

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

SELECTED PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS IN DIFFERENT FARMING SYSTEMS

ABRAR SALEH MAQTAN BA QATYAN

FS 2021 3



SELECTED PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS IN DIFFERENT FARMING SYSTEMS



ABRAR SALEH MAQTAN BA QATYAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

December 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

My father

My mother

My sister, my brother and their sons

And

My husband Khairallah Ahmed Al-Hushbi

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

SELECTED PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS IN DIFFERENT FARMING SYSTEMS

By

ABRAR SALEH MAQTAN BA QATYAN

December 2019

Chairman Faculty

: Hishamuddin bin Omar, PhD : Science

Climate change, loss of fertile top soil, unsustainable agriculture practices and excessive use of agrochemicals lead to soil depletion, loss of agriculture land and threat to food security. These problems cannot be ignored and sustainable solutions must be found to ensure human existence. In the past, the agronomist and soil scientist devoted primarily on soil physical and chemical properties to address some of those issues. Recently, the issues of soil health are beginning to be taken seriously. Therefore, the objectives of the present study are to characterize the physical and chemical properties of different agriculture land (CEFS, Papaya, Organic and Nilai farm), documenting the soil invertebrates, bacteria and fungi population in those areas. Three composite soil samples were collected from each site, followed by the physical and chemical analysis. The physical properties assessed include soil texture, bulk density, moisture, temperature, and soil porosity. The soil chemical properties were pH, EC, total C&N, C/N, extractable P, Ca, Mg, K, Na, organic matter, and effective CEC. Pitfall traps and Tullgren funnel were used for sampling soil invertebrates. Invertebrates were preserved in 70% ethanol and identified using soil invertebrate morphological classification. The fungi and bacteria were isolated using soil dilution technique and soil plate technique on RBA, PDA and NA. Morphological characteristics of the bacteria and fungi were identified. In addition, API20E biochemical test kit was used to identify bacteria to genus level. The physical and chemical properties of soil showed some variation in each location. CEFS, Papaya and Organic farm in UPM campus have Serdang soil series while Nilai agriculture field has Rengam series. Bulk density ranged between 1.07-1.35 g/cm³, porosity (54.67-65.00), temperature (33.66-38.00 °C), moisture (18.57-32.85%), clay (21.57-39.46%), silt (5.78-22.29%), sand (33.27-56.86) and soil texture class ranged from clay, sandy clay to sandy-clay-loam. Macrofauna were dominant in Papaya and CEFS comprising of about 80% fauna found. Mesofauna comprised of 43.22% in Organic farm, while microfauna composed only 2.85% in Organic farm. The ecosystem engineers comprised of 39.56% in Papaya farm. Litters transformers were highest in CEFS comprising of 54.05% and predatory fauna were highest in Nilai farm comprising of 46.29%. The most common bacteria in all farms were Coccobacillus (31.10%), Bacilli G- comprising (26.91%) in CEFS, Papaya and Organic farm, and Actinobacteria comprising (15.86%) in CEFS, Papaya and Nilai farm respectively. *Aspergillus, Penicillium* and *Trichoderma* were the most common fungi in all farms and Ascomycota being the most dominant fungal division comprising of 79.03%. The highest diversity of soil fauna and flora were in Organic farm and CEFS. The overall scores approach enabled the soils to be assessed simultaneously for physical, chemical, invertebrates, bacterial and fungal aspect. It was found that CEFS and Organic farm were superior in overall aspect. This study concluded that undisturbed and organic farm have better overall soil properties than varying level of disturbed soil. The rank and score approach enable the soil with multiple parameter assessment such as physical, chemical, and biological properties to be compared simultaneously.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SIFAT FIZIKAL-KIMIA DAN BIOLOGI TANAH DI KAWASAN YANG BERBEZA DARI SEGI SISTEM PERTANIAN

Oleh

ABRAR SALEH MAQTAN BA QATYAN

Disember 2019

Pengerusi Fakulti

: Hishamuddin bin Omar, PhD : Sains

Perubahan iklim, ketandusan tanah, kegiatan pertanian yang tidak efisien serta penggunaan agrokimia yang berlebihan boleh mengakibatkan kemorosotan kualiti tanah dan menjejaskan penghasilan makanan. Masalah tersebut tidak boleh diabaikan begitu sahaja dan penyelesaian yang efektif perlu dipraktikkan bagi menjamin kesejahteraan hidup manusia. Bagi menangani isu-isu tersebut, ahli sains tanah dan agronomist telah mengkaji sifat fizikal dan kimia tanah secara terperinci. Bagaimanapun kebelakangan ini, masalah kesuburan tanah amat membimbangkan. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti sifat fizikal dan kimia tanah di kebun pertanian yang berbeza (CEFS, Ladang betik, Ladang organik dan Ladang Nilai) serta mendokumentasi populasi invertebrata tanah, bakteria dan kulat di kawasan tersebut. Tiga sampel tanah komposit telah dikumpul dari setiap kawasan dan dianalisis dari segi fizikal dan kimia. Sifat fizikal tanah yang dinilai merangkumi tekstur, ketumpatan, kelembapan, suhu dan koranggaan tanah. Sifat kimia tanah yang dinilai adalah pH, EC, jumlah C & N, C/N, P, Ca, Mg, K, Na yang boleh diekstrak, bahan organik dan CEC. Perangkap Pitfall dan corong Tullgren telah digunakan untuk persampelan invertebrata tanah. Invertebrata tanah disimpan dalam 70% etanol dan dikenalpasti mengikut ciri-ciri morfologi mereka. Pemencilan kulat dan bakteria telah dilaksanakan melalui teknik larutan tanah dan teknik plat tanah pada RBA, PDA dan NA. Ciri-ciri morfologi bakteria juga telah dikenalpasti. Selain itu, kit ujian biokimia API20E digunakan untuk mengenal pasti genus bakteria. Sifat fizikal dan kimia tanah menunjukkan beberapa variasi di setiap lokasi. Ladang CEFS, Ladang betik dan Ladang organik di kampus UPM mempunyai siri tanah Serdang manakala Ladang Nilai mempunyai siri tanah Rengam. Ketumpatan tanah mempunyai nilai antara 1.07-1.35 g/cm³, keronggaan tanah (54.67-65.00), suhu (33.66-38.00^oC), kelembapan (18.57-32.85%), tanah liat (21.57-39.46%), kelodak (5.78-22.29%), pasir (33.27-56.86) dan klasifikasi tekstur tanah merangkumi tanah liat, tanah liat berpasir dan tanah liat berpasir. Kategori makrofauna adalah dominan di Ladang betik dan CEFS di mana ia terdiri daripada 80% fauna yang dijumpai. Ladang Organik merekodkan kategori mesofauna sebanyak 43.22% manakala mikrofauna hanya sebanyak 2.85% sahaja. Kategori penjana ekosistem terdiri daripada 39.56% di Ladang betik. Agen

penguraian mencatat nilai tertinggi di CEFS iaitu 54.05% dan fauna pemangsa mencatat nilai tertinggi di Ladang Nilai iaitu 46.29%. Coccobacillus merupakan bakteria yang paling kerap dijumpai di semua lokasi (31.10%), di mana Bacilli G- mencatat 26.91% di CEFS, Ladang betik dan Ladang organik, manakala Actinobacteria mencatat nilai 15.85% di CEFS, Ladang betik dan Ladang Nilai. *Aspergillus, Penicillium* dan *Trichoderma* adalah kulat yang paling kerap dijumpai semua lokasi di mana Ascomycota merupakan kategori kulat yang paling dominan dengan nilai 79.03%. Kepelbagaian fauna tanah dan flora yang paling tinggi adalah di Ladang organik dan CEFS. Teknik penskoran secara keseluruhan membolehkan tanah dinilai secara serentak dari segi fizikal, kimia, invertebrata, bakteria dan kulat. Kajian mendapati CEFS dan Ladang organik mencatat skor yang terbaik bagi setiap aspek yang dinilai. Kesimpulannya, Ladang organik yang tidak terganggu mempunyai sifat tanah yang paling ideal berbanding tanah di lokasi terganggu yang lain. Pendekatan mengikut aras nilai dan skor membolehkan tanah dinilai secara serentak dari segi fizikal, kimia dan biologi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the beginning thanks to Allah for helping me all over this work.

I cannot find words to express my feeling towards my supervisor Dr. Hishamuddin Omar, for his great help and guidance in producing this thesis.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to the members of my supervisory committee: Assoc. Prof. Muskhazli Mustafa, Dr. Nur Ain Izzati for all helpful suggestions which contributed to the many aspects of this study and improved the quality of this thesis.

I would like to acknowledge all the lecturers and staff in Faculty of Science Department of Biology UPM who taught me all the things which help me to conduct this study. I would like to thank the UPM staff at the Department of Land Management, UPM for their help in soil analysis.

I would like to thank Putra grant to support this research which was funded by code project: GP/2017/9568000 of UPM Malaysia.

My sincere gratefulness to my friends in Yemen and Malaysia and all the lecturers and staff in Faculty of Education and Science Department of Biology in University of Aden, Yemen, with special thanks for Prof. Dr. Abdul Nasser Al-Gifri Professor of Taxonomy of Flowering Plants Dept. of Biology, University of Aden, for encouragement and helping me in all things during the study.

Finally, no thanks can repay for my family and my husband their great help, patience, and encouragement, for cordial and moral support, to present this work.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Hishamuddin bin Omar, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Muskhazli bin Mustafa, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Nur Ain Izzati binti Mohd Zainudin, PhD

Associate. Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| APPROVAL | vi |
| DECLARATION | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xix |

CHAPTER

 \mathbf{G}

| 1 | INTE | RODUC | TION | 1 |
|---|------|---------|---|------------------|
| | 1.1 | Objecti | ives | 3 |
| | | 1.1.1 | General objective | 3 3 3 |
| | | 1.1.2 | Specific objectives | 3 |
| • | | | | |
| 2 | | | RE REVIEW | 4 |
| | 2.1 | | issues specifically about soil | 4 |
| | 2.2 | | tion of past and present mitigation – limited awareness | |
| | | | nited baseline information | 4 |
| | 2.3 | | portance of soil to mankind | 5 7 8 9 |
| | | 2.3.1 | Soil and food security | 7 |
| | | 2.3.2 | | 8 |
| | | 2.3.3 | | |
| | | 2.3.4 | Soil and climate change | 9 |
| | | 2.3.5 | Biodiversity: functions of soil biodiversity | 10 |
| | | 2.3.6 | Land management: the challenge to implement | |
| | | | effective soil conservation | 11 |
| | 2.4 | Status | of soil science | 11 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Soil science in the past | 11 |
| | | 2.4.2 | Soil science in the present | 12 |
| | | 2.4.3 | Soil science in future | 12 |
| | | 2.4.4 | Soil and agriculture | 13 |
| | | 2.4.5 | Soil management | 14 |
| | | 2.4.6 | Soil ecology | 15 |
| | | 2.4.7 | Soil conservation | 16 |
| | 2.5 | Soil in | Malaysia | 17 |
| | 2.6 | | s characterizing the soil | 18 |
| | | 2.6.1 | Physical factors – texture, bulk density, moisture | 18 |
| | | 2.6.2 | Chemical factors, macro and micronutrient pH, CEC | 19 |
| | | 2.6.3 | Biological factors, Organic matter, Soil Fauna, Soil | - |
| | | | Flora | 21 |
| | 2.7 | Humar | factors affecting the soil | 24 |

