



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***SYNTHESIS AND CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF SORAFENIB- AND
5-FLUOROURACIL-LOADED CHITOSAN, GRAPHENE-OXIDE AND
FOLIC-ACID BASED NANOCARRIERS FOR LIVER AND COLON
CANCER***

UMME RUMAN

ITMA 2021 3



SYNTHESIS AND CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF SORAFENIB- AND 5-FLUOROURACIL-LOADED CHITOSAN, GRAPHENE-OXIDE AND FOLIC-ACID BASED NANOCARRIERS FOR LIVER AND COLON CANCER

By

UMME RUMAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

May 2021

All materials contained within the thesis, including text, figures, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for noncommercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

SYNTHESIS AND CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF SORAFENIB- AND 5-FLUOROURACIL-LOADED CHITOSAN, GRAPHENE-OXIDE AND FOLIC-ACID BASED NANOCARRIERS FOR LIVER AND COLON CANCER

By

UMME RUMAN

May 2021

Chairman : Prof. Mohd Zobir Hussein
Institute : Advanced Technology

Nanocarriers-based drug delivery systems have become the new option for treating cancer due to their negligible side effect. Sorafenib (SF) and 5-Fluorouracil (5FU) drugs have severe side effects on the human body. Therefore, new nanocarriers-based drug delivery systems should be implemented to load these drugs. In this study, SF and 5-FU-loaded chitosan nanocarriers with and without graphene oxide (GO) and folic acid (FA) were synthesized to evaluate the anticancer activity on human liver cancer (HepG2) and colon cancer (HT29) cells. All the nanocarriers were prepared by the ionotropic gelation method where drugs were entrapped with chitosan and chitosan/graphene-oxide composite via cross-linking with sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP). The nanocarriers were found uniform size with efficient drug loading and encapsulation. Chitosan nanoparticles (CS NPs) loaded with SF drug (SF-CS-SF NPs) was found 76 nm while folate conjugated SF loaded chitosan NPs (SF-CS-SF-FA NPs) was found 82 nm. Besides, SF and 5-FU loaded CS NPs (SF/5FU-CS-SF NPs) were found 78 nm and FA conjugated SF/5FU loaded CS NPs (SF/5FU-CS-SF-FA NPs) was found 142 nm. Moreover, the GO/CS composite based SF loaded (GO-CS-SF) was found 122 nm and folate conjugated GO/CS composite based SF loaded nanocomposite (GO-CS-SF-FA) was found 164 nm. All the nanoparticles' encapsulation efficiency was found to be 70-80% while nanocomposites encapsulation efficiency was found 80-90%. XRD and FTIR evaluation found the amorphous structure and the chemical bond formation of the nanocarriers, respectively. The in vitro release study showed the sustained release of the drugs from all the nanocarrier systems. The nanocomposites were found slightly slow release compared to nanoparticles. Overall, most of the drug (90%-100%) release was achieved within 120 hours for all samples. The cytotoxicity study revealed better anticancer activity compared to the free drugs alone against human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and human colorectal carcinoma (HT29) cells. The IC₅₀ value for pristine drugs is higher than nanocarriers. Moreover, all the nanocarriers have shown no toxicity to normal fibroblast human dermal fibroblast adult cells (HDFa). This is towards the new generation of drug delivery systems of tailor-made properties with better efficacy and accuracy.

Key words: Sorafenib, 5-Fluorouracil, Folic Acid, Chitosan Nanoparticles, Graphene oxide, nanoparticles, nanocomposite, drug delivery, therapeutic, HepG2, HT29 and HDFa cell lines.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**SINTESIS DAN PENILAIAN KETOSIKAN SITO BAGI NANOPEMBAWA
BERDASARKAN SORAFENIB- DAN 5-FLUOROURACIL-TERMUAT
CHITOSAN, GRAFIN OKSIDA DAN ASID FOLIK UNTUK KANSER HATI
DAN KOLON**

Oleh

UMME RUMAN

Mei 2021

Pengerusi : Prof. Mohd Zobir Hussein, PhD
Institut : Teknologi Maju

Sistem penyampaian ubat berasaskan pembawa nano telah menjadi pilihan baru untuk merawat kanser kerana kesan sampingannya yang boleh diabaikan. Ubat-ubatan, Sorafenib (SF) dan 5- Fluorouracil (5FU) mempunyai kesan sampingan yang teruk pada tubuh manusia. Oleh itu, sistem penyampaian ubat berasaskan pembawa nano baru perlu dilaksanakan untuk memuatkan ubat-ubatan ini. Dalam kajian ini, SF dan 5-FU-dimuatkan di atas pembawa nanokitosan dengan/dan tanpa grafin oksida (GO) dan asid folik (FA) dan telah disintesis untuk menilai aktiviti antikansernya pada sel-sel kanser hati manusia (HepG2) dan kanser kolon (HT29). Kesemua pembawa nano telah disediakan dengan kaedah gelasi ionotropik, di mana ubat-ubatan telah terperangkap dengan komposit kitosan dan kitosan / grafin-oksida melalui hubungan silang dengan natrium tripolyphosphate (TPP). Penyampain nano yang telah disintesis didapati berukuran seragam dengan muatan dan enkapsulasi ubat yang cekap. Nanopartikel kitosan (CS NPs) yang dimuatkan dengan ubat SF (SF- CS-SF NPs) didapati bersaiz 76 nm sementara NPs kitosan SF konjugasi folat (NFs SF-CS- SF-FA) didapati bersaiz 82 nm. Selain itu, SF dan 5-FU dimuatkan CS NPs (SF / 5FU-CS-SFNPs) didapati bersaiz 78 nm dan FA konjugasi SF/5FU CS NPs dimuatkan (SF / 5FU-CS- SF-FA NPs) didapati bersaiz 142 nm. Lebih-lebih lagi, SF yang dimuatkan komposit GO/CS(GO-CS-SF) didapati bersaiz 122 nm dan komposit folat konjugasi GO/CS berasaskan SF dimuatkan (GO-CS-SF-FA) nanokomposit didapati bersaiz 164 nm. Semua kecekapan enkapsulasi nanopartikel didapati disekitar 70- 80% manakala kecekapan enkapsulasi nanokompositnya didapati disekitar 80-90%. Penilaian XRD dan FTIR, masing-masing mendapati struktur amorfus dan pembentukan ikatan kimia pembawa nano. Kajian pelepasan in vitro menunjukkan pelepasan ubat yang berterusan dari semua sistem pembawa nano. Bagi nanokomposit, didapati pelepasan sedikit perlahan berbanding dengan nanopartikel. Secara keseluruhan, majoriti pelepasan ubat (90 - 100%) dicapai dalam masa 120 jam untuk semua sampel. Kajian sitotoksiti menunjukkan aktiviti antikanser yang lebih baik bagi nanokomposit berbanding dengan ubat bebas terhadap sel-sel karsinoma hepatoselular manusia (HepG2) dan sel-sel karsinoma kolorektal manusia (HT29). Nilai IC50 untuk ubat-ubatan asli adalah lebih tinggi daripada

pembawa nano. Tambahan lagi, semua pembawa nano tidak menunjukkan toksik kepada sel-sel fibroblas dewasa manusia biasa (HDFa). Ini adalah ke arah generasi baru sistem penyampaian ubat dengan ciri yang boleh dilaras dengan keberkesanan dan ketepatan yang lebih baik.

Kata kunci: Sorafenib, 5-fluorouracil, Asid folik, Nanopartikel kitosan, Grafin oksida, nanopartikel, nanokomposit, system penyampai, terapeutik, sel HepG2, HT29 dan HDFa.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah the almighty for his blessings upon the completion of this study. From him this knowledge resides and through him it is shared.

My most sincere gratitude formerly goes to my most esteemed group of supervisors – Prof. Dr. Mohd Zobir Hussien, Prof. Datin Dr. Hajjah Sharida Fakurazi, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mas Jaffri Masaruddin. My special thank of gratitude goes to my supervisory committee especially the Chairman, Prof. Dr. Mohd Zobir Hussein, who gave me all the guidance and support that I needed during my study period. Thank you very much for all your guidance and advice, motivation and encouragements, counsel, and assistance throughout the entirety of my study period. I greatly acknowledged here for his contributions and supports. My special thanks to my co supervisors Prof. Datin Dr. Hajjah Sharida Fakurazi, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mas Jaffri Masaruddin for being my greatest critics and supervise towards the completion of this thesis.

My appreciation is extended to my dearest colleagues and lab mates in ITMA. Thank you for all your help of all these years in the MSCL lab. I also wish to extend my appreciation to friends who has their direct or indirect effort and contributions individually for to this study. Thank you everyone, for your support and love.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 May 2021 conduct the final examination of UMME RUMAN on her thesis entitled “SYNTHESIS AND CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF SORAFENIB- AND 5-FLUOROURACIL-LOADED CHITOSAN, GRAPHENE-OXIDE AND FOLIC-ACID BASED NANOCARRIERS FOR LIVER AND COLON CANCER” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Che Azurahaman binti Che Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Science

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Zulkarnain b Zainal, PhD

Professor ChM.

Faculty of Science

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

Maisara Abdul Kadir, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Science and Marine Environment

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Malaysia

(External Examiner)

ZURIATI AHMAD ZUKARNAIN, PhD

Professor Ts. and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Zobir bin Hussein, PhD

Professor,
Institute of Advanced Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Sharida binti Fakurazi, PhD

Professor Datin,
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mas Jaffri Masarudin, PhD

Associate Professor,
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work.
- quotations, illustrations, and citations have been duly referenced.
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions.
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012.
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012.
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Umme Ruman - GS51752

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision.
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Professor Dr. Mohd Zobir Hussein

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Professor Datin Dr. Sharida Fakurazi

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Mas Jaffri Masarudin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xxii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Nanomedicine	1
	1.3 Nanodrug Delivery Systems	2
	1.4 Problem Statement	2
	1.5 Hypothesis	3
	1.6 Scopes of Study	3
	1.7 Objectives of Study	4
	1.7.1 General Objectives	4
	1.7.2 Specific objectives	4
	1.8 Significance of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Nanocarrier-Based Therapeutics and Theranostics Drug Delivery Systems for Next Generation of Liver Cancer Nanodrug Modalities	6
	2.2 Nanocarriers in Therapeutic Drug Delivery of Liver Cancer	7
	2.3 Organic Nanocarriers for Therapeutics Applications in Liver Cancer	12
	2.3.1 Chitosan Nanoparticles	12
	2.3.2 Micelles	12
	2.3.3 Liposome	13
	2.3.4 Dendrimer	13
	2.3.5 Lipid Nanocarriers	14
	2.3.6 Organic Nanofiber	14
	2.4 Inorganic Nanocarriers for Therapeutics Applications in Liver Cancer	14
	2.4.1 Graphene Oxide-Based Nanocarrier	15
	2.4.2 Polylactic-Co-Glycolic Acid (PLGA) Nanoparticles	15
	2.4.3 Carbon Nanotubes	15
	2.4.4 Superparamagnetic Iron-Oxide Nanoparticles	16
	2.4.5 Nanoshells	16
	2.4.6 Inorganic Nanofiber	16
	2.5 Various Nanocarriers for Theranostic Drug Delivery for Liver Cancer	16
	2.5.1 Gadolinium as a Diagnostic Agent for Liver Cancer	18

2.5.2	Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles as a Diagnostic Agent for Liver Cancer	19
2.5.3	Quantum Dots as a Diagnostic Agent for Liver Cancer	20
2.6	Nanocarriers Interaction with Liver, Cellular Uptake, Biodistribution and Clearance	20
2.7	Targeting Approaches for Nanocarrier Based Drug Delivery in Liver Cancer	22
2.7.1	Strategies for Passive Targeting to Liver	23
2.7.2	Strategies for Active Targeting to Liver	25
2.8	The Design of Drug Delivery System for Liver Cancer, Its Clinical Success and Limitations	26
2.9	Recent Development of Smart Nanodelivery Systems for Colon Cancer	28
2.10	Nanocarrier-based therapeutic and diagnostic nanoplatforms for colorectal cancer.	29
2.10.1	Chitosan Nanoparticles	29
2.10.2	Poly(lactic-Co-Glycolic Acid) and polycaprolactone nanoparticles	30
2.10.3	Liposomes	31
2.10.4	Lipid Nanoparticles	31
2.10.5	Gold nanoparticles	31
2.10.6	Silica nanoparticles	32
2.10.7	Micelles	32
2.10.8	Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles	32
2.10.9	Graphene Oxide	33
2.10.10	Carbon Nanotubes	33
2.10.11	Dendrimer Nanocarriers	33
2.11	Summary	35
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
3.1	Materials	36
3.2	Preparations of Nanoparticles and Nanocomposites	36
3.2.1	Synthesis of Sorafenib-Loaded Chitosan nanoparticles	36
3.2.2	Synthesis of Folic Acid-Conjugated Chitosan Sorafenib nanoparticles	37
3.2.3	Synthesis of Sorafenib/5-Fluorouracil-loaded Chitosan nanoparticles	37
3.2.4	Synthesis of Folate-conjugated Sorafenib/ 5-Fluorouracil loaded Chitosan nanoparticles	37
3.2.5	Synthesis of Graphene Oxide	38
3.2.6	Synthesis of Sorafenib loaded Graphene oxide and Chitosan nanocomposite	38
3.2.7	Synthesis of Folate conjugated Sorafenib loaded Graphene oxide and Chitosan nanocomposite	38
3.3	Physio-Chemical Studies and Nano-Characterizations	39
3.3.1	Dynamic Light Scattering	39
3.3.2	Powder X-ray Diffraction	39
3.3.3	Transmission Electron Microscopy	39
3.3.4	Thermogravimetric/differential Analysis	39
3.3.5	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy	39
3.3.6	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy	40

3.3.7	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	40
3.3.8	Raman Spectroscopy	40
3.3.9	Therapeutics in Vitro Drug Release Study	40
3.3.10	Drug Content Determination	40
3.3.11	In Vitro Bioassay/MTT Cell Viability Assay	41
4	SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CHITOSAN-BASED NANODELIVERY SYSTEMS TO ENHANCE THE ANTICANCER EFFECT OF SORAFENIB DRUG IN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA AND COLORECTAL ADENOCARCINOMA CELL LINES	42
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Materials, Methods, and Characterizations	44
4.2.1	Materials	44
4.2.2	Synthesis of Sorafenib-Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles	44
4.2.3	Preparation of Folic Acid-Conjugated Chitosan Sorafenib Nanoparticles	45
4.2.4	Physico-chemical Characterization	46
4.2.5	Encapsulation Efficiency (EE%) and Loading Content (LC%)	47
4.2.6	In Vitro Drug Release Study	48
4.2.7	In Vitro Cell Viability Assay	48
4.3	Results and Discussion	49
4.3.1	Optimization of Particle Size, Poly Dispersity Index, Encapsulation and Loading Efficiency	49
4.3.2	Particle Size Distribution	53
4.3.3	X-Ray Diffraction	55
4.3.4	Surface Properties using Field Emission Scanning Electron Micrographs and Qualitative Elemental Analysis using Energy Dispersive X-Ray	56
4.3.5	High-Resolution Transmission Electron Micrograph	58
4.3.6	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	59
4.3.7	Thermogravimetric and Differential Thermogravimetric Analysis	61
4.3.8	In-Vitro Drug Release	64
4.3.9	Release Kinetics Study	66
4.3.10	In Vitro Cytotoxicity Studies	69
4.4	Summary	72
5	DUAL DRUGS SORAFENIB, AND 5-FLUOROURACIL LOADED CHITOSAN NANOPARTICLES WITH AND WITHOUT FOLATE CONJUGATION: SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION	74
5.1.	Introduction	74
5.2.	Materials, Methods, and Characterizations	76
5.2.1	Materials	76
5.2.2	Preparation of Sorafenib/5-Fluorouracil-loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles	77
5.2.3	Preparation of Folate-conjugated Sorafenib/5-Fluorouracil loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles.	77
5.2.4	Instrumentation/Characterization	79
5.2.5	Encapsulation Efficiency and Loading Content	79

