



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EFFECT OF INTELLIGENCE TUTORING SYSTEM ON STUDENTS'
ACHIEVEMENT IN ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSION**

TSAI CHEN CHIEN

FPP 2007 2

**EFFECT OF INTELLIGENCE TUTORING SYSTEM ON STUDENTS'
ACHIEVEMENT IN ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSION**

By

TSAI CHEN CHIEN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
In Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

June 2007



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EFFECT OF INTELLIGENCE TUTORING SYSTEM ON STUDENTS'
ACHIEVEMENT IN ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSION**

By

TSAI CHEN CHIEN

June 2007

Chairman: Associate Professor Aida Suraya Md. Yunus , PhD

Faculty: Faculty of Educational Studies

In this quasi experiment study, an Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS), was compared to the Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI) in tutoring students to learn the topic on Algebraic Expression. The ITS system consisted of two main structures in the architecture, the Student Model and Tutorial Model. The Student Model stores student's responses throughout the tutorial process while the Tutorial Model presents a suitable tutoring strategy to students according to their progress.

In order to identify the effect of ITS on student's achievement, 62 students in one of the private school in Perak were involved in the study. The Subjects consist of two intact groups. The groups were randomly assigned as the control group and the experimental group. One of the group studied algebraic expression in a CAI

learning environment, while the other were in a CAI and ITS (CAI+ITS) environment.

Before the experiment began, subjects were given a pre-test on algebraic expression, together with questionnaires of learning style and attitude towards mathematics. Post-test was given by the end of the study. The study was administered in eight slots with one hour per slot. Both groups of subjects studied the algebraic expression in CAI environment at first stage of the study. After completing the first stage, subjects from CAI group went through a tutoring session with CAI, whereas subjects from the CAI+ITS environment continue their study in ITS tutorial.

ANCOVA test was used to analyse the main hypothesis of the study. A Pre-test was set as a covariate and the gain score between the pre-test and post-test of study was measured. The result of the study showed that there was a significant difference in the students achievement in algebraic expression between students who learn with CAI+ITS and who learn with CAI only as delivery systems. However, there were no significant differences in student's achievement in algebraic expression among students with different learning styles or students with different attitude towards mathematics.

The findings of the study indicated that CAI+ITS was more effective in helping students learn algebraic expression as compared to using CAI alone. However, when a separate analysis was conducted for different delivery systems, neither CAI+ITS environment nor CAI only environment showed significant effects of any learning style or any category of attitude towards mathematics on student's achievement. This study suggests that educators and software developers should develop more ITS based learning tools or integrate ITS elements in courseware.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah master Sains

**KESAN PENGGUNAN SISTEM TUTORAN CERDAS KE ATAS
PENCAPAIAN PELAJAR DALAM UNGKAPAN ALGEBRA**

Oleh

TSAI CHEN CHIEN

Jun 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Aida Suraya Md. Yunus, PhD

Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan

Dalam penyelidikan jenis eksperimen Quasi ini, penggunaan Sistem Tutoran Cerdas (ITS) telah dibandingkan dengan Pembelajaran Berbantuan Komputer yang tradisional (CAI) dalam membantu pelajar mempelajari topik ungkapan algebra. Sistem Tutoran Cerdas ini mengandungi dua struktur asas dalam reka bentuknya, iaitu Model Pelajar dan Model Tutoran. Model Pelajar menyimpan maklum balas pelajar dalam proses tutoran manakala Model Tutoran akan memaklumkan strategi tutoran yang sesuai kepada pelajar berdasarkan perkembangan pelajar berkaitan.

Bagi mengenalpasti kesan ITS keatas pencapaian pelajar, 62 orang pelajar dari salah sebuah sekolah swasta di Perak telah terlibat dalam kajian ini. Subjek adalah

dalam dua kumpulan lengkap. Subjek dalam kajian ini telah dibahagikan kepada kumpulan kawalan and kumpulan eksperimen secara rawak. Salah satu daripada kumpulan berkaitan belajar ungkapan algebra dalam persekitaran CAI, manakala satu lagi kumpulan diberikan persekitaran CAI dan ITS(CAI+ITS).

