

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

INDOOR POSITIONING USING WEIGHTED MAGNETIC FIELD SIGNAL DISTANCE SIMILARITY MEASURE AND FUZZY BASED ALGORITHMS

CACEJA ELYCA ANAK BUNDAK

FS 2021 26



INDOOR POSITIONING USING WEIGHTED MAGNETIC FIELD SIGNAL DISTANCE SIMILARITY MEASURE AND FUZZY BASED ALGORITHMS

By

CACEJA ELYCA ANAK BUNDAK

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

July 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

INDOOR POSITIONING USING WEIGHTED MAGNETIC FIELD SIGNAL DISTANCE SIMILARITY MEASURE AND FUZZY BASED ALGORITHMS

By

CACEJA ELYCA ANAK BUNDAK

July 2021

Chairman : Mohd Amiruddin Abd Rahman, PhD Faculty : Science

Indoor localisation based on the magnetic field has drawn much research attention since they have a range of applications in science and industry. Magnetic-based positioning systems are infrastructure-free and can be sensed by magnetometers embedded on smartphones. Unfortunately, magnetic field intensity data only consists of three components magnetic field signals compared to Wi-Fi, using multiple access points. There is a high chance that a similar reading of those three components obtained at multiple locations. A magnetic-based positioning algorithm should fully utilise the three components of the magnetic field intensity data. This thesis analyses the positioning accuracy changes by using different similarity measures on a specific magnetic field vector and proposed an algorithm using different weighted magnetic field signal distance similarity measures. For the first method, various metric distances used for the MF signal components are studied and the results showed that Euclidean distance and square distance give low distance mean error compared to square root and Manhattan distance. Then, three proposed different signal weighting functions, namely actual weight, square weight, and square root weight are applied in each MF signal similarity measure and compared with the state-ofthe-art of Euclidean distance to estimate location. Additionally, the effect of signal weighting function is investigated further using multiple K values of K nearest neighbour (KNN) algorithm. According to the results, the square root weighting function has a lower position error of 8.156 m than Euclidean distance with an improvement of 5.5%. Also, the use of (K=5) of KNN for the square weight of $d_{m_{vr}}$ distance measure gives the lowest mean estimation error of 7.188 m.

Another problem in MF IPS is there are few studies focused on using the Euclidean distance and the area between the reference points to improve the accuracy in the position estimation. Therefore, for the second objective, another algorithm named the fuzzy algorithm is designed which combines the clustering algorithm, matching

algorithm, triangle area algorithm and average Euclidean algorithm used to estimate location. Firstly, the MF RPs database is reconstructed into a cluster database using the clustering algorithm. Each trained RP and other nearby RPs are clustered together at a certain distance. A matching algorithm is used to match between the top 10 ranked RPs with the nearest Euclidean distance to the TP with the RPs clustered. For the triangle area algorithm, the smallest triangle area is selected from the triangle formed from the matching RPs cluster to estimate location. In contrast, the average Euclidean algorithm is based on the average Euclidean of the RPs from the RP cluster set. The lowest average Euclidean distance is chosen, and the average estimated location of the RPs is calculated. Lastly, for the fuzzy algorithm, a rule-based decision is applied to select whether the triangle area or average Euclidean algorithm is used to find the final estimated position. The fuzzy algorithm shows a localisation accuracy of 5.889 m, which is better than the KNN and Weight MF signal algorithm with an improvement of 31% and 27% respectively.

Both algorithms have achieved the target accuracy below 8 m and better than KNN. Although the fuzzy algorithm achieved better accuracy than the weighted MF signal distance similarity measure, the weighted MF signal distance similarity measure was less time-consuming than the fuzzy algorithm. Therefore, both algorithms need more improvement in future works to achieve a better estimation location.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

LOKALISASI DALAM BANGUNAN MENGGUNAKAN ALGORITMA SUKATAN KESERUPAAN JARAK PEMBERAT KEKUATAN MEDAN MAGNET DAN ALGORITMA BERASASKAN FUZI