5.2.6	In Vitro Drug Release	80
5.2.7	In Vitro Cytotoxicity Study	80
5.3.	Results and Discussion	81
5.3.1	Encapsulation Efficiency and Loading Content	81
5.3.2	Particle Size Analysis	81
5.3.3	Morphological studies using the High-resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy	82
5.3.4	Surface properties using the Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy	84
5.3.5	Qualitative Elemental Analysis using Energy Dispersive X-Ray	86
5.3.6	X-Ray Diffraction	87
5.3.7	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	88
5.3.8	Thermogravimetric and Differential Thermogravimetric Analysis	90
5.3.9	In Vitro Drug Release Study	94
5.3.10	Release Kinetics Study	96
5.3.11	In-Vitro Cytotoxicity Studies	100
5.4.	Summary	103

6	DEVELOPMENT AND CHARECTERIZATION OF SORAFENIB LOADED FOLIC ACID FUNCTIONALIZED CHITOSAN-GRAPHENE OXIDE BASED NANOCOMPOSITE DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS FOR LIVER AND COLON CANCER CELLS	104
6.1	Introduction	104
6.2	Materials, Methods, and Characterizations	106
6.2.1	Materials	106
6.2.2	Synthesis of Graphene Oxide	106
6.2.3	Synthesis of Sorafenib loaded Graphene oxide/Chitosan nanocomposite	106
6.2.4	Synthesis of Folic acid functionalized Sorafenib loaded Grapheneoxide/Chitosan nanocomposite	107
6.2.5	Physicochemical Characterization	108
6.2.6	Encapsulation Efficiency (EE%) and Loading Content (LC%)	108
6.2.7	In Vitro Drug Release Study	109
6.2.8	In Vitro Bioassay/MTT Cell Viability Assay	109
6.3	Results and Discussion	110
6.3.1	Synthesis of Sorafenib loaded graphene oxide/chitosan nanocomposite andfolic acid functionalized graphene oxide/chitosan nanocomposite	110
6.3.2	Percentages of Encapsulation Efficiency and Loading Content	111
6.3.3	Particle Size Analysis	112
6.3.4	High-resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy	113
6.3.5	Field Emission Scanning Electron Micrograph	115
6.3.6	Qualitative Elemental Analysis using Energy Dispersive X-Ray	117
6.3.7	X-Ray Diffraction	118
6.3.8	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	119

6.3.9	Raman Spectroscopy Analysis	121
6.3.10	Thermogravimetric and Differential Thermogravimetric Analysis	123
6.3.11	In-vitro Drug Release	125
6.3.12	In-vitro Drug Release Kinetics Study	126
6.3.13	In-Vitro Cytotoxicity Studies	128
6.4	Summary	132
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK	133
7.1	Conclusion	133
7.2	Recommendations for Future Work	134
	REFERENCES	135
	APPENDICES	162
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	166
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	167

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	List of Nanocarriers, Therapeutic and Diagnostic Agents for Liver Cancer	8
2.2	List of Theranostic Nanocarriers Delivery Agents for Liver Cancer	17
2.3	Clinical Trials of Colorectal Cancer Treatment using Nanomedicines for Therapy.	34
2.4	Clinical Trials of Colorectal Cancer Treatment using Nanomedicines for Diagnosis.	34
4.1	The effect of size, poly dispersity index, encapsulation efficiency (%) and loading content (%) on chitosan: Sorafenib ratios. Optimal parameters are shown in bold.	51
4.2	The effect of size, poly dispersity index, encapsulation efficiency (%) and loading content (%) of the SF-CS nanoparticles after coating them with folic acid for the formation of SF-CS-FA nanoparticles. Optimal parameters are shown in bold.	52
4.3	The percentages of LC and EE of SF-CS and SF-CS-FA NPs at the optimum amount of CS, SF, and FA.	53
4.4	Elemental compositions: atomic % and weight % of the nanoparticles obtained by the EDX analysis.	58
4.5	Correlation coefficient value (R^2) of kinetics release of SF from its SF-CS and SF-CS-FA nanoparticles into PBS solutions at pH 7.4 and 4.8 using the first-order, pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, Higuchi, Hixon-Cromwell, and Korsmeyer Peppas models and the pseudo-second-order rate constant, K_2 (mg/min).	68
4.6	The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) value for chitosan (CS), pristine sorafenib (SF), SF-CS, and SF-CS-FA nanoparticles tested on normal HDFa cells, HepG2 and HT29 cell lines.	72
5.1	The amount of loading content and encapsulation efficiency of the drugs in the SF/5FU-CS and SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles	81
5.2	Percentage composition (atomic and weight) of the nanoparticles obtained by EDX analysis.	86
5.3	Release Kinetics of 5FU and SF from SF/5FU-CS NPs before Folic acid (FA) coating	97

5.4	Release Kinetics of 5FU and SF from SF/5FU-CS-FANPs after Folic acid coating	97
5.5	The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC ₅₀) value for 5-Fluorouracil (5FU), Sorafenib (SF), Chitosan (CS), Sorafenib/5-fluorouracil drug nanoparticles (SF/5FU-CS), and folate–chitosan Sorafenib/5- fluorouracil drug nanoparticles (SF/5FU-CS-FA) samples tested on HDFa dermal fibroblast adult cell, HepG2 and HT 29 cell lines.	102
6.1	The loading content and encapsulation efficiency of GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites	112
6.2	Elemental composition of GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites.	118
6.3	FTIR bands of functional groups of GO, CS, free drug SF, FA, GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite.	120
6.4	Correlation coefficient (R ²) value of kinetics release from GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites at pH 7.4 and 4.8 using the first-order, pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, Higuchi, Hixon-Crowell and Korsmeyer Peppas models and the Pseudo-Second-Order Rate Constant (mg/min), K ₂ .	128
6.5	The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC ₅₀) value for chitosan (CS), pristine sorafenib (SF), GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites samples tested on normal HDFa dermal fibroblast adult cells, HepG2 and HT29 cell lines.	131

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Various nanocarriers for liver cancer targeted drug delivery applications.	8
2.2	Theranostic nanocarriers model	19
2.3	Nanocarriers uptake in liver cells.	21
2.4	Nanocarriers elimination in liver from the bloodstream.	22
2.5	Schematic diagram showing possible nanocarriers clearance.	23
2.6	Passive targeting of nanocarriers to the liver cells	24
2.7	Active targeting of nanocarriers to the liver cells.	25
2.8	Receptors present on liver cells for nanocarriers binding via active targeting to the liver cells.	26
2.9	Nanocarrier encapsulated liver cancer drug for drug delivery to the hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) orthotopic model.	27
2.10	Various nanocarriers for colon cancer drug delivery applications.	29
4.1	Schematic diagram of the synthesis steps of Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles.	45
4.2	Schematic diagram of the synthesis steps of folic acid-conjugated chitosan Sorafenib nanoparticles.	46
4.3	Formation of chitosan-folic acid conjugation through the amine group of chitosan and OH group of FA.	51
4.4	The effect of TPP (mg) on particle size (nm) and PDI index of SF-CS NPs.	52
4.5	Particles size distribution by the intensity and cumulative of A) Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF-CS) and B) folate-conjugated Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF-CS-FA).	54
4.6	XRD patterns of folic acid-coated chitosan-loaded Sorafenib nanoparticles (A), folic acid (B), Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (C), Chitosan (D), and the drug Sorafenib (E).	55

4.7	FESEM images and EDX spectrum of A) CS-NPs, B) SF-CS nanoparticles, C) SF-CS-FA nanoparticles.	57
4.8	HRTEM micrographs of A) Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF-CS) and B) folate-conjugated, Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF-CS-FA).	59
4.9	FTIR spectrum of SF (A), CS-NPs (B), SF-CS NPs(C), FA (D), SF-CS-FA NPs (E).	60
4.10	TGA/DTG thermograms of A) CS-NPs, B) SF, C) SF-CS, D) FA and E) SF-CS-FA.	62
4.11	Release profiles of SF from its A) SF-CS and B) SF-CS-FA nanoparticles at pH 7.4 and 4.8 buffer solutions.	65
4.12	The data fitting of SF releases from its SF-CS nanoparticles at pH 4.8 (A), pH 7.4 (B) and its SF-CS-FA nanoparticles at pH 4.8 (C), pH 7.4 (D) using the pseudo-second-order kinetics models.	67
4.13	Cytotoxicity assay of chitosan, pristine sorafenib, CS-sorafenib, and CS-sorafenib-folic acid nanoparticles against normal HDFa dermal fibroblast cells at 72 h. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were determined using the one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	69
4.14	Cytotoxicity assay of CS, SF, SF-CS, and SF-CS-FA nanoparticles against HepG2 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences ($p < 0.05$) * were determined using the one-way ANOVA followed by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	70
4.15	Cytotoxicity assay of CS, SF, SF-CS, and SF-CS-FA nanoparticles against HT29 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences ($p < 0.05$) * were determined among untreated HT29 using the one-way ANOVA followed by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	71
5.1	Schematic diagram of preparation steps of SF/5FU-CS NPs and SF/5FU-CS-FA NPs.	78
5.2	The conjugation of chitosan-folic acid conjugation through the amine group of chitosan and OH group of FA.	78
5.3	Particle Size distribution of (A) SF/5FU-CS nanoparticle, (B) SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticle.	82

5.4	TEM Images and Particles size distribution of A) SF/5FU-CS nanoparticles, and B) SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles	83
5.5	FESEM Images on conjunction with EDX spectrum of A) SF/5FU-CS NPs, B) SF/5FU-CS-FA NPs, and C) CS-nanoparticles	84
5.6	XRD patterns of folic acid-coated chitosan-loaded Sorafenib/5-Fluorouracil nanoparticle (A), Folic acid (B), Sorafenib/5-Fluorouracil loaded chitosan nanoparticle (C), Chitosan (D), Sorafenib (E) and (F) 5-Fluorouracil.	88
5.7	FTIR spectrum of (A) 5FU, (B) SF, (C) FA, (D) CS, (E) SF/5FU-CS-FA NPs, and (F) SF/5FU-CS NPs	90
5.8	Thermo-gravimetric and differential thermo-gravimetric (TGA/DTG) thermograms of A) SF/5FU-CS, B) SF/5FU-CS-FA, C) CS, D) FA, E) SF, F) 5FU.	92
5.9	Release of SF and 5FU from A) SF/5FU-CS NPs and B) SF/5FU-CS-FA NPs, respectively into PBS buffer solutions at pH 4.8 and pH7.4.	95
5.10	The release data fitting of SF from SF/5FU-CS nanoparticles at pH 7.4 and pH 4.8 using the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, and parabolic diffusion models.	98
5.11	The release data fitting of 5FU from SF/5FU-CS nanoparticles at pH 7.4 and pH 4.8 using the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, and parabolic diffusion models.	98
5.12	The release data fitting of 5FU from SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles at pH 7.4 and pH 4.8 using the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, and parabolic diffusion models.	99
5.13	The release data fitting of SF from SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles at pH 7.4 and pH 4.8 using the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetics, and parabolic diffusion models.	99
5.14	Cytotoxicity assay of 5FU, SF, CS, SF/5FU-CS, and SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles against human dermal fibroblast adult cells (HDFa) cells at 72 h. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were obtained using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	100

5.15	Cytotoxicity assay of 5FU, SF, CS, SF/5FU-CS, and SF/5FU-CS-FA against HepG2 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were by using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	101
5.16	Cytotoxicity assay of 5FU, SF, CS, SF/5FU-CS, and SF/5FU-CS-FA against HT29 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were by using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	102
6.1	Schematic diagram of the synthesis steps of GO-CS-SF nanocomposite and their passive targeting in the cancer cell.	107
6.2	Schematic diagram of the synthesis steps of GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite and their active targeting in the cancer cell.	108
6.3	Schematic representation steps of GO-CS and GO-CS-FA nanocomposites formation mechanism.	111
6.4	The hydrodynamic size distributions of (A) GO-CS-SF and (B) GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite.	112
6.5	HRTEM micrograph and particles size distribution of A) GO-CS-SF nanocomposites, B) folate-conjugated GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites, and HRTEM micrographs of C) Pure GO sheet, D) Pure CS NPs	114
6.6	FESEM images on conjunction with the EDX spectrum of A) GO-CS-SF and B) GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite and C) GO, D) CS-NPs.	116
6.7	XRD patterns of folic acid-coated chitosan-loaded Sorafenib nanocomposite (A), folic acid (B), Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanocomposite (C), chitosan (D), and Sorafenib (E).	119
6.8	FTIR spectrum of A) GO, B) CS, C) SF, D) GO-CS-SF, E) FA, F) GO-CS-SF-FA.	120
6.9	Raman spectrum of A) GO, B) CS, C) FA, D) SF, E) GO-CS-SF and F) GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites.	123
6.10	TGA/DTG Thermograms of A) GO, B) CS, C) SF, D) GO-CS-SF, E) FA and F) GO-CS-SF-FA.	124
6.11	Release of SF from A) GO-CS-SF and B) GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite at pH7.4 and 4.8 buffer solutions.	125

6.12	Pseudo-second-order kinetics fitting data of SF released from (A) GO-CS-SF nanocomposite, and (B) GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite at 7.4 and 4.8.	127
6.13	Cytotoxicity Study of CS, SF, GO-CS-SF nanocomposite, and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposite against normal HDFa dermal fibroblast adult cells at 72 h. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were determined by using the one-way ANOVA, followed by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	129
6.14	Cytotoxicity assay of CS, SF, GO-CS-SF nanocomposites, and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites against HepG2 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were determined by using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	130
6.15	Cytotoxicity assay of CS, SF, GO-CS-SF and GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites against HT29 cells at 72 h of incubation. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD of triplicates. The significant differences were determined by using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.	131

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DDS	Drug Delivery System
NPs	Nanoparticles
CS-NPs	Chitosan nanoparticles
CS	Chitosan
GO	Graphene Oxide
MDR	Multiple drug resistance
Rpm	Rounds per minute
SF	Sorafenib
5FU	5-Fluorouracil
FA	Folic Acid
STPP	Sodium Tripolyphosphate
PDI	Poly dispersity index
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
MTT	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
HCL	Hydrochloride
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction spectroscopy
FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
HRTEM	High Resolution Transmission electron microscopy
TGA/DTG	Thermo-Gravimetric and Differential Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis
RS	Raman spectroscopy
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
EPR	Enhanced Permeability and Retention
HepG2	Human liver hepatocellular carcinoma cells
HT 29	Human colorectal carcinoma cells
HDFa	Human dermal fibroblast adult cells
IC50	Half maximal inhibitory concentration
RPMI-1640	Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The term, “Nanotechnology” was first conceptualized by an American physicist name Richard Feynman back in 1959 [1]. Nanotechnology involves the discovery of novel materials and manufactures them for the development of nano systems, or nanostructured material for extensive use in the fields of science and research. This technology initializes the construction or reconstruction of nanomaterials at the molecular or atomic level. Nanomaterials exhibit great research and development potential in medical applications. Some of these applications include therapeutic, diagnosis, theranostic, biosensing, nanomedicine, and nanodrug delivery. Nanotechnology has transpired with therapeutics and theranostics nanocarrier-based drug delivery system (DDS) based on nanosized materials. The synthesis of new nanomaterials has led to the development of new drug delivery systems. These materials offer great excitement to scientists and researchers as they exhibit unique characteristics that are more superior to their bulk counterparts.

