



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***COMPUTATIONAL RE-DESIGN OF *Streptomyces griseus* CHITINASE C
AND ANALYSIS OF BIOCHEMICAL, BIOPHYSICAL AND ANTIFUNGAL
PROPERTIES OF DESIGNED VARIANTS***

OYELEYE AYOKUNMI OMOLOLA

FBSB 2022 3



**COMPUTATIONAL RE-DESIGN OF *Streptomyces griseus* CHITINASE C
AND ANALYSIS OF BIOCHEMICAL, BIOPHYSICAL AND ANTIFUNGAL
PROPERTIES OF DESIGNED VARIANTS**

By

OYELEYE AYOKUNMI OMOLOLA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

November 2021

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

COMPUTATIONAL RE-DESIGN OF *Streptomyces griseus* CHITINASE C AND ANALYSIS OF BIOCHEMICAL, BIOPHYSICAL AND ANTIFUNGAL PROPERTIES OF DESIGNED VARIANTS

By

OYELEYE AYOKUNMI OMOLOLA

November 2021

Chairman : Associate Professor Normi Mohd Yahaya, PhD
Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Despite the importance of chitinases as potential biocontrol agents, their applications have not attracted significant attention due to the lack of stable enzyme formulations, high production costs and low yields from both wild and recombinant sources. However, through protein engineering techniques, these limitations can be overcome. Engineered mini-proteins for instance, could possess enhanced stability, low production costs and simplified structural and functional mechanisms. Thus, this study was focused on developing miniaturized variants of *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037 chitinase C that could serve as simpler yet potentially stable antifungal agents. Through computational techniques involving sequence analysis, docking and molecular dynamics simulation important residues and/or motifs within the catalytic cleft of SgChiC were identified as essential for recognition and binding to complex or crystalline chitin. Residues outside the catalytic clefts were thus considered targets for miniaturization. Five (5) SgChiC variants namely: M159, M140, M139, M109 and M101 were designed *in silico*, their genes were subsequently synthesised and cloned into pET-22b(+). The variants were then expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) and purified through on-column refolding. Biochemical assays revealed that all variants although had lower activities towards colloidal chitin when compared with the wild-type, retained the optimum temperature at 40 °C. The optimum pH for activities of variants however varied with M101 and M139 drifting towards acidic pH of 5.0 and 6.0 respectively, while M159 and M109 had optimum activities at pH 8. Interestingly, all variants retained 40-50% of the specific activity of the WT towards colloidal chitin, with M159 displaying the highest specific activity at 31.6 U_{mg}⁻¹ compared to the WT with 52.3 U_{mg}⁻¹. Contrastingly, with chitosan, the smallest variant M101, displayed high chitosanase activity comparable with the WT with 59.6 and 61.4 U_{mg}⁻¹ respectively. M109 also displayed high chitosanase activity with a specific activity of 51.6 U/mg. Thermal denaturation studies revealed that the variants

were stable at temperatures up to 60°C. Antifungal assay towards *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ubense* (FOC) revealed that M101 and M109 had the capacity to inhibit hyphal extension against the fungus with M101 displaying comparable inhibition with the WT. M139, M140 and M159 exhibited less inhibitory effects towards the hyphal extension of FOC. Finally, a correlation between chitosanase and antifungal activities of the enzymes was observed in the study whereby only the wild type and variants with chitosanase activities clearly inhibited fungal growth. The computational approach applied in the engineering of SgChiC was therefore efficient as it yielded miniaturized variants that may be beneficial in the study of chitinases and their mechanisms. Additionally, the variants, especially M101 which exhibited some activities comparable with the wild-type can be further improved in future studies as antifungal formulation.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

REKABENTUK SEMULA SECARA KOMPUTASIONAL CHITINASE C *Streptomyces griseus* DAN ANALISIS CIRI BIOKIMIA, BIOFIZIK DAN ANTIKULAT DARIPADA VARIAN YANG DIREKABENTUK

Oleh

OYELEYE AYOKUNMI OMOLOLA

November 2021

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Normi Mohd Yahaya, PhD
Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Biomolekul Sains

Walaupun kitinase penting sebagai agen biopengawalan, penggunaannya masih belum menarik perhatian signifikan kerana kekurangan formulasi enzim yang stabil, kos pengeluaran yang tinggi dan hasil yang rendah daripada kedua-dua sumber liar dan rekombinan. Akan tetapi, melalui teknik kejuruteraan protein, kekangan sedemikian boleh diatasi. Protein mini yang dijurutera misalnya, boleh mempunyai kestabilan yang dipertingkatkan, kos penghasilan yang rendah dan mekanisme struktur dan fungsian yang diringkaskan. Maka, kajian ini fokus kepada pembangunan varian kitinase C *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037 yang dikecilkan yang boleh bertindak sebagai agen anti-kulat yang lebih ringkas dan stabil. Melalui teknik komputasional yang melibatkan analisis jujukan, pendokan dan simulasi dinamik molekul residu dan/atau motif penting dalam celah pemangkin SgChiC telah dikenal pasti sebagai penting untuk pengecaman dan pengikatan kepada kitin kompleks atau kristal. Residu di luar celah pemangkin oleh itu dianggap sebagai sasaran untuk pengecilan. Lima (5) varian SgChiC iaitu: M159, M140, M139, M109 dan M101 direka bentuk secara in siliko, gen-gennya kemudian disintesis dan diklonkan ke dalam pET-22b(+). Varian-varian tersebut kemudiannya dihasilkan di dalam perumah rekombinan *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) dan dipencilkan melalui "on-column refolding". Ujian biokimia mendedahkan bahawa semua varian walaupun mempunyai aktiviti yang lebih rendah terhadap kitin koloid jika dibandingkan dengan jenis liar (WT), mengekalkan suhu optimum pada 40°C. pH optimum untuk aktiviti varian bagaimanapun berbeza-beza dengan M101 dan M139 yang lebih ke arah pH berasid pada 5.0 dan 6.0 masing-masing, manakala M159 dan M109 mempunyai aktiviti optimum pada pH 8. Menariknya, semua varian mengekalkan 40-50% daripada aktiviti spesifik WT terhadap kitin koloid, dengan M159 memaparkan aktiviti spesifik tertinggi pada 31.6 Umg-1 berbanding WT dengan 52.3 Umg-1. Sebaliknya, dengan kitosan, varian terkecil M101 menunjukkan aktiviti kitosanase yang tinggi setanding dengan WT dengan 59.6

dan 61.4 Umg1 masing-masing. M109 juga menunjukkan aktiviti kitosanase yang tinggi dengan aktiviti spesifik 51.6 Umg-1. Kajian denaturasi terma mendedahkan bahawa varian adalah stabil pada suhu sehingga 60°C. Ujian anti-kulat terhadap *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ubense* (FOC) mendedahkan bahawa M101 dan M109 mempunyai kapasiti untuk menghalang sambungan hifa terhadap kulat dengan M101 memaparkan perencatan yang setanding dengan WT. M139, M140 dan M159 mempamerkan kesan perencatan yang kurang terhadap lanjutan hifa FOC. Akhir sekali, korelasi antara aktiviti kitosanase dan anti-kulat enzim dapat diperhatikan dalam kajian ini di mana hanya jenis liar dan varian yang mempunyai aktiviti kitosanase jelas dapat menghalang pertumbuhan kulat. Oleh itu, pendekatan komputasional yang digunakan dalam kejuruteraan SgChiC adalah efisien kerana ia menghasilkan varian kecil yang mungkin bermanfaat dalam kajian kitinase dan mekanismenya. Selain itu, varian-varian terutamanya M101 yang mempamerkan beberapa aktiviti yang setanding dengan jenis liar boleh ditambah baik lagi dalam kajian akan datang untuk digunakan sebagai formulasi antikulat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With a thankful heart, I return all praise to God Almighty, in whom and through whom I move and live and have my being. To Him who conceals a matter and gives honour to those that search it out. To Him be all blessings and glory and honour and power and praise forever.

This journey would not have been possible without the support of my family - my husband Adebisi Adewole, and children (Oluwademilade and Moyosadeoluwa) for your love, sacrifices and support throughout the programme. Thank you for bearing with me all along. I could not have pulled through without you. To my Parents, Dr. & Mrs. Oyeleye, thank you for your prayers. Daddy, you were the first scientist I grew up to know and you have never ceased to provide mentorship since my childhood. Indeed, you formed the path I am treading on today. Thank you, daddy.

My heart is full of gratitude to the Organisation for Women in Science for the Developing World (OWSD) for the fellowship awarded to pursue my PhD programme. My appreciation goes to the team at the OWSD Secretariat in Trieste, Italy for working assiduously to support all awardees. Dr Tonya Blowers, Sara Dalafi (for always answering my calls before your transfer), Marina Juricev, Erika Hrvatic, Fiona Dakin, Paola Vespa and all those working behind the scenes to ensure the success of all OWSD fellows, thank you so very much.

Special appreciation goes to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Normi Mohd Yahaya for your immense support and encouragement throughout these years and especially during those challenging and rough moments with my experiments. Your positivity indeed kept me going every time. Your attention to details and thoroughness when reviewing papers and articles is exemplary and has helped to shape my reporting skills over the years. Thank you, Dr. Normi. I am also grateful to my co-supervisors Associate Professor Dr. Adam Leow Thean Chor, and Associate Professor Dr. Noor Baiti Saidi for providing the necessary support when needed. Thank you for your advice and readiness to assist always.

I acknowledge the entire team at the Enzyme and Microbial technology Center, all Principal investigators who at one point or the other have contributed through questions, comments and suggestions during my research period. Also, to fellow graduate students at all EMTECH laboratories including Biotech 2, Protein engineering Laboratory (Biotech 3) and the Institute of Biosciences for their assistance at various times. My appreciation goes to my seniors and fellow laboratory mates. Special thanks to Atie for your willingness to provide the much-needed research guidance, Jonathan, Hajar, Gayathri, Asha, Ibrahim, Fatin Lyana, Shaw Xian, Najihah, Nima, Ambrose and Fatin Liyana, you all have in one way or the other rendered some help to me. Thank you all very much. I am

indeed thankful also to Fareedah, Izzah and Aishah who supported me during their final year programmes.

