



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**PROBIOTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BACTERIOCINOGENIC
LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM STRAINS ISOLATED FROM
MALAYSIAN FOODS**

**LIM YIN SZE
FBSB 2010 1**





**PROBIOTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BACTERIOCINOGENIC
LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM STRAINS ISOLATED FROM
MALAYSIAN FOODS**

By

LIM YIN SZE

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

February 2010



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**PROBIOTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BACTERIOCINOGENIC
LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM STRAINS ISOLATED FROM
MALAYSIAN FOODS**

By

LIM YIN SZE

February 2010

Chair : Foo Hooi Ling, PhD

Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

The usage of antimicrobial drugs, such as antibiotics, as growth enhancer in livestock farming is prohibited in many countries. In recent years, antimicrobial resistance associated with the use of antimicrobial drugs in animals has triggered vast public attention. Thus, recent approach to enhance the growth performance of livestock is through supplementation of probiotic and digestive enzymes. Probiotic are live microorganisms, when consumed in adequate amounts will confer health benefit on the host.

Generally recognised as safe status of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and the increasing demand of probiotic in food and feed industry have driven current attempt to determine the probiotic potential of six bacteriocinogenic *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains (UL4, TL1, RS5, RI11, RG11 and RG14) isolated from Malaysian foods, through *in vitro* probiotic characterisation study and *in vivo* animal trials.



In the *in vitro* study, the antimicrobial potential of *Lb. plantarum* strains and bacteriocins was carried out, followed by the study to assess the ability of these strains to overcome simulated gastric and small intestinal tracts, deconjugate bile salts (sodium taurocholate, sodium glycocholate, sodium taurodeoxycholate and sodium glycodeoxycholate), assimilate cholesterol and adhere to intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cell line. *In vivo* animal trials were then carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of *Lb. plantarum* strains and bacteriocins produced on growth performance, intestinal microflora and histomorphological changes, and alteration of short chain fatty acids and serum cholesterol level of rats.

In the *in vitro* study, *Lb. plantarum* strains and their bacteriocins had exhibited strong bacteriocidal and bacteriostatic antimicrobial action against Gram-positive and –negative bacteria. *Lb. plantarum* strains had strongly inhibited the growth of *Bacillus cereus* B43 and *Listeria monocytogenes* L55, whereas bacteriocins had inhibited the *Pediococcus acidilactici* 4-46, *Enterococcus faecalis* N-103, *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 19434, *Listeria monocytogenes* L55, *Bacillus cereus* B43, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* S972 and *Staphylococcus aureus* S942. *Lb. plantarum* strains were highly tolerance to the exposure of simulated gastric and small intestinal juices. They were able to deconjugate bile salts and assimilated 56.7 – 100.0 % of cholesterol. *Lb. plantarum* strains adhered strongly to the Caco-2 epithelial cell line and reduced the adherence of *L. monocytogenes* L55 substantially.

In *in vivo* animal trial, rats were force-fed with six *Lb. plantarum* strains (10^8 CFU/mL daily) for 28 days. The high LAB population found in faecal and intestinal samples indicated that the fed *Lb. plantarum* strains could survive and colonise in

gastrointestinal tract. The small intestinal villous height and crypt depth were significantly ($P < 0.05$) greater than Control group. Furthermore, *Lb. plantarum* RI11 and RG11 had significantly reduced the serum cholesterol level of rats by 24.4 % ($P < 0.05$) and 19.3 % ($P < 0.01$), respectively.

In the subsequent animal trial, rats were force-fed with six bacteriocins (800 AU/mL daily) produced by *Lb. plantarum* strains for 28 days. Bacteriocins had reduced the colonisation of Enterobacteriaceae in the intestine, while maintaining the intestinal LAB population. All bacteriocins had significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) the crypt depth of small intestine and caecum. Bacteriocins RS5, RI11 and RG11 had significantly reduced the serum cholesterol level of rats by 21.9 % ($P < 0.05$), 15.6 % ($P < 0.05$) and 15.2 % ($P < 0.01$) respectively. Current study showed that the studied *Lb. plantarum* strains and bacteriocins produced had demonstrated certain extent of probiotic effects in *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These probiotic effects are specific or limited to the *Lb. plantarum* strains or bacteriocins tested.

