



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***MEASURING PEST CONTROL SERVICES PROVIDED BY
BIODIVERSITY IN MULTIPLE AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPES***

NURADILAH BINTI DENAN @ ADNAN

FPAS 2020 20



**MEASURING PEST CONTROL SERVICES PROVIDED BY BIODIVERSITY
IN MULTIPLE AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPES**

By

NURADILAH BINTI DENAN @ ADNAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

June 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**MEASURING PEST CONTROL SERVICES PROVIDED BY BIODIVERSITY
IN MULTIPLE AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPES**

By

NURADILAH BINTI DENAN @ ADNAN

June 2020

Chairman : Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD
Faculty : Forestry and Environment

Non-selective pesticides have caused extensive loss to animal biodiversity in the agro-ecosystem. Moreover, the loss of tropical forests due to agricultural activities and overuse of pesticides in homogenous habitat affects overall biodiversity and ecosystem functions. To provide solution, biological control is alternatives to chemical pesticides. The main issues were how different types of agricultural landscape (oil palm, rubber tree plantation and fruit orchard) and the interior and edge effect of different ages of oil palm contributes to predation by natural enemies on pest insects. This research investigates the potential of birds, small mammals, and arthropods as natural enemies for pest insects in agricultural landscapes. The methodology was divided into two frameworks. First, a study was conducted in Pedas Negeri Sembilan to determine the predation rate within fruit orchards, oil palm, and rubber tree plantations using predation marks left on the artificial caterpillar. Local/site and landscape variables including understory vegetation structures, elevation, proximity to forest, and canopy cover from the three-agriculture landscape, were collected. The data were analyzed using Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs) with predation as the response variable. Binomial distribution was used and variables were fitted with plot as random effects. The effect between land-use type and predator type was significant. In all three land-use types, arthropods and mammals were important enemies of artificial caterpillars and there was little predation by birds. In fruit orchards and rubber tree plantations, mammal's predation was considerably higher likely due to the landscape ability to support higher abundances of insectivorous mammals. The second framework was conducted in Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang Selangor to determine the predation on artificial caterpillar using variables comprising height and coverage of understory vegetation, elevation and, canopy cover from the edge and interior location of three different oil palm age stand. The binary regression was used to analyzed the relationship between the variables and complemented with Nagelkerke R^2 coefficient. The results revealed that mammals responsible for the highest levels of predation, followed by arthropods and birds. Arthropod predation was higher at edge locations, abandoned habitats, and elevated areas. Mammal predation was shown to increase with

understory coverage and decrease with elevation. Bird predation was not shown to be associated with any of the habitat quality characteristics studied. Overall predation was higher at the edge of plantations and positively correlated to understory vegetation coverage regardless of plot location. Throughout the research, types of habitat and habitat quality such as understory vegetation and edge location have distinct effect on the natural enemy population. The diversity and abundance of arthropods and mammals should be maintained by tolerating understory vegetation and minimizing the application of pesticides. A practical approach, such as reserving a semi-natural habitat of different types of vegetation within agricultural land to restore suitable foraging and refuge habitat for natural enemies. Besides, through the implementation of biodiversity-friendly management, there is real potential to improve the effectiveness of natural enemies within agricultural landscapes. The findings promote sustainable agriculture at the landscape level and offer an opportunity to increase crop yields.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan ijazah Master Sains

PENGUKURAN PERKHIDMATAN KAWALAN SERANGGA PEROSAK OLEH BIODIVERSITI DALAM PELBAGAI LANDSKAP PERTANIAN

Oleh

NURADILAH BINTI DENAN @ ADNAN

Jun 2020

Pengerusi : Dr. Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan dan Alam Sekitar

Racun serangga telah menyebabkan kehilangan biodiversiti yang meluas dalam agro-ekosistem. Selain itu, kehilangan hutan tropika akibat aktiviti pertanian dan penggunaan racun perosak yang berlebihan dalam habitat homogeni sangat mempengaruhi keseluruhan biodiversiti dan fungsi ekosistem. Sebagai penyelesaian, kawalan biologi adalah alternatif kepada racun serangga perosak. Isu utama adalah bagaimana pelbagai jenis landskap pertanian (ladang kelapa sawit, getah dan kebun buah) serta kesan pinggir dan habitat dalam ladang kelapa sawit yang berbeza umur menyumbang kepada tahap pemangsa oleh musuh semulajadi kepada serangga perosak. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji potensi burung, mamalia kecil dan serangga sebagai musuh semulajadi bagi serangga perosak di dalam kawasan pertanian. Metodologi untuk mencapai matlamat ini dibahagikan kepada dua rangka kerja. Pertama, kajian yang dijalankan di Pedas Negeri Sembilan adalah untuk menentukan kadar predasi pada ulat buatan dalam kebun buah, kelapa sawit, dan ladang pokok getah. Data tempatan dan landskap termasuk struktur tumbuh-tumbuhan bawah semulajadi, ketinggian, jarak dekat dengan hutan, dan kanopi dari tiga landskap pertanian dikumpulkan. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan Model Campuran Linier Umum (GLMMs) dengan pemangsa sebagai pemboleh ubah tindak balas. Regresi binomial digunakan dengan plot sebagai pemboleh ubah kesan rawak. Kesan antara jenis pertanian dan jenis pemangsa adalah penting. Dalam ketiga-tiga jenis pertanian, arthropod dan mamalia adalah musuh penting bagi ulat buatan dan terdapat sedikit pemangsa oleh burung. Dapatan mamalia jauh lebih tinggi dalam kebun buah-buahan dan pokok getah daripada ladang kelapa sawit, mungkin disebabkan oleh keupayaan kebun buah dan lading pokok getah untuk menyokong lebih banyak mamalia. Rangka kerja kedua dijalankan di Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang Selangor adalah untuk menentukan tahap pemangsa pada ulat buatan dengan menggunakan pemboleh ubah yang terdiri daripada ketinggian dan liputan tumbuhan semulajadi, ketinggian dan kanopi dari lokasi pinggir dan pedalaman tiga umur sawit yang berbeza. Regresi binari digunakan untuk menganalisis hubungan antara pemboleh ubah dan dilengkapi dengan koefisien Nagelkerke R^2 . Dapatan mendedahkan bahawa mamalia bertanggungjawab untuk tahap pemangsa tertinggi, diikuti oleh serangga dan burung. Pemangsa

serangga adalah lebih tinggi di lokasi pinggir, habitat terbiar, dan di kawasan yang tinggi. Pemangsa mamalia dipengaruhi dengan peningkatan liputan vegetasi dan berkurang dengan ketinggian. Walaubagaimanapun, hasil pemangsa burung tidak dikaitkan dengan mana-mana ciri-ciri kualiti habitat yang dikaji. Tekanan pemangsaan secara keseluruhan adalah lebih tinggi di pinggir lading berbanding di dalam kawasan perladangan, dan pemantauan keseluruhan dikaitkan dengan peningkatan dalam liputan vegetasi tanpa mengira lokasi plot. Daripada keseluruhan penyelidikan, jenis habitat dan kualiti habitat seperti tumbuh-tumbuhan bawah dan lokasi pinggir mempunyai kesan yang berbeza terhadap populasi musuh semulajadi. Kepelbagaian dan kelimpahan arthropod dan mamalia harus dikekalkan dengan meningkatkan liputan vegetasi dan meminimumkan penggunaan racun perosak. Pendekatan praktikal, seperti memelihara habitat separa semulajadi atau melaksanakan perkongsian tanah bagi pelbagai jenis tumbuh-tumbuhan di dalam lading pertanian mungkin membantu memulihkan habitat dan tempat perlindungan bagi musuh semulajadi. Selain itu, pelaksanaan strategi pengurusan yang mesra biodiversity memberi potensi untuk memperbaiki keberkesanan musuh-musuh semulajadi dalam landskap pertanian. Penemuan dari hasil kajian ini mempromosi pertanian lestari di peringkat landskap dan menawarkan peluang untuk meningkatkan hasil tanaman.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of الله, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, my thoughtful gratitude to Almighty الله s.w.t for His blessing and giving me the strength, patience, time, good health and the chances in completing this thesis. Alhamdulillah.

I would like to pay my special regards to my supervisor Dr. Badrul Azhar bin Md. Sharif, and my co-supervisors Dr. Ruzana Adibah binti Mohd Sanusi and Dr. Norhisham bin Razi, who convincingly guided and encouraged me to be professional and doing the right thing in the learning process when the journey got tough. Without their constant advice and help, the aim of my research would not be achieved. I am also grateful to Dr. Dzulhelmi Nasir, Dr. Frisco Nobilly, Dr. Adham Ashton-Butt, Dr. Alex Lechner and Mr. Wan Zaki bin Wan Mamat for their constructive criticism and comments.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the support and endless love of my family, both of my parents; Mrs. Kemariah binti Abdul Kadir and Mr. Denan @ Adnan whom I dedicated all this work and also to my sisters for their encouragement. Also not forgetting Mr. Muhd Fauzy bin Sulaiman for his advice, love, and care. To those who indirectly involved in this research, your time spent and kindness are much appreciated. Thank you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Badrul Azhar Bin Md Sharif, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry and Environment
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ruzana Adibah Binti Mohd Sanusi, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry and Environment
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Norhisham Bin Ahmad Razi, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry and Environment
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Nuradilah Binti Denan @ Adnan (GS51208)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
1.4 Significance of the Study	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Tropical Agricultural Expansion	5
2.2 Agricultural Landscapes in Malaysia	6
2.3 Edge Effect	7
2.4 Ecosystem Services	8
2.5 Agricultural Land Characteristic and its Effects on Biodiversity	9
2.6 Common Pest Problem in Malaysian Agricultural Landscapes	10
2.6.1 Common Pests of Oil Palm	11
2.6.2 Common Pests of Rubber Tree Plantation	12
2.6.3 Common Pests in Fruit Orchard	12
2.7 Conventional Pest Control Method	13
2.8 Biological Control – Natural Enemies in Agricultural Areas and Current Findings	14
2.8.1 Pest Control by Arthropods	15
2.8.2 Pest Control by Mammals	15
2.8.3 Pest Control by Birds	16
2.8.4 Using Artificial Caterpillar to Measure Predation Rate by Arthropods, Birds, and Mammals	17
2.9 Factors Affecting Natural Predation	18
2.9.1 Habitat Heterogeneity	18
2.9.2 Habitat Quality – Local/site and landscape factors	19
2.9.3 Canopy Cover and Canopy Closure	19