My appreciation will not be complete without paying due appreciation to the Director General of the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), for the permit given to me to embark on this journey. Also, I will like to acknowledge the entire management and staff of FRIN, the head of the Biomedical Research Centre and my colleagues in FRIN. Thank you all for your well wishes.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Normi binti Mohd Yahaya, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Adam Leow Thean Chor, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Noor Baity binti Saidi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 June 2022

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Ayokunmi Omolola Oyeleye

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Normi binti Mohd Yahaya

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Adam Leow Thean Chor

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Noor Baity binti Saidi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		v
APPROVAL		vii
DECLARATION		ix
LIST OF TABLES		xv
LIST OF FIGURES		xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES		xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xxi
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Research hypothesis	3
	1.3 Research questions	3
	1.4 Problem statement	3
	1.5 Objective	4
	1.5.1 Specific Objectives	4
 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	 5
	2.1 Chitinases	5
	2.2 Classification of chitinases	5
	2.2.1 GH family 18 chitinases	5
	2.2.2 GH Family 19 chitinases	8
	2.3 Diversity of chitinases and their roles in nature	11
	2.4 Catalytic mechanisms among chitinases	12
	2.4.1 Retaining / Inverting mechanism	12
	2.4.2 Endo- and exo- mechanisms among chitinases	13
	2.4.3 Hydrolysis/Transglycosylation (TG) reactions	14
	2.4.4 Processive and non-processive chitinases	15
	2.5 Structural features of chitinases that define mechanisms	16
	2.5.1 Auxiliary domains	16
	2.5.2 Extra loops	17
	2.6 Chitinases as biological control agents	19
	2.7 Limitations of chitinases as biocontrol agents	20
	2.8 <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> and their devastating effects on agriculture	22
	2.9 Fungi cell wall characteristics: <i>F. oxysporum</i>	23
	2.10 Engineering chitinases for function elucidation, stability and antifungal properties	23

	2.10.1	Random and rational mutagenesis for improving chitinase activity	24
	2.10.2	Design of chimeric enzymes	25
	2.10.3	Loop insertion and deletion	28
	2.10.4	Computational enzyme engineering	28
2.11		<i>S. griseus</i> chitinase	30
3		IN SILICO STUDIES OF GH19 CHITINASES AND MAPPING OF IMPORTANT RESIDUES	32
	3.1	Introduction	32
	3.2	Materials and Methods	33
	3.3	Molecular modelling and <i>in silico</i> analysis of SgChiC	33
	3.3.1	Homology modeling of SgChiC	33
	3.3.2	Docking of the rebuilt SgChiC model with chitooligosaccharides	34
	3.3.3	Mapping important residues by sequence and structural analysis GH family 19 chitinases	35
	3.4	Results and Discussion	36
	3.4.1	Molecular modelling and <i>in silico</i> analysis of SgChiC	37
	3.4.2	Global docking of SgChiC-YM2 with (NAG) ₃	37
	3.4.3	Analysis of docking poses in SgChiCcatD reveals important residues and interactions	39
	3.4.4	Multiple sequence alignment of GH family 19 chitinases	42
	3.4.5	MD simulation of SgChiC with and without (NAG) ₃	44
	3.4.6	Molecular dynamics simulation of GH19 chitinases reveals differences in loop motions	47
	3.5	Conclusion	52
4		COMPUTATIONAL MINIATURIZATION OF WILD-TYPE SgChiCCatD AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANT MODELS	53
	4.1	Introduction	53
	4.2	Materials and Methods	54
	4.2.1	Miniaturization of SgChiCCatD	54
	4.2.2	Homology modelling of variants CatDs	55
	4.2.3	Docking analysis of Variant Models with (NAG) ₃	56
	4.2.4	MD simulation of models of the catalytic domains of SgChiC and variants	56
	4.3	Results and discussion	57
	4.3.1	Design of variants by miniaturization of SgChiCCatD	57
	4.3.2	Homology modelling of variants	59

4.3.3	Docking with tri-N-Acetylchitotriose (NAG) ₃ and formation of catalytic clefts	62
4.3.4	Molecular dynamics simulation and analysis of variant models	67
4.3.5	Measurement of distance between conserved glutamate catalytic residues	70
4.3.6	Binding energies of enzyme complexes	73
4.4	Conclusion	75
5	CLONING, EXPRESSION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF VARIANTS AND WILD-TYPE CHITINASE	76
5.1	Introduction	76
5.2	Materials and Methods	76
5.2.1	Growth media, reagents and chemicals	76
5.2.2	Bacterial host strains and vectors	77
5.2.3	Fungal pathogen and growth condition	77
5.2.4	<i>In silico</i> verification of the physicochemical properties of variant chitinases	77
5.2.5	Preparation of genes and design of constructs	77
5.2.6	Transformation of plasmid vectors	78
5.2.7	Plasmid extraction	79
5.2.8	Confirmation of pUC119:: <i>sgchiC</i> WT and variants plasmids	79
5.2.9	Construction of pET22b:: <i>sgchiC</i> WT and variant plasmids	80
5.2.10	Expression and optimization of SgchiC expression conditions	82
5.2.11	Refolding and purification of WT and variants SgChiC from inclusion bodies	83
5.2.12	Molecular mass and protein concentration estimation	85
5.2.13	Preparation of colloidal chitin (CC), glycol chitin (GC) and chitosan substrates	85
5.2.14	Biochemical Characterization of SgChiC and Variants	86
5.2.15	Biophysical characterization of WT and variant SgChiC by circular dichroism (CD)	89
5.2.16	Antifungal assay of WT and variants SgChiC	90
5.3	Results and discussion	90
5.3.1	Retransformation of pUC119 vectors of WT and variants <i>sgchiC</i> genes	90
5.3.2	<i>In silico</i> analysis of physicochemical properties of WT and variant SgChiC	93
5.3.3	Expression and refolding of recombinant proteins	93
5.3.4	Biochemical characterization	100
5.3.5	Biophysical characterisation of WT and variants SgChiC	109

5.3.6	Antifungal activity of WT and variants SgChiC	113
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	117
6.1	Conclusion	117
6.2	Limitations of Study	118
6.3	Recommendation for Future perspectives	118
	REFERENCES	119
	APPENDICES	144
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	162
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	163



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Bacterial chitinases with resolved 3D structures	7
2.2	Plant chitinases with resolved 3D structures	9
2.3	Fungal chitinases with resolved 3D structures	10
2.4	Insect chitinases with resolved 3D structures	10
2.5	Some engineered chitinases and their outcomes	26
3.1	Chitinases selected for the <i>in silico</i> analysis of GH family 19 chitinases	36
3.2	Predicted models of SgChiC with built-in linker peptide	37
3.3	Defined motifs in SgChiC _{CatD} and their predicted roles based on docking and MD simulation	47
3.4	Flexible residues in loops of chitinase models with RMSF higher than 1.5	50
4.1	List of motifs showing target residues coloured based on perceived importance	54
4.2	RMSD of variants SgChiC aligned with WT	59
4.3	Contacting residues from docking of variant models and SgChiC _{CatD} with (NAG) ₃ . Data prepared using YASARA (2016 version)	64
5.1	Oligonucleotide primers for amplification of <i>NcoI/BamHI</i> digested <i>sgchiC</i> inserts	80
5.2	Estimation of the molecular properties of WT and variants of SgChiC	94
5.3	Recovery/refolding table for WT-SgChiC refolded by on-column affinity chromatography	99
5.4	Recovery/refolding table for WT-SgChiC refolded by reverse dilution	99
5.5	Activities of on-column refolded and purified SgChiC variants compared to the wild-type	99

5.6	Kinetic parameters of WT and variants SgChiC towards colloidal chitin	107
5.7	Kinetic parameters of WT and variants SgChiC towards chitosan	109
5.8	Secondary structure composition of variants compared with the wild type	110
5.9	Thermodynamic parameters of WT and variants SgChiC deduced from thermal unfolding curves	112



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Schematic representation of the classes and characteristics of chitinases.	6
2.2	Catalytic mechanisms of Chitinases (A) Retaining mechanism by glycosyl hydrolase family 18 chitinases	12
2.3	Transglycosylation and hydrolysis reactions by chitinases	14
2.4	Representation of loops in a loopful and “loopless” chitinase	18
2.5	Crystal structure of <i>S. griseus</i> ChiC PDB ID: 1WVU-B	30
3.1	Homology models of SgChiC with linker peptide built using different structure prediction tools	38
3.2	Percentage frequency of occurrence of contacting residues in all docking poses between SgChiCCatD-(NAG) ₃ complex (light blue) and SgChiC-(NAG) ₆ (indigo) respectively	40
3.3	Docking analysis showing frequently contacting residues of SgChiC with (A) (NAG) ₆ and (B) (NAG) ₃ . (NAG) ₆ and (NAG) ₃	41
3.4	Multiple sequence alignment of some GH family 19 chitinases	43
3.5	Comparison of residues mapped by MSA and docking	44
3.6	Molecular dynamics simulation trajectories of SgChiCCatD with and without (GlcNAc) ₃ .	45
3.7	Motifs identified and labelled according to the secondary structure components of SgChiCCatD	46
3.8	Molecular dynamics simulation of selected GH family 19 chitinases after 20 ns of MD simulation	47
3.9	Superimposed trajectories of representatives of loopful and “loopless” chitinases	48
3.10	Molecular dynamics simulation of selected GH family 19 chitinases after 20 ns of MD simulation	49
4.1	Schematic representation of stretch deletion approach for the miniaturization of SgChiCCatD	55

4.2	Multiple sequence alignment of full-length WT SgChiC and variants showing areas of deleted amino acid residues	58
4.3	Homology models of the catalytic domains of variants showing possible structural changes resulting from miniaturization	60
4.4	Structural alignment of SgChiCCatD (gold) with variant models (Cyan) reveals structural deviation of variants from WT	61
4.5	Surface representation of the predicted 3D- models of variants in complex with (NAG) ₃	63
4.6	Analysis of docking poses with the highest binding affinities for all docking runs involving each variant showing contacting residues and binding direction	66
4.7	Comparison between the conformational dynamics in chitinase models with and without the substrate	68
4.8	Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of variant and wild type residues during 120 ns of MD simulation in free (blue) and substrate bound (red) states	69
4.9	Superimposed trajectories of variants and wild-type models in their unbound states. Distances between the two conserved glutamic acid residues (sticks) at 0 (green/grey), 60 (cyan) and 120 ns (purple)	71
4.10	Surface representation of variants and wild type models in their complex states at 120 ns	72
4.11	Binding energies of variants and wild type during 120 ns MD simulation	74
5.1	Initial gene construct of WT and variants SgChiC	78
5.2	Digested recombinant pUC119::sgChiC plasmids showing bands corresponding to the sizes of WT and variant sgChiC genes separated on 0.8% agarose gel	91
5.3	Agarose gel electrophoresis of digested inserts, vector and product of ligation	92
5.4	Amplified <i>sgchiC</i> genes from pET-22b (+) as confirmation of ligation in recombinant plasmids separated on 0.8% agarose gel	93

5.5	SDS-PAGE analysis of WT and variants SgChiC found in the inclusion body fractions at different temperatures of 20, 25, 30 and 37°C	95
5.6	SDS-PAGE analysis of inclusion bodies proteins expressed at 30°C	96
5.7	Purification/recovery of SgChiC proteins from inclusion bodies via on-column refolding technique	98
5.8	Yield of NAG from the hydrolysis of colloidal chitin at different reaction time by WT and variants SgChiC	100
5.9	Determination of optimum temperature of enzyme activity for variants and WT	101
5.10	Effects of pH on enzyme activity determined by measuring NAG yield	103
5.11	Thermal stability of WT SgChiC and variants	104
5.12	Specific activities of variants and wild-type chitinases towards different substrates	105
5.13	Graphs of initial rates of enzymes with colloidal chitin fitted to the Michaelis Menten equation by nonlinear least square regression method	107
5.14	Graphs of initial rates of enzymes with chitosan fitted to the Michaelis Menten equation by nonlinear least square regression method	108
5.15	CD spectral analysis of the secondary structural elements of wild type and variants of SgChiC	110
5.16	Thermal unfolding curves of the wild type and miniaturized SgChiC variants as determined by CD at 222 nm	111
5.17	Preliminary antifungal activity of WT SgChiC and variants against <i>Fusarium oxysporum f.sp.cubense</i> TR4 performed by the hyphal extension inhibition assay	115

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Materials, softwares and in silico analyses tools	144
B	Cloning constructs and Sequencing results	152
C	Assays	159



