UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE PROPENSITY TO LEAVE THE ORGANIZATION AMONG THE STAFF OF HOTEL INDUSTRY IN KUALA LUMPUR

LIM LAI CHOON

FPP 2002 30
THE PROPENSITY TO LEAVE THE ORGANIZATION AMONG
THE STAFF OF HOTEL INDUSTRY IN KUALA LUMPUR

By

LIM LAI CHOON

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Partial Fulfilment of Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science

October 2002
Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

THE PROPENSITY TO LEAVE THE ORGANIZATION AMONG THE STAFF OF HOTEL INDUSTRY IN KUALA LUMPUR

By

LIM LAI CHOON

October 2002

Chairperson: Professor Dr. Aminah Ahmad

Faculty: Educational Studies

Competence workers play a major role in boosting the hotel industries. However, high staff turnover tends to inhibit their growth. Therefore it is rather important that the managers identify and understand the factors related to the propensity to leave.

The general objective of the study is to examine the predictors of hotel staff propensity to leave; and the mediating role of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Specifically it aims to examine the relationship of research variables; and whether job satisfaction and organizational commitment mediate the effect of independent variables on the dependent variable.

The study was conducted in the selected hotels in Kuala Lumpur by using a questionnaire. A total of 238 valid responses were obtained.
Frequencies, descriptive, regression analysis, correlation and path analysis were used to analyze the data.

There are two parts in the findings, the relationship of study variables and the role mediating variables. In the first part, findings show that self-esteem, emotional intelligence, role conflict, role ambiguity, work overload, perceived empowerment, perceived career advancement and perceived leader-staff relationship are significantly related to job satisfaction. All these variables are also found to be significantly related to propensity to leave and organizational commitment except for emotional intelligence. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment are also related to propensity to leave. In the second part, findings show that job satisfaction mediates the effect of emotional intelligence, role ambiguity, work overload, perceived empowerment and perceived leader-staff relationship on propensity to leave. Organizational commitment mediates the effect of self-esteem, role conflict, role ambiguity, work overload, perceived empowerment, perceived career advancement and perceived leader-staff relationship on propensity to leave.

Recommendations are suggested to mitigate or alleviate staff turnover. They include staff recruitment, training, more conducive and inspiring.
workplace, greater empowerment and lastly less role conflict, role ambiguity and work overload.
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Keupayaan pekerja memainkan peranan penting dalam perkembangan industri perhotelan. Namun, kecenderungan berhenti kerja yang tinggi di kalangan pekerja telah membantutkan pertumbuhan industri ini. Justeru itu, adalah penting bagi pengurus mengenalpasti dan memahami faktor-faktor yang berkaitan dengan kecenderungan berhenti kerja ini.

Objektif umum kajian adalah untuk mengenalpasti pembolehubah-pembolehubah yang menjangka kecenderungan berhenti kerja di kalangan pekerja-pekerja hotel serta peranan pembolehubah kepuasan kerja dan komitmen organisasi sebagai mediator. Secara khususnya, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti hubungan kaitan antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah kajian; serta mengenalpasti sama ada kepuasan kerja dan komitmen organisasi dapat memain peranan mediator dalam hubungan antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah bebas dengan pembolehubah terikat.

Cadangan yang diperkenalkan untuk menyelesaikan atau meminimumkan masalah kecenderungan berhenti kerja ini termasuk pengambilan staf, pengendalian latihan, peningkatan suasana kerja yang kondusif dan menyeronokkan, peningkatan autonomi dan akhirnya pengurangan konflik kerja, ketidakjelasan kerja dan beban kerja.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to extend my gratitude to my chairperson of the supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Aminah Ahmad for her guidance and all the help she has given me. Without her help, I would not have completed this thesis successfully.

I also wish to extend my thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Saidin Teh and Associate Professor Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah, my supervisory committee, for rendering their precious time and guidance. My gratitude also goes to Dr. Serin Singh, lecturer from University Malaya for editing this thesis. Also not forgetting all other lecturers and friends that have guided me in the process of pursuing my master’s course.

Special thanks also go to all the management of the hotels for allowing me to conduct the survey in their premises. I also wish to thank the participants who gave their utmost support and co-operation, to enable the survey to be carried out successfully.