3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Predation of Potential Pest Insects in an Oil Palm Plantation, Rubber tree Plantation and Fruit Orchards	21
3.1.1	Study area	21
3.1.2	Study Design	23
3.1.3	Artificial Caterpillar Preparation, Deployment and Identification	24
3.1.4	Assessment of Environmental Variables	26
3.1.5	Statistical Analysis – Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs)	27
3.2	Predation of Potential Pest Insects with the Influence of Edge and Interior Habitat of Different Stand Age Oil Palm Plantation	28
3.2.1	Study Area	28
3.2.2	Study Design	30
3.2.3	Artificial Caterpillar Preparation, Deployment, and Identification	31
3.2.4	Assessment of Environmental Variables	32
3.2.5	Statistical Analyses – Binary Regression Analysis	32
4	RESULTS	
4.1	Predation of Potential Pest Insects in an Oil Palm Plantation, Rubber tree Plantation and Fruit Orchards	33
4.1.1	Predation of Artificial Caterpillar	35
4.1.2	Effect of Environmental Variables on Predation	36
4.2	Predation of Potential Pest Insects with the Influence of Edge and Interior Habitat of Different Stand Age Oil Palm Plantation	36
4.2.1	Predation of Artificial Caterpillar	38
4.2.2	Arthropods as Natural Enemy	38
4.2.3	Birds as Natural Enemy	39
4.2.4	Mammal as Natural Enemy	39
4.2.5	Combination of All-Natural Enemy	39
5	DISCUSSION	
5.1	Predation of Potential Pest Insects in an Oil Palm Plantation, Rubber tree Plantation and Fruit Orchards	40
5.1.1	The Response of Natural Enemies on Artificial Caterpillar based on Local and Landscape Stand Factor	40
5.2	Predation of Potential Pest Insects with the Influence of Edge and Interior Habitat of Different Stand Age Oil Palm Plantation	42
5.2.1	The Response of Natural Enemies on Artificial Caterpillar based on Local Stand Factors	42

5.2.2 Management Implications	44
6 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	
6.1 Conclusion	46
6.2 Recommendations	47
REFERENCES	48
APPENDICES	69
BIODATA OF STUDENT	71
LIST OF PUBLICATION	72



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Summary statistic of environmental variables in each land-use type	33
4.2	Summary of total number predation (N) out of 900 artificial caterpillars deployed	35
4.3	GLMMs of caterpillar predation with organism type (arthropods, birds, and mammals) and habitat type (oil palm, orchard, and rubber tree as interacting predictor variables). Predation by arthropods in oil palm is the intercept of the model of which all other variables are compared to using the odds ratio	35
4.4	Summary statistics of environmental variables in each oil palm stand	37
4.5	Summary of total number predation (N) out of 900 artificial caterpillars deployed	38

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Trends of ecology responses based on the distance to habitat edge. a) positive edge responses (variable of interest increases near the edge), b) neutral responses (no pattern with respect to edge), and c) negative responses (variable of interest decreases with respect to edge)	8
2.2 (a) Canopy cover and (b) Canopy closure	20
3.1 Map of the study area in Peninsular Malaysia where the plots are grouped into three agricultural land-use types in the state of Negeri Sembilan	21
3.2 Production landscapes represented by (a) fruit orchard, (b) oil palm plantation, and (c) rubber plantation	22
3.3 Illustration of the plot for artificial caterpillar deployment. Example in oil palm plantation: (A) size of plot and (B) location of artificial caterpillar deployment	23
3.4 Predators' bite marks on artificial caterpillar: (a) predation by arthropods (ants), (b) mammal, and (c) bird	25
3.5 Natural enemies' attacked marks on artificial caterpillar: predation by (a) small mammal and (b) bird	25
3.6 Canopy analysis using GLAMA: (a) Vertical image of habitat canopy and (b) Glama analysis for canopy cover	26
3.7 Map of the study area in UPM, the plots are grouped into three oil palm age stands. Yellow polygon – immature stand, green polygon – mature stand, red polygon – abandoned stand	28
3.8 Types of oil palm plantation represented by (a) immature oil palm age stand (b) mature oil palm age stand (c) abandoned oil palm age stand. Left and right side images represent the edge and interior locations, respectively	29
3.9 Illustration of the plot for artificial caterpillar deployment at the edge and interior of oil palm plantation	31
4.1 Boxplot showing environmental variables compared between land-use types	34
4.2 Predation marks on an artificial caterpillar by potential natural enemy: (A) Arthropod, (B) Mammal and (C) bird	36

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Material
CBC	Classical Biological Control
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
MEA	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment
MPOB	Malaysian Palm Oil Board
MRB	Malaysian Rubber Board
MSPO	Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil
RSPO	Roundtable Sustainable Palm Oil
TEEB	The Economics of Ecosystem and Biodiversity



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Southeast Asia represents a unique agroecosystem with complex landscape diversity in the tropics. Over the past decades, major landscape transformation has modified much of the original forest landscapes into human-modified landscapes in the region. These human-modified landscapes are either traditional mixed planting or modern monocultural systems. In Malaysia, traditional fruit orchards or home gardens are typical Malaysian agricultural landscape that includes various native fruit trees planted and managed by the local community (Sharifuddin and Zaharah, 1991; Peh et al., 2006; Salma et al., 2006; Shafie et al., 2011). It is a family or community's own property (Salma et al., 2006; Hussain and Byrd, 2012). Some area is involved in government projects where small-scale farmers developed the fruit orchard to plant fruit trees on their land for socio-economic benefits or some area are small-scale orchards for daily needs (Salma et al., 2006; Abdullah, 2011).

Apart from that, modern monocultural crop cultivation such as oil palm, *Elaeis guineensis*, and rubber tree, *Hevea brasiliensis* are other common agricultural landscapes in Malaysia (Murphy, 2014; Jackson et al., 2019). In 2017, Malaysian oil palm plantation reached an approximate area of 5.8 million hectares producing 19.8 million tonnes of crude palm oil (Malaysian Palm Oil Board, 2017). Specifically, Sabah and Sarawak were the major oil palm producers with 3.12 million hectares while Peninsular Malaysia has produced 2.72 million hectares. Unlike oil palm, rubber tree cultivation is cultivated in small to medium-scale plantations that are mainly managed by the smallholders. Higher global demand for rubber over the past decade has led to a major expansion of rubber plantation (Clay, 2004). In 2018, Malaysia had 1.01 million hectares of rubber tree smallholdings and 73,460 hectares' rubber tree estates that produced 603,329 tonnes of natural rubber (Malaysian Rubber Board, 2018).

Loss of tropical forests due to agricultural activities such as oil palm and rubber tree cultivation brings significant concerns on the loss of functional diversity in the tropical ecosystem (Laurance, 2007; Gibbs et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2010; Foley et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2013). Sundaland (Borneo, Malay Peninsula, Bali, and Java) is among the major global biodiversity hotspots under the pressure of massive land-use changes (Myers et al., 2000; Sodhi et al., 2004; Giam, 2017). Moreover, massive expansion of monoculture landscapes leads to poor communities of natural predators causing catastrophic outbreaks of herbivorous pest insects and diseases (Fitzherbert et al., 2008; Ewers et al., 2009; Azhar et al., 2014; Snyder, 2019). This situation has worsened in recent years, turning into a global concern that prompt conservation action worldwide (Crowder et al., 2010; Ghazali et al., 2016).

The control of pests by chemical pesticides is one of the most used methods for commercial crop production. Many of these agrochemicals have severe negative impacts on human and environmental health because of their hazardous toxicity and incorrect application. Modern oil palm and rubber tree agriculture, as well as a fruit orchard, rely heavily on external inputs, such as chemical pesticides and inorganic fertilizers to increase crop production (Le Roux et al., 2008; Simon et al., 2011). The overuse of pesticides in homogenous habitat affects overall biodiversity and ecosystem functions with severe health effects (Bianchi et al., 2013; Yadav et al., 2015). While the harmful impacts of pesticides are well known, alternative solution using natural predator is not well understood with most studies conducted in simplified environments or controlled conditions (Snyder et al., 2019). As the studies conducted under a controlled environment causing difficulties understanding the natural enemy in real-world agriculture, an alternative has been developed by researchers to overcome this matter. Gontijo (2019), noted that by providing beneficial floral plants to parasitoids and predators, and Torres and Bueno (2018) suggest reducing broad-spectrum insecticide usage, as a possible way to make hospitable agricultural landscape (Snyder et al., 2019). Thus, promoting a natural enemy as a biological control agent provides a potential and capable solution to reduce dependency on pesticides (Mason et al., 2008). Moreover, to strike a balance between ecological conservation and food production, conservation of natural enemies as biological agents has been widely recommended (de Groot, Wilson, and Boumans, 2002; Wood, 2002; Dudley et al., 2017). In a larger scale, conservation of natural enemies does succeed, however, there is still uncertainty on the ecology of its benefits and failures (Gurr et al., 2017; Karp et al., 2018)

Sustainable agriculture emphasizes building resilient agroecosystems and reducing the adverse impact of agricultural activities on the environment. It promotes agriculture that does not expand the agricultural frontier and helps restore ecosystems. Improving efficiency in the use of natural resources is critical to sustainable agriculture because food production depends to a large extent on the services provided by biodiversity. Local/site and landscape factors are essential in supporting biological control agents in agricultural landscapes (Seifert et al., 2015; Nurdiansyah et al., 2016; Milligan et al., 2016; Lindgren, Lindborg and Cousins, 2018). Predation on pests by the natural enemies is influenced by the presence of different vegetation that offers variability of resources and ecological niches (Azhar et al., 2015; Nurdiansyah et al., 2016; Denmead et al., 2017). In comparison to monoculture plantations such as oil palm and rubber tree plantations, tropical fruit orchard has complex perennial agroecosystems, which can promote the top-down food web cycle (Simon et al., 2017). Thus, in this study, it is expected that an agricultural area with considerable vegetation heterogeneity such as fruit orchard and the existence of other naturally grown vegetation within a plantation can provide effective pest control services compared to a monoculture plantation.

