic dimensionality of plant traits and its relevance to community assembly. *Journal of Ecology*, 102(1), 186–193.
- Ledo, A., Paul, K. I., Burslem, D. F. R. P., Ewel, J. J., Barton, C., Battaglia, M., Brookshank, K., Carter, J., Eid, T. H., England, J. R., & Fitzgerald, A. (2018). Tree size and climatic water deficit control root to shoot ratio in individual trees globally. *New Phytologist*, 217(1), 8–11.
- Lee, H. J., Lee, B., Chung, J., Wiryowidagdo, S., Chun, W., Kim, S., Kim, H., & Choe, M. (2007). Inhibitory effects of an aqueous extract of *Gynura* procumbens on human mesangial cell proliferation. Korean Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 11(4), 145.
- Lee, Y., Park, J., Ryu, C., Gang, K. S., Yang, W., Park, Y. K., Jung, J., & Hyun, S. (2013). Comparison of biochar properties from biomass residues produced by slow pyrolysis at 500° C. *Bioresource Technology*, 148, 196–201.
- Leuschner, C., Moser, G., Bertsch, C., Röderstein, M., & Hertel, D. (2007). Large altitudinal increase in tree root/shoot ratio in tropical mountain forests of Ecuador. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 8(3), 219–230.
- Liu, T., Gu, L., Dong, S., Zhang, J., Liu, P., & Zhao, B. (2015). Optimum leaf removal increases canopy apparent photosynthesis, 13C-photosynthate distribution and grain yield of maize crops grown at high density. *Field Crops Research*, 170, 32–39.
- Li, C., Liu, K., Zhou, S., & Luan, L. (2002). Response of photosynthesis to ecophysiological factors of summer maize on different fertilizer amounts. *Zuo wu xue bao*, 28(2), 265-269.

- Li, G., Zhang, Z.-S., Gao, H.-Y., Liu, P., Dong, S.-T., Zhang, J.-W., & Zhao, B. (2012). Effects of nitrogen on photosynthetic characteristics of leaves from two different stay-green corn (*Zea mays L.*) varieties at the grain-filling stage. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 92(4), 671–680.
- Li, J., Zhu, Z., & Gerendás, J. (2008). Effects of nitrogen and sulfur on total phenolics and antioxidant activity in two genotypes of leaf mustard. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 31(9), 1642–1655.
- Li, W. L., Ren, B. R., Min-Zhuo, Hu. Y., Lu, C. G., Wu, J. L., Chen, J., & Sun, S. (2009). The anti-hyperglycemic effect of plants in genus *Gynura cass*. The anti-hyperglycemic effect of plants in genus *Gynura cass*. The American journal of Chinese medicine, 37(5), 961-966.
- Li, X., Schmid, B., Wang, F., & Paine, C. E. T. (2016). Net assimilation rate determines the growth rates of 14 species of subtropical forest trees. *PloS One*, *11*(3), e0150644.
- Lian, T. T., Cha, S.-Y., Moe, M. M., Kim, Y. J., & Bang, K. S. (2019). Effects of different colored LEDs on the enhancement of biologically active ingredients in callus cultures of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. *Molecules*, 24(23), 4336.
- Lichtenthaler, H. K., & Wellburn, A. R. (1983). Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls *a* and *b* of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Portland Press Limited. Biochemical Society Transactions*, 11(5), 591-592.
- Lila, M. A. (2004). Anthocyanins and human health: an *in vitro* investigative approach. *BioMed Research International*, 2004(5), 306–313.
- Lisiewska, Z., Kmiecik, W., & Korus, A. (2006). Content of vitamin C, carotenoids, chlorophylls and polyphenols in green parts of dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.) depending on plant height. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 19(2–3), 134–140.
- Liu, C., Guo, C., Wang, Y., & Ouyang, F. (2002). Effect of light irradiation on hairy root growth and artemisinin biosynthesis of *Artemisia annua* L. *Process Biochemistry*, 38(4), 581–585.
- Liu, H., Hu, C., Sun, X., Tan, Q., Nie, Z., & Hu, X. (2010). Interactive effects of molybdenum and phosphorus fertilizers on photosynthetic characteristics of seedlings and grain yield of *Brassica napus*. *Plant and Soil*, 326(1–2), 345– 353.
- Liu, T., Gu, L., Dong, S., Zhang, J., Liu, P., & Zhao, B. (2015). Optimum leaf removal increases canopy apparent photosynthesis, 13C-photosynthate distribution and grain yield of maize crops grown at high density. *Field Crops Research*, 170, 32–39.
- Lovdal, T., Olsen, K. M., Slimestad, R., Verheul, M., & Lillo, C. (2010). Synergetic effects of nitrogen depletion, temperature, and light on the content of phenolic compounds and gene expression in leaves of tomato. *Phytochemistry*, 71(5–6), 605–613.