Nanomaterial-based DDS such as nanoparticles and nanocomposites can target the deadliest diseases like cancers, tuberculosis, etc. to deliver the therapeutics agents. For the sake of efficient drug transport, the nanostructured materials play a crucial role to deliver the drugs to the targeted sites. These nanocarriers DDS are effectively reducing the drug dosage with controlled/sustained release of the drug, resulting in less or no side effects [2].

1.2 Nanomedicine

The term "Nanomedicine" was first reported by Drexler et al. in 1991 [3]. Integration of nanotechnology towards the medical field has been considered as the implementation of nanomedicine. Nanomedicine is often exhibited as nanodrug delivery systems. The goal of nanomedicine is to develop sophisticated drug delivery strategies, effective drug delivery systems such as nanodrugs or nanodelivery systems [4]. The nanomedicine based nanodelivery systems (NDS) play an effective role to the delivery of therapeutics and theranostics agents such as drug, gene, imaging agents, etc., to improve pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profiles of therapeutics agents, enhance drug penetration and its biodistribution, optimize the efficacy of anti-cancer agents, target the specific disease site without affecting the normal cell or tissue [4-6].

1.3 Nanodrug Delivery Systems

Nanodrug delivery system (NDDS) usually refers to the nanomaterials or nanostructured materials-based delivery system to deliver therapeutic, diagnostic, or the combination of both, the so-called theranostic agents to the specific region of disease to overcome the toxicity of the agents [7]. The NDDS offers a potential opportunity to enable the stability, biodegradability, bioavailability, solubility, low toxicities of therapeutic agents [8]. NDDS gains attention in the last decade in the clinical phase most recently in the medical world. NDDS can transport and release drugs at the site of action over a long period of time by enhancing drug activity and preventing drug accumulation in normal cells, thus avoiding wastage of drugs, and killing of healthy cells. To treat cancer with low toxic systems is a big aspiration of the researchers. The NDDS encompasses the most important aspect in drug delivery by targeting the site-specific delivery of the drugs with unwanted side-effects. NDDS sometimes refers to a nanocarrier drug delivery system or the host of the therapeutics agent's delivery. In this work, chitosan and graphene oxide nanomaterials were used as the host to deliver Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil drugs to the liver and colon cancer cells. Chitosan and graphene oxide both exhibited excellent properties such as biodegradability, bioavailability, non-toxic, anti-inflammatory, and so on which make them worthy as a host for nanocarrier materials [9].

1.4 Problem Statement

The current conventional treatment of Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil is usually associated with high toxicity, poor absorption in the tumor cell, low specificity, drug losses, damaging healthy organs or cells, non-specific distribution of drugs, unwanted distribution, multiple drug resistance (MDR), high clearance rate, drug loss before it reached the cancer cells, high clearance rate and tremendous side effects. Besides, they are often cleared from the circulation before reaching the target site and thus do not accumulate in the tumor region. These drugs block the signaling pathways that can lead to some extent to disrupt normal cell functions. Even though they primarily inhibit cancer cell proliferation, but they also inhibit normal cell growth such as hair follicles, bone marrow and gastrointestinal tract cells in the body. This leads to a low rate of patient survival profile. Therefore, it is necessary to develop novel strategies and novel nanocarriers that will carry the drug molecules specific to the affected cancerous region in an adequate amount and duration within the therapeutic window [10-17].

Chitosan and graphene oxide are evaluated in this study as nanocarrier materials as there is not a lot of research has done with chitosan and graphene oxide to load Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil drugs. To develop the therapeutics novel nanodrug delivery systems using chitosan and graphene-based materials is a challenging task for the current researchers to manufacture with efficient parameters and physio-chemical characteristics for better therapy, imaging, controlled release of drugs. For example, when larger size of nanocarriers is administered into the human blood vessels, they often are trapped by various biological compound such as protein, enzymes and other different organs and released therapeutics agents before it reached to the tumor cells. Besides, nanocarriers with very small size often escape the uptake by the targeted organs and eliminate from the body without proper release of therapeutic agents. As a result, it is crucial for scientists

to optimize and formulate the chitosan and graphene based nanocarrier systems in the size of more than 50 nm and less than 200 nm to load significant amount of therapeutic agents and deliver it effectively to the cancer cells.[18].

Sorafenib (SF) is a multi-kinase inhibitor, and it inhibits cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and threonine kinase activities in tumors [19]. However, like other anticancer drugs, the efficacy of SF is associated with high toxicity to normal healthy tissues. Besides, the bioavailability of oral uptake of SF is low. Due to the poor aqueous solubility and low bioavailability (~8.43%), the clinical use of SF is limited for cancer treatment which is leading to the necessity of developing better formulations of delivery system of SF to increase the antitumor efficacy [20]. On the other hand, 5-Fluorouracil (5FU) is the first-line drug for colon cancer. However, the low drug uptake, drug resistance, drug toxicity significantly limits the clinical efficiency of 5FU [21]. Studies have found that the anticancer efficacy of SF and 5FU has been improved using various types of nanocarriers, such as polymer nanoparticles, inorganic nanoparticles, micelles, liposomes, etc. [22-25]. Therefore, this work focused on the development of new formulation of nanocarrier systems with efficient loading of SF and 5FU for effective delivery or transport of the drugs to the cancer cells. Furthermore, the study focuses on the synthesis, characterization, and optimization of Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticles, Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil loaded dual drug-chitosan nanoparticles and Sorafenib-loaded chitosan graphene oxide nanoparticles. All the nanodelivery systems were conjugated with folic acid to synthesize the folate-conjugated nanocarrier delivery systems to test the efficacy. Nanodelivery systems were evaluated by the cytotoxicity study using HDFa, HepG2, and HT29 cell lines.

1.5 Hypothesis

The chitosan and graphene exhibit high surface area and high stability to hold the drugs as a result, the synthesized nanodelivery systems are anticipated to improve the delivery efficiency as well as enhance the accumulation of dose of Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil drugs in cancer region and thus anticancer action on cancer cells. Moreover, due to the properties of biodegradability and high drug loading ability, the chitosan and graphene oxide based nanocarriers could be a good option to deliver the drugs to for liver and colon cancer and thus reducing the chances of unspecific drug delivery to the healthy tissues and delivering drugs only to the cancerous regions.

1.6 Scopes of Study

This research work is derived from the fact that most anticancer drugs in chemotherapy are severely toxic and harmful to normal human cells. As a result, this study is aimed to synthesize the nanocarriers based on chitosan nanoparticles as well as chitosan/graphene oxide nanoparticles with folic acid-coated and non-coated, to load Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil. The synthesized nanocarriers will be characterized by the X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

(FTIR), Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX). The release studies and encapsulation/loading capacity was investigated by the High- Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and UV-visible spectroscopy. Moreover, the synthesized nanocarriers will be tested on the human liver (HepG2), colon (HT29) cancer, as well as normal human dermal fibroblast adult (HDFa) cell lines, to investigate their toxicity level and anticancer activity.

1.7 Objectives of Study

1.7.1 General Objectives

To synthesize and characterize Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticle, dual drugs Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil-loaded chitosan nanoparticle, and Sorafenib-loaded chitosan/graphene oxide nanocomposite. These nanocarriers were then functionalized with folic acid to obtain their folate-coated version of nanoparticles and nanocomposites. Finally, all the nanocarriers were characterized and evaluated on HDFa, HepG2 and HT29 cancer cell lines.

1.7.2 Specific objectives

The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- a) To prepare Sorafenib-loaded chitosan nanoparticle (SF-CS NPs), dual drugs Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil loaded chitosan nanoparticle (SF/5FU-CS NPs) and Sorafenib-loaded chitosan/graphene oxide nanocomposite (GO-CS-SF) by the ionic gelation method. Followed by coating SF-CS NPs, SF/5FU-CS NPs, and GO-CS-SF nanocomposites using folic acid (FA) to form folic acid coated Sorafenib loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF-CS-FA NPs), folic acid coated Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SF/5FU-CS-FA NPs) and folate functionalized Sorafenib loaded chitosan/graphene oxide nanocomposite (GO-CS-SF-FA).
- b) To evaluate the size, shape, crystallinity, physiochemical properties, thermal stability of SF-CS, SF-CS-FA, SF/5FU-CS, SF/5FU-CS-FA, GO-CS-SF, GO-CS-SF-FA using the different analytical techniques.
- c) To evaluate the in vitro release behavior of Sorafenib and 5-Fluorouracil from SF-CS, SF-CS-FA, SF/5FU-CS, SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles and GO-CS-SF, GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites.
- d) To evaluate the cytotoxicity of the SF-CS, SF-CS-FA, SF/5FU-CS, SF/5FU-CS-FA nanoparticles and GO-CS-SF, GO-CS-SF-FA nanocomposites on human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and human colorectal adenocarcinoma (HT29) cancer cell lines and human normal dermal fibroblast adult (HDFa) cell lines using the MTT assay.

1.8 Significance of Study

This current study aims to synthesize anticancer drug-loaded chitosan nanoparticles and chitosan/graphene oxide nanocomposite formulation to increase the efficacy of the drug against liver and colon cancer. Chitosan nanoparticles and chitosan/graphene oxide nanocomposite were formulated to be used as nanocarriers for chemotherapeutic cancer drug delivery systems owing to increase the solubility, reduce the dose and toxicity and improve the bioavailability of the anticancer drugs. The final importance of this study is to evaluate the inhibitory effect of the nanocarriers on human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cell lines and human colorectal adenocarcinoma cancer cell lines (HT29) by in vitro cytotoxicity studies.



REFERENCES

- [1] Feynman, R. P. (1959). There's plenty of room at the bottom. *Miniaturization*, 282-296.
- [2] Bhushan, B. (Ed.). (2017). *Springer handbook of nanotechnology*. Springer.
- [3] Drexler, K. E., Peterson, C., & Pergamit, G. (1991). *Unbounding the future: thenanotechnology revolution*. William Morrow and Company. Inc.: New York.
- [4] Boisseau P, Loubaton B. Nanomedicine, nanotechnology in medicine. *ComptesRendus Physique*. 2011;12(7):620–636.
- [5] Ventola, C. L. (2017). Progress in nanomedicine: approved and investigational nanodrugs. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 42(12), 742.
- [6] Wicki, A., Witzigmann, D., Balasubramanian, V., & Huwyler, J. (2015). Nanomedicine in cancer therapy: challenges, opportunities, and clinical applications. *Journal of controlled release*, 200, 138-157.
- [7] Kim, D. H. (2018). Image-guided cancer nanomedicine. *Journal of imaging*, 4(1), 18.
- [8] Borel, T., & Sabliov, C. M. (2014). Nanodelivery of bioactive components for foodapplications: types of delivery systems, properties, and their effect on ADME profiles and toxicity of nanoparticles. *Annual review of food science and technology*, 5, 197- 213.
- [9] Bao, H., Pan, Y., Ping, Y., Sahoo, N. G., Wu, T., Li, L., ... & Gan, L. H. (2011). Chitosan-functionalized graphene oxide as a nanocarrier for drug and gene delivery. *Small*, 7(11), 1569-1578.
- [10] Senapati, S., Mahanta, A. K., Kumar, S., & Maiti, P. (2018). Controlled drug delivery vehicles for cancer treatment and their performance. *Signal transduction andtargeted therapy*, 3(1), 1-19.
- [11] Marra, M., Sordelli, I. M., Lombardi, A., Lamberti, M., Tarantino, L., Giudice, A., & Agresti, M. (2011). Molecular targets and oxidative stress biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma: an overview. *Journal of translational medicine*, 9(1), 171.
- [12] Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A (2015) Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 65:87–108.
- [13] Favoriti, P., Carbone, G., Greco, M., Pirozzi, F., Pirozzi, R. E. M., & Corcione, F. (2016). Worldwide burden of colorectal cancer: a review. *Updates in surgery*, 68(1), 7-11.
- [14] Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., & Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 68(6), 394-424.
- [15] Jemal, A., Bray, F., & Center, M. (2011). Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J*

Clin, 61(2), 69–90.

- [16] Sia, D., Villanueva, A., Friedman, S. L., & Llovet, J. M. (2017). Liver Cancer Cell of Origin, Molecular Class, and Effects on Patient Prognosis. *Gastroenterology*.
- [17] Azzariti, A., Iacobazzi, R. M., Fanizza, E., Denora, N., Valente, G., Cutrignelli, A., ... Canepa, F. (2017). Sorafenib delivery nanoplatfrom based on superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles magnetically targets hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nano Research*, 10(7), 2431–2448.
- [18] Chabner, B. A., & Roberts Jr, T. G. (2005). Chemotherapy and the war on cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 5(1), 65.
- [19] Kiparissides, C., & Kammona, O. (2013). Nanoscale carriers for targeted delivery of drugs and therapeutic biomolecules. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 91(4), 638–651.
- [20] Zhang, N. nan, Yu, R. sheng, Xu, M., Cheng, X. yao, Chen, C. miao, Xu, X. ling, Ji, J. song. (2018). Visual targeted therapy of hepatic cancer using homing peptide modified calcium phosphate nanoparticles loading doxorubicin guided by T1 weightedMRI. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine*.
- [21] Handali, S., Moghimipour, E., Rezaei, M., Ramezani, Z., & Dorkoosh, F. A. (2020). PHBV/PLGA nanoparticles for enhanced delivery of 5-Fluorouracil as promising treatment of colon cancer. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 25(2), 206–218.
- [22] Mishra, N., Yadav, N. P., Rai, V. K., Sinha, P., Yadav, K. S., Jain, S., & Arora, S. (2013). Efficient hepatic delivery of drugs: Novel strategies and their significance. *BioMed Research International*, 2013.
- [23] Zhang Z, Niu B, Chen J, et al. The use of lipid-coated nanodiamond to improve bioavailability and efficacy of sorafenib in resisting metastasis of gastric cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2014;35(15):4565–4572.
- [24] Entezar-Almahdi, E., Mohammadi-Samani, S., Tayebi, L., & Farjadian, F. (2020). Recent advances in designing 5-fluorouracil delivery systems: a stepping stone in the safe treatment of colorectal cancer. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 15, 5445.
- [25] Smith, T., Affram, K., Nottingham, E. L., Han, B., Amissah, F., Krishnan, S., ... & Agyare, E. (2020). Application of smart solid lipid nanoparticles to enhance the efficacy of 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1–14.
- [26] Jemal A, Bray F, Center M. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2011;61(2):69–90.
- [27] Sia D, Villanueva A, Friedman SL, Llovet JM. Liver cancer cell of origin, molecular class, and effects on patient prognosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152:745– 761.
- [28] Azzariti A, Iacobazzi RM, Fanizza E, et al. Sorafenib delivery nanoplatfrom based on superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles magnetically targets hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nano Res*. 2017;10(7):2431–2448.