Abstrak ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENCIRIAN PROBIOTIK BAGI STRAIN-STRAIN BAKTERIOSINOGENIK
LAKTOBASILUS PLANTARUM YANG DIASING DARIPADA MAKANAN
MALAYSIA**

Oleh

LIM YIN SZE

Februari 2010

Pengerusi : Foo Hooi Ling, PhD

Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul

Penggunaan ubat antimikrob seperti antibiotik sebagai penggalak pertumbuhan dalam bidang ternakan telah dilarang oleh kebanyakan negara. Kebelakangan ini, kewujudan resistant antimikrob berikutan dengan penggunaan ubat antimikrob dalam binatang ternakan telah menarik perhatian umum. Oleh itu, pendekatan terkini untuk meningkatkan prestasi pertumbuhan ternakan adalah dengan penggunaan probiotik dan enzim-enzim penghadaman. Probiotik adalah mikroorganisma hidup yang apabila dimakan dalam jumlah secukupnya, dapat memanfaatkan kesihatan penggunanya.

Status ‘dikenali sebagai selamat secara umumnya’ untuk bakteria asid laktik (LAB) dan peningkatan permintaan untuk probiotik dalam industri makanan dan ternakan telah mendorong pelaksanaan kajian ini untuk menentukan potensi probiotic bagi enam strain-strain bakteriosinogenik *Laktobasilus plantarum* (UL4,

TL1, RS5, RI11, RG11 dan RG14) yang diasingkan daripada makanan Malaysia, melalui kajian pencirian probiotik secara *in vitro* dan kajian *in vivo* haiwan.

Dalam kajian *in vitro*, potensi antimikrob bagi enam strain *Lb. plantarum* dan bakteriosin yang dihasilkan telah dijalankan, diikuti dengan kajian untuk menilai keupayaan strain ini untuk mengatasi keadaan simulasi saluran gastrik dan usus kecil, dekonjugasi garam hempedu (sodium taurokolat, sodium glikokolat, sodium taurodeoksikolat dan sodium glikodeoksikolat), asimilasi kolesterol dan pelekatan ke Caco-2 sel epithelium usus. Kajian *in vivo* haiwan seterusnya dilaksanakan untuk menilai keberkesanan strain *Lb. plantarum* dan bakteriosin yang dihasilkan ke atas prestasi pertumbuhan, mikroflora usus dan perubahan-perubahan histomofologikal, perubahan rantaian pendek asid lemak dan paras kolesterol serum tikus.

Dalam kajian *in vitro*, strain *Lb. plantarum* dan bakteriosinnya telah mempamerkan tindakan antimikrob bakteriosidal dan bakteriostatik yang kuat terhadap patogen-patogen. Strain *Lb. plantarum* telah menyinkirkan pertumbuhan *Basilus cereus* B43 dan *Listeria monocytogenes* L55, manakala bakteriosinnya telah merencatkan bacteria *Pediococcus acidilactici* 4-46, *Enterococcus faecalis* N-103, *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 19434 *Listeria monocytogenes* L55, *Bacillus cereus* B43, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* S972 dan *Staphylococcus aureus* S942. Strain *Lb. plantarum* telah mempamerkan tolerasi yang tinggi dalam simulasi saluran gastrik dan usus kecil. Mereka ini boleh mendekonjugasikan garam hempedu dan mengasimilasikan 56.7 – 100.0 % kolesterol. Strain *Lb. plantarum* juga melekat dengan kuat pada Caco-2 sel epithelium usus, dan telah mengurangkan pelekatan *L. monocytogenes* L55 dengan nyatanya.

Dalam kajian *in vivo* haiwan, tikus telah diberi enam strain *Lb. plantarum* (10^8 CFU/mL harian) selama 28 hari. Populasi LAB yang tinggi telah dijumpai dalam sampel tahi dan usus. Kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa strain *Lb. plantarum* dapat hidup dan mengkolonisasikan saluran usus. Ketinggian vilus dan kedalaman krypt telah meningkat dengan lebih nyatanya ($P < 0.05$) daripada kumpulan kontrol. Tambahan pula, *Lb. plantarum* RI11 dan RG11 telah mengurangkan paras kolesterol serum tikus sebanyak 24.4 % ($P < 0.05$) dan 19.3 % ($P < 0.01$) masing-masing.

