



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION IN BREAST CANCER SCREENING
UPTAKE, KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEFS AMONG YEMENI FEMALE
SCHOOL TEACHERS IN THE KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA***

SARAH NOMAN ABDULRAHMAN NOMAN



**EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION IN BREAST CANCER SCREENING
UPTAKE, KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEFS AMONG YEMENI FEMALE
SCHOOL TEACHERS IN THE KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA**

By

SARAH NOMAN ABDULRAHMAN NOMAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION IN BREAST CANCER SCREENING
UPTAKE, KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEFS AMONG YEMENI FEMALE
SCHOOL TEACHERS IN THE KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA**

By

SARAH NOMAN ABDULRAHMAN NOMAN

July 2020

**Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Hejar Abdul Rahman, MD, M.Community Health
Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences**

Breast cancer (BC) is the most frequently diagnosed cancer among women worldwide. The role of teachers in educating and communicating with students plays an essential role in health education and in the promotion of healthy behaviour such as BCS. This study aims to develop, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of an educational intervention on BCS using the Health Belief Model (HBM). The highlighted outcomes of the sample include their BCS uptake, knowledge, and beliefs. A cluster-randomised controlled trial was conducted among 180 female Yemeni teachers in twelve schools in the Klang Valley, Malaysia with the schools as the unit of randomisation (clusters). A random assignment of the target schools was made using the block randomisation technique to either include them within the intervention or the control group. The intervention group were offered a 90-minute one-day BCS educational intervention session, while the same offer was made to the control group at the end of the study. The data were collected at baseline, 1-month post intervention followed by 3 and 6-months follow-up assessments using reliable and valid Arabic questionnaires. Data analysis was performed using the SPSS software 22.0. Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) with a confidence interval of 95% and P-value less than 0.05 were conducted to assess the differences between group and within group effects, and the interaction effect over time.

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding the respondents' characteristics and the outcome variables at baseline. Generally, the groups demonstrated an overall significant higher changes in breast self-examination (BSE), and in clinical breast examination (CBE) post intervention for the intervention group than the control group with adjusted odds ratio (AOR) of 17.51 (CI: 8.22-37.29) and 2.75 (CI: 1.42-5.32) respectively. The AOR of BSE performance within the intervention group was increased over the six months from 11.01 (CI: 5.05-24.04) to 18.55 (CI: 8.83-38.99). Similarly, the AOR of CBE uptake within the intervention group was increased from 1.60 (CI: 1.02-2.52) to 2.27 (CI: 1.44-3.58). For secondary outcomes, the between

and within groups analysis showed an overall significant increase in the mean knowledge scores for the intervention group than the control group at ($P<0.001$). The results for between groups indicated overall significant differences in the mean beliefs scores for benefits of BSE ($P<0.001$), barriers of BSE ($P<0.001$), confidence on the ability to do BSE ($P=0.014$), health motivation ($P<0.001$), benefits of MMG ($P=0.025$), and benefits of CBE ($P<0.001$). Furthermore, within group analysis over the six months found the mean health beliefs scores in the intervention group increased significantly than the control group for benefits of BSE (23.20 to 26.22, $P<0.001$), confidence in the ability to perform BSE (30.34 to 35.30, $P<0.001$), benefits of CBE (15.73 to 16.76, $P=0.031$), and benefits of MMG (21.94 to 23.99, $P=0.017$). Besides, there has been a significant decrease in the barriers towards BSE (12.81 to 11.00, $P=0.002$). These results show the effectiveness of educational intervention based on the HBM in improving BSE and CBE uptake, knowledge, and in reducing barriers.

Keywords: Breast cancer screening, knowledge, beliefs, educational intervention, Yemeni teachers.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi Keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

INTERVENSI PENDIDIKAN DALAM AMALAN, PENGETAHUAN DAN KEPERCAYAAN MENGENAI SARINGAN KANSER PAYUDARA DI KALANGAN GURU SEKOLAH WANITA BERBANGSA YEMEN DI LEMBAH KLANG, MALAYSIA

Oleh

SARAH NOMAN ABDULRAHMAN NOMAN

Julai 2020

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Hejar Abdul Rahman, MD, M.Community Health
Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Kanser Payudara (BC) adalah kanser yang paling kerap dikesan di kalangan wanita seluruh dunia. Peranan guru dalam mendidik dan berkomunikasi dengan pelajar berkemungkinan memainkan peranan penting dalam pendidikan kesihatan dan dalam mempromosi tingkah laku sihat seperti BCS. Kajian ini bertujuan membangun, melaksana dan menilai keberkesanan intervensi pendidikan BCS menggunakan Health Belief Model (HBM). Hasil utama sampel yang diketengahkan termasuk amalan, pengetahuan serta kepercayaan berkaitan BCS. Kajian terkawal kelompok secara rawak dibuat melibatkan 180 guru wanita Yaman di dua belas sekolah di Lembah Klang, Malaysia dengan sekolah sebagai unit perawakan (kelompok). Pembahagian sekolah sasaran dibuat secara rawak menggunakan teknik perawakan blok bagi memasukkannya sama ada ke dalam kumpulan intervensi atau kawalan. Peserta kumpulan intervensi diberikan sesi intervensi pendidikan BCS selama 90 minit untuk sehari, manakala sesi yang sama diberikan kepada peserta kumpulan kawalan di akhir kajian. Data dikumpulkan pada peringkat awal, sebulan selepas intervensi dan diikuti penilaian susulan pada 3 dan 6 bulan menggunakan borang soal selidik bahasa Arab yang telah ditentusahkan dan boleh dipercayai. Data dianalisa menggunakan perisian SPSS 22.0, menggunakan *Generalized Estimating Equations* (GEE) dengan selang keyakinan 95% dan nilai P kurang daripada 0.05 bagi menilai perbezaan kesan antara intra- dan inter-kumpulan serta kesan interaksi mengikut masa.

Tiada perbezaan statistik yang signifikan ditemui mengenai ciri-ciri responden dan pemboleh ubah hasil antara kedua-dua kumpulan pada peringkat awal. Secara amnya, kesemua kumpulan menunjukkan peningkatan perubahan keseluruhan yang signifikan bagi Pemeriksaan Kendiri Payudara (BSE) serta Pemeriksaan Klinikal Payudara (CBE) selepas intervensi bagi kumpulan intervensi berbanding kumpulan kawalan dengan Nisbah Kemungkinan Dilaraskan (AOR) masing-masing pada 17.51 (CI: 8.22-37.29)

dan 2.75 (CI: 1.42-5.32). AOR bagi prestasi BSE inter-kumpulan intervensi meningkat dalam tempoh enam bulan dari 11.01 (CI: 5.05-24.04) kepada 18.55 (CI: 8.83-38.99). Begitu juga, AOR bagi amalan CBE inter-kumpulan intervensi meningkat daripada 1.60 (CI: 1.02-2.52) kepada 2.27 (CI: 1.44-3.58). Bagi hasil sekunder, analisa inter- dan intra-kumpulan menunjukkan peningkatan keseluruhan yang signifikan bagi skor min pengetahuan kumpulan intervensi berbanding kumpulan kawalan pada ($P<0.001$). Keputusan intra-kumpulan menunjukkan perbezaan keseluruhan yang signifikan bagi skor min kepercayaan bagi manfaat BSE ($P<0.001$), halangan terhadap BSE ($P<0.001$), keyakinan terhadap kemampuan melakukan BSE ($P=0.014$), motivasi kesihatan ($P<0.001$), manfaat MMG ($P=0.025$) serta manfaat CBE ($P<0.001$). Tambahan pula, analisa inter-kumpulan selama enam bulan mendapati skor min kepercayaan kesihatan bagi kumpulan intervensi meningkat dengan ketara berbanding kumpulan kawalan bagi manfaat BSE (23.20 kepada 26.22, $P<0.001$), keyakinan terhadap kemampuan melakukan BSE (30.34 kepada 35.30, $P<0.001$), manfaat CBE (15.73 kepada 16.76, $P=0.031$) dan manfaat MMG (21.94 kepada 23.99, $P=0.017$). Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat penurunan ketara bagi halangan terhadap BSE (12.81 kepada 11.00, $P=0.002$). Keputusan-keputusan ini membuktikan keberkesanan intervensi pendidikan berdasarkan HBM dalam meningkatkan amalan dan pengetahuan berkaitan BSE dan CBE, serta dalam mengurangkan halangannya.

Kata kunci: Saringan kanser payudara, pengetahuan, kepercayaan, intervensi pendidikan, guru Yaman.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. All praises be to Allah Almighty, who has given me strength, patience and ability to work through hard times to prepare this work. First and foremost, I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof Madya Dr. Hejar Abdul Rahman for her invaluable and incessant guidance throughout my thesis with her patience and knowledge. I would like to thank my co-supervisors Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hayati Binti Kadir @ Shahar and Assoc. Prof Dr. Suriani Binti Ismail for their insightful comments and encouragement.

I dedicate this humble work to the soul of my father. I hope it will make him proud of me. I am forever grateful to my mom, my greatest treasure and gift in my life, thank you for instilling in me the desire to achieve my goals and commitment to finish what I start. I would like to extend my thanks to my sister and brothers, who have always encouraged me to strive towards success. Your prayers and wishes have given me the strength to continue in troubled times. My deep gratitude also goes to my husband for always being there who offered me unconditional love and support throughout the course of this thesis.

Good friends are like stars you do not always see but you feel their prayers, encouragement and motivation. I would like to thank my friends for their moral support during my study. I would like to extend my appreciation to those who gave a helpful hand in ensuring the success of this research. This research would not have come to fruition without all your help and supports. Thank you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Hejar Abdul Rahman, MD, M.Community Health

Associate Professor (Medical)
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hayati binti Kadir @ Shahar, MD, M.Community Health

Associate Professor (Medical)
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Suriani binti Ismail, MD, MPH, PhD

Associate Professor (Medical)
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 January 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Sarah Noman Abdulrahman, GS37634

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Significance of the Study	6
1.4 Research Question	7
1.5 Research Objectives	7
1.5.1 General Objective	7
1.5.2 Specific Objectives	7
1.6 Hypothesis of the Study	8
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Epidemiology of Breast Cancer	10
2.3 Risk Factors of Breast Cancer	14
2.3.1 Demographic Factors	14
2.3.2 Genetic Factors	14
2.3.3 Family History and Personal Characteristics	15
2.3.4 Reproductive Factors	15
2.3.5 Hormonal Factors	16
2.3.6 Breast-Related Factors	16
2.3.7 Lifestyle Factors	17
2.3.8 Environmental Factors	18
2.4 Breast Cancer Screening	19
2.4.1 Mammography	19
2.4.2 Clinical Breast Examination	23
2.4.3 Breast Self-Examination	25
2.4.4 Ultrasound	26
2.4.5 Factors Associated with Breast cancer Screening Methods	27
2.4.5.1 Factors Associated with Mammography Screening	27
2.4.5.2 Factors Associated with Clinical Breast Examination	29