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CatD	Catalytic domain
CBD	Chitin binding domain
NAG/(GlcNAc)	N-acetyl-D-glucosamine
WT	Wild-type
SgChiC	<i>Streptomyces griseus</i> Chitinase C
RD	Reverse dilution
OnC	On column
BB	Binding buffer
DB	dialysis buffer
EB	elution buffer
RB	refolding buffer
WB	washing buffer
Fn3	fibronectin 3 like domain
CC	colloidal chitin
GC	glycol chitin
PDB	Protein data bank
CD	Circular dichroism
MD	Molecular dynamics
GH	Glycosyl/Glycoside hydrolase
TIM	Topoisomerase
IPTD	Isopropyl-B-D-galactopyranoside
RMSF	Root mean square deviation
RMSD	Root mean square fluctuations

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Enzymes are important tools for solving global problems in health care, food processing, drug development, agriculture, environmental management and research. Their importance has influenced further studies into enzyme structure and function and triggered a ripple effect in the field of enzyme engineering through directed evolution, rational design or mutagenesis, and *de-novo* design. Generally, proteins are engineered for purposes such as elucidating the roles of specific regions of enzymes, enhancing enzymatic properties as well as for building artificial biomolecules or enzymes with novel functions. As a result, properties like substrate specificity, enantioselectivity, solvent tolerance, temperature and pH stability are common targets of most protein engineering studies for developing enzymes which are better suited for harsh industrial processes or applications. While there is still a vast area of enzymatic attributes being investigated or waiting to be unraveled, a significant number of enzymes with improved functions have been commercialized and used for various purposes. Some examples include fast and slow acting insulin, and engineered glycoproteins used for therapeutic purposes (Walsh, 2007), engineered hydrolytic enzymes such as oxidation resistant proteases (Contesini et al., 2017; Banik & Prakash, 2004), amylases (Khemakhem et al., 2009) and lipases (Druteika et al., 2020) which are used in the detergent industry (Walsh, 2007). Cellulases and chitinases are also well-known glycoside hydrolases with growing significance in industry and agriculture. Chitinases which are of interest in this study are particularly gaining widespread interests for their roles in the degradation of chitin, a highly abundant crystalline carbohydrate found in nature. They have found useful application in biotechnology for the production of chitooligosaccharides, management of chitin wastes and biological control of phytopathogenic fungi and insect pests (Swiontek et al., 2014). Chitinases (EC 3.2.1.14) are among carbohydrate binding enzymes with interesting features that can serve as targets for protein engineering studies. Their biocontrol potential is particularly of interest due to the growing need for safer alternatives for the control of fungal pathogens which are responsible for several devastating losses in agriculture. A typical destructive fungal strain known as *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*, is the notorious causative agent of the “Panama” disease of banana plantations (Ploetz, 2015). *F. oxysporum* sub sp. *cubense* (FOC) TR1 was responsible for the extinction of the highly desired “Gros Michel” banana cultivar in the 1950’s (Ploetz & Churchill, 2011). This species was the most widely exported banana variety at that time, before its eradication by the Panama disease. Currently, the “Cavendish” species which replaced the ‘Gros Michel’ cultivar is under threat by another race of *Foc* (Pérez-vicente et al., 2014; Ploetz & Churchill, 2011). Unfortunately, current methods used for its eradication such as utilization of chemical fungicides are not yielding significant results and mostly pose severe health and environmental risks (Nel et al., 2007). Hence, the need

for the development of alternative control measures with little or no deleterious environmental impacts is necessary.

Chitinases are potential alternatives, however, they are limited by a few factors which diminish their attractiveness as substitutes for the broad-spectrum chemical agents. These limitations include the cost of production from natural as well as recombinant sources (Sarma et al., 2013), lack of stable or appropriate formulations and narrow spectrum of antifungal activity (Sarma et al., 2013; Neeraja et al., 2010). The narrow spectrum of antifungal activity is attributed to the differences in surface microstructure and varying proportions or exposure of chitin amidst fungal cell wall proteins and glucanases (Singh et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2008). Hence, chitinases either bind poorly or have low accessibility to the chitin fibres in the cell walls of different fungal pathogens. In addition, chitinases like other glycoside hydrolases vary in their natural roles, mechanisms and structural properties which may contribute to the differences in their chitinolytic or antifungal properties. In other words, the mechanism of fungal growth inhibition by antifungal chitinases still remain unclear due to complexities arising from diverse structural properties of chitin as well as chitinases.

Some studies have clearly reported potent antifungal chitinases from plants (SierraGomez et al., 2019; Tanaka et al., 2017; Karthik et al., 2015; Kirubakaran & Sakthivel, 2007; Punja & Zhang, 1993), fungi (Deng et al., 2019) and bacteria (de la Fuente-Salcido et al., 2016; Hjort et al., 2014; Neeraja et al., 2010; Itoh et al., 2003). One particular chitinase producing group that stands out consistently for its antifungal potential is from the soil bacterium *Streptomyces sp* (Singh et al., 1999). Specifically, *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037 ChiC (SgChiC) was the first glycosyl hydrolase (GH) family 19 chitinase identified from bacteria (Kezuka et al., 2006). In fact, after more than a decade since its first structural characterization, it has remained one of only two bacterial chitinases with known 3D structures. As such, it serves as a valuable source of structural and mechanistic information for the study of GH 19 chitinases from organisms other than plants. SgChiC is bi-modal, having a chitin binding domain (CBD) similar to the cellulose binding module (CBM) found in some bacterial enzymes, and a catalytic domain (CatD) structurally related to the GH family 19 plant chitinases (Kezuka et al., 2006; Akagi et al., 2006; Itoh et al., 2002). Its characteristic antifungal activity has been demonstrated by observing its ability to inhibit hyphal extension in *Trichoderma reesei* (Itoh et al., 2006). While the catalytic domain is known to perform most of the chitinolytic activity, studies have shown that its antifungal property is significantly lost in the absence of the CBD. Interestingly, the absence of the CBD resulted in loss of just about 50% activity towards insoluble chitin while up to 90% of its antifungal activity was abolished (Itoh et al., 2002). Despite its interesting attributes and potential importance as a biocontrol agent, no recent study has been performed to elucidate some of its mechanisms or enhance its properties towards its development as an efficient biocontrol agent. In this study, the catalytic domain of SgChiC was redesigned by miniaturization. The variants were subsequently investigated for their biochemical, biological and biophysical properties. Prior to experimental

analyses, a computational approach was applied to identify hypothetically unimportant sites as target regions or residues on the 3D structure of SgChiC. Subsequently, the catalytic domain was redesigned by the iterative deletion of the target residues.

The design of miniature enzymes is desirable because they are generally believed to be stable and easier to study because of their small size (Starovasnik et al., 1997; Nedwitek & Hecht, 1997), they simplify complex processes and can be readily produced with higher yields. Furthermore, the miniaturization approach used is a mimic of the natural design process of chitinases whereby chitinases adapt in the environment by the loss of some loops.

1.2 Research hypothesis

Structural and functional differences among chitinases can be studied by analyzing sequence and observing the dynamics of loops, domains, motifs and residues through *in silico* techniques. Furthermore, miniaturization of the catalytic domain of SgChiC will yield chitinases with smaller domains and simplified mechanisms that (1) can be better studied for elucidating functional and structural properties and (2) can aid production and formulation of inexpensive and effective biocontrol agents.

1.3 Research questions

1. What are the key residues, structural elements and interactions that are essential for the activity and stability of SgChiC?
2. Can SgChiC be minimized by deletion of “unimportant” residues without the perturbation of its core structure or function?
3. What can be revealed about the biochemical and biological characteristics of SgChiC through redesigning of structural features and deletion of unimportant catalytic residues?

1.4 Problem statement

Although SgChiC is known for its antifungal potential, the complexity in structural features that define stability, antifungal activity, substrate specificity and catalytic efficiency is not well known. Therefore, the successful design of a miniature chitinase from SgChiC will simplify structural and functional complexities and yield variants that can be easily studied.

1.5 Objective

To computationally minimize SgChiC and analyse the enzymatic and antifungal properties of simplified variants towards the development of stable chitinase formulations as biocontrol agents.

1.5.1 Specific Objectives

1. To map functionally unimportant residues and motifs as targets regions to be minimized, *in silico*.
2. To miniaturize SgChiC by deleting “unimportant” target regions and analyse the properties of the designed variants *in silico*.
3. To determine the biochemical, biological and biophysical properties of the recombinant miniature variants.

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, C., Paul, S., Tripathi, V., Paul, B., & Khan, M. A. (2015). Chitinolytic activity in *Serratia marcescens* (strain SEN) and potency against different larval instars of *Spodoptera litura* with effect of sublethal doses on insect development. *BioControl*, 60 (5), 631–640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-015-9674-3>
- Akagi, K., Watanabe, J., Hara, M., Kezuka, Y., & Chikaishi, E. (2006). Identification of the substrate interaction region of the chitin-binding domain of *Streptomyces griseus* chitinase C. *Journal of Biochemistry*, 139 (3), 483–493. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvj062>
- Amini, J., & Sidovich, D. F. (2010). The effects of fungicides on *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* associated with Fusarium wilt of tomato. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 50 (2), 172–178. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10045-010-0029-x>
- Arakane, Y., & Muthukrishnan, S. (2010). Insect chitinase and chitinase-like proteins. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 67, 201–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-009-0161-9>
- Baayen, R.P. (2000). Diagnosis and detection of host-specific forms of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *EPPO Bulletin*, 30:489-491. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2000.tb00935.x>
- Barbosa, P. I. & Kemmelmeier, C. (1993). Chemical composition of the hyphal wall from *Fusarium graminearum*. *Experimental Mycology*, 17 (4), 274–283. <https://doi.org/10.1006/emyc.1993.1026>
- Baker, E. G., Bartlett, G. J., Porter, K. L., & Woolfson, D. N. (2017). Miniprotein Design: past, present and prospects. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 50 (9), 2082-2092. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00186>
- Banik, R.M., & Prakash, M. (2004). Laundry detergent compatibility of the alkaline protease from *Bacillus cereus*. *Microbiology Research*, 159 (2), 135–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2004.01.002>
- Bateman, A. (2019). UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1), D506–D515. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049>
- Bastiaens, L., Soetemans, L., D'Hondt, E., & Elst, K. (2019). Sources of chitin and chitosan and their isolation. In L.A. Broek L.A. and Boeriu C.G.(Ed), *Chitin and Chitosan*. Wiley online Library. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119450467>.