Dalam kajian haiwan seterusnya, tikus telah diberi enam bakteriosin (800 AU/mL harian) yang dihasilkan oleh *Lb. plantarum* selama 28 hari. Bakteriosin telah mengurangkan populasi *Enterobacteriaceae* dalam usus sementara mengekalkan populasi LAB dalam usus. Secara umumnya, semua bakteriosin telah meningkatkan kedalaman krypt usus kecil dan sekum dengan nyatanya ($P < 0.05$). Sementara, bakteriosin RS5, RI11 dan RG11 telah mengurangkan paras kolesterol serum tikus dengan nyatanya sebanyak 21.9 % ($P < 0.05$), 15.6 % ($P < 0.05$) dan 15.2 % ($P < 0.01$) masing-masing. Kajian terkini ini telah menunjukkan bahawa strain-strain *Lb. plantarum* dan bakteriosin yang dihasilkan telah mempamerkan kesan probiotik dalam kajian *in vitro* dan *in vivo*. Walaubagaimanapun, kesan probiotik ini adalah spesifik atau terhad kepada strain-strain *Lb. plantarum* dan bakteriosin yang dikaji.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Foo Hooi Ling for her invaluable guidance, patience and constant encouragement throughout my study. I am also immensely grateful for the advice and support given by the members of my supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Loh Teck Chwen, Prof. Dr. Raha Abdul Rahim, Prof. Dr. Mohd. Hair Bejo and Prof. Dr. Gulam Rusul Rahmat Ali.

I owe my deepest gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar, who have provided me the facility for carried out cell tissue culture work and I am also indebted to Mr. Kufli C. N., Mr. Saparin Demin, Mr. Zakaria Md. Shah, Mr. Saipuzaman Ali, Mr. Rosli Aslim and Mrs. Aluyah Marzuki for their excellent technical assistance.

I am heartily thankful to friends and staff in UPM who have supported me in various aspects during the completion of this study. Last but not least, this thesis would not have been possible without the love and supports from Boon Chin and my family.

Thanks God for everything!

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 24th February, 2010 to conduct the final examination of Lim Yin Sze on her thesis entitled “**Probiotic characteristics of bacteriocinogenic *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from Malaysian foods**” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Raja Noor Zaliha Raja Abd. Rahman, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Norhani Abdullah, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

Shuhaimi Mustafa, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

Rickey Yoshio Yada, PhD

Professor

Department of Food Science

University of Guelph

Canada

(External Examiner)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



The thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Foo Hooi Ling, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Raha Abdul Rahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Hair Bejo, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Gulam Rusul Rahmat Ali, PhD

Professor
School of Industrial Technology
Universiti Sains Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13th May 2010



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

LIM YIN SZE

Date:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Probiotic	4
2.1.1 Definition of probiotic	4
2.1.2 Selection criteria of probiotic	5
2.1.3 Microorganisms used as probiotic	10
2.2 <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> as probiotic	13
2.2.1 Systematic and physiology of <i>Lb. plantarum</i>	14
2.2.2 Antimicrobial potential of <i>Lb. plantarum</i>	15
2.3 Health benefits of probiotic	19
2.3.1 Modulation of the intestinal microflora	19
2.3.2 Prevention of gastrointestinal diseases	22
2.3.3 Cholesterol lowering effect	27
2.3.4 Modulation of the immune system	28
2.3.5 Anticarcinogenic effect	29
2.4 Industrial perspective of probiotic	31
2.4.1 Probiotic in foods	33
2.4.2 Probiotic in pharmaceutical products	34
2.4.3 Probiotic in animal feeds	37
2.5 Safety considerations and future development of probiotic	38
2.5.1 Quality assurance of probiotic	40
2.5.2 Regulatory issues of probiotic	41
2.5.3 Future development of probiotic	41
3 ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL OF <i>LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM</i> STRAINS AND THEIR BACTERIOCINS	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Methodology	44
3.2.1 Preparation of bacterial cultures	44
3.2.2 Competitive exclusion study of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains and pathogens	45
3.2.3 Antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins against pathogens	47