Oleh

CACEJA ELYCA ANAK BUNDAK

Julai 2021

Pengerusi : Mohd Amiruddin Abd Rahman, PhD Fakulti : Sains

Lokalisasi dalam bangunan menggunakan medan magnet telah menarik perhatian ramai penyelidik kerana medan magnet mempunyai pelbagai aplikasi dalam sains dan industri. Sistem kedudukan berasaskan magnetic adalah bebas infrastruktur dan dapat dikesan menggunakan mangenetometer yang terbenam di dalam telefon pintar. Walau bagaimanapun, data keamatan medan magnet hanya terdiri daripada tiga komponen dan tidak seperti Wi-Fi yang menggunakan banyak pusat akses Wi-Fi. Kemungkinan besar bacaan magnet untuk ketiga-tiga komponen itu akan serupa di beberapa lokasi. Algoritma kedudukan berdasarkan magnet harus mempergunakna ketiga-tiga komponen data medan magnet dengan sepenuhnya. Tesis ini menganalisis perubahan ketepatan kedudukan dengan menggunakan ukuran kesamaan yang berbeza pada vector medan magnet yang tertentu dan cadangan menggunakan algorithma sukatan keserupaan jarak permberat kekuatan medan magnet. Untuk kaedah pertama, pelbagai jarak metrik yang digunakan untuk komponen isyarat MF dikaji dan hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa jarak Euclidean dan jarak persegi memberikan ralat min jarak rendah berbanding dengan punca kuasa dua dan jarak Manhattan. Kemudian, tiga cadangan fungsi pemberat isyarat yang berbeza, iaitu berat sebenar, berat persegi, dan berat kuasa dua diterapkan dalam setiap ukuran kesamaan isyarat MF dan dibandingkan dengan jarak Euclidean yang canggih untuk menganggar lokasi. Selain itu, kesan fungsi pemberat isyarat dikaji lebih jauh dengan menggunakan pelbagai nilai K algoritma K terdekat (KNN). Menurut hasilnya, fungsi pemberat akar kuasa dua mempunyai ralat kedudukan yang lebih rendah 8.156 m daripada jarak Euclidean dengan peningkatan 5.5%. Juga, penggunaan (K = 5) KNN untuk berat persegi $d_{m_{v1}}$ memberikan ralat anggaran min terendah iaitu 7.188 m.

Masalah lain dalam MF IPS adalah terdapat beberapa kajian yang difokuskan pada penggunaan jarak Euclidean dan kawasan di antara titik rujukan untuk meningkatkan ketepatan dalam perkiraan kedudukan. Oleh itu, untuk objektif kedua, algoritma lain yang dinamakan algoritma fuzzy dirancang yang menggabungkan algoritma pengelompokan, algoritma padanan, algoritma kawasan segitiga dan algoritma Euclidean rata-rata yang digunakan untuk menganggar lokasi. Pertama, pangkalan data MF RP disusun semula menjadi pangkalan data kluster menggunakan algoritma kluster. Setiap RP terlatih dan RP lain yang berdekatan dikumpulkan bersama pada jarak tertentu. Algoritma pemadanan digunakan untuk memadankan antara 10 peringkat teratas RP dengan jarak Euclidean terdekat ke TP dengan RP berkelompok. Untuk algoritma kawasan segitiga, luas segitiga terkecil dipilih dari segitiga yang terbentuk dari kluster RP yang sepadan untuk menganggarkan lokasi. Sebaliknya, algoritma Euclidean rata-rata berdasarkan pada Euclidean rata-rata RP dari kumpulan kluster RP. Rata-rata jarak Euclidean terendah dipilih, dan anggaran anggaran lokasi RP dikira. Terakhir, untuk algoritma kabur, keputusan berdasarkan peraturan diterapkan untuk memilih sama ada kawasan segitiga atau algoritma Euclidean rata-rata digunakan untuk mencari kedudukan anggaran akhir. Algoritma kabur menunjukkan ketepatan penyetempatan 5.889 m, yang lebih baik daripada algoritma isyarat KNN dan Berat MF dengan peningkatan masing-masing 31% dan 27%.