- [29] Chabner BA, Roberts TG. Chemotherapy and the war on cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2005;5(1):65.
- [30] Kiparissides C, Kammona O. Nanoscale carriers for targeted delivery of drugs and therapeutic biomolecules. *Can J Chem Eng*. 2013;91(4):638–651.
- [31] Zhang N, Yu R, Cheng XY, et al. Visual targeted therapy of hepatic cancer using homing peptide modified calcium phosphate nanoparticles loading doxorubicin guided by T1 weighted MRI. *Nanomedicine*. 2018.
- [32] Mishra N, Yadav NP, Rai VK, et al. Efficient hepatic delivery of drugs: novel strategies and their significance. *Biomed Res Int*. 2013;2013.
- [33] Lata S, Sharma G, Joshi M, Kanwar P, Mishra T. Role of nanotechnology in drug delivery. *Int J Nanotechnol Nanosci*. 2017;5:1–29.
- [34] Yang T, Lan Y, Cao M, et al. Glycyrrhetic acid-conjugated polymeric prodrug micelles co-delivered with doxorubicin as combination therapy treatment for liver cancer. *Colloids Surf B*. 2019.
- [35] Barenholz YC. Doxil®—the first FDA-approved nano-drug: lessons learned. *J Controll Release*. 2012;160(2):117–134.
- [36] Wang B, Qiao W, Wang Y, Yang L, Zhang Y, Shao P. Cancer therapy based on nanomaterials and nanocarrier systems. *J Nanomater*. 2010;2010.
- [37] Masood F. Polymeric nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery system for cancer therapy. *Mater Sci Eng C*. 2016;60:569–578.
- [38] Tang X, Lyu Y, Xie D, Li A, Liang Y, Zheng D. Therapeutic effect of sorafenib-loaded TPGS-b-PCL nanoparticles on liver cancer. *J Biomed Nanotechnol*. 2018;14(2):396–403.
- [39] Lee AY, Choi Y-J, Cho C-S, et al. Combination therapy with doxorubicin-loaded galactosylated poly(ethyleneglycol)-lithocholic acid to suppress the tumor growth in an orthotopic mouse model of liver cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2016;116:130–144.
- [40] Ye B, Zheng R, Ruan X, et al. Chitosan-coated doxorubicin nano-particles drug delivery system inhibits cell growth of liver cancer via p53/PRC1 pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2018;495(1):414–420.
- [41] Loutfy SA, El-Din HMA, Elberry MH, Allam NG, Hasanin MTM, Abdellah AM. Synthesis, characterization and cytotoxic evaluation of chitosan nanoparticles: in vitro liver cancer model. *Adv Nat Sci*. 2016;7(3):035008.
- [42] Huang W, Wang W, Wang P, et al. Glycyrrhetic acid-modified poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(γ -benzyl L-glutamate) micelles for liver targeting therapy. *Acta Biomater*. 2010.
- [43] Blanco E, Kessinger CW, Sumer BD, Gao J. Multifunctional micellar nanomedicine for cancer therapy. *Exp Biol Med*. 2008.
- [44] Hanafy NAN, Quarta A, Ferraro MM, et al. Polymeric nano-micelles as novel cargo-carriers for LY2157299 liver cancer cells delivery. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018.

- [45] Immordino ML, Dosio F, Cattel L. Stealth liposomes: review of the basic science, rationale, and clinical applications, existing and potential. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2006;1(3):297–315.
- [46] Xiang G, Khan MW, Madni A, et al. Liposomal co-delivered oleanolic acid attenuates doxorubicin-induced multi-organ toxicity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:29.
- [47] Yang G, Yang T, Zhang W, Lu M, Ma X, Xiang G. In vitro and in vivo antitumor effects of folate-targeted ursolic acid stealth liposome. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2014;62(10):2207–2215.
- [48] Wang J, Wu Z, Pan G, et al. Enhanced doxorubicin delivery to hepatocellular carcinoma cells via CD147 antibody-conjugated immunoliposomes. *Nanomedicine*. 2018;14(6):1949–1961.
- [49] Persico M, Barbarisi M, Armenia E, et al. Chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with butyric acid demonstrate anticancer and anti-inflammatory activity in human hepatoma HepG2 cells. *Oncol Rep*. 2018.
- [50] He Q, He X, Deng B, et al. Sorafenib and indocyanine green co-loaded in photothermally sensitive liposomes for diagnosis and treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Mater Chem B*. 2018;6(36):5823–5834.
- [51] Noriega-Luna B, Godínez LA, Rodríguez FJ, Rodríguez A, Zaldívar-Ielo De Larrea G, Sosa-Ferreira Bustos E. Applications of dendrimers in drug delivery agents, diagnosis, therapy, and detection. *J Nanomater*. 2014;2014:1–19.
- [52] Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, Farokhzad OC, Margalit R, Langer R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2007;2(12):751–760.
- [53] Wojnarowicz J, Jesionowski T, Grześkowiak BF, et al. Dendrimer based theranostic nanostructures for combined chemo- and photothermal therapy of liver cancer cells in vitro. *Colloids Surf B*. 2018;173:698–708.
- [54] Sharma AK, Gothwal A, Kesharwani P, Alsaab H, Iyer AK, Gupta U. Dendrimer nanoarchitectures for cancer diagnosis and anticancer drug delivery. *Drug Discov Today*. 2017;22(2):314–326.
- [55] Fu F, Wu Y, Zhu J, Wen S, Shen M, Shi X. Multifunctional lactobionic acid-modified dendrimers for targeted drug delivery to liver cancer cells: investigating the role played by PEG spacer. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2014;6(18):16416–16425.
- [56] Jain NK, Mody N, Tekade RK, Chopdey PK, Mehra NK. Glycyrrhizin conjugated dendrimer and multi-walled carbon nanotubes for liver specific delivery of doxorubicin. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2014;15(2):1088–1100.
- [57] Bondi ML, Botto C, Amore E, et al. Lipid nanocarriers containing sorafenib inhibit colonies formation in human hepatocarcinoma cells. *Int J Pharm*. 2015;493(1–2):75–85.
- [58] Zhao X, Chen Q, Li Y, Tang H, Liu W, Yang X. Doxorubicin and curcumin co-delivery by lipid nanoparticles for enhanced treatment of diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2015;93:27–

36.

- [59] Ebara M, Lee HJ, Aoyagi T, et al. Simultaneous drug and gene delivery from the biodegradable Poly(ϵ -caprolactone) nanofibers for the treatment of liver cancer. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2015.
- [60] Ji Y, Xiao Y, Xu L, et al. Drug-bearing supramolecular MMP inhibitor nanofibers for inhibition of metastasis and growth of liver cancer. *Adv Sci*. 2018;5:1700867.
- [61] Yang C, Yang C, Xu H, et al. Novel tumor-targeting, self-assembling peptide nanofiber as a carrier for effective curcumin delivery. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2013;197.
- [62] Ao M, Xiao X, Ao Y. Low density lipoprotein modified silica nanoparticles loaded with docetaxel and thalidomide for effective chemotherapy of liver cancer. *Br J Med Biol Res*. 2018;51.
- [63] Zhang X, Deng F, Tian J, et al. Improving the drug delivery characteristics of graphene oxide based polymer nanocomposites through the “one-pot” synthetic approach of single-electron-transfer living radical polymerization. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2016;378:22–29.
- [64] Shim G, Kim MG, Park JY, Oh YK. Graphene-based nanosheets for delivery of chemotherapeutics and biological drugs. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2016;105:205–227.
- [65] Yang H, Li H, Zhu L, et al. Lactobionic acid and carboxymethyl chitosan functionalized graphene oxide nanocomposites as targeted anticancer drug delivery systems. *Carbohydr Polym*. 2016;151:812–820.
- [66] Yuan Y, Zhang Y, Liu B, et al. The effects of multifunctional MiR-122-loaded graphene-gold composites on drug-resistant liver cancer. *J Nanobiotechnology*. 2015;13(1).
- [67] Danhier F, Ansorena E, Silva JM, Coco R, Le Breton A, Préat V. PLGA-based nanoparticles: an overview of biomedical applications. *J Control Release*. 2012;161(2):505–522.
- [68] Gao DY, Lin TT, Sung YC, et al. CXCR4-targeted lipid-coated PLGA nanoparticles deliver sorafenib and overcome acquired drug resistance in liver cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2015;67:194–203.
- [69] Dangi R, Hurkat P, Jain A, et al. Targeting liver cancer via ASGP receptor using 5-FU-loaded surface-modified PLGA nanoparticles. *J Microencapsul*. 2014;31(5):479–487.
- [70] Elhissi A, Ahmed W, Hassan IU, Dhanak V, D’Emanuele A. Carbon nanotubes in cancer therapy and drug delivery. *J Drug Deliv*. 2012;2012:1–10.
- [71] He H, Xiao D, Pham-Huy LA, Dramou P, Pham-Huy C. Carbon nanotubes used as nanocarriers in drug and biomolecule delivery. *Drug Delivery Approaches Nanosyst*. 2017;163–212.
- [72] Liu Z, Chen K, Davis C, et al. Drug delivery with carbon nanotubes for in vivo cancer treatment. *Cancer Res*. 2008;68(16):6652–6660.

- [73] Qi X, Rui Y, Fan Y, Chen H, Ma N, Wu Z. Galactosylated chitosan-grafted multiwall carbon nanotubes for pH-dependent sustained release and hepatic tumor- targeted delivery of doxorubicin in vivo. *Colloids Surf B*. 2015;133:314–322.
- [74] Ji Z, Lin G, Lu Q, et al. Targeted therapy of SMMC-7721 liver cancer in vitro and in vivo with carbon nanotubes based drug delivery system. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2012;365(1):143–149.
- [75] Elsayed MM, Mostafa ME, Alaaeldin E, et al. Design and characterisation of novel Sorafenib-loaded carbon nanotubes with distinct tumour-suppressive activity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2019;14:8445.
- [76] Bardhan R, Lal S, Joshi A, Halas NJ. Theranostic nanoshells: from probe design to imaging and treatment of cancer. *Acc Chem Res*. 2011;44(10):936–946.
- [77] Wang Y-XJ, Leung KC-F, Zhu X-M, Wang W, Liang Q, Cheng CHK. In vivo chemoembolization and magnetic resonance imaging of liver tumors by using ironoxide nanoshell/doxorubicin/poly(vinyl alcohol) hybrid composites. *Angew Chem IntEd*. 2014;53(19):4812–4815.
- [78] Liu SY, Liang ZS, Gao F, Luo SF, Lu GQ. In vitro photothermal study of gold nanoshells functionalized with small targeting peptides to liver cancer cells. *J Mater Sci*. 2010;21(2):665–674.
- [79] Zhang Y, Liu S, Wang X, et al. Prevention of local liver cancer recurrence after surgery using multilayered cisplatin-loaded polylactide electrospun nanofibers. *Chin J Polym Sci*. 2014.
- [80] Ye Y. Integrin Targeting for Tumor Optical Imaging. *Theranostics*. 2011;1:102.
- [81] Zhang D, Wu M, Zeng Y, et al. Lipid micelles packaged with semiconducting polymer dots as simultaneous MRI/photoacoustic imaging and photodynamic/photothermal dual-modal therapeutic agents for liver cancer. *J Mater Chem B*. 2016.
- [82] Liu Y, Yu D, Zhang N, Lu Z, Liu C, Chen Z. Gadolinium-loaded polymeric nanoparticles modified with Anti-VEGF as multifunctional MRI contrast agents for the diagnosis of liver cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2011;32(22):5167–5176.
- [83] Luo K, Liu G, He B, et al. Multifunctional gadolinium-based dendritic macromolecules as liver targeting imaging probes. *Biomaterials*. 2011;32(10):2575–2585.
- [84] Park S, Kim JW, Jeong YY, et al. Drug-loaded superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for combined cancer imaging and therapy in vivo. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008;47(29):5362–5365.
- [85] Wang YXJ. Current status of superparamagnetic iron oxide contrast agents for liver magnetic resonance imaging. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(47):13400–13402.
- [86] Arias JL, Reddy LH, Othman M, et al. Squalene based nanocomposites: a new platform for the design of multifunctional pharmaceutical theragnostics. *ACS Nano*. 2011;5(2):1513–1521.

- [87] Wáng YXJ, Idée JM. A comprehensive literatures update of clinical researches of superparamagnetic resonance iron oxide nanoparticles for magnetic resonance imaging. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* 2017;7(1):88.
- [88] Bao Y, Sherwood JA, Sun Z. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles as T 1 contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. *J Mater Chem C.* 2018;6(6):1280–1290.
- [89] Azria D, Blanquer S, Verdier JM, Belamie E. Nanoparticles as contrast agents for brain nuclear magnetic resonance imaging in Alzheimer's disease diagnosis. *J Mater Chem B.* 2017;5(35):7216–7237.
- [90] Wang YXJ. Superparamagnetic iron oxide-based MRI contrast agents: current status of clinical application. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* 2011;1(1):35.
- [91] Olerile LD, Liu Y, Zhang B, et al. Near-infrared mediated quantum dots and paclitaxel co-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers for cancer theragnostic. *Colloids Surf B.* 2017.
- [92] Das RK, Mohapatra S. Highly luminescent, heteroatom-doped carbon quantum dots for ultrasensitive sensing of glucosamine and targeted imaging of liver cancer cells. *J Mater Chem B.* 2017.
- [93] Shao D, Li J, Pan Y, et al. Noninvasive theranostic imaging of HSV-TK/GCV suicide gene therapy in liver cancer by folate-targeted quantum dot-based liposomes. *Biomater Sci.* 2015;3(6):833–841.
- [94] Al-Jamal WT, Al-Jamal KT, Cakebread A, Halket JM, Kostarelos K. Blood circulation and tissue biodistribution of lipid-quantum dot (L-QD) hybrid vesicles intravenously administered in mice. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2009;20:1696–1702.
- [95] Bhatia SN, Underhill GH, Zaret KS, Fox IJ. Cell and tissue engineering for liver disease. *Sci Transl Med.* 2014;6(245).
- [96] Park JK, Utsumi T, Seo YE, et al. Cellular distribution of injected PLGA-nanoparticles in the liver. *Nanomedicine.* 2016;12(5):1365–1374.
- [97] Kang KW, Song MG. Organic nanomaterials: liposomes, albumin, dendrimer, polymeric nanoparticles. In: Lee D (editor). *Radionanomedicine. Biological and Medical Physics, Biomedical Engineering.* Cham: Springer; 2018:105–123.
- [98] Wilhelm S, Tavares AJ, Dai Q, et al. Analysis of nanoparticle delivery to tumours. *Nat Rev Mater.* 2016;1(5):16014.
- [99] Syed A, Chan WC, editors. *How nanoparticles interact with cancer cells In: Nanotechnology-Based Precision Tools for the Detection and Treatment of Cancer.* Cham: Springer; 2015:227–244.
- [100] Kuhn DA, Vanhecke D, Michen B, et al. Different endocytotic uptake mechanisms for nanoparticles in epithelial cells and macrophages. *Beilstein J Nanotechnol.* 2014;5:1625–1636.
- [101] Huotari J, Helenius A. Endosome maturation. *EMBO J.* 2011;30(17):3481–3500.
- [102] Treuel L, Jiang X, Nienhaus GU. New views on cellular uptake and trafficking of manufactured nanoparticles. *J R Soc Interface.* 2013;10:20120939.