3.2.4	Statistical analysis	48
3.3	Results	49
3.3.1	Growth of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains and pathogens in mono-culture assay	49
3.3.2	Competitive exclusion study of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains and pathogens	50
3.3.3	Antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins against various bacteria	67
3.4	Discussion	71
3.4.1	Competitive exclusion ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains against pathogens	71
3.4.2	Antimicrobial ability of bacteriocins against various bacteria	73
3.5	Conclusion	76
4	IN VITRO PROBIOTIC CHARACTERISATION OF LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM STRAINS	77
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Methodology	78
4.2.1	Preparation of washed bacterial cell suspension	78
4.2.2	<i>In vitro</i> upper gastrointestinal transit tolerance assessment	78
4.2.3	<i>In vitro</i> bile tolerance assessments	79
4.2.4	<i>In vitro</i> cholesterol assimilation assessment	82
4.2.5	<i>In vitro</i> intestinal epithelial adhesion assessment	83
4.2.6	Statistical analysis	86
4.3	Results	87
4.3.1	Effect of <i>in vitro</i> upper gastrointestinal transit on viability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	87
4.3.2	<i>In vitro</i> bile salt deconjugation ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	94
4.3.3	<i>In vitro</i> cholesterol assimilation ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	100
4.3.4	<i>In vitro</i> intestinal epithelial adhesion ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	101
4.4	Discussion	110
4.4.1	Effect of <i>in vitro</i> upper gastrointestinal transit on viability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	111
4.4.2	Effect of bile on viability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	114
4.4.3	<i>In vitro</i> cholesterol assimilation ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	117
4.4.4	<i>In vitro</i> intestinal epithelial adhesion ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	118
4.5	Conclusion	122

5	<i>IN VIVO</i> STUDY OF RATS FED WITH <i>LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM</i> STRAINS	123
5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Methodology	124
5.2.1	Animal	124
5.2.2	Bacteria preparation	124
5.2.3	Experimental design	124
5.2.4	Bacteriological analysis of faecal samples	125
5.2.5	Bacteriological analysis of intestinal samples	126
5.2.6	Histological analysis of intestinal samples	126
5.2.7	Determination of concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	127
5.2.8	Determination of serum cholesterol level	128
5.2.9	Statistical analysis	129
5.3	Results	130
5.3.1	Growth performance of rats	130
5.3.2	Microbial population in faecal and intestinal samples	133
5.3.3	Intestinal villous height and crypt depth	141
5.3.4	Concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	144
5.3.5	Serum cholesterol level of rats	144
5.4	Discussion	147
5.4.1	Growth performance of rats	147
5.4.2	Faecal and intestinal microflora	148
5.4.3	Intestinal villous height and crypt depth	153
5.4.4	Concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	155
5.4.5	Serum cholesterol level of rats	157
5.4.6	Summary of the probiotic effects of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	158
5.5	Conclusion	159
6	<i>IN VIVO</i> STUDY OF RATS FED WITH BACTERIOCINS	160
6.1	Introduction	160
6.2	Methodology	161
6.2.1	Animal	161
6.2.2	Preparation of bacteriocin	161
6.2.3	Experimental design	162
6.2.4	Bacteriological analysis of faecal samples	163
6.2.5	Bacteriological analysis of intestinal samples	163
6.2.6	Histological analysis of intestinal samples	163
6.2.7	Determination of concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	164
6.2.8	Determination of serum cholesterol level	164
6.2.9	Statistical analysis	164
6.3	Results	165
6.3.1	Growth performance of rats	165
6.3.2	Microbial population in faecal and intestinal samples	165
6.3.3	Intestinal villous height and crypt depth	176
6.3.4	Concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	179
6.3.5	Serum cholesterol level of rats	179