Kedua-dua algoritma telah mencapai ketepatan sasaran di bawah 8 m dan lebih baik daripada KNN. Walaupun algoritma kabur mencapai ketepatan yang lebih baik daripada ukuran kesamaan jarak isyarat berwajaran MF, ukuran kesamaan jarak isyarat berwajaran MF kurang memakan masa daripada algoritma kabur. Oleh itu, kedua-dua algoritma memerlukan lebih banyak penambahbaikan pada masa depan untuk mencapai lokasi anggaran yang lebih baik.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all the individuals whose encouragement and support has made the completion of this thesis possible. First, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Dr. Mohd Amirrudin B. Abd Rahman, whose guidance support and motivation made this project possible. His counsel, not only in the matters of my research project but on various matters throughout my Master's program has turned out to be very fruitful. I first had the opportunity to meet him even before my Master's program. Ever since my first meet him for my final year project for degree, he has always inspired me to conduct research studies. He was kind enough take me in his research group and encouraged me to work on a new research topic. Without his support I could not have overcome the numerous challenges that I faced during the course of my Master's program. Thank you, Dr. Mohd Amirrudin B. Abd Rahman for providing such a memorable journey in the past two years. Also, I would like to thank my supervisory commitee, Dr. Muhammad Khalis Abdul Karim and Dr. Nurul Huda Osman for their constructive comments and positive criticism. I would like to thank Muhammad Syahmi for providing me with the Indoor Maps of floor buildings in UPM. He was very cooperative in providing me with the resources as and when needed which led to a precise accuracy study in my project. I would like to extend my gratitude to my colleagues at the physics research lab room 216. I appreciate their friendship, support, encouragement, their constant involvement, and valuable feedback. I would like to thank my family and friends. I would like to thank my family in Sarawak, especially my parents, my brothers and sister for their support and faith in me.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Amiruddin Bin Abd Rahman, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Muhammad Khalis Bin Abdul Karim, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Nurul Huda Bin Osman, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 November 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Date:

Name and Matric No.: Caceja Elyca Anak Bundak (GS52905)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Mohd Amiruddin Bin Abd Rahman
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Muhammad Khalis Bin Abdul Karim
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Nurul Huda Binti Osman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	F	'age
ABSTRACT ABSTRAK		l lii
ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS	V
APPROVAL		Vi
	J	Viii
	FS	Xii
		Xiv
	EVIATIONS	XV
	EVIATIONS	XV
СНАРТЕР		
CHAFTER		
1 INTRODI		1
1 1 Intr	oduction	1
12 Ove	erview of Magnetic-based IPS	1
1.3 Mag	anetic Field algorithm for Indoor Positioning System	2
1.4 Pro	blem Statement	4
1.5 We	ighted Magnetic Field Signal Distance Similarity Measure ar	nd
Mag	gnetic Field Fuzzy algorithm	4
1.6 Res	earch Objectives	5
1.7 Res	earch Frameworks	6
1.8 The	sis Overview	8
2 LITERAT		9
2 1 Intr	oduction	q
2.2 Ma	Inetic-Based Fingerprint Map	10
2.3 Mag	netic-based Positioning Using Smartphone	11
2.4 Mag	gnetic-based Database	14
2.5 Mag	netic-based Positioning Algorithm	16
2.5	.1 Previous studies using Particle Filter and Kalman Filter	17
2.5	.2 Matching Algorithm	19
2.5	.3 Indoor positioning system using other Algorithms	20
2.6 Sur	nmary	22
2 METHOD		22
		20
3.1 INU 3.2 Bas	Jauction Jaine Algorithm	23 23
	1 Nearest Neighbor Technique	20
3.2	2 K Neerest Neighber (KNN)	24 24
	.2 R Nedlest Nelginou (RNN)	24
3.3 PTO 3.4 Euro	voseu weignieu wr signai Distance Similanty Measure	∠4 27
5.4 Fuz २ /	1 Reconstructing Fingernrint to Cluster Database	21
0.4		20

