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The coprecipitation technique was used in the preparation of samarium doped Bi$_{1.6}$Pb$_{0.4}$Sr$_2$Ca$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{10}$ polycrystalline ceramic superconductor. In this study, four sites (calcium, bismuth, strontium and copper) were separately subjected to Sm doping with nominal composition ranging from $x=0$ to $x=0.3$ at different sintering times. The superconducting properties of the samples have been investigated. The pure sample, which exhibits $T_C(R=0)$ around $102-103$ K and $T_{C\text{-onset}}$ around $108$ K, showed large flaky grains of $\sim 7 \mu$m in size which are randomly distributed. However at longer sintering time the pure superconductor showed a better orientation as compared to that of the short sintering time sample. The calculated value of Josephson current $I_o$, obtained from the ac susceptibility data showed a much higher value ($I_o=138.7 \mu$A) as compared to the conventional prepared to that of the sample prepared by conventional method.
(I₀ = 55.9 μA). This indicates better grain connectivity and higher 2223 phase content, which was confirmed by SEM photographs. In addition, the nature of the ultra fine particles of the oxalate powders produced by coprecipitation method have increased the diffusion reaction and shortened the heat treatment procedure for the sample preparation, this leads to better superconducting properties as compared to the samples prepared by conventional solid state technique where its diffusion reaction requires high sintering temperatures for long duration and sometimes several grindings.

The resistivity measurements showed the normal metallic behaviour followed by shifts in T_C(R=0) towards lower temperature as the samarium concentration increased due to the decrease in the 2223 phase and an increase in the formation of 2212 phase. However, the material lost its superconductivity at Sm concentration x > 0.20. When samarium was doped in Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺, and Cu²⁺ sites, it probably brings about changes in the hole carrier concentration which in turn alters T_C(R=0). Hence it could be deduced that the valency of the dopant has some influence on the electron pairing mechanism.

The temperature dependence of ac susceptibility data χ’ shows the shifting of the onset diamagnetism towards lower temperature as the Sm concentration increased due to the presence of low T_c phase. The imaginary component, χ’’, shows a shift in the intergranular coupling peak, Tp, towards lower temperature as the Sm concentration increased. Hence it can be deduced that the dynamic magnetic response of the samples are not only phase dependent but also dependant on the intergranular coupling. The calculated I₀ which revealed the quality of the coupling of the grains, showed a decrease
in its value as the samarium concentration increased. For highest doping percentage of samarium the values of $I_0$ decreased in the following order; $I_0$ (in Ca) $< I_0$ (in Cu) $< I_0$ (in Sr) $< I_0$ (in Bi).

The results of x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern show that all samples with Sm concentration above $x=0.02$ contain unknown peaks which correspond to the non-superconducting phase. The intensity of these peaks increases toward higher value, as the Sm concentration increases. The volume of 2223 phase decreased drastically as the Sm concentration increases, whereas the amount of decrease varied due to the doping at different sites. In addition, there is a possibility that either Sm$^{2+}$ or Sm$^{3+}$ might have occupied other sites at the same sample.

When long sintering time was applied, the improvement in superconducting properties was obvious at low doping concentrations $x<0.06$ where the sample was still dominated by 2223 phase. Above that concentration, the grain size decreased and became short and thick, randomly distributed as compared to the pure phase. It is also observed that the superconducting properties and the microstructure improved when the sample was sintered for 48 hours and 100 hours, the high $T_C$ phase dominates, indicating that the optimum time must be above 48 hours.
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Teknik pemendakan bersama telah digunakan bagi menyediakan superkonduktor seramik polihabtur Bi_{1.6}Pb_{0.4}Sr_{2}Ca_{2}Cu_{3}O_{10} yang didopkan dengan samarium. Dalam kajian yang dijalankan ini, empat tapak (kalsium, bismut, strontium dan kuprum) telah didopkan dengan Sm secara berasingan dengan komposisi nominal di antara julat x=0 hingga x=0.3 pada masa pensinteran yang berbeza. Sifat kesuperkonduksian bagi sampel-sampel ini telah dikaji. Di dapat sampel tulen menunjukan T_c(R=0) di antara 102 –103 K dan T_{onset} adalah sekitar 108 K. Sampel ini menunjukkan butiran besar dan berkeping yang bertaburan secara rawak dengan saiz butiran >7 μm. Pada masa pensinteran yang tinggi, superkonduktor tulen akan menunjukkan orientasi yang lebih baik berbanding orientasi pada sampel denganmassa persinteran yang lebih pendek.
Nilai perkiraan arus Josephson, $I_0$, yang diperolah daripada data kerentanan arus ulang alik, menunjukkan nilai yang lebih tinggi ($I_0 = 138.7 \mu A$) berbandingkan sampel yang di sediakan secara konvensional ($I_0 = 55.9 \mu A$). Ini disebabkan oleh penyambungan butir yang lebih baik dan kandungan fasa 2223 yang tinggi dan disahkan oleh gambar foto SEM. Tambahan pula, sifat semula jadi zarah halus serbuk oksalat yang dihasilkan melalui kaedah pemendakan bersama telah meningkatkan kadar tindakbalas resapan dan memendekkan tempoh proses rawatan haba dalam penyediaan sampel. Ini menghasilkan sifat kesuperkonduksian yang lebih baik berbanding dengan sampel yang disediakan menggunakan kaedah lazim iaitu tehnik tindakbalas keadaan pepejal, di mana tindakbalas resapannya memerlukan suhu persinteran yang tinggi dan lama serta turut memerlukan beberapa proses pengisaran.