1.2 Problem Statement

The agricultural landscape is now many times more toxic to insects, and likely to other fauna, than it was decades ago, almost entirely because of the widespread use of chemical pesticides. The declining insect number, particularly those categorized as pollinators and natural enemies, can also have catastrophic ecological repercussions. In many parts of the world, biological control augmentation constitutes a major challenge

to improve crop resilience (Coll and Wajnberg, 2017). Agrochemicals, particularly non-selective pesticides, have caused extensive loss of biodiversity in the agro-ecosystem as it exterminates not only targeted pests but also non-target fauna (Le Roux et al., 2008). Ecosystem function also can be affected by the long-term effect of pesticide application. Furthermore, residual impacts of pesticides also reported causing a major decline of arthropods population in natural habitats (Hallmann et al., 2017). Despite controlling pest populations, chemical pesticide application eliminates natural enemies from agricultural ecosystems leading to serious pest outbreaks (Wood, 2002; Dutcher, 2007; Kamarudin and Wahid, 2010). The dilemma of undesirable outcomes from the use of a chemical to mitigate pests has driven consumer's concerns (Bianchi et al., 2013). The contribution of natural enemies as biological control agents has attracted attention in the search for a more environmentally-friendly alternative (de Groot, Wilson, and Boumans, 2002; Wood, 2002). The strategy to use natural enemies as biological control, however, has increased in recent years (Landis et al., 2000). Moreover, biological control can reduce chemical usage and labor costs in various agricultural systems (Millenium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Cleveland et al., 2006; Kellermann et al., 2008; Sekercioglu, 2012).

Conventional farming is necessary to feed the world, but it relies heavily on the use of agrochemicals. This unsustainable practice can cause substantial environmental degradation, biodiversity loss and a progressive loss of agricultural productivity. Biological control research can shape sustainable agriculture in crop-producing countries (e.g., Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand). This research is important to justify the conservation of biodiversity, particularly natural enemies such as arthropods, birds, and mammals in agricultural landscapes. The findings can be used to convince both major plantation businesses and smallholders to maintain biodiversity habitats on their farmlands and to reduce the use of chemical pesticides that can negatively affect human health and the environment in the long run. Crop health is the main factor to reach optimum levels of productivity and economic profitability in the agricultural system, as the pest outbreak severely affects the yields and quality. However, farming practices, especially in tropical countries, should depend on natural and sustainable resources that are readily available rather than depending on external inputs. Still, several ecological studies have reported that conventional oil palm and other non-forest habitats support lower fauna diversity compared to native forest landscapes (Koh, 2008; Azhar et al., 2011; Gillespie et al., 2012; Warren-Thomas et al., 2015).

The intensification of modern agriculture able to generate immense yield but biodiversity suffers the loss of habitats and affected important ecosystem function in the agricultural landscape (Landis, 2017). While it is well-known that a high level of disruption and ecological simplicity create an unfavourable environment in most agroecosystems for natural enemies (Landis et al., 2000). Therefore, it is essential to understand to what extent the natural enemies able to contribute to control pests in different land-use of agricultural landscapes. Studies looking at the factors that influence natural enemies are essential to strengthen biological control conservation within tropical agricultural landscapes (Maas et al., 2015; Nurdiansyah et al., 2016). The use of biological control agents is also aligned with sustainable crop certification schemes (e.g., Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) and Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO)). In general, the findings from this research can help the stakeholders

in the agricultural sector to reconcile commodity crop production with biodiversity conservation.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

This research aimed to investigate the potential of birds, small/medium-sized mammals, and arthropods as natural enemies for pest insects in agricultural landscapes. The objectives of the research were as follows:

1. To measure the predation pressure of the natural enemies using artificial caterpillar as a pest in different agricultural landscapes (i.e., orchard, oil palm and rubber tree plantations) and at the interior and edge of oil palm plantation.
2. To identify the key environmental factors that determine the predation pressure of pest insects in different agricultural landscapes (i.e., orchard, oil palm and rubber plantations).

1.4 Significance of the Study

To date, this research is the first in Peninsular Malaysia to investigate pest control services provided by arthropods, birds, and mammals in multiple agricultural landscapes. The findings from this study highlight the important habitat quality characteristic that supports the natural enemy population. The present study showed that agricultural landscapes with higher habitat complexity can support better pest control services by natural enemies. The composition of natural enemy comprises of arthropods, small/medium-sized mammals, and birds are strongly affected by the condition of the environment. Within the agricultural landscape, anthropogenic disturbances are frequent that result in poor biodiversity and lower ecological resilience. The ecosystem needs a range of biodiversity that is important to deliver its services. For example, arthropods and birds are essential components in providing pollination and pest control in the ecosystem.

The present study also provides insights into the potential natural enemy that presence within different agriculture plantations. Furthermore, agricultural landscapes mixed with different crop species and planted with other beneficial non-crop plants aid in providing food resources, improve habitat quality and also provide refuge place for the natural enemy. Agricultural landscape surrounded by weedy plant strips or planted adjacent to different types of vegetation crop help to enhancing natural enemy establishment by providing a different source of food and habitat compared to a large monoculture plantation. Conserving biodiversity is essential as it provides biological control within agricultural landscapes. For example, the introduction of barn owl (e.g. *Tyto alba*) to control rodent pest. However, this research provides a framework for future studies on testing a range of suitable management tools that able to support the conservation of natural enemies and helps commercial and small-scale farmers to implement management practices that are wildlife- environmentally- friendly.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, S. A., and Hezri, A. A. (2008). From forest landscape to agricultural landscape in the developing tropical country of Malaysia: pattern, process, and their significance on policy. *Environmental Management*, 42(5), 907-917.
- Abdullah, S. A. (2011). The characteristics of the cultural landscape in Malaysia: Concept and perspective. In *Landscape Ecology in Asian Cultures* (pp. 41-53). Springer, Tokyo.
- Achmad, W., Philippe, R., Lasiman, P., and Caliman, J. P. (2001). Pest damages on the root system of oil palms planted on peat soil. In *Cutting-edge technologies for sustained competitiveness: Proceedings of the 2001 PIPOC International Palm Oil Congress, Agriculture Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 20-22 August 2001* (pp. 369-381). Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB).
- Agrawal, A. A. (1998). Leaf damage and associated cues induce aggressive ant recruitment in a neotropical ant-plant. *Ecology*, 79(6), 2100-2112.
- Aizen, M. A., and Feinsinger, P. (1994). Forest fragmentation, pollination, and plant reproduction in a Chaco dry forest, Argentina. *Ecology*, 75(2), 330-351.
- Aratrakorn, S., Thunhikorn, S., and Donald, P. F. (2006). Changes in bird communities following conversion of lowland forest to oil palm and rubber plantations in southern Thailand. *Bird conservation international*, 16(1), 71-82.
- Aristizábal, N., and Metzger, J. P. (2019). Landscape structure regulates pest control provided by ants in sun coffee farms. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(1), 21-30.
- Ashton-Butt, A., Aryawan, A. A., Hood, A. S., Naim, M., Purnomo, D., Wahyuningsih, R., and Foster, W. (2018). Understory vegetation in oil palm plantations benefits soil biodiversity and decomposition rates. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, in press.
- Ashraf, M., Zulkifli, R., Sanusi, R., Tohiran, K. A., Terhem, R., Moslim, R., and Azhar, B. (2018). Alley-cropping system can boost arthropod biodiversity and ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 260, 19-26.
- Asmah, S., Ghazali, A., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M. S., Peng, T. L., Norhisham, A. R., and Lindenmayer, D. B. (2017). Effects of polyculture and monoculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on tropical fruit-feeding butterfly diversity. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 19(1), 70-80.
- Aubertot, J. N., Barbier, J. M., Carpentier, A., Gril, J. N., Guichard, L., Lucas, P., and Voltz, M. (2007). Pesticides, agriculture et environnement. Rédui'e l'utilisation des pesticides et en limiter les impacts environnementaux. Expertise scientifique collective Inra-Cemagref (décembre 2005).

- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D. B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C., and Zakaria, M. (2011). The conservation value of oil palm plantation estates, smallholdings and logged peat swamp forest for birds. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 262(12), 2306-2315.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C., and Zakaria, M. (2013). Contribution of illegal hunting, culling of pest species, road accidents and feral dogs to biodiversity loss in established oil-palm landscapes. *Wildlife Research*, 40(1), 1-9.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D. B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., and Zakaria, M. (2014). Ecological impacts of oil palm agriculture on forest mammals in plantation estates and smallholdings. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 23(5), 1175-1191.
- Azhar, B., Saadun, N., Puan, C. L., Kamarudin, N., Aziz, N., Nurhidayu, S., and Fischer, J. (2015). Promoting landscape heterogeneity to improve the biodiversity benefits of certified palm oil production: Evidence from Peninsular Malaysia. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 3, 553-561.
- Bael, S. A. V., Philpott, S. M., Greenberg, R., Bichier, P., Barber, N. A., Mooney, K. A., and Gruner, D. S. (2008). Birds as predators in tropical agroforestry systems. *Ecology*, 89(4), 928-934.
- Baker, J., French, K., and Whelan, R. J. (2002). The edge effect and ecotonal species: bird communities across a natural edge in southeastern Australia. *Ecology*, 83(11), 3048-3059.
- Balsiger, J., Bahdon, J., and Whiteman, A. (2000). The utilization, processing, and demand for rubberwood as a source of wood supply. Rome: Forestry Policy and Planning Division.
- Barbier, E. B., and Burgess, J. C. (2001). The economics of tropical deforestation. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 15(3), 413-433.
- Barbier, E. B. (2004). Explaining agricultural land expansion and deforestation in developing countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 86(5), 1347-1353.
- Basiron, Y., and Weng, C. K. (2004). The oil palm and its sustainability. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 16(1).
- Basri, M. W., Norman, K., and Hamdan, A. B. (1995). Natural enemies of the bagworm, *Metisa plana* Walker (Lepidoptera: Psychidae) and their impact on host population regulation. *Crop Protection*, 14(8), 637-645.
- Batary, P., and Baldi, A. (2004). Evidence of an edge effect on avian nest success. *Conservation Biology*, 18(2), 389-400.

- Batáry, P., Holzschuh, A., Orci, K. M., Samu, F., and Tschardtke, T. (2012). Responses of plant, insect and spider biodiversity to local and landscape scale management intensity in cereal crops and grasslands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 146(1), 130-136.
- Baulkwill, W. J. (1989). The history of natural rubber production. *Rubber*. Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, England, 1-56.
- Bayne, E. M., and Hobson, K. A. (1997). Comparing the effects of landscape fragmentation by forestry and agriculture on predation of artificial nests. *Conservation Biology*, 11(6), 1418-1429.
- Bechtold, W. A., Mielke, M. E., and Zarnoch, S. J. (2002). Comparison of field methods and models to estimate mean crown diameter. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 19(4), 177-182.
- Benton T. G., Vickery J. A., and Wilson J. D. (2003). Farmland biodiversity: is habitat heterogeneity the key? *Trends in Ecology Evolution*, 18, 182-188.
- Berezki, K., Ódor, P., Csóka, G., Mag, Z., and Báldi, A. (2014). Effects of forest heterogeneity on the efficiency of caterpillar control service provided by birds in temperate oak forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 327, 96-105.
- Berrie, A., and Cross, J. (2006). Development of an integrated pest and disease management system for apples to produce fruit free from pesticide residues-aspects of disease control. *International organization for biological control press bulletin*, 29(1), 129.
- Bessou, C., Rival, A., Levang, P., Feintrenie, L., Bosc, P. M., Cheyns, E., and Caliman, J. P. (2017). Sustainable Palm Oil Production project synthesis: Understanding and anticipating global challenges (Vol. 165). CIFOR.
- Beukema, H., F. Danielsen, G. Vincent, S. Hardiwinoto, and J. van Andel. (2007). Plant and bird diversity in rubber agroforests in the lowlands of Sumatra, Indonesia. *Agroforestry Systems*, 70, 217-242.
- Bianchi, F. J. J. A., Mikos, V., Brussaard, L., Delbaere, B., and Pulleman, M. M. (2013). Opportunities and limitations for functional agrobiodiversity in the European context. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 27, 223-231.
- Bissonnette, J. F., and De Koninck, R. (2015). Large plantations versus smallholdings in Southeast Asia: historical and contemporary trends. In *Conference on Land Grabbing, Conflict and Agrarian-Environmental Transformations: Perspective from East and Southeast Asia* (pp. 5-6).
- Brodie, E. D. (1993). Differential avoidance of coral snake banded patterns by free-ranging avian predators in Costa Rica. *Evolution*, 47(1), 227-235.
- Brooks, T. M., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., Rylands, A. B., Konstant, W. R., and Hilton-Taylor, C. (2002). Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. *Conservation biology*, 16(4), 909-923.

- Bruinsma, J. (2009). The resource outlook to 2050: by how much do land, water and crop yields need to increase by 2050. In Expert meeting on how to feed the world in 2050, 24-26.
- Buckle, A. P., Chia, T. H., Fenn, M. G. P., and Visvalingam, M. (1997). Ranging behaviour and habitat utilization of the Malayan wood rat (*Rattus tiomanicus*) in an oil palm plantation in Johor, Malaysia. *Crop Protection*, 16(5), 467-473.
- Burke, D. M., and Nol, E. (1998). Influence of food abundance, nest-site habitat, and forest fragmentation on breeding ovenbirds. *The Auk*, 96-104.
- Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., and Huyvaert, K. P. (2011). AIC model selection and multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology*, 65(1), 23-35.
- Cadenasso, M. L., Pickett, S. T., Weathers, K. C., and Jones, C. G. (2003). A framework for a theory of ecological boundaries. *BioScience*, 53(8), 750-758.
- Cambui, E. C. B., de Vasconcelos, R. N., Mariano-Neto, E., Viana, B. F., and Cardoso, M. Z. (2017). Positive forestry: The effect of rubber tree plantations on fruit feeding butterfly assemblages in the Brazilian Atlantic forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 397, 150-156.
- Campbell, N.A. and Reece, J.B. (2004). *Biology*, 7th ed. San Francisco, CA: Benjamin Cummings.
- Cantrell, R. S., Cosner, C., and Fagan, W. F. (2002). Habitat edges and predator-prey interactions: effects on critical patch size. *Mathematical biosciences*, 175(1), 31-55.
- Chang, M. S., Hii, J., Buttner, P., and Mansoor, F. (1997). Changes in abundance and behaviour of vector mosquitoes induced by land-use during the development of an oil palm plantation in Sarawak. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 91(4), 382-386.
- Charensom, K. (1990). Insect pests of mango and their control.
- Chung, G. F. (2012). Effect of Pests and Diseases on Oil Palm Yield. In *Palm Oil* (pp. 163-210). AOCS Press.
- Clay, J. (2004). *World agriculture and environment: a commodity-by-commodity guide to impacts and practices*. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- Cleveland, C. J., Betke, M., Federico, P., Frank, J. D., Hallam, T. G., Horn, J., and Sansone, C. G. (2006). Economic value of the pest control service provided by Brazilian free-tailed bats in south-central Texas. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(5), 238-243.
- Coll, M., and Wajnberg, E. (Eds.). (2017). *Environmental pest management: challenges for agronomists, ecologists, economists and policymakers*. John Wiley and Sons.

- Corley, R. H. V., and Tinker, P. H. B. (2016). Diseases of the oil palm. *The Oil Palm* 5th edition. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 399-437.
- Cornelissen, T. (2011). Climate change and its effects on terrestrial insects and herbivory patterns. *Neotropical Entomology*, 40(2), 155-163.
- Costanza, R', d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., and Raskin, R. G. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630), 253.
- Crowder, D. W., Northfield, T. D., Strand, M. R., and Snyder, W. E. (2010). Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. *Nature*, 466(7302), 109.
- Curtis, R., Klemens, J. A., Agosta, S. J., Bartlow, A. W., Wood, S., Carlson, J. A., and Steele, M. A. (2013). Clay caterpillar whodunit: A customizable method for studying predator-prey interactions in the field. *The American Biology Teacher*, 75(1), 47-51.
- Daily, GC (Ed.). (1997). *Nature's services. Societal dependence on natural ecosystems*. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Dale, W. (1959). The rainfall of Malaya. *Journal of Tropical Geography*, 13, 23-37.
- Dale, W. L. (1963). *Surface temperatures in Malaya*. Department of Geography, University of Singapore.
- Danielsen, F. and Heegaard, M. (1995). Impact of logging and plantation development on species diversity: a case study from Sumatra. In *Management of tropical forests: towards an integrated perspective* (ed. O. Sandbukt). Oslo, Norway: University of Oslo Centre for Development and the Environment.
- Darus, A., and Basri, M. W. (2000). Intensive IPM for management of oil palm pests. *Oil Palm Bulletin*, 41, 1-14.
- De la Mora, A., García-Ballinas, J. A., and Philpott, S. M. (2015). Local, landscape, and diversity drivers of predation services provided by ants in a coffee landscape in Chiapas, Mexico. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 201, 83-91.
- de Groot, R.S., Wilson M.A. and Boumans, R.M.J. (2002). A typology for the classification, description, and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods, and services. *Ecological Economics*, 41, 393-408.
- Denmead, L. H., Darras, K., Clough, Y., Diaz, P., Grass, I., Hoffmann, M. P., and Tschardtke, T. (2017). The role of ants, birds, and bats for ecosystem functions and yield in oil palm plantations. *Ecology*, 98(7), 1945-1956.
- Department of Statistic Malaysia (2011). *Annual rubber statistic*. Putrajaya: Department of Statistics.