		3.4.2 Matching Algorithm	30
		3.4.3 Triangle Area Algorithm	32
		3.4.4 Average Euclidean Algorithm	34
		3.4.5 Average Euclidean Algorithm	35
	3.5	Experiments	38
	3.6	Dataset Description Original and refined dataset	39
	3.7	Computer Specifications	40
	3.8	Performance Metrics of Magnetic-based Positioning	41
4	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
	4.1	Introduction	42
	4.2	Effect of Difference Distance Similarity Measure of Magnetic	
		Field Signal for Indoor Localization Results	42
		4.2.1 Comparison with Multiple Distance	43
		4.2.2 Mean Error of Different Signal Weighting Function	
		for each MF signal	44
		4.2.3 Vary the k value for different signal weighting function	45
		4.2.4 Accuracy results of the signal weighting function	46
	4.3	Performance of Fuzzy Algorithm	47
		4.3.1 Comparison Original and Refined Data	48
		4.3.2 Comparison between fuzzy algorithm with other	
		algorithms	48
		4.3.3 Percentage accuracy of the positioning algorithms for	40
		error distance up to 5m	49
		4.3.4 CDF performance of the positioning algorithms	50
		4.3.5 Comparison distance errors in each TP between	Γ1
		algorithm and KINN	51
		4.3.0 Mean Error of the positioning algorithms in different	E 2
		4.2.7 Computation time for all the Algorithms	52
		4.3.7 Computation time for all the Algorithms	22
5	CON	ICLUSIONS	54
	5.1	Conclusion	54
	5.2	Recommendations for Future Works	55
RE	FERE	INCES	56
BI	ODAT	A OF STUDENT	63
119		PUBLICATIONS	64

C

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of Magnetic-based Positioning Using Smartphone	14
2.2	Example of Magnetic-based Database, related experiment and the limitation from previous studies	16
2.3	Previous studies using Particle Filter and Kalman Filter Algorithms for Indoor Positioning	18
2.4	Comparison of Nearest Neighbor Algorithms used in previous studies.	20
2.5	Magnetic-based Positioning comparison using Other Algorithms.	21
3.1	Example of KNN results between estimated RPs and TP	25
3.2	Cluster Database Description	29
3.3	Nearest Distance and Mean Error Description for Triangle Area and Average Euclidean in certain TP	37
3.4	Original Dataset Description	39
3.5	Refined Dataset Description	39
3.6	Review of Computer Specifications	40
4.1	Mean Error for Different Distance Measure	43
4.2	Mean Error 1NN Difference Distance Similarity Measure for each MF Signal	44
4.3	Maximum Error 1NN Difference Distance Similarity Measure for each MF Signal	44
4.4	Minimum Error 1NN Difference Distance Similarity Measure for each MF Signal	44
4.5	Mean Error multiple KNN Difference Distance Similarity Measure for each MF Signal	46

 (\mathbf{C})

4.6	Comparison between Original and Refined Data	48
4.7	Comparison between Proposed algorithms with KNN and Weighted MF signal algorithm	49
4.8	Elapsed Times and Mean Errors for all Algorithms	53



(G)

LIST OF FIGURES

		-
1.1 Re	esearch framework flow chart	7
2.1 O	verall Structure of Literature Review	9
2.2 Lo	ocalisation flow chart	10
2.3 M	F vector axis on Smartphone	12
2.4 Co	omparison MF signals between RP and TP	13
3.1 Tł	ne architecture of Fuzzy Algorithm	28
3.2 III	ustration of Cluster RP method	29
3.3 III	ustration of matching algorithm	31
3.4 Co Po	omparison distance between TP and Centre pint of each Triangle.	36
3.5 FI	oor map of the MF database	38
4.1 Pe fa er	erformance comparison between the weight ctor of different signal vector in terms of distance ror.	45
4.2 Cl Si	DF Different Signal Weighting Function of MF ignal for 15 m Distance Error	47
4.3 Ac	ccuracy of the positioning algorithms within ertain meter distances	50
4.4 Cl wi	DF of Fuzzy Algorithm with other algorithms ithin 20 m distance error.	51
4.5 Co wi	omparison Mean Error of Proposed Algorithm ith KNN Algorithm	52
4.6 M pe	ean Error of each algorithm in different ercentage of train data	53