- Beier, S. & Bertilsson, S. (2013). Bacterial chitin degradation-mechanisms and ecophysiological strategies. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4(149). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00149>
- Beintema, J. J. (1994). Structural features of plant chitinases and chitin-binding proteins. *FEBS Letters*, 350 (2–3), 159–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/00145793\(94\)00753-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/00145793(94)00753-5)
- Berini, F., Katz, C., Gruzdev, N., & Casartelli, M. (2018). Microbial and viral chitinases: attractive biopesticides for integrated pest management. *Biotechnology Advances*, 36 (3), 818–838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.01.002>
- Bhattacharya, S., Das, A., Samadder, S., & Rajan, S. S. (2016). Biosynthesis and characterization of a thermostable, alkali-tolerant chitinase from *Bacillus pumilus* JUBCH08 displaying antagonism against phytopathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum*. *3 Biotech*, 6 (1), 87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-016-0406-x>
- Bokma, E., Rozeboom, J., Sibbald, M., Dijkstra, B. W., & Beintema, J. J. (2002). Expression and characterization of active site mutants of hevamine, a chitinase from the rubber tree *Hevea brasiliensis*. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 269 (3), 893–901. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0014-2956.2001.02721.x>
- Bonetti, D., Troilo, F., Toto, A., Brunori, M., Longhi, S., & Gianni, S. (2017). Analyzing the folding and binding steps of an intrinsically disordered protein by protein engineering. *Biochemistry*, 25;56 (29), 3780–3786. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00350>
- Borgia, P.T. & Dodge, C. L. (1992). Characterization of *Aspergillus nidulans* mutants deficient in cell wall chitin or glucan. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 174 (2), 377–383.
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248–254.
- Brurberg, M. B., Nes, I. F., Eijsink, V. G. (1996). Comparative studies of chitinases A and B from *Serratia marcescens*. *Microbiology (Reading)*, 142 (7), 1581–1589. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10242420500518482>
- Busby, J. N., Landsberg, M. J., Simpson, R. M., Jones, S. A., Hankamer, B., Hurst, M. R. H., & Lott, J. S. (2012). Structural analysis of Chi1 chitinase from *Yen-Tc*: The multi subunit insecticidal ABC toxin complex of *Yersinia entomophaga*. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 415 (2), 359–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2011.11.018>

- Chakraborty, A., Sarkar, M., & Basak, S. (2005). Stabilizing effect of low concentrations of urea on reverse micelles. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 287, 312–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2005.01.071>
- Chakraborty, S., Nascimento, R., & Zaini, P. A. (2016). Sequence / structural analysis of xylem proteome emphasizes pathogenesis- related proteins, chitinases and β -1, 3-glucanases as key players in grapevine defense against *Xylella fastidiosa*. *PeerJ*, 2016 (4): e2007. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2007>
- Chaudet, M. M., Naumann, T. A., Price, N. P. J., & Rose, D. R. (2014). Crystallographic structure of ChitA, a glycoside hydrolase family 19, plant class IV chitinase from *Zea mays*. *Protein Science*, 23 (5), 586–593. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2437>
- Chen, L., Liu, T., Zhou, Y., Chen, Q., Shen, X., & Yang, Q. (2014). Structural characteristics of an insect group I chitinase, an enzyme indispensable to moulting. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 70 (4), 932–942. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S1399004713033841>
- Chen, L., Chen, J., Kumar, A., & Liu, Z. (2015). Effects of domains modification on the catalytic potential of chitinase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 78 (July), 266–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.04.017>
- Chevalier, A., Silva, D. A., Rocklin, G. et al. (2017). Massively parallel de novo protein design for targeted therapeutics. *Nature*, 550, 74-79. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23912>
- Choi, Y. J., Kim, E. J., Piao, Z., Yun, Y. C., & Shin, Y. C. (2004). Purification and characterization of chitosanase from *Bacillus* sp. strain KCTC 0377BP and its application for the production of chitosan oligosaccharides. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 70 (8), 4522–4531. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.8.4522-4531.2004>
- Chura-chambi, R. M., Mara, C., Pereira, L. R., Bartolini, P., Carlos, L., Ferreira, D. S., Id, L. M., Balduino, K. N., Spencer, P. J., Genova, L. A., Affonso, R., Morganti, L., Rodrigues, T., Bezerra, L., Bernardi, B., Isaac, L., Silva, A., Morganti, L., ...Randolph, T. W. (2019). Protein refolding based on high hydrostatic pressure and alkaline pH: Application on a recombinant dengue virus NS1 protein *PLoS ONE*, 14 (1), e0211162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2007.05.014>
- Colovos, C., & Yeates, T. O. (1993). Verification of protein structures: Patterns of nonbonded atomic interactions. *Protein Science*, 2 (9), 1511–1519. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.5560020916>

- Contesini, F. J., Melo, R. R. & Sato, H. H. (2017). An overview of *Bacillus* proteases: from production to application. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 38 (3), 321-334. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1354354>
- Cunningham, B. C., & Wells, J. A. (1997). Minimized proteins. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 7 (4), 457–462. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-440X\(97\)810107-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-440X(97)810107-8)
- Damborsky, J., & Brezovsky, J. (2014). Computational tools for designing and engineering enzymes. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 19 (1), 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.12.003>
- Damodaran, T., Jha, V. K. M. S. K., & Gopal, R. (2018). First Report of Fusarium wilt in banana caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* Tropical Race 4 in India. *Plant Disease "First Look" Paper*, 2–7.
- Daud, N. H., Leow, T. C., Oslan, S. N., & Salleh, A. B. (2019). A Novel mini protein design of haloalkane dehalogenase. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 61 (7), 477-488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-019-00169-3>
- Davies, G. J., & Henrissat, B. (1995). Structures and mechanisms of glycosyl hydrolases. *Structure*, 3 (9), 853–859.
- de la Fuente-Salcido, N. M., Casados-Vazquez, L. E., Garcia-Perez, A. P., Barboza- Perez, U.E., Bideshi, D. K., Salcedo-Hernandez, R., Garcia-Almendarez, B.E. and Barboza-Corona, J.E. (2015). The endochitinase ChiA Btt of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *tenebrionis* DSM-2803 and its potential use to control the phytopathogen *Colletotrichum gloeosporoides*. *Microbiology Open*, 5 (5), 819-829.
- Deng, J., Shi, D., Mao, H., Li, Z., Liang, S., Ke, Y., & Luo, X. (2019). Heterologous expression and characterization of an antifungal chitinase (Chit46) from *Trichoderma harzianum* GIM 3.442 and its application in colloidal chitin conversion. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 1 (134), 113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.04.177>
- Dita, M., Barquero, M., Heck, D., Mizubiti, E.S.G. & Staver, C.P (2018). Fusarium wilt of banana: current knowledge on epidemiology and research needs toward sustainable disease management. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9 (1460). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01468>.
- Douriet, N. R., Ignacio, G., Mendoza, E. M., Ibarra, E., Jochen, L., Carlos, B., & Vázquez, L. C. (2017). Genomic analysis of *Bacillus* sp. Strain B25, a biocontrol agent of maize pathogen *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Current Microbiology*, 75 (3), 247–255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-017-1372-1>

- Druteika, G., Sadauskas, M., Malunavicius, V., Lastauskiene, E., & Statkeviciute, R. (2020). New engineered *Geobacillus* lipase GD - 95RM for industry focusing on the cleaner production of fatty esters and household washing product formulations. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 36 (41). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-020-02816-3>
- Duo-Chuan, L. (2006). Review of fungal chitinases. *Mycopathologia*, 161 (6), 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-006-0024-y>
- Durechova, D., Jopcik, M., Rajnivec, M., Moravcikova, J., & Libantova, J. (2019). Expression of *Drosera rotundifolia* chitinase in transgenic tobacco plants Enhanced their antifungal potential. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 61 (12), 916–928. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-019-00214-1>
- Eijsink, V. G. H., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Vårum, K. M., & Horn, S. J. (2008). Towards New enzymes for biofuels: lessons from chitinase research. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 26 (5), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2008.02.004>
- Fan, Y., Fang, W., Xiao, Y., Yang, X., & Zhang, Y. (2007). Directed evolution for increased chitinase activity. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 76 (1), 135– 139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-0996-7>
- Fernandez-fuentes, N., Rai, B. K., Madrid-aliste, C. J., & Fajardo, J. E. (2007). Acomparative protein structure modeling server by combining multiple templates and optimizing sequence-to-structure alignments. *Bioinformatics*, 23 (19), 2558–2565. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm377>
- Fukamizo, T., & Ohkawa, T. (1992). Chitinous components of the cell wall of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 56 (10), 1632–1636. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.56.1632>
- Fukamizo, T., Honda, Y., Toyoda, H., Ouchi, S., & Goto, S. (1996). Chitinous component of the cell wall of *Fusarium oxysporum*, its structure deduced from chitosanase digestion. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 60 (10), 1705–1708. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.60.1705>
- Fukamizo, T. (2000). Chitinolytic enzymes: catalysis, substrate binding, and their application. *Current Protein & Peptide Science*, 1 (1), 105–124. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1389203003381450>
- Fukamizo, T., Sasaki, C., Schelp, E., Bortone, K., & Robertus, J. D. (2001). Kinetic properties of chitinase-1 from the fungal pathogen *Coccidioides immitis*. *Biochemistry*, 40 (8), 2448–2454. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi001537>

- Fukamizo, Tamo, Miyake, R., Tamura, A., Ohnuma, T., Skriver, K., Pursiainen, N. V., & Juffer, A. H. (2009). A flexible loop controlling the enzymatic activity and specificity in a glycosyl hydrolase family 19 endochitinase from barley seeds (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1794 (8), 1159–1167 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2009.03.009>
- Garcia-Fandino, Bernado, P. Ayuso-Tejedor, S., Sancho, J. & Orozco, M. (2012). Defining the nature of thermal intermediate in 3 state folding proteins: Apoflavodoxin, a study case. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 8 (8), e1002647. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002647>.
- García-Fraga, B., da Silva, A. F., López-Seijas, J., & Sieiro, C. (2015). A novel family 19 chitinase from the marine-derived *Pseudoalteromonas tunicata* CCUG 44952T: Heterologous expression, characterization and antifungal activity. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 93, 84-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2014.09.014>
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., & Bairoch, A. (2005). Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server. In J. M. Walker (Ed.), *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook, Humana Press* (2005) (pp. 571–608). Humana Press.
- Gautam, S., Dubey, P., Rather, G. M., & Gupta, M. N. (2012). Non-chromatographic strategies for protein refolding. *Recent Patents on Biotechnology*, 6 (1), 1855- 1861. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.03.098>
- Ghasemi, S., Ahmadian, G., Sadeghi, M., Zeigler, D. R., Rahimian, H., Ghandili, S., Naghibzadeh, N., & Dehestani, A. (2011). First report of a bifunctional chitinase / lysozyme produced by *Bacillus pumilus* SG2. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 48 (3), 225–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2010.11.001>
- Gooday, G. W. (1995). The dynamics of hyphal growth. *Mycological Research*, 99 (4), 385-394. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80635-5](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80635-5)
- Hahn, M., & Hennig, M. (2000). Structure of jack bean chitinase. *Biological Crystallography*, D56, 1096–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S090744490000857X>
- Hamed, I., Özogul, F., & Regenstein, J. M. (2016). Industrial applications of crustacean by-products (chitin, chitosan, and chitooligosaccharides): A review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 48, 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.11.007>
- Hamre, A. G., Frøberg, E. E., Eijsink, V. G. H., & Sørlie, M. (2017). Thermodynamics of tunnel formation upon substrate binding in a processive glycoside hydrolase. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 620, 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2017.03.011>