Sebelum eksperimen itu dijalankan, pelajar diberi ujian pra berkaitan dengan ungkapan algebra, bersama dengan soal selidik tentang stail pembelajaran dan sikap terhadap matematik. Ujian post diberikan pada akhir sesi ekspeirmen. Penyelidikan ini dijalankan sebanyak lapan sesi dengan jangka masa satu jam per sesi. Pada tahap pertaman, kedua-dua kumpulan subjek belajar mengenai ungkapan algebra melalui CAI. Selepas melengkapinya tahap pertama, subjek dalam kumpulan CAI meneruskan pembelajarannya melalui tutoran CAI. Manakala subjek daripada persekitaran CAI+ITS akan melengkapinya sesi tutoran dengan tutoran ITS.

Ujian ANCOVA telah digunakan untuk menganalisis hasil dapatan penyelidikan. Ujian Pra ditetapkan sebagai asas perbandingan skor pencapaian pelajar dan perbezaan skor antara skor ujian pra dan ujian post diukur. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan pada pencapaian pelajar dalam ungkapan algebra antara subjek yang belajar dengan CAI+ITS dan subjek yang belajar dalam CAI sahaja. Walau bagaimanapun, tidak terdapat perbezaan yang

signifikan dalam pencapaian pelajar berdasarkan stail pembelajaran atau sikap terhadap matematik antara pelajar dalam kajian ini.

Dapatan kajian menunjukkan kombinasi CAI dan ITS adalah lebih berkesan dalam membantu pelajar mempelajari ungkapan algebra berbanding dengan penggunaan CAI sahaja. Walau bagaimanapun, tidak terdapat sebarang kesan yang signifikan oleh mana-mana stail pembelajaran pelajar atau sikap pelajar terhadap matematik yang berlainan keatas pencapaian pelajar apabila menggunakan sistem penyampaian yang berlainan. Kajian ini mencadangkan agar para pendidik dan pembangun perisian menghasilkan lebih banyak bahan pembelajaran berdasarkan ITS atau mengintegrasikan elemen ITS dalam penghasilan koswer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study would not have been completed successfully without the guidance and help of others. Thus I would like to express my most sincere appreciation and gratitude to the following individuals who have assisted me at various stages of this research.

First and foremost I wish to extend my heartfelt thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Aida Suraya Md. Yunus who is both my academic advisor and Chairperson of the Supervisory Committee, for her constant advice and care. Dr. Aida has been the main source of guidance throughout my research, culminating in the final write up of the thesis. My thanks to her again, who has undertaken the task of guiding and correcting my writings.

I would also like to express my deep sense of gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Wan Zah Wan Ali, who has supervised my thesis from the very beginning to the end. Her encouragement, guidance and comments have given this research depth and insight. I have learnt much from her.

Special thanks and appreciation are extended to another member of my supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Ab Rahim Bakar. His contributions to this

study are invaluable especially in the area of statistical analysis. I have benefited greatly from his vast experience, expertise and knowledge.

I want to thank the Principal of Shen Jai High School, Ipoh, Perak for allowing me to conduct this study in the School. I would also like to thank the staff of the School for their cooperation which has helped make this study a success.

Special thanks to my father, Mr Tsai Chang Shen, my mother, Chua Lee Choo, and my dear friend, Saw Hooi Chin, and Daniel Wee Tiong How who have given me love, support, patience, encouragement and for always being there for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ABSTRACT	Page
	ABSTRAK	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
	APPROVAL	viii
	DECLARATION	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
		xix
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1. Background of the study	1
	1.2. Statement of the problem	5
	1.3. Objectives of the study	8
	1.4. Research question	9
	1.5. Hypothesis	9
	1.6. Significance of the study	11
	1.7. Operational Definition	13
	1.7.1. Computer assisted instruction (CAI)	13
	1.7.2. Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS)	14
	1.7.3. Achievement in algebraic expression	14
	1.7.4. Attitude towards mathematics	15
	1.7.5. Learning style in mathematics	16
	1.8. Limitation of the study	17
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI)	19
	2.1.1 Effectiveness of CAI in Mathematics Learning	27
	2.2 Artificial Intelligence In Education (AIED)	34
	2.2.1 Model of ITS	35
	2.2.2 Impact of ITS on Students Achievement	44
	2.3 Application of Learning theory in CAI and ITS	49
	2.4 Learning difficulties in Mathematics Learning	54
	2.5 Attitude towards Mathematics Learning	56
	2.6 Learning style	58
	2.6.1 Learning Style and Mathematics	66
	2.6.2 Relationship between Students learning style and Learning by Computer	68
	2.7 Theoretical Framework of the study	71