2.4.5.3	Factors Associated with Breast Self-Examination	30
2.5	Knowledge Related to Breast Cancer Screening	31
2.6	Health Beliefs Related to Breast Cancer Screening	33
2.7	Breast Cancer Knowledge, Beliefs, and Breast cancer Screening Uptake among Female Teachers	34
2.8	Health Behavioral Theories and Models on Breast Cancer Screening	37
2.9	Health Belief Model	38
2.9.1	Background	38
2.9.2	Health Belief Model Constructs	38
2.9.3	Application of the Health Belief Model Scales for Breast Cancer Screening	41
2.9.4	Studies on Health Belief Model Regarding Breast Cancer Screening	42
2.10	A Systematic Review of the Effectiveness of Educational Interventions on Breast Cancer Screening Uptake, Knowledge, and Beliefs among Women	44
2.10.1	Introduction of the Systematic Review	44
2.10.2	Methods of the Systematic Review	45
2.10.2.1	Literature Search of the Systematic Review	45
2.10.2.2	Data Synthesis	46
2.10.3	Results of the Systematic Review	46
2.10.3.1	Characteristics of Study	46
2.10.4	Outcome Measures and Study Results of the Systematic Review	74
2.10.4.1	Breast Cancer Screening Uptake	74
2.10.4.2	Knowledge of Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	76
2.10.4.3	Health Beliefs of Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	77
2.10.5	Discussion of the Systematic Review	79
2.10.6	Conclusion of the Systematic Review	80
2.10.7	Recommendations of the Systematic Review	80
2.11	Conceptual Framework of the Study	81
3	METHODOLOGY	83
3.1	Study Location	83
3.2	Study Design	83
3.3	Study Population	85
3.4	Study Duration	85
3.5	Sampling	85
3.5.1	Sample Population	85
3.5.2	Selection Criteria	85
3.5.2.1	Inclusion Criteria	85
3.5.2.2	Exclusion Criteria	86
3.5.3	Sampling Frame	86
3.5.4	Sampling Unit	86
3.5.5	Sample Size Calculation	86

	3.5.6	Sampling Method	88
3.6		Recruitment of Participants	89
3.7		Type of Randomisation	89
	3.7.1	Sequence Generation	89
	3.7.2	Allocation Concealment	90
	3.7.3	Implementation of Randomisation	90
3.8		Data Collection Process	90
3.9		Study Instruments	90
	3.9.1	Intervention	90
3.10		Quality Control of Study Instruments	97
	3.10.1	Validity of the Intervention Module	97
	3.10.2	Validity of the Study Questionnaire	97
	3.10.3	Translation of the Questionnaire and Intervention Module	100
3.11		Study Variables and Operational Definition	101
	3.11.1	Dependent Variables	101
	3.11.2	Independent Variables	102
3.12		Data Analysis	102
3.13		Strategy of Data Analysis	103
	3.13.1	Screening and Cleaning of Data	103
	3.13.2	3.13.2 Method of Handling Missing Data	103
3.14		Ethical Consideration	104
4		RESULTS	105
4.1		Response Rate	105
4.2		Normality Distribution	105
4.3		Characteristics of Teachers at Baseline	107
	4.3.1	Characteristics of Socio-Demographic and other Factors at Baseline	107
	4.3.2	Characteristics of BSE and BCS Uptake at Baseline	107
	4.3.3	Characteristics of Knowledge and Beliefs on BC and BCS at Baseline	108
4.4		Comparisons of Participants in the Intervention Group and Control Group at Baseline	109
	4.4.1	Comparisons of Socio-Demographic Characteristics in the Intervention Group and Control Group at Baseline	109
	4.4.2	Comparison of Participants BSE and BCS Uptake in the Intervention and Control Groups at Baseline	109
4.5		Comparison of Participants' Knowledge and Beliefs on BC and BCS in the Intervention and Control Groups at Baseline	109
4.6		Comparisons of Outcome Variables in the Intervention and Control Groups at one Month after Intervention	109
	4.6.1	Comparisons of BSE and BCS Uptake Between Intervention and Control Groups at One Month after Intervention	109
	4.6.2	Comparisons of Knowledge and Beliefs on BC and BCS Between Intervention and Control Groups at One Month after Intervention	110

4.7	Comparisons of Outcome Variables in the Intervention and Control Groups at three Months after Intervention	111
4.7.1	Comparisons of BSE and BCS Uptake Between Intervention and Control Groups at three Months after Intervention	111
4.7.2	Comparisons of knowledge and Beliefs on BC and BCS Between Intervention and Control Groups at three Months after Intervention	112
4.8	Comparisons of Outcome Variables in the Intervention and Control Groups at six Months after Intervention	113
4.8.1	Comparisons of BSE and BCS Uptake Between Intervention and Control Groups at six Months after Intervention	113
4.8.2	Comparisons of Knowledge and Beliefs on BC and BCS Between Intervention and Control Groups at six Months after Intervention	114
4.9	Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) to Measure the Changes of Outcome Variables Between and within the Intervention and Control Groups Over Time	115
4.9.1	Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) to Measure the Changes of Primary Outcome Variable (BSE and BCS Uptake) Between and Within the Intervention and Control Groups Over Time	115
4.9.2	Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) to Measure the Changes of Secondary Outcome Variable (Knowledge) Between and Within the Intervention and Control Groups Over Time	123
4.9.3	Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) to Measure the Changes of Secondary Outcome Variable (Beliefs) Between and Within the Intervention and Control Groups Over Time	124
4.10	Summary of the Results	148
4.10.1	Breast Self-Examination and Breast Cancer Screening Uptake	148
4.10.2	Knowledge on Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	149
4.10.3	Health Beliefs on Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	150
5	DISCUSSION	151
5.1	Response Rate	151
5.2	Characteristics of the Study Population at Baseline	151
5.2.1	Age	151
5.2.2	Marital Status	151
5.2.3	Educational Level	152
5.2.4	Income	152
5.2.5	Family History of BC	152
5.2.6	Read/heard on BCS	152
5.3	Differences Between the Study Groups	153

5.4	Breast Cancer-Screening Practice, Knowledge, and Beliefs at Baseline	153
5.4.1	Respondents' Breast Cancer Screening Practice Level at Baseline	153
5.4.2	Respondents' Knowledge Level at Baseline	154
5.4.3	Respondents' Health Beliefs Level at Baseline	155
5.5	Effectiveness of the Educational Intervention	156
5.5.1	Effectiveness of the Educational Intervention on Breast Self Examination and Breast Cancer Screening Uptake	156
5.5.1.1	Breast Self-Examination	156
5.5.1.2	Clinical Breast Examination	159
5.5.1.3	Mammography	160
5.5.2	Effectiveness of Educational Intervention on the Knowledge Level of Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	163
5.5.3	Effectiveness of Educational Intervention on the Beliefs of Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Screening	165
6	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	168
6.1	Summary	168
6.2	Conclusion	168
6.3	Strengths of the Study	169
6.4	Limitation of the Study	170
6.5	Recommendation	171
	REFERENCES	172
	APPENDICES	194
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	274
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	275

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Top five most frequent cancers in Yemen	3
2.1 TNM system details	11
2.2 Anatomic Stage/Prognostic group	12
2.3 Key concepts and the definition of the HBM	40
2.4 Inclusion and exclusion criteria of the systematic review's articles	47
2.5 Interventions characteristics & findings	49
2.6 Instrument details	63
3.1 List of Arabic schools in Klang Valley area (2016)	84
3.2 Information used in sample size calculation	87
3.3 Additional adjustment for the sample size calculation	87
3.4 List of the selected schools and allocation number of teachers	88
3.5 Time frame & information collected at the four time points	93
3.6 Outline of the educational program on breast cancer screening	96
3.7 Internal consistency reliability of knowledge questionnaire	99
3.8 Internal consistency reliability of the HBM	99
4.1 Characteristics of socio-demographic and other factors at baseline (n=180)	107
4.2 Characteristics of BSE and BCS uptake at baseline (n=180)	108
4.3 Characteristics of knowledge and beliefs on BC and BCS at baseline (n=180)	108
4.4 Comparisons of participants socio-demographic characteristics in the intervention group and control group at baseline	110
4.5 Comparison of participants BSE and BCS uptake in the intervention and control groups at baseline	111

4.6	Comparison of participants' knowledge and beliefs on BC and BCS between intervention and control groups at baseline (n=184)	112
4.7	Comparison of BSE and BCS uptake between intervention and control groups at one month after intervention	113
4.8	Comparison of participants' knowledge and beliefs on BC and BCS between intervention and control groups at one month after intervention	114
4.9	Comparison of participants BSE and BCS uptake in the intervention and control groups at three months after intervention	115
4.10	Comparison of participants' knowledge and beliefs on BC and BCS between intervention and control groups at three months	116
4.11	Comparison of participants BSE and BCS uptake in the intervention and control groups at six months after intervention	117
4.12	Comparison of participants' knowledge and beliefs on BC and BCS between intervention and control groups at six months	118
4.13	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for BSE performance	118
4.14	Parameter estimates of GEE for BSE performance between intervention and control groups over time	119
4.15	GEE of BSE performance within intervention and control groups	119
4.16	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for BSE frequency	120
4.17	Parameter estimates of GEE for BSE frequency between intervention and control groups over time	120
4.18	GEE of BSE frequency within intervention and control groups over time	120
4.19	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for CBE uptake	121
4.20	Parameter estimates of GEE for CBE uptake between intervention and control groups over time	121
4.21	GEE of CBE uptake within intervention and control groups over time	122
4.22	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for MMG uptake	122
4.23	Parameter estimates of GEE for MMG uptake between intervention and control groups over time	123
4.24	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for knowledge	123

4.25	Pairwise comparison of knowledge mean scores between intervention and control groups	125
4.26	Pairwise comparison of knowledge scores within the study groups across time	126
4.27	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for perceived susceptibility	126
4.28	Pairwise comparison of susceptibility mean scores between intervention and control groups	127
4.29	Pairwise comparison of perceived susceptibility scores within the study groups across time	129
4.30	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for seriousness	129
4.31	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for benefits of BSE	130
4.32	Pairwise comparison of benefits of BSE mean scores between intervention and control groups	131
4.33	Pairwise comparison of benefits of BSE scores within the study groups across time	132
4.34	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for barriers of BSE	133
4.35	Pairwise comparison of barriers of BSE mean scores between intervention and control groups	134
4.36	Pairwise comparison of barriers of BSE scores within the study groups across time	135
4.37	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for confidence	135
4.38	Pairwise comparison of confidence mean scores between intervention and control groups	136
4.39	Pairwise comparison of confidence scores within the study groups across time	137
4.40	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for motivation	138
4.41	Pairwise comparison of motivation mean scores between intervention and control groups	138
4.42	Pairwise comparison of motivation scores within the study groups across time	140

4.43	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for benefits of MMG	140
4.44	Pairwise comparison of benefits of MMG mean scores between intervention and control groups	141
4.45	Pairwise comparison of benefits of MMG scores within the study groups across time	143
4.46	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for barriers of MMG	143
4.47	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for benefits of CBE	144
4.48	Pairwise comparison of benefits of CBE mean scores between intervention and control groups	145
4.49	Pairwise comparison of benefits of CBE scores within the study groups across time	146
4.50	GEE group, time, and interaction effect analysis for barriers of CBE	147
4.51	Pairwise comparison of barriers of CBE mean scores between intervention and control groups	147
4.52	Pairwise comparison of barriers of CBE scores within the study groups across time	148

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Region-Specific Incidence and Mortality Age-Standardized Rates for Breast Cancer in 2018	13
2.2 Components of the HBM.	41
2.3 Flow Chart of Study Selection	48
2.4 Conceptual Framework of the Stud	82
3.1 Klang Valley Map	84
3.2 Flow Diagram on Study Design and Outcome Evaluation	92
4.1 Flow Chart of the Response Rate of the Study	106
4.2 Knowledge Scores between Groups Over Time	125
4.3 Perceived Susceptibility between Groups Over Time	128
4.4 Benefits of BSE between Groups Over Time	131
4.5 Barriers of BSE between Groups Over Time	134
4.6 Confidence of BSE between Groups Over Time	137
4.7 Motivation between Groups Over Time	139
4.8 Benefits of Mammography between Groups Over Time	142
4.9 Benefits of Clinical Breast Examination between Groups Over Time	145
4.10 Barriers of Clinical Breast Examination between Groups Over Time	148

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

\leq	Less than or equals to
\geq	Greater than or equals to
ACS	American Cancer Society
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
AOR	Adjusted odds ratio
ASR	Age-standardized rates
BC	Breast cancer
BCS	Breast cancer screening
BSE	Breast self-examination
CBE	Clinical breast exam
CI	Confidence interval
cRCT	Cluster randomized controlled trial
EM	Ecological model
GEE	Generalized estimating equation
HBM	Health Beliefs Model
HPM	Health Promotion Model
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
MoH	Ministry of Health
MMG	Mammography
NPV	Negative predictive value
OR	Odds ratio
PPV	Positive predictive value
PPT	PowerPoint presentations

SCT	The Social Cognitive Theory
TPB	Theory of Planned Behavior
TTM	The Transtheoretical Model
WHO	World Health Organization



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the background information on the breast cancer disease, problem statement, significance of the study, research questions, objectives, and hypothesis of the study.

