



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EFFECT OF MAGNETIC ELEMENTS SUBSTITUTION ON THE  
INTERGRANULAR PROPERTIES OF BI-BASED  
SUPERCONDUCTORS**

**MALIK IDRIS ADAM**

**FSAS 2003 19**

**EFFECT OF MAGNETIC ELEMENTS SUBSTITUTION ON THE  
INTERGRANULAR PROPERTIES OF Bi-BASED SUPERCONDUCTORS**

**By**

**MALIK IDRIES ADAM**

**Thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**MAY 2003**



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EFFECT OF MAGNETIC ELEMENTS SUBSTITUTION ON THE INTERGRANULAR PROPERTIES OF Bi-BASED SUPERCONDUCTORS**

By

**MALIK IDRIES ADAM**

**May 2003**

**Chairman:** **Professor Dr. Abdul Halim Shaari**

**Faculty:** **Science and Environmental Studies**

The effects of magnetic elements substitution for calcium position in the  $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{M}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$  with M=Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy or Ni and  $x=0.0-0.10$  system, prepared by the conventional solid state reaction method have been investigated by means of structural, transport and magnetic methods. The samples were sintered in air at  $855^\circ\text{C}$  for 150 hours followed by annealing at  $830^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 hours. The superconducting properties such as volume fraction of present phases, lattice parameters, grain shape and critical temperatures were affected by the introduction of different elements for Ca in the system. The volume fraction of the high- $T_c$ (2223) phase decreases and that of the low- $T_c$ (2212) phase increases with the increase of constituents. As a result, the c-axis parameter decreased from  $37\text{\AA}$  to  $30.7\text{\AA}$  at initial substitution stages for all samples. Thus, the critical temperature,  $T_c$  decreased to that of the low- $T_c$ (2212) phase. The morphological aspects of the samples generally show platelet-like grains with

random orientations, typically for 2212 and 2223 phase's structure. Air annealing at 830°C for 30 hours slightly improved the physical properties of the samples.

Measurements of AC magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature and ac field amplitudes have been carried out for all samples at constant frequency  $f=125\text{Hz}$ . The effect of magnetic elements substitution was then investigated in terms of AC susceptibility. It was found that as the amount of the substituent content increases, the diamagnetic onset temperature decreases for all samples. Temperature-dependent critical current density was deduced from the AC susceptibility data for some selected samples in order to compare their result. The analysis for comparison is based on the availability of higher percentage of the high- $T_c$ (2223) phase in the sample, the suppression degree of diamagnetic behaviour with respect to ac fields; rapid or slow shift of the summit in  $\chi''(\text{T})$  to lower temperature with increasing field amplitude, and the sharpness of  $\chi'(\text{T})$  for intergranular component for the same field amplitude. Although intergranular critical current density was found to be high for Ni-and Nd-substituted samples, the intergranular critical current density generally decreased with the increase of the substituent content in (BiPb)-2223 system.

Frequency and ac field amplitude dependence in the range of 20-1000Hz of the low field AC susceptibility were also investigated for some selected samples. It is observed that the ac loss peaks slightly shift to higher

temperatures with increasing frequency. This behaviour can be interpreted as hysteretic bulk pinning losses as well as intergranular flux creep losses. The experimental results were qualitatively discussed in the framework of the critical state model. Consequently, the effective volume fraction of the grains and the temperature dependence of the intergranular critical current density were estimated by means of the best fit of the calculated data for the experimental matrix susceptibility. Since the granularity effect was very pronounced at low field range, the contribution of the grains and matrix were separated from the total measured AC susceptibility. The effective volume fraction of the grains was found to vary between 0.33 to 0.24 depending on the substituted element oxide.

The average activation energy as a function of frequency at various ac field amplitudes is found to be inversely proportional to the power of magnetic field. Among the samples studied, Ni-substituted sample ( $x = 0.02$ ) was found to increase the flux pinning of Bi(Pb)-2223 system. This was derived from the fact that the suppression degree of the diamagnetic behaviour is smallest for higher fields compared to other samples. On the other hand, the intergranular current dependent activation energy was deduced by fitting the intergranular peak temperature  $T_p$  versus field amplitude at frequency  $f=20\text{Hz}$  for all samples. The intergranular critical current density dependence of activation energy is found to obey  $E_a \propto j^{-\mu}$  relation, which is a characteristic of the vortex glass/collective creep models, hence indicating that the intergranular weak links has a collective pinning behaviour inside the samples. The best pinning behaviour was found in Ni-substituted sample with  $x=0.02$ .