| 3 | GENERAL N | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 25 |
|---|----------------------|---|----|
| | 3.1 Descrip | ption of soil type of the agriculture farm type and | |
| | location | n | 25 |
| | 3.2 Study 1 | ocation and description | 26 |
| | 3.2.1 | CEFS | 26 |
| | 3.2.2 | Organic farm | 26 |
| | 3.2.3 | Papaya farm | 26 |
| | 3.2.4 | Nilai farm | 27 |
| | | mpling protocol | 27 |
| | 3.3.1 | Preliminary planning | 28 |
| | | ng and preservation of soil sample | 28 |
| | | and ling, organization, and interpretation | 30 |
| | | | 50 |
| 4 | | AND CHEMICAL SOIL PROPERTIES IN | |
| | DIFFERENT | AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND | 22 |
| | LOCATION | | 32 |
| | 4.1 Introdu | | 32 |
| | 4.1.1 | Objectives | 33 |
| | | als and methods | 33 |
| | 4.2.1 | Physical analysis for different soil type | 33 |
| | 4.2.2 | Chemical analysis of different soil type | 36 |
| | 4.2.3 | Statistical analysis | 38 |
| | 4.3 Results | | 38 |
| | 4. <mark>3.</mark> 1 | Soil physical properties | 39 |
| | 4. <mark>3.2</mark> | Chemical properties | 40 |
| | 4. <mark>3.3</mark> | Relation between the physical and chemical | |
| | | properties in different agriculture fields | 42 |
| | 4.4 Discus | | 43 |
| | 4.5 Conclu | | 46 |
| _ | | | |
| 5 | | RTEBRATES IN DIFFERENT AGRICULTURAL | 47 |
| | SOILS | | 47 |
| | 5.1 Introdu | | 47 |
| | 5.1.1 | Objectives | 49 |
| | | als and Methods | 49 |
| | 5.2.1 | Sample collections | 49 |
| | 5.2.2 | Data analysis | 51 |
| | | 5.2.2.1 Biological indices | 51 |
| | 5.2.3 | Statistical analysis | 52 |
| | 5.3 Result | | 52 |
| | 5.3.1 | Taxonomic groups | 52 |
| | 5.3.2 | Functional groups | 53 |
| | 5.3.3 | Diversity indices of invertebrates | 56 |
| | | 5.3.3.1 The similarity of species composition in | |
| | | four study sites | 57 |
| | 5.3.4 | The relationship between invertebrate morphospecies | |
| | | and physical properties of the different soil type | 58 |
| | 5.3.5 | The relationship between invertebrate morphospecies | |
| | | and chemical soil properties in the different type of | |
| | | soil | 59 |
| | | | |

| 5. | 4 Discus | sion | 62 |
|------|-----------|--|-----|
| 5. | 5 Conclu | sion | 69 |
| 6 B. | ACTERIA | DIVERSITY IN DIFFERENT AGRICULTURE | |
| | IELDS | DIVERSITI IN DIFFERENT AGRICULTURE | 70 |
| 6. | | ction | 70 |
| 0. | 6.1.1 | Research objectives | 72 |
| 6. | | als and method | 72 |
| 0. | 6.2.1 | Sample collections | 72 |
| | 6.2.2 | Soil Sample Preparation | 72 |
| | 6.2.3 | Isolation and Inoculation | 73 |
| | 6.2.4 | Identification of Soil Bacteria: | 73 |
| | 0.2.1 | 6.2.4.1 Gram Staining: | 74 |
| | | 6.2.4.2 Biochemical test: | 74 |
| | 6.2.5 | Diversity indices of bacterial | 75 |
| | 6.2.6 | Data analysis | 76 |
| 6. | | | 76 |
| 0. | 6.3.1 | The abundance and composition of individual | 10 |
| | 0.5.1 | colonies of soil bacteria | 76 |
| | 6.3.2 | Diversity indices of Bacteria. | 78 |
| | | 6.3.2.1 The similarity of species composition | |
| | | between 4 study sites | 78 |
| | 6.3.3 | Biochemical test | 80 |
| | 6.3.4 | The relationship between bacterial colonies and | |
| | | physical properties in different type of soil. | 80 |
| | 6.3.5 | The relationship between bacterial colonies and | |
| | | chemical properties in different type of soil. | 81 |
| 6. | 4 Discus | | 86 |
| 6. | | | 89 |
| | | | |
| 7 F | UNGAL I | DIVERSITY IN DIFFERENT AGRICULTURE | |
| | IELDS | | 90 |
| 7. | 1 Introdu | ction | 90 |
| | 7.1.1 | Objectives | 91 |
| 7. | 2 Materia | als and Methods | 92 |
| | 7.2.1 | Isolation and Quantifying of Soil fungi: | 92 |
| | 7.2.2 | Identification of Soil fungi | 93 |
| | 7.2.3 | Diversity indices | 93 |
| | 7.2.4 | Statistical analysis | 93 |
| 7. | 3 Results | | 94 |
| | 7.3.1 | The abundance and composition of individual | |
| | | colonies of soil Fungal | 94 |
| | 7.3.2 | Biological indices of Fungi | 96 |
| | | 7.3.2.1 Similarity of species composition between | |
| | | 4 study sites | 96 |
| | 7.3.3 | The relationship between Fungi genera and physical | |
| | | properties in different type of soil | 97 |
| | 7.3.4 | The relationship between Fungi genera and chemical | |
| | | properties in different type of soil | 98 |
| 7. | 4 Discus | | 110 |

| | 7.5 | Conclusion | | | | 112 |
|-----|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|-------|-----|
| 8 | | ERAL DISCUS | SION, | CONCLUSION | N AND | |
| | REC | OMMENDATION | | | | 113 |
| | 8.1 | General discussion | | | | 113 |
| | 8.2 | Conclusion | | | | 119 |
| | 8.3 | Recommendations | | | | 120 |
| | | | | | | |
| RE | FERE | NCES | | | | 121 |
| AP | PEND | ICES | | | | 147 |
| BIC | DDAT | A OF STUDENT | | | | 154 |
| LIS | ST OF | PUBLICATIONS | | | | 155 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | The seven main soil function | 6 |
| 4.1 | Physical soil properties on different farms and different locations | 40 |
| 4.2 | Chemical soil properties in different farm and location | 41 |
| 4.3 | Total variance of PCA explained between the physical and chemical properties of different type of soil | 151 |
| 4.4 | Summary of the score of the physical and chemical soil properties in different agriculture fields | 43 |
| 5.1 | The number of individual morphospecies of invertebrates during study period | 158 |
| 5.2 | The difference percentage between invertebrate's size groups and functional groups in each location | 54 |
| 5.3 | Mean \pm SE of individual morphospecies of invertebrates in different agriculture sites | 55 |
| 5.4 | The abundance of invertebrates morphospecies in different farm and location | 56 |
| 5.5 | Mean \pm SE of invertebrates morphospecies diversity indices in 4 study sites | 57 |
| 5.6 | Explained between the physical properties and invertebrates morphospecies of different type of soil | 151 |
| 5.7 | Explained between the invertebrates' diversity and chemical properties of different type of soil | 151 |
| 5.8 | The summary of invertebrates morphospecies, abundance, diversity and their ecosystem roles, and relationships with and soil physical and chemical properties in 4 different locations namely CEFS, Papaya, Organic and Nilai farm (H= Diversity, D= Dominant, R= Richness, E= Evenness) | 63 |
| 6.1 | Mean \pm SE of colony forming units (CFU) of Bacteria at different | 05 |
| 0.1 | \pm SE of colory forming units (CFO) of Bacteria at different agriculture fields | 76 |
| 6.2 | Mean \pm SE of individual and composition colonies of soil bacteria strains in different agriculture fields | 77 |

| 6.3 | Mean \pm SE of soil Bacterial diversity indices in 4 study | 78 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.4 | Dominant Bacterial strain in each location and whole population % between all locations | 79 |
| 6.5 | Results of biochemical test (API 20E) for identification bacterial <i>Bacillus</i> spp | 80 |
| 6.6 | Total variances between the physical properties and bacteria diversity of different type of soil | 152 |
| 6.7 | Total variances between the chemical properties and bacteria diversity of different type of soil | 152 |
| 7.1 | Mean colony forming units (CFU) of fungal at different agriculture fields | 94 |
| 7.2 | Mean ± SE of fungal genera in each location and their relative abundance in different agriculture fields | 95 |
| 7.3 | Dominant Fungal genera in each location as percentage of the whole population | 96 |
| 7.4 | Mean \pm SE of soil Fungal diversity indices in 4 study sites | 96 |
| 7.5 | Total variances between the physical properties and fungi diversity of different type of soil | 152 |
| 7.6 | Total variances between the chemical properties and fungi diversity of different type of soil | 153 |
| 8.1 | Summary of rank of physical and chemical and biological soil properties in different agriculture fields (Table 4.4, 5.8, 6,2, 7.2) | 114 |
| | | |

6

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Six significant worldwide problems covering one or more SDGs each | 7 |
| 3.1 | Study locations | 27 |
| 3.2 | Sampling plots (1, 2, 3) and sampling design (own drawing) | 28 |
| 3.3 | Soil sampling collected using an auger | 29 |
| 3.4 | Handling and preservation of soil sample | 30 |
| 4.1 | Sampling sequence for soil bulk density | 35 |
| 4.2 | Soil moisture-temperature meter (Aquaterr) to measure soil temperature | 36 |
| 4.3 | Analysis of soil organic matter | 37 |
| 4.4 | Ordination plot from the first two principal component analysis (PCA) indicating the correlation between the physical and chemical properties of the four soil type | 42 |
| 5.1 | Invertebrates sampling using pitfall trap | 50 |
| 5.2 | Microinvertebrates sampling using Berlese-Tullgren funnel method | 51 |
| 5.3 | Soil invertebrates are categorized according to body size (1,2,3) and functional group (A, B, C) | 54 |
| 5.4 | Mean of Sorenson's Coefficient values of invertebrate's morphospecies in all 4 study sites C (CEFS), P (Papaya farm), O (Organic farm) and N (Nilai farm) | 57 |
| 5.5 | Ordination diagram obtained from canonical correspondence analysis showing the spatial distribution of invertebrates morphospecies and physical properties in different type of soil | 58 |
| 5.6 | Ordination diagram obtained from canonical correspondence analysis showing the spatial distribution of invertebrates morphospecies and chemical properties in different type of soil | 59 |
| 5.7 | Invertebrates morphospecies photos taken by microscopic camera and mobile Samsung A7 2016 collected from study sites 1,2: Annelida; 3,4: Nematoda; 5,6: Isopoda; 7,8: Amphipoda; 9,10: Myriapoda; 11,12: Acarina; 13,14: Areaneae | 60 |

| 5.8 | Invertebrates morphospecies photos taken by camera of microscope and Mobile Samsung A7 2016 collected from study sites. 15,16: Gastropoda; 17,18: Collembola; 19: Diplura; 20: Psocoptera; 21,22: Coleoptera; 23,24,25: Hymenoptera; 26,27: Orthoptera; 28,29: Hemiptera; 30: Isoptera; 31: Mecoptera; 32: Insect larva; 33,34: Thysanoptera; 35: Blattodea; 36: Diptera | 61 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Soil Isolation and dilution factors | 73 |
| 6.2 | Identified bacteria by Gram staining | 74 |
| 6.3 | API 20E test processes | 75 |
| 6.4 | Mean of Sorenson's Coefficient values of Bacterial strains in all 4 study sites C (CEFS), P (Papaya farm), O (Organic farm) and N (Nilai farm) | 79 |
| 6.5 | Canonical Correspondence Analysis showing the spatial distribution of soil bacteria diversity and physical properties in different agriculture fields $G1 = G+$, $G2 = G-$ | 81 |
| 6.6 | Canonical Correspondence Analysis showing the spatial distribution of bacteria diversity and chemical properties in different type of soil, $G1=G+, G2=G-$ | 82 |
| 6.7 | Bacteria of soil photos were taken by mobile camera SamsungA7 (2016), collected from study sites | 86 |
| 7.1 | Isolation and quantifying soil fungi using serial dilution and inoculating into PDA and RBA | 92 |
| 7.2 | Mean of Sorenson's Coefficient values of fungal genera in all 4 study sites | 97 |
| 7.3 | Ordination diagram obtained from canonical correspondence analysis showing the spatial distribution of fungi diversity and physical properties in different type of soil | 98 |
| 7.4 | Ordination diagram obtained from canonical correspondence analysis showing the spatial distribution of fungi diversity and chemical properties in different type of soil | 99 |
| 7.5 | Aspergillus spp. Colony from front (c, j) colony from back (d, i). (a, b, e, f, g, h) are Conidiophores and conidia | 100 |
| 7.6 | <i>Pencillium</i> spp. Colony from front (a) colony from bake (b). b, c, are conidiophores and conidia | 100 |
| 7.7 | <i>Phytophthora</i> spp. colony from front(f) colony from back (g). a, b, c,d,e are Sporangium and zoospores | 101 |