1.1 Background

Breast cancer (BC) is believed to be the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer mortality among women in the world (Torre, Islami, Siegel, Ward, & Jemal, 2017). Breast cancer incidence rate varies markedly between countries, where the variation is between 19.3 for every 100,000 women in Eastern Africa to 89.7 for every 100,000 women in Western Europe. The variation is also higher in developed countries (excluding Japan) with more than 80 cases for every 100,000 women and is lower in the developing countries with less than 40 for every 100,000 women (Zaidi & Dib, 2019). However, the occurrence rate of BC in some developed countries which include Canada, Australia, the United States, the United Kingdom, and France has decreased in the early 2000s. However, the rate has increased in countries where it has been historically low, taking place in transitioning countries such as Asia, Africa, and South America (Bray et al., 2018). A combination of demographic factors related to social and economic development could be the probable underlying reason for the change in trend (Bray et al., 2018).

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer among Yemeni females, accounting for about a quarter of all cancer cases (Al-Nabhi, Ahmed, & Abdul Hamid, 2017). However, there is no available data or published studies on the statistics of BC among Yemeni people in Malaysia. It is also the primary type of cancer in all female age groups from the age of 25 years old. Gradual increase has been reported in the incidence rate of cancer cases in Yemen (from 263 to 1004) throughout the fifteen-year period (from 1997 to 2009) (Bawazir, 2018).

Worldwide management of BC has evolved, with advanced changes in treatment towards breast conservation. Hence, BC early detection examination helps to save lives and to improve the chances of early detection and successful treatment of BC (American Cancer Society [ACS], 2015). The reporting of BC during the local stage (stage I and some stage II) has an overall 5-year relative survival rate of 99% while the reporting of BC during the regional stage (stage II or III) has a 5-year relative survival rate of 85%. However, the distant stage (some stage III and all stage IV) reporting of BC has an overall 5-year relative survival rate of 27% (Siegel, Miller, & Jemal, 2018).

Several approaches have been evaluated as breast cancer screening (BCS) methods, including breast self-examination (BSE), clinical breast examination (CBE), and mammography (MMG) (World Health Organization [WHO], 2015). Breast self-examination and CBE have been considered as important practices to be applied at the population level. However, scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness of BSE or CBE in reducing mortality from BC is currently lacking (Da Costa Vieira,

Billir, Uemura, Ruiz, & Curado, 2017). Nevertheless, women are encouraged to be aware of any changes in their breasts where both the BSE and CBE are part of this awareness which might lead women to early diagnosis of BC (as cited in Freund, Cohen, & Azaiza, 2017). The sensitivity of BSE is low, and it is not related to the reduction in BC mortality. Mammography on the other hand, has high sensitivity, and is the only test proven to be linked to a reduction in BC mortality. In this context, studies have demonstrated that the application of BSE and CBE are not encouraged in isolation, but to always be linked with the use of MMG, particularly in women over the age of 40. However, MMG is not primarily performed in most developing countries due to limitations in the healthcare system (Da Costa Vieira et al., 2017). Although BC is on the rise among Yemeni women, BCS services in Yemen are inadequate in this population (Al-Sakkaf & Basaleem, 2016). Based on Bawazir, Bashateh, Jradi, & Breik (2019), BSE and CBE are practiced by 30.3% and 21.9% of Yemeni women respectively while MMG is performed by only 1.6% of the women.

Considerable number of researches have proposed for effective intervention programs in determining the factors which affect a woman's practice of BCS. Theoretically, different models and theories have been used in understanding the early detection of BC. The Health Belief Model (HBM) is utilized in numerous educational intervention studies such as the theoretical framework, and it has been found to be effective in improving the factors affecting BCS behavior (Heydari & Noroozi, 2015; Taymoori, Molina, & Roshani, 2015; Tuzcu, Bahar, & Gözü, 2016). The theoretical framework of the HBM highlights that threats from health issues can affect the health behavior of women. For instance, women who perceive themselves as being susceptible to the risk of BC are more likely to practice BSE. Besides that, good health motivation in women who believe in the higher benefits of the BSE, and those who experience lesser obstacles in performing breast exams have a higher likelihood in practicing the BSE. Moreover, as suggested by the model, the knowledge about BCS practice is also associated with an increase in the women's practice of BCS behavior (Champion & Menon, 1997). The HBM model is utilized in different settings and has been found to be a valid and reliable measure for assessing beliefs about BC (Akhtari-Zavare et al., 2016; Al-Sakkaf & Basaleem, 2016; Champion, 1993).

Presently, different educational programs are available in improving awareness among women and in encouraging early detection of BC. National and international guidelines and other agencies in different parts of the world have been actively promoting BCS behavior among women. Such programs include educational workshops, distribution of printed materials, as well as the posting of educational materials through the social media. These interventions have engaged women from all levels and walks of life, such

as housewives, employees women, university students, schoolgirls, and health care workers.

It has been shown that the practice of BCS in different occupations is lower than expected due to various factors and barriers which prevent women from practising these measures (Heivari, 2018). Moreover, the workplace is a good setting for training and shaping behavior. The current study was designed with the aim of developing and evaluating BCS educational program grounded on the HBM to provide the basis for long-term behavioral change on BCS practice among female teachers. In this regard, this research was conducted in the effort of improving knowledge and health beliefs regarding BC among Yemeni female school teachers in Arabic schools in the Klang Valley, Malaysia, with ultimate goal of improving BCS uptakes and the hope they can propagate to their young students.

1.2 Problem Statement

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer deaths among women in Yemen (Bawazir, 2018). According to the IARC (2018), the total number of new cancer cases in Yemen is 13182, while the total number of cancer deaths is 9085. It is shown in Table 1.1 below that BC accounts for the highest percentage of new cancer cases and mortality in Yemen. In addition to that, Bawazir (2018) stated that the five most common cancers among Yemeni women are breast (30.0%), leukaemia (7.6%), non-Hodgkin lymphoma (6.6%), colorectal (4.9%), and ovarian (4.5%) cancer. Cervical cancer, on the other hand, accounts for only (1.3 %) of all new cases among Yemeni women (IARC, 2018). Due to its high incidence and mortality rates among Yemeni women, the findings of Bawazir (2018) has exposed the urgent need for early screening of BC to be conducted among the women in this population. Based on the above argument, BC has therefore been chosen as the research area.

Table 1.1 : Top five most frequent cancers in Yemen

Cancer	New cases	Deaths
Breast	2444 (18.5%)	1096 (12.1%)
Stomach	896 (6.8%)	885 (9.7%)
Oesophagus	785 (6.0%)	752 (8.3%)
Leukemia	763 (5.8%)	782 (8.6%)
Colon	689 (5.2%)	523 (5.8%)

(Adapted from: IARC, 2018)

It is argued that the mortality rate for BC is higher in many low and middle-income countries compared to those in high-income countries, which is supported by Torre et al. (2017) who stated that the diagnosis of BC in many low and middle-income countries is often done at a late stage. A five-year survival rate is 85% or higher in the USA, Canada, Israel, Australia, Brazil, as well as in many Western and Northern European

countries which could be attributed to the early diagnosis of BC in these countries. On the contrary, it is 60% or lower in many low and middle-income countries such as South Africa, Algeria, Mongolia, and India (Allemani et al., 2015). Yemen, as one of the low-income countries, is a case in point. Most BC cases might be identified at a later stage or after the occurrence of metastasis (Harhra & Basaleem, 2012).

A large volume of published studies has been found to explain the role of knowledge and beliefs in the practice of BCS. Lack of knowledge and wrong health beliefs pertaining to BC and BCS have been found to be the biggest barriers to BCS among women (Akhtari-Zavare et al., 2016; Alameer, Mahfouz, Alamir, Ali, & Darraj, 2019; Masoudiyekta et al., 2018). Likewise, poor knowledge and wrong health beliefs about BC among Yemeni women have been found to be the leading barriers of BCS as identified by Al-Sakkaf and Basaleem (2016), who have thus suggested for the need to conduct educational intervention in improving BCS in this group of population. This finding has therefore provided a solid basis for the selection of educational intervention method in improving BCS awareness among Yemeni women.

Practically speaking, early detection of BC via screening tests decreases BC mortality rate (ACS, 2017b). A number of epidemiological studies on BCS behavioral uptake have been performed on community samples of various women groups. Such studies have shown that the rate of BCS practice is low in various countries (Freund et al., 2017; Tuzcu et al., 2016; Yilmaz, Sayın, & Cengiz, 2017). In Yemen, the findings of similar studies show that the rate of women who practice BSE ranges from between 11% to 17.4% (Ahmed, 2010; Al-Sakkaf & Basaleem, 2016). It is reported in another study that 30.3% of the women practice regular BSE, while only 1.6% of them have been exposed to MMG test (Bawazir, 2019).

In the Malaysian context, the Ministry of Health (MoH) is the main organisation responsible for carrying out activities related to cancer prevention in improving the public's knowledge, as well as in increasing their awareness on the importance of cancer screening. The ministry creates policies, organises activities and programs, and advocates health education campaigns to create and to promote awareness and knowledge of the general population on the common types of cancers. Prevention programs comprise of activities such as health campaigns, talks, carnivals, counseling sessions, outreach programs, media campaigns, focus group discussions, posting of educational materials through booklets, pamphlets, posters, flyers, and so forth. As part of the BC awareness campaign, the MoH promotes BSE and annual breast examination by trained healthcare workers. Nationwide MMG screening is also implemented for high-risk women, and is offered at primary healthcare facilities (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2017). In addition to that, subsidised MMG screening is offered by various leading government entities in Malaysia. The National Cancer Council Malaysia (NCCM) for example, provides free MMG service while the National Population and Family Development Board (NPFDB) offers a program for underprivileged women who are unable to pay for MMG screening to perform it either with a minimum fee of RM50.00, or for free depending on their income (Mahmud & Aljunid, 2018).