- Han, B., Zhou, K., Li, Z., Sun, B., Ni, Q., Meng, X., Pan, G., Li, C., Long, M., Li, T., Zhou, C., Li, W., & Zhou, Z. (2016). Characterization of the first fungal glycosyl hydrolase family 19 chitinase (NbchiA) from *Nosema bombycis* (Nb). *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 63 (1), 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12246>
- Hartl, L., Zach, S., & Seidl-Seiboth, V. (2012). Fungal chitinases: Diversity, mechanistic properties and biotechnological potential. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 93 (2), 533–543. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3723-3>
- Hayashida, M., Fujii, T., Hamasu, M., Ishiguro, M. & Hata, Y. (2003). Similarity between protein-protein and protein-carbohydrate interactions, revealed by two crystal structures of lectins from the roots of pokeweed. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 334 (3), 551-565. <http://doi.or/10.1016/j.jmb.2003.09.076>.
- Hebditch, M., Carballo-Amador, M. A., Charonis, S., Curtis, R., & Warwicker, J. (2017). Protein-Sol: A web tool for predicting protein solubility from sequence. *Bioinformatics*, 33 (19), 3098–3100. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx345>
- Herrera-Estrella, A., & Chet, I. (1999). Chitinases in biological control. In P. Jolles & R.A. A. Muzzarelli (Eds.), *Chitin and chitinases. Carbohydrate Polymers* 43 (3). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617\(00\)00168-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00168-5)
- Hjort, K., Presti, I., Elväng, A., Marinelli, F., & Sjöling, S. (2014). Bacterial chitinase with phytopathogen control capacity from suppressive soil revealed by functional metagenomics. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 98 (6), 2819–2828. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5287-x>
- Hoell, I. A., Dalhus, B., Heggset, E. B., Aspmo, S. I., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2006). Crystal structure and enzymatic properties of a bacterial family 19 chitinase reveal differences from plant enzymes. *FEBS Journal*, 273 (21), 4889–4900. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05487.x>
- Hoell, I. A., Klemsdal, S. S., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Horn, S. J., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2005). Overexpression and characterization of a novel chitinase from *Trichoderma atroviride* strain P1. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Proteins and Proteomics*, 1748 (2), 180–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2005.01.002>
- Hollis, T., Monzingo, A. F., Bortone, K., Ernst, S., Cox, R., & Robertus, J. O. N. D. (2000). The X-ray structure of a chitinase from the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. *Protein Science*, 9(3), 544–551.

- Horn, S. J., Sikorski, P., Cederkvist, J. B., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Sorlie, M., Synstad, B., Vriend, G., Varum, K. M., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2006a). Costs and benefits of processivity in enzymatic degradation of recalcitrant polysaccharides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, *103* (48), 18089–18094. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608909103>
- Horn, S. J., Sørli, M., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Norberg, A. L., Synstad, B., Vårum, K. M., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2006). Comparative studies of chitinases A, B and C from *Serratia marcescens*. *Biocatalysis and Biotransformation*, *24* (1–2), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10242420500518482>
- Hsieh, Y. C., Wu, Y. J., Chiang, T. Y., Kuo, C. Y., Shrestha, K. L., Chao, C. F., Huang, Y. C., Chuankhayan, P., Wu, W. G., Li, Y. K., & Chen, C. J. (2010). Crystal structures of *Bacillus cereus* NCTU2 chitinase complexes with chitooligomers reveal novel substrate binding for catalysis: A chitinase without chitin binding and insertion domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *285* (41), 31603–31615. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.149310>
- Hu, H., Wang, G., Yang, H., Zhou, J., Mo, L., Yang, K., Bartlam, M., Jin, C., Jin, C., & Rao, Z. (2005). Crystal structure of a native chitinase from the fungal pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus* YJ-407 (afCHI). *Acta crystallographica section A foundations of crystallography*, *61*(a1), c186–c187. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S010876730509207X>
- Huet, J., Rucktooa, P., Clantin, B., Azarkan, M., Looze, Y., Villeret, V., & Wintjens, R. (2008). X-ray structure of Papaya chitinase reveals the substrate binding mode of glycosyl hydrolase family 19 chitinases. *Biochemistry*, *47* (32), 8283–8291. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi800655u>
- Imoto, T. & Yagishita, K. (1971). A simple activity measurement of lysozyme. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, *35* (7), 1154–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1971.10860050>
- Itoh, T., Hibi, T., Suzuki, F., Sugimoto, I., Fujiwara, A., Inaka, K., Tanaka, H., Ohta, K., Fujii, Y., Taketo, A., & Kimoto, H. (2016). Crystal structure of chitinase ChiW from *Paenibacillus* sp. str. FPU-7 reveals a novel type of bacterial cell-surface-expressed multi-modular enzyme machinery. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(12), e0167310 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167310>
- Itoh, Y., Kawase, T., Nikaidou, N., Fukada, H., Mitsutomi, M., Watanabe, T., & Itoh, Y. (2002). Functional analysis of the chitin-binding domain of a family 19 chitinase from *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037: Substrate-binding affinity and cis dominant increase of antifungal function. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, *66* (5), 1084–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.66.1084>

- Itoh, Y., Takahashi, K., Takizawa, H., Nikaidou, N., Tanaka, H., Nishihashi, H., Watanabe, T., & Nishizawa, Y. (2003). Family 19 chitinase of *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037 increases plant resistance to the fungal disease. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 67(4), 847–855. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.67.847>
- Itoh, Y., Watanabe, J., Fukada, H., Mizuno, R., Kezuka, Y., Nonaka, T., Watanabe, T., (2006). Importance of Trp59 and Trp60 in chitin-binding, hydrolytic, and antifungal activities of *Streptomyces griseus* chitinase C. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 72 (6), 1176–1184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0405-7>
- Jana, S., Hamre, A. G., Eijsink, V. G. H., Sørli, M., Payne, C. M., (2019). Polar residues lining the binding cleft of a *Serratia marcescens* family 18 chitinase position the substrate for attack and stabilize associative interactions. *Molecular physics*, 117 (23-24), 3664–3682. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2019.1657600>
- Jitnom, J., Sattayanon, C., Kungwan, N., & Hannongbua, S. (2015). A DFT study of the unusual substrate-assisted mechanism of *Serratia marcescens* chitinase Reveals the role of solvent and mutational effect on catalysis. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling*, 56, 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2014.12.002>
- Juárez-hernández, E. O., Casados-vázquez, L. E., & Briebe, L. G. (2019). The crystal structure of the chitinase ChiA74 of *Bacillus thuringiensis* has a multidomain assembly. *Scientific reports* 9 (2591). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39464-z>
- Jung, W. J., & Park, R. D. (2014). Bioproduction of chitoooligosaccharides: present and perspectives. *Marine Drugs*, 12 (11), 5328–5356. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md12115328>
- Karthik, N., Binod, P., & Pandey, A. (2015). Purification and characterisation of an acidic and antifungal chitinase produced by a *Streptomyces* sp. *Bioresource Technology*, 188, 195–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.03.006>
- Kawase, T., Saito, A., Sato, T., Kanai, R., Fujii, T., Nikaidou, N., Miyashita, K., & Watanabe, T. (2004). Distribution and phylogenetic analysis of family 19 chitinases in Actinobacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 70 (2), 1135–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.2.1135>
- Kawase, T., Yokokawa, S., Saito, A., Fujii, T., Nikaidou, N., Miyashita, K., & Watanabe, T. (2006). Comparison of enzymatic and antifungal properties between family 18 and 19 chitinases from *S. coelicolor* A3(2). *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 70 (4), 988–998. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.70.988>

- Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., & Sternberg, M. J. E. (2015). The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, 10 (6), 845–858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015-053>
- Keswani, C., Singh, H. B., Hermosa, R., García-estrada, C., Caradus, J., & He, Y. (2019). Antimicrobial secondary metabolites from agriculturally important fungi as next biocontrol agents. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 103 (3), 9287–9303.
- Kezuka, Y., Itoh, Y., Watanabe, J., Watanabe, T., & Nonaka, T. (2005). Structure of a two-domain chitinase from *Streptomyces griseus*. *Acta Crystallographica*, 358 (2), 472–484. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767305091828>
- Kezuka, Y., Kojima, M., Mizuno, R., Suzuki, K., Watanabe, T., & Nonaka, T. (2010). Structure of full-length class I chitinase from rice revealed by X-ray crystallography and small-angle X-ray scattering. *Proteins: Structure, Function and Bioinformatics*, 78 (10), 2295–2305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.22742>
- Kezuka, Y., Ohishi, M., Itoh, Y., Watanabe, J., Mitsutomi, M., Watanabe, T., & Nonaka, T. (2006). Structural studies of a two-domain chitinase from *Streptomyces griseus* HUT6037. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 358 (2), 472–484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.02.013>
- Khemakhem, B., Ali, M. Ben, Aghajari, N., Juy, M., Haser, R., & Bejar, S. (2009). Engineering of the α -amylase from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* for detergent incorporation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 102 (2), 380–389. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22083>
- Kidibule, P. E., Moriano, P. S., Ortega, E. J., Escudero, M. R., Limón, M. C., Remacha, M., Plou, F. J., & Aparicio, J. S. (2018). Use of chitin and chitosan to produce new chitooligosaccharides by chitinase Chit 42: enzymatic activity and structural basis of protein specificity. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 17 (1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-018-0895-x>
- Kirubakaran, S. I., & Sakthivel, N. (2007). Cloning and overexpression of antifungal barley chitinase gene in *Escherichia coli*. *Protein Expression and Purification*, 52 (1), 159–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2006.08.012>
- Kiss, G., Nihan, C., Moretti, R., Baker, D., & Houk, K. N. (2013). Computational enzyme design. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 52 (22), 5700–5725. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201204077>
- Kitaoku, Y., Umemoto, N., Ohnuma, T., Numata, T., Taira, T., Sakuda, S., & Fukamizo, T. (2015). A class III chitinase without disulfide bonds from the fern, *Pterisrykyuensis*: crystal structure and ligand-binding studies. *Planta*, 242 (4), 895–907. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2330-4>

- Koul, O. (2011). Microbial biopesticides: opportunities and challenges. *CAB Reviews*, 6 (056), 1- 26. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNR20116056>
- Kowsari, M., & Motallebi, M. (2014). Protein engineering of Chit42 towards improvement of chitinase and antifungal activities. *Current Microbiology*, 68 (4), 495–502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-013-0494-3>
- Kumar, S., Kumar, A. & Patel, A. K. (2020). TIM barrel fold and glycan moieties in the structure of ICChI, a protein with chitinase and lysozyme activity. *Phytochemistry*, 170 (112221). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.112221>
- Krieger, E., & Vriend, G. (2014). YASARA View — molecular graphics for all devices from smartphones to workstations. *Bioinformatics*, 15, 30 (20), 2981–2982. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu426>
- Kries, H., Blomberg, R., & Hilvert, D. (2013). De novo enzymes by computational design. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 17(2), 221–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.02.012>
- Kvitvang, H. F., Nærdal, G. K., Sletta, H., & Forsberg, Z. (2019). Engineering chitinolytic activity into a cellulose-active lytic polysaccharide monoxygenase provides insights into substrate specificity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 294 (50), 19349-19364. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.010056>
- Kyte, J., Doolittle, R. F., Diego, S., & Jolla, L. (1982). A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 157 (1), 105–132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(82\)90515-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90515-0)
- Landim, P. G. C., Correia, T. O., Silva, F. D. A., Nepomuceno, D. R., Costa, H. P. S., Pereira, H. M., Lobo, M. D. P., Moreno, F. B. M. B., Oliveira, T. A., Sousa, B. L., Barroso-neto, I. L., Freire, V. N., Carvalho, C. P. S., Monteiro-Moreira, A. C. O., & Grangeiro, T. B. (2017). Production in *Pichia pastoris*, antifungal activity and crystal structure of a class I chitinase from cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*): Insights into sugar binding mode and hydrolytic action *Biochimie.*, 135, 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2017.01.014>
- Langner, T. & Göhre, V. (2016). Fungal chitinases: function, regulation and potential roles in plant pathogen interactions. *Current genetics*, 62 (2), 234-254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-015-0530-x>
- Laskowski, Roman Alexander, & Thornton, J. (1993). PROCHECK: A program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 26 (April), 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889892009944>
- Laskowski, Roman A, Watson, J. D., & Thornton, J. M. (2005). ProFunc: a server for predicting protein function from 3D structure. 33, 89–93. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki414>