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**Kesan gantian unsur-unsur magnet ke atas ciri-ciri antara butiran untuk superkonduktor berdasarkan Bismut**

**Oleh**

**MALIK IDRIES ADAM**

**Mei 2003**

**Pengerusi:** Profesor Dr. Abdul Halim Shaari

**Faculti:** Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Kesan gantian unsur-unsur bermagnet keatas kedudukan kalium di dalam sistem  $\text{Bi}_{1.6} \text{ Pb}_{0.4} \text{ Sr}_2 (\text{Ca}_{1-x} \text{ M}_x)_{2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8}$  dengan  $\text{M}=\text{Pr}, \text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Gd}, \text{Dy}$  atau  $\text{Ni}$  dan  $x=0.0-0.1$ , yang disediakan menggunakan kaedah tindak balas biasa bagi keadaan pepejal telah dikaji melalui kaedah struktur, pengangkutan dan magnet. Sampel disinter di dalam udara pada suhu  $855^\circ\text{C}$  selama 150 jam diikuti dengan sepuhlindapan pada suhu  $830^\circ\text{C}$  selama 30 jam. Ciri-ciri kesuperkonduksian seperti peratus isipadu fasa-fasa yang wujud, parameter kekisi, bentuk butiran dan suhu genting semuanya dipengaruhi dengan mengganti unsur-unsur berbeza bagi Ca di dalam sistem tersebut. Peratus isipadu untuk fasa  $T_c$ -tinggi (2223) berkurangan dan peratus isipadu fasa  $T_c$ -rendah (2212) bertambah dengan penambahan peratus bahan gantian. Ini menatijahkan pengurangan parameter paksi- $c$  dari  $37\text{ \AA}$  ke  $30.7\text{ \AA}$  pada peringkat awal penggantian bagi semua sampel. Oleh itu suhu genting  $T_c$  berkurangan ke nilainya untuk fasa  $T_c$ -rendah (2212). Aspek morfologi bagi sample-sampel ini biasanya menunjukkan butiran yang

berkepingan dengan orientasi rawak; tipical untuk struktur fasa 2212 dan 2223. Sepuh lindapan di dalam undara pada suhu 830°C selama 30 jam dapat meningkatkan sedikit sifat fizik sampel.

Ukuran bagi kerentanan magnet arus AU sebagai satu fungsi suhu dan magnitud medan AU telah dibuat untuk semua sampel pada frekuensi  $f=125\text{Hz}$ . Kesan gantian unsur-unsur magnet dikaji di dalam sebutan kerentanan magnet arus AU. Didapati bahawa apabila peratus unsur gantian bertambah, maka suhu permulaan kesan diamagnet berkurangan untuk semua sampel. Ketumpatan arus genting yang bergantung pada suhu dianggar dari data-data kerentanan magnet AU bagi beberapa sampel yang dipilih untuk membuat perbandingan. Analisis untuk perbandingan adalah berdasarkan peratus kewujudan fasa  $T_c$ -tinggi (2223) dalam sampel darjah pengurangan ciri diamagnet merujuk kepada medan AU, kelajuan peralihan puncak  $\chi''(T)$  ke suhu rendah bila amplitud medan bertambah dan ketajaman  $\chi'(T)$  untuk komponen antara butiran bagi medan dengan amplitud yang sama. Walaupun ketumpatan arus genting untuk sampel yang unsur gantiannya adalah Ni dan Nd, tetapi secara keseluruhan, ketumpatan arus genting antara butiran berkurangan dengan penambahan gantian bagi sistem (BiPb)-2223.