However, this situation does not apply to Yemeni women living in Malaysia, whom due to the recent instability and lack of security in Yemen, have decided to immigrate to Malaysia for educational purposes and/or job opportunities or to reside as immigrants. As foreign immigrants, these women face numerous challenges in accessing BCS-related information and services made available for Malaysian women. Such challenges include barriers in language, culture and health beliefs, the accessibility to transportation, BCS examination costs, as well as difficulty in accessing healthcare facilities. The unavailability of the appropriate healthcare insurance to cover for cancer-screening service among most of the Yemenis in Malaysia including workers adds to the challenge, and therefore has caused this group of women to seek for healthcare services provided by general practitioners or government clinics or hospitals.

To address this situation, this study has therefore proposed the use of female Yemeni teachers in Malaysia as a medium in advocating and promoting for BCS for a number of reasons. Firstly, education has been found to be a substantial component for effective BCS program in improving BC knowledge, beliefs, and behavior level, as well as in reducing the negative impact of the disease among women (Alwabr, 2016; Asuquo & Olajide, 2015). Numerous intervention studies on BCS performance have been carried out among women worldwide. Such studies have incorporated certain groups of women such as residents, university students, workers, as well as those who attended healthcare centers (Akhtari-Zavare et al., 2016; Eskandari-Torbaghan, Kalan-Farmanfarma, Ansari-Moghaddam, & Zarei, 2014; Masoudiyekta et al., 2018; Mirmoammadi, Parsa, Khodakarami, & Roshanaei, 2018; Ouyang & Hu, 2014). Nevertheless, despite the findings made on the insufficient knowledge and/or wrong beliefs on BC, and the lack of BCS among teachers as well as the recommendation for educational intervention to be performed for them (Abu-Shammala & Abed, 2015; Alabi et al., 2018; Chacko, 2016; Marzo & Salam, 2016; Yaya, Abuaisha, Samson, & Serakinci, 2018), only a few studies have been conducted on female teachers worldwide regarding their practice of BCS (Alameer et al., 2019; Alharbi, Alshammari, Almutairi, Makboul, & El-Shazly, 2012; Alice, 2014; Heydari & Noroozi, 2015; Parsa, Kandiah, Zulkefli, & Rahman, 2008; Temel, Dağhan, Kaymakçı, Dönmez, & Arabacı, 2017). In Malaysia for example, although a few studies have shed light on BCS practice among Malaysian teachers, yet no similar research has been conducted among Yemeni teachers residing in Malaysia.

Secondly, the possibility for female teachers to play a vital role in offering health and healthcare education, and in promoting healthy behavior pertaining to BCS practice to be adopted by the future generation should be harnessed since as teachers, they are regarded as role models and an essential source of education. Within the framework of a theoretical model, a positive effect on the awareness, knowledge, and behavioral change of cancer screening practices could be established through the provision of training on cancer screening practices to teachers who could effectively share and transfer relevant information to their students and the community (Temal, 2017). Therefore, as educated and respectful figures of the Yemeni community in Malaysia, teachers have been selected as the study population as the agent of change in the transfer of BC-related knowledge to students and members of the community. It is common for Yemeni teachers to share and discuss common health issues with their students by conducting health and healthcare educational sessions at school. Besides that, these

teachers might one day return to their native land, and thus help spread the knowledge gained in this domain to the local community.

Due to the barriers discussed earlier, as well as the role played by teachers in promoting healthy behavior with regard to health and healthcare practices among their students, educational intervention has therefore been identified as the best method in increasing the awareness on BCS among the general population since it is more effective and less costly. Besides that, teachers can also play a role in offering health and healthcare education since they are the role models, which enable them to reach a larger number of the population by connecting with the students and community members. In addition to that, since no study has been published on the BCS of Yemeni women in Malaysia, this study might therefore help in providing information for the undertaking of future plans in increasing the number of BC awareness programs, as well as in defining preventive strategies within this group which could be one of the effective means in the development of educational programs for other groups in the future. To the best of the researchers' knowledge, this study is the first of its kind, which evaluates educational intervention of BCS using the HBM among female Yemeni teachers in Malaysia.

1.3 Significance of the Study

In recent years, the importance of disseminating effective BCS interventions has been highlighted as one of the strategies for bridging health disparities. Therefore, this research is carried out to develop an intervention study in enhancing screening approaches among Yemeni female school teachers in the Klang Valley, Malaysia. This research aims to identify those who require BCS, to remind them to go for screening and to help reduce screening barriers apart from bridging the gap due to limited access to information and BCS service for immigrant women. This study may contribute to the credibility of the effectiveness of utilizing a theory-based intervention in educating women about BCS. Education is a crucial procedure in encouraging women to participate in BCS programs. In this regard, this study is expected to be of a great effort in increasing knowledge about the early detection and prevention of BC among women. This educational program may serve as a plan in BC prevention to engage the underserved population, and therefore improve BCS outcome and reduce disparities.

Considering that teachers play a vital role in educating and motivating the younger generation and future society, it is thus very important for them to be provided with better health education. This research will directly benefit teachers, their students, families, and friends, as well as to provide information to the researchers and health care workers who strive to produce beneficial interventions for women. These programs should strengthen the health system for the widespread dissemination of appropriate knowledge in the community of the target population with the aim of ensuring awareness at both the individual and societal levels. The knowledge gained from this study could be used in planning appropriate BCS programs for other women in different contexts. If proven effective, this educational intervention may be evaluated and implemented in a diversity of settings that provide health education intervention for women.

Breast cancer is the most common type of female cancer, which warrants the attention of health policy makers. The understanding of BCS can provide useful information for planning and developing a BC control policy at the health organizational level. Thus, an evaluation of BCS programs, including the ideal age to commence screening is urgently needed, and effort should be made to reduce the proportion of cancers of unknown grade and stage. The increase in morbidity and mortality associated with BC in the developing world, as well as the low uptake of BCS, have all emphasized the need for more public awareness programs to educate people about BC and its early detection. Such type of awareness is of great significance in increasing general attention to female health issues.

1.4 Research Question

The research question of this study is what is the effect of educational intervention on the improvement of BCS uptake (BSE, CBE, and MMG), knowledge and beliefs among Yemeni female school teachers?

1.5 Research Objectives

1.5.1 General Objective

The general objective of this study is to develop, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of educational intervention based on the HBM in improving BCS uptake (BSE, CBE, and MMG), knowledge, and beliefs among Yemeni female school teachers in the Klang Valley, Malaysia.

1.5.2 Specific Objectives

The specific objectives of this study are:

1. To describe the socio-demographic characteristics, BCS uptake (BSE, CBE, and MM G), knowledge and beliefs of the respondents.
2. To develop and implement an educational intervention based on the HBM on BCS uptake (BSE, CBE, and MMG), knowledge, and beliefs among Yemeni female school teachers in the Klang Valley, Malaysia.
3. To determine and compare the proportion of BCS uptake (BSE, CBE, and MMG) between and within the intervention group and the control group at baseline, one-, three- and six-months after commencement of intervention, and after controlling for covariates.
4. To determine and compare BC knowledge scores between and within the intervention group and the control group at baseline, one-, three- and six-months after the commencement of intervention, and after controlling for covariates.

5. To determine and compare BC beliefs (perceived susceptibility, perceived seriousness, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, health motivation, and confidence) scores between and within the intervention group and the controlled group at baseline, one-, three- and six-months after the commencement of intervention, and after controlling for covariates.

1.6 Hypothesis of the Study

The alternative hypotheses in this study are:

1. There is a significant difference in the proportion of BCS uptake from baseline to one-, three- and six-months after the commencement of intervention between and within the two groups.
2. There is a significant difference in knowledge of BC and BCS scores from baseline to one-, three- and six-months after the commencement of intervention between and within the two groups.
3. There is a significant difference in the beliefs of BC and BCS scores from baseline to one-, three- and six-months after the commencement of intervention between and within the two groups.

REFERENCES

- Abay, M., Tuke, G., Zewdie, E., Abraha, T. H., Grum, T., & Brhane, E. (2018). Breast self-examination practice and associated factors among women aged 20–70 years attending public health institutions of Adwa town, North Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*, 11(1), 622.
- Abeje, S., Seme, A., & Tibelt, A. (2019). Factors associated with breast cancer screening awareness and practices of women in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Women's Health*, 19(1), 4.
- Abraham, C., & Sheeran, P. (2005). The health belief model. *Predicting Health Behaviour*, 2, 28-80.
- Abu-Helalah, M. A., Alshraideh, H. A., Al-Serhan, A., Kawaleet, M., & Nesheiwat, A. I. (2015). Knowledge, barriers and attitudes towards breast cancer mammography screening in Jordan. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 16(9), 3981-3990.
- Abu-Shammala, B. I., & Abed, Y. (2015). Breast cancer knowledge and screening behavior among female school teachers in Gaza City. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 16(17), 7707-7711.
- Açıkgöz, A., Çehreli, R., & Ellidokuz, H. (2015). Determination of knowledge and behavior of women working at a hospital on breast cancer early detection methods, and investigation of efficiency of planned education. *Journal of Breast Health*, 11(1), 31-38.
- ACOG. (2017). *Breast cancer risk assessment and screening in average-risk women*. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, SW, Washington, DC. Retrieved from <https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Practice-Bulletins/Committee-on-Practice-Bulletins-Gynecology/Breast-Cancer-Risk-Assessment-and-Screening-in-Average-Risk-Women?IsMobileSet=false>.
- ACS. (2015). *Breast cancer facts and figures: 2015–2016*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA.
- ACS. (2017a). *Breast cancer facts & figures 2017–2018*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA.
- ACS. (2017b). *Cancer prevention & early detection facts & figures, 2017–2018*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA.
- ACS. (2018). *Global cancer facts & figures 4th Edition*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta.

ACS. (2019). *Cancer facts & figures 2019*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta. Afif, A., Algharati, A. M., Hamid, G. A., Al-Nehmi, A. W., & Shamlan, A. (2015).

Pattern of cancer in Yemen: First result from the National Oncology Center, Sana'a, 2007. *European Journal Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*, 4(1), 149-154.

Agide, F. D., Sadeghi, R., Garmaroudi, G., & Tigabu, B. M. (2018). A systematic review of health promotion interventions to increase breast cancer screening uptake: From the last 12 years. *European Journal of Public Health*, 28(6), 1149-1155.

Ahmadian, M., & Samah, A. A. (2013). Application of health behavior theories to breast cancer screening among Asian women. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 14(7), 4005-4013.

Ahmed, B. A. (2010). Awareness and practice of breast cancer and breast-self examination among university students in yemen. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention*, 11(1), 101-105.

Ahmed, F., Mahmood, N., Shahid, S., Hussain, Z., Ahmed, I., Jalal, A., . . . Mustafa, T. (2016). Mutations in human interferon $\alpha 2b$ gene and potential as risk factor associated with female breast cancer. *Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals*, 31(6), 199-208.

Aidalina, M., & Syed, A. M. (2018). The uptake of mammogram screening in Malaysia and its associated factors: A systematic review. *The Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 73(4), 202-211.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.

Akhtari-Zavare, M., Juni, M. H., Said, S. M., Ismail, I. Z., Latiff, L. A., & Eshkoor, S. A. (2016). Result of randomized control trial to increase breast health awareness among young females in Malaysia. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 738.

Akhtari-Zavare, M., Latiff, L. A., Juni, M. H., Said, S. M., & Ismail, I. Z. (2015). Knowledge of female undergraduate students on breast cancer and breast self-examination in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 16(15), 6231-6235.