| | 7.8 | <i>Exophiala</i> spp. colony from front. (d) colony from back (c). a, b, e is Conidiophores and conidia | 101 |
|----------|------|---|-----|
| | 7.9 | Inocyba spp. colony from front(c) colony from back (d). a, b, e basidiospores | 102 |
| | 7.10 | <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. colony from front (d), colony from back (c) conidia and conidiophore (a & b) | 102 |
| | 7.11 | <i>Lasiodiplodia</i> spp. colony from front (d, f) colony from back (b, g). a, c, e, conidia and conidiophore | 103 |
| | 7.12 | <i>Rhizopus</i> spp. colony from front(d) colony from back (b). (a, c, e, f, g) sporangia and zygospores | 103 |
| | 7.13 | <i>Gliocladium</i> spp. colony from front (d, f) colony from back (b, g).(a, c, e, f, g) conidia and conidiophore | 104 |
| | 7.14 | Unknown spp. colony from front(e) colony from back (h) | 104 |
| | 7.15 | Unknown spp. colony from front(c) colony from back (f) | 105 |
| | 7.16 | <i>Fusarium</i> spp. colony from front(c) colony from back (a). (b, d,) conidia and conidiophore | 105 |
| | 7.17 | <i>Colletotrichum</i> spp. colony from front (d) colony from back (e). (a, b, c,) conidia and conidiophore | 106 |
| | 7.18 | <i>Wallemia</i> spp. colony from front (d) colony from back (c). (a, b, e) basidiospores | 106 |
| | 7.19 | <i>Monilinia</i> spp. colony from front (c) colony from back (b).(a, d, e,f) conidia and conidiophore | 107 |
| | 7.20 | Agrocybe spp. colony from front (e) colony from back (d). (a, b, c) basidiospores | 107 |
| | 7.21 | <i>Cladosporium</i> spp. colony from front (e ,g) colony from back (c, f).(a, b, d, h) conidia and conidiophore | 108 |
| | 7.22 | <i>Scedosporium</i> spp. colony from front (e) colony from back (d). (a, b, c, f, g) conidia and conidiophore | 108 |
| | 7.23 | <i>Scopulariopsis</i> spp. colony from front (e) colony from back (d). (a, b, c) conidia and conidiophore | 109 |
| Θ | 7.24 | <i>Aureobasidium</i> spp. colony from front (c) colony from back (b). (a, d, e) conidia and conidiophore | 109 |
| 1 | | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| API 20E | Analytical Profile Index Enterobacteriaceae |
|---------|--|
| BMP | Best Management Practice |
| CCD | Convention on Desertification |
| CEC | Cation exchange capacity |
| EC | Electrical conductivity |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
| FCCC | Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| GAP | Development Good Agricultural Practice |
| GSBI | Global Soil Biodiversity Initiative |
| GSP | Global Soil Partnership |
| IPBES | Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| ITPS | Intergovernmental Technical Panel on Soils |
| NGO | Non-governmental organization |
| PDA | Potato Dextrose Agar |
| RBA | Rose Bengal agar |
| SDGs | sustainable development goals |
| SOC | soil organic C |
| SOM | Soil organic matter |
| UN | United Nations. |
| WSBI | World Soil Biodiversity Assessment |
| | |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Soil is a vital resource that provides food, fuel, and fiber. It forms the basis of food security and environmental quality, both essential for mankind. The necessity of soil to human well-being is often not realized until the food production drops or when the risk of being eroded or degraded to the level until it loses its resilience (Blanco and Lal., 2008). Bearing that in mind, presently mother earth is under serious threats from multiple fronts such as climate change, unsustainable agriculture practices, intensification of human activities, human population increase and spread of diseases, all of them are the most fundamental issues affecting the soil in Malaysia (Murad et al., 2008; Hassan, 2015).

Furthermore, a lack of agricultural land is already facing negative effects on global food production (Turbe et al., 2010; Cardoso et al., 2013; Orgiazzi et al., 2016; Oldeman et al., 2017). Just as ecosystem services are influenced by (bundles of) soil processes, the latter are in turn affected by the soil threats. Recently the problems pertaining to soil have been highlighted (Cardoso et al., 2013; Orgiazzi et al., 2016; Bünemann et al., 2018).

Therefore, there is an urgent need to promote awareness on the key soil issues and their importance to mankind. This is necessary to maintain and improve global soil resources by limiting the agricultural practices such as tillage, fertilization management, and farm management for food, fiber, and water production. The efforts should take into account the sustainability of energy, climate change, conservation of biodiversity and comprehensive protection of the ecosystem under all previous threats. Such issues must be recognized and shedding light on them which is known as the security of soil (McBratney et al., 2014).

In past studies, soil status assessment was limited to focus primarily on the chemical and physical properties of soil to reflect the soil quality. However, in the presence of multiple threats facing the soil, there is a need for a broader understanding and evaluation to include all components of soil. In addition, the understanding of biological sensitive indicators should be able to provide an early warning against any threat to the soil. Unfortunately, present studies on the soil biological aspect were inadequate (Bünemann et al., 2018) even though they show great potential for a course in the ecosystem (Bünemann et al., 2018). The impact of unmanaged use of agrochemicals to the soil biological component is still unknown. Moreover, most of the agricultural institution has no provision to study the biological aspect of soil (Turbe et al., 2010; Cardoso et al., 2013).



The diversity of life in the soil, also known as soil biodiversity is an important but presently is an incomprehensible aspect of the terrestrial ecosystems. Soil biodiversity consists of organisms that spend whole or part of their life cycle in the soil or on the immediate surface (including surface litter and decomposing logs). Therefore, better understanding on the importance and contribution of soil biodiversity for the resistance and resilience against the stress and disturbed is crucial. Since much of the soil organisms are poorly known, the relations between soil biodiversity and the performance of ecosystems is not clear presently (Chapin et al., 2000; Brussaard et al., 2004). Limited studies on this subject suggested that biodiversity affects the ecosystem stability, productivity, and resilience to stress and disturbance (Torsvik and Ovreås, 2002; Cardoso et al., 2013; Bünemann et al., 2018).

Rapid land-use change such as urbanization, agriculture, deforestation, and desertification can have a multiplier effect on the soil and soil biodiversity that could extend beyond the original location of the disturbance (Wall et al., 2015). A good example of that is climate change. Another important reason for the study of microbial diversity is the lack of sufficient knowledge about the microbes presently and in the past. There is no consensus on how many species exist in the world, and the potential of most species of interest, or the rate at which they disappear or emerge (Fakruddin and Mannan, 2013).

Hopefully, the presents will provide new information about soil communities diversity in four different locations in University Putra Malaysia and Nilai, Negeri Sembilan that have different soil properties, vegetation and agricultural activities that directly or indirectly influenced ecosystems. Both of them create some benefits and at the same time, some impacts and tradeoffs.

The impact of these activates on the soil biota community's diversity and abundance is studied and analyzed. The pertinent questions to be asked are (a) how bacteria, fungal and invertebrates diversity, abundance and composition changed due to soil management and human activities, (b) if the relationship exist, what is the impact of soil properties to soil bacteria, fungal and invertebrates diversity.

To answer those questions, we assumed two hypotheses: (i) soil bacteria, fungal and invertebrates' diversity and soil properties are affected by soil management, human and agriculture activities; (ii) the soil properties exert influence to soil bacterial, fungal and invertebrates' diversity.

2

1.1 Objectives

The objectives of the study were outlined as follows:

1.1.1 General objective

To determine the flora and fauna diversity in the sites with different soil properties (within the study area)

1.1.2 Specific objectives

- 1- To determine the physicochemical soils properties in different farm practices.
- 2- To evaluate the soils faunal diversity in different farm practices.
- 3- To evaluate the soils bacteria diversity in different farm practices.
- 4- To evaluate the soils fungal diversity in different farm practices.

REFERENCES

- Abawi, G. S., and Widmer, T. L. (2000). Impact of soil health management practices on soil borne pathogens, nematodes and root diseases of vegetable crops. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(1), 37-47.
- Abd-Elmabod, S. K., Jordán, A., Fleskens, L., Phillips, J. D., Muñoz-Rojas, M., van der Ploeg, M., ... and de la Rosa, D. (2017). Modeling agricultural suitability along soil transects under current conditions and improved scenario of soil factors. In Soil Mapping and Process Modeling for Sustainable Land Use Management (pp. 193-219). Elsevier.
- Abrahams, P. W. (2002). Soils: their implications to human health. *Science of the Total Environment*, 291(1-3), 1-32.
- Acres, B. D., Bower, R. P., Borrough, P. A., Folland, C. J., Kalsi, M. S., Thomas, P., and Wright, P. S. (1975). The soils of Sabah. Volume 1. Classification and description. Land Resource Study No. 20. Land Resource Division, Ministry of Overseas Development, England.
- Adepetu, J. A., Nabhan, H., and Osinubi, A. (2000). Simple soil, water and plant testing techniques for soil resource management: proceedings of a training course held in Ibadan, Nigeria. 16-27 September 1996. *IITA, Ibadan*.
- Aery, N. C. (2010). Manual of environmental analysis. Ane Books Pvt Ltd. Africa. European Commission, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. 176 pp.
- Aguilar-Trigueros, C. A., Hempel, S., Powell, J. R., Anderson, I. C., Antonovics, J., Bergmann, J., ... and Petermann, J. S. (2015). Branching out: towards a traitbased understanding of fungal ecology. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 29(1), 34-41.
- Al Mousawi, R.N.J. (2011). Study the fungi community of soil of the Okra plant. *Journal* of Babylon University/Pure and Applied Sciences, 2 (19), 627-637.
- Allen, D. E., Singh, B. P., and Dalal, R. C. (2011). Soil health indicators under climate change: a review of current knowledge. In *Soil Health and Climate Change* (pp. 25-45). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Allison, V. J., Miller, R. M., Jastrow, J. D., Matamala, R., and Zak, D. R. (2005). Changes in soil microbial community structure in a tallgrass prairie chronosequence. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 69(5), 1412-1421.
- Alloway, B., Centeno, J., Finkelman, R., Fuge, R., Lindh, U., and Smedley, P. (2013). *Essentials of Medical Geology: Revised Edition*. O. Selinus (Ed.). Springer Netherlands.

- Almendro-Candel, M. B., Lucas, I. G., Navarro-Pedreño, J., and Zorpas, A. A. (2018). Physical Properties of Soils Affected by the Use of Agricultural Waste. Agricultural Waste and Residues. p 9.
- Alvarez, M.A.D., Gagne, S., Antoun, L., (1995). Effect of compost on rhizosphere microflora of the tomato and on the incidence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 61, 194–199.
- Aminuddin, M. (1990). Malaysian Industrial Relations. McGraw-Hill Book.
- Anderson, J. M. (1988). Spatiotemporal effects of invertebrates on soil processes. *Biology* and Fertility of Soils, 6(3), 216-227.
- Aprile, F., and Lorandi, R. (2012). Evaluation of cation exchange capacity (CEC) in tropical soils using four different analytical methods. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(6), 278.
- Arévalo-Gardini, E., Canto, M., Alegre, J., Loli, O., Julca, A., and Baligar, V. (2015). Changes in soil physical and chemical properties in long term improved natural and traditional agroforestry management systems of cacao genotypes in Peruvian Amazon. *PloS one*, *10*(7), e0132147.
- Arifin, A., Karam, D. S., Shamshuddin, J., Majid, N. M., Radziah, O., Hazandy, A. H., and Zahari, I. (2012). Proposing a suitable soil quality index for natural, secondary and rehabilitated tropical forests in Malaysia. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(14), 3297-3309.
- Arshad, M. A., and Martin, S. (2002). Identifying critical limits for soil quality indicators in agro-ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 88(2), 153-160.
- Ashraf, M. A., Othman, R., and Ishak, C. F. (2017). Soils of Malaysia. CRC Press.
- Atlas, R. M. (1984). Microbiology: fundamentals and applications. Macmillan. pp 987.
- Ayele, H. (2011). Land use/land cover change and impact of jatropha on soil fertility: the case of Mieso and Bati districts, Ethiopia (Doctoral dissertation, Haramaya University).
- Ayuke, F. O., Karanja, N. K., Muya, E. M., Musombi, B. K., Mungatu, J., and Nyamasyo,
 G. H. N. (2009). Macrofauna diversity and abundance across different land use systems in Embu, Kenya. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 11(2), 371-384.
- Azevedo, V., Pereira, M. G., Corrêa Neto, T. A., Schermack, V., and Machado, D. L. (2008). Alterations in the edaphic fauna communities as a function of secondary forest burning in, Flona Mário Xavier, Seropédica, *RJ: EDUR*, v. 28, (1):09-17.