- Latorre, R., & Baez-nieto, D. (2013). *Encyclopedia of Biophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16712-6>
- Le, B. & Yang, S. H. (2019). Microbial chitinases: properties, current state and biotechnological applications. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 35 (144), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2721-y>
- Lear, S., & Cobb, S. L. (2016). Pep-Calc.com: A set of web utilities for the calculation of peptide and peptoid properties and automatic mass spectral peak assignment. *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design*, 30 (3), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-016-9902-7>
- Li, H. & Greene, L. H. (2010). Sequence and structural analysis of the chitin insertion domain reveals two conserved motifs involved in chitin binding. *PLoS ONE*, 5 (1): e8654. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008654>
- Li, X., Zhang, Z., & Song, J. (2012). Computational Enzyme Design Approaches With Significant Biological Outcomes: Progress and Challenges. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 2(3), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5936/csbj.201209007>
- Li, Z., Cho, S., Kwon, I. C., Janát-Amsbury M. M., Huh K. M. (2013). Preparation and characterization of glycol chitin as a new thermogelling polymer for biomedical applications. *Carbohydrate Polymer*, 15; 92 (2):2267-2275. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.11.068.
- Li, Q., Wang, F., Zhou, Y. & Xiao, X. (2005). Putative exposed aromatic residues and hydroxyl residues on the surface of the N-terminal domains of Chi1 from *Aeromonas caviae* CB101 are essential for chitin binding and hydrolysis. *American Society for Microbiology, Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 71 (11), 7559-7561.
- Li, Z., Xia, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Qiao, Y., Li, C., Zhou, J., Zhang, L., Ye, X., Huang, Y. & Cui, Z. (2019). Identification of an endo-chitinase from *Corallocccus* sp. EGB and evaluation of its antifungal properties. *International Journal of Biological macromolecules*, 132, 1235-1243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.04.056>
- Liu, J., Nangong, Z., Zhang, J., Song, P., Tang, Y., Gao, Y., & Wang, Q. (2019). Expression and characterization of two chitinases with synergistic effect and antifungal activity from *Xenorhabdus nematophila*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 5, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2670-5>
- Liu, T., Chen, L., Zhou, Y., Jiang, X., Duan, Y., & Yang, Q. (2017). Structure, catalysis and inhibition of OfChi-h, the Lepidoptera-exclusive insect chitinase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 292 (6), 2080–2088. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.755330>

- Liu, T., Duan, Y., Qu, M., & Yang, Q. (2018). The deduced role of a chitinase containing two non-synergistic catalytic domains research papers. *Acta Crystallographica, D74* (1) 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798317018289>
- Lobo, M. D. P., Silva, F. D. A., Landim, P. G. de C., da Cruz, P. R., de Brito, T. L., de Medeiros, S. C., Oliveira, J. T. A., Vasconcelos, I. M., Pereira, H. D. M., & Grangeiro, T. B. (2013). Expression and efficient secretion of a functional chitinase from *Chromobacterium violaceum* in *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Biotechnology, 13* (46). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-13-46>
- Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M., & Henrissat, B. (2014). The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZY) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Research, 42* (D1), 490–495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178>
- Madhuprakash, J., El Gueddari, N. E., Moerschbacher, B. M., & Podile, A. R. (2015). Catalytic efficiency of chitinase-D on insoluble chitinous substrates was improved by fusing auxiliary domains. *PLoS ONE, 10* (1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.011682>
- Madhuprakash, J., Singh, A., Kumar, S., Sinha, M., Kaur, P., Sharma, S., Podile, A. R., & Singh, T. P. (2013). Structure of chitinase D from *Serratia proteamaculans* reveals the structural basis of its dual action of hydrolysis and transglycosylation. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 4* (4), 166–178.
- Madhuprakash, J., Tanneeru, K., Purushotham, P., Guruprasad, L., & Podile, A. R. (2012). Transglycosylation by chitinase D from *Serratia proteamaculans* improved through altered substrate interactions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry, 287* (53), 44619–44627. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.400879>
- Magnan, C. N., Randall, A., & Baldi, P. (2009). SOLpro: Accurate sequence-based prediction of protein solubility. *Bioinformatics, 25* (17), 2200–2207. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp386>
- Malecki, P. H., Bejger, M., & Rypniewski, W. (2020). The crystal structure of a *Streptomyces thermoviolaceus* thermophilic chitinase known for its refolding efficiency. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21*(8), 2892. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082892>.
- Mallakuntla, M. K., Vaikuntapu, P. R., Bhuvanachandra, B., Das, S. N., & Podile, A. R. (2017). Transglycosylation by a chitinase from *Enterobacter cloacae* subsp. *cloacae* generates longer chitin oligosaccharides. *Scientific Reports, 7* (1), 5113. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05140-3>

- Matroodi, S., & Motallebi, M. (2013). Designing a new chitinase with more chitin binding and antifungal activity. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 29 (8), 1517–1523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1318-0>
- Matroodi, S., Zamani, M., Haghbeen, K., Motallebi, M., & Aminzadeh, S. (2013). Physicochemical study of a novel chimeric chitinase with enhanced binding ability. *Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica*, 45 (10), 845–856. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abbs/gmt089>
- Mazurenko, S., Stourac, J., Kunka, A., Nedeljkovi, S., Bednar, D., Prokop, Z., & Damborsky, J. (2018). CalFitter: a web server for analysis of protein thermal denaturation data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46 (W1), W344–W349. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky358>
- McCarter, J. D., & Stephen Withers, G. (1994). Mechanisms of enzymatic glycoside hydrolysis. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 4 (6), 885–892. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-440X\(94\)90271-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-440X(94)90271-2)
- Merzendorfer, H. (2003). Chitin metabolism in insects: structure, function and regulation of chitin synthases and chitinases. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 206 (24), 4393–4412. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00709>
- Misonai, A., Wien, F., Kun, J., Lee, Y., Goto, Y., & Matthieu, R. (2018). BeStSel: a webserver for accurate protein secondary structure prediction and fold recognition from the circular dichroism spectra. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46 (W1), 315–322. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky497>
- Mizuno, R., Fukamizo, T., Sugiyama, S., Nishizawa, Y., Kezuka, Y., Nonaka, T., Suzuki, K., & Watanabe, T. (2008). Role of the loop structure of the catalytic domain in rice class I chitinase. *Journal of Biochemistry*, 143 (4), 487–495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvn004>
- Monaghan, R. L., Eveleigh, D. E., Tewari, R. P., & Reese, E. T. (1973). Chitosanase, a novel enzyme. *Nature New Biology*, 245 (145), 78–80.
- Mascarin, G.M. & Jaronski, S.T. (2016). The production and uses of *Beauveria bassiana* as a microbial insecticide. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 32 (11), 117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-016-2131-3>
- Nedwidek, M. N., & Hecht, M. H. (1997). Minimized protein structures: a little goes a long way. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 94 (19), 10010–10011. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10010>
- Neeraja, C., Anil, K., & Podile, A. R. (2010). Biotechnological approaches to develop bacterial chitinases as a bioshield against fungal diseases of plants. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 30 (3), 231–241. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2010.487258>

- Nel, B., Steinberg, C., Labuschagne, N., Viljoen, A. (2007). Evaluation of fungicides and sterilant for potential application in the management of Fusarium wilt of banana. *Crop Protection*, 26 (4), 697–705.
- Nelkner J., Tejerizo, G.T., Hassa, J., Lin, T. W., Witte, J., Verwaaijen, B., Winkler, A., Bunk, B., Spröer, C., Overmann, J. (2019). Genetic potential of the biocontrol agent *Psuedomonas brassicacearum* (formerly *P. trivialis*) 3Re2-7 unraveled by genome sequencing and mining, comparative genomics and transcriptomics. *Genes (Basel)*, 10 (8), 601. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10080601>
- Ni, H., Zeng, S., Qin, X., Sun, X., Zhang, S., Zhao, X., Yu, Z., & Li, L. (2015). Molecular docking and site-directed mutagenesis of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* chitinase to improve chitinolytic, synergistic lepidopteran-larvicidal and nematocidal activities. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 11 (3), 304–315. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.10632>
- Norberg, A. L., Dybvik, A. I., Zakariassen, H., Mormann, M., Peter-Katalinić, J., Eijsink, V. G. H., & Sørli, M. (2011). Substrate positioning in chitinase A, a processive chitobiohydrolase from *Serratia marcescens*. *FEBS Letters*, 585 (14), 2339–2344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2011.06.002>
- O'Donnell, K., Gueidan, C., Sink, S., Johnston, P. R., Crous, P. W., Glenn, A., Riley, R., Zitomer, N. C., Colyer, P., Waalwijk, C., van der Lee, T., Moretti, A., Kang, S., Kim, H.-S., Geiser, D. M., Juba, J. H., Baayen, R. P., Cromey, M. G., Bithell, S., Sarver, B. A. J. (2009). A two-locus {DNA} sequence database for typing plant and human pathogens within the *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 46 (12), 936–948. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2009.08.006>
- Ohno, T., Armand, S., Hata, T., Nikaidou, N., Henrissat, B., Mitsutomi, M., & Watanabe, T. (1996). A modular family 19 chitinase found in the prokaryotic organism *Streptomyces griseus* HUT 6037. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 178 (17), 5065–5070.
- Ohnuma, T., Numata, T., Osawa, T., Mizuhara, M., Lampela, O., Juffer, A. H., Skriver, K., & Fukamizo, T. (2011a). A class V chitinase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*: gene responses, enzymatic properties, and crystallographic analysis. *Planta*, 234 (1), 123–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-011-1390-3>
- Ohnuma, T., Numata, T., Osawa, T., Mizuhara, M., Vårum, K. M., & Fukamizo, T. (2011b). Crystal structure and mode of action of a class V chitinase from *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 75 (3), 291–304. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9727->
- Ohnuma, T., Sørli, M., Fukuda, T., Kawamoto, N., & Taira, T. (2011c). Chitin oligosaccharide binding to a family GH19 chitinase from the moss *Bryum coronatum*. *FEBS Journal*, 278(21), 3991–4001. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08301.x>