2.8	Conceptual Framework of the study	73
2.9	Conclusion	75
3	METHODOLOGY	77
3.1	Research design	78
3.1.1	Independent, Dependent and Moderating variable	80
3.1.2	Internal and external validity of the Quasi-Experimental Design	82
3.2	Population, Sampling and Location of the Study	89
3.3	Instrument	92
3.3.1	Mathematics test	92
3.3.2	Attitude towards mathematic questionnaire	95
3.3.3	Learning style questionnaire	98
3.4	Delivery system	99
3.5	Procedure of Study	102
3.5.1	Pilot study	105
3.5.2	Data collection procedure	107
3.6	Data analysis	110
4	RESULTS AND FINDINGS	115
4.1	Demographic background	116
4.2	Mathematics Achievement in Algebraic Expression Test	119
4.3	Learning Style of Respondents	120
4.4	Students Attitudes Towards Mathematics	121
4.5	Test of Hypothesis	130
4.5.1	Differences on Students Achievement in Algebraic Expression between Students who use CAI+ITS and Students who use CAI only as Delivery System.	132
4.5.2	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression for students with different learning styles in both delivery systems, CAI+ITS and CAI only	134
4.5.3	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression between students with different learning styles in CAI group	137
4.5.4	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression between students with different learning style in CAI+ITS group.	139

4.5.5	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression for students with different attitude towards mathematic in both delivery systems, CAI+ITS and CAI only.	141
4.5.6	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression between students with different attitudes towards mathematic in CAI only group	144
4.5.7	Difference on students achievement in algebraic expression between students with different attitudes towards mathematic in CAI+ITS only group	146
4.5.8	Summary of result	148
4.6	Conclusion	149
5	SUMMARY,DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION IMPLICATIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Summary	151
5.2	Discussion	155
5.3	Conclusion	162
5.4	Implication of the study	164
5.5	Recommendation	168
5.6	Recommendation for future study	169
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	172
	APPENDICES	186
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	236

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Reliability Analysis of the Instrument for Pilot Study	107
2	Summary of Test Used in the Study	112
3	Frequency and Percentage of Respondents Gender	116
4	Frequency and Percentages of Respondents by Age	117
5	Frequency and Percentages of Respondents by Computer Ownership	117
6	Frequency and Percentages of Respondents by English Grade in UPSR	118
7	Frequency and Percentage of Respondents by Mathematics Grade in UPS	118
8	Pre-test and Post-test for CAI+ITS and CAI only approach	120
9	Respondent's Learning Style	120
10	Distribution of Learning Style and Delivery System	121
11	Frequency and Percentage of Respondents in 'How I feel about mathematics' Questionnaire	123
12	Frequency and Percentage of Respondents in 'Experience With Mathematics' Questionnaire	125
13	Respondent's Attitudes Towards Mathematics	127

14	Itemised Analysis for Attitudes Towards Mathematics Questionnaire	128
15	Respondents Category of Attitudes Towards Mathematics	130
16	Tests of Normality	131
17	Homogeneity of Regression Test for Gain score in Different Delivery Systems	132
18	ANCOVA of Respondent's Score Gain in Learning Algebraic Expression for Different Delivery Systems	134
19	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Learning Style in both Delivery Systems	136
20	Gain Score for Respondents with Different Learning Style in Both Delivery Systems	137
21	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Learning Style in CAI only Group	138
22	Post Hoc Comparison Test for Gain Scores between Different Learning Style group in CAI only Delivery System	139
23	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Learning Style in CAI+ITS Group	140
24	Post Hoc Comparison Test for Gain Scores between Different Learning Style group in CAI+ITS Delivery System	141
25	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Attitude Towards Mathematics in both Delivery Systems	143

26	Gain Scores for Different Category of Attitudes Towards Mathematics	144
27	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Attitude Towards Mathematic in CAI only group	145
28	ANCOVA of Gain Score in Learning Algebraic Expression for Respondents with different Attitude Towards Mathematics in CAI+ITS group	147
29	Summary of Hypothesis testing for the Study	148

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The architecture of an ITS system	36
2	The architecture of Ms Lindquist	39
3	S-R Learning Model from Skinner	50
4	An illustration relating major literature and key area from the literature which contributed towards students achievement in mathematics	73
5	Conceptual framework of study	74
6	Experimental design in this study	79
7	Procedure of the study	104
8	Data collection procedure	108

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides an overview of the background of the study, the statement of the problem, objective, research questions, hypotheses, significance of the study, operational definitions, and limitation of the study.