- [103] Chatterjee N, Eom HJ, Choi J. A systems toxicology approach to the surface functionality control of graphene–cell interactions. *Biomaterials*. 2014;35(4):1109–1127.
- [104] Champion JA, Walker A, Mitragotri S. Role of particle size in phagocytosis of polymeric microspheres. *Pharm Res*. 2008;25(8):1815–1821.
- [105] Vauthier C, Dubernet C, Chauvierre C, Brigger I, Couvreur P. Drug delivery to resistant tumors: the potential of poly (alkyl cyanoacrylate) nanoparticles. *J Controll Release*. 2003;93(2):151–160.
- [106] Li H, Cui Y, Liu J, et al. Reduction breakable cholesteryl pullulan nanoparticles for targeted hepatocellular carcinoma chemotherapy. *J Mater Chem B*. 2014;2(22):3500–3510.
- [107] Fujimoto T, Kogo H, Nomura R, Une T. Isoforms of caveolin-1 and caveolar structure. *J Cell Sci*. 2000;113(19):3509–3517.
- [108] Zhang YN, Poon W, Tavares AJ, McGilvray ID, Chan WC. Nanoparticle–liver interactions: cellular uptake and hepatobiliary elimination. *J Controll Release*. 2016;240:332–348.
- [109] Maeda H, Nakamura H, Fang J. The EPR effect for macromolecular drug delivery to solid tumors: improvement of tumor uptake, lowering of systemic toxicity, and distinct tumor imaging in vivo. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2013;65(1):71–79.
- [110] Mohamed NK, Hamad MA, Hafez MZE, Wooley KL, Elsabahy M. Nanomedicine in management of hepatocellular carcinoma: challenges and opportunities. *Int J Cancer*. 2017;140(7):1475–1484.
- [111] Bae YH, Park K. Targeted drug delivery to tumors: myths, reality and possibility. *J Controll Release*. 2011;153(3):198–205.
- [112] Matsumura Y, Maeda H. A new concept for macromolecular therapeutics in cancer chemotherapy: mechanism of tumoritropic accumulation of proteins and the antitumor agent smancs. *Cancer Res*. 1986;46:6387–6392.
- [113] Xiao K, Li Y, Luo J, et al. The effect of surface charge on in vivo biodistribution of PEG-oligocholeic acid based micellar nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 2011;32(13):3435–3446.
- [114] Prabhu RH, Patravale VB, Joshi MD. Polymeric nanoparticles for targeted treatment in oncology: current insights. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2015;10:1001–1018.
- [115] Ferreira DDS, Lopes SCDA, Franco MS, Oliveira MC. PH-sensitive liposomes for drug delivery in cancer treatment. *Ther Deliv*. 2013;4(9):1099–1123.
- [116] Xia Q, Li L, Zhao L. Silica nanoparticle-based dual-responsive nanoprodrug system for liver cancer therapy. *Exp Ther Med*. 2017;14:2071–2077. Narayan R. *Encyclopedia of Biomedical Engineering*. Elsevier; 2018.
- [117] Walkey CD, Chan WCW. Understanding and controlling the interaction of nanomaterials with proteins in a physiological environment. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2012;41(7):2780–2799.

- [118] Nkansah P, Antipas A, Lu Y, et al. Development and evaluation of novel solid nanodispersion system for oral delivery of poorly water-soluble drugs. *J Controll Release*. 2013;169(1–2):150–161.
- [119] Zhou F, Shang W, Yu X, Tian J. Glypican-3: a promising biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis and treatment. *Med Res Rev*. 2018;38(2):741–767.
- [120] Roberts MS, Liang X, Bridle KR, et al. Diagnostic imaging and therapeutic application of nanoparticles targeting the liver. *J Mater Chem B*. 2014;3(6):939–958.
- [121] Voutila J, Reebye V, Roberts TC, et al. Development and mechanism of small activating RNA targeting CEBPA, a novel therapeutic in clinical trials for liver cancer. *Mol Ther*. 2017;25(12):2705–2714.
- [122] Kim Y, Jo M, Schmidt J, et al. Enhanced potency of GalNAc-conjugated antisense oligonucleotides in hepatocellular cancer models. *Mol Ther*. 2019;27(9):1547–1557.
- [123] Harada T, Matsumoto S, Hirota S, et al. Chemically modified antisense oligonucleotide against ARL4C inhibits primary and metastatic liver tumor growth. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2019;18(3):602–612.
- [124] Hare JJ, Lammers T, Ashford MB, Puri S, Storm G, Barry ST. Challenges and strategies in anti-cancer nanomedicine development: an industry perspective. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2017;108:25–38.
- [125] Zhou Q, Sun X, Zeng L, Liu J, Zhang Z. A randomized multicenter phase II clinical trial of mitoxantrone-loaded nanoparticles in the treatment of 108 patients with unresected hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nanomedicine*. 2009;5(4):419–423.
- [126] Zhang ZR, He Q. Study on liver targeting and hepatocytes permeable valaciclovir polybutylcyanoacrylate nanoparticles. *World J Gastroenterol*. 1999;5(4):330.
- [127] Wang AZ, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticle delivery of cancer drugs. *Annu Rev Med*. 2012;63:185–198.
- [128] Feliu N, Docter D, Heine M, et al. In vivo degradation and the fate of inorganic nanoparticles. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2016;45(9):2440–2457.
- [129] Lazarovits J, Chen YY, Sykes EA, Chan WC. Nanoparticle–blood interactions: the implications on solid tumour targeting. *Chem Commun*. 2015;51(14):2756–2767.
- [130] Nichols JW, Bae YH. Odyssey of a cancer nanoparticle: from injection site to site of action. *Nano Today*. 2012;7(6):606–618.
- [131] Jain RK, Stylianopoulos T. Delivering nanomedicine to solid tumors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2010;7(11):653.
- [132] Florence AT. “Targeting” nanoparticles: the constraints of physical laws and physical barriers. *J Controll Release*. 2012;164(2):115–124.
- [133] Park K. Facing the truth about nanotechnology in drug delivery. *ACS*

Nano.2013;7(9):7442–7447.

- [134] Su Y, Wang K, Li Y, et al. Sorafenib-loaded polymeric micelles as passive targeting therapeutic agents for hepatocellular carcinoma therapy. *Nanomedicine*. 2018.
- [135] Xiao Y, Liu Y, Yang S, et al. Sorafenib and gadolinium co-loaded liposomes for drug delivery and MRI-guided HCC treatment. *Colloids Surf B*. 2016.
- [136] Zhang J, Hu J, Chan HF, Skibba M, Liang G, Chen M. iRGD decorated lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles for targeted co-delivery of doxorubicin and sorafenib to enhance anti-hepatocellular carcinoma efficacy. *Nanomedicine*. 2016.
- [137] Malarvizhi GL, Retnakumari AP, Nair S, Koyakutty M. Transferrin targeted core-shell nanomedicine for combinatorial delivery of doxorubicin and sorafenib against hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nanomedicine*. 2014;10:1649–1659.
- [138] Wang J, Zhou Y, Guo S, et al. Cetuximab conjugated and doxorubicin loaded silica nanoparticles for tumor-targeting and tumor microenvironment responsive binary drug delivery of liver cancer therapy. *Mater Sci Eng C*. 2017.
- [139] Manatunga DC, de Silva RM, de Silva KMN, et al. Effective delivery of hydrophobic drugs to breast and liver cancer cells using a hybrid inorganic nanocarrier: a detailed investigation using cytotoxicity assays, fluorescence imaging and flow cytometry. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2018;128:18–26.
- [140] Ahmad J, Wahab R, Siddiqui MA, Musarrat J, Al-Khedhairi AA. Zinc oxide quantum dots: a potential candidate to detain liver cancer cells. *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng*. 2015;38:155–163.
- [141] Shen AJ, Li DL, Cai XJ, et al. Multifunctional nanocomposite based on graphene oxide for in vitro hepatocarcinoma diagnosis and treatment. *J Biomed Mater Res*. 2012.
- [142] Jeon MJ, Gordon AC, Larson AC, Chung JW, Il KY, Kim DH. Transcatheter intra-arterial infusion of doxorubicin loaded porous magnetic nano-clusters with iodinated oil for the treatment of liver cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2016;88:25–33.
- [143] Wang Z, Shao D, Chang Z, et al. Janus gold nanoplatfom for synergetic chemoradiotherapy and computed tomography imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *ACS Nano*. 2017;11:12732–12741.
- [144] Saraswathy A, Nazeer SS, Nimi N, Arumugam S, Shenoy SJ, Jayasree RS. Synthesis and characterization of dextran stabilized superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for in vivo MR imaging of liver fibrosis. *Carbohydr Polym*. 2014;101:760–768.
- [145] Im GH, Kim SM, Lee DG, Lee WJ, Lee JH, Lee IS. Fe₃O₄/MnO hybrid nanocrystals as a dual contrast agent for both T1- and T2-weighted liver MRI. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34:2069–2076.
- [146] Zhou Z, Wang L, Chi X, et al. Engineered iron-oxide-based nanoparticles as enhanced T1 contrast agents for efficient tumor imaging. *ACS Nano*. 2013;7:3287–3296.

- [147] Liu S, Zhou G, Liu D, et al. Inhibition of orthotopic secondary hepatic carcinoma in mice by doxorubicin-loaded electrospun polylactide nanofibers. *J Mater Chem B*. 2013.
- [148] Guo H, Lai Q, Wang W, et al. Functional alginate nanoparticles for efficient intracellular release of doxorubicin and hepatoma carcinoma cell targeting therapy. *IntJ Pharm*. 2013;451:1–11.
- [149] Li YJ, Dong M, Kong FM, Zhou JP. Folate-decorated anticancer drug and magnetic nanoparticles encapsulated polymeric carrier for liver cancer therapeutics. *Int J Pharm*. 2015.
- [150] Mouli SK, Tyler P, McDevitt JL, et al. Image-guided local delivery strategies enhance therapeutic nanoparticle uptake in solid tumors. *ACS Nano*. 2013;7:7724–7733.
- [151] Zhang L, Gong F, Zhang F, Ma J, Zhang P, Shen J. Targeted therapy for human hepatic carcinoma cells using folate-functionalized polymeric micelles loaded with superparamagnetic iron oxide and sorafenib in vitro. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2013;8:1517.
- [152] Maeng JH, Lee DH, Jung KH, et al. Multifunctional doxorubicin loaded superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for chemotherapy and magnetic resonance imaging in liver cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2010;31(18):4995–5006.
- [153] Jędrzak A, Grześkowiak BF, Coy E, et al. Dendrimer based theranostic nanostructures for combined chemo- and photothermal therapy of liver cancer cells invitro. *Colloids Surf B*. 2019;173:698–708.
- [154] Zhao Z, Han Y, Lin C, et al. Multifunctional core-shell upconverting nanoparticles for imaging and photodynamic therapy of liver cancer cells. *Chem AsianJ*. 2012;7:830–837.
- [155] Liu Y, Li J, Liu F, et al. Theranostic polymeric micelles for the diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Biomed Nanotechnol*. 2015.
- [156] Zhang N, Yu R, Xu M, et al. Visual targeted therapy of hepatic cancer using homing peptide modified calcium phosphate nanoparticles loading doxorubicin guided by T1 weighted MRI. *Nanomedicine*. 2018.
- [157] Subudhi, M. B., Jain, A., Jain, A., Hurkat, P., Shilpi, S., Gulbake, A., & Jain, S.K. (2015). Eudragit S100 coated citrus pectin nanoparticles for colon targeting of 5- fluorouracil. *Materials*, 8(3), 832-849.
- [158] Recio-Boiles, A., Waheed, A., & Cagir, B. (2019). Cancer, colon. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing.
- [159] Hong, Y., & Rao, Y. (2019). Current status of nanoscale drug delivery systems for colorectal cancer liver metastasis. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 114, 108764.
- [160] Maffione, A. M., Lopci, E., Bluemel, C., Giammarile, F., Herrmann, K., & Rubello, D. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy and impact on management of 18 F-FDG PET and PET/CT in colorectal liver metastasis: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*,

42(1), 152- 163.

- [161] Kotelevets, L., Chastre, E., Desmaele, D., & Couvreur, P. (2016). Nanotechnologies for the treatment of colon cancer: From old drugs to new hope. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 514(1), 24-40.
- [162] Chen, K., Xia, G., Zhang, C., & Sun, Y. (2015). Correlation between smoking history and molecular pathways in sporadic colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *International journal of clinical and experimental medicine*, 8(3), 3241.
- [163] Fujita, K. I., Kubota, Y., Ishida, H., & Sasaki, Y. (2015). Irinotecan, a key chemotherapeutic drug for metastatic colorectal cancer. *World journal of gastroenterology*, 21(43), 12234.
- [164] Kotelevets, L., Chastre, E., Desmaele, D., & Couvreur, P. (2016). Nanotechnologies for the treatment of colon cancer: From old drugs to new hope. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 514(1), 24-40.
- [165] Maria, T., Panagiotis, A., & Ioannis, P. (2015). Efficacy of 5-FU or oxaliplatin monotherapy over combination therapy in colorectal cancer. *J. Cancer Ther*, 6, 345- 355.
- [166] Lin, F. H., Lee, Y. H., Jian, C. H., Wong, J. M., Shieh, M. J., & Wang, C. Y. (2002). A study of purified montmorillonite intercalated with 5-fluorouracil as drug carrier. *Biomaterials*, 23(9), 1981-1987.
- [167] Giacchetti, S., Perpoint, B., Zidani, R., Le Bail, N., Faggiuolo, R., Focan, C., ... & Levi, F. (2000). Phase III multicenter randomized trial of oxaliplatin added to chronomodulated fluorouracil-leucovorin as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology*, 18(1), 136-136.
- [168] Kotelevets, L., Chastre, E., Desmaele, D., & Couvreur, P. (2016). Nanotechnologies for the treatment of colon cancer: From old drugs to new hope. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 514(1), 24-40.
- [169] Kilari, D., Guancial, E., & Kim, E. S. (2016). Role of copper transporters in platinum resistance. *World journal of clinical oncology*, 7(1), 106.
- [170] Cho, Y. S., Yoon, T. J., Jang, E. S., Hong, K. S., Lee, S. Y., Kim, O. R., ... & Chang, K. (2010). Cetuximab-conjugated magneto-fluorescent silica nanoparticles for in vivo colon cancer targeting and imaging. *Cancer letters*, 299(1), 63-71.
- [171] Gulbake, A., & Jain, S. K. (2012). Chitosan: a potential polymer for colon-specific drug delivery system. *Expert opinion on drug delivery*, 9(6), 713-729.
- [172] Musa, N., & Wong, T. W. (2019). Functional Chitosan Carriers for Oral Colon-Specific Drug Delivery. In *Functional Chitosan* (pp. 135-161). Springer, Singapore.
- [173] Tummala, S., Kumar, M. S., & Prakash, A. (2015). Formulation and characterization of 5-Fluorouracil enteric coated nanoparticles for sustained and localized release in treating colorectal cancer. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 23(3), 308-314.