Akhtari-Zavare, M., Juni, M. H., Ismail, I. z., Said, M.D.S., & Latiff, A.L. (2015). Health beliefs and breast self-examination among undergraduate female students in public universities in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Care*, 16(9), 4019-4023.

Al-Nabhi, A., Ahmed, M., & Abdul Hamid, G. (2017). Pattern of cancer in Yemen First result from the national oncology center, Sana'a, 2007. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*, 4(1), 149-154.

- Al-Naggar, R. A., Al-Maktari, L. A. S., Alshaikhli, H., Trafford, J., Saleh, B., & Mossfer, S. I. (2020). Critical assessment of three decades of breast cancer research in Yemen: System review. *Biomed Research and Health Advances*, 2(1), 1008-1018.
- Al-Sakkaf, K. A., & Basaleem, H. O. (2016). Breast cancer knowledge, perception and breast self-examination practices among Yemeni Women: An application of the health belief model. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 17(3), 1463-1467.
- Alabi, M. A., Abubakar, A., Olowokere, T., Okeyode, A. A., Mustapha, K., & Ayoola, S. A. (2018). Knowledge, attitude, and practice of breast self-examination among female teachers from selected secondary schools in Ogbomosho, Oyo State. *Nigerian Journal of Experimental and Clinical Biosciences*, 6(1), 8-12.
- Alameer, A., Mahfouz, M. S., Alamir, Y., Ali, N., & Darraj, A. (2019). Effect of health education on female teachers' knowledge and practices regarding early breast cancer detection and screening in the Jazan area: A quasi-experimental study. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 34(5), 865-870.
- Alba, L. H., Díaz, S., Gamboa, O., Poveda, C., Henao, A., Perry, F., . . . Murillo, R. (2018). Accuracy of mammography and clinical breast examination in the implementation of breast cancer screening programs in Colombia. *Preventive Medicine*, 115, 19-25.
- Alharbi, N. A., Alshammari, M. S., Almutairi, B. M., Makboul, G., & El-Shazly, M. K. (2012). Knowledge, awareness, and practices concerning breast cancer among Kuwaiti female school teachers. *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*, 48(1), 75-82.
- Alice, T. E. (2014). Breast self examination among secondary school teachers in South-South, Nigeria: A survey of perception and practice. *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology*, 6(5), 169-173.
- Allemani, C., Weir, H. K., Carreira, H., Harewood, R., Spika, D., Wang, X.-S., . . . Bonaventure, A. (2015). Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995–2009: analysis of individual data for 25 676 887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD-2). *The Lancet*, 385(9972), 977-1010.
- Alsanabani, J. A., Gilan, W., & Saadi, A. A. (2015). Incidence data for breast cancer among Yemeni female patients with palpable breast lumps. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 16(1), 191-194.
- Alwabr, G. M. (2016). Breast cancer educational program and breast self-examination in Sana'a, Yemen. *Current Life Sciences*, 2(2), 27-35.
- Amoran, O. E., & Toyobo, O. O. (2015). Predictors of breast self-examination as cancer prevention practice among women of reproductive age-group in a rural town in Nigeria. *Nigerian Medical Journal*, 56(3), 185-189.

- Andegiorgish, A. K., Kidane, E. A., & Gebrezgi, M. T. (2018). Knowledge, attitude, and practice of breast cancer among nurses in hospitals in Asmara, Eritrea. *BMC Nursing*, 17(1), 33.
- Armijo-Olivo, S., Stiles, C., A Hagen, N., D Biondo, P., & Cummings, G. (2012). Assessment of study quality for systematic reviews: A comparison of the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool and the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool: Methodological research. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 18, 12-18. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01516.x
- Arthur, R., Wang, Y., Ye, K., Glass, A. G., Ginsberg, M., Loudig, O., & Rohan, T. (2017). Association between lifestyle, menstrual/reproductive history, and histological factors and risk of breast cancer in women biopsied for benign breast disease. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 165(3), 623-631.
- Ayre, C., & Scally, A. J. (2014). Critical values for Lawshe's content validity ratio: Revisiting the original methods of calculation. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 47(1), 79-86.
- Asuquo, I., & Olajide, T. (2015). The role of health education on breast cancer awareness among University of Calabar female undergraduates. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(24), 151-161.
- Badran, I. G. (1995). Knowledge, attitude and practice the three pillars of excellence and wisdom: A place in the medical profession. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 1(1), 8-16.
- Badu-Peprah, A., & Adu-Sarkodie, Y. (2018). Accuracy of clinical diagnosis, mammography and ultrasonography in preoperative assessment of breast cancer. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 52(4), 235-241.
- Baglia, M. L., Malone, K. E., Tang, M. C., & Li, C. I. (2017). Alcohol intake and risk of breast cancer by histologic subtype and estrogen receptor status among women aged 55 to 74 years. *Hormones and Cancer*, 8(4), 211-218.
- Balekouzou, A., Yin, P., Pamatika, C. M., Bekolo, C. E., Nambei, S. W., Djeintote, M., . . . Yin, M. (2017). Reproductive risk factors associated with breast cancer in women in Bangui: A case-control study. *BMC Women's Health*, 17(1), 14.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice_Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*: W H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.
- Bartlett, M. S. (1950). Tests of significance in factor analysis. *British Journal of Statistical Psychology*, 3(2), 77-85.

- Bashirian, S., Barati, M., Mohammadi, Y., Moaddabshoar, L., & Dogonchi, M. (2019). An application of the Protection Motivation Theory to predict breast self-examination behavior among female healthcare workers. *European Journal of Breast Health*, 15(2), 90-97.
- Bawazir, A. A. (2018). Cancer incidence in Yemen from 1997 to 2011: A report from the Aden Cancer Registry. *BMC Cancer*, 18(1), 540.
- Bawazir, A., Bashateh, N., Jradi, H., & Breik, A. B. (2019). Breast cancer screening awareness and practices among women attending primary health care centers in the Ghail Bawazir District of Yemen. *Clinical Breast Cancer*, 19(1), 20-29.
- Beaber, E. F., Buist, D. S., Barlow, W. E., Malone, K. E., Reed, S. D., & Li, C. I. (2014). Recent oral contraceptive use by formulation and breast cancer risk among women 20 to 49 years of age. *Cancer Research*, 74(15), 4078-4089.
- Beavers, A. S., Lounsbury, J. W., Richards, J. K., & Huck, S. W. (2013). Practical considerations for using exploratory factor analysis in educational research. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 18(1),
- Becker, M. H. (1974). The Health Belief Model and personal health behavior. *Health Education Monographs*, 2, 324-473.
- Bouya, S., Balouchi, A., Ahmadidarehsima, S., & Badakhsh, M. (2018). Knowledge and source of information about early detection techniques of breast cancer among women in Iran: A systematic review. *Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 23(1), 51-60
- Boyd, N. F., Guo, H., Martin, L. J., Sun, L., Stone, J., Fishell, E., ... & Yaffe, M. J. (2007). Mammographic density and the risk and detection of breast cancer. *New England journal of medicine*, 356(3), 227-236.
- Bravi, F., Decarli, A., & Russo, A. G. (2018). Risk factors for breast cancer in a cohort of mammographic screening program: A nested case-control study within the FR iCaM study. *Cancer Medicine*, 7(5), 2145-2152.
- Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., & Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68(6), 394-424.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development in retrospect and prospect: Experiments by nature and design*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Busakhalala, N. W., Chite, F. A., Wachira, J., Naanyu, V., Kisuya, J. W., Keter, A., . . . Lumarai, L. (2016). Screening by clinical breast examination in Western Kenya: Who comes? *Journal of Global Oncology*, 2(3), 114-122.

- Campbell, M. K., Piaggio, G., Elbourne, D. R., & Altman, D. G. (2012). Consort 2010 statement: extension to cluster randomised trials. *British medical journal*, 345, e5661.
- Cattell, R. B. (1966). The scree test for the number of factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1(2), 245-276.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018a). *What are the risk factors for breast cancer?* Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/breast/basic_info/risk_factors.htm
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018b). *What are the symptoms of breast cancer?* Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/breast/basic_info/symptoms.htm
- Chacko, M. S. (2016). Effectiveness of planned teaching programme on knowledge of early detection of breast cancer among school teachers. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 5(5), 49-54.
- Champion, V. (1995). Development of a benefits and barriers scale for mammography utilization. *Cancer Nursing*, 18(1), 53-59.
- Champion, V., & Menon, U. (1997). Predicting mammography and breast self-examination in African American women. *Cancer Nursing*, 20(5), 315-322.
- Champion, V., Skinner, C. S., & Menon, U. (2005). Development of a self-efficacy scale for mammography. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 28(4), 329-336.
- Champion, V. L. (1984). Instrument development for Health Belief Model constructs. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 6(3), 73-85.
- Champion, V. L. (1993). Instrument refinement for breast cancer screening behaviors. *Nursing Research*, 42(3), 139-143.
- Champion, V. L. (1999). Revised susceptibility, benefits, and barriers scale for mammography screening. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 22(4), 341-348.
- Champion, V. L., & Scott, C. R. (1997). Reliability and validity of breast cancer screening belief scales in African American women. *Nursing Research*, 46(6), 331-337.
- Chan, D. N., & So, W. K. (2015). A systematic review of randomised controlled trials examining the effectiveness of breast and cervical cancer screening interventions for ethnic minority women. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 19(5), 536-553.

- Chen, M., Wu, W. Y., Yen, A. M., Fann, J. C., Chen, S. L., Chiu, S. Y., . . . Chiou, S. (2016). Body mass index and breast cancer: Analysis of a nation-wide population-based prospective cohort study on 1 393 985 Taiwanese women. *International Journal of Obesity*, 40(3), 524.
- Cobain, E. F., Milliron, K. J., & Merajver, S. D. (2016). *Updates on breast cancer genetics: clinical implications of detecting syndromes of inherited increased susceptibility to breast cancer*. Paper presented at the Seminars in oncology, WB Saunders.
- Coldman, A., Phillips, N., Wilson, C., Decker, K., Chiarelli, A. M., Brisson, J., . . . Ahmad, R. (2014). Pan-Canadian study of mammography screening and mortality from breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 106(11).
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. (2019). Type and timing of menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: Individual participant meta-analysis of the worldwide epidemiological evidence. *The Lancet*, 394(10204), 1159-1168.
- Conant, E. F., Beaber, E. F., Sprague, B. L., Herschorn, S. D., Weaver, D. L., Onega, T., . . . Haas, J. S. (2016). Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography compared to digital mammography alone: A cohort study within the PROSPR consortium. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 156(1), 109-116.
- Copeland, V. C., Kim, Y. J., & Eack, S. M. (2018). Effectiveness of interventions for breast cancer screening in african american women: A meta-analysis. *Health Services Research*, 53, 3170-3188.
- Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*, 10(1),
- Cruz-Jiménez, L., Torres-Mejía, G., Mohar-Betancourt, A., Campero, L., Ángeles-Llerenas, A., Ortega-Olvera, C., . . . Anderson, B. (2018). Factors associated with ever use of mammography in a limited resource setting. A mixed methods study. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 30(7), 520-529.
- Da Costa Vieira, R. A., Biller, G., Uemura, G., Ruiz, C. A., & Curado, M. P. (2017). Breast cancer screening in developing countries. *Clinics*, 72(4), 244-253.
- Darvishpour, A., Vajari, S. M., & Noroozi, S. (2018). Can Health Belief Model predict breast cancer screening behaviors? *Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 6(5), 949-953.
- Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M., & Rubin, D. B. (1977). Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 39(1), 1-22.