To my family, you are and will always be my pillars of support. Without you, I would not be what I am today. I thank you from the bottom of my heart.
I certify that an Examination Committee met on 1st October, 2002 to conduct the final examination of Lim Lai Choon on her Master of Science thesis entitled “The Propensity to Leave the Organization among the Staff of Hotel Industry in Kuala Lumpur” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulation 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**ABD. RAHIM BAKAR, Ph.D.**
Associate Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**AMINAH AHMAD, Ph.D.**
Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**SAIDIN TEH, Ph.D.**
Associate Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**BAHAMAN ABU SAMAH, Ph.D.**
Associate Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**SHAMSHER MOHAMAD RAMADILI, Ph.D.**
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date 27 DEC 2002
This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

AMINAH AHMAD, Ph.D.
Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

SAIDIN TEH, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BAHAMAN ABU SAMAH, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date: 13 FEB 2000
DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

LIM LAI CHOON

Date: 1 OCTOBER 2002
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section / Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRAK</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROVAL SHEET</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECLARATION FORM</td>
<td>xi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>xvii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHAPTER I  INTRODUCTION

1. Background of Study
2. Problem Statement
3. Objectives of the Study
4. Hypotheses
5. Significance of the Study
6. Scope of Study
7. Limitations of the Study
8. Definition of Terms

CHAPTER II  LITERATURE REVIEW

19. Introduction
20. Definitions and Concepts
21. Propensity to Leave
22. Job Satisfaction
23. Organizational Commitment
24. Self-esteem
25. Emotional Intelligence
26. Role Conflict, Ambiguity and Work Overload
27. Perceived Empowerment
28. Perceived Career Advancement
29. Perceived Leader-staff Relationship
30. Variables of Study
31. Relationship between Self-esteem and Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment
32. Relationship between Emotional Intelligence with Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment
Relationship between Role Ambiguity, Conflict, Work Overload with Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment 34

Relationship between Perceived Empowerment and Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment 36

Relationship between Perceived Career Advancement and Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment 38

Relationship between Perceived Leader-staff Relationship and Propensity to Leave, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment 41

Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment and Propensity to Leave 47

Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Propensity to Leave 48

Relationship between Organizational Commitment and Propensity to Leave 52

Previous Research on Staff Turnover 54

Theories and Models 56

Expectancy-Valence Theory 56
Maslow Needs Hierarchy Theory 56
Douglas McGregor 59
Two Factors Theory 60
Price’s Model of Turnover 61
Expectancy Model 62
Behavioral Plasticity Theory 66
The Mobley Intermediate Linkages Model 66
Prat and Bennett’s Turnover Model 68
The Demographic Theory 70

Summary 71

III METHODOLOGY 72

Introduction 72
Locality of the Study 72
Research Design 73
Population and Sampling Procedures 77
Instrument and its Analysis 81
Measure of Study Variables 92
Individual Characteristics 92
Self-esteem 92
Emotional Intelligence 93
Job Characteristics 95
  Role Conflict, Role ambiguity and Work Overload 95
Organizational Characteristics 98
  Perceived Empowerment 99
  Perceived Career Advancement 99
  Perceived Leader-staff Relationship 100
  Job Satisfaction 100
  Organizational Commitment 103
  Propensity to Leave 105
Pre-Test 105
Validity and Reliability of Instrument 106
Analysis of Data 108
Summary 109

IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION 110
  Introduction 110
  Profile of Respondents 110
  Level of Propensity to Leave 113
  Level of Job Satisfaction 114
  Level of Organizational Commitment 114
  Level of Self-esteem 115
  Level of Emotional Intelligence 116
  Level of Role Ambiguity 116
  Level of Role Conflict 117
  Level of Work Overload 118
  Level of Perceived Career Advancement 118
  Level of Perceived Empowerment 119
  Level of Perceived Leader-Staff Relationship 120
  Relationship between Individual Characteristics and Propensity to Leave 121
  Relationship between Individual Characteristics and Job Satisfaction 121
  Relationship between Individual Characteristics and Organizational Commitment 122
  Testing of Hypotheses 122
  Relationship between Job Characteristics and Propensity to Leave 123
  Relationship between Job Characteristics and Job Satisfaction 124
  Relationship between Job Characteristics and Organizational Commitment 124