- [174] Tıǧlı Aydın, R. S., & Pulat, M. (2012). 5-Fluorouracil encapsulated chitosan nanoparticles for pH-stimulated drug delivery: evaluation of controlled release kinetics. *Journal of Nanomaterials*, 2012.
- [175] Sun, L., Chen, Y., Zhou, Y., Guo, D., Fan, Y., Guo, F., ... & Chen, W. (2017). Preparation of 5-fluorouracil-loaded chitosan nanoparticles and study of the sustained release in vitro and in vivo. *asian journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 12(5), 418-423.
- [176] Dolati, S., Sadreddini, S., Rostamzadeh, D., Ahmadi, M., Jadidi-Niaragh, F., & Yousefi, M. (2016). Utilization of nanoparticle technology in rheumatoid arthritis treatment. *Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy*, 80, 30-41.
- [177] Venkatesan, P., Puvvada, N., Dash, R., Kumar, B. P., Sarkar, D., Azab, B., ... & Mandal, M. (2011). The potential of celecoxib-loaded hydroxyapatite-chitosan nanocomposite for the treatment of colon cancer. *Biomaterials*, 32(15), 3794-3806.
- [178] Smitha, K. T., Anitha, A., Furuike, T., Tamura, H., Nair, S. V., & Jayakumar, R. (2013). In vitro evaluation of paclitaxel loaded amorphous chitin nanoparticles for colon cancer drug delivery. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 104, 245-253.
- [179] Anitha, A., Deepa, N., Chennazhi, K. P., Lakshmanan, V. K., & Jayakumar, R. (2014). Combinatorial anticancer effects of curcumin and 5-fluorouracil loaded thiolated chitosan nanoparticles towards colon cancer treatment. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects*, 1840(9), 2730-2743.
- [180] Udompornmongkol, P., & Chiang, B. H. (2015). Curcumin-loaded polymeric nanoparticles for enhanced anti-colorectal cancer applications. *Journal of biomaterials applications*, 30(5), 537-546.
- [181] Sadreddini, S., Safaralizadeh, R., Baradaran, B., Aghebati-Maleki, L., Hosseinpour-Feizi, M. A., Shanehbandi, D., ... & Yousefi, M. (2017). Chitosan nanoparticles as a dual drug/siRNA delivery system for treatment of colorectal cancer. *Immunology letters*, 181, 79-86.
- [182] Badran, M. M., Mady, M. M., Ghannam, M. M., & Shakeel, F. (2017). Preparation and characterization of polymeric nanoparticles surface modified with chitosan for target treatment of colorectal cancer. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 95, 643-649.
- [183] Rudzinski, W. E., Palacios, A., Ahmed, A., Lane, M. A., & Aminabhavi, T. M. (2016). Targeted delivery of small interfering RNA to colon cancer cells using chitosan and PEGylated chitosan nanoparticles. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 147, 323- 332.
- [184] Danhier, F., Ansorena, E., Silva, J. M., Coco, R., Le Breton, A., & Préat, V. (2012). PLGA-based nanoparticles: an overview of biomedical applications. *Journal of controlled release*, 161(2), 505-522.
- [185] Zhang L, Radovic-Moreno AF, Alexis F, Gu FX, Basto PA, Bagalkot V, et al. Co-Delivery of Hydrophobic and Hydrophilic Drugs from Nanoparticle–Aptamer Bioconjugates. *ChemMedChem*. 2007;2(9):1268-71.

- [186] Wu, P., Zhou, Q., Zhu, H., Zhuang, Y., & Bao, J. (2020). Enhanced antitumor efficacy in colon cancer using EGF functionalized PLGA nanoparticles loaded with 5-Fluorouracil and perfluorocarbon. *BMC cancer*, 20, 1-10.
- [187] Li, N., Chen, Y., Sun, H., Huang, T., Chen, T., Jiang, Y., ... & Wu, M. (2020). Decreasing acute toxicity and suppressing colorectal carcinoma using Sorafenib-loaded nanoparticles. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 25(5), 556-565.
- [188] Sufi, S. A., Hoda, M., Pajaniradje, S., Mukherjee, V., Coumar, S. M., & Rajagopalan, R. (2020). Enhanced drug retention, sustained release, and anti-cancer potential of curcumin and indole-curcumin analog-loaded polysorbate 80-stabilized PLGA nanoparticles in colon cancer cell line SW480. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 588, 119738.
- [189] Eynali, S., Khoei, S., Khoei, S., & Esmaelbeygi, E. (2017). Evaluation of the cytotoxic effects of hyperthermia and 5-fluorouracil-loaded magnetic nanoparticles on human colon cancer cell line HT-29. *International Journal of Hyperthermia*, 33(3), 327-335.
- [190] Wang, Y., Li, P., Chen, L., Gao, W., Zeng, F., & Kong, L. X. (2015). Targeted delivery of 5-fluorouracil to HT-29 cells using high efficient folic acid-conjugated nanoparticles. *Drug delivery*, 22(2), 191-198.
- [191] Ps, S., & Joshi, V. G. (2013). Preparation and characterisation of 5-fluorouracil loaded PLGA nanoparticles for colorectal cancer therapy. *Unique J Pharm Biol Sci*, 1(02), 52-58.
- [192] Ashour, A. E., Badran, M., Kumar, A., Hussain, T., Alsarra, I. A., & Yassin, A. E. B. (2019). Physical pegylation enhances the cytotoxicity of 5-fluorouracil-loaded PLGA and PCL nanoparticles. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 14, 9259.
- [193] Öztürk, K., Mashal, A. R., Yegin, B. A., & Çalış, S. (2017). Preparation and in vitro evaluation of 5-fluorouracil-loaded PCL nanoparticles for colon cancer treatment. *Pharmaceutical development and technology*, 22(5), 635-641.
- [194] Abreu, A. S., Castanheira, E. M., Queiroz, M. J. R., Ferreira, P. M., Vale-Silva, L. A., & Pinto, E. (2011). Nanoliposomes for encapsulation and delivery of the potential antitumoral methyl 6-methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-indole-2-carboxylate. *Nanoscale research letters*, 6(1), 482.
- [195] Silva, R., Ferreira, H., & Cavaco-Paulo, A. (2011). Sonoproduction of liposomes and protein particles as templates for delivery purposes. *Biomacromolecules*, 12(10), 3353-3368.
- [196] Patil, Y. P., & Jadhav, S. (2014). Novel methods for liposome preparation. *Chemistry and physics of lipids*, 177, 8-18.
- [197] Suntres, Z. E. (2011). Liposomal antioxidants for protection against oxidant-induced damage. *Journal of toxicology*, 2011.
- [198] Barenholz, Y. C. (2012). Doxil®—the first FDA-approved nano-drug: lessons learned. *Journal of controlled release*, 160(2), 117-134.

- [199] Clares, B., Biedma-Ortiz, R. A., Sáez-Fernández, E., Prados, J. C., Melguizo, C., Cabeza, L., ... & Arias, J. L. (2013). Nano-engineering of 5-fluorouracil-loaded magnetoliposomes for combined hyperthermia and chemotherapy against colon cancer. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 85(3), 329-338.
- [200] Pohlen, U., Buhr, H. J., Berger, G., Ritz, J. P., & Holmer, C. (2012). Hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) with PEGylated liposomes containing 5-FU improves tumor control of liver metastases in a rat model. *Investigational new drugs*, 30(3), 927-935.
- [201] Zhao, C., Feng, Q., Dou, Z., Yuan, W., Sui, C., Zhang, X., ... & Ma, J. (2013). Local targeted therapy of liver metastasis from colon cancer by galactosylated liposome encapsulated with doxorubicin. *PLoS One*, 8(9), e73860.
- [202] Ichihara, H., Hino, M., Umebayashi, M., Matsumoto, Y., & Ueoka, R. (2012). Intravenous injection of hybrid liposomes suppresses the liver metastases in xenograft mouse models of colorectal cancer in vivo. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 57, 143-148.
- [203] Yassin, A. E. B., Anwer, M. K., Mowafy, H. A., El-Bagory, I. M., Bayomi, M. A., & Alsarra, I. A. (2010). Optimization of 5-fluorouracil solid-lipid nanoparticles: a preliminary study to treat colon cancer. *International journal of medical sciences*, 7(6), 398.
- [204] Peters K, Muller RH. Nanosuspensions for oral administration of poorly soluble drugs; European Symposium on Formulation of Poorly-Available Drugs for Oral Administration. Paris: APGI; 1983. pp. 330–333.
- [205] Li, L., Xiang, D., Shigdar, S., Yang, W., Li, Q., Lin, J., ... & Duan, W. (2014). Epithelial cell adhesion molecule aptamer functionalized PLGA-lecithin-curcumin- PEG nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery to human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 9, 1083.
- [206] Zhang, M., Xiao, B., Wang, H., Han, M. K., Zhang, Z., Viennois, E., ... & Merlin, D. (2016). Edible ginger-derived nano-lipids loaded with doxorubicin as a novel drug-delivery approach for colon cancer therapy. *Molecular Therapy*, 24(10), 1783-1796.
- [207] Lee, C. S., Kim, H., Yu, J., Yu, S. H., Ban, S., Oh, S., ... & Kim, T. H. (2017). Doxorubicin-loaded oligonucleotide conjugated gold nanoparticles: A promising in vivo drug delivery system for colorectal cancer therapy. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 142, 416-423.
- [208] Safwat, M. A., Soliman, G. M., Sayed, D., & Attia, M. A. (2016). Gold nanoparticles enhance 5-fluorouracil anticancer efficacy against colorectal cancer cells. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 513(1-2), 648-658.
- [209] White, S. B., Kim, D. H., Guo, Y., Li, W., Yang, Y., Chen, J., ... & Larson, A. C. (2017). Biofunctionalized hybrid magnetic gold nanoparticles as catalysts for photothermal ablation of colorectal liver metastases. *Radiology*, 285(3), 809-819.
- [210] Araújo, A. A. D., Lima, K. M., Araújo Junior, R. F., Oliveira, A. L., Leitão, C. S., & Gasparotto, L. H. (2014). Environmentally compatible bioconjugated gold

nanoparticles as efficient contrast agents for colorectal cancer cell imaging.

- [211] Lin, D., Feng, S., Pan, J., Chen, Y., Lin, J., Chen, G., ... & Chen, R. (2011). Colorectal cancer detection by gold nanoparticle-based surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of blood serum and statistical analysis. *Optics express*, 19(14), 13565- 13577.
- [212] Ohulchanskyy, T. Y., Roy, I., Goswami, L. N., Chen, Y., Bergey, E. J., Pandey, R. K., ... & Prasad, P. N. (2007). Organically modified silica nanoparticles with covalently incorporated photosensitizer for photodynamic therapy of cancer. *Nano letters*, 7(9), 2835-2842.
- [213] Karbownik, M. S., & Nowak, J. Z. (2013). Hyaluronan: towards novel anti-cancer therapeutics. *Pharmacological Reports*, 65(5), 1056-1074.
- [214] Chen, L., She, X., Wang, T., He, L., Shigdar, S., Duan, W., & Kong, L. (2015). Overcoming acquired drug resistance in colorectal cancer cells by targeted delivery of 5-FU with EGF grafted hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *Nanoscale*, 7(33), 14080-14092.
- [215] Cho, Y. S., Yoon, T. J., Jang, E. S., Hong, K. S., Lee, S. Y., Kim, O. R., ... & Chang, K. (2010). Cetuximab-conjugated magneto-fluorescent silica nanoparticles for in vivo colon cancer targeting and imaging. *Cancer letters*, 299(1), 63-71.
- [216] Raju, G. S. R., Benton, L., Pavitra, E., & Yu, J. S. (2015). Multifunctional nanoparticles: recent progress in cancer therapeutics. *Chemical Communications*, 51(68), 13248-13259.
- [217] Zhu, P., Zhao, N., Sheng, D., Hou, J., Hao, C., Yang, X., ... & Zhang, L. (2016). Inhibition of growth and metastasis of colon cancer by delivering 5-fluorouracil-loaded pluronic P85 copolymer micelles. *Scientific reports*, 6(1), 1-11.
- [218] Nirei, T., Ishihara, S., Tanaka, T., Kiyomatsu, T., Kawai, K., Hata, K., ... & Watanabe, T. (2017). Polymeric micelles loaded with (1, 2-diaminocyclohexane) platinum (II) against colorectal cancer. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 218, 334-340.
- [219] Wu, H., Cabral, H., Toh, K., Mi, P., Chen, Y. C., Matsumoto, Y., ... & Kataoka, K. (2014). Polymeric micelles loaded with platinum anticancer drugs target preangiogenic micrometastatic niches associated with inflammation. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 189, 1-10.
- [220] Gao, X., Wang, B., Wei, X., Rao, W., Ai, F., Zhao, F., ... & Wei, Y. (2013). Preparation, characterization and application of star-shaped PCL/PEG micelles for the delivery of doxorubicin in the treatment of colon cancer. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 8, 971.
- [221] Mosafer, J., Abnous, K., Tafaghodi, M., Mokhtarzadeh, A., & Ramezani, M. (2017). In vitro and in vivo evaluation of anti-nucleolin-targeted magnetic PLGA nanoparticles loaded with doxorubicin as a theranostic agent for enhanced targeted cancer imaging and therapy. *European journal of pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceutics*, 113, 60-74.

- [222] Yoon, T. J., Kim, J. S., Kim, B. G., Yu, K. N., Cho, M. H., & Lee, J. K. (2005). Multifunctional nanoparticles possessing a “magnetic motor effect” for drug or gene delivery. *Angewandte Chemie*, 117(7), 1092-1095.
- [223] Huang, K. W., Chieh, J. J., Lin, I. T., Horng, H. E., Yang, H. C., & Hong, C. Y. (2013). Anti-CEA-functionalized superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for examining colorectal tumors in vivo. *Nanoscale research letters*, 8(1), 413.
- [224] Zhang, B., Yan, Y., Shen, Q., Ma, D., Huang, L., Cai, X., & Tan, S. (2017). A colon targeted drug delivery system based on alginate modified graphene oxide for colorectal liver metastasis. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 79, 185-190.
- [225] Dhanavel, S., Revathy, T. A., Sivaranjani, T., Sivakumar, K., Palani, P., Narayanan, V., & Stephen, A. (2020). 5-Fluorouracil and curcumin co-encapsulated chitosan/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites against human colon cancer cell lines. *Polymer Bulletin*, 77(1), 213-233.
- [226] Lee, P.C. et al. (2013) Targeting colon cancer cells with single-walled carbon nanotubes conjugated to anticancer agent SN-38 and EGFR antibody. *Biomater.* 34, 8756–8765.
- [227] Wu, L.M.C. et al. (2013) PEGylated multi-walled carbon nanotubes for encapsulation and sustained release of oxaliplatin. *Pharm. Res.* 30, 2412–2423.
- [228] Semwal R, Semwal D, Madan A, Paul P, Mujaffer F, Badoni R. Dendrimers: A novel approach for drug targeting. *J Pharm Res.* 2010;3:2238-47.
- [229] Tomalia DA, Baker H, Dewald J, Hall M, Kallos G, Martin S, et al. A New Class of Polymers: Starburst-Dendritic Macromolecules. *Polym J.* 1985;17(1):117-32.
- [230] Mignani S, Majoral JP. Dendrimers as macromolecular tools to tackle from colon to brain tumor types: a concise overview. *New J Chem.* 2013;37(11):3337.
- [231] Alibolandi, M., Taghdisi, S. M., Ramezani, P., Shamili, F. H., Farzad, S. A., Abnous, K., & Ramezani, M. (2017). Smart AS1411-aptamer conjugated pegylated PAMAM dendrimer for the superior delivery of camptothecin to colon adenocarcinoma in vitro and in vivo. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 519(1- 2), 352-364.
- [232] Pishavar, E., Ramezani, M., & Hashemi, M. (2019). Co-delivery of doxorubicin and TRAIL plasmid by modified PAMAM dendrimer in colon cancer cells, in vitro and in vivo evaluation. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 45(12), 1931- 1939.
- [233] Chibaudel, B., Maindrault-Goebel, F., André, T., Bachet, J. B., Louvet, C., Khalil, A., ... & GERCOR, Groupe Coopérateur Multidisciplinaire en Oncologie. (2015). PEPCOL: A randomized noncomparative phase II study of PEP02 (MM-398) or irinotecan in combination with leucovorin and 5-fluorouracil as second-line therapy inpatients with unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer—A GERCOR Study.
- [234] Saravanakumar, K., Hu, X., Ali, D. M., & Wang, M. H. (2019). Emerging Strategies in Stimuli-Responsive Nanocarriers as the Drug Delivery System for

Enhanced Cancer Therapy. *Current pharmaceutical design*, 25(24), 2609-2625.