6

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia GPS Global Positioning System IPS Indoor Positioning System KNN K Nearest Neighbour MF Magnetic Field PF Particle Filter KF Kalman Filter IMU Inertial Measurement Unit RP Reference Point TΡ Test Point Magnetic Field Signal MFS Average Sorted Distance ASD AED Average Euclidean Distance ED Euclidean Distance CDF Cumulative Distribution Function CNN Convolutional Neural Network RNN Recurrent Neural Network ANN Artificial Neural Network

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Global Positioning System (GPS) is widely used for positioning in outdoor environments, which is one of the most famous localisation techniques. However, GPS cannot function indoors due to multipath reflection and signal blockage from buildings resulting in signal attenuation (Walton & Black, 1999). Therefore, the indoor positioning system is designed and developed to be used in a hypermarket, a multi-story indoor airport car park (Molina et al., 2018), and for blind people (Árvai, 2018).

There are various indoor positioning systems (IPS) that have been researched such as Wi-Fi (Lohan et al., 2017), Bluetooth (Q. Wang et al., 2016), ultrasonic (H. S. Kim & Choi, 2008), magnetic field and infrared (J. H. Oh et al., 2014). Wi-Fi positioning has drawn increasing attention since Wi-Fi signals are available in most areas. However, in these complex environments, Wi-Fi positioning systems' performance is affected by severe limitations of wireless signals such as shadowing, human mobility and multipath, which further worsens the Wi-Fi systems (Ashraf et al., 2020). In addition, the accuracy of the Wi-Fi positioning system depends on the number of Wi-Fi access points deployed. Hence, a more suitable technology is needed to achieve a more accurate IPS. One promising solution to this is to use the magnetic field (MF) based IPS.

1.2 Overview of Magnetic-based IPS

In recent years, an increasing number of mobile devices have been embedded with MF sensors, dramatically promoting magnetic-based IPS development. Indoor MF is a promising solution for indoor positioning technology because it is not affected by multipath signal disturbance as in Wi-Fi. Anomalies in the MF for indoor environments are caused by the concrete, metal, pillar structures and furniture (Haverinen & Kemppainen, 2009). A study in Ma et al. (2018) shows that the indoor environment's magnetic fields were relatively stable and reproducible. Moreover, the values of magnetic field signals (MFS) at various positions are different, and hence it is possible to position a smartphone by fingerprint mapping of MFS measurements.

Due to the magnetic field's stability and uniqueness, many researchers have developed a number of magnetic field-based positioning systems. For example, Limeng Cao (2017) analysed real-time geomagnetic sequence performance measured by the smartphone's sensors on multiple mobile devices. In Poulose

et al. (2019), experiments show that the inertial measurement unit (IMU) sensor data will improve the position estimation systems for indoor localisation. The magnetic field plays an essential role in solving IPS problems.

The location estimation technique usually used in magnetic-based positioning is the fingerprinting method. The fingerprinting method first requires real surveying by collecting the signal signature at every unique physical location called fingerprint location. The measurement data is stored in a database. The database contains coordinates of reference points (RPs) and the magnetic field measure along a smartphone's three axes vector x, y and z. The observed magnetic field fingerprint is compared to the one stored in the database during the online phase. Then the coordinate with the closest match is determined as the user's estimated location.

Magnetic field positioning system could be divided into two main problems: in ample indoor space, the magnetic fingerprints may not be unique, and the embedded magnetometer of the smartphone is sensitive. The collected magnetic field intensity may be very different for different smartphones. The algorithms should be accurate and quickly processed in the database to give an estimation of the location. Therefore, a magnetic-based algorithm is essential to improve positioning accuracy.