- Ma, S.-C., Li, F.-M., Xu, B.-C., & Huang, Z.-B. (2010). Effect of lowering the root/shoot ratio by pruning roots on water use efficiency and grain yield of winter wheat. *Field Crops Research*, 115(2), 158–164.
- Ma, Z., Li, S., Zhang, M., Jiang, S., & Xiao, Y. (2010). Light intensity affects growth, photosynthetic capability, and total flavonoid accumulation of Anoectochilus plants. *HortScience*, 45(6), 863–867.
- Macinnis-Ng, C. M. O., Fuentes, S., O'Grady, A. P., Palmer, A. R., Taylor, D., Whitley, R. J., Yunusa, I., Zeppel, M. J. B., & Eamus, D. (2010). Root biomass distribution and soil properties of an open woodland on a duplex soil. *Plant and Soil*, 327(1–2), 377–388.
- Mahmood, A. A., Mariod, A. A., Al-bayaty, F., & Abdel-wahab, S. I. (2010). Antiulcerogenic activity of *Gynura procumbens* leaf extract against experimentally-induced gastric lesions in rats, 4(8), 685–691.
- Maisuthisakul, P., Suttajit, M., & Pongsawatmanit, R. (2007). Assessment of phenolic content and free radical-scavenging capacity of some Thai indigenous plants. *Food Chemistry*, 100(4), 1409–1418.
- Mannfried, P. (1993). Healing plants. GEN, Barron's Educational Series, Inc. Hauppauge, NY.
- Manschadi, A. M., Hammer, G. L., Christopher, J. T., & Devoil, P. (2008). Genotypic variation in seedling root architectural traits and implications for drought adaptation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant and Soil*, 303(1–2), 115–129.
- Mao, L. Z., Lu, H. F., Wang, Q., & Cai, M. M. (2007). Comparative photosynthesis characteristics of *Calycanthus chinensis* and *Chimonanthus praecox*. *Photosynthetica*, 45(4), 601-605.
- Marchiori, P. E. R., Machado, E. C., & Ribeiro, R. V. (2014). Photosynthetic limitations imposed by self-shading in field-grown sugarcane varieties. *Field Crops Research*, 155, 30–37.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Second Edition Academic Press Edition London.
- Martins, A. O., Omena-Garcia, R. P., Oliveira, F. S., Silva, W. A., Hajirezaei, M.-R., Vallarino, J. G., Ribeiro, D. M., Fernie, A. R., Nunes-Nesi, A. & Araújo, W. L. (2019). Differential root and shoot responses in the metabolism of tomato plants exhibiting reduced levels of gibberellin. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 157, 331–343.
- Mashayekhan, A., Pourmajidian, M. R., Jalilvand, H., Gholami, M. R., & Teimouri, M. S. (2016). Economic importance and GIS mapping of medicinal plants in Iran: a case study of Darkesh. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 20(3), 646–650.
- Maskova, T., & Herben, T. (2018). Root: shoot ratio in developing seedlings: How seedlings change their allocation in response to seed mass and ambient nutrient supply. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(14), 7143–7150.

- Mattana, R. S., Vieira, M. A. R., Marchese, J. A., Ming, L. C., & Marques, M. O. M. (2010). Shade level effects on yield and chemical composition of the leaf essential oil of *Pothomorphe umbellata* (L.) Miquel. *Scientia Agricola*, 67(4), 414–418.
- Mi, G. H., Chen, F. J., Chun, L., Guo, Y., Tian, Q., & Zhang, F. (2007). Biological characteristics of nitrogen efficient maize genotypes. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science*, 1(13), 155-159.
- Mielke, M. S., & Schaffer, B. (2010). Photosynthetic and growth responses of Eugenia uniflora L. seedlings to soil flooding and light intensity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 68(2), 113–121.
- Moe, K., Yamakawa, T., Thu, T. T. P., & Kajihara, Y. (2015). NPK accumulation and use efficiencies of manawthukha rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Affected by pretransplant basal and split applications of nitrogen. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 46(20), 2534–2552.
- Mokany, K., Raison, R. J., & Prokushkin, A. S. (2006). Critical analysis of root: shoot ratios in terrestrial biomes. *Global Change Biology*, *12*(1), 84–96.
- Moniruzzaman, M., Islam, M. S., Hossain, M. M., Hossain, T., & Miah, M. G. (2009). Effects of shade and nitrogen levels on quality Bangladhonia production. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, 34(2), 205–213.
- Morais, H., Caramori, P. H., Ribeiro, A. M. de A., Gomes, J. C., & Koguishi, M. S. (2006). Microclimatic characterization and productivity of coffee plants grown under shade of pigeon pea in Southern Brazil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 41(5), 763–770.
- Moravčević, D., Bjelić, V., Savić, D., Varga, J. G., Beatović, D., Jelačić, S., & Zarić, V. (2011). Effect of plant density on the characteristics of photosynthetic apparatus of garlic (*Allium sativum* var. *vulgare* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(71), 15861–15868.
- Mosaleeyanon, K., Zobayed, S. M. A., Afreen, F., & Kozai, T. (2005). Relationships between net photosynthetic rate and secondary metabolite contents in St. John's wort. *Plant Science*, *169*(3), 523–531.
- Mou, K. M., & Dash, P. R. (2016). A Comprehensive Review on *Gynura Procumbens* Leaves. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 3(4), 167–174.
- Mou, P., Jones, R. H., Tan, Z., Bao, Z., & Chen, H. (2013). Morphological and physiological plasticity of plant roots when nutrients are both spatially and temporally heterogeneous. *Plant and Soil*, *364*(1–2), 373–384.
- Muraoka, H., Tang, Y., Koizumi, H., & Washitani, I. (2002). Effects of light and soil water availability on leaf photosynthesis and growth of Arisaema heterophyllum, a riparian forest understorey plant. Journal of Plant Research, 115(6), 419–427.
- Muschler, R. G. (2001). Shade improves coffee quality in a sub-optimal coffee-zone of Costa Rica. *Agroforestry Systems*, 51(2), 131–139.