- [235] Golan, T., Grenader, T., Ohana, P., Amitay, Y., Shmeeda, H., La-Beck, N. M., ... & Gabizon, A. A. (2015). Pegylated liposomal mitomycin C prodrug enhances tolerance of mitomycin C: a phase 1 study in advanced solid tumor patients. *Cancer medicine*, 4(10), 1472-1483.
- [236] Pooja, D., Kadari, A., Kulhari, H., & Sistla, R. (2018). Lipid-based nanomedicines: Current clinical status and future perspectives. In *Lipid Nanocarriers for Drug Targeting* (pp. 509-528).
- [237] He, H., Liu, L., Morin, E. E., Liu, M., & Schwendeman, A. (2019). Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines—Lessons learned from successes and failures. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(9), 2445-2461.
- [238] Alonso-Nocelo, M., Bouzo, B. L., Ruiz-Bañobre, J., & de la Fuente, M. (2018). Nanotheranostics and their potential in the management of metastatic cancer. In *Handbook of nanomaterials for cancer theranostics* (pp. 199-244).
- [239] He, H., Liu, L., Morin, E. E., Liu, M., & Schwendeman, A. (2019). Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines—Lessons learned from successes and failures. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(9), 2445-2461.
- [240] Liu, S., Li, Y., Wang, X., Ma, J., Zhang, L., & Xia, G. (2016). Preparation, characterization, and antitumor activities of miriplatin-loaded liposomes. *Journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 105(1), 78-87.
- [241] He, H., Liu, L., Morin, E. E., Liu, M., & Schwendeman, A. (2019). Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines—Lessons learned from successes and failures. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(9), 2445-2461.
- [242] Duro-Castano, A., Talelli, M., Rodríguez-Escalona, G., & Vicent, M. J. (2019). Smart Polymeric Nanocarriers for Drug Delivery. In *Smart Polymers and their Applications* (pp. 439-479). Woodhead Publishing.
- [243] He, H., Liu, L., Morin, E. E., Liu, M., & Schwendeman, A. (2019). Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines—Lessons learned from successes and failures. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(9), 2445-2461.
- [244] Gritli, I., Garmey, E. G., Eliasof, S., Tellez, A., Davis, M. E., & Yun, Y. (2016). Polymeric nanoparticles and cancer: Lessons learnt from CRLX101.
- [245] He, H., Liu, L., Morin, E. E., Liu, M., & Schwendeman, A. (2019). Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines—Lessons learned from successes and failures. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(9), 2445-2461.
- [246] Zhao, Y. (2019). U.S. Patent No. 10,188,605. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [247] Bayford, R. H., Roitt, I. M., Rademacher, T. W., Demosthenous, A., & Iles, R.K. (2015). U.S. Patent No. 9,125,583. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [248] Shieh DB, Yeh CS, Chen DH, Wu YN, Wu PC; National Cheng Kung University, Tainan (TW). Nano-carrier, complex of anticancer drug and nano-carrier,

pharmaceutical composition thereof, method for manufacturing the complex, and method for treating cancer by using the pharmaceutical composition. United States Patent US8673358B2. 2014.

- [249] Liu, W., & Hainfeld, J. F. (2012). U.S. Patent Application No. 13/072,591.
- [250] Zhao, Y. (2011). U.S. Patent No.2011130114. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [251] Bayford, R.H., Roitt, I.M., Rademacher, T.W., Demosthenous, A., Iles, R.K. Detection of cancer. WO2010052503 (2010).
- [252] Block, C., Mittmann, K., & Arntz, C. (2014). U.S. Patent No.20110110856. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [253] Amiji, M. M., Ganta, S., & Tsai, P. C. (2017). U.S. Patent No. 9,623,124. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [254] Amiji, M. M., Ganta, S., & Tsai, P. C. (2017). U.S. Patent No. 9,623,124. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [255] Senapati, S., Mahanta, A. K., Kumar, S., & Maiti, P. (2018). Controlled drug delivery vehicles for cancer treatment and their performance. *Signal transduction andtargeted therapy*, 3(1), 1-19.
- [256] Sia, D., Villanueva, A., Friedman, S. L., & Llovet, J. M. (2017). Liver cancer cell of origin, molecular class, and effects on patient prognosis. *Gastroenterology*, 152(4),745-761.
- [257] Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A (2015) Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 65:87–108.
- [258] Favoriti, P., Carbone, G., Greco, M., Pirozzi, F., Pirozzi, R. E. M., & Corcione, F. (2016). Worldwide burden of colorectal cancer: a review. *Updates in surgery*, 68(1),7-11.
- [259] Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., & Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 68(6), 394-424.
- [260] Li, Y. J., Dong, M., Kong, F. M., & Zhou, J. P. (2015). Folate-decorated anticancer drug and magnetic nanoparticles encapsulated polymeric carrier for liver cancer therapeutics. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 489(1-2), 83-90.
- [261] Park, K. (2013). Facing the truth about nanotechnology in drug delivery. *ACS nano*, 7(9), 7442-7447.
- [262] Ho, B. N., Pfeffer, C. M., & Singh, A. T. (2017). Update on nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems in cancer treatment. *Anticancer research*, 37(11), 5975- 5981.
- [263] Bugnicourt, L., & Ladavière, C. (2016). Interests of chitosan nanoparticles ionically cross-linked with tripolyphosphate for biomedical applications. *Progress in polymer science*, 60, 1-17.

- [264] Lee, M., Nah, J. W., Kwon, Y., Koh, J. J., Ko, K. S., & Kim, S. W. (2001). Water-soluble and low molecular weight chitosan-based plasmid DNA delivery. *Pharmaceutical research*, 18(4), 427-431.
- [265] Chae, S. Y., Jang, M. K., & Nah, J. W. (2005). Influence of molecular weight on oral absorption of water soluble chitosans. *Journal of controlled release*, 102(2), 383- 394.
- [266] Kudo, M., Trevisani, F., Abou-Alfa, G. K., & Rimassa, L. (2017). Hepatocellular carcinoma: therapeutic guidelines and medical treatment. *Liver Cancer*, 6(1), 16-26.
- [267] DiFrancesco, C. FDA Approves Nexavar for Patients with Inoperable Liver Cancer, 2007.
- [268] Benson AB 3rd, Abrams TA, Ben-Josef E, et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: hepatobiliary cancers. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2009;7(4):350–391.
- [269] Chang, Y. S., Adnane, J., Trail, P. A., Levy, J., Henderson, A., Xue, D., ... & Wilkie, D. (2007). Sorafenib (BAY 43-9006) inhibits tumor growth and vascularization and induces tumor apoptosis and hypoxia in RCC xenograft models. *Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology*, 59(5), 561-574.
- [270] Cervello, M., Bachvarov, D., Lampiasi, N., Cusimano, A., Azzolina, A., McCubrey, J. A., & Montalto, G. (2012). Molecular mechanisms of sorafenib action in liver cancer cells. *Cell cycle*, 11(15), 2843-2855.
- [271] Coriat, R., Nicco, C., Chereau, C., Mir, O., Alexandre, J., Ropert, S., ... & Batteux, F. (2012). Sorafenib-induced hepatocellular carcinoma cell death depends on reactive oxygen species production in vitro and in vivo. *Molecular cancer therapeutics*, 11(10), 2284-2293.
- [272] D. Chu, M.E. Lacouture, T. Fillos, S. Wu, Risk of hand-foot skin reaction with sorafenib: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Acta Oncologica*, 47 (2008) 176- 186.
- [273] J.S. Rink, M.P. Plebanek, S. Tripathy, C.S. Thaxton, Update on current and potential nanoparticle cancer therapies, *Current opinion in oncology*, 25 (2013) 646.
- [274] Xiao Y, Liu Y, Yang S, Zhang B, Wang T, Jiang D. et al. Sorafenib and Gadolinium co-loaded liposomes for drug delivery and MRI-guided HCC treatment. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2016.
- [275] Kim, D. H., Kim, M. D., Choi, C. W., Chung, C. W., Ha, S. H., Kim, C. H., ... & Kang, D. H. (2012). Antitumor activity of sorafenib-incorporated nanoparticles of dextran/poly (dl-lactide-co-glycolide) block copolymer. *Nanoscale research letters*, 7(1), 91.
- [276] Pawlik TM, Reyes DK, Cosgrove D, Kamel IR, Bhagat N, Geschwind J-FH. Phase II trial of sorafenib combined with concurrent transarterial chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:3960–7.

- [277] Yosef, H. K., Frick, T., Hammoud, M. K., Maghnouj, A., Hahn, S., Gerwert, K., & El-Mashtoly, S. F. (2018). Exploring the efficacy and cellular uptake of sorafenib in colon cancer cells by Raman micro-spectroscopy. *Analyst*, 143(24), 6069-6078.
- [278] Chang, Y. J., Hsu, W. H., Chang, C. H., Lan, K. L., Ting, G., & Lee, T. W. (2014). Combined therapeutic efficacy of ¹⁸⁸Re liposomes and sorafenib in an experimental colorectal cancer liver metastasis model by intrasplenic injection of C26 luc murine colon cancer cells. *Molecular and clinical oncology*, 2(3), 380-384.
- [279] Li, N., Chen, Y., Sun, H., Huang, T., Chen, T., Jiang, Y., ... & Wu, M. (2020). Decreasing acute toxicity and suppressing colorectal carcinoma using Sorafenib-loaded nanoparticles. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 25(5), 556-565.
- [280] Yap, T. A., Carden, C. P., & Kaye, S. B. (2009). Beyond chemotherapy: targeted therapies in ovarian cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 9(3), 167.
- [281] Choi, H., Choi, S. R., Zhou, R., Kung, H. F., & Chen, I. W. (2004). Iron oxide nanoparticles as magnetic resonance contrast agent for tumor imaging via folate receptor-targeted delivery. *Academic radiology*, 11(9), 996-1004.
- [282] Lu, Y., & Low, P. S. (2003). Immunotherapy of folate receptor-expressing tumors: review of recent advances and future prospects. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 91(1-2), 17-29.
- [283] Fang, C., Veisheh, O., Kievit, F., Bhattarai, N., Wang, F., Stephen, Z., Li, C., Lee, D., 498 Ellenbogen, R.G., Zhang, M., 2010. Functionalization Q10 of iron oxide magnetic 499 nanoparticles with targeting ligands: their physicochemical properties and in 500 vivo behaviour. *Nanomedicine (Lond.)* 5, 1357–1369.
- [284] Sudimack J, Lee RJ. (2000). Targeted drug delivery via the folate receptor. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 41:147–62. *ancer* 73:2432–43.
- [285] Lu Y, Low PS. (2002). Folate-mediated delivery of macromolecular anticancer therapeutic agents. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 54:675–93.
- [286] Masarudin, M. J. (2012). Chitosan nanoparticles as a delivery vehicle for [14C]-Doxorubicin and the formaldehyde releasing prodrug AN-250.
- [287] Chavanpatil MD, Khdair A, Panyam J. Surfactant-polymer nanoparticles: a novel platform for sustained and enhanced cellular delivery of water-soluble molecules. *Pharm Res.* 2007 Apr;24(4):803.
- [288] An, F. F., Cao, W., & Liang, X. J. (2014). Nanostructural systems developed with positive charge generation to drug delivery. *Advanced healthcare materials*, 3(8), 1162-1181.
- [289] Masarudin, M. J., Cutts, S. M., Evison, B. J., Phillips, D. R., & Pigram, P. J. (2015). Factors determining the stability, size distribution, and cellular accumulation of small, monodisperse chitosan nanoparticles as candidate vectors for anticancer drug delivery: application to the passive encapsulation of [14C]-doxorubicin. *Nanotechnology, science and applications*, 8, 67.

- [290] Chanphai, P., Konka, V., & Tajmir-Riahi, H. A. (2017). Folic acid–chitosan conjugation: A new drug delivery tool. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 238, 155-159.
- [291] Putri, D.C.; Dwiastuti, R.; Marchaban, M.; Nugroho, A.K. Optimization of mixing temperature and sonication duration in liposome preparation. *J. Pharm. Sci. Commun* 2017, 14, 79–85.
- [292] Kalyane, D., Raval, N., Maheshwari, R., Tambe, V., Kalia, K., & Tekade, R. K. (2019). Employment of enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR): Nanoparticle-based precision tools for targeting of therapeutic and diagnostic agent incancer. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 98, 1252-1276.
- [293] Bertrand, N., Wu, J., Xu, X., Kamaly, N., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2014). Cancer nanotechnology: the impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancerbiology. *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 66, 2-25.
- [294] Cabral, H., Matsumoto, Y., Mizuno, K., Chen, Q., Murakami, M., Kimura, M., ... & Nishiyama, N. (2011). Accumulation of sub-100 nm polymeric micelles in poorly permeable tumours depends on size. *Nature nanotechnology*, 6(12), 815-823.
- [295] Lustriane, C., Dwivany, F. M., Suendo, V., & Reza, M. (2018). Effect of chitosan and chitosan-nanoparticles on post harvest quality of banana fruits. *Journal of Plant Biotechnology*, 45(1), 36-44.
- [296] Elsayed, M. M., Mostafa, M. E., Alaaeldin, E., Sarhan, H. A., Shaykoon, M. S., Allam, S., ... & Elsadek, B. E. (2019). Design and characterisation of novel Sorafenib- loaded carbon nanotubes with distinct tumour-suppressive activity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 14, 8445.
- [297] İnce, İ., Yıldırım, Y., Güler, G., Medine, E. İ., Ballica, G., Kuşdemir, B. C., & Göker, E. (2020). Synthesis and characterization of folic acid-chitosan nanoparticles loaded with thymoquinone to target ovarian cancer cells. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 1-15.
- [298] Corazzari, I., Nisticò, R., Turci, F., Faga, M. G., Franzoso, F., Tabasso, S., & Magnacca, G. (2015). Advanced physico-chemical characterization of chitosan by means of TGA coupled on-line with FTIR and GCMS: Thermal degradation and wateradsorption capacity. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 112, 1-9.
- [299] Derrough, S., Raffin, G., Locatelli, D., Nobile, P., & Durand, C. (2013, April). Behaviour of nanoparticles during high temperature treatment (Incineration type). In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 429, No. 1, p. 012047).
- [300] Narvekar, M.; Xue, H.Y.; Eoh, J.Y.; Wong, H.L. Nanocarrier for poorly water-soluble anticancer drugs—Barriers of translation and solutions. *AAPS PharmSciTech*.2014, 15, 822–833.
- [301] Liu B, Han L, Liu J, Han S, Chen Z, Jiang L. Co-delivery of paclitaxel and TOS-cisplatin via TAT-targeted solid lipid nanoparticles with synergistic antitumor activityagainst cervical cancer. *Int J Nanomed*. 2017;12:955–968.
- [302] Cao C, Wang Q, Liu Y. Lung cancer combination therapy: doxorubicin and β -

elemene co-loaded, pH-sensitive nanostructured lipid carriers. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2019;13:1087–1098.