1.3 Magnetic Field algorithm for Indoor Positioning System

In today's application, indoor localisation systems should be embedded in mobile devices such as smartphones which have small computing capability. With the impressive performance of machine learning and deep learning, an increasing number of researchers have attempted to use these methods to solve magnetic-based IPS and have achieved good performance (Pasku et al., 2017). These algorithms can be divided into deterministic and probabilistic methods.

Probabilistic approaches are among the most popular methods in magneticbased positioning due to the effectiveness and robustness, such as Kalman-filter based techniques (G. Wang et al., 2019), particle-filter based techniques (Ma et al., 2018; Xinheng Wang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017), and maximum likelihood (ML) probability (Bozkurt Keser et al., 2018). In these algorithms, probabilistic methods provide a probability distribution function (PDF) estimate of the given location's magnetic field signal. In Xie et al. (2016), the authors proposed an indoor navigation method based on reliability-augmented particle filter and use a hybrid measurement model, combining a new magnetic fingerprinting model and the existing magnitude fingerprinting model, to improve system performance, and importantly avoid calibrating magnetometers for different smartphones. Huang et al. (2018) proposed an improved particle filter algorithm based on initial positioning error constraint, while at the same time pointing out that the particle filter performs poorly which often suffers filtering divergence when there is continuous variation of the indoor magnetic distribution. However, an interference source will disturb other multiple reference points when they use the irregular magnetic field for positioning. The change in the magnetic field measurement at a reference point can cause another reference point in the magnetic field to change field (X. Huang et al., 2017). Therefore, probabilistic methods assumption on each reference point is independent cannot be applied in the magnetic field positioning.

Another approach is using the deterministic methods, which are easier to be implemented (Bahl & Padmanabhan, 2000) because they only harness the similarity between each point, then calculate positioning results as the closest fingerprint locations in signal space. The deterministic methods usually used similarity metrics include Euclidean distance (Moghtadaiee & Dempster, 2015), Manhattan distance, and cosine similarity (Caso et al., 2015). There are various Nearest Neighbour (NN) method such as single NN (Bozkurt et al., 2015), KNN (Dai et al., 2019; J. Oh & Kim, 2018), and K- Weighted NN methods (Abd Rahman et al., 2019; van et al., 2017) which are the most popular deterministic methods. Therefore, a localisation algorithm must be designed and developed to utilise as small processing power as possible and at the same time retain good positioning accuracy.

1.4 Problem Statement

Indoor positioning does not have standardised technology. Unlike the GPS, the indoor positioning system depends on nearby anchors (nodes with a known position), which either actively locate tags or provide environmental context for devices to sense. In strange complexity and different pattern interference in the buildings, researchers used many different indoor positioning technologies such as Wi-Fi, Bluetooth and MF. Magnetic-based positioning systems are used in research due to the stability (X. Huang et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017) and uniqueness (Jiaxing et al., 2017) of the magnetic field. However, the main problem in magnetic-based positioning systems is to figure out a suitable machine learning algorithm that can differentiate between different positions with the same MF value. Specifically, to develop an efficient and robust magnetic-based indoor positioning system, this thesis investigates the following problems:

- Magnetic-based positioning only has three components signal measure that can be used compared to Wi-Fi which can use multiple access points. Therefore, the possibility of obtaining a similar reading of those three components at multiple locations within a limited space is high.
- 2. Although different indoor localisation systems utilise various magnetic field algorithms, there are limited studies between the Euclidean distance and the area between the RPs.
- 3. KNN is the state-of-the-art algorithm used for IPS. However, using KNN might have an error due to the chosen estimation point might be far from the TP although the MF signal between the TP and RP is almost the same.

1.5 Weighted Magnetic Field Signal Distance Similarity Measure and Magnetic Field Fuzzy algorithm

To solve the problems mentioned in section 1.4, two types of algorithms are proposed. The first algorithm proposed is weighted magnetic field signal distance similarity measure which uses three different weight functions applied on each of the magnetic vectors signal difference. The second algorithm is the Fuzzy algorithm. Fuzzy algorithms consist of three proposed algorithms which are the triangle area algorithm, the average Euclidean algorithm and lastly the Fuzzy algorithm which based on a rule decision to choose either triangle or average Euclidean algorithms. The foundation and the implementation of the proposed algorithms is described in detail in Chapter 3.