- Nakano, H., Makino, A., & Mae, T. (1997). The effect of elevated partial pressures of CO2 on the relationship between photosynthetic capacity and N content in rice leaves. *Plant Physiology*, 115(1), 191–198.
- Nasir, N. N. N. M., Khandaker, M. M., & Mat, N. (2015). Bioactive compound and therapeutic value of the some Malaysia medicinal plants: A review. *Journal* of Agronomy, 14(4), 319.
- Nasiri, A. (2016). Effect of light intensity and agronomical practices on growth, yield and quality of sabah snake grass (*Clinacanthus nutans (Burm.f.) Lindau*). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia.
- Nasrullahzadeh, S., Ghassemi-Golezani, K., Javanshir, A., Valizade, M., & Shakiba, M. R. (2007). Effects of shade stress on ground cover and grain yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, 5(1), 337.
- Nations, A. of S. A., & Ali, R. M. (2010). *ASEAN herbal and medicinal plants.*, Asean Secretariat. Pp 43.
- Nawawi, A. A., Nakamura, N., Hattori, M., Kurokawa, M., & Shiraki, K. (1999). Inhibitory effects of Indonesian medicinal plants on the infection of herpes simplex virus type 1. Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives, 13(1), 37–41.
- Niinemets, Ü. (2010). A review of light interception in plant stands from leaf to canopy in different plant functional types and in species with varying shade tolerance. *Ecological Research*, 25(4), 693-714.
- Niyaki, S. A. N., Latmahalleh, D. A., Allahyari, M. S., & Dooz, P. (2011). Socioeconomic factors for adoption of medicinal plants cultivation in Eshkevarat region, north of Iran. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(1), 30–38.
- Noorhosseini, S. A., Latmahalleh, D. A., Allahyari, M. S., & Masooleh, P. D. (2011). Socio-economic factors for adoption of medicinal plants cultivation in Eshkevarat region , North Iran. https://doi.org/10.3923/rjbsci.2010.297.303.
- Nurulita, N. A., Meiyanto, E., Matsuda, E., & Kawaichi, M. (2012). *Gynura* procumbens modulates the microtubules integrity and enhances distinct mechanism on doxorubicin and 5-flurouracil-induced breast cancer cell death. *Oriental Pharmacy and Experimental Medicine*, 12(3), 205–218.
- O'Brien, E. E., Gersani, M., & Brown, J. S. (2005). Root proliferation and seed yield in response to spatial heterogeneity of below-ground competition. *New Phytologist*, *168*(2), 401–412.
- Oliveira, N., Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R., Pérez-Cruzado, C., Cañellas, I., Sixto, H., & Ceulemans, R. (2018). Above-and below-ground carbon accumulation and biomass allocation in poplar short rotation plantations under Mediterranean conditions. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 428, 57-65.
- Odabas, M. S., Raduğieneuml, J., Camas, N., Janulis, V., & Ivanauskas, L. (2009). The quantitative effects of temperature and light intensity on hyperform and

hypericins accumulation in *Hypericum perforatum* L. Journal of Medicinal *Plants Research*, 3(7), 519–525.

- Olsen, K. M., Slimestad, R., Lea, U. S., Brede, C., løvdal, T., Ruoff, P., Verheul, M., & Lillo, C. (2009). Temperature and nitrogen effects on regulators and products of the flavonoid pathway: experimental and kinetic model studies. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 32(3), 286–299.
- Oluwaseun, A. A., & Ganiyu, O. (2008). Antioxidant properties of methanolic extracts of mistletoes (*Viscum album*) from cocoa and cashew trees in Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(17), 3138-3142.
- Omar, N. F. (2018). Growth and phytochemical composition of *Andrographis* paniculata (Burm.F.) Wall. ex Nees in relation to different light intensities, photoperiod, and pruning. Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia.
- Osaki, M., Shinano, T., Matsumoto, M., Zheng, T., & Tadano, T. (1997). A root-shoot interaction hypothesis for high productivity of field crops. In *Plant Nutrition for Sustainable Food Production and Environment* (pp. 669–674). Chap, Springer.
- Oskoueian, E., Abdullah, N., Saad, W. Z., Omar, A. R., Ahmad, S., Kuan, W. B., Zolkifli, N. A., Hendra, R., & Ho, Y. W. (2011). Antioxidant, antiinflammatory and anticancer activities of methanolic extracts from *Jatropha curcas* Linn. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(1), 49–57.
- Oskoee, M., AghaAlikhani, M., Sefidkon, F., Mokhtassi-Bidgoli, A., & Ayyari, M. (2018). Blessed thistle agronomic and phytochemical response to nitrogen and plant density. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *122*, 566–573.
- Paiva, É. A. S., Isaias, R. M. dos S., Vale, F. H. A., & Queiroz, C. G. de S. (2003). The influence of light intensity on anatomical structure and pigment contents of *Tradescantia pallida* (Rose) Hunt. cv. Purpurea Boom (Commelinaceae) leaves. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 46(4), 617–624.
- Palta, J., & Watt, M. (2009). Vigorous crop root systems: form and function for improving the capture of water and nutrients. In Crop Physiology – Applications for Genetic Improvement and Agronomy, Elsevier, San Diego, 309-325.
- Pan, S., Liu, H., Mo, Z., Patterson, B., Duan, M., Tian, H., Hu, S., & Tang, X. (2016). Effects of nitrogen and shading on root morphologies, nutrient accumulation, and photosynthetic parameters in different rice genotypes. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 32148.
- Pant, B., Pant, P., Erban, A., Huhman, D., Kopka, J., & Scheible, W. (2015). Identification of primary and secondary metabolites with phosphorus statusdependent abundance in Arabidopsis, and of the transcription factor PHR 1 as a major regulator of metabolic changes during phosphorus limitation. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 38(1), 172–187.
- Passioura, J. B. (1983). Roots and drought resistance. In *Developments in Agricultural* and Water management, 7(1-3), 265-280.