Kebergantungan kerentanan magnet AU untuk medan rendah keatas frekuensi dan amplitud medan AU telah dikaji di dalam julat frequensi 20-1000Hz untuk beberapa sampel. Didapati bahawa puncak kelesapan AU berpindah ke suhu lebih tinggi bila frekuensi bertambah. sifat ini boleh

difahamkan sebagai kesan kelesapan terpin pukal histerisis dan juga kelesapan disebabkan oleh pergerakan fluks antara butiran. Hasil eksperimen ini dibincangkan secara kualitatif di dalam rangka model keadaan genting. Anggaran peratusan isipadu berkesan untuk butiran dan penertuan kebergantungan kepada suhu bagi ketumpatan arus genting antara butiran diperolehi dengan cara ‘fitting’ terbaik antara data-data eksperimen dan matrik kerentanan. Disebabkan kesan butiran amat ketara pada julat medan rendah, maka sumbangan butiran dan matrik diasingkan dari kerentanan arus AU yang diukur. Peratus isipadu berkesan didapati di antara 0.33 ke 0.24 bergantung kepada oksida unsur gantian.

Purata tenaga teraktif sebagai fungsi frekuensi bagi berbagai amplitud medan AU didapati berkadar songsang dengan kuasa medan magnet. Diantara sampel yang dikaji, sampel gantian Ni ( $x=0.002$ ) didapati menambahkan “keterpinan fluks bagi sistem Bi (Pb)-2223. Ini diperolehi dari fakta bahawa darjah pengurangan ciri diamagnet adalah terkecil untuk medan lebih tinggi berbanding dengan sampel-sampel lain. Sebaliknya, kebergantungan arus antara butiran keatas tenaga keaktifan dianggar dari fitting puncak suhu antara butiran  $T_p$  melawan amplitud medan pada frekuensi  $f=20\text{Hz}$  untuk semua sampel. Kebergantungan ketumpatan arus genting antara butiran didapati mengikut pertalian  $E_a \propto j^{-\mu}$ , yang merupakan ciri untuk model kaca/rayapan kolektif yang menunjukkan hubungan lemah antara butiran mempunyai ciri terpin kolektif di dalam sampel. Ciri terpin terbaik didapati di dalam sampel gantian Ni dengan  $x=0.02$ .

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My sincere gratitude will be preserved to Professor Dr. Abdul Halim Shaari, chairman of the supervisory committee and to Professor Dr. Kaida Khalid and Dr. Zainul Abidin Hassan, members of the supervisory committee for their invaluable guidance, encouragement, positive assistance and discussions they shielded me with throughout this work.

I'm grateful to the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment for providing financial support for this work.

I'm very grateful to Professor Selahattin Çelebi of the Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey, for his invaluable discussion and suggestions about the granularity effect, pinning behaviour of the samples and the inter-and intragranular separation.

The assistance provided by the Department of Physics UPM, Faculty of Geology UKM, for the use of their X-ray diffraction facility, Institute of Bioscience UPM for the use of their SEM facility and the librarians of UPM, UKM, UM and UTM libraries, is gratefully acknowledged.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on May,27<sup>th</sup>,2003 to conduct the final examination of Malik Idries Adam on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "The Effect of Magnetic Elements Substitution on the Intergranular Properties of Bi-Based Superconductors" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**ELIAS SAION, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**ABDUL HALIM SHAARI, Ph.D.**

Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**KAIDA KHALID, Ph.D.**

Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**ZAINUL ABIDIN HASSAN, Ph.D.**

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**DON MCKENZIE PAUL, Ph.D.**

Professor,  
Department of Physics, University of Warwick,  
Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.  
(Independent Examiner)



**GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.**

Professor/~~Deputy~~ Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 JUL 2003

The thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**ABDUL HALIM SHAARI, Ph.D.**

Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**KAIDA KHALID, Ph.D.**

Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**ZAINUL ABIDIN HASSAN, Ph.D.**

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)