- Diamé, L., Blatrix, R., Grechi, I., Rey, J. Y., Sane, C. A. B., Vayssières, J. F., and Diarra, K. (2015). Ant biodiversity and community composition in Senegalese orchards and relation with orchard design and management practices. *Agriculture Ecosystem Environment*, 212, 94-105.
- Dislich, C., Keyel, A. C., Salecker, J., Kisel, Y., Meyer, K. M., Auliya, M., and Hess, B. (2016). A review of the ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations, using forests as a reference system. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 1539-1569.
- Duckett, J. E. (2008). The expansion of range and population of the barn owl (*Tyto alba javanica*) in Peninsular Malaysia and in Sumatra and adjacent Islands. A review and update on the current situation.
- Dudley, N., Attwood, S. J., Goulson, D., Jarvis, D., Bharucha, Z. P., and Pretty, J. (2017). How should conservationists respond to pesticides as a driver of biodiversity loss in agroecosystems? *Biological Conservation*, 209, 449-453.
- Dufey, A. (2006). Biofuels production, trade and sustainable development: emerging issues (No. 2). Iied.
- Dutcher, J. D. (2007). A review of resurgence and replacement causing pest outbreaks in IPM. In *General concepts in integrated pest and disease management* (pp. 27-43). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Dobrovolski, R., Loyola, R. D., De Marco Jr, P., and Diniz-Filho, J. A. F. (2011). Agricultural expansion can menace Brazilian protected areas during the 21st century. *Natureza and Conservação*, 9(2), 208-213.
- Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., and Münkemüller, T. (2013). Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, 36(1), 27-46.
- Dyer, L. A. (1997). Effectiveness of caterpillar defenses against three species of invertebrate predators. *Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera*, 34(1), 48-68.
- Edwards, D. P., Hodgson, J. A., Hamer, K. C., Mitchell, S. L., Ahmad, A. H., Cornell, S. J., and Wilcove, D. S. (2010). Wildlife-friendly oil palm plantations fail to protect biodiversity effectively. *Conservation Letters*, 3(4), 236-242.
- Ehui, S. K., Hertel, T. W., and Preckel, P. V. (1990). Forest resource depletion, soil dynamics, and agricultural productivity in the tropics. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 18(2), 136-154.
- Eilenberg, J., Hajek, A., and Lomer, C. (2001). Suggestions for unifying the terminology in biological control. *BioControl*, 46(4), 387-400.
- Ernst, L. M., Tschardtke, T., and Batáry, P., (2017). Grassland management in agricultural vs. forested landscapes drives butterfly and bird diversity. *Biological Conservation* 216 (2017), 51-59.

- Ewers, R. M., Scharlemann, J. P., Balmford, A., and Green, R. E. (2009). Do increases in agricultural yield spare land for nature?. *Global Change Biology*, 15(7), 1716-1726.
- Fagan, W. F., Cantrell, R. S., and Cosner, C. (1999). How habitat edges change species interactions. *The American Naturalist*, 153(2), 165-182.
- Fahrig, L. (2003). Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. *Annual review of ecology, evolution, and systematics*, 34(1), 487-515.
- The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2001). *FAO statistics: The status of forest: The Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000*. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at: http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/y0900e05.htm#P4_44
- Faria, D., Laps, R. R., Baumgarten, J., and Cetra, M. (2006). Bat and bird assemblages from forests and shade cacao plantations in two contrasting landscapes in the Atlantic Forest of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 15(2), 587-612.
- Fayle, T. M., Turner, E. C., Snaddon, J. L., Chey, V. K., Chung, A. Y., Eggleton, P., and Foster, W. A. (2010). Oil palm expansion into rain forest greatly reduces ant biodiversity in canopy, epiphytes and leaf-litter. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11(4), 337-345.
- Feintrenie, L, Chong W. K., and Levang, P. (2010). Why do farmers prefer oil palm? Lessons learnt from Bungo district, Indonesia. *Small Scale Forest*, 9, 379–396.
- Fischer, J., Brosi, B., Daily, G. C., Ehrlich, P. R., Goldman, R., Goldstein, J., and Ranganathan, J. (2008). Should agricultural policies encourage land sparing or wildlife-friendly farming?. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 6(7), 380-385.
- Fitzherbert, E. B., Struebig, M. J., Morel, A., Danielsen, F., Brühl, C. A., Donald, P. F., and Phalan, B. (2008). How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity?. *Trends in ecology and evolution*, 23(10), 538-545.
- Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., and Balzer, C. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478(7369), 337.
- Foster, W. A., Snaddon, J. L., Turner, E. C., Fayle, T. M., Cockerill, T. D., Ellwood, M. F., and Yusah, K. M. (2011). Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 366(1582), 3277-3291.
- Fox, J., and Castella, J. C. (2013). Expansion of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) in Mainland Southeast Asia: what are the prospects for smallholders?. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 40(1), 155-170.

- Gates, J. E., and Gysel, L. W. (1978). Avian nest dispersion and fledging success in field-forest ecotones. *Ecology*, 59(5), 871-883.
- Gentry, G. L., and Dyer, L. A. (2002). On the conditional nature of neotropical caterpillar defenses against their natural enemies. *Ecology*, 83(11), 3108-3119.
- Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M. S., Aziz, N., Tan, L. P., and Azhar, B. (2016). Effects of monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on terrestrial arthropod diversity. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 19(2), 415-421.
- Giam, X. (2017). Global biodiversity loss from tropical deforestation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(23), 5775-5777.
- Gibbs, H. K., Ruesch, A. S., Achard, F., Clayton, M. K., Holmgren, P., Ramankutty, N., and Foley, J. A. (2010). Tropical forests were the primary sources of new agricultural land in the 1980s and 1990s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(38), 16732-16737.
- Gill, S. J., Biging, G. S., and Murphy, E. C. (2000). Modeling conifer tree crown radius and estimating canopy cover. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 126(3), 405-416.
- Gillespie, G. R., Ahmad, E., Elahan, B., Evans, A., Ancrenaz, M., Goossens, B., and Scroggie, M. P. (2012). Conservation of amphibians in Borneo: relative value of secondary tropical forest and non-forest habitats. *Biological Conservation*, 152, 136-144.
- Gontijo, L. M. (2019). Engineering natural enemy shelters to enhance conservation biological control in field crops. *Biological control*, 130, 155-163.
- Gray, C. L., and Lewis, O. T. (2014). Do riparian forest fragments provide ecosystem services or disservices in surrounding oil palm plantations?. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15(8), 693-700.
- Green, R. E., Cornell, S. J., Scharlemann, J. P., and Balmford, A. (2005). Farming and the fate of wild nature. *Science*, 307(5709), 550-555.
- Guillera-Aroita, G. (2011). Impact of sampling with replacement in occupancy studies with spatial replication. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 2(4), 401-406.
- Gunnarsson, B. (2007). Bird predation on spiders: ecological mechanisms and evolutionary consequences. *The Journal of Arachnology*, 35(3), 509-530.
- Gurr, G. M., Wratten, S. D., Landis, D. A., and You, M. (2017). Habitat management to suppress pest populations: progress and prospects. *Annual review of entomology*, 62, 91-109.
- Hafidzi, M. N., and Na Im, M. (2003). The use of the barn owl, *Tyto alba*, to suppress rat damage in rice fields in Malaysia. *ACIAR Monograph Series*, 96, 274-276.

- Hajek, A. E., and Eilenberg, J. (2018). *Natural enemies: an introduction to biological control*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hallmann, C. A., Sorg, M., Jongejans, E., Siepel, H., Hofland, N., Schwan, H., and Goulson, D. (2017). More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas. *PLoS one*, 12(10), e0185809.
- Hampson G. F. (1896). *The Fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma. Moths*, Taylor and Francis, London, 4,70-77.
- Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A. A., Tyukavina, A., and Kommareddy, A. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science*, 342(6160), 850-853.
- Harris, L. D. (1988). Edge effects and conservation of biotic diversity. *Conservation Biology*, 2(4), 330-332.
- Hassan, N., Hamzah, H. H. M., and Zain, S. M. M. (2013). A goal programming approach for rubber production in Malaysia. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 7(2), 50-53.
- Henein, K., and Merriam, G. (1990). The elements of connectivity where corridor quality is variable. *Landscape ecology*, 4(2-3), 157-170.
- Hendrickx, F., Maelfait, J. P., Van Wingerden, W., Schweiger, O., Speelmans, M., Aviron, S., and Burel, F. (2007). How landscape structure, land-use intensity and habitat diversity affect components of total arthropod diversity in agricultural landscape. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44, 340-351
- Ho, C. T., and Teh, C. L. (1997). Integrated pest management in plantation crops in Malaysia: challenges and realities. In *Proceedings of the International Planters conference, Plantation Management for the 21st Century* (Ed. Pushparajah E). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (pp. 21-22).
- Hölldobler, B., and Wilson, E. O. (1990). *The Ants*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Holloway, J. D., Kirk-Spriggs, A. H., and Khen, C. V. (1992). The response of some rain forest insect groups to logging and conversion to plantation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 335(1275), 425-436.
- Honnay, O., Verheyen, K., and Hermy, M. (2002). Permeability of ancient forest edges for weedy plant species invasion. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 161(1-3), 109-122.
- Hooper, D. U., Chapin, F. S., Ewel, J. J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., and Schmid, B. (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological monographs*, 75(1), 3-35.