- De Winter, J. C., & Dodou, D. (2012). Factor recovery by principal axis factoring and maximum likelihood factor analysis as a function of factor pattern and sample size. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 39(4), 695-710.
- Dong, Y., & Peng, C. Y. J. (2013). Principled missing data methods for researchers. *SpringerPlus*, 2(1), 222-239.
- Donnelly, T. T., & Hwang, J. (2015). Breast cancer screening interventions for Arabic women: A literature review. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 17(3), 925-939.
- Dossus, L., Boutron-Ruault, M. C., Kaaks, R., Gram, I. T., Vilier, A., Fervers, B., . . . Overvad, K. (2014). Active and passive cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk: Results from the EPIC cohort. *International Journal of Cancer*, 134(8), 1871-1888.
- Dugoff, L., Pradhan, A., Casey, P., Dalrymple, J. L., F, A. J., Buery-Joyner, S. D., . . . Hampton, B. S. (2016). Pelvic and breast examination skills curricula in United States medical schools: A survey of obstetrics and gynecology clerkship directors. *BMC Medical Education*, 16(1), 314.
- Ebell, M. H., Thai, T. N., & Royalty, K. J. (2018). Cancer screening recommendations: An international comparison of high income countries. *Public Health Reviews*, 39(1), 7.
- Ebubedike, U. R., Umeh, E. O., Anyanwu, S. N., Ihekwoaba, E. C., Ekwuonwu, O. A., Ukah, C. O., . . . Anyiam, D. D. (2017). Accuracy of clinical and ultrasound examination of palpable breast lesions in a resource-poor society. *Tropical Journal of Medical Research*, 20(2), 166-170.
- Elder, J., Haughton, J., Perez, L., Martínez, M., De la Torre, C., Slymen, D., & Arredondo, E. (2017). Promoting cancer screening among churchgoing Latinas: Fe en Accion/faith in action. *Health Education Research*, 32(2), 163-173.
- Ersin, F., Gözükar, F., Polat, P., Erçetin, G., & Bozkurt, M. E. (2015). Determining the health beliefs and breast cancer fear levels of women regarding mammography. *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences*, 45(4), 775-781.
- Eskandari-Torbaghan, A., Kalan-Farmanfarma, K., Ansari-Moghaddam, A., & Zarei, Z. (2014). Improving breast cancer preventive behavior among female medical staff: The use of educational intervention based on health belief model. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 21(5), 44-50.
- Fares, M. Y., Salhab, H. A., Khachfe, H. H., & Khachfe, H. M. (2019). Breast cancer epidemiology among Lebanese women: An 11-year analysis. *Medicina*, 55(8), 463.

- Farid, N. D. N., Aziz, N. A., Al-Sadat, N., Jamaludin, M., & Dahlui, M. (2014). Clinical breast examination as the recommended breast cancer screening modality in a rural community in Malaysia; what are the factors that could enhance its uptake? *PloS One*, 9(9), e106469.
- Fatemeh, M., Parisa, S., & Sam, H. (2018). Health beliefs as predictors of breast self-examination behavior. *International Journal of Women's Health and Wellness*, 4(2). doi:doi.org/10.23937/2474-1353/1510077.
- Fathollahi-Dehkordi, F., & Farajzadegan, Z. (2018). Health education models application by peer group for improving breast cancer screening among Iranian women with a family history of breast cancer: A randomized control trial. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, 32(51).
- Freund, A., Cohen, M., & Azaiza, F. (2017). A culturally tailored intervention for promoting breast cancer screening among women from faith-based communities in Israel: A randomized controlled study. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 29(4), 375-388.
- Friedewald, S. M., Rafferty, E. A., Rose, S. L., Durand, M. A., Plecha, D. M., Greenberg, J. S., . . . Cink, T. M. (2014). Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 311(24), 2499-2507.
- Gathirua-Mwangi, W., Cohee, A., Tarver, W. L., Marley, A., Biederman, E., Stump, T., . . . Champion, V. L. (2018). Factors associated with adherence to mammography screening among insured women differ by income levels. *Women's Health Issues*, 28(5), 462-469.
- Glanz, K., Rimer, B. K., & Viswanath, K. (2008). *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice*. USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Glanz, K., Rimer, B. K., & Viswanath, K. (2015). *Health behavior: Theory, research, and practice*. USA: Jossey-Bass.
- Goel, M. S., & O'Connor, R. (2016). Increasing screening mammography among predominantly Spanish speakers at a federally qualified health center using a brief previsit video. *Patient education and counseling*, 99(3), 408-413.
- Gondek, M., Shogan, M., Saad-Harfouche, F. G., Rodriguez, E. M., Erwin, D. O., Griswold, K., & Mahoney, M. C. (2015). Engaging immigrant and refugee women in breast health education. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 30(3), 593-598.
- Gradishar, W. J., Anderson, B. O., Balassanian, R., Blair, S. L., Burstein, H. J., Cyr, A., . . . Giordano, S. H. (2017). NCCN guidelines insights: Breast cancer, version 1.2017. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 15(4), 433-451.
- Graham, J. W. (2003). Adding missing-data-relevant variables to FIML-based structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 10(1), 80-100.

- Hackshaw, A. K., & Paul, E. A. (2003). Breast self-examination and death from breast cancer: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Cancer*, 88(7), 1047-1053.
- Hae-Young, K. (2013). Statistical notes for clinical researchers: Assessing normal distribution (2) using skewness and kurtosis. *Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics*, 38(1), 52-54.
- Harhra, N. A., & Basaleem, H. O. (2012). Trends of breast cancer and its management in the last twenty years in Aden and adjacent governorates, Yemen. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 13(9), 4347-4351.
- Hashim, M. J., Al-Shamsi, F. A., Al-Marzooqi, N. A., Al-Qasemi, S. S., Mokdad, A. H., & Khan, G. (2018). Burden of breast cancer in the Arab world: Findings from global burden of disease, 2016. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*, 8(1), 54-58.
- Heivari, K. (2018). Comparing the frequency and barriers in performing mammography in different occupations in 2018. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics* 12(2).
- Hemming, K., Girling, A. J., Sitch, A. J., Marsh, J., & Lilford, R. J. (2011). Sample size calculations for cluster randomised controlled trials with a fixed number of clusters. *BMC medical research methodology*, 11(1), 102-113.
- Heydari, E., & Noroozi, A. (2015). Comparison of two different educational methods for teachers' mammography based on the Health Belief Model. *Asian Pacific Journal Cancer of Cancer Prevention*, 16(16), 6981-6986.
- Hochbaum, G. M. (1958). *Public participation in medical screening programs: A socio-psychological study*. Washington: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
- Horn, J. L. (1965). A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 30(2), 179-185.
- Hussen, A., Kumbi, M., Lette, A., & Nuriye, S. (2019). Knowledge of breast cancer and associated factors among women reproductive age in Bale Zone, southeast ethiopia: A community based cross sectional study. *Emergency Medicine*, 9(2), 1-7.
- IARC. (2008). *Screening Group: Breast cancer / breast self-examination (BSE)*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://screening.iarc.fr/breastselfexamination.php>.
- IARC. (2018). *Breast. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/cancers/20-Breast-fact-sheet.pdf>

- IARC. (2018). *Eastern Mediterranean Region. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/993-who-east-mediterranean-region-emro-fact-sheets.pdf>
- IARC. (2018). *Yemen. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/887-yemen-fact-sheets.pdf>
- IARC. (2019). *Breast. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <http://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/cancers/20-Breast-fact-sheet.pdf>.
- IARC. (2019). *Malaysia. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/458-malaysia-fact-sheets.pdf>.
- IARC. (2019). *Singapore. Source: Globocan 2018*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <http://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/702-singapore-fact-sheets.pdf>.
- Ivers, N. M., Halperin, I. J., Barnsley, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Shah, B. R., Tu, K., ... & Zwarenstein, M. (2012). Allocation techniques for balance at baseline in cluster randomized trials: A methodological review. *Trials*, 13(1), 1-9.
- James, J. Schlesselman. (2001). *Case-control studies: Design, conduct, analysis* (Vol. 2): Oxford university press.
- Jeong, S. H., An, Y., Choi, J.-Y., Park, B., Kang, D., Lee, M. H., . . . Park, S. K. (2017). Risk reduction of breast cancer by childbirth, breastfeeding, and their interaction in Korean women: Heterogeneous effects across menopausal status, hormone receptor status, and pathological subtypes. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health*, 50(6), 401-410.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1970). A second generation little jiffy. *Psychometrika*, 35(4), 401-415.
- Kariuki, S. N., Gichuhi, A. W., & Wanjoya, A. K. (2015). Comparison of methods of handling missing data: A case study of kdhs 2010 data. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 4(3), 192-200.
- Kerlikowske, K., Gard, C. C., Tice, J. A., Ziv, E., Cummings, S. R., & Miglioretti, D. L. (2017). Risk factors that increase risk of estrogen receptor-positive and-negative breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 109(5).
- Kerr, J., Rosenberg, D. E., Nathan, A., Millstein, R. A., Carlson, J. A., Crist, K., . . . Buchner, D. M. (2012). Applying the Ecological Model of behavior change to a physical activity trial in retirement communities: Description of the study protocol. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 33(6), 1180-1188.

- Kessous, R., Davidson, E., Meirovitz, M., Sergienko, R., & Sheiner, E. (2017). Prepregnancy obesity: A risk factor for future development of ovarian and breast cancer. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 26(2), 151-155.
- Khan, T. M., Leong, J., Ming, L. C., & Khan, A. H. (2015). Association of knowledge and cultural perceptions of Malaysian women with delay in diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer: A systematic review. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 16(13), 5349-5357.
- Khiyali, Z., Aliyan, F., Kashfi, S. H., Mansourian, M., & Jeihooni, A. K. (2017). Educational intervention on breast self-examination behavior in women referred to health centers: Application of Health Belief Model. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 18(10), 2833-2838.
- Kim, Y., Yoo, K. Y., & Goodman, M. T. (2015). Differences in incidence, mortality and survival of breast cancer by regions and countries in Asia and contributing factors. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 16(7), 2857-2870.
- Kirscht, J. P. (1974). The Health Belief Model and illness behavior. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 387-408.
- Kissal, A., Ersin, F., Koç, M., Vural, B., & Çetin, Ö. (2018). Determination of women's health beliefs, breast cancer fears, and fatalism associated with behaviors regarding the early diagnosis of breast cancer. *International Journal of Cancer Management*, 11(12).
- Knapp, T. R. (2009). *The reliability of measuring instruments*.
- Kocaöz, S., Özçelik, H., Talas, M. S., Akkaya, F., Özkul, F., Kurtuluş, A., & Ünlü, F. (2017). The effect of education on the early diagnosis of breast and cervix cancer on the women's attitudes and behaviors regarding participating in screening programs. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 33(4), 821-832.
- Koh, J., & Kim, M. J. (2019). Introduction of a new staging system of breast cancer for radiologists: An emphasis on the prognostic stage. *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 20(1), 69-82.
- Kolb, T. M., Lichy, J., & Newhouse, J. H. (2002). Comparison of the performance of screening mammography, physical examination, and breast US and evaluation of factors that influence them: An analysis of 27,825 patient evaluations. *Radiology*, 225(1), 165-175.
- Kösters, J. P., & Göttsche, P. C. (2003). Regular self-examination or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*(2).