- Pavia, H., Toth, G., & Åberg, P. (1999). Trade-offs between phlorotannin production and annual growth in natural populations of the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum. Journal of Ecology*, 87(5), 761–771.
- Pegoraro, R. L., Falkenberg, M. de B., Voltolini, C. H., Santos, M., & Paulilo, M. T. S. (2010). Production of essential oils in plants of *Mentha x piperita* L. var. Piperita (Lamiaceae) submitted to different light levels and nutrition of the substratum. *Brazilian Journal of Botany*, 33(4), 631–637.
- Peng, S., Khush, G. S., Virk, P., Tang, Q., & Zou, Y. (2008). Progress in ideotype breeding to increase rice yield potential. *Field Crops Research*, 108(1), 32– 38.
- Pereira, D., Valentão, P., Pereira, J., & Andrade, P. (2009). Phenolics: From chemistry to biology. Molecular Diversity Preservation International, 14(6), 2202-2211.
- Perry, L. M., & Metzger, J. (1980). Medicinal plants of East and Southeast Asia: attributed properties and uses. MIT Press.
- Petrovska, B. B. (2012). Historical review of medicinal plants' usage. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 6(11), 1.
- Pettigrew, W. T. (2001). Environmental effects on cotton fiber carbohydrate concentration and quality. *Crop Science*, 41(4), 1108–1113.
- Podsędek, A. (2007). Natural antioxidants and antioxidant capacity of Brassica vegetables: A review. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 40(1), 1–11.
- Pompelli, M. F., Martins, S. C. V, Antunes, W. C., Chaves, A. R. M., & DaMatta, F. M. (2010). Photosynthesis and photoprotection in coffee leaves is affected by nitrogen and light availabilities in winter conditions. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 167(13), 1052–1060.
- Pons, T. L. (1977). An ecophysiological study in the field layer of ash coppice. II. Experiments with *Geum urbanum* and *Cirsium palustre* in different light intensities. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica*, 26(1), 29–42.
- Poorter, H., & Sack, L. (2012). Pitfalls and possibilities in the analysis of biomass allocation patterns in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *3*, 259.
- Poorter, L., Oberbauer, S. F., & Clark, D. B. (1995). Leaf optical properties along a vertical gradient in a tropical rain forest canopy in Costa Rica. *American Journal of Botany*, 82(10), 1257–1263.
- Pospisilova, J., Catsky, J., & Sestak, Z. (1996). Photosynthesis and water relations during transplantation to *ex vitro* conditions. *Inst. Biol. Chem., Academia Sinica and Tfri, Taipei (Taiwan, R. O. C.).*, 133-137.
- Puangpronpitag, D., Chaichanadee, S., Naowaratwattana, W., Sittiwet, C., Thammasarn, K., Luerang, A., & Kaewseejan, N. (2010). Evaluation of nutritional value and antioxidative properties of the medicinal plant *Gynura* procumbens extract. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 9(3), 146.

Pucarić, A., Ostojić, Z., & Čuljat, M. (1997). Maize production.

- Purrington, C. B. (2000). Costs of resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 3(4), 305–308.
- Qi, Y., Wei, W., Chen, C., & Chen, L. (2019). Plant root-shoot biomass allocation over diverse biomes: A global synthesis. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 18, e00606.
- Rahman, A., & Al Asad, M. S. (2013). Chemical and biological investigations of the leaves of Gynura procumbens. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 3(4), 36–43.
- Rahmat, A., Jaafar, H. Z. E., & Haris, N. B. M. (2008). Accumulation and partitioning of total phenols in two varieties of *Labisia pumila* Benth. under manipulation of greenhouse irradiance. In *International Workshop on Greenhouse Environmental Control and Crop Production in Semi-Arid Regions 797* (pp. 387–392).
- Read, J. J., Reddy, K. R., & Jenkins, J. N. (2006). Yield and fiber quality of upland cotton as influenced by nitrogen and potassium nutrition. *European Journal* of Agronomy, 24(3), 282–290.
- Reich, P. B., & Hobbie, S. E. (2013). Decade-long soil nitrogen constraint on the CO₂ fertilization of plant biomass. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(3), 278.
- Reynolds, H. L., & D'antonio, C. (1996). The ecological significance of plasticity in root weight ratio in response to nitrogen: opinion. *Plant and Soil*, 185(1), 75–97.
- Richards, R. A. (2000). Selectable traits to increase crop photosynthesis and yield of grain crops. *Journal of experimental botany*, *51*(suppl.1), 447-458.
- Robinson, S. A., & Osmond, C. B. (1994). Internal gradients of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments in relation to photoprotection in thick leaves of plants with crassulacean acid metabolism. *Functional Plant Biology*, 21(4), 497-506.
- Robredo, A., Pérez-López, U., Miranda-Apodaca, J., Lacuesta, M., Mena-Petite, A., & Muñoz-Rueda, A. (2011). Elevated CO2 reduces the drought effect on nitrogen metabolism in barley plants during drought and subsequent recovery. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 71(3), 399 - 408.
- Rodrigo, R., & Bosco, C. (2006). Oxidative stress and protective effects of polyphenols: comparative studies in human and rodent kidney. A review. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, 142(3–4), 317–327.
- Roggatz, U., McDonald, A. J. S., Stadenberg, I., & Schurr, U. (1999). Effects of nitrogen deprivation on cell division and expansion in leaves of *Ricinus communis* L. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 22(1), 81–89.
- Rohin, M. A. K., Jumli, M. N., Ridzwan, N., Baig, A. A., Latif, A. Z. A., & Hadi, N. A. (2018). Effect of *Gynura procumbens* extracts on anti-proliferative activity and its associated morphological changes of human *Glioblastoma multiforme* cell line (U-87). *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 10(3), 492-496.