- Hosonuma, N., Herold, M., De Sy, V., De Fries, R. S., Brockhaus, M., Verchot, L., and Romijn, E. (2012). An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 044009.
- Howe, A., Lövei, G. L., and Nachman, G. (2009). Dummy caterpillars as a simple method to assess predation rates on invertebrates in a tropical agroecosystem. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 131(3), 325-329.
- Howe, A. G., Nachman, G., and Lövei, G. L. (2015). Predation pressure in Ugandan cotton fields measured by a sentinel prey method. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 154(2), 161-170.
- Hughes, J. B., Daily, G. C., and Ehrlich, P. R. (2002). Conservation of tropical forest birds in countryside habitats. *Ecology Letters*, 5(1), 121-129.
- Hussain, N. H. M., and Byrd, H. (2012). Towards a compatible landscape in Malaysia: An idea, challenge and imperatives. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 275-283.
- Jackson, T. A., Crawford, J. W., Traeholt, C., and Sanders, T. A. B. (2019). Learning to love the world's most hated crop. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 31(September), 331-347.
- Jacob, J. (2008). Response of small rodents to manipulations of vegetation height in agro-ecosystems. *Integrative Zoology*, 3(1), 3-10.
- Jamari, A., Azhar, B., Ibrahim, N. L., Jamian, S., Hussin, A., Puan, C. L., and Zakaria, M. (2012). Avian biodiversity and conservation in Malaysian oil palm production areas. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 24, 1277-1286.
- Jamian, S., Norhisham, A., Ghazali, A., Zakaria, A., and Azhar, B. (2017). Impacts of 2 species of predatory Reduviidae on bagworms in oil palm plantations. *Insect Science*, 24(2), 285-294.
- Jannoyer, M. L., Le Bellec, F., Lavigne, C., Achard, R., and Malézieux, E. (2011). Choosing cover crops to enhance ecological services in orchards: A multiple criteria and systemic approach applied to tropical areas. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 9, 104-112.
- Jayasinghe, C. K. (1999). Pests and diseases of Hevea rubber and their geographical distribution. *Bulletin Rubber Restoration Institute Sri Lanka*, 40, 1-8.
- Jennings, S. B., Brown, N. D., and Sheil, D. (1999). Assessing forest canopies and understorey illumination: canopy closure, canopy cover and other measures. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 72(1), 59-74.
- Jirinec, V., Campos, B. R., and Johnson, M. D. (2011). Roosting behaviour of a migratory songbird on Jamaican coffee farms: landscape composition may affect delivery of an ecosystem service. *Bird Conservation International*, 21(3), 353-361.

- Johnson, M. D. (2007). Measuring habitat quality: a review. *The Condor*, 109(3), 489-504.
- Kamarudin, N., and Wahid, M. B. (2010). Interactions of the bagworm, *Pteroma pendula* (Lepidoptera: Psychidae), and its natural enemies in an oil palm plantation in Perak. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 22(April), 758-764.
- Karar, H., Arif, M. J., Sayyed, H. A., Saeed, S., Abbas, G., and Arshad, M. (2009). Integrated pest management of mango mealybug (*Drosicha mangiferae*) in mango orchards. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 11, 81-84.
- Karp, D. S., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Meehan, T. D., Martin, E. A., DeClerck, F., Grab, H., Gratton, C., Hunt, L., Larsen, A. E., Martínez-Salinas, A. and O'rourke, M. E. (2018). Crop pests and predators exhibit inconsistent responses to surrounding landscape composition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(33), E7863-E7870.
- Kellermann, J. L., Johnson, M. D., Stercho, A. M., and Hackett, S. C. (2008). Ecological and economic services provided by birds on Jamaican Blue Mountain coffee farms. *Conservation biology*, 22(5), 1177-1185.
- Khin, A. A., Mohamed, Z., and Hameed, A. (2012). The Impact of the Changes of the World Crude Oil Prices on the Natural Rubber Industry in Malaysia. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 20(5), 730-737.
- Koh, L. P., and Menge, D. N. (2006). Rapid assessment of lepidoptera predation rates in neotropical forest fragments 1. *Biotropica: The Journal of Biology and Conservation*, 38(1), 132-134.
- Koh, L. P., and Wilcove, D. S. (2007). Cashing in palm oil for conservation. *Nature*, 448(7157), 993.
- Koh, L. P. (2008). Can oil palm plantations be made more hospitable for forest butterflies and birds?. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45(4), 1002-1009.
- Koh, L. P., and Ghazoul, J. (2008). Biofuels, biodiversity, and people: understanding the conflicts and finding opportunities. *Biological conservation*, 141(10), 2450-2460.
- Korhonen, L., Korhonen, K. T., Rautiainen, M., and Stenberg, P. (2006). Estimation of forest canopy cover: a comparison of field measurement technique. *Silva Fennica Research Articles*. 40(4), 577-588
- Krebs, C. J. (1989). *Ecological methodology* (No. QH541. 15. S72. K74 1999.). New York: Harper and Row.
- Kross, S. M., Bourbour, R. P., and Martinico, B. L. (2016). Agricultural land-use, barn owl diet, and vertebrate pest control implications. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 223, 167-174.

- Labuschagne, L., Swanepoel, L. H., Taylor, P. J., Belmain, S. R., and Keith, M. (2016). Are avian predators effective biological control agents for rodent pest management in agricultural systems?. *Biological Control*, 101, 94-102.
- Landis, D. A., Wratten, S. D., and Gurr, G. M. (2000). Habitat management to conserve natural enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. *Annual review of entomology*, 45(1), 175-201.
- Landis, D. A. (2017). Designing agricultural landscapes for biodiversity-based ecosystem services. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 18, 1–12.
- Laurance, W. F. (2004). The perils of payoff: corruption as a threat to global biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 19(8), 399-401.
- Laurance, W. F. (2007). Have we overstated the tropical biodiversity crisis?. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 22(2), 65-70.
- Laurance, W. F., Sayer, J., and Cassman, K. G. (2014). Agricultural expansion and its impacts on tropical nature. *Trends in ecology and evolution*, 29(2), 107-116.
- Le Roux, X., Barbault, R., Baudry, J., Burel, F., Doussan, I., Garnier, E., and Sarthou, J. P. (2008). Agriculture and biodiversity: benefiting from synergies. Multidisciplinary Scientific Assessment. Synthesis Report, INRA (France).
- Lemessa, D., Hambäck, P. A., and Hylander, K. (2015). Arthropod but not bird predation in Ethiopian home gardens is higher in tree-poor than in tree-rich landscapes. *PloS ONE*, 10(5), e0126639.
- Li, H., Aide, T. M., Ma, Y., Liu, W., and Cao, M. (2006). Demand for rubber is causing the loss of high diversity rain forest in SW China. In *Plant Conservation and Biodiversity* (pp. 157-171). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Li, S., Zou, F., Zhang, Q., and Sheldon, F. H. (2013). Species richness and guild composition in rubber plantations compared to secondary forest on Hainan Island, China. *Agroforestry systems*, 87(5), 1117-1128.
- Lian, Z., and Yu, G. (2000). Edge effect and biodiversity. *Chinese Biodiversity*, 8(1), 120-125.
- Lima, S. L. (1992). Strong preferences for apparently dangerous habitats? A consequence of differential escape from predators. *Oikos*, 597-600.
- Lindgren, J., Lindborg, R., and Cousins, S. A. (2018). Local conditions in small habitats and surrounding landscape are important for pollination services, biological pest control, and seed predation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 251, 107-113.
- Lindig-Cisneros, R., Dirzo, R. and Espinosa-García, F.J. (2002) Effects of domestication and agronomic selection on phytoalexin antifungal defense in Phaseolus beans. *Ecological Research*, 17, 315–321.

- Loiselle, B. A., and Farji-Brener, A. G. (2002). What's Up? An Experimental Comparison of Predation Levels between Canopy and Understory in a Tropical Wet Forest. *Biotropica*, 34(2), 327-331.
- Low, P. A., Sam, K., McArthur, C., Posa, M. R. C., and Hochuli, D. F. (2014). Determining predator identity from attack marks left in model caterpillars: guidelines for best practice. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 152(2), 120-126.
- Lucey, J. M., and Hill, J. K. (2012). Spillover of insects from rain forest into adjacent oil palm plantations. *Biotropica*, 44(3), 368-377.
- Lucey, J. M., Tawatao, N., Senior, M. J., Chey, V. K., Benedick, S., Hamer, K. C., and Hill, J. K. (2014). Tropical forest fragments contribute to species richness in adjacent oil palm plantations. *Biological conservation*, 169, 268-276.
- Lundberg, J., and Moberg, F. (2003). Mobile link organisms and ecosystem functioning: implications for ecosystem resilience and management. *Ecosystems*, 6(1), 0087-0098.
- Maas, B., Clough, Y., and Tschardtke, T. (2013). Bats and birds increase crop yield in tropical agroforestry landscapes. *Ecology Letters*, 16(12), 1480-1487.
- Maas, B., Tschardtke, T., Saleh, S., Dwi Putra, D., and Clough, Y. (2015). Avian species identity drives predation success in tropical cacao agroforestry. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(3), 735-743.
- Magagnoli, S., Masetti, A., Depalo, L., Sommaggio, D., Campanelli, G., Leteo, F., Lövei, G. L. and Burgio, G. (2018). Cover crop termination techniques affect ground predation within an organic vegetable rotation system: A test with artificial caterpillars. *Biological Control*, 117, 109-114.
- Magura, T. (2002). Carabids and forest edge: spatial pattern and edge effect. *Forest Ecology and management*, 157(1-3), 23-37.
- Malaysian Palm Oil Board (2017). Economic and statistic. [Accessed 5 April 2018].
- Malaysian Rubber Board (2018). Natural rubber statistic. [Accessed 10 August 2019].
- Martin, T. E. (1987). Artificial nest experiments: effects of nest appearance and type of predator. *The Condor*, 89(4), 925-928.
- Martin, E.A., Reineking, B., Seo, B. and Steffan-Dewenter, I. (2013). Natural enemy interactions constrain pest control in complex agricultural landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(4), 5534-5539.
- Marquis, R. J., and Whelan, C. J. (1994). Insectivorous birds increase growth of white oak through consumption of leaf-chewing insects. *Ecology*, 75(7), 2007-2014.