- Babalola, O. A., Adigun, M. O., and Abiola, I. O. (2018). Variation in soil chemical and microbiological properties as a result of yearly amendment with organic fertilizer. *Asian Soil Research Journal*, 1-7.
- Barbercheck, M. E., Neher, D. A., Anas, O., El-Allaf, S. M., and Weicht, T. R. (2009). Response of soil invertebrates to disturbance across three resource regions in North Carolina. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 152(1-4), 283-298.
- Bardgett, R. (2005). *The biology of soil: a community and ecosystem approach*. Oxford University Press.
- Bardgett, R. D., and Van Der Putten, W. H. (2014). Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature*, 515(7528), 505.
- Baretta, D., Baretta, C. R. D. M., & Cardoso, E. J. B. N. (2008). Multivariate analysis of soil microbiological and chemical attributes in forests with Araucaria angustifolia. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 32(SPE), 2683-2691.
- Baretta, D., Mafra, Á. L., Santos, J. C. P., Amarante, C. V. T., and Bertol, I. (2006). Multivariate analysis of soil fauna in different systems of soil preparation and cultivation. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 41 (11), 1675-1679.
- Baretta, D., Santos, J. C. P., Segat, J. C., Geremia, E. V., Oliveira Filho, L. D., and Alves, M. V. (2011). Soil fauna and soil quality. *Revista Tópicos Ciência Solo*. pp 7.
- Bartz, M. L. C., Brown, G. G., Orso, R., Mafra, Á. L., and Baretta, D. (2014). The influence of land use systems on soil and surface litter fauna in the western region of Santa Catarina. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 45(5), 880-887.
- Baudron, F., Delmotte, S., Corbeels, M., Herrera, J. M., and Tittonell, P. (2015). Multiscale trade-off analysis of cereal residue use for livestock feeding vs. soil mulching in the Mid-Zambezi Valley, Zimbabwe. Agricultural systems, 134, 97-106.
- Baumgardner, D. J. (2012). Soil-related bacterial and fungal infections. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 25(5), 734-744.
- Beare, M. H., Coleman, D. C., Crossley, D. A., Hendrix, P. F., and Odum, E. P. (1995). A hierarchical approach to evaluating the significance of soil biodiversity to biogeochemical cycling. In *The Significance and Regulation of Soil Biodiversity* (pp. 5-22). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Benckiser, G., and Schnell, S. (2006). *Biodiversity in agricultural production systems*. CRC Press.
- Bender, S. F., Plantenga, F., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Oberholzer, H. R., Köhl, L., ... and Van Der Heijden, M. G. (2014). Symbiotic relationships between soil fungi and plants reduce N₂O emissions from soil. *The ISME Journal*, 8(6), 1336.

- Bessa, F., Cunha, D., Gonçalves, S. C., and Marques, J. C. (2013). Sandy beach macrofaunal assemblages as indicators of anthropogenic impacts on coastal dunes. *Ecological Indicators*, 30, 196-204.
- Bhatt, M., Patel, S., Prajapti, P., and Jasrai, Y.T. (2015). Isolation and Identification of Soil Microflora of National Parks of Gujarat, India. *Int.J. Curr.Microbiol. App.Sci* 4(3): 421-429.
- Blakely, J. K., Neher, D. A., and Spongberg, A. L. (2002). Soil invertebrate and microbial communities, and decomposition as indicators of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contamination. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 21(1), 71-88.
- Blanco-Canqui, H., and Lal, R. (2008). Principles of soil conservation and management. Springer Science and Business Media.
- Boehm, M. J., Madden, L. V., and Hoitink, H. A. J. (1993). Effect of organic matter decomposition level on bacterial species diversity and composition in relationship to Pythium damping-off severity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 59(12), 4171-4179.
- Boehm, M. J., Wu, T., Stone, A. G., Kraakman, B., Iannotti, D. A., Wilson, G. E., ... and Hoitink, H. (1997). Cross-Polarized Magic-Angle Spinning (sup13) C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Characterization of Soil Organic Matter Relative to Culturable Bacterial Species Composition and Sustained Biological Control of Pythium Root Rot. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 63(1), 162-168.
- Bot, A., & Benites, J. (2005). The importance of soil organic matter: Key to droughtresistant soil and sustained food production (No. 80). Food & Agriculture Org..
- Bray, R. H., and Kurtz, L. T. (1945). Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science*, *59*(1), 39-46.
- Brevik E.C., and Burgess LC (2013) *Soils and human health*. Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Brevik, E. C. (2010). Soil, food security and human health. *Soils, Plant Growth and Crop Production-Volume III*, 160.
- Brevik, E. C., and Burgess, L. C. (2012). Soils and human health. CRC Press.
- Brevik, E. C., Calzolari, C., Miller, B. A., Pereira, P., Kabala, C., Baumgarten, A., and Jordán, A. (2016). Soil mapping, classification, and pedologic modeling: History and future directions. *Geoderma*, 264, 256-274.
- Brown, G. G., Moreno, A. G., and Lavelle, P. (1996). Soil macrofauna under different Agricultural Systems and native vegetation in four countries of East Africa. *Biological Management of Soil Fertility in Small-Scale Farming Systems in Tropical Africa, 2nd Annual report.*

- Brussaard, L., De Ruiter, P. C., and Brown, G. G. (2007). Soil biodiversity for agricultural sustainability. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 121*(3), 233-244.
- Brussaard, L., Kuyper, T. W., Didden, W. A. M., De Goede, R. G. M., & Bloem, J. (2004). Biological soil quality from biomass to biodiversity–importance and resilience to management stress and disturbance. *Managing Soil Quality: Challenges in Modern Agriculture*, 139-161.
- Bünemann, E. K., Bongiorno, G., Bai, Z., Creamer, R. E., De Deyn, G., de Goede, R., ... and Pulleman, M. (2018). Soil quality–A critical review. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 120, 105-125.
- Burghouts, T., Ernsting, G., Korthals, G., and De Vries, T. (1992). Litterfall, leaf litter decomposition and litter invertebrates in primary and selectively logged dipterocarp forest in Sabah, Malaysia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 335(1275), 407-416.
- Çakır, M., and Makineci, E. (2017). Community structure and seasonal variations of soil microarthropods during environmental changes. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 123, 313-317.
- Çakır, M., and Makineci, E. (2018). Community structure and seasonal variations of soil microarthropods during environmental changes. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 123, 313-317.
- Caliz, J., Montserrat, G., Martí, E., Sierra, J., Cruañas, R., Garau, M. A., ... and Vila, X. (2012). The exposition of a calcareous Mediterranean soil to toxic concentrations of Cr, Cd and Pb produces changes in the microbiota mainly related to differential metal bioavailability. *Chemosphere*, 89(5), 494-504.
- Cardoso, E. J. B. N., Vasconcellos, R. L. F., Bini, D., Miyauchi, M. Y. H., Santos, C. A. D., Alves, P. R. L., ... and Nogueira, M. A. (2013). Soil health: looking for suitable indicators. What should be considered to assess the effects of use and management on soil health? *Scientia Agricola*, 70(4), 274-289.
- Carron, M. P., Auriac, Q., Snoeck, D., Villenave, C., Blanchart, E., Ribeyre, F., ... and Caliman, J. P. (2015). Spatial heterogeneity of soil quality around mature oil palms receiving mineral fertilization. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 66, 24-31.
- Carter, M. R., and Gregorich, E. G. (2006). Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis–New York.
- Casper, B. B., and Jackson, R. B. (1997). Plant competition underground. *Annual Review* of Ecology and Systematics, 28(1), 545-570.
- Chagnon, M., Paré, D., & Hébert, C. (2000). Relationships between soil chemistry, microbial biomass and the collembolan fauna of southern Quebec sugar maple stands. *Ecoscience*, 7(3), 307-316.

- Chambers, L. G., Chin, Y. P., Filippelli, G. M., Gardner, C. B., Herndon, E. M., Long, D. T., ... and Moore, J. (2016). Developing the scientific framework for urban geochemistry. *Applied Geochemistry*, 67, 1-20.
- Chapin Iii, F. S., Zavaleta, E. S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L., ... and Mack, M. C. (2000). Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature*, 405(6783), 234.
- Chen, S., Yin, H., Ye, J., Peng, H., Zhang, N., and He, B. (2013). Effect of copper (II) on biodegradation of benzo [a] pyrene by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. *Chemosphere*, 90(6), 1811-1820.
- Ciesielski, H., Sterckeman, T., Santerne, M., & Willery, J. P. (1997). A comparison between three methods for the determination of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable cations in soils.
- Cohan, F. M. (2002). What are bacterial species?. Annual Reviews in Microbiology, 56(1), 457-487.
- Cole, D. N. (1996). Wilderness recreation use trends, 1965 through 1994. Res. Pap. INT-RP-488. Ogden, UT: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 11, 488.
- Coleman, D. C., Crossley Jr, D. A., & Hendrix, P. F. (2004). Fundamentals of Soil Ecology, Elsevier Academic Press. San Diego, US.
- Coleman, D., Fu, S., Hendrix, P., and Crossley Jr, D. (2002). Soil food webs in agroecosystems: impacts of herbivory and tillage management. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *38*(1), 21-28.
- Cornelius, M. L., and Osbrink, W. L. (2011). Effect of seasonal changes in soil temperature and moisture on wood consumption and foraging activity of Formosan subterranean termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 104(3), 1024-1030.
- Corral- Nuñez, G., Opazo- Salazar, D., GebreSamuel, G., Tittonell, P., Gebretsadik, A., Gebremeskel, Y., ... and Van Beek, C. L. (2014). Soil organic matter in N orthern E thiopia, current level and predicted trend: a study case of two villages in Tigray. Soil Use and Management, 30(4), 487-495.
- Correia, M. E. F. (2002). Relationship between soil fauna diversity and the decomposition process and its effects on ecosystem stability. Embrapa Agrobiology-Documents, *(INFOTECA-E).*
- Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A., and Totterdell, I. J. (2000). Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. *Nature*, 408(6809), 184.