xiv
Testing of Hypotheses
Relationship between Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave 125
Relationship between Organizational Characteristics and Job Satisfaction 126
Relationship between Organizational Characteristics and Organizational Commitment 127
Testing of Hypotheses 128
Relationship between Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Propensity to Leave 129
Testing of Hypotheses 130
To Examine Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment that Mediate the Effect of Individual, Job and Organizational Characteristics on Propensity to Leave 131
Evaluate the Overall Fit of the Structural Model 132
To Interpret the Coefficients in the Paths of Individual Characteristics 133
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Individual Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Job Satisfaction 134
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Individual Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Organizational Commitment 135
Testing of Hypotheses 136
To Interpret the Coefficients in the Paths of Job Characteristics 137
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Job Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Job Satisfaction 138
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Job Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Organizational Commitment 139
Testing of Hypotheses 140
To Interpret the Coefficients in the Paths of Organizational Characteristics 141
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Job Satisfaction 142
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Organizational Commitment 143
Testing of Hypotheses 144
To Interpret the Coefficients in the Paths of Organizational Characteristics 145
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Job Satisfaction 146
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Organizational Commitment 147
Testing of Hypotheses 148
To Interpret the Coefficients in the Paths of Organizational Characteristics 149
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Job Satisfaction 150
Observing the Direct and Indirect Path of Organizational Characteristics and Propensity to Leave via Organizational Commitment 151

xv
Testing of Hypotheses 153
Discussion 154
Profile of Respondents 154
The Relationship between Research Variables 156
Relationship between Individual Characteristics,
Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and
Propensity to Leave 157
Relationship between Job Characteristics,
Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and
Propensity to Leave 162
Relationship between Organizational Characteristics,
Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and
Propensity to Leave 166
Relationship between Job Satisfaction, Organizational
Commitment and Propensity to Leave 175
Summary 181

V SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS 182
Summary 182
Conclusions 186
Implications 187
Theoretical Implications 187
Practical Implications 188
Design Implications 190
Recommendations 193
Recommendations for Personnel Policy and Management 193
Recommendations for Future Research 200
BIBLIOGRAPHY 201
APPENDICES 220
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR 228
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The cost for replacing a manager</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GDP growth by sector</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Percentage distribution of employed persons by Industry and year, Malaysia</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Percentage of overall staff turnover of hotels, resorts and chalets in Malaysia by years</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of hotels in Kuala Lumpur of September, 2001</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reliability coefficient of variables at pre-test &amp; final stage</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Percentage distribution of respondents by demographic characteristics</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of propensity to leave</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of job satisfaction</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of organizational commitment</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of self-esteem</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of emotional intelligence</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of role ambiguity</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of role conflict</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of work overload</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of perceived career advancement</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of perceived empowerment</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Distribution of respondents by level of perceived Leader-staff relationship</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Relationship of self-esteem and emotional intelligence with propensity to leave</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Relationship of self-esteem and emotional intelligence with job satisfaction</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Relationship of self-esteem and emotional intelligence with organizational commitment</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Relationship of role conflict, role ambiguity, work overload with propensity to leave</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Relationship of role conflict, role ambiguity, work overload with job satisfaction</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Relationship of role conflict, role ambiguity, work overload with organizational commitment</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Relationship of organizational characteristics with propensity to leave</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Relationship of organizational characteristics with job satisfaction</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Relationship of organizational characteristics with organizational commitment</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Relationship of job satisfaction and organizational commitment with propensity to leave</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Summary of models in individual characteristics</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Summary of models in job characteristics</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Summary of models in organizational characteristics</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Standardized regression weights for paths of individual characteristics</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Standardized regression weights for paths of job characteristics</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Standardized regression weights for paths of organizational characteristics</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figures</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adapted from Price’s model of turnover determinants and intervening variables</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adapted from E.E. Lawler’s expectancy model of Motivation and behavior</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Adapted from Mobley’s intermediate linkages model</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prat and Bennett’s turnover model</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Research framework</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proposed model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between individual characteristics and propensity to leave</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of self-esteem on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of emotional intelligence on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of self-esteem on propensity to leave via organizational commitment</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of emotional intelligence on propensity to leave via organizational commitment</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Revised model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between individual characteristics and propensity to leave</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Proposed model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between job characteristics and propensity to leave</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of role ambiguity on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of role conflict on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of work overload on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of role ambiguity on propensity to leave via organizational commitment</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of role conflict on propensity to leave via organizational commitment</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Direct and indirect paths of work overload on propensity to leave via organizational commitment</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Revised model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between job characteristics and propensity to leave</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between organizational characteristics and propensity to leave  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived career advancement on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived empowerment on propensity to leave via job satisfaction.  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived leader-staff relationship on propensity to leave via job satisfaction  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived career advancement on propensity to leave via organizational commitment  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived empowerment on propensity to leave via organizational commitment  