- Mason, P. G., De Clercq, P., Heimpel, G. E., and Kenis, M. (2008). Attributes of biological control agents against arthropods: what are we looking for?. In 3rd International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods (ISBCA 3) (pp. 385-392). USDA Forest Service.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). *Ecosystem and Human-being: A Framework for Assessment*. World Resources Institute. Washington.
- Milligan, M. C., Johnson, M. D., Garfinkel, M., Smith C. J., and Njoroge, P. (2016). Quantifying pest control services by birds and ants in Kenyan coffee farms. *Biological Conservation*, 194, 58-65.
- Mitchell, M. G., Bennett, E. M., and Gonzalez, A. (2013). Linking landscape connectivity and ecosystem service provision: current knowledge and research gaps. *Ecosystems*, 16(5), 894-908.
- Mooney, K. A., and Linhart, Y. B. (2006). Contrasting cascades: insectivorous birds increase pine but not parasitic mistletoe growth. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 75(2), 350-357.
- Mooney, K. A., Gruner, D. S., Barber, N. A., Van Bael, S. A., Philpott, S. M., and Greenberg, R. (2010). Interactions among predators and the cascading effects of vertebrate insectivores on arthropod communities and plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(16), 7335-7340.
- Morrison, M. L., Block, W. M., Strickland, M. D., Collier, B. A., and Peterson, M. J. (2008). *Wildlife study design*. Springer Science and Business Media.
- Morrison, E. B., and Lindell, C. A. (2012). Birds and bats reduce insect biomass and leaf damage in tropical forest restoration sites. *Ecological Applications*, 22(5), 1526-1534.
- Morrison, M. L., Marcot, B., and Mannan, W. (2012). *Wildlife-habitat relationships: concepts and applications*. Island Press.
- Morse, D. H. (1971). The insectivorous bird as an adaptive strategy. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 2(1), 177-200.
- Murphy, D. J. (2014). The future of oil palm as a major global crop: opportunities and challenges. *Journal Oil Palm Research*, 26(1), 1-24.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., and Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403(6772), 853.
- Naeem, S., and Li, S. (1997). Biodiversity enhances ecosystem reliability. *Nature*, 390 (6659), 507.
- Nair, K. S. S. (2001). Pest outbreaks in tropical forest plantations. Is there a greater risk for exotic tree species?

- Nair, K. P. (2010). *The agronomy and economy of important tree crops of the developing world*. Elsevier.
- Nakagawa, M., Miguchi, H., and Nakashizuka, T. (2006). The effects of various forest uses on small mammal communities in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 231(1-3), 55-62.
- Nepstad, D. C., Verssimo, A., Alencar, A., Nobre, C., Lima, E., Lefebvre, P., and Cochrane, M. (1999). Large-scale impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire. *Nature*, 398(6727), 505.
- Nurdiansyah, F., Denmead, L. H., Clough, Y., Wiegand, K., and Tschardt, T. (2016). Biological control in Indonesian oil palm potentially enhanced by landscape context. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 232, 141-149.
- Noor, M. M. (2003). Zero burning techniques in oil palm cultivation: an economic perspective. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 3, 16-24.
- Oehlschlager, A. C. (2005). Current status of trapping palm weevils and beetles. *The Planter*, 81(947), 123-143.
- Paletto, A., and Tosi, V. (2009). Forest canopy cover and canopy closure: comparison of assessment techniques. *European Journal of Forest Research*, 128(3), 265-272.
- Peh, K. S. H., Sodhi, N. S., De Jong, J., Sekercioglu, C. H., Yap, C. A. M., and Lim, S. L. H. (2006). Conservation value of degraded habitats for forest birds in southern Peninsular Malaysia. *Diversity and Distributions*, 12(5), 572-581.
- Penariol, L. V., and Madi-Ravazzi, L. (2013). Edge-interior differences in the species richness and abundance of drosophilids in a semideciduous forest fragment. *SpringerPlus*, 2(1), 114.
- Phalan, B., Bertzy, M., Butchart, S. H., Donald, P. F., Scharlemann, J. P., Stattersfield, A. J., and Balmford, A. (2013). Crop expansion and conservation priorities in tropical countries. *PLoS one*, 8(1), e51759.
- Philip, M. S. (1994). *Measuring trees and forests*. CAB international.
- Phommexay, P., Satasook, C., Bates, P., Pearch, M., and Bumrungsri, S. (2011). The impact of rubber plantations on the diversity and activity of understorey insectivorous bats in southern Thailand. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 20(7), 1441-1456.
- Posa, M. R. C., Sodhi, N. S., and Koh, L. P. (2007). Predation on artificial nests and caterpillar models across a disturbance gradient in Subic Bay, Philippines. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 23(1), 27-33.
- Powell, J. A. (2009). Lepidoptera: moths, butterflies. In *Encyclopedia of insects* (pp. 559-587). Academic Press.

- Prabowo, W. E., Darras, K., Clough, Y., Toledo-Hernandez, M., Arlettaz, R., Mulyani, Y. A., and Tschardtke, T. (2016). Bird responses to lowland rainforest conversion in Sumatran smallholder landscapes, Indonesia. *PloS one*, 11(5), e0154876.
- Pulliam, H. R. (2000). On the relationship between niche and distribution. *Ecology Letters*, 3, 349– 361.
- Ramankutty, N., Foley, J. A., and Olejniczak, N. J. (2002). People on the land: Changes in global population and croplands during the 20th century. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 31(3), 251-258.
- Ramírez, P. A., and Simonetti, J. A. (2011). Conservation opportunities in commercial plantations: the case of mammals. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 19(6), 351-355.
- Rangen, S. A., Clark, R. G., and Hobson, K. A. (2000). Visual and olfactory attributes of artificial nests. *The Auk*, 117(1), 136-146.
- Rao, M. R., Singh, M. P., and Day, R. (2000). Insect pest problems in tropical agroforestry systems: Contributory factors and strategies for management. *Agroforestry Systems*, 50(3), 243-277.
- Ratnasingham, J., Ioras, F., and Wenming, L. (2011). Sustainability of the rubberwood sector in Malaysia. *Notulae Botanicae Horticulture Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, 39(2), 305-311.
- Reddy, P. V. R., Gundappa, B., and Chakravarthy, A. K. (2018). Pests of mango. In *Pests and Their Management* (pp. 415-440). Springer, Singapore.
- Richards, L. A., and Coley, P. D. (2007). Seasonal and habitat differences affect the impact of food and predation on herbivores: a comparison between gaps and understory of a tropical forest. *Oikos*, 116(1), 31-40.
- Ries, L., Fletcher Jr, R. J., Battin, J., and Sisk, T. D. (2004). Ecological responses to habitat edges: mechanisms, models, and variability explained. *Annual Review Ecology Evolution. System*, 35, 491-522.
- Ripper, W. E. (1956). Effect of pesticides on balance of arthropod populations. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 1(1), 403-438.
- Rist, L., Feintrenie, L., and Levang, P. (2010). The livelihood impacts of oil palm: smallholders in Indonesia. *Biodiversity and conservation*, 19(4), 1009-1024.
- Roels, S. M., Porter, J. L., and Lindell, C. A. (2018). Predation pressure by birds and arthropods on herbivorous insects affected by tropical forest restoration strategy. *Restoration Ecology*, 26(6), 1203-1211.
- Romero, A., Chamorro, L., and Sans, F. X. (2008). Weed diversity in crop edges and inner fields of organic and conventional dryland winter cereal crops in NE Spain. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 124(1-2), 97-104.

- Rosenthal, J. P., and Dirzo, R. (1997). Effects of life history, domestication and agronomic selection on plant defence against insects: evidence from maizes and wild relatives. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 11(3), 337-355.
- Roslin, T., Hardwick, B., Novotny, V., Petry, W. K., Andrew, N. R., Asmus, A., and Cameron, E. K. (2017). Higher predation risk for insect prey at low latitudes and elevations. *Science*, 356(6339), 742-744.
- Rusch, A., Valantin-Morison, M., Sarthou, J. P., and Roger-Estrade, J. (2010). Biological control of insect pests in agroecosystems: effects of crop management, farming systems, and seminatural habitats at the landscape scale: a review. In *Advances in agronomy* (Vol. 109, pp. 219-259). Academic Press.
- Rusch, A., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Gardiner, M. M., Hawro, V., Holland, J., Landis, D., and Woltz, M. (2016). Agricultural landscape simplification reduces natural pest control: A quantitative synthesis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 221, 198-204.
- Salma, I., Masrom, A. M., and Raziah, M. L. (2006). Diversity and use of traditional fruit species in selected home gardens or fruit orchards in Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science*, 34(1), 149.
- Sam, K., Koane, B., Jeppy, S., and Novotny, V. (2014). Effect of forest fragmentation on bird species richness in Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 85(2), 152-167.
- Sam, K., Koane, B., and Novotny, V. (2015). Herbivore damage increases avian and ant predation of caterpillars on trees along a complete elevational forest gradient in Papua New Guinea. *Ecography*, 38(3), 293-300.
- Schroth, G., Izac, A. M. N., Vasconcelos, H. L., Gascon, C., da Fonseca, G. A., and Harvey, C. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Agroforestry and biodiversity conservation in tropical landscapes*. Island Press.
- Seifert, C. L., Lehner, L., Adams, M. O., and Fiedler, K. (2015). Predation on artificial caterpillars is higher in the countryside than near-natural forest habitat in lowland south-western Costa Rica. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 31(3), 281-284.
- Seifert, C. L., Schulze, C. H., Dreschke, T. C., Frötscher, H., and Fiedler, K. (2016). Day vs. night predation on artificial caterpillars in primary rainforest habitats—an experimental approach. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 158(1), 54-59.
- Sekercioglu, C. H. (2010). Ecosystem functions and services. *Conservation biology for all*, 2010, 45-72.
- Sekercioglu, C. H. (2012). Bird functional diversity and ecosystem services in tropical forests, agroforests and agricultural areas. *Journal of Ornithology*, 153(1), 153-161.
- Senior, M. J., Hamer, K., C., Bottrell, S., Edwards, D. P., Fayle, T. M., Lucey, J. M. and Stewart, C. (2013). Trait-dependent declines of species following conversion of rain forest to oil palm plantations. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22, 253-268.