- Rosidah, Yam, M., Sadikun, A., & Asmawi, M. (2008). Antioxidant potential of Gynura procumbens. Pharmaceutical Biology, 46(9), 616–625.
- Rossini, M. A., Maddonni, G. A., & Otegui, M. E. (2011). Inter-plant competition for resources in maize crops grown under contrasting nitrogen supply and density: Variability in plant and ear growth. *Field Crops Research*, 121(3), 373–380.
- Ruan, J., Haerdter, R., & Gerendás, J. (2010). Impact of nitrogen supply on carbon/nitrogen allocation: a case study on amino acids and catechins in green tea [*Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze] plants. *Plant Biology*, 12(5), 724–734.
- Ruiz, R. A., & Bertero, H. D. (2008). Light interception and radiation use efficiency in temperate quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) cultivars. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 29(2–3), 144–152.
- Safaei-Ghomi, J., & Ahd, A. A. (2010). Antimicrobial and antifungal properties of the essential oil and methanol extracts of *Eucalyptus largiflorens* and *Eucalyptus intertexta*. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, 6(23), 172.
- Sage, R. F., & Pearcy, R. W. (1987). The nitrogen use efficiency of C3 and C4 plants:
 II. Leaf nitrogen effects on the gas exchange characteristics of *Chenopodium album* (L.) and *Amaranthus retroflexus* (L.). *Plant Physiology*, 84(3), 959–963.
- Said, I. M., Din, L. B., Samsudin, M. W., Yusoff, N. I., Latif, A., Mat-Ali, R., & Hadi, A. H. A. (1998). A phytochemical survey of Sayap-Kinabalu Park, Sabah. A Scientific Journey Through Borneo Sayap-Kinabalu Park Sabah, 137-144.
- Sainju, U. M., Allen, B. L., Lenssen, A. W., & Ghimire, R. P. (2017). Root biomass, root/shoot ratio, and soil water content under perennial grasses with different nitrogen rates. *Field Crops Research*, 210, 183–191.
- Sangoi, L. (2001). Understanding plant density effects on maize growth and development: an important issue to maximize grain yield. *Ciência Rural*, 31(1), 159–168.
- Santo, A. V., & Alfani, A. (1980). Adaptability of *Mentha piperita* l. to irradiance. Growth, specific leaf area and levels of chlorophyll, protein and mineral nutrients as affected by shading. *Biologia Plantarum*, 22(2), 117-123.
- Schachtman, D. P., & Goodger, J. Q. D. (2008). Chemical root to shoot signalling under drought. *Trends in Plant Science*, 13(6), 281–287.
- Sedaghathoor, S., & Janatpoor, G. (2012). Study on effect of soybean and tea intercropping on yield and yield components of soybean and tea. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 7(9), 664–671.
- Seemann, J. R., Sharkey, T. D., Wang, J., & Osmond, C. B. (1987). Environmental effects on photosynthesis, nitrogen-use efficiency, and metabolite pools in leaves of sun and shade plants. *Plant Physiology*, 84(3), 796–802.
- Sen, S., Chakraborty, R., & De, B. (2011). Challenges and opportunities in the advancement of herbal medicine: India's position and role in a global context. *Journal of Herbal Medicine*, 1(3–4), 67–75.

- Sevik, H., Guney, D., Karakas, H., & Aktar, G. (2012). Change to amount of chlorophyll on leaves depend on insolation in some landscape plants. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 3(3), 1057.
- Shalaby, A. S., & Razin, A. M. (1992). Dense cultivation and fertilization for higher yield of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.). Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 168(4), 243–248.
- Shangguan, Z., Shao, M., & Dyckmans, J. (2000). Effects of nitrogen nutrition and water deficit on net photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll fluorescence in winter wheat. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 156(1), 46–51.
- Shanwei, L., Qiang, Z., & Yunguang, G. (2010). The effect of different density to canopy-microclimate and quality of cotton. *Cotton Science*, *22*(3), 260–266.
- Shi, D., Li, Y., Zhang, J.-W., Peng, L. I. U., Bin, Z., & Dong, S. (2016). Increased plant density and reduced N rate lead to more grain yield and higher resource utilization in summer maize. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 15(11), 2515–2528
- Seigler, D. S. (1996). Chemistry and mechanisms of allelopathic interactions. *Agronomy Journal*, 88(6), 876-885.
- Sifola, M. I., & Barbieri, G. (2006). Growth, yield and essential oil content of three cultivars of basil grown under different levels of nitrogen in the field. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 108(4), 408-413.
- Singh, R. D., Meena, R. L., Singh, M. K., Kaul, V. K., Lal, B., Acharya, R., & Prasad, R. (2006). Effect of manure and plant spacing on crop growth, yield and oilquality of *Curcuma aromatica* Salisb. in mid hill of western Himalaya. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 24(2), 105–112.
- Songsri, P., Jogloy, S., Holbrook, C. C., Kesmala, T., Vorasoot, N., Akkasaeng, C., & Patanothai, A. (2009). Association of root, specific leaf area and SPAD chlorophyll meter reading to water use efficiency of peanut under different available soil water. Agricultural Water Management, 96(5), 790–798.
- Sprague, G., & Dudley, J. W. (1988). Corn and corn improvement. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
- Sreekala, G. S., & Jayachandran, B. K. (2001). Photosynthetic rate and related parameters of ginger under different shade levels. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, 29(3), 50-52.
- Steer, B. T., & Harrigan, E. K. S. (1986). Rates of nitrogen supply during different developmental stages affect yield components of safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.). Field Crops Research, 14, 221–231.
- St-Jacques, C., Labrecque, M., & Bellefleur, P. (1991). Plasticity of leaf absorbance in some broadleaf tree seedlings. *Botanical Gazette*, *152*(2), 195–202.
- Strissel, T., Halbwirth, H., Hoyer, U., Zistler, C., Stich, K., & Treutter, D. (2005). Growth-promoting nitrogen nutrition affects flavonoid biosynthesis in young apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) leaves. *Plant Biology*, 7(6), 677– 685.