---

**AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 AUG 2003

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

  
**MALIK IDRIES ADAM**

Date: 09<sup>th</sup> February 2003

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ABSTRAK.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	viii
APPROVAL SHEETS.....	ix
DECLARATION.....	xi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxx
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
 I      GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	 1
Chapter Introduction.....	6
 II     LITERATURE REVIEW.....	 7
Introduction.....	7
Effect of Rare Earth Elements Substitution on Bi-2212 Phase.....	7
Effect of Rare Earth Elements Substitution on Bi-2223 Phase.....	10
AC Susceptibility Studies: Bean Model Interpretations.....	16
AC Susceptibility Studies: Kim model Interpretations.....	20
Frequency Dependence Susceptibility Studies.....	21
 III    THEORY AND MODELS ABOUT SUPERCONDUCTORS.....	 25
Introduction.....	25
Types of Superconductors.....	25
Flux Pinning.....	27
Complex Susceptibility and critical State Models.....	29
Bean's Critical State Model.....	31
Determination of Critical Current $J_c$ using Bean's Model.....	35
Kim's Critical State Model.....	41
Determination of $J_c$ using Kim's Model.....	42
Dopants and Substituents.....	45
 IV    EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES FOR SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERISATION.....	 47
Introduction.....	47
Preparation of BPSCCO-Substituted Superconductors.....	48

Initial Firing.....	49
Intermediate Firing.....	52
Final Sintering.....	52
Standard Characterisation of The Samples.....	54
X-ray Diffraction Analysis.....	54
Surface Microscanning Analysis.....	57
Resistance Measurements.....	59
AC Susceptibility Measurements.....	61
<b>V STRUCTURAL AND TRANSPORT PROPERTIES OF THE SAMPLES.....</b>	<b>68</b>
Introduction.....	68
X-ray Diffraction Analysis.....	69
Praseodymium Substituted Samples.....	69
Neodymium Substituted Samples.....	72
Samarium Substituted Samples.....	76
Gadolinium Substituted Samples.....	79
Dysprosium Substituted Samples.....	82
Nickel Substituted Samples.....	85
Surface Microscanning Analysis.....	89
$\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$ Sample.....	89
Praseodymium Substituted Samples.....	91
Neodymium Substituted Samples.....	92
Samarium Substituted Samples.....	96
Gadolinium Substituted Samples.....	97
Dysprosium Substituted Samples.....	101
Nickel Substituted Samples.....	103
Electrical Resistance Measurements.....	106
Praseodymium Substituted Samples.....	106
Neodymium Substituted Samples.....	112
Samarium Substituted Samples.....	117
Gadolinium Substituted Samples.....	123
Dysprosium Substituted Samples.....	129
Nickel Substituted Samples.....	134
Summary.....	140
<b>VI AC MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY STUDIES.....</b>	<b>142</b>
Introduction.....	142
Praseodymium Substituted Samples.....	143
Neodymium Substituted Samples.....	165
Samarium Substituted Samples.....	183
Gadolinium Substituted Samples.....	202
Dysprosium Substituted Samples.....	220
Nickel Substituted Samples.....	235
Summary.....	250

<b>VII</b>	<b>FREQUENCY AND FIELD DEPENDENCE AC SUSCEPTIBILITY.....</b>	<b>253</b>
	Introduction.....	253
	Frequency and Field Dependence Of The Samples.....	254
	Summary.....	285
<b>VIII</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....</b>	<b>287</b>
	Suggestions For Future Work.....	294
	REFERENCES.....	295
	CURRICULUM VITAE.....	304

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Critical state parameter requirements for some electric power applications.....	6
2.1	Dopant-concentration rang, heat treatment temperature/time and medium used by different groups for the preparation of rare earth doped Bi-based superconductors.....	13
5.1	Lists the volume fraction of phases and lattice parameters for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples.....	75
5.2	Lists the volume fraction of phases and lattice parameters for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples.....	75
5.3	Lists the volume fraction of phases and lattice parameters for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples.....	77
5.4	Lists the volume fraction of phases and lattice parameters for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Gd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples.....	83
5.5	Lists the volume fraction of phases and lattice parameters for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples.....	83
5.6	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ .....	111
5.7	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ .....	111
5.8	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ ....	120
5.9	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Gd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ ....	127
5.10	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ .....	132
5.11	The value of $\delta R/\delta T$ versus temperature peaks for the sintered and the annealed samples of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ .....	139
6.1	Lists the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples and some superconducting parameters.....	163

6.2	Lists the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples and some superconducting parameters.....	180
6.3	Lists the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples and some superconducting parameters.....	200
7.1	Lists some fitting parameters for the samples studied.....	285