6.4	Discussion	182
6.4.1	Growth performance of rats	183
6.4.2	Faecal and intestinal microflora	184
6.4.3	Intestinal villous height and crypt depth	188
6.4.4	Concentration of short chain fatty acids in faecal samples	188
6.4.5	Serum cholesterol level of rats	189
6.4.6	Summary of the probiotic effects of bacteriocins	190
6.5	Conclusion	191
7	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	192
7.1	Antimicrobial potential of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains and their bacteriocins	192
7.2	<i>In vitro</i> probiotic characterisation of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	196
7.2.1	Effect of <i>in vitro</i> upper gastrointestinal transit on viability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	196
7.2.2	<i>In vitro</i> bile tolerance, deconjugation and cholesterol assimilation ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	198
7.2.3	<i>In vitro</i> intestinal epithelial adhesion ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	200
7.3	<i>In vivo</i> study of rats fed with <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	202
7.4	<i>In vivo</i> study of rats fed with bacteriocins	205
7.5	Recommendation for future research	208
	REFERENCES	210
	APPENDICES	237
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	260

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Key and desirable criteria for the selection of probiotics in commercial application	8
2.2	Microbes used as probiotics	12
2.3	Commercial strains that are currently sold as probiotic	32
2.4	Probiotics and so-called 'probiotics' that patients are using in Canada	36
2.5	Organisations that involved in establishing standards for probiotic bacteria in commercial products	39
3.1	Generation time of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> and pathogen strains grown as monoculture in nutrient broth	49
3.2	Antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins against Gram-positive and – negative bacteria	70
4.1	Qualitative measurement of bile salt deconjugation by <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	96
4.2	Quantitative measurement of bile salt deconjugation by <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	99
5.1	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on body weight of rats	131
5.2	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on total feed intake, total live weight gained and feed conversion ratio in rats	132
5.3	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on the population of lactic acid bacteria in faecal samples	134
5.4	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on the population of Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in faecal samples	135
5.5	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on the population of lactic acid bacteria in different intestinal regions after week-4 trial	137
5.6	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on the population of Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in different intestinal regions after week-4 trial	139
5.7	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on the population of lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in intestinal digesta after week-4 trial	140

5.8	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on villous height of different small intestinal regions after week-4 trial	142
5.9	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on crypt depth of different small and large intestinal regions after week-4 trial	143
5.10	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on concentration of short chain fatty acids in Week-4 faecal samples	145
5.11	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> on serum cholesterol level of rats after feeding trial	146
6.1	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on body weight of rats	166
6.2	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on total feed intake, total live weight gained and feed conversion ratio in rats	167
6.3	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on the population of lactic acid bacteria in faecal samples	168
6.4	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on the population of Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in faecal samples	169
6.5	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on the population of lactic acid bacteria in different intestinal regions after week-4 trial	173
6.6	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on the population of Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in different intestinal regions after week-4 trial	174
6.7	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on the population of lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteriaceae bacteria in intestinal digesta after week-4 trial	175
6.8	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on villous height of different small intestinal regions after week-4 trial	177
6.9	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on crypt depth of different small and large intestinal regions after week-4 trial	178
6.10	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of short chain fatty acids in week-4 faecal samples	180
6.11	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on serum cholesterol level of rats after feeding trial	181

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>B. cereus</i> B43 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	52
3.2	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>B. cereus</i> B43 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	53
3.3	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> L55 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	56
3.4	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> L55 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	57
3.5	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>S. typhimurium</i> S1000 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	59
3.6	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>S. typhimurium</i> S1000 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	60
3.7	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>Staph. aureus</i> S942 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	62
3.8	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>Staph. aureus</i> S942 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	63
3.9	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>E. coli</i> E30 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	65
3.10	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>E. coli</i> E30 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11 and (c) RG14	66
3.11	Formation of inhibition zone by bacteriocins against various Gram-positive and -negative bacteria	69
4.1	<i>In vitro</i> simulated gastric transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5, at pH 2, 3 and 4	88
4.2	<i>In vitro</i> simulated gastric transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14, at pH 2, 3 and 4	89
4.3	<i>In vitro</i> simulated small intestinal transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5, at pH 8, with and without presence of bile salt	92