- Craswell, E. T., and Lefroy, R. D. B. (2001). The role and function of organic matter in tropical soils. In *Managing Organic Matter in Tropical Soils: Scope and Limitations* (pp. 7-18). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Cunha Neto, F. V. D., Correia, M. E. F., Pereira, G. H. A., Pereira, M. G., and Leles, P. S. D. S. (2012). Soil fauna as an indicator of soil quality in forest stands, pasture and secondary forest. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 36(5), 1407-1417.
- da Silva, R. F., de Aquino, A. M., Mercante, F. M., and de Fátima Guimarães, M. (2006). Soil invertebrate macrofauna under different production systems in Cerrado Oxisol. *Brazilian Agricultural Research*, 41(4), 697-704.
- Dangerfield, J.M. (1993). Characterization of soil fauna communities. In: Rao, M.R. and Scholes, R.J.(ed), Report on Characterization of an Experimental Field in KARI farm, Muguga, Kenya: 51-67. *ICRAF*, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Darwin, C. (1881). *The power of movement in plants*. New York: Appleton and Co. pp.87-94.
- De Leij, F. A. A. M., Whipps, J. M., and Lynch, J. M. (1994). The use of colony development for the characterization of bacterial communities in soil and on roots. *Microbial Ecology*, 27(1), 81-97.
- Debi, C., and Parkash, V. (2017). Comparative Soil Nutrient Status and Microbiota Associated in the Rhizosphere of Oroxylum indicum growing in Different Natural Habitat in North East India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 6(12), 2627-2640
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A. M., Brewer, T. E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D. J., Bardgett, R. D., ... and Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science*, 359(6373), 320-325.
- Deredjian, A., Alliot, N., Blanchard, L., Brothier, E., Anane, M., Cambier, P., ... and Thioulouse, J. (2016). Occurrence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in agricultural soils and antibiotic resistance properties. *Research in Microbiology*, 167(4), 313-324.
- Dias, P. F., Souto, S. M., Correia, M. E. F., de Menezes Rodrigues, K., and Franco, A. A. (2007). Effect of tree legumes on soil macrofauna in Brachiaria brizantha cv. Marandu Agricultural Research *.Tropical*, *37*(1), 38-44.
- Dijkstra, F. A., & Cheng, W. (2007). Moisture modulates rhizosphere effects on C decomposition in two different soil types. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *39*(9), 2264-2274.
- Dijkstra, F. A., and Cheng, W. (2007). Moisture modulates rhizosphere effects on C decomposition in two different soil types. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 39(9), 2264-2274.

- Doolittle, W. F., and Zhaxybayeva, O. (2009). On the origin of prokaryotic species. *Genome Research*, 19(5), 744-756.
- Doran, J. W., & Safley, M. (1997). Defining and assessing soil health and sustainable productivity. Biological indicators of soil health. New York: CAB International.
- Droogers, P., and Bouma, J. (1996). Biodynamic vs. conventional farming effects on soil structure expressed by simulated potential productivity. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 60(5), 1552-1558.
- Dubey, K. K., and Fulekar, M. H. (2012). Chlorpyrifos bioremediation in Pennisetum rhizosphere by a novel potential degrader Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MHF ENV20. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28(4), 1715-1725.
- Duchicela, J., Sullivan, T. S., Bontti, E., and Bever, J. D. (2013). Soil aggregate stability increase is strongly related to fungal community succession along an abandoned agricultural field chronosequence in the B olivian A ltiplano. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *50*(5), 1266-1273.
- Dungan, R. S., Yates, S. R., and Frankenberger Jr, W. T. (2003). Transformations of selenate and selenite by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolated from a seleniferous agricultural drainage pond sediment. *Environmental Microbiology*, 5(4), 287-295.
- Efford, M. (2000). Consumption of amphipods by the New Zealand land snail Wainuia urnula (Pulmonata: Rhytididae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 66(1), 45-52.
- Egger, K.N. (2010). Common soil bacteria key. UNBC.
- Egidi, E., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Plett, J. M., Wang, J., Eldridge, D. J., Bardgett, R. D., ... and Singh, B. K. (2019). A few Ascomycota taxa dominate soil fungal communities worldwide. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 2369.
- Estefan, G., Sommer, R., and Ryan, J. (2013). Methods of soil, plant, and water analysis. A manual for the West Asia and North Africa region, 170-176.
- European Commission (EC,2006): Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection, COM 231 Final, Brussels.
- Fakruddin, M., and Mannan, K. (2013). Methods for analyzing diversity of microbial communities in natural environments. *Ceylon Journal of Science (Biological Sciences)*, 42(1).
- Fang, M., Motavalli, P. P., Kremer, R. J., and Nelson, K. A. (2007). Assessing changes in soil microbial communities and carbon mineralization in Bt and non-Bt corn residue-amended soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 37(1-2), 150-160.

- FAO. (2015). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations AQUASTATDFO. AQUASTAT (Database).
- Faoro, H., Alves, A. C., Souza, E. M., Rigo, L. U., Cruz, L. M., Al-Janabi, S. M., ... and Pedrosa, F. O. (2010). Influence of soil characteristics on the diversity of bacteria in the Southern Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 76(14), 4744-4749.
- Fay, D. S., & Gerow, K. (2013). A biologist's guide to statistical thinking and analysis. *WormBook: the online review of C. elegans biology*, 1-54.
- Filker, S., Sommaruga, R., Vila, I., and Stoeck, T. (2016). Microbial eukaryote plankton communities of high- mountain lakes from three continents exhibit strong biogeographic patterns. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(10), 2286-2301.
- Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., ... & Helkowski, J. H. (2005). Global consequences of land use. *science*, *309*(5734), 570-574.
- Frąc, M., Hannula, S. E., Bełka, M., and Jędryczka, M. (2018). Fungal biodiversity and their role in soil health. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 707.
- Franklin, E., Magnusson, W. E., and Luizão, F. J. (2005). Relative effects of biotic and abiotic factors on the composition of soil invertebrate communities in an Amazonian savanna. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 29(3), 259-273.
- Franzlubbers, A. J., and Haney, R. L. (2006). Assessing soil quality in organic agriculture. *Critical Issue Report. The Organic Center*.
- Gaddeyya, G., Niharika, P. S., Bharathi, P., and Kumar, P. R. (2012). Isolation and identification of soil mycoflora in different crop fields at Salur Mandal. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, *3*(4), 2020-2026.
- Ganjegunte, G. K., Wick, A. F., Stahl, P. D., & Vance, G. F. (2009). Accumulation and composition of total organic carbon in reclaimed coal mine lands. *Land Degradation & Development*, 20(2), 156-175.
- Gans, J., Wolinsky, M., and Dunbar, J. (2005). Computational improvements reveal great bacterial diversity and high metal toxicity in soil. *Science*, *309*(5739), 1387-1390.
- Garcia- Palacios, P., McKie, B. G., Handa, I. T., Frainer, A., and Hättenschwiler, S. (2016). The importance of litter traits and decomposers for litter decomposition: a comparison of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems within and across biomes. *Functional Ecology*, 30(5), 819-829.
- Gardi, C., Montanarella, L., Arrouays, D., Bispo, A., Lemanceau, P., Jolivet, C., ... and Menta, C. (2009). Soil biodiversity monitoring in Europe: ongoing activities and challenges. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 60(5), 807-819.

- Gardi, C., Tomaselli, M., Parisi, V., Petraglia, A., and Santini, C. (2002). Soil quality indicators and biodiversity in northern Italian permanent grasslands. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *38*(1), 103-110.
- Gasim, M. B., Ismail, B. S., Mir, S. I., Rahim, S. A., and Toriman, M. E. (2011). The physico-chemical properties of four soil series in Tasik Chini, Pahang, Malaysia. Asian Journal of Earth Sciences, 4(2), 75-84.
- Gebremedhin, B., and Swinton, S. M. (2003). Investment in soil conservation in northern Ethiopia: the role of land tenure security and public programs. *Agricultural Economics*, 29(1), 69-84.
- Gikonyo, E. W., Zaharah, A. R., Hanafi, M. M., and Anuar, A. R. (2008). Evaluation of phosphorus pools and fractions in an acid tropical soil recapitalized with different phosphorus sources. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 39(9-10), 1385-1405
- Girvan, M. S., Bullimore, J., Ball, A. S., Pretty, J. N., and Osborn, A. M. (2004). Responses of active bacterial and fungal communities in soils under winter wheat to different fertilizer and pesticide regimens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 70(5), 2692-2701.
- Girvan, M. S., Bullimore, J., Pretty, J. N., Osborn, A. M., and Ball, A. S. (2003). Soil type is the primary determinant of the composition of the total and active bacterial communities in arable soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 69(3), 1800-1809.
- Gleick, P. H. (1993). Water in crisis. Pacific Institute for Studies in Dev., Environment and Security. Stockholm Env. Institute, Oxford Univ. Press. 473p, 9.
- Gonçalves, S. C., Anastácio, P. M., and Marques, J. C. (2013). Talitrid and Tylid crustaceans bioecology as a tool to monitor and assess sandy beaches' ecological quality condition. *Ecological Indicators*, 29, 549-557.
- Goodfellow, M., and Williams, S. T. (1983). Ecology of actinomycetes. Annual Review of Microbiology, 37(1), 189-216.
- Granged, A. J., Zavala, L. M., Jordán, A., and Bárcenas-Moreno, G. (2011). Post-fire evolution of soil properties and vegetation cover in a Mediterranean heathland after experimental burning: A 3-year study. *Geoderma*, *164*(1-2), 85-94.
- Greenslade, P., Melbourne, B. A., Davies, K. F., and Stevens, M. (2008). The status of two exotic terrestrial Crustacea on sub-Antarctic Macquarie Island. *Polar Record* 44 (228): 15–23 (2008)
- Greg S. and Alison E. (2016). Invertebrates: search and extraction methods, Inventory and monitoring toolbox: invertebrates DOC-255606.
- Gregorich, E. G., Carter, M. R., Angers, D. A., Monreal, C. M., and Ellert, B. (1994). Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic matter quality in agricultural soils. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 74(4), 367-385.

- Gupta, P.K. (2006). Soil, plant, water and fertilizer analysis. Agrobios India Publishing. Pp. 132 135
- Gupta, S. R., and Malik, V. I. K. A. S. (1996). Soil ecology and sustainability. *Tropical Ecology*, 37(1), 43-55.
- Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P. S., & Jones, M. H. (2001). Meta-analysis in ecology:199-247.
- Hakeem, K. R., Akhtar, M. S., & Abdullah, S. N. A. (Eds.). (2016). Plant, Soil and Microbes: Volume 1: Implications in Crop Science. Springer.
- Hannam, I. (2017). International Pastoral Land Law. In *International Farm Animal*, *Wildlife and Food Safety Law* (pp. 599-628). Springer, Cham.
- Hartemink, A. E. (2006). The future of soil science.
- Hartemink, A. E., and McBratney, A. (2008). A soil science renaissance. *Geoderma*, 148(2), 123-129.
- Hartemink, A. E., Veldkamp, T., and Bai, Z. (2008). Land cover change and soil fertility decline in tropical regions. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, *32*(3), 195-213.
- Hassan S. (2015). Soil Resource Management. Paper presented in the Asian Soil Partnership Consultation Workshop on Sustainable Management and Protection of Soil Resources 12 – 14th May 2015; Bangkok, Thailand. https://www.doa.gov.my.
- Hassink, J. (1992). Effects of soil texture and structure on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in grassland soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 14(2), 126-134.
- He, J., Tedersoo, L., Hu, A., Han, C., He, D., Wei, H., ... and Zhang, G. (2017). Greater diversity of soil fungal communities and distinguishable seasonal variation in temperate deciduous forests compared with subtropical evergreen forests of eastern China. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 93(7).
- Heneghan, L., Miller, S. P., Baer, S., Callaham Jr, M. A., Montgomery, J., Pavao-Zuckerman, M., ... and Richardson, S. (2008). Integrating soil ecological knowledge into restoration management. *Restoration Ecology*, 16(4), 608-617.
- Heritage, J., Evans, E. G. V., and Killington, R. A. (1999). The Microbiology of soil and nutrient cycling. *Microbiol Action*, 2-13.
- Heryati, Y., Arifin, A., Mohd, N. M., Hazandy, A. H., Shamshuddin, J., Nik, M. M., ... and Khairulmazmi, A. (2011). Assessing forest plantation productivity of exotic and indigenous species on degraded secondary forests. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, 6(2), 201-208.