- Kuchenbaecker, K. B., Hopper, J. L., Barnes, D. R., Phillips, K.-A., Mooij, T. M., Roos-Blom, M.-J., . . . Andrieu, N. (2017). Risks of breast, ovarian, and contralateral breast cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 317(23), 2402-2416.
- Kwan, M. L., Bernard, P. S., Kroenke, C. H., Factor, R. E., Habel, L. A., Weltzien, E. K., . . . Stjleman, I. J. (2015). Breastfeeding, PAM50 tumor subtype, and breast cancer prognosis and survival. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 107(7).
- Laamiri, F. Z., Bouayad, A., Hasswane, N., Ahid, S., Mrabet, M., & Amina, B. (2015). Risk factors for breast cancer of different age groups: Moroccan data? *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 5(2), 79-87.
- Lauby-Secretan, B., Scoccianti, C., Loomis, D., Benbrahim-Tallaa, L., Bouvard, V., Bianchini, F., & Straif, K. (2015). Breast-cancer screening-viewpoint of the IARC Working Group. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(24), 2353-2358.
- Lawal, O., Murphy, F., Hogg, P., & Nightingale, J. (2017). Health behavioural theories and their application to women's participation in mammography screening. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 48(2), 122-127.
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personnel Psychology*, 28(4), 563-575.
- Lee, E.-H., Kim, J.-S., & Song, M. S. (2002). Translation and validation of Champion's Health Belief Model scale with Korean women. *Cancer Nursing*, 25(5), 391-395.
- Lee-Lin, F., Nguyen, T., Pedhiwala, N., Dieckmann, N., & Menon, U. (2015a). A breast health educational program for Chinese-American women: 3-to 12-month postintervention effect. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 29(3), 173-181.
- Lee-Lin, F., Pedhiwala, N., Nguyen, T., & Menon, U. (2015b). Breast health intervention effects on knowledge and beliefs over time among Chinese American immigrants—A randomized controlled study. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 30(3), 482-489.
- Lehman, C. D., Arao, R. F., Sprague, B. L., Lee, J. M., Buist, D. S., Kerlikowske, K., . . . Rauscher, G. H. (2016). National performance benchmarks for modern screening digital mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology*, 283(1), 49-58.
- Macacu, A., Autier, P., Boniol, M., & Boyle, P. (2015). Active and passive smoking and risk of breast cancer: A meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 154(2), 213-224.
- Mahmud, A., & Aljunid, S. M. (2018). Availability and accessibility of subsidized mammogram screening program in peninsular Malaysia: A preliminary study using travel impedance approach. *PLoS One*, 13(2).

- Lemeshow, S., Hosmer, D. W., Klar, J., & Lwanga, S. K. (1990). *Adequacy of sample size in health studies*. WHO. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mahase, E. (2019). Breast cancer risk associated with HRT increases with longer use, finds study. *British Medical Journal* 30(366).
- Marmartel, A., Tron, A., & Caulliez, S. (2019). Accuracy of clinical breast examination's abnormalities for breast cancer screening: Cross-sectional study. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 237, 1-6.
- Marzo, R. R., & Salam, A. (2016). Teachers' knowledge, beliefs and practices of breast self-examination in a city of philippine: A most cost-effective tool for early detection of breast cancer. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 6(02), 016-021.
- Masoudiyekta, L., Rezaei-Bayatiyani, H., Dashtbozorgi, B., Gheibizadeh, M., Malehi, A. S., & Moradi, M. (2018). Effect of education based on Health Belief Model on the behavior of breast cancer screening in women. *Asia Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 5(1), 114-120.
- McCance, K. L., Mooney, K. H., Smith, K. R., & Field, R. (1990). Validity and reliability of a breast cancer knowledge test. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 6(2), 93-98.
- Melvin, C. L., Jefferson, M. S., Rice, L. J., Cartmell, K. B., & Halbert, C. H. (2016). Predictors of participation in mammography screening among non-hispanic black, non-hispanic white, and hispanic women. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 4(15), 188.
- Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. (2019). *Breast cancer screening guidelines*. Retrieved from <https://www.mskcc.org/cancer-care/types/breast/mammograms-breast-exams/screening-guidelines-breast>.
- Mikhail, B. I., & Petro-Nustas, W. I. (2001). Transcultural adaptation of Champion's Health Belief Model scales. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(2), 159-165.
- Miller, A. B., Wall, C., Baines, C. J., Sun, P., To, T., & Narod, S. A. (2014). Twenty five year follow-up for breast cancer incidence and mortality of the Canadian National Breast Screening Study: Randomised screening trial. *the British Medical Journal*, 348, g366.
- Miller, E. R., Wilson, C., Chapman, J., Flight, I., Nguyen, A.-M., Fletcher, C., & Ramsey, I. (2018). Connecting the dots between breast cancer, obesity and alcohol consumption in middle-aged women: Ecological and case control studies. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 460.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Garispanduan program pengesanan awal kanser payu dara. 2011; MOH/ K/ASA/44.11 (GU).

- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2017). *National strategic plan for cancer control programme: 2016-2020 (1st edition)*.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2019). *Management of breast cancer (3rd edition)*. Putrajaya, Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/pages/view/148>.
- Mirmoammadi, A., Parsa, P., Khodakarami, B., & Roshanaei, G. (2018). Effect of consultation on adherence to clinical breast examination and mammography in Iranian Women: A randomized control trial. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 19(12), 3443-3449.
- Moskowitz, C. S., Chou, J. F., Wolden, S. L., Bernstein, J. L., Malhotra, J., Friedman, D. N., . . . Hammond, S. (2014). Breast cancer after chest radiation therapy for childhood cancer. *Journal of Clinical oncology*, 32(21), 2217-2223.
- Moss, S. (1999). 16-year mortality from breast cancer in the UK trial of early detection of breast cancer. *The Lancet*, 353(9168), 1909-1914.
- Narod, S., Tung, N., Lubinski, J., Huzarski, T., Robson, M., Lynch, H. T., . . . Sun, P. (2014). A prior diagnosis of breast cancer is a risk factor for breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers. *Current Oncology*, 21(2), 64-68.
- National Cancer Institute. (2019). *Breast cancer screening*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from <https://www.cancer.gov/types/breast/patient/breast-screening-pdq>.
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (2014). *The health consequences of smoking-50 years of progress: A report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK179276/>.
- Naz, M. S. G., Simbar, M., Fakari, F. R., & Ghasemi, V. (2018). Effects of model-based interventions on breast cancer screening behavior of women: A systematic review. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 19(8), 2031-2041.
- Nazari, S. S., & Mukherjee, P. (2018). An overview of mammographic density and its association with breast cancer. *Breast cancer*, 25(3), 259-267.
- Nduaguba, O., Sudhapalli, P., & Barner, J. (2015). Predictors of mammography screening among women aged 50-74. *Value in Health*, 18(3), A263.
- Nelson, J. S., Wells, J. R., Baker, J. A., & Samei, E. (2016). How does c-view image quality compare with conventional 2D FFDM? *Medical physics*, 43(5), 2538-2547.

- Ngure, J. N., Kihoro, J. M., & Waititu, A. (2015). Principal component and principal axis factoring of factors associated with high population in urban areas: a case study of Juja and Thika, Kenya. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 4(4), 258-263.
- Nguyen, J., Le, Q., Duong, B., Sun, P., Pham, H., Ta, V., . . . Ginsburg, O. (2016). A matched case-control study of risk factors for breast cancer risk in Vietnam. *International Journal of Breast Cancer*, 2016.
- Nindrea, R. D., Aryandono, T., & Lazuardi, L. (2017). Breast cancer risk from modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors among women in Southeast Asia: A meta-analysis. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention*, 18(12) 3201-3206.
- O'Mahony, M., Comber, H., Fitzgerald, T., Corrigan, M. A., Fitzgerald, E., Grunfeld, E. A., . . . Hegarty, J. (2017). Interventions for raising breast cancer awareness in women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*(2).
- Oeffinger, K., Fontham, E., & Etzioni, R. (2015). New American Cancer Society breast cancer screening guidelines continue confusion, controversy for women and their providers. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 314(15), 1599-1614.
- Ossai, E., Azuogu, B., Ogaranya, I., Ogenyi, A., Enemor, D., & Nwafor, M. (2019). Predictors of practice of breast self-examination: A study among female undergraduates of Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, 22(3), 361-369.
- Ouyang, Y. Q., & Hu, X. (2014). The effect of breast cancer health education on the knowledge, attitudes, and practice: A community health center catchment area. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 29(2), 375-381.
- Park, S. Y., Kolonel, L. N., Lim, U., White, K. K., Henderson, B. E., & Wilkens, L. R. (2014). Alcohol consumption and breast cancer risk among women from five ethnic groups with light to moderate intakes: The Multiethnic Cohort Study. *International Journal of Cancer*, 134(6), 1504-1510.
- Parsa, P. (2008). *Effects of educational interventions pertaining to knowledge, beliefs, practices on breast cancer screening behaviors among teachers in Selangor, Malaysia*. (PhD Thesis), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Parsa, P., Kandiah, M., Mohd Nasir, M., Hejar, A., & Nor Afiah, M. (2008). Reliability and validity of Champion's Health Belief Model Scale for breast cancer screening among Malaysian women. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 49(11), 897-903.
- Parsa, P., Kandiah, M., Zulkefli, N. M., & Rahman, H. A. (2008). Knowledge and behavior regarding breast cancer screening among female teachers in Selangor, Malaysia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 9(2), 221-228.

- Pender, N. J., Murdaugh, C., & Parsons, M. (2002). The Health Promotion Model. *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice*, 4, 59-79.
- Pett, M. A., Lackey, N. R., & Sullivan, J. J. (2003). Making sense of factor analysis: The use of factor analysis for instrument development in health care research. *sage*. 18(6), 1-13.
- Pizot, C., Boniol, M., Mullie, P., Koechlin, A., Boniol, M., Boyle, P., & Autier, P. (2016). Physical activity, hormone replacement therapy and breast cancer risk: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *European Journal of Cancer*, 52, 138-154.
- Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 51(3), 390-395.
- Prochaska, J. O., & Velicer, W. F. (1997). The Transtheoretical Model of health behavior change. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 12(1), 38-48.
- Provencher, L., Hogue, J., Desbiens, C., Poirier, B., Poirier, E., Boudreau, D., . . . Chiquette, J. (2016). Is clinical breast examination important for breast cancer detection? *Current Oncology*, 23(4), 332-339.
- Rabbani, S. A., Al Marzooqi, A. M. S. K., Srouji, A. E. M., Hamad, E. A., & Mahtab, A. (2019). Impact of community-based educational intervention on breast cancer and its screening awareness among Arab women in the United Arab Emirates. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*, 7(4), 600-605.
- Richards, S. H., Bankhead, C., Peters, T. J., Austoker, J., Hobbs, F. D. R., Brown, J., ... & Wilson, S. (2001). Cluster randomised controlled trial comparing the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of two primary care interventions aimed at improving attendance for breast screening. *Journal of Medical Screening*, 8(2), 91-98.
- Rimer, B. K., & Glanz, K. (2005). *Theory at a glance: A guide for health promotion practice*. U.S: National Institutes of Health.
- Rivera-Franco, M. M., & Leon-Rodriguez, E. (2018). Delays in breast cancer detection and treatment in developing countries. *Breast cancer: Basic and Clinical Research*, 12.
- Román, M., Quintana, M., Ferrer, J., Sala, M., & Castells, X. (2017). Cumulative risk of breast cancer screening outcomes according to the presence of previous benign breast disease and family history of breast cancer: Supporting personalised screening. *British Journal of Cancer*, 116(11), 1480-1485.