- [303] Khan MW, Zhao P, Khan A, et al. Synergism of cisplatin-oleanolic acid co-loaded calcium carbonate nanoparticles on hepatocellular carcinoma cells for enhanced apoptosis and reduced hepatotoxicity. *Int J Nanomedicine.* 2019;14:3753–3771.
- [304] Alvandifar, F., Ghaffari, B., Goodarzi, N., Ravari, N. S., Karami, F., Amini, M., & Atyabi, F. (2018). Dual drug delivery system of PLGA nanoparticles to reverse drug resistance by altering BAX/Bcl-2. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 47, 291-298.
- [305] Zhang R, Ru Y, Gao Y, Li J, Mao S. Layer-by-layer nanoparticles co-loading gemcitabine and platinum (IV) prodrugs for synergistic combination therapy of lung cancer. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2017;11:2631–2642.
- [306] Scarano, W., De Souza, P., & Stenzel, M. H. (2015). Dual-drug delivery of curcumin and platinum drugs in polymeric micelles enhances the synergistic effects: a double act for the treatment of multidrug-resistant cancer. *Biomaterials science*, 3(1), 163-174.
- [307] Babos, G., Biró, E., Meiczinger, M., & Feczko, T. (2018). Dual drug delivery of sorafenib and doxorubicin from PLGA and PEG-PLGA polymeric nanoparticles. *Polymers*, 10(8), 895.
- [308] Saeed, S. E., Mahnaz, T., Mehdi, F., Javad, M. and Bahram, R., Effects of Levodopa loaded chitosan nanoparticles on cell viability and caspase-3 expression in PC12 neural like cells. *Neurosciences*, 2013, 18(3), 281–283.
- [309] Khan, M.I.H.; An, X.; Dai, L.; Li, H.; Khan, A.; Ni, Y. Chitosan-based polymer matrix for pharmaceutical excipients and drug delivery. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2019, 26, 2502–2513.
- [310] Szymańska, E.; Winnicka, K. Stability of chitosan-a challenge for pharmaceutical and biomedical applications. *Mar. Drugs* 2015, 13, 1819–1846.
- [311] Clemons, T.D.; Singh, R.; Sorolla, A.; Chaudhari, N.; Hubbard, A.; Iyer, K.S. Distinction between active and passive targeting of nanoparticles dictate their overall therapeutic efficacy. *Langmuir* 2018, 34, 15343–15349.
- [312] John, A. A., Jaganathan, S. K., Ayyar, M., Krishnasamy, N. P., Rajasekar, R., & Supriyanto, E. (2017). Folic acid decorated chitosan nanoparticles and its derivatives for the delivery of drugs and genes to cancer cells. *Current Science*, 113(8), 1530.
- [313] Dhanavel, S., Nivethaa, E. A. K., Narayanan, V., & Stephen, A. (2017). In vitro cytotoxicity study of dual drug loaded chitosan/palladium nanocomposite towards HT-29 cancer cells. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 75, 1399-1410.
- [314] Handali, S., Moghimipour, E., Rezaei, M., Ramezani, Z., & Dorkoosh, F. A. (2020). PHBV/PLGA nanoparticles for enhanced delivery of 5-Fluorouracil as promising treatment of colon cancer. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 25(2), 206-218.
- [315] Guo, J., Yu, Z., Das, M., & Huang, L. (2020). Nano Codelivery of Oxaliplatin

and Folinic Acid Achieves Synergistic Chemo-Immunotherapy with 5-Fluorouracil for Colorectal Cancer and Liver Metastasis. *ACS nano*, 14(4), 5075-5089.

- [316] Peters, G. J., Backus, H. H. J., Freemantle, S., Van Triest, B., Codacci-Pisanelli, G., Van der Wilt, C. L., ... & McLeod, H. L. (2002). Induction of thymidylate synthase as a 5-fluorouracil resistance mechanism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)- Molecular Basis of Disease*, 1587(2-3), 194-205.
- [317] Mokhamer, E. H., Yehia, M. M., Ramadan, H. S., & EL-Gendy, O. (2019). Amelioration of the therapeutic efficacy of 5-Fluorouracil loaded chitosan nanoparticles in experimentally induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Journal of Medical and Life Science*, 1(1), 1-18.
- [318] Ni, W., Li, Z., Liu, Z., Ji, Y., Wu, L., Sun, S., ... & Gao, X. (2019). Dual-targeting nanoparticles: codelivery of curcumin and 5-fluorouracil for synergistic treatment of hepatocarcinoma. *Journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 108(3), 1284-1295.
- [319] Heidarinassab, A.; Panahi, H.A.; Faramarzi, M.; Farjadian, F. Synthesis of thermosensitive magnetic nanocarrier for controlled sorafenib delivery. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2016, 67, 42–50.
- [320] Shi, L., Hu, Y., Lin, A., Ma, C., Zhang, C., Su, Y., ... & Zhu, X. (2016). Matrix metalloproteinase responsive nanoparticles for synergistic treatment of colorectal cancer via simultaneous anti-angiogenesis and chemotherapy. *Bioconjugate chemistry*, 27(12), 2943-2953.
- [321] John, A. A., Jaganathan, S. K., Ayyar, M., Krishnasamy, N. P., Rajasekar, R., & Supriyanto, E. (2017). Folic acid decorated chitosan nanoparticles and its derivatives for the delivery of drugs and genes to cancer cells. *Current Science*, 113(8), 1530.
- [322] Lu, Y. and Low, P. S., Folate-mediated delivery of macromolecular anticancer therapeutic agents. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 2002, 54(5), 675–693.
- [323] Tsume, Y., Hilfinger, J. M. and Amidon, G. L., Enhanced cancer cell growth inhibition by dipeptide prodrugs of floxuridine: increased transporter affinity and metabolic stability. *Mol. Pharm.*, 2008, 5(5), 717–727.
- [324] Kumar, C. S., Thangam, R., Mary, S. A., Kannan, P. R., Arun, G., & Madhan, B. (2020). Targeted delivery and apoptosis induction of trans-resveratrol-ferulic acid loaded chitosan coated folic acid conjugate solid lipid nanoparticles in colon cancer cells. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 231, 115682.
- [325] Mirzaghavami, P. S., Khoei, S., Khoee, S., & Shirvalilou, S. (2020). Folic Acid Conjugated PEG-PCL-PEG Triblock Copolymer Nanoparticles for Enhanced Delivery of 5-Fluorouracil to HT29 Colon Cancer Cells.
- [326] Akinyelu, J., & Singh, M. (2019). Folate-tagged chitosan-functionalized gold nanoparticles for enhanced delivery of 5-fluorouracil to cancer cells. *Applied Nanoscience*, 9(1), 7-17.
- [327] Mirzaghavami, P. S., Khoei, S., Khoee, S., & Shirvalilou, S. (2020). Folic Acid Conjugated PEG-PCL-PEG Triblock Copolymer Nanoparticles for Enhanced

Delivery of 5-Fluorouracil to HT29 Colon Cancer Cells.

- [328] Wang, R., Huang, J., Chen, J., Yang, M., Wang, H., Qiao, H., ... & Li, J. (2019). Enhanced anti-colon cancer efficacy of 5-fluorouracil by epigallocatechin-3-gallate co-loaded in wheat germ agglutinin-conjugated nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 21, 102068.
- [329] Chen, G., & Gong, R. (2016). Study on fluorouracil–chitosan nanoparticle preparation and its antitumor effect. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 24(3), 250-253.
- [330] Ruman U, Buskaran K, Pastorin G, Masarudin MJ, Fakurazi S, Hussein MZ (2021). Synthesis and Characterization of Chitosan-Based Nanodelivery Systems to Enhance the Anticancer Effect of Sorafenib Drug in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Colorectal Adenocarcinoma Cells. *Nanomaterials*; 11(2):497.
- [331] J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R. Dikshit, S. Eser, C. Mathers, M. Rebelo, D.M. Parkin, D. Forman, F. Bray, Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: Sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012, *Int. J. Cancer* 136 (5) (2015) E359–E386.
- [332] A.C. Mamede, S. Guerra, M. Laranjo, M.J. Carvalho, R.C. Oliveira, A.C. Goncalves, R. Alves, L. Prado Castro, A.B. Sarmiento-Ribeiro, P. Moura, A.M. Abrantes, C.J. Maia, M.F. Botelho, Selective cytotoxicity and cell death induced by human amniotic membrane in hepatocellular carcinoma, *Med. Oncol.* 32 (12) (2015).
- [333] Bae, Y. H., & Park, K. (2020). Advanced drug delivery 2020 and beyond: Perspectives on the future. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*.
- [334] Zheng, M.; Zhao, P.; Luo, Z.; Gong, P.; Zheng, C.; Zhang, P.; Yue, C.; Gao, D.; Ma, Y.; Cai, L. Robust ICG Theranostic Nanoparticles for Folate Targeted Cancer Imaging and Highly Effective Photothermal Therapy. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2014, 6 (9), 6709-6716.
- [335] N. Tyagi, Y. H. Song, and R. De, “Recent progress on biocompatible nanocarrier-based genistein delivery systems in cancer therapy,” *Journal of Drug Targeting*, pp. 1–14, 2018.
- [336] J. Zhao, Y. Wang, Y. Ma, Y. Liu, B. Yan, and L. Wang, “Smart nanocarrier based on PEGylated hyaluronic acid for deacetyl mycoepoxydience: high stability with enhanced bioavailability and efficiency,” *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 203, pp. 356– 368, 2019.
- [337] Zhou, L.; Dong, K.; Chen, Z.; Ren, J.; Qu, X. Near-infrared Absorbing Mesoporous Carbon Nanoparticle as an Intelligent Drug Carrier for Dual-triggered Synergistic Cancer Therapy. *Carbon* 2015, 82, 479-488.
- [338] Liu J, Cui L, Losic D. Graphene and graphene oxide as new nanocarriers for drug delivery applications. *Acta Biomater.* 2013;9:9243-9257.
- [339] Shen H, Zhang L, Liu M, Zhang Z. Biomedical applications of graphene. *Theranostics*. 2012;2:283-294.
- [340] Wang Y, Li Z, Wang J, Li J, Lin Y. Graphene and graphene oxide:

biofunctionalization and applications in biotechnology. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2011;29:205-212.

- [341] I.B. Olenych, O.I. Aksimentyeva, L.S. Monastyrskii, Y.Y. Horbenko, M.V. Partyka, A.P. Luchchko, L.I. Yarytska, Effect of graphene oxide on the properties of porous silicon, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 11 (1) (2016).
- [342] D.C. Zancanela, A.M.S. Simão, C.G. Francisco, A.N. de Faria, A.P. Ramos, R.R. Gonçalves, E.Y. Matsubara, J.M. Rosolen, P. Ciancaglini, Graphene oxide and titanium: synergistic effects on the biomineralization ability of osteoblast cultures, *J. Mater. Sci. - Mater. Med.* 27 (4) (2016).
- [343] Y. Wang, B. Fugetsu, I. Sakata, M. Terrones, M. Endo, M. Dresselhaus, Morphology-controlled fabrication of a three-dimensional mesoporous poly(vinyl alcohol) monolith through the incorporation of graphene oxide, *Carbon* 98 (2016) 334–342.
- [344] Gooneh-Farahani, S., Naghib, S. M., & Naimi-Jamal, M. R. (2019). A critical comparison study on the pH-sensitive nanocomposites based on graphene-grafted chitosan for cancer theragnosis. *Multidisciplinary Cancer Investigation*, 3(1), 5-16.
- [345] Liu J, Cui L, Losic D. Graphene and graphene oxide as new nanocarriers for drug delivery applications. *Acta biomaterialia*. 2013;9(12):9243-57.
- [346] Bao, H., Pan, Y., Ping, Y., Sahoo, N. G., Wu, T., Li, L., ... & Gan, L. H. (2011). Chitosan-functionalized graphene oxide as a nanocarrier for drug and gene delivery. *Small*, 7(11), 1569-1578.
- [347] Chan, P., Kurisawa, M., Chung, J. E., and Yang, Y. Y. (2007) Synthesis and characterization of chitosan-g-poly(ethylene glycol)-folate as a non-viral carrier for tumor-targeted gene delivery. *Biomaterials* 28, 540–549.
- [348] Oyewumi, M. O., Yokel, R. A., Jay, M., Coakley, T., and Mumper, R. J. (2004) Comparison of cell uptake, biodistribution and tumor retention of folate-coated and PEG-coated gadolinium nanoparticles in tumor-bearing mice. *J. Controlled Release* 95,613–626.
- [349] Sudimack J, Lee RJ. Targeted drug delivery via the folate receptor. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2000;41(2):147-62. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-409X(99)00062-9 PMID: 10699311.
- [350] <http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/2007/ucm109030.htm>. Accessed April 14, 2016.
- [351] Heidarinassab, A.; Panahi, H.A.; Faramarzi, M.; Farjadian, F. Synthesis of thermosensitive magnetic nanocarrier for controlled sorafenib delivery. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2016, 67, 42–50.
- [352] Justin R, Chen B. Characterisation and drug release performance of biodegradable chitosan–graphene oxide nanocomposites. *Carbohydrate polymers*. 2014;103:70-80.
- [353] Marcano, D. C., Kosynkin, D. V., Berlin, J. M., Sinitskii, A., Sun, Z., Slesarev, A., ... & Tour, J. M. (2010). Improved synthesis of graphene oxide. *ACS nano*,

4(8), 4806-4814.

- [354] Zhao, R., Li, T., Zheng, G., Jiang, K., Fan, L., & Shao, J. (2017). Simultaneous inhibition of growth and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma by co-delivery of ursolic acid and sorafenib using lactobionic acid modified and pH-sensitive chitosan-conjugated mesoporous silica nanocomplex. *Biomaterials*, 143, 1-16.
- [355] Indorante, S. J., Hammer, R. D., Koenig, P. G., & Follmer, L. R. (1990). Particle-size analysis by a modified pipette procedure. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 54(2), 560-563.
- [356] Souza, T. G., Ciminelli, V. S., & Mohallem, N. D. S. (2016, July). A comparison of TEM and DLS methods to characterize size distribution of ceramic nanoparticles. In *Journal of physics: conference series* (Vol. 733, No. 1, p. 012039).

BIODATA OF STUDENT

The student, Umme Ruman, was born on 23rd of December 1993 in Dhaka, Bangladesh. She completed her primary and secondary school in Bangladesh. She completed her Bachelor of Science (Hons) in Bioprocess Engineering in 2017 at Faculty of Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. She Started her postgraduate study in 2018 at the Institute of Advanced Technology, Maju, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in the field of Nanotechnology.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Ruman, U.,** Fakurazi, S., Masarudin, M. J., & Hussein, M. Z. (2020). Nanocarrier-based therapeutics and theranostics drug delivery systems for next generation of liver cancer nanodrug modalities. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 15, 1437.
- Ruman, U.,** Buskaran, K., Pastorin, G., Masarudin, M. J., Fakurazi, S., & Hussein, M. Z. (2021). Synthesis and Characterization of Chitosan-Based Nanodelivery Systems to Enhance the Anticancer Effect of Sorafenib Drug in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Colorectal Adenocarcinoma Cells. *Nanomaterials*, 11(2), 497.





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT
AND COPYRIGHT**

ACADEMIC SESSION: Second Semester 2020/2021

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT:

SYNTHESIS AND CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF SORAFENIB- AND 5-
FLUOROURACIL-LOADED CHITOSAN, GRAPHENE-OXIDE AND FOLIC-ACID
BASED NANOCARRIERS FOR LIVER AND COLON CANCER

NAME OF STUDENT: UMME RUMAN

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

*Please tick (✓)

☐

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

☐

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

☒

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for:



PATENT

Embargo from _____ until
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman
of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]