- Sukadeetad, K., Nakbanpote, W., Heinrich, M., & Nuengchamnong, N. (2018). Effect of drying methods and solvent extraction on the phenolic compounds of *Gynura pseudochina* (L.) DC. leaf extracts and their anti-psoriatic property. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 120, 34–46.
- Sun, Y. J., Sun, Y. Y., Xu, H., Yang, Z. Y., Qin, J., Peng, Y., & Ma, J. (2013). Effects of water-nitrogen management patterns and combined application of phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on nutrient absorption of hybrid rice Gangyou 725. Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 46(7), 1335–1346.
- Sundari, T. (2009). Morphological and physiological characteristics of shading tolerant and sensitive mungbean genotypes. HAYATI Journal of Biosciences, 16(4), 127–134.
- Sung, B., Chung, H. Y., & Kim, N. D. (2016). Role of apigenin in cancer prevention via the induction of apoptosis and autophagy. *Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 21(4), 216.
- Tabatabaei, S. A., Mirjalili, M., Abghare, R., & Hamidnejad, M. M. (2014). Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilization on morphological traits, yield and essential oil content of herbs and essential oils Zennian. Advances in Environmental Biology, 8(6), 3022–3028.
- Taiz, L., & Zeiger, E. (2002). Plant Physiology. Sunderland, Mass: Sinauer, 1-690.
- Takemiya, A., Inoue, S., Doi, M., Kinoshita, T., & Shimazaki, K. (2005). Phototropins promote plant growth in response to blue light in low light environments. *The Plant Cell*, 17(4), 1120–1127.
- Tan, H. L., Chan, K.-G., Pusparajah, P., Lee, L.H., & Goh, B.H. (2016). Gynura procumbens: an overview of the biological activities. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 7, 52
- Teresa, D., Moreno, D. A., & García-Viguera, C. (2010). Flavanols and anthocyanins in cardiovascular health: A review of current evidence. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 11(4), 1679–1703.
- Thakur, M., Bhattacharya, S., Khosla, P. K., & Puri, S. (2019). Improving production of plant secondary metabolites through biotic and abiotic elicitation. *Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants*, 12, 1-12.
- Tian, G., Gao, L., Kong, Y., Hu, X., Xie, K., Zhang, R., Ling, N., Shen, Q., & Guo, S. (2017). Improving rice population productivity by reducing nitrogen rate and increasing plant density. *PloS One*, 12(8), e0182310.
- Trapani, N., Hall, A. J., & Weber, M. (1999). Effects of constant and variable nitrogen supply on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) leaf cell number and size. *Annals of Botany*, 84(5), 599–606.
- Tredennick, A. T., Adler, P. B., Grace, J. B., Harpole, W. S., Borer, E. T., Seabloom, E. W., Anderson, T.M., Bakker, J. D., Biederman, L. A., Brown, C. S., & Brown, C. S. (2016). Comment on Worldwide evidence of a unimodal relationship between productivity and plant species richness. *Science*, 351(6272), 457.

- Urbas, P., & Zobel, K. (2000). Adaptive and inevitable morphological plasticity of three herbaceous species in a multi-species community: field experiment with manipulated nutrients and light. *Acta Oecologica*, 21(2), 139–147.
- Vaast, P., Bertrand, B., Perriot, J., Guyot, B., & Genard, M. (2006). Fruit thinning and shade improve bean characteristics and beverage quality of coffee (*Coffea* arabica L.) under optimal conditions. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 86(2), 197–204.
- Vakili, S. S. M. A. L. I. (2014). The effect of plant density and quantity of nitrogen fertilizer on vegetative function of *Lawsonia inermis* L. in Jiroft, 51-62.
- Vallejo, F., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & Ferreres, F. (2004). Characterisation of flavonols in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. Italica) by liquid chromatography–UV diode-array detection–electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1054(1–2), 181–193.
- Van Der Heijden, M. G. A., Bardgett, R. D., & Van Straalen, N. M. (2008). The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, 11(3), 296–310.
- Vanijajiva, O. (2009). The genus gynura (Asteraceae: Senecioneae) in Thailand. *Thai Journal of Botany*, *1*(1), 25–36.
- Verma, A. K., Singh, H., Satyanarayana, M., Srivastava, S. P., Tiwari, P., Singh, A. B., Dwivedi, A. K., Srivastava, M., Nath, C., & Nath, C. (2012). Flavone-based novel antidiabetic and antidyslipidemic agents. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 55(10), 4551–4567.
- Vogel, H., González, B., & Razmilic, I. (2011). Boldo (*Peumus boldus*) cultivated under different light conditions, soil humidity and plantation density. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 34(2), 1310–1312.
- Vourlitis, G. L., Jaureguy, J., Marin, L., & Rodriguez, C. (2020). Shoot and root biomass production in semi-arid shrublands exposed to long-term experimental N input. Science of The Total Environment, 754, 142204.
- Vos, J., & Van der Putten, P. E. L. (1998). Effect of nitrogen supply on leaf growth, leaf nitrogen economy and photosynthetic capacity in potato. *Field Crops Research*, 59(1), 63–72.
- Wang, C., Liu, W., Li, Q., Ma, D., Lu, H., Feng, W., Xie, Y., Zhu, Y., & Guo, T. (2014). Effects of different irrigation and nitrogen regimes on root growth and its correlation with above-ground plant parts in high-yielding wheat under field conditions. *Field Crops Research*, 165, 138–149.
- Wang, C., Wu Ruan, R., Hui Yuan, X., Hu, D., Yang, H., Li, Y., & Lin Yi, Z. (2015). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and planting density on the lignin synthesis in the culm in relation to lodging resistance of buckwheat. *Plant Production Science*, 18(2), 218–227.
- Wang, R., Cheng, T., & Hu, L. (2015). Effect of wide–narrow row arrangement and plant density on yield and radiation use efficiency of mechanized directseeded canola in Central China. *Field Crops Research*, 172, 42-52.