- Romieu, I., Scoccianti, C., Chajès, V., De Batlle, J., Biessy, C., Dossus, L., . . . Olsen, A. (2015). Alcohol intake and breast cancer in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *International Journal of Cancer*, 137(8), 1921-1930.
- Rosenblad, A. (2017). Multivariate Statistical Methods: A Primer. *J. Stat. Softw*, 78(1), 1-4.
- Rosenstock, I. M. (1960). What research in motivation suggests for public health. *American Journal of Public Health and the Nations Health*, 50(3), 295-302.
- Rosenstock, I. M. (1974a). The Health Belief Model and preventive health behavior. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 354-386.
- Rosenstock, I. M. (1974b). Historical rigins of the Health Belief Model. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 328-335.
- Rosenstock, I. M., Strecher, V. J., & Becker, M. H. (1988). Social learning theory and the Health Belief Model. *Health Education Quarterly*, 15(2), 175-183.
- Schaapveld, M., Aleman, B. M., van Eggermond, A. M., Janus, C. P., Krol, A. D., van der Maazen, R. W., . . . Zijlstra, J. M. (2015). Second cancer risk up to 40 years after treatment for Hodgkin's lymphoma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 373(26), 2499-2511.
- Schacht, D. V., Yamaguchi, K., Lai, J., Kulkarni, K., Sennett, C. A., & Abe, H. (2014). Importance of a personal history of breast cancer as a risk factor for the development of subsequent breast cancer: Results from screening breast MRI. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 202(2), 289-292.
- Schafer, J. L., & Graham, J. W. (2002). Missing data: Our view of the state of the art. *Psychological Methods*, 7(2), 147-177.
- Sealed envelope. (2016). *Creat a randomization list*. London, UK. Retrieved from <https://www.sealedenvelope.com/simple-randomiser/v1/lists>.
- Secginli, S., & Nahcivan, N. O. (2004). Reliability and validity of the breast cancer screening belief scale among Turkish women. *Cancer Nursing*, 27(4), 287-294.
- Secginli, S., Nahcivan, N. O., Gunes, G., & Fernandez, R. (2017). Interventions promoting breast cancer screening among Turkish women with global implications: A systematic review. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 14(4), 316-323.
- Seely, J., & Alhassan, T. (2018). Screening for breast cancer in 2018—what should we be doing today? *Current Oncology*, 25(1), 115-124.

- Semiglazov, V., Manikhas, A., Moiseenko, V., Protsenko, S., Kharikova, R., Seleznev, I., . . . Barash, N. (2003). Results of a prospective randomized investigation [Russia (St. Petersburg)/WHO] to evaluate the significance of self-examination for the early detection of breast cancer. *Voprosy Onkologii*, 49(4), 434-441.
- Seven, M., Akyüz, A., & Robertson, L. B. (2014). Interventional education methods for increasing women's participation in breast cancer screening program. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 30(2), 244-252.
- Siegel, R., Miller, K., & Jemal, A. (2018). Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68(1), 7-30.
- Simon, K., Dodelzon, K., Drotman, M., Levy, A., Arleo, E. K., Askin, G., & Katzen, J. (2019). Accuracy of synthetic 2d mammography compared with conventional 2d digital mammography obtained with 3d tomosynthesis. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 212(6), 1406-1411.
- Siu, A. L. (2016). Screening for breast cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 164(4), 279-296.
- Smith, R. A., Andrews, K. S., Brooks, D., Fedewa, S. A., Manassaram-Baptiste, D., Saslow, D., . . . Wender, R. C. (2018). Cancer screening in the United States, 2018: A review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. *CA-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68(4), 297-316.
- Sprague, B. L., Arao, R. F., Miglioretti, D. L., Henderson, L. M., Buist, D. S., Onega, T., . . . Kerlikowske, K. (2017). National performance benchmarks for modern diagnostic digital mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology*, 283(1), 59-69.
- Lauer, S. A., Kleinman, K. P., & Reich, N. G. (2015). The effect of cluster size variability on statistical power in cluster-randomized trials. *PLoS One*, 10(4), 1-13.
- Taha, Z., & Eltom, S. E. (2018). The role of diet and lifestyle in women with breast cancer: An update review of related research in the Middle East. *BioResearch Open Access*, 7(1), 73-80.
- Taymoori, P., Molina, Y., & Roshani, D. (2015). Effects of a randomized controlled trial to increase repeat mammography screening in Iranian women. *Cancer Nursing*, 38(4), 288-296.
- Temel, A. B., Dağhan, Ş., Kaymakçı, Ş., Dönmez, R. Ö., & Arabacı, Z. (2017). Effect of structured training programme on the knowledge and behaviors of breast and cervical cancer screening among the female teachers in Turkey. *BMC Women's Health*, 17(1), 123.

- Thakur, P., Seam, R. K., Gupta, M. K., Gupta, M., Sharma, M., & Fotedar, V. (2017). Breast cancer risk factor evaluation in a Western Himalayan state: A case-control study and comparison with the Western World. *South Asian Journal of Cancer*, 6(3), 106-109.
- Thigpen, D., Kappler, A., & Brem, R. (2018). The role of ultrasound in screening dense breasts: A review of the literature and practical solutions for implementation. *Diagnostics*, 8(1), 20-34.
- Thomas, B., Ciliska, D., Dobbins, M., & Micucci, S. (2004). A process for systematically reviewing the literature: Providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 1(3), 176-184.
- Thomas, D. B., Gao, D. L., Ray, R. M., Wang, W. W., Allison, C. J., Chen, F. L., . . . Pan, L. D. (2002). Randomized trial of breast self-examination in Shanghai: Final results. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 94(19), 1445-1457.
- Torre, L. A., Islami, F., Siegel, R. L., Ward, E. M., & Jemal, A. (2017). Global cancer in women: Burden and trends. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, 26(4), 444-457.
- Tuyen, D. Q., Dung, T. V., Dong, H. V., Kien, T. T., & Huong, T. T. (2019). Breast self-examination: Knowledge and practice among female textile workers in Vietnam. *Cancer Control*, 26(1), 1073274819862788.
- Tuzcu, A., Bahar, Z., & Gözü, S. (2016). Effects of interventions based on health behavior models on breast cancer screening behaviors of migrant women in Turkey. *Cancer Nursing*, 39(2), 40-50.
- Vasishta, S., Ramesh, S., Babu, S., & Ramakrishnegowda, A. S. (2018). Awareness about breast cancer and outcome of teaching on breast self examination in female degree college students. *Indian Journal of Medical Specialities*, 9(2), 56-59.
- Vieira, R., Tobar, J. S. S., Dardes, R., & Thuler, L. C. S. (2018). Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for breast cancer development: A case-control study in Brazil. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 19(3), 703-707.
- Vishwakarma, G., Ndetan, H., Das, D. N., Gupta, G., Suryavanshi, M., Mehta, A., & Singh, K. P. (2019). Reproductive factors and breast cancer risk: A meta-analysis of case-control studies in Indian women. *South Asian journal of cancer*, 8(2), 80-84.
- Warnecke, R. B., Oh, A., Breen, N., Gehlert, S., Paskett, E., Tucker, K. L., ... & Srinivasan, S. (2008). Approaching health disparities from a population perspective: The National Institutes of Health Centers for Population Health and Health Disparities. *American journal of public health*, 98(9), 1608-1615.

- Wears, R. L. (2002). Advanced statistics: Statistical methods for analyzing cluster and cluster-randomized data. *Academic emergency medicine*, 9(4), 330-341.
- Webb, M. L., Kopans, D. B., & Cady, B. (2014). Reply to A failure analysis of invasive breast cancer: Most deaths from disease occur in women not regularly screened. *Cancer*, 120(18), 2937-2938.
- White, A. J., D'Aloisio, A. A., Nichols, H. B., DeRoo, L. A., & Sandler, D. P. (2017). Breast cancer and exposure to tobacco smoke during potential windows of susceptibility. *Cancer Causes & Control*, 28(7), 667-675.
- World Health Organization. (2015). Breast cancer. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/cancer/prevention/diagnosis-screening/breast-cancer/en/>
- World Health Organization. (2016). *Process of translation and adaptation of instruments*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/.
- World Health Organization. (2017). *Guide to cancer early diagnosis*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/>.
- World Health Organization. (2019). *Breast cancer: Prevention and control*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/cancer/detection/breastcancer/en/index3.html>.
- World Health Organization. (2019). *Cancer, early diagnosis*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/cancer/prevention/diagnosis-screening/en/>.
- Wilke, L. G., Broadwater, G., Rabiner, S., Owens, E., Yoon, S., Ghate, S., ... & Ibarra-Drendall, C. (2009). Breast self-examination: defining a cohort still in need. *The American journal of surgery*, 198(4), 575-579.
- Williams, L. A., Nichols, H. B., Hoadley, K. A., Tse, C. K., Geradts, J., Bell, M. E., . . . Troester, M. A. (2018). Reproductive risk factor associations with lobular and ductal carcinoma in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. *Cancer Causes & Control*, 29(1), 25-32.
- Wu, T.-Y., & Lin, C. (2016). Developing and evaluating an individually-tailored intervention to increase mammography adherence among Chinese American women. *Cancer Nursing*, 38(1), 40-49.
- Wu, T.-Y., & Yu, M.-Y. (2003). Reliability and validity of the mammography screening beliefs questionnaire among Chinese American women. *Cancer Nursing*, 26(2), 131-142.

- Yari, K., Rahimi, Z., Moradi, M. T., & Rahimi, Z. (2014). The MMP-2-735 C allele is a risk factor for susceptibility to breast cancer. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 15(15), 6199-6203.
- Yaya, S. T., Abuaisha, A. M., Samson, J. G., & Serakinci, N. (2018). Knowledge, awareness, and attitudes to breast cancer among school teachers in Kaduna Metropolis, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Health Sciences*, 4(2), 3-3.
- Yilmaz, M., Sayın, Y., & Cengiz, H. Ö. (2017). The effects of training on knowledge and beliefs about breast cancer and early diagnosis methods among women. *European Journal of Breast Health*, 13(4), 175-182.
- Youlden, D. R., Cramb, S. M., Yip, C. H., & Baade, P. D. (2014). Incidence and mortality of female breast cancer in the Asia-Pacific region. *Cancer Biology & Medicine*, 11(2), 101-115.
- Zaidi, Z., & Dib, H. A. (2019). *The worldwide female breast cancer incidence and survival, 2018*. Paper presented at the The American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting 2019, Atlanta, GA.
- Zendehdel, M., Niakan, B., Keshtkar, A., Rafiei, E., & Salamat, F. (2018). Subtypes of benign breast disease as a risk factor for breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *Iranian journal of medical sciences*, 43(1), 1-8.
- Zolfaroli, I., Tarín, J. J., & Cano, A. (2018). Hormonal contraceptives and breast cancer: Clinical data. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 230, 212-216.