- Shafie, N. J., Sah, S. A. M., Latip, N. S. A., Azman, N. M., and Khairuddin, N. L. (2011). Diversity pattern of bats at two contrasting habitat types along Kerian River, Perak, Malaysia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*, 22(2), 13.
- Sharifuddin, H. A. H., and Zaharah, A. R. (1991). Utilization of organic wastes and natural systems in Malaysian agriculture. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Kyusel Nature Farming*. US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, USA (pp. 71-78).
- Shigematsu, A., Mizoue, N., Kajisa, T., and Yoshida, S. (2011). Importance of rubberwood in wood export of Malaysia and Thailand. *New Forests*, 41(2), 179-189.
- Simon, S., Lauri, P. E., Brun, L., Defrance, H., and Sauphanor, B. (2006). Does manipulation of fruit-tree architecture affect the development of pests and pathogens? A case study in an organic apple orchard. *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, 81(4), 765-773.
- Simon, S., Bouvier, J. C., Debras, J. F., and Sauphanor, B. (2011). Biodiversity and pest management in orchard systems. In *Sustainable Agriculture Volume 2* (pp. 693-709). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Simon, S., Lesueur-Jannoyer, M., Plénet, D., Lauri, P. É., and Le Bellec, F. (2017). Methodology to design agroecological orchards: Learnings from on-station and on-farm experiences. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 82, 320-330.
- Slade N.A. and Crain S. (2006). Impact on rodents of mowing strips in old fields of Eastern Kansas. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 87, 97-101.
- Smith, T.M., Smith, R.L. and Waters, I. (2012). *Elements of Ecology*, 6th ed. San Francisco, CA: Benjamin Cummings.
- Snyder, W. E. (2019). Give predators a complement: Conserving natural enemy biodiversity to improve biocontrol. *Biological Control*, 135(January), 73-82.
- Sodhi, N. S., Koh, L. P., Brook, B. W., and Ng, P. K. (2004). Southeast Asian biodiversity: an impending disaster. *Trends in ecology and evolution*, 19(12), 654-660.
- Spear, D. M., Foster, W. A., Advento, A. D., Naim, M., Caliman, J. P., Luke, S. H., and Turner, E. C. (2018). Simplifying understory complexity in oil palm plantations is associated with a reduction in the density of a cleptoparasitic spider, *Argyrodes miniaceus* (Araneae: Theridiidae), in host (Araneae: Nephilinae) webs. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(3), 1595-1603.
- Srinivas, A., and Koh, L. P. (2016). Oil palm expansion drives avifaunal decline in the Pucallpa region of Peruvian Amazonia. *Global ecology and conservation*, 7, 183-200.

- Srivathsa, A., Puri, M., Kumar, N. S., Jathanna, D., and Karanth, K. U. (2018). Substituting space for time: Empirical evaluation of spatial replication as a surrogate for temporal replication in occupancy modelling. *Journal of applied ecology*, 55(2), 754-765.
- Stenseth, N. C., Leirs, H., Skonhøft, A., Davis, S. A., Pech, R. P., Andreassen, H. P., and Zhang, Z. (2003). Mice, rats, and people: the bio-economics of agricultural rodent pests. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 1(7), 367-375.
- Strayer, D. L., Power, M. E., Fagan, W. F., Pickett, S. T., and Belnap, J. (2003). A classification of ecological boundaries. *BioScience*, 53(8), 723-729.
- Stukenbrock, E. H., and McDonald, B. A. (2008). The origins of plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems. *Annual Review. Phytopathology.*, 46, 75-100.
- Sujan, A., Lim, T. M., and Leong, C. P. (1985). Thermal fogging of pesticides against flower and leaf pests on rubber in Peninsular Malaysia. *Planters' Bulletin Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia*.
- Sumathi, S., Chai, S. P., and Mohamed, A. R. (2008). Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 12(9), 2404-2421.
- Syafiq, M., Atiqah, A. R. N., Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., Yahya, M. S., Aziz, N., and Azhar, B. (2016). Responses of tropical fruit bats to monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings. *Acta ecologica*, 74, 11-18.
- Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M. C., Schwager, M., and Jeltsch, F. (2004). Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures. *Journal of biogeography*, 31(1), 79-92.
- The Economics of Ecosystem and Biodiversity (2013). *Ecosystem Services*. [Accessed 9 December 2018].
- Tho, Y. P. (1974). The termite problem in plantation forestry in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester*, 37(4), 278-283.
- Tichý, L. (2016). Field test of canopy cover estimation by hemispherical photographs taken with a smartphone. *Journal of vegetation science*, 27(2), 427-435.
- Tilman, D (1996). Biodiversity: population versus ecosystem stability. *Ecology*, 77(2), 350-363.
- Tilman, D. (1997). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. In G. C., Daily ed. *Nature's Services: societal dependence on natural ecosystem*, pp.93-112. Island Press, Washington DC.
- Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Wolff, B., D'antonio, C., Dobson, A., Howarth, R., and Swackhamer, D. (2001). Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science*, 292(5515), 281-284.

- Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., and Befort, B. L. (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50), 20260-20264.
- Tscharntke, T., Klein, A. M., Kruess, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., and Thies, C. (2005). Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity–ecosystem service management. *Ecology letters*, 8(8), 857-874.
- Tscharntke, T., Bommarco, R., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Kleijn, D., Rand, T.A., Tylianakis, J. M., Nouhuys, S.V., and Vidal, S. (2007). Conservation biological control and enemy diversity on a landscape scale. *Biological Control*, 43, 294-309.
- Tscharntke, T., Tylianakis, J. M., Rand, T. A., Didham, R. K., Fahrig, L., Batáry, P., Bengtsson, J., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Dormann, C.F. and Ewers, R. M. (2012). Landscape moderation of biodiversity patterns and processes-eight hypotheses. *Biological reviews*, 87(3), 661-685.
- Tohiran, K. A., Nobilly, F., Zulkifli, R., Maxwell, T., Moslim, R., and Azhar, B. (2017). Targeted cattle grazing as an alternative to herbicides for controlling weeds in bird-friendly oil palm plantations. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 37(6), 62.
- Torres, J. B., and Bueno, A. D. F. (2018). Conservation biological control using selective insecticides—a valuable tool for IPM. *Biological Control*, 126, 53-64.
- Tvardikova, K., and Novotny, V. (2012). Predation on exposed and leaf-rolling artificial caterpillars in tropical forests of Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 28(4), 331-341.
- Van Driesche, R., and Hoddle, M. (2009). *Control of pests and weeds by natural enemies: an introduction to biological control*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Warren-Thomas, E., Dolman, P. M., and Edwards, D. P. (2015). Increasing demand for natural rubber necessitates a robust sustainability initiative to mitigate impacts on tropical biodiversity. *Conservation Letters*, 8(4), 230-241.
- Weiss, M. R., Wilson, E. E., and Castellanos, I. (2004). Predatory wasps learn to overcome the shelter defences of their larval prey. *Animal Behaviour*, 68(1), 45-54.
- Whelan, C. J., Wenny, D. G., and Marquis, R. J. (2008). Ecosystem services provided by birds. *Annals of the New York academy of sciences*, 1134(1), 25-60.
- Wilcove, D. S. (1985). Nest predation in forest tracts and the decline of migratory songbirds. *Ecology*, 66(4), 1211-1214.
- Willink, E., and Moore, D. (1988). Aspects of the biology of *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), a pest of fruit crops in West Africa, and one of its primary parasitoids, *Gyranusoides tebygi* Noyes (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 78(4), 709-715.

- Wilmers, C. C., Post, E., Peterson, R. O., and Vucetich, J. A. (2006). Predator disease out-break modulates top-down, bottom-up and climatic effects on herbivore population dynamics. *Ecology letters*, 9(4), 383-389.
- Wilson, R. J., and Maclean, I. M. (2011). Recent evidence for the climate change threat to Lepidoptera and other insects. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 15(1-2), 259-268.
- Wood, B. J., and Nesbit, D. P. (1969). Caterpillar outbreaks on oil palms in eastern Sabah. *Planter*, Kuala Lumpur, 45(518), 285-299.
- Wood, B. J. (1971). Development of integrated control programs for pests of tropical perennial crops in Malaysia. In *Biological control* (pp. 422-457). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Wood, B. J. (2002). Pest control in Malaysia's perennial crops: a half century perspective tracking the pathway to integrated pest management. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews*, 7(3), 173.
- Wratten, S. D. (2008). Conservation biological control and biopesticides in agricultural.
- Xu, J., Grumbine, R. E., and Beckschäfer, P. (2014). Landscape transformation through the use of ecological and socioeconomic indicators in Xishuangbanna, Southwest China, Mekong Region. *Ecological Indicators*, 36, 749-756.
- Yadav, I. C., Devi, N. L., Syed, J. H., Cheng, Z., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Jones, K. C. (2015). Current status of persistent organic pesticides residues in air, water, and soil, and their possible effect on neighboring countries: a comprehensive review of India. *Science of the Total Environment*, 511, 123-137.
- Yahner, R. H. (1988). Changes in wildlife communities near edges. *Conservation biology*, 2(4), 333-339.
- Yahya, M. S., Syafiq, M., Ashton-Butt, A., Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., and Azhar, B. (2017). Switching from monoculture to polyculture farming benefits birds in oil palm production landscapes: Evidence from mist netting data. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(16), 6314-6325.
- Yang, L. H., and Gratton, C. (2014). Insects as drivers of ecosystem processes. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 2, 26-32.
- Yue, S., Brodie, J. F., Zipkin, E. F., and Bernard, H. (2015). Oil palm plantations fail to support mammal diversity. *Ecological Applications*, 25(8), 2285-2292.
- Zurita, G., Pe'er, G., Bellocq, M. I., and Hansbauer, M. M. (2012). Edge effects and their influence on habitat suitability calculations: a continuous approach applied to birds of the Atlantic forest. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49(2), 503-512.