- Wang, X., Fang, J., & Zhu, B. (2008). Forest biomass and root-shoot allocation in northeast China. Forest Ecology and Management, 255(12), 4007–4020.
- Wang, Y., Guo, Q., & Jin, M. (2009). Effects of light intensity on growth and photosynthetic characteristics of *Chrysanthemum morifolium*. *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, 34(13), 1632–1635.
- Warren, J. M., Bassman, J. H., Fellman, J. K., Mattinson, D. S., & Eigenbrode, S. (2003). Ultraviolet-B radiation alters phenolic salicylate and flavonoid composition of *Populus trichocarpa* leaves. *Tree Physiology*, 23(8), 527– 535.
- Wei, S. L., Wang, W. Q., Chen, X. H., Qin, S. Y., & Chen, X. T. (2005). Studies on the shade-endurance capacity of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*. *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, 30(2), 100–104.
- Wei, S., Wang, X., Shi, D., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Liu, P., Zhao, B., & Dong, S. (2016). The mechanisms of low nitrogen induced weakened photosynthesis in summer maize (*Zea mays L.*) under field conditions. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 105, 118–128.
- Weidong, Z. J. W. L. H. (2002). Effects of low light environment on the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of grape leaves. *Journal of China Agricultural University*, 7, 75-78.
- Wiart, C. (2002). Medicinal Plants of Southeast Asia., 2, 264-265. Prentice Hall.
- Widdicombe, W. D., & Thelen, K. D. (2002). Row width and plant density effects on corn grain production in the northern corn belt. *Agronomy Journal*, 94(5), 1020–1023.
- Will, R. E., Narahari, N. V, Shiver, B. D., & Teskey, R. O. (2005). Effects of planting density on canopy dynamics and stem growth for intensively managed loblolly pine stands. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 205(1–3), 29–41.
- Wilson, J. R., Deinum, B., & Engels, F. M. (1991). Temperature effects on anatomy and digestibility of leaf and stem of tropical and temperate forage species, 39(1),31-48.
- Wittmann, C., Aschan, G., & Pfanz, H. (2001). Leaf and twig photosynthesis of young beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and aspen (*Populus tremula*) trees grown under different light regime. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 2(2), 145–154.
- Wong, S. P., Leong, L. P., & Koh, J. H. W. (2006). Antioxidant activities of aqueous extracts of selected plants. *Food Chemistry*, 99(4), 775–783.
- Xie, B. D., & Wang, H. T. (2006). Effects of light spectrum and photoperiod on contents of flavonoid and terpene in leaves of *Ginkgo biloba* L. *Journal of Nanjing Forestry University*, 30(2), 51–54.
- Xie, X., Shan, S., Wang, Y., Cao, F., Chen, J., Huang, M., & Zou, Y. (2019). Dense planting with reducing nitrogen rate increased grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency in two hybrid rice varieties across two light conditions. *Field Crops Research*, 236, 24–32.