- Hill, G. T., Mitkowski, N. A., Aldrich-Wolfe, L., Emele, L. R., Jurkonie, D. D., Ficke, A., ... and Nelson, E. B. (2000). Methods for assessing the composition and diversity of soil microbial communities. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(1), 25-36.
- Hillel, D. (2007). Soil in the environment: crucible of terrestrial life. Elsevier.
- Hiscox, J., Savoury, M., Müller, C. T., Lindahl, B. D., Rogers, H. J., & Boddy, L. (2015). Priority effects during fungal community establishment in beech wood. *The ISME journal*, 9(10), 2246.
- Hoekstra, A. Y., and Mekonnen, M. M. (2012). The water footprint of humanity. Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences, 109(9), 3232-3237.
- Horn, R., Taubner, H., Wuttke, M., and Baumgartl, T. (1994). Soil physical properties related to soil structure. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 30(2-4), 187-216.
- Hucker, G. J., and Conn, H. J. (1923). Methods of Gram staining.
- Igwe, C. A. (2005). Soil physical properties under different management systems and organic matter effects on soil moisture along soil catena in southeastern Nigeria. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 5(2), 57-66.
- Ismail, M. H., and Junusi, R. M. (2009). Determining and Mapping Soil Nutrient Content Using Geostatistical Technique in a Durian Orchard in Malaysia. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1(1), 86.
- Jacoby, R., Peukert, M., Succurro, A., Koprivova, A., and Kopriva, S. (2017). The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition—current knowledge and future directions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1617.
- Jansen, B., Kalbitz, K., and McDowell, W. H. (2014). Dissolved organic matter: Linking soils and aquatic systems. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 13(7).
- Jelassi, R., Khemaissia, H., Zimmer, M., Garbe-Schönberg, D., & Nasri-Ammar, K. (2015). Biodiversity of Talitridae family (Crustacea, Amphipoda) in some Tunisian coastal lagoons. *Zoological Studies*, 54(1), 17.
- Jett, B. D., Hatter, K. L., Huycke, M. M., and Gilmore, M. S. (1997). Simplified agar plate method for quantifying viable bacteria. *Biotechniques*, 23(4), 648-650.
- Johnson, M. J., Lee, K. Y., and Scow, K. M. (2003). DNA fingerprinting reveals links among agricultural crops, soil properties, and the composition of soil microbial communities. *Geoderma*, 114(3-4), 279-303.
- Johnson, N. F., and Triplehorn, C. A. (2004). Introduction to the study of insects. *Thompson Brooks Cole, Belmont, 888p.*

- Jones A, Breuning-Madsen H, Brossard M, Dampha A, Deckers J, Dewitte O, Gallali T, Hallett S, Jones R, Kilasara M, Le Roux P, Micheli E, Montanarella L, Spaargaren O, Thiombiano L, Van Ranst E, Yemefack M, Zougmoré R, eds. (2013). Soil Atlas of Africa. Luxembourg: European Commission
- Jones Jr, J. B. (2001). Laboratory guide for conducting soil tests and plant analysis. CRC press.
- Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H., and Shachak, M. (1994). Organisms as ecosystem engineers. In *Ecosystem Management* (pp. 130-147). Springer, New York, NY.
- Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H., and Shachak, M. (1997). Positive and negative effects of organisms as physical ecosystem engineers. *Ecology*, 78(7), 1946-1957.
- Juhnke, M. E., and des Jardin, E. L. I. Z. A. B. E. T. H. (1989). Selective medium for isolation of Xanthomonas maltophilia from soil and rhizosphere environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 55(3), 747-750.
- Kabuyah, R. (2012). *The decomposition of organic matter in soils by fungi* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- Karlen, D. L., Mausbach, M. J., Doran, J. W., Cline, R. G., Harris, R. F., and Schuman, G. E. (1997). Soil quality: a concept, definition, and framework for evaluation (a guest editorial). *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61(1), 4-10.
- Kausar, H. (2012). Physical, chemical and biological changes during the composting of oil palm frond. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6(19), 4084-4089.
- Keesstra, S. D., Bouma, J., Wallinga, J., Tittonell, P., Smith, P., Cerdà, A., ... and Bardgett, R. D. (2016). The significance of soils and soil science towards realization of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Soil. 2, 111–128.
- Kelly, B., Allan, C., and Wilson, B. P. (2009). Corrigendum to: Soil indicators and their use by farmers in the Billabong Catchment, southern New South Wales. *Soil Research*, 47(3), 340-340.
- Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Khan, S., Joshi, S., and Pant, B. B. (2016). Assessment of soil fertility status of Agriculture Research Station, Belachapi, Dhanusha, Nepal. *Journal of Maize Research and Development*, 2(1), 43-57.
- Khairil, M., Wan Juliana, W. A., Nizam, M. S., and Razi Idris, W. M. (2014). Soil properties and variation between three forest types in tropical watershed forest of Chini Lake, Peninsular Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, *43*(11), 1635-1643.
- Kim, S. B., Lonsdale, J., Seong, C. N., and Goodfellow, M. (2003). Streptacidiphilus gen. nov., acidophilic actinomycetes with wall chemotype I and emendation of the family Streptomycetaceae (Waksman and Henrici (1943) AL) emend. Rainey et al. 1997. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 83(2), 107-116.

- Kinasih, I., Cahyanto, T., Widiana, A., Kurnia, D. N. I., Julita, U., and Putra, R. E. (2016). Soil invertebrate diversity in coffee-pine agroforestry system at Sumedang, West Java. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 17(2).
- Kivlin, S. N., Winston, G. C., Goulden, M. L., and Treseder, K. K. (2014). Environmental filtering affects soil fungal community composition more than dispersal limitation at regional scales. *Fungal Ecology*, 12, 14-25.
- Kladivko, E. J. (2001). Tillage systems and soil ecology. Soil and Tillage Research, 61(1-2), 61-76.
- Klironomos, J. N. (2002). Feedback with soil biota contributes to plant rarity and invasiveness in communities. *Nature*, 417(6884), 67.
- König, H. (2006). Intestinal microorganisms of termites and other invertebrates (Vol. 6). Springer Science and Business Media.
- Krogh, P. H. (2010). European atlas of soil biodiversity. European Commission.
- Kruse, J. S. (2007). Framework for sustainable soil management: Literature review and synthesis. *Ankeny, IA: Soil and Water Conservation Society*.
- Lal, R. (1998). Soil quality and agricultural sustainability. CRC press.
- Lal, R., and Stewart, B. A. (2010). Food security and soil quality. CRC Press.
- Land, M., Hauser, L., Jun, S. R., Nookaew, I., Leuze, M. R., Ahn, T. H., ... and Poudel, S. (2015). Insights from 20 years of bacterial genome sequencing. *Functional and Integrative Genomics*, 15(2), 141-161.
- Landon, J. R. (1991). Booker tropical soil manual Longman Scientific and Technical. *Essex, England.*
- Lauber, C. L., Strickland, M. S., Bradford, M. A., and Fierer, N. (2008). The influence of soil properties on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities across landuse types. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 40(9), 2407-2415.
- Lavelle, P. (1988). Earthworm activities and the soil system. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 6(3), 237-251.
- Lavelle, P. (1996). Diversity of soil fauna and ecosystem function. *Biology International*, 33(33), 3-16.
- Lavelle, P. (1997). Faunal activities and soil processes: adaptive strategies that determine ecosystem function. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 27, pp. 93-132). Academic Press.

Lavelle, P., and Spain, A. V. (2001). Soil ecology. Springer Science and Business Media.

- Lee, K. E. (1985). *Earthworms: their ecology and relationships with soils and land use*. Academic Press Inc.
- Lehman, R., Cambardella, C., Stott, D., Acosta-Martinez, V., Manter, D., Buyer, J., ... and Kremer, R. (2015). Understanding and enhancing soil biological health: the solution for reversing soil degradation. *Sustainability*, 7(1), 988-1027.
- León-Gamboa, A. L., Ramos, C., and García, M. R. (2010). Effect of pine plantations on soil arthropods in a high Andean forest. *Revista de Biologia Tropical*, 58(3), 1031-1048.
- Lewin, G. R., Carlos, C., Chevrette, M. G., Horn, H. A., McDonald, B. R., Stankey, R. J., ... and Currie, C. R. (2016). Evolution and ecology of actinobacteria and their bioenergy applications. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 70, 235-254.
- Li, C. H., Ma, B. L., and Zhang, T. Q. (2002). Soil bulk density effects on soil microbial populations and enzyme activities during the growth of maize (Zea mays L.) planted in large pots under field exposure. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 82(2), 147-154.
- Lindsay, W.L. (1979). Chemical Equilibria in Soils. *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, NY, 449 pp.
- Liu, T. X. (2008). Soil ecology research developments. Nova Publishers.
- Liu, X., Herbert, S. J., Hashemi, A. M., Zhang, X., and Ding, G. (2006). Effects of agricultural management on soil organic matter and carbon transformation-a review. *Plant Soil and Environment*, 52(12), 531.
- Lok, C. (2015). Mining the microbial dark matter. Nature News, 522(7556), 270.
- Lori, M., Symnaczik, S., M\u00e4der, P., De Deyn, G., and Gattinger, A. (2017). Organic farming enhances soil microbial abundance and activity—A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *PLoS One*, 12(7), e0180442.
- Loyola, R. D., Brito, S. L., and Ferreira, R. L. (2006). Ecosystem disturbances and diversity increase: implications for invertebrate conservation. In *Arthropod Diversity and Conservation* (pp. 25-42). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Lussenhop, J. (1992). Mechanisms of microarthropod-microbial interactions in soil. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 23, pp. 1-33). Academic Press.
- Mäder, P., Fliessbach, A., Dubois, D., Gunst, L., Fried, P., and Niggli, U. (2002). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Science*, 296(5573), 1694-1697.
- Maftu'ah, E., Alwi, M., and Willis, M. (2005). Potential of soil macrofauna as bioindicator of peat land quality. *Bioscientiae*, 2(1), 1-14.

- Magnet, M. M. H., Sarkar, D., and Ahmed, Z. (2013). Isolation and identification of bacteria and fungi from soil samples of different industry side In dhaka city, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*, 2(8).
- Malik, M. N. A., Abdu, A., Karam, D. S., Jusop, S., Hamid, H. A., Jamaluddin, A. S., ... and Mohd, N. N. P. (2015). The fertility status of soils at rehabilitated degraded land in Universiti Putra Malaysia planted with Pinus caribaea and Swietenia macrophylla. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 12(10), 752.
- Manhães, C. M. C., Gama-Rodrigues, E. F., Moço, M. K. S., and Gama-Rodrigues, A. C. (2013). Meso-and macrofauna in the soil and litter of leguminous trees in a degraded pasture in Brazil. *Agroforestry Systems*, 87(5), 993-1004.
- Maqtan, A., Omar, H., Mustafa. M. Zainudin, N. A. I. M., Karam, D. S. (2018). Morphospecies diversity of soil invertebrates in Cultivated and Uncultivated fields. *Journal of Bioscience and Applied Research*, 4(4), 507-51.
- Marchiori Júnior, M., and Melo, W. J. (1999). Carbon, microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activity of a soil under natural forest, grassland and cotton culture. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 23(2), 257-263.
- Margalef, R. (1958). "Trophic" typology versus biotic typology, as exemplified in the regional limnology of Northern Spain: With 5 figures in the text and on 1 Folder. *Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie: Verhandlungen, 13*(1), 339-349.
- Matavelli, C., and Uehara-Prado, M. (2014). High abundance of an exotic amphipod indicates disturbance in tropical rainforests. *Ecological Indicators*, 41, 75-78.
- Mateos, E., Santos, X., and Pujade-Villar, J. (2011). Taxonomic and functional responses to fire and post-fire management of a Mediterranean Hymenoptera community. *Environmental Management*, 48(5), 1000.
- Matyar, F., Kaya, A., and Dinçer, S. (2008). Antibacterial agents and heavy metal resistance in Gram-negative bacteria isolated from seawater, shrimp and sediment in Iskenderun Bay, Turkey. *Science of the Total Environment*, 407(1), 279-285.
- McBratney, A., Field, D. J., and Koch, A. (2014). The dimensions of soil security. *Geoderma*, 213, 203-213.
- McCauley, A., Jones, C., and Jacobsen, J. (2005). Basic soil properties. *Soil and Water Management Module*, 1(1), 1-12.
- McGEOCH, M. A. (1998). The selection, testing and application of terrestrial insects as bioindicators. *Biological Reviews*, 73(2), 181-201.