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 A simplified representation for magnetic phase diagram in type II superconductor showing the Meissner phase, flux solid and flux liquid regions separated by the lower, the upper critical fields and the irreversibility line.....	27
3.2 Field distribution internal to a slab of thickness $2a$ with applied field parallel to the slab surface .....	37
3.3 Critical current density assumed by the Bean model for the field distribution given in Figure 3.2 .....	37
3.4 Imaginary component $\chi''$ as a function of temperature and ac field for $\text{Y}_1\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$ sample. The fields range from right to left (1-800A/m).....	38
3.5 Real component $\chi'$ of susceptibility as a function of ac field amplitude and temperature for a $\text{Y}_1\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$ sample. The measurement fields range from 1-800A/m.....	38
3.6 Local internal field distribution internal to a slab of thickness $2a$ where the applied field is assumed to be parallel to the slab surface. $H_i = 0.5H_p$ and $H_i = H_p$ .....	39
3.7 Critical current density $J_c$ assumed by the Kim model for the field distribution shown in Figure 3.7.....	39
3.8 Bean model determination of critical current density $J_c$ as a function of temperature for the data shown in Figures 3.4 and 3.5 .....	40
3.9 Kim model calculation of critical current density $J_c$ as a function of the internal field $H_i$ , for the data shown in Figures 3.4 and 3.5.....	40
3.10 The parameter $p$ as a function of $\chi_{m,\max}''$ and the sample size $a/b$ .....	44
4.1 Flow chart for fabrication of BPSCCO-substituted superconductors.....	51
4.2 Graph of Temperature vs. Time/min for the furnace's sintering and annealing processes .....	54

4.3	Diffractometer beam path in $\theta/2\theta$ mode .....	56
4.4	Schematic diagram of the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) model JSM-6400 .....	59
4.5	Schematic diagram of the Four Point Probe resistance measurement device .....	61
4.6	Schematic block diagram of AC Susceptometer Model-7000/DC Digital Voltmeter.....	63
4.7	Cross-sectional view of primary and secondary coils.....	67
5.1	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.02$ (a) and $x=0.03-0.10$ (b). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively .....	71
5.2	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.04$ (a) and $x=0.05-0.10$ (b). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively .....	74
5.3	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.02$ (a) and $x=0.03-0.10$ (b). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively.....	78
5.4	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Gd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.02$ (a) and $x=0.03-0.10$ (b). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively.....	81
5.5	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.02$ (a) and $x=0.03-0.10$ (b). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively.....	84
5.6	XRD patterns for the $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system with $x=0.0-0.04$ (a), $x=0.05, 0.06$ (b) and $x=0.08-0.10$ (c). The indices H, L and * in the assignment of the peaks denote the high- $T_c$ (2223), the low- $T_c$ (2212) and other phases, respectively...87	87
5.7(a-c)	Volume fraction, cell volume and lattice parameters as a function of nickel concentration x for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ system.....	88
5.8	SEM image of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ sample annealed at 830°C for 30 hours .....	90

5.9	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	94
5.10	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	95
5.11	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	99
5.12	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Gd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	100
5.13	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	102
5.14	SEM images of $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ (b) $x=0.02$ (c) $x=0.03$ (d) $x=0.04$ (e) $x=0.05$ (f) $x=0.07$ (g) $x=0.09$ (h) $x=0.10$ .....	104
5.15	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	107
5.16	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	107
5.17	Pr concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	108
5.18	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	109
5.19	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	109
5.20	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Nd substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	113
5.21	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Nd substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	113

5.22	Nd concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	114
5.23	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Nd substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	115
5.24	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Nd substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	115
5.25	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Sm substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	119
5.26	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Sm substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	119
5.27	Sm concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	120
5.28	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Sm substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	121
5.29	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Sm substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	121
5.30	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Gd substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	125
5.31	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Gd substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	125
5.32	Temperature dependence of the derivative of resistance versus temperature for Gd substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	126
5.33	Temperature dependence of the derivative of resistance versus temperature for Gd substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	126
5.34	Gd concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	127