Direct and indirect paths of perceived leader-staff relationship on propensity to leave via organizational commitment  

Revised model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between organizational characteristics and propensity to leave  

The revised model of study on relationships  

Model showing job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators between individual, job and organizational characteristics and propensity to leave
CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

In the era of this new millennium, many words like merging, outsourcing, downsizing, retrenchment and lay-off have suddenly become so common and rather familiar. These in fact are some of the strategies that companies begin to look into, to cut cost in time of today's economic slow down. Surprisingly, even in this sluggish state of economy where retrenchment, lay-off and the likes are often the case, yet, voluntary turnover among staff, still exist and severely disturb and create quite a challenge to the human resource department of many companies (Iverson and Pullman, 2000).

The problem of staff turnover facing by most companies mainly affects the skilled and the semi-skilled (white-collared). According to Font (2000), the world is facing a thin talent pool of work force. This is due to the trend of the baby boomers. The baby boomers are reaching their retirement stage and there is no other stage of baby boom there after. Hence, the labor force is beginning to shrink as the years proceed.

Thin talent pool of workforce could cause job-hopping (Font, 2000). However, factors that trigger the staff turnover are the behavior and attitude of the staff themselves (Fishbeine and Ajzen, 1975). According to Bluedorn (1982) and
Hom and Griffeth (1991) the study of staff turnover could not be explained by the behavior and attitude of the employee alone. In their study, individual characteristics need to be studied together with the job characteristics and organizational characteristics. In the earlier studies (before 1980's) most researchers include job satisfaction as the mediators in influencing staff turnover. In the contemporary studies, organizational commitment is also regarded as an important mediator (Hom & Griffeth, 1995). In this case, researcher is examining the significant path of relationship between individual, job and organizational characteristics and propensity to leave with the job satisfaction and organizational commitment as the mediators.

**Background of Study**

Staff turnover is a serious problem of employers nowadays. According to a strategic analysis of employment and training needs by Centre for recruiting and training (CERT), the Tourism and Training agency, approximately 125,000 new recruits will be needed in the Tourism and Hospitality industry over the next five years. The CERT forecasts survey finds that an additional 100,000 vacancies will arise as a direct consequence of continuing labour turnover within individual businesses over the period 2002-2007 (O'Halloran, 2000). The survey by the Center for Organizational Research (COR) had found that 64% of the company taken into study had an annual staff turnover rate between
15% - 50%. Only a sizable minority (27%) continues to enjoy rates below 10% and the average rate is 23% (Havard Management, 2001). In the hospitality industry such as restaurants and hotels, high rate of staff turnover has been reported by many researchers since the last three decades (Azlinzuraini, 2001). The forecasts survey conducted by CERT also show the seriousness of staff turnover. According to the forecasts, the number of new recruits required by sectors will peak in 2006. Hotels and restaurants alone will require just over 11,000 new employees as a consequence of high staff turnover (O'Halloran, 2000).

According to Maddern (2000), 20% turnover rate has become the norm in the technology world. Some studies place the figure as high as 25%, a major increase from the traditional rate of 6%. In addition, the time involved in replacing a lost employee and getting a new employee as productive, usually averages around nine months or more (Maddern, 2000).

The Harvard Management (2001) has gathered a cost-benefit analysis of turnover from The Human Resource Consulting Firm Development Dimensions International (Pittsburgh). By using the result of this analysis, the cost of losing and replacing an employee could be roughly estimated but the cost may vary
widely as it depends on the individual and industry involved. Table 1 below shows the average cost of replacing a manager, which is very close to $108,000.

Table 1: The cost for replacing a manager

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>$ 6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serverance</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate Travel Costs</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview Costs</td>
<td>$ 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs to process all candidates</td>
<td>$ 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per hire</td>
<td>$107,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation costs</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost opportunities/Hidden Costs</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>$15,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Based on the cost benefit analysis, staff turnover does affect the companies rather seriously. Naturally this problem also indirectly affect the country’s economy. It seems more so especially in the service sector since this sector is said to be a vital source of country’s economy besides manufacturing. As can be seen from the Malaysian Economic Report 2001/2002 in Table 2, service sector has injected 4.8% into the country’s economy in the year 2000 and are said to be the second highest after manufacturing sector (Malaysian Economic Planning Unit, 2002).