- McKinley, V. L., Peacock, A. D., and White, D. C. (2005). Microbial community PLFA and PHB responses to ecosystem restoration in tallgrass prairie soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(10), 1946-1958.
- Menta, C. (2012). Soil fauna diversity-function, soil degradation, biological indices, soil restoration. *Biodiversity Conservation and Utilization in A diverse World*. *Rijeka: InTech*, 59-94.
- Meyer, D. D., Santestevan, N. A., Bücker, F., Salamoni, S. P., Andreazza, R., De Oliveira Camargo, F. A., and Bento, F. M. (2012). Capability of a selected bacterial consortium for degrading diesel/biodiesel blends (B20): enzyme and biosurfactant production. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part* A, 47(12), 1776-1784.
- Moço, M. K. D. S., da Gama-Rodrigues, E. F., da Gama-Rodrigues, A. C., and Correia, M. E. F. (2005). characterization of soil fauna in different vegetation covers in the northern fluminense region (RJ). *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 29(4), 555-564.
- Mohammad, A. O. (2015). Assessing changes in soil microbial population with some soil physical and chemical properties. *Int J Plant Animal Environ Sci*, 5(3), 117-123.
- Mourey, A., and International Committee of the Red Cross (2008, August). Nutrition manual for humanitarian action. ICRC.
- Muche, M., Kokeb, A., and Molla, E. (2015). Assessing the physicochemical properties of soil under different land use types. *J Environ Anal Toxicol*, 5(309), 2161-0525.
- Murad, W., Mustapha, N. H. N., and Siwar, C. (2008). Review of Malaysian agricultural policies with regards to sustainability.
- Nakbanpote, W., Panitlurtumpai, N., Sangdee, A., Sakulpone, N., Sirisom, P., and Pimthong, A. (2014). Salt-tolerant and plant growth-promoting bacteria isolated from Zn/Cd contaminated soil: identification and effect on rice under saline conditions. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, 9(1), 379-387.
- Nambiar, E. K. S., and Sands, R. (1992). Effects of compaction and simulated root channels in the subsoil on root development, water uptake and growth of radiata pine. *Tree Physiology*, *10*(3), 297-306.
- Nandhini, B., and Josephine, R. M. (2013). A study on bacterial and fungal diversity in potted soil. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2(2), 1-5.
- Nardi, J. B. (2009). *Life in the soil: a guide for naturalists and gardeners*. University of Chicago Press.
- Negassa, W., and Gebrekidan, H. (2004). The Impact of Different Land Use Systems on Soil Quality of Western Ethiopian Alfisols.

- Nezomba, H., Mtambanengwe, F., Tittonell, P., and Mapfumo, P. (2015). Point of no return? Rehabilitating degraded soils for increased crop productivity on smallholder farms in eastern Zimbabwe. *Geoderma*, 239, 143-155.
- Nielsen, M. N., and Winding, A. (2002). Microorganisms as indicators of soil health; 388. *National Environmental Research Institute: Denmark*.
- Nielsen, M. N., Winding, A., and Binnerup, S. (2002). Microorganisms as indicators of soil health.
- Nielsen, U. N., and King, C. K. (2015). Abundance and diversity of soil invertebrates in the Windmill Islands region, East Antarctica. *Polar Biology*, 38(9), 1391-1400.
- OECD (1999). Environmental performance reviews, Denmark. OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) Publications, Paris.
- Oldeman, L. R., Hakkeling, R. T. A., and Sombroek, W. G. (2017). World map of the status of human-induced soil degradation: an explanatory note. International Soil Reference and Information Centre.
- Oliver, I., and Beattie, A. J. (1996). Invertebrate morphospecies as surrogates for species: a case study. *Conservation Biology*, 10(1), 99-109.
- Oliver, M. A. (1997). Soil and human health: a review. European Journal of Soil Science, 48(4), 573-592.
- Oliver, M. A., and Gregory, P. J. (2015). Soil, food security and human health: a review. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 66(2), 257-276.
- Onwuka, B., and Mang, B. (2018). Effects of soil temperature on some soil properties and plant growth. *Adv. Plants Agric. Res*, 8, 34-37.
- Organization F.C.Q.A. (2003). *Methods Book for the Analysis of Compost*. Germany: Bundesgütegemeinschaft Kompost eV. p139.
- Orgiazzi, A., Bardgett, R.D., Barrios, E., Behan-Pelletier, V., Briones, M.J.I., Chotte, J-L., De Deyn, G.B., Eggleton, P., Fierer, N., Fraser, T., Hedlund, K., Jeffery, S., Johnson, N.C., Jones, A., Kandeler, E., Kaneko, N., Lavelle, P., Lemanceau, P., Miko, L., Montanarella, L., Moreira, F.M.S., Ramirez, K.S., Scheu, S., Singh, B.K., Six, J., van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H. (Eds.), (2016). *Global Soil Biodiversity Atlas.* European Commission, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. 176 pp.
- Osman, K. T. (2013). Chemical Properties of Soil. In Soils (pp. 97-111). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Owens, P.R. and Rutledge, E.M. (2005). MORPHOLOGY, in Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment, Ltd. Pp 511-520 Elsevier.

- Panishkan, K., Swangjang, K., Sanmanee, N., and Sungthong, D. (2012). Principal component analysis for the characterization in the application of some soil properties. *International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering*, 6(5), 279-281.
- Pansu, J., Giguet- Covex, C., Ficetola, G. F., Gielly, L., Boyer, F., Zinger, L., ... and Choler, P. (2015). Reconstructing long- term human impacts on plant communities: an ecological approach based on lake sediment DNA. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(7), 1485-1498.
- Paramananthan, S. (1998). *Malaysian soil taxonomy (second approximation): a proposal* for the classification of Malaysian soils. Malaysian Society of Soil Science.
- Paramananthan, S. (2000). Soils of Malaysia: their characteristics and identification, Volume 1. Academy of Sciences Malaysia.
- Parr, J. F., Papendick, R. I., Hornick, S. B., and Meyer, R. E. (1992). Soil quality: attributes and relationship to alternative and sustainable agriculture. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 7(1-2), 5-11.
- Patrick, B. (1994). *The importance of invertebrate biodiversity: An Otago Conservancy review*. Department of Conservation.
- Paudel, S., and Sah, J. P. (2003). Physiochemical characteristics of soil in tropical sal (Shorea robusta Gaertn.) forests in eastern Nepal. *Himalayan Journal of Sciences*, 1(2), 107-110.
- Peralta, K. D., Araya, T., Valenzuela, S., Sossa, K., Martínez, M., Peña-Cortés, H., and Sanfuentes, E. (2012). Production of phytohormones, siderophores and population fluctuation of two root-promoting rhizobacteria in Eucalyptus globulus cuttings. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28(5), 2003-2014.
- Pérez-Escamilla, R. (2017). Food security and the 2015–2030 sustainable development goals: From human to planetary health: Perspectives and opinions. *Current Developments in Nutrition*, 1(7), e000513.
- Plaza-Bonilla, D., Arrúe, J. L., Cantero-Martínez, C., Fanlo, R., Iglesias, A., and Álvaro-Fuentes, J. (2015). Carbon management in dryland agricultural systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 35(4), 1319-1334.
- Postlethwait, J. H., Hopson, J. L., and Veres, R. C. (1991). *Biology!: Bringing Science to Life*. McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Prashar, P., and Shah, S. (2016). Impact of fertilizers and pesticides on soil microflora in agriculture. In *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews* (pp. 331-361). Springer, Cham.

- Rajpal, K., Prasad, R., Gupta, V. K., and Varma, A. (2016). Isolation and characterization of halophilic soil fungi from the salt desert of Little Rann of Kutch, India (No. e1914v1). PeerJ Preprints.
- Rangel-Peraza, J. G., Padilla-Gasca, E., López-Corrales, R., Medina, J. R., Bustos-Terrones, Y., Amabilis-Sosa, L. E., ... and Osuna-Enciso, T. (2017). Robust Soil Quality Index for Tropical Soils Influenced by Agricultural Activities. *Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Environment*, 6(04), 199.
- Rastogi, G., and Sani, R. K. (2011). Molecular techniques to assess microbial community structure, function, and dynamics in the environment. In *Microbes and Microbial Technology* (pp. 29-57). Springer, New York, NY.
- Reynolds, W. D., Bowman, B. T., Drury, C. F., Tan, C. S., and Lu, X. (2002). Indicators of good soil physical quality: density and storage parameters. *Geoderma*, 110(1-2), 131-146.
- Reynolds, W. D., Drury, C. F., Tan, C. S., Fox, C. A., and Yang, X. M. (2009). Use of indicators and pore volume-function characteristics to quantify soil physical quality. *Geoderma*, 152(3-4), 252-263.
- Rezaei, S. A., Gilkes, R. J., and Andrews, S. S. (2006). A minimum data set for assessing soil quality in rangelands. *Geoderma*, 136(1-2), 229-234.
- Ribas, C. R., Schmidt, F. A., Solar, R. R., Campos, R. B., Valentim, C. L., and Schoereder, J. H. (2012). Ants as indicators of the success of rehabilitation efforts in deposits of gold mining tailings. *Restoration Ecology*, 20(6), 712-720.
- Romano, G., Stampi, S., Zanetti, F., De, G. L., and Tonelli, E. (1997). Occurrence of gramnegative bacteria in drinking water undergoing softening treatment. Zentralblatt fur Hygiene und Umweltmedizin= International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, 200(2-3), 152-162.
- Rook, G. A. (2013). Regulation of the immune system by biodiversity from the natural environment: an ecosystem service essential to health. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(46), 18360-18367.
- Roper, M. M., and Gupta, V. V. S. R. (1995). Management-practices and soil biota. Soil Research, 33(2), 321-339.
- Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P. C., Lauber, C. L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J. G., ... and Fierer, N. (2010). Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *The ISME journal*, 4(10), 1340.
- Rozieta, R., and Idris, W. M. R. (2015). Physico-chemical properties of soil at oil palm plantation area, Labu, Negeri Sembilan. In 2015 Postgraduate Colloquium of the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Faculty of Science and Technology, UKM FST 2015 (p. 020031). American Institute of Physics Inc.

- Ruiz N., and Lavelle P., (2008). *Soil macrofauna field manual Technical level.* Publishing Management Service Information Division FAO. copyright@fao.org.
- Ryan, M. (1999). Is an enhanced soil biological community, relative to conventional neighbours, a consistent feature of alternative (organic and biodynamic) agricultural systems?. Biological Agriculture and Horticulture, *17*(2), 131-144.
- Saga, B. T., Ahmed, O. H., Jamaluddin, A. S., Abdul-Hamid, H., Jusop, S., Nik, A., ... and Arifin, A. (2010). Selected soil morphological, mineralogical and sesquioxide properties of rehabilitated and secondary forests. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 6(4), 389-394.
- Sala, O. E., Chapin, F. S., Armesto, J. J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., ... and Leemans, R. (2000). Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science, 287(5459), 1770-1774.
- Santorufo, L., Van Gestel, C. A., Rocco, A., and Maisto, G. (2012). Soil invertebrates as bioindicators of urban soil quality. *Environmental Pollution*, *161*, 57-63.
- Satchell, J. E. (1983). Earthworm microbiology. In *Earthworm Ecology* (pp. 351-364). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Schaefer, M., & Schauermann, J. (1990). The soil fauna of beech forests: comparison between a mull and a moder soil. *Pedobiologia*, 34(5), 299-314.
- Schimmer, C., and Van Deventer, P. W. (2018). Baseline status of microbial activity on gold tailings facilities in South Africa. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *123*, 355-374.
- Schloss, P. D., and Handelsman, J. (2006). Toward a census of bacteria in soil. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 2(7), e92.
- Schloss, P. D., Girard, R. A., Martin, T., Edwards, J., and Thrash, J. C. (2016). Status of the archaeal and bacterial census: an update. *MBio*, 7(3), e00201-16.
- Schoonover, J. E., and Crim, J. F. (2015). An introduction to soil concepts and the role of soils in watershed management. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research and Education*, 154(1), 21-47.
- Seeliger, H. P. R., Welshimer, H. J., Buchanan, R. E., and Gibbons, N. E. (1974). Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology.
- Sessitsch, A., Weilharter, A., Gerzabek, M. H., Kirchmann, H., and Kandeler, E. (2001). Microbial population structures in soil particle size fractions of a long-term fertilizer field experiment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67(9), 4215-4224.
- Shamshuddin, J., and Fauziah, C. I. (2010). Alleviating acid soil infertility constraints using basalt, ground magnesium limestone and gypsum in a tropical environment. *Malays. J. Soil Sci, 14*, 1-13.