- Ohnuma, T., Numata, T., Osawa, T., Inanaga, H., Okazaki, Y., Shinya, S., Kondo, K., Fukuda, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2012). Crystal structure and chitin oligosaccharide binding mode of a 'loopful' family GH19 chitinase from rye seeds *Secale cereale*. *FEBS Journal*, 279 (19), 3639–3651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08723.x>
- Ohnuma, T., Umemoto, N., Kondo, K., Numata, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2013). Complete subsite mapping of a "loopful" GH19 chitinase from rye seeds based on its crystal structure. *FEBS Letters*, 587(16), 2691–2697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2013.07.008>
- Onaga, S., & Taira, T. (2008). A new type of plant chitinase containing LysM domains from a fern (*Pteris ryukyuensis*): Roles of LysM domains in chitin binding and antifungal activity. *Glycobiology*. 18 (5), 414–423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwn018>
- Palombo, M., Bonucci, A. Etienne, E., Ciurli, S., Uversky, V.N., Guigliarelli, B., Belle, V., Mileo, E. & Zambelli, B. The relationship between folding and activity in UreG, an intrinsically disordered enzyme. *Scientific reports*, 7 (5977). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06330-9>
- Papanikolau, Y., Vorgias, C. E., & Petratos, K. (2003). De novo purification scheme and crystallization conditions yield high-resolution structures of chitinase A and its complex with the inhibitor allosamidin. *Acta Crystallographica*, D59, 400–403.
- Paranthaman, R., Sudha, A., and Kumaravel, S. (2012). Determination of pesticide residues in banana by using high performance liquid chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 8 (1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3844/ajbbbsp.2012.1.6>
- Patil, R. S., Ghormade, V., & Deshpande, M. V. (2000). Chitinolytic enzymes: An exploration. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 26 (7), 473–483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(00\)00134-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00134-4)
- Payne, C. M., Baban, J., Horn, S. J., Backe, P. H., Arvai, A. S., Dalhus, B., Bjørås, M., Eijsink, V. G. H., Sørlie, M., Beckham, G. T., & Vaaje-Kolstad, G. (2012). Hallmarks of processivity in glycoside hydrolases from crystallographic and computational studies of the *Serratia marcescens* chitinases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287 (43), 36322–36330. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.402149>
- Perez, U. E., Bideshi, D. K., Salcedo-Hernandez, R., Garcia-Almendarez, B. E., & Barboza-Corona, J. E. (2016). The endochitinase ChiA Btt of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *tenebrionis* DSM-2803 and its potential use to control the phytopathogen *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Microbiology Open*, 5(5), 819–829. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.372>

- Pérez-vicente, L., Dita, M. A., & Martínez-de La parte, E. (2014). Technical manual: Prevention and diagnostic of *Fusarium* wilt (Panama disease) of banana caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* Tropical Race 4 (TR4). *Proceedings of regional workshop on the diagnosis of Fusarium wilt (Panama disease) caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense Tropical Race 4 (TR4). Mitigating the Threat and Preventing its Spread in the Caribbean*, St. Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago, 05-09/05/2014. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Perrakis, A., Tews, I., Dauter, Z., Oppenheim, A. B., Chet, I., Wilson, K. S., & Vorgias, C.E. (1994). Crystal structure of a bacterial chitinase at 2.3 Å resolution. *Structure (London, England: 1993)*, 2 (12), 1169–1180. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126\(94\)00119-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(94)00119-7)
- Pliego, C., Vicente, A. De, Cazorla, F. M., & Ramos, C. (2019). Response of the biocontrol agent *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* AVO110 to *Rosellina necatrix* exudate. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85 (3), e01741-18.
- Ploetz, R. C., & Churchill, A. C. L. (2011). Fusarium wilt: The banana disease that refuses to go away. *Acta Horticulturae*, 897 (1962), 519–526. <https://doi.org/IN130294>
- Ploetz, R. C. (2015). Management of Fusarium wilt of banana: A review with special reference to tropical race 4. *Crop Protection*, 73, 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.01.007>
- Ploetz, R. C. (2006). Fusarium wilt of banana is caused by several pathogens referred to as *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*. *Phytopathology*, 96 (6), 653–656. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-96-0653>
- Punja, Z. K., & Zhang, Y. (1993). Plant chitinases and their roles in resistance to fungal diseases. *Journal of Nematology*. 25 (4), 526–540.
- Purushotham, P., & Podile, A. R. (2012). Synthesis of long-chain chitooligosaccharides by a hypertransglycosylating processive endochitinase of *Serratia proteamaculans* 568. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194 (16), 4260–4271 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06473-11>
- Raaijmakers, J. M., Leeman, M., van Oorschot, M. M. P., van der Sluis, I., Schippers, B., & Bakker, P. A. H. (1995). Dose-response relationship in biological control of Fusarium wilt of radish by *Pseudomonas* spp. In *Biological Control. Phytopathology*, 85 (10) pp. 1075–1081.
- Ramírez, M. V., & Calzadiaz, L. (2016). Industrial enzymes and metabolites from Actinobacteria in food and medicine Industry. In D. Dhanasekaran & Y. Jiang (Eds.), *Actinobacteria-Basics and Biotechnological Application INTECH. World's Largest Science, Technology and Medicine*, 315–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/61286>

- Rathore, A. S., & Gupta, R. D. (2015). Chitinases from bacteria to human: properties, applications, and future perspectives. *Enzyme Research*, 2015 (791907), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/791907>
- Robert, X. & Gouet, P. (2014). "Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server". *Nucleic Acids Research* 42 (W1), W320-W324. <http://doi: 10.1093/nar/gku316>
- Sarma, P. V. S. R. N., Prakash, J. M., Das, S. N., Kaur, M., Purushotham, P., & Podile, R. A. (2013). Microbial Chitinases: Natural sources, mutagenesis, and directed evolution to obtain thermophilic counterparts. In T. Satyanarayana, J. Littlechild, Y. Kawarabayasi (Eds.), *Thermophilic Microbes in Environmental and Industrial Biotechnology*. Springer Dordrecht, 649–669. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5899-5>
- Schoffemeer, E. A. M. (1999). Biochemical aspects of the cell wall of *Fusarium oxysporum*. PhD thesis. Universiti of Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612459060>.
- Schoffemeer, E. A. M., Klis, F. M., Sietsma, J. H., & Cornelissen, B. J. (1999). The cell wall of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 27(2–3), 275–282. <https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.1999.1153>
- Sierra-Gomez, Y., Rodriguez-Hernandez, A., Cano-Sanchez, P., Gomez-Velasco, H., Hernandez-Santoyo, A., Siliqi, D., & Rodriguez-Romero, A. (2019). A biophysical and structural study of two chitinases from *Agave tequilana* and their potential role as defense proteins. *FEBS Journal*. 286 (23), 4778-4796. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14993>
- Sikorski, P., Sørbotten, A., Horn, S. J., Eijsink, V. G. H., & Vårum, K. M. (2006). *Serratia marcescens* chitinases with tunnel-shaped substrate-binding grooves show endo activity and different degrees of processivity during enzymatic hydrolysis of chitosan. *Biochemistry*, 45 (31), 9566–9574. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi060370l>
- Singh, A., Upadhyay, V., Upadhyay, A. K., Singh, S. M., & Panda, A. K. (2015). Protein recovery from inclusion bodies of *Escherichia coli* using mild solubilization process. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 14 (41). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0222-8>
- Singh, G., Bhalla, A., Bhatti, J. S., Chandel, S., Rajput, A., Abdullah, A., Andrabi, W., & Kaur, P. (2014). Potential of chitinases as a biopesticide against agriculturally harmful fungi and insects. *Research and Reviews: Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 3 (1), 27–32.
- Singh P.P., Shin Y.C., Park, C.S., and Chung Y. R. (1999). Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. *Phytopathology*, 89(1), 92–99.

- Sivan, A., Ucko, O. & Chet, I. (1987). Biological control of Fusarium crown rot of tomato by *Trichoderma hazianum* under field condition. *Plant Disease*, 71 (7), 587–592. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-71-0587>
- Slimene, I. Ben, Tabbene, O., Gharbi, D., Mnasri, B., Schmitter, J. M., Urdaci, M. C., & Limam, F. (2015). Isolation of a chitinolytic *Bacillus licheniformis* S213 strain exerting a biological control against *Phoma medicaginis* infection. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 175 (7), 3494–3506. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1520-7>
- Song, H. K., & Suh, S. W. (1996). Refined structure of the chitinase from barley seeds at 2.0 resolution. *Acta Crystallographica*, D52, 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S09074444995009061>
- Songsiririthigul, C., Pesatcha, P., Eijsink, V. G. H., & Yamabhai, M. (2009). Directed evolution of a *Bacillus* chitinase. *Biotechnology Journal*, 4 (4), 501–509. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.200800258>
- Sørli, M., Horn, S. J., Vaaje-kolstad, G., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2020). Using chitosan to understand chitinases and the role of processivity in the degradation of recalcitrant polysaccharides. *Reactive and functional polymers*, 148 (March), 104488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.>
- Sormanni, P., Aprile, F. A., & Vendruscolo, M. (2015). The CamSol method of rational design of protein mutants with enhanced solubility. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 427(2), 478–490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.09.026>
- Starovasnik, M. A., Braisted, A.C., Wells, J.A. (1997). Structural mimicry of a native protein fold by a minimized binding domain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 94 (19), 10080–10085. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10080>
- Steiner, K., & Schwab, H. (2012). Recent advances in rational approaches for enzyme engineering. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 2 (3). <https://dx.doi.org/10.5936/csbj.201209010>.
- Stivala, A., Wybrow, M., Wirth, A., Whisstock, J. C., & Stuckey, P. J. (2011). *Automatic Bioinformatics*, 27 (23), 3315–3316. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr575>
- Stricher, F., Martin, L., & Vita, C. (2006). Design of miniproteins by the transfer of active sites onto small-size scaffolds. *Protein Design*, 340, 113–150. <https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-116-9:113>
- Su, Y., Xu, L., Wang, S., Wang, Z., Yang, Y., & Chen, Y. (2015). Identification, phylogeny, and transcript of chitinase family genes in sugarcane. *Scientific Reports* 5,10708 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10708>

- Subbanna, A.R.N.S., Rajasekhara, H., Stanley, J., Mishra, K.K. & Pattanayak, A. (2018). Pesticidal prospective of chitinolytic bacteria in agricultural pest management. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 116 (2018), 52-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.019>.
- Suginta, W., Sirimontree, P., Sritho, N., Ohnuma, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2016). The chitin binding domain of a GH-18 chitinase from *Vibrio harveyi* is crucial for chitin- chitinase interactions. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 93, 1111–1117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.09.066>
- Suma, K., & Podile, A. R. (2013). Chitinase A from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* shows transglycosylation and antifungal activities. *Bioresource Technology*, 133, 213–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.103>
- Sun, X., Li, Y., Tian, Z., Qian, Y., Zhang, H., & Wang, L. (2019). Biotechnology for biofuels a novel thermostable chitinolytic machinery of *Streptomyces* sp. F – 3 consisting of chitinases with different action modes. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 72, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1472-1>
- Starovasnik, M.A., Braisted A. C., Wells, J.A. (1997). Structural mimicry of a native protein by a minimized binding domain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, 94 (19).10080–10085. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10080>
- Suzuki, K., Sugawara, N., Suzuki, M., Uchiyama, T., Katouno, F., Nikaidou, N., & Watanabe, T. (2002). Chitinases A, B, and C1 of *Serratia marcescens* 2170 produced by recombinant *Escherichia coli*: enzymatic properties and synergism on chitin degradation. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 66 (5), 1075–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.66.1075>
- Swiderek, K., Tunon, I., Moliner, V., & Bertran, J. (2015). Computational strategies for the design of new enzymatic functions. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 582, 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2015.03.013>
- Swiontek, B. M., Jankiewicz, U., Burkowska, A., & Walczak, M. (2014). Chitinolytic microorganisms and their possible application in environmental protection. *Current Microbiology*, 68 (1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-013-0440-4>
- Taira, T. (2010). Structures and antifungal activity of plant chitinases. *Journal of Applied Bioscience*. 57(3), 167-176. <http://doi.org/10.5458/jag.57.167>
- Taira, T., Mahoe, Y., Kawamoto, N., Onaga, S., Iwasaki, H., & Ohnuma, T. (2011). Cloning and characterization of a small family 19 chitinase from moss (*Bryum coronatum*). *Glycobiology*, 21(5), 644–654. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwq212>.