4.4	<i>In vitro</i> simulated small intestinal transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14, at pH 8, with and without presence of bile salt	93
4.5	Tolerance of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5, (d) RI11, (e) RG11, (f) RG14, in the presence of bile salt at different concentrations	95
4.6	Qualitative assay of bile salt deconjugation by <i>Lb. plantarum</i> UL4	97
4.7	Cholesterol assimilation ability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	100
4.8	Cell surface hydrophobicity of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains	101
4.9	Adhesion percentage of (i) <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains or (ii) <i>L. monocytogenes</i> L55 to Caco-2 cell line by using three adhesion assays	103
4.10	Adhesion percentage of (i) <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains or (ii) <i>Staph. aureus</i> S942 to Caco-2 cell line by using three adhesion assays	106
4.11	Adhesion percentage of (i) <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains or (ii) <i>E. coli</i> E30 to Caco-2 cell line by using three adhesion assays	107
4.12	Adhesion percentage of (i) <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains or (ii) <i>S. typhimurium</i> S1000 to Caco-2 cell line by using three adhesion assays	108
4.13	Adhesion percentage of (i) <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains or (ii) <i>B. cereus</i> B43 to Caco-2 cell line by using three adhesion assays	109

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
3.1	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>B. cereus</i> B43 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	237
3.2	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>B. cereus</i> B43 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14	238
3.3	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> L55 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	239
3.4	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> L55 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14	240
3.5	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>S. typhimurium</i> S1000 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	241
3.6	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>S. typhimurium</i> S1000 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14	242
3.7	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>Staph. aureus</i> S942 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	243
3.8	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>Staph. aureus</i> S942 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14	244
3.9	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>E. coli</i> E30 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) UL4, (b) TL1, (c) RS5	245
3.10	Competitive exclusion assay of <i>E. coli</i> E30 and <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains: (a) RI11, (b) RG11, (c) RG14	246
4.1	Cholic acid standard curve	247
4.2	Cholesterol standard curve	247
4.3	<i>In vitro</i> simulated gastric transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains at pH 2	248
4.4	<i>In vitro</i> simulated gastric transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains at pH 3	248
4.5	<i>In vitro</i> simulated gastric transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains at pH 4	248
4.6	<i>In vitro</i> simulated small intestinal transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains at pH 8, without addition of bile salt	249
4.7	<i>In vitro</i> simulated small intestinal transit of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains at pH 8, with addition of bile salt	249
5.1	Hematoxylin and eosin staining	250

5.2	Chromatogram of short chain fatty acids standard	251
5.3	Cholesterol standard curve	251
5.4	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of acetic acid in faecal samples	252
5.5	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of propionic acid in faecal samples	252
5.6	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of iso-butyric acid in faecal samples	253
5.7	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of butyric acid in faecal samples	253
5.8	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of iso-valeric acid in faecal samples	254
5.9	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of n-Valeric acid in faecal samples	254
5.10	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of n-Caproic acid in faecal samples	255
5.11	Effect of direct fed of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> strains on concentration of heptanoic acid in faecal samples	255
6.1	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of acetic acid in faecal samples	256
6.2	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of propionic acid in faecal samples	256
6.3	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of iso-butyric acid in faecal samples	257
6.4	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of butyric acid in faecal samples	257
6.5	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of iso-valeric acid in faecal samples	258
6.6	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of n-Valeric acid in faecal samples	258
6.7	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of n-Caproic acid in faecal samples	259
6.8	Effect of direct fed of bacteriocins on concentration of heptanoic acid in faecal samples	259



LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AU	Arbitrary unit
CFS	Cell free supernatant
cm	Centimetre
CFU	Colony forming unit
CE	Competitive exclusion
Da	Dalton
d	Day
°C	Degree Celsius
ETB	Enterobacteriaceae bacteria
EMB	Eosin methylene blue medium
g	G – force
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract
GT	Generation time
h	Hour
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
kg	Kilogram
K₂HPO₄	di-Potassium hydrogen phosphate buffer
LAB	Lactic acid bacteria
L	Litre
LB	Lubria medium
MRS	De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe medium
mM	Millimolar
mg	Milligram
mL	Millilitre

mm	Millimetre
min	Minute
mol	Mole
µg	Microgram
µL	Microlitre
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
nm	Nanometre
OD	Optical density
PBS	Potassium buffered saline
%	Percent
s	Second
sp.	<i>Species</i>
subsp.	Subspecies
SCFA	Short chain fatty acid
TS	Tryptic soy medium
v/v	Volume/volume
w/v	Weight/volume