1.1 Background of the Study

Students often find themselves in a situation where progress is hindered because they lack fundamental algebraic skills. Many educators (Bowen, 1987; Heffernan, 2001) have expressed concern about student's lack of basic algebraic skills. They noticed students often make mistakes while executing algebraic operations. In addition, the importance of algebra has been addressed by the Chick, Stacey, Vincent and Vincent (2001). However, it is hard for educators to trace student's mistakes in algebraic. The mathematics teacher, therefore, should experiment with different methodologies in order to assure that he or she is providing the students with appropriate alternatives for learning (Fey, 1982).

As the world enters the new millennium, Information Communication Technology (ICT) is widely used in field of business, education, management and other field. Educators realized that computer is in great demand these days. To provide a more effective learning environment, Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI) had been developed. In recent years, CAI has expanded its influence into all subject areas (Piccoli, Gabriele, Ahmad, Rami, Ives & Blake, 2001). Numerous studies conducted by Alexander (1999), Jain and Getis (2003) and Leung (2003) to compare the effectiveness of CAI with the traditional method. These researches revealed statistical differences on student achievement and attitude between a CAI group and traditional group.

While CAI may be somewhat effective in helping learners, they do not provide the same kind of personalised attention that a student would receive from a human tutor (Ester, 1994). In order to achieve a more efficient learning environment, and to deliver the best learning process, research on ICT in mathematics education is focusing in the area of Artificial Intelligence (AI). Ester (1994) believes that AI is able to prepare a more human based interaction learning environment for students. Human based interaction learning environment is important in learning because it involves students in active learning.

Heffernan (2001) stated that as the techniques of AI becomes widely known and appreciated in the field of educational computing, AI with interests in education has also undergone changes in direction. He also stressed that the overall aim of developing AI is to enable the computer to be effective and act as a knowledgeable agent in the teaching and learning process. A major stand of research has been the design of the so-called Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) which require knowledge representations to provide models of the subject domain, the learner capabilities and the tutorial pedagogy (Heffernan, 2001).

According to Cumming and Abbott (1988), ITS such as PROLOG by Robert Kowalski have been under development for at least nearly twenty years ago. Other expert systems, like SNOOPY (Schauer & Staringer, 1988) have already been used in western schools since twenty years ago. SNOOPY is a simple system to demonstrate some of the methods and techniques used in Artificial Intelligence. It is essentially a dialogue program with simple natural language understanding capabilities.

The objective of an ITS is to provide a teaching process that adapts to the students' needs by exploring and understanding the student's special needs and interests (Kaplan & Rock, 1995). Research in the field of ITS has always had a strong focus on the development of comprehensive student models, based on the

assumption that within a problem solving context, learner's thinking processes can be modelled, traced, and corrected using computers (Julika,1999).

According to McArthur, Lewis, and Bishay (1994), ITS attempts to capture a method of teaching and learning exemplified by a one-to-one human tutoring interaction. One-to-one tutoring allows learning to be highly individualized and consistently yields better outcomes than other methods of teaching. Unlike previous CAI systems, ITS represent some of the knowledge and reasoning of good one-to-one human tutors, and consequently can coach in a much more detailed way than CAI systems.

Thus, in order to find out which of the learning environment, the ITS environment, or the CAI environment, is more suitable to help our students in their mastery learning of algebraic expression, this study attempts to investigate and compared the effectiveness of a CAI plus ITS (CAI+ITS) based approach and a CAI only approach in the learning of mathematics among secondary school students.

1.2 Statement of The Problem

According to Kiamanesh (1997), classroom instruction, attitude towards mathematics and learning styles are factors that affect mathematics achievement. In relation to this, previous studies have indicated that students always face difficulty in learning algebraic expression in mathematics (Booth, 1984, 1988; Greeno, 1982; Kieran, 1988, 1992; Lins, 1990). According to Chick et al. (2001), students who have difficulties in solving mathematics problem such as solving algebraic related mathematics problem, usually have problem keeping up with classroom instruction. In an effort to help students overcome these learning problems, some studies have discovered the potential of self-paced CAI as a delivery system.

Previous studies such as Bangertdrowns, Kulik, & Kulik (1985), Hughes (1974), Leung (2003), Teh, George, and Fraser (1995), Owens and Waxman (1994), Yalcinalp, Geban and Ozkan et. al. (1995) found that CAI have led to improvement in students achievement. Students in the CAI group were able to perform significantly better than students in the control group. However, recent studies such as Glickman and Dickson (2002), have indicated that Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS) as a delivery system have a greater potential than CAI to help students overcome difficulties in solving mathematics problems.