- Taira, T., Ohnuma, T., Yamagami, T., Aso, Y., Ishiguro, M., & Ishihara, M. (2002). Antifungal activity of rye (*Secale cereale*) seed chitinases: the different binding manner of class I and class II chitinases to the fungal cell walls. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 66 (5), 970–977. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.66.970>
- Takahashi, K., & Noguti, T. (1999). A mini-protein designed by removing a module from barnase: molecular modeling and NMR measurements of the conformation. *Protein Engineering*, 12 (8), 673–679. <http://10.1093/protein/12.8.673>.
- Takashima, T., Numata, T., Taira, T., Fukamizo, T., & Ohnuma, T. (2018). Structure and enzymatic properties of a two-domain family GH19 chitinase from Japanese Cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*) pollen. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 66, 5699–5706. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b01140>
- Takaya, N., Yamazaki, D., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A., & Takagi, M. (1998). Cloning and characterization of a chitinase-encoding gene (Chia) from *Aspergillus nidulans*, disruption of which decreases germination frequency and hyphal growth. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 62 (1), 60–65. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.62.60>
- Takenaka, S., Ohnuma, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2017). Insertion of a loop structure into the “loopless” GH19 chitinase from *Bryum coronatum*. *Journal of Applied Glycoscience*, 64 (2), 39–42. https://doi.org/10.5458/jag.jag.JAG-2016_015
- Takuo, B. (1999). Three-dimensional structure of the catalytic domain of chitinase AI from *Bacillus circulans* WL-12 at a very high resolution. *Proceeding of the Japanese Academy of Sciences*, 75 (Ser. B), 269–274.
- Tanaka, J., Fukamizo, T., & Ohnuma, T. (2017). Enzymatic properties of a GH19 chitinase isolated from rice lacking a major loop structure involved in chitin binding. *Glycobiology*, 27 (5), 477–485. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwx016>
- Taylor, P., & Grover, A. (2012). Plant chitinases: Genetic diversity and physiological roles. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 31 (1), 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.616043>
- Terwisscha van Scheltinga, A., Hennig, M., & Dijkstra, B. (1996). The 1.8 Å resolution structure of hevimine, a plant chitinase/lysozyme, and analysis of the conserved sequence and structure motifs of glycosyl hydrolase family 18. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 262 (2), 243–257. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1996.0510>
- Thornton, J. M. (2018). PDBsum: Structural summaries of PDB entries. *Protein Science*, 27(1), 129–134. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3289>

- Toufiq, N., Tabassum, B., Bhatti, M. U., Khan, A., Tariq, M., Shahid, N., Nasir, I. A., & Husnain, T. (2017). Improved antifungal activity of barley derived chitinase I gene that overexpress a 32 kDa recombinant chitinase in *Escherichia coli* host. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 49 (2), 414–421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2017.05.007>
- Tsumoto, K., Ejima, D., Kumagai, I., & Arakawa, T. (2003). Practical considerations in refolding proteins from inclusion bodies. *Protein Expression and Purification*, 28 (1), 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-5928\(02\)00641-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-5928(02)00641-1)
- Tzelepis, G., & Karlsson, M. (2018). Killer toxin-like chitinases in filamentous fungi Structure, regulation and potential roles in fungal biology. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 33 (2), 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2018.11.001>
- Ubhayasekera, W. (2011). Structure and function of chitinases from glycoside hydrolase family 19. *Polymer International*, 60 (6), 890–896. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.3028>
- Ubhayasekera, W., Rawat, R., Ho, S. W. T., Wiweger, M., Von Arnold, S., Chye, M. L., & Mowbray, S. L. (2009). The first crystal structures of a family 19 class IV chitinase: The enzyme from Norway spruce. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 71 (3), 277–289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-009-9523-9>
- Ubhayasekera, W., Tang, C. M., Ho, S. W. T., Berglund, G., Bergfors, T., Chye, M. L., & Mowbray, S. L. (2007). Crystal structures of a family 19 chitinase from *Brassica juncea* show flexibility of binding cleft loops. *FEBS Journal*, 274 (14), 3695–3703. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05906.x>
- Udaya Prakash, N. A., Jayanthi, M., Sabarinathan, R., Kanguane, P., Mathew, L., & Sekar, K. (2010). Evolution, homology conservation, and identification of unique sequence signatures in GH19 family chitinases. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 70 (5), 466–478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-010-9345-z>
- Umemoto, N., Kanda, Y., Ohnuma, T., Osawa, T., Numata, T., Sakuda, S., Taira, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2015a). Crystal structures and inhibitor binding properties of plant class V chitinases: The cycad enzyme exhibits unique structural and functional features. *Plant Journal*, 82 (1), 54–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbj.12785>
- Umemoto, N., Ohnuma, T., Osawa, T., Numata, T., & Fukamizo, T. (2015). Modulation of the transglycosylation activity of plant family GH18 chitinase by removing or introducing a tryptophan side chain. *FEBS Letters*, 589 (18), 2327–2333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2015.07.018>

- Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Horn, S. J., Sørli, M., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2013). The chitinolytic machinery of *Serratia marcescens* - A model system for enzymatic degradation of recalcitrant polysaccharides. *FEBS Journal*, 280 (13), 3028–3049. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.12181>
- Vahed, M., Motalebi, E., Rigi, G., Noghabi, K. A., Soudi, M. R., Sadeghi, M., & Ahmadian, G. (2013). Improving the chitinolytic activity of *Bacillus pumilus* sg2 by random mutagenesis. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 23 (11), 1519–1528. <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1301.01048>
- van Aalten, D. M., Synstad, B., Brurberg, M. B., Hough, E., Riise, B. W., Eijsink, V. G., & Wierenga, R. K. (2000). Structure of a two-domain chitotriosidase from *Serratia marcescens* at 1.9-Å resolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97 (11), 5842–5847. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.97.11.5842>
- van Aalten, D.M., Komander, D., Synstad, B., Gaseidnes, S., Peter, M. ° G. and Eijsink, V.G. (2001) Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of a family 18 exo- chitinase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98, 8979–8984, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.151103798>
- Vishwanath, S., Chandrashekar, S. C., Rajanna, M. D., & Tajevathi, D. H. (2011). Histopathology of banana infected with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*. (E.F.Sm.) *Synd. & Hans. Pest Technology, Global Science books*, 5 (1), 63-66.
- Vocadlo, D. J., & Davies, G. J. (2008). Mechanistic insights into glycosidase chemistry. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 12 (5), 539–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.05.010>
- Walden, R. K., & Selintrennikoff, C. P. (1988). Plant and bacterial chitinases differ in antifungal activity. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 134, 169–176.
- Wallace, A. C., Laskowski, R. A., & Thornton, J. M. (1995). LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions clean up structure. *Protein Engineering*, 8 (2), 127–134. <http://doi.org/10.1093/protein/8.2.127>.
- Walsh, G. (2007). Protein Engineering: Case studies of commercialized engineered products. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 35 (1), 2–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21>
- Watanabe, T., Kanai, R., Kawase, T., Tanabe, T., Mitsutomi, M., Sakuda, S., & Miyashita, K. (1999). Family 19 chitinases of *Streptomyces* species: characterization and distribution. *Microbiology (Reading)*, 145 (12) 3353–3363. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-145-12-3353>

- Wiederstein, M., & Sippl, M. J. (2007). ProSA-web: interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35, W407–410. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm290>
- Xia, G., Jin, C., Zhou, J., Yang, S., Zhang, S., & Jin, C. (2001). A novel chitinase having a unique mode of action from *Aspergillus fumigatus* YJ-407. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 268 (14), 4079–4085. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.2001.02323.x>
- Xiao, G., Ying, S. H., Zheng, P., Wang, Z.L., Zhang, S., Xie, X. Q., Shang, Y., St Leger, R.J., Zhao, G.P., Wang, C., Feng, M.G. (2012). Genomic perspectives on the evolution of fungal entomopathogenicity in *Beauveria bassiana*. *Scientific Reports*, 2 (483). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00483>
- Xu, P., Ni, Z., Zong, M., Ou, X., Yang, J., & Lou, W. (2020). Improving the thermostability and activity of *Paenibacillus pasadenensis* chitinase through semi-rational design. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 150, 9– 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.02.033>
- Yan, Q. & Fong, S. S. (2015). Bacterial chitinase: nature and perspectives for sustainable bioproduction. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 2 (31). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-015-0057-5>
- Yan, R., Hou, J., Ding, D., Guan, W., Wang, C., Wu, Z., & Li, M. (2008). In vitro antifungal activity and mechanism of action of chitinase against four plant pathogenic fungi. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 48 (4), 293–301. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.200700392>
- Yang, J., Gan, Z., Lou, Z., Tao, N., Mi, Q., Liang, L., Sun, Y., Guo, Y., Huang, X., Zou, C., Rao, Z., Meng, Z., & Zhang, K. Q. (2010). Crystal structure and mutagenesis analysis of chitinase CrChi1 from the nematophagous fungus *Clonostachys rosea* in complex with the inhibitor caffeine. *Microbiology*, 156 (12), 3566–3574. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.043653-0>
- Yang, S., Fu, X., Yan, Q., Guo, Y., Liu, Z., & Jiang, Z. (2016). Cloning, expression, purification and application of a novel chitinase from a thermophilic marine bacterium *Paenibacillus barengoltzii*. *Food Chemistry*, 192, 1041–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.092>
- Yang, L., Han, X., Zhang, F., Paul, H., Goodwin, Yang, Y., Li, J., Xia, M., Sun, R., Jia, B., Zhang, J., Quan, X., Wu, C., Xue, B. and Lu, C. (2018). Screening *Bacillus* species as biological control agents of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* on wheat. *Biological Control*, 118, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2017.11.004>

- Yu, G., Xie, L. Q., Li, J. T., Sun, X. H., Zhang, H., Du, Q., Li, Q. Y., Zhang, S. H., & Pan, H. Y. (2015). Isolation, partial characterization, and cloning of an extracellular chitinase from the entomopathogenic fungus *Verticillium lecanii*. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 14(1), 2275–2289. <https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.March.27.13>
- Yu, K., Liu, C., Kim, B., & Lee, D. (2015). Synthetic fusion protein design and applications. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(1), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.11.005>
- Zambelli, B., Cremades, N., Neyroz, P., Turano, P., Uversky, V.N. & Ciurli, S. (2012). Insights in the (un)structural organization of *Bacillus pasteurii* UreG, an intrinsically dordered enzyme. *Molecular Biosystems*, 8 (1), 220-228. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1MB05227F>
- Zakarlassen, H., Aam, B. B., Hom, S. J., Vårum, K. M., Sørle, M., & Eijsink, V. G. H. (2009). Aromatic residues in the catalytic center of chitinase A from *Serratia marcescens* affect processivity, enzyme activity, and biomass converting efficiency. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284 (16), 10610–10617. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M900092200>
- Zees, A. C., Pырpassopoulos, S., & Vorgias, C. E. (2009). Insights into the role of the ($\alpha+\beta$) insertion in the TIM-barrel catalytic domain, regarding the stability and the enzymatic activity of Chitinase A from *Serratia marcescens*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Proteins and Proteomics*, 1794 (1), 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.09.018>