- Shannon CE, Weaver W. (1949). The mathematical theory of information, *ATandamp*;T Tech. J,27,359-423.
- Shannon, C. E. (1949). Communication theory of secrecy systems. Bell System Technical Journal, 28(4), 656-715.
- Sharma, A., Divya, M. S., Goyal, D. S., Mishra, M., and Shukla, P. (2014). Effect of soil on microbial diversity of flora and fauna in ecosystem. *Recent Research in Science and Technology*, 6(1).
- Sharma, G. D., Mishra, R. R., and Jha, D. K. (2010). Ecology of soil microflora and mycorrhizal symbionts in degraded forests at two altitudes.
- Shrestha, R. K., & Lal, R. (2010). Carbon and nitrogen pools in reclaimed land under forest and pasture ecosystems in Ohio, USA. *Geoderma*, 157(3-4), 196-205.
- Shrestha, R. K., and Lal, R. (2011). Changes in physical and chemical properties of soil after surface mining and reclamation. *Geoderma*, 161(3-4), 168-176.
- Siebert, S., Kummu, M., Porkka, M., Döll, P., Ramankutty, N., and Scanlon, B. R. (2015). A global data set of the extent of irrigated land from 1900 to 2005. *Hydrology* and Earth System Sciences, 19(3), 1521-1545.
- Simpson E.H (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature, 163, 688, doi:10.1038/163688a0.
- Singer, M. J. and Ewing, S. (2000). *Soil quali*ty. In: Handbook of Soil Science. Sumner, M. E. (eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. G271-G298
- Smith, P. (2012). Soils and climate change. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4(5), 539-544.
- Sobsey, M. D., Khatib, L. A., Hill, V. R., Alocilja, E., and Pillai, S. (2006). Pathogens in animal wastes and the impacts of waste management practices on their survival, transport and fate.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. (1993). Soil survey manual. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Handbook No. 18.
- Soil Survey Staff. (2011). Soil Survey Laboratory Information Manual. Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 45, Version 2.0. R. Burt (ed.). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Solgi, A., and Najafi, A. (2014). The impacts of ground-based logging equipment on forest soil. *Journal of Forest Science*, 60(1), 28-34.
- Somaraja, P. K., Gayathri, D., and Ramaiah, N. (2013). Molecular characterization of 2chlorobiphenyl degrading *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* GS-103. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 91(2), 148-153.

- Sonia, M. T., Naceur, J., and Abdennaceur, H. (2011). Studies on the ecology of actinomycetes in an agricultural soil amended with organic residues: I. identification of the dominant groups of Actinomycetales. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 27(10), 2239-2249.
- Sørensen, T. (1948). A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons. *Biol. Skr.*, 5, 1-34.
- Southwood T.R.E. (1994). Ecological methods. Chapman and amp; Hall. ISBN 0-412-30710-3.
- Spangenberg, J. H. (2007). Biodiversity pressure and the driving forces behind. *Ecological Economics*, *61*(1), 146-158.
- Sparling, G. P. (1997). Soil microbial biomass, activity and nutrient cycling as indicators of soil health. *Biological Indicators of Soil Health*, 97-119.
- Srivastava, R., and Shalini, R. (2008). Antifungal activity of Pseudomonas fluorescens against different plant pathogenic fungi. *EJEAFChe*, 7, 2789-2796.
- Stefanis, C., Alexopoulos, A., Voidarou, C., Vavias, S., and Bezirtzoglou, E. (2013). Principal methods for isolation and identification of soil microbial communities. *Folia Microbiologica*, 58(1), 61-68.
- Steine, M., Linkov, I., & Yoshida, S. (2002). The role of fungi in the transfer and cycling of radionuclides in forest ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 58(2-3), 217-241.
- SteinnesA, E. (2010). Human health problems related to trace element deficiencies in soil. In 19th World Congress of Soil Science.
- Stork, N. E., and Eggleton, P. (1992). Invertebrates as determinants and indicators of soil quality. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 7(1-2), 38-47.
- Sujaul, I. M., Ismail, B. S., Tayeb, M. A., Muhammad Barzani, G., and Sahibin, A. R. (2016). Morphological and physico-chemical characteristics of soils in the tasik chini catchment in Pahang, Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 24(1), 71-87.
- SWCS. (2007). Planning for extremes. A report from a soil and water conservation society workshop, Soil and Water Conservation Society: Milwaukee, Wisconsin, November 2006.
- Swer, H., Dkhar, M. S., and Kayang, H. (2011). Fungal population and diversity in organically amended agricultural soils of Meghalaya, India. *Journal of Organic Systems*, 6(2), 3-12.

- Swift, M. J., Heal, O. W., Anderson, J. M., & Anderson, J. M. (1979). *Decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems* (Vol. 5). Univ of California Press.
- Swift, M., and Bignell, D. (2001). Standard methods for assessment of soil biodiversity and land use practice (pp. 3-34). Bogor, Indonesia: International Centre for Research in Agroforestry.
- Tao, H. H., Slade, E. M., Willis, K. J., Caliman, J. P., and Snaddon, J. L. (2016). Effects of soil management practices on soil fauna feeding activity in an Indonesian oil palm plantation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 218, 133-140.
- Taylor, M. P., Mackay, A. K., Hudson-Edwards, K. A., and Holz, E. (2010). Soil Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn contaminants around Mount Isa city, Queensland, Australia: Potential sources and risks to human health. *Applied Geochemistry*, 25(6), 841-855.
- Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Põlme, S., Kõljalg, U., Yorou, N. S., Wijesundera, R., ... and Smith, M. E. (2014). Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science*, 346(6213), 1256688.
- Teh, C. B. S., and Talib, J. (2006). *Soil physics analyses: volume 1*. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Thyssen, P. J. (2009). Keys for identification of immature insects. In *Current concepts in forensic entomology* (pp. 25-42). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Tiwari, S. C., Tiwari, B. K., and Mishra, R. R. (1987). The influence of moisture regimes on the population and activity of soil microorganisms. *Plant and Soil*, 101(1), 133-136.
- Torsvik, V., and Øvreås, L. (2002). Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to ecosystems. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 5(3), 240-245.
- Tsui, K. M., Fryar, S. C., Hodgkiss, I. J., Hyde, K. D., Poonyth, A. D., and Taylor, J. E. (1998). The effect of human disturbance on fungal diversity in the tropics. *Fungal Diversity*. 1: 19-26
- Turbé, A., De Toni, A., Benito, P., Lavelle, P., Lavelle, P., Camacho, N. R., ... and Mudgal, S. (2010). Soil biodiversity: functions, threats and tools for policy makers.
- Uobuoh, E. A., Akhionbare, S. M. O., and Akhionbare, W. N. (2012). Effects of Pesticide Application on Soil Microbial Spectrum: Case Study—Fecolart Demonstration Farm, Owerri-West, Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Engineering*, 3(2), 34-39.
- USDA (2015). Soil Health Literature Summary—Effects of Conservation Practices on Soil Properties in Areas of Cropland. Natural Resources Conservation Service National Soil Survey Center 1/9/2015.

- Van Der Heijden, M. G., De Bruin, S., Luckerhoff, L., Van Logtestijn, R. S., and Schlaeppi, K. (2016). A widespread plant-fungal-bacterial symbiosis promotes plant biodiversity, plant nutrition and seedling recruitment. *The ISME Journal*, 10(2), 389. 351-
- Van Groenigen, J. W., Lubbers, I. M., Vos, H. M., Brown, G. G., De Deyn, G. B., & Van Groenigen, K. J. (2014). Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis. Scientific reports, 4, 6365.
- Van Schoor, L. (2009). Effect of biological amendments on soil microbial properties and performance of pome fruit trees(Doctoral dissertation, Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University).
- Vanbroekhoven, K., Ryngaert, A., Wattiau, P., De Mot, R., and Springael, D. (2004). Acinetobacter diversity in environmental samples assessed by 16S rRNA gene PCR–DGGE fingerprinting. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 50(1), 37-50.
- Vereecken, H., Schnepf, A., Hopmans, J. W., Javaux, M., Or, D., Roose, T., ... and Allison, S. D. (2016). Modeling soil processes: Review, key challenges, and new perspectives. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 15(5).
- Verhoef, H. A., and Brussaard, L. (1990). Decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in natural and agroecosystems: the contribution of soil animals. *Biogeochemistry*, 11(3), 175.
- Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., Lubchenco, J., and Melillo, J. M. (1997). Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science*, 277(5325), 494-499.
- Volchko, Y. (2014). Assessing soil functions for sustainable remediation of contaminated sites. Chalmers University of Technology.
- Wall, D. H., Nielsen, U. N., & Six, J. (2015). Soil biodiversity and human health. *Nature*, 528(7580), 69.
- Wardle, D. A. (2002). Communities and ecosystems: linking the aboveground and belowground components. Princeton University Press.
- Warren, S. D., Scifres, C. J., and Teel, P. D. (1987). Response of grassland arthropods to burning: a review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 19*(2), 105-130.
- Watanabe, T. (2010). *Pictorial atlas of soil and seed fungi: morphologies of cultured fungi and key to species.* CRC press.
- Weil, R. R. (2004). Soil organic matter in sustainable agriculture. No. S592. 8 S64.
- Willey, J. M., Sherwood, L., and Woolverton, C. J. (2008). Prescott, Harley, and Klein's microbiology. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

- Workneh, F., Van Bruggen, A. H. C., Drinkwater, L. E., and Shennan, C. (1993). Variables associated with corky root and Phytophthora root rot of tomatoes in organic and conventional farms. *Phytopathology*, 83(5), 581-589.
- Yadav, K. D., Tare, V., & Ahammed, M. M. (2011). Vermicomposting of sourceseparated human faeces by Eisenia fetida: effect of stocking density on feed consumption rate, growth characteristics and vermicompost production. *Waste Management*, 31(6), 1162-1168.
- Yang, B., Xue, Q. H., Chen, Z. Q., Guo, Z. Y., Zhang, X. L., Zhou, Y. Q., ... and Sun, D. F. (2008). Ecological distribution and antimicrobial effects of soil actinomycetes in artificial vegetation systems in Shazhuyu of Qinghai, China. Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao= The Journal of Applied Ecology, 19(8), 1694-1701.
- Yang, Y., Dou, Y., Huang, Y., and An, S. (2017). Links between soil fungal diversity and plant and soil properties on the Loess Plateau. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 2198.
- Yao, Q., Liu, J., Yu, Z., Li, Y., Jin, J., Liu, X., and Wang, G. (2017). Changes of bacterial community compositions after three years of biochar application in a black soil of northeast China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 113, 11-21.
- Zagatto, M. R. G., Zanão Júnior, L. A., Pereira, A. P. D. A., Estrada-Bonilla, G., and Cardoso, E. J. B. N. (2019). Soil mesofauna in consolidated land use systems: how management affects soil and litter invertebrates. *Scientia Agricola*, 76(2), 165-171.
- Zhang, P., Zheng, J., Pan, G., Zhang, X., Li, L., and Rolf, T. (2007). Changes in microbial community structure and function within particle size fractions of a paddy soil under different long-term fertilization treatments from the Tai Lake region, China. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 58(2), 264-270.
- Zhang, Z., Yuen, G. Y., Sarath, G., and Penheiter, A. R. (2001). Chitinases from the plant disease biocontrol agent, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* C3. *Phytopathology*, 91(2), 204-211.