- Yam, M. F., Sadikun, A., Ahmad, M., Akowuah, G. A., & Asmawi, M. Z. (2009). Toxicology evaluation of standardized methanol extract of *Gynura* procumbens. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 123(2), 244–249.
- Yam, M., Sadikun, A., Asmawi, M., Yam, M. F., Sadikun, A., & Asmawi, M. Z. (2008). Antioxidant Potential of *Gynura procumbens*, https://doi.org/10.1080/13880200802179642.
- Yang, C., Yang, L., Yang, Y., & Ouyang, Z. (2004). Rice root growth and nutrient uptake as influenced by organic manure in continuously and alternately flooded paddy soils. *Agricultural Water Management*, 70(1), 67–81.
- Yang, Q., Li, F., Zhang, F., & Liu, X. (2013). Interactive effects of irrigation frequency and nitrogen addition on growth and water use of Jatropha curcas. *biomass and bioenergy*, 59, 234-242
- Yang, Q., Zhang, F., & Li, F. (2011). Effect of different drip irrigation methods and fertilization on growth, physiology and water use of young apple tree. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 129(1), 119–126.
- Yang, X. Y., Ye, X. F., Liu, G. S., Wei, H. Q., & Wang, Y. (2007). Effects of light intensity on morphological and physiological characteristics of tobacco seedlings. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 18(11), 2642–2645.
- Yang, Y., Dou, Y., An, S., & Zhu, Z. (2018). Abiotic and biotic factors modulate plant biomass and root/shoot (R/S) ratios in grassland on the Loess Plateau, China. Science of The Total Environment, 636, 621-631.
- Yang, Y., Dou, Y., & An, S. (2017). Environmental driving factors affecting plant biomass in natural grassland in the Loess Plateau, China. *Ecological Indicators*, 82, 250–259.
- Yao, H., Zhang, Y., Yi, X., Hu, Y., Luo, H., Gou, L., & Zhang, W. (2015). Plant density alters nitrogen partitioning among photosynthetic components, leaf photosynthetic capacity and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency in fieldgrown cotton. *Field Crops Research*, 184, 39–49.
- Yusoff, M. M., Misran, A., Ahmed, O. A., Majid, W., Dinie, W. H., Wahab, P. E. M., & Ahmad, N. F. (2019). *Gynura procumbens*: Agronomic practices and future prospects in Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 42(2), 421-434.
- Zahra, A. A., Kadir, F. A., Mahmood, A. A., Suzy, S. M., Sabri, S. Z., Latif, I. I., & Ketuly, K. A. (2011). Acute toxicity study and wound healing potential of *Gynura procumbens* leaf extract in rats. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(12), 2551–2558.
- Zakrzewski, P. A. (2002). Bioprospecting or biopiracy? The pharmaceutical industry's use of indigenous medicinal plants as a source of potential drug candidates. *University of Toronto Medical Journal*, 79(3), 252–254.
- Zavala, J. A., & Ravetta, D. A. (2001). Allocation of photoassimilates to biomass, resin and carbohydrates in *Grindelia chiloensis* as affected by light intensity. *Field Crops Research*, 69(2), 143–149.

- Zhang, H., Xue, Y., Wang, Z., Yang, J., & Zhang, J. (2009). Morphological and physiological traits of roots and their relationships with shoot growth in "super" rice. *Field Crops Research*, 113(1), 31–40.
- Zhang, M., Sun, W., Liu, Y., Luo, S., Jing, Z., Qiong, W. U., Wu, Z. Y., & Jiang, Y. (2014). Timing of N application affects net primary production of soybean with different planting densities. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13(12), 2778–2787.
- Zhang, Q., Tang, D., Liu, M., & Ruan, J. (2018). Integrated analyses of the transcriptome and metabolome of the leaves of albino tea cultivars reveal coordinated regulation of the carbon and nitrogen metabolism. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 231, 272–281.
- Zhang, S., Ma, K., & Chen, L. (2003). Response of photosynthetic plasticity of Paeonia suffruticosa to changed light environments. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 49(2), 121–133.
- Zhang, X., Chen, S., Sun, H., Pei, D., & Wang, Y. (2008). Dry matter, harvest index, grain yield and water use efficiency as affected by water supply in winter wheat. *Irrigation Science*, 27(1), 1–10.
- Zhang, X., Chen, S., Sun, H., Wang, Y., & Shao, L. (2009). Root size, distribution and soil water depletion as affected by cultivars and environmental factors. *Field Crops Research*, 114(1), 75–83.
- Zhang, X., Davidson, E. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Searchinger, T. D., Dumas, P., & Shen, Y. (2015). Managing nitrogen for sustainable development. *Nature*, 528(7580), 51–59.
- Zhang, X. F., & Tan, B. K. H. (2000). Effects of an ethanolic extract of *Gynura* procumbens on serum glucose, cholesterol and triglyceride levels in normal and streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Singapore Medical Journal, 41(1), 9–13.
- Zhao, X., Carey, E. E., & Iwamoto, T. (2006). Fertilizer source and high tunnel production environment affect antioxidant levels of Pac choi. *HortScience*, 41(4), 1000D-1001.
- Zhao, Y. H., Jia, X., Wang, W. K., Liu, T., Huang, S. P., & Yang, M. Y. (2016). Growth under elevated air temperature alters secondary metabolites in *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. seedlings in Cd-and Pb-contaminated soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 565, 586–594.
- Zhou, G., Wang, Y., Jiang, Y., & Yang, Z. (2002). Estimating biomass and net primary production from forest inventory data: a case study of China's Larix forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *169*(1–2), 149–157.
- Zobayed, S. M. A., Afreen, F., & KoZAI, T. (2005). Necessity and production of medicinal plants under controlled environments. *Environmental Control in Biology*, 43(4), 243–252.
- Zoratti, L., Karppinen, K., Luengo Escobar, A., Häggman, H., & Jaakola, L. (2014). Light-controlled flavonoid biosynthesis in fruits. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 534.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Omar Ali Ahmed was born 26 Dec 1974 in Iraq, Diyala state. He obtained his Secondary School in Al-Mansoria Secondary School. He graduated in October 2003, with B.Sc. in Agriculture Science from Faculty of Agriculture, Baghdad University. He earned his M.Sc. in October 2010 from Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Tikrit University. He got married in 2005 and had blessed with four children. He has worked in Faculty of Agriculture, Diyala University since 2004 to date. He got scholarship from Iraqi government for further Ph. D study in Malaysia. He then registered at University Putra Malaysia in 2015 to pursue his Ph. D in Crop Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Ahmed, O. A., Yusoff, M. M., Misran, A., & Wahab, P. E. M. Growth and Physiological Responses to Shade and Nitrogen Fertilizer Levels on Gynura Procumbens.
- Yusoff, M. M., Misran, A., Ahmed, O. A., Wan Majid, W. H. D., Wahab, P. E. M., & Ahmad, N. F. (2019). Gynura procumbens: Agronomic Practices and Future Prospects in Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 42(2).