5.35	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Dy substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	130
5.36	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Dy substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	130
5.37	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Dy substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	131
5.38	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Dy substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	131
5.39	Dy concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	132
5.40	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Ni substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	135
5.41	Normalised resistance versus temperature curves for Ni substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	135
5.42	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Ni substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours.....	137
5.43	Temperature dependence of the derivative of the resistance versus temperature for Ni substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	137
5.44	Ni concentration versus zero resistance plot for the samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours and annealed at 830°C for 30 hours.....	138
6.1	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency f=125Hz for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours (a) x=0.01, (b) x=0.02 .....	144
6.1	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency f=125Hz for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours (c) x=0.03, (d) x=0.04.....	145
6.1	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency f=125Hz for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours (e) x=0.05, (f) x=0.06 .....	146
6.1	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields	

and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	147
6.1 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples sintered at 855°C for 150 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	148
6.2 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ , (b) $x=0.02$ .....	150
6.2 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (c) $x=0.03$ , (d) $x=0.04$ .....	151
6.2 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (e) $x=0.05$ , (f) $x=0.06$ .....	152
6.2 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	153
6.2 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Pr substituted samples annealed at 830°C for 30 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	154
6.3 The diamagnetic transition temperature $T_{c(\text{onset})}$ vs Pr content for the sintered and annealed samples.....	155
6.4 Field dependence of the peak temperature. Solid lines are calculated curves for each sample. The inset is the intergrain critical current density for the samples.....	157
6.5 Imaginary parts of the experimental AC susceptibility at 55K as a function of field amplitude (rms) where $f=125\text{Hz}$ .....	160
6.6 Real parts of the experimental AC susceptibility at 55K as a function of field amplitude (rms) where $f=125\text{Hz}$ .....	160
6.7 Plot of imaginary versus real components of AC susceptibility at frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ and field amplitude $H_{ac}=400\text{A/m}$ .....	162
6.8 Experimental matrix susceptibility versus temperature at $H_{ac}=80\text{A/m}$ and $f=125\text{Hz}$ for the samples given in the legend. Solid symbol-line is for theoretical calculation based on the Bean model .....	163
6.9 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields	

and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (a) $x=0.01$ , (b) $x=0.02$ .....	166
6.9 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (c) $x=0.03$ , (d) $x=0.04$ .....	167
6.9 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (e) $x=0.05$ , (f) $x=0.06$ .....	168
6.9 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	169
6.9 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	170
6.10 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ , (b) $x=0.02$ .....	172
6.10 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (c) $x=0.03$ , (d) $x=0.04$ .....	173
6.10 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (e) $x=0.05$ , (f) $x=0.06$ .....	174
6.10 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	175
6.10 AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Nd substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	176
6.11 Real $\chi'(\text{T})$ and imaginary $\chi''(\text{T})$ susceptibility components as a function of temperature at $f=125\text{Hz}$ and $H_{\text{ac}}=8\text{A/m}$ (rms) for Nd substituted samples as shown in the legend .....	177
6.12 The diamagnetic transition temperature $T_{\text{c(onset)}}$ versus Nd concentration $x$ for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Nd}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ sintered and annealed samples .....	178
6.13 The temperature dependence of the intergranular critical current densities for the samples with different Nd content $x$ .....	180

6.14	Displays the calculated parameters $p$ (a), $T_{cm}$ (b) and $J_{cm0}$ (c) giving the best fit shown in Figure 6.13 for each sample .....	181
6.15	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (a) $x=0.01$ , (b) $x=0.02$ .....	184
6.15	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (c) $x=0.03$ , (d) $x=0.04$ .....	185
6.15	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (e) $x=0.05$ , (f) $x=0.06$ .....	186
6.15	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	187
6.15	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples sintered at $855^\circ\text{C}$ for 150 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	188
6.16	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (a) $x=0.01$ , (b) $x=0.02$ .....	190
6.16	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (c) $x=0.03$ , (d) $x=0.04$ .....	191
6.16	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (e) $x=0.05$ , (f) $x=0.06$ .....	192
6.16	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (g) $x=0.07$ , (h) $x=0.08$ .....	193
6.16	AC susceptibility versus temperature curves at various ac fields and frequency $f=125\text{Hz}$ for Sm substituted samples annealed at $830^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 hours (i) $x=0.09$ , (j) $x=0.10$ .....	194
6.17	Real $\chi'$ (a) and the imaginary $\chi''$ (b) curves of AC susceptibility versus temperature for $\text{Bi}_{1.6}\text{Pb}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sm}_x)_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ sintered samples.....	196