Pengukuran kerintangan menunjukkan sifat logam normal dan diikuti dengan anjakan $T_C(R=0)$ kepada suhu rendah apabila kepekatan samarium meningkat. Ini disebabkan oleh pengurangan pada fasa 2223 dan peningkatan dalam pembentukan fasa 2212. Walau bagaimanapun, bahan ini akan kehilangan sifat kesuperkonduksian pada kepekatan $x>0.2$. Perubahan pada kepekatan pembawa lubang mungkin akan berlaku jika samarium didopkan pada tapak Ca$^{2+}$, Sr$^{2+}$ dan Cu$^{2+}$ seterusnya akan mengubah $T_C(R=0)$. Oleh itu bolehlah disimpulkan bahawa valensi bagi pendopan akan mempengaruhi mekanisme pasangan elektron.
Data-data kerentanan arus ulang-ali, \( \chi' \), yang bersandarkan suhu menunjukkan berlakunya suatu anjakan pada onset diamagnet akibat suhu yang lebih rendah dan pertambahan kepekatan Sm akibat kewujudan fasa \( T_C \) yang rendah. Komponen khayal, \( \chi'' \), menunjukkan anjakan pada puncak gandingan antara butiran \( T_p \) ke suhu yang lebih rendah apabila kepekatan Sm bertambah. Dengan yang demikian, bolehlah disimpulkan bahawa tindakbalas dinamik magnet sampel-sampel bukan hanya bersandarkan fasa tetapi juga bersandar pada gandingan antara butiran. Nilai kiraan \( I_0 \), menunjukkan kualiti gandingan butiran telah menunjukkan pengurangan nilainya apabila kepekatan samarium bertambah. Untuk kepekatan samarium yang paling tinggi, nilai bagi \( I_0 \) berkurangan dalam tertib berikut \( I_0(\text{dalam Ca}) < I_0(\text{dalam Cu}) < I_0(\text{dalam Sr}) < I_0(\text{dalam Bi}) \).

Keputusan pembelauan corak sinar-X atau XRD bagi semua sampel dengan kepekatan dopan Sm melebihi \( x=0.02 \), mengandungi puncak-puncak yang tidak diketahui yang berkaitan dengan fasa bukan superkonduktor. Keamatan puncak-puncak berikut didapat meningkat kepada nilai yang lebih tinggi sejajar dengan peningkatan komposisi dopan Sm. Isipadu fasa 2223 berkurang secara mendadak apabila komposisi dopan ditingkatkan, manakala kadar pengurangan berbeza-beza disebabkan oleh pendopanan pada tapak-tapak(site) yang berlainan. Tambah lagi, terdapat kemungkinan Sm\(^{2+}\) atau Sm\(^{3+}\) menduduki oleh tapak-tapak yang lain di dalam sampel yang sama.

Apabila masa pensinteran yang panjang dilakukan, didapat terdapat peningkatan bagi sifat-sifat kesuperkonduksian pada kepekatan dopan yang rendah iaitu pada \( x=0.06 \), di mana sampel masih di dominasi oleh fasa 2223. Pada kepekatan yang lebih tinggi,
saiz butiran akan berkurangan dan bentuknya menjadi pendek dan tebal serta bertaburan secara rawak berbanding fasa tulen. Turut dapat diperhatikan, sifat-sifat kesuperkonduksian dan mikrostrukturnya akan meningkat apabila sampel disinter selama 48 jam dan 100 jam, di mana fasa $T_c$ yang tinggi akan berlaku dan ini menunjukkan bahawa masa optimum bagi pensinteran perlu dilakukan melebihi 48 jam.
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