4

1.6 Research Objectives

According to the algorithm proposed in Section 1.5, this thesis main aim is to develop a robust and efficient magnetic-based positioning emphasising to achieve an accuracy to be better than the state-of-the-art algorithm, KNN. So, the mean distance error is expected to be below 8 m as obtained in Chapter 4. Detail objectives are given as follows:

- 1. To design and develop weighted Magnetic Field signal distance Similarity Measure which weighting based on MF signals. Three different similarity measures are used with different weight factors which are applied on specific MF vectors.
- 2. To design and develop Fuzzy algorithms which combine multiple techniques which are clustering algorithm, matching algorithm, triangle area and Average Euclidean algorithm and using a rule-based decision technique.
- 3. To develop KNN used it with previous algorithms to evaluate and compare the proposed algorithms mentioned above in terms of accuracy and computation time.

1.7 Research Frameworks

Figure 1.1 shows the research framework for the thesis. From the figure, for the first problem the possibility of obtaining a similar reading of three components MF signals at multiple locations within a limited space is high. To investigate this problem, a proposed weighted MF signal distance similarity measure is designed and developed. This design is shown in Section 3.3 for Chapter 3 and the result of the proposed algorithm is discussed in Section 4.2 for Chapter 4. For the second problem which is that there is limited study between the Euclidean distance and the area between the RPs, a Fuzzy algorithm is designed and developed. In the proposed algorithm, the relationship between the Euclidean distance and the area between the RPs were studied by designing the triangle area and Average Euclidean algorithm. Both of the algorithms are presented in Chapter 3 Section 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. Before using three of the proposed algorithms which are the triangle area, average Euclidean and the Fuzzy algorithms, preprocessing and matching signals between TP and RPs were done by using Clustering Algorithm and Matching Algorithm. The method for clustering algorithm is mentioned in Chapter 3 Section 3.4.1 and the matching algorithm is introduced in Chapter 3 Section 3.4.2. The results of the proposed algorithms for the second objectives are presented in Chapter 4 Section 4.3. For the last objective which is to evaluate and compare all the proposed algorithms with KNN and previous studies in terms of accuracy and time consuming, the baseline of the KNN is presented in Chapter 3 Section 3.2. Two performance metrics used to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithms are mean error and CDF in which the formula mentioned in equation (3.18) and equation (3.19) respectively in Chapter 3 Section 3.8. For the time consuming, the results are shown in Chapter 4 Section 4.4.



Figure 1.1 : Research framework flow chart

1.8 Thesis Overview

This dissertation discusses developing robust techniques and improvising the algorithm for indoor tracking and localization applications.

Chapter 1 (Introduction): In this chapter, an overview of indoor localization and some of the existing systems and algorithms are given. The aim and problem facing this research are mentioned in this chapter.

Chapter 2 (Literature review): In this chapter, the technologies used for IPS and the introduction of IPS based on magnetic fields are described. Other than that, this chapter reviews some of the related work approached for magnetic-based positioning algorithm of estimation indoor location.

Chapter 3 (Research methodology): In this work two methods are used to improve magnetic-based positioning. Firstly, using the different MF signal similarity measure applied on different weight functions. Secondly, Fuzzy algorithm is used to provide magnetic field indoor positioning estimations.

Chapter 4 (Results and Discussion): In this chapter, the performance of proposed algorithms is shown and compared with KNN and weighted MF algorithms. The discussion about the algorithms and the accuracy of the estimation are mentioned in this chapter.

Chapter 5 (Conclusion): In this chapter, conclusion on the proposed algorithms of magnetic field used in IPS is discussed. Future work is mentioned in this chapter to improve the algorithm used in the future.

REFERENCES

- Abadi, M. J., Luceri, L., Hassan, M., Chou, C. T., & Nicoli, M. (2014). A collaborative approach to heading estimation for smartphone-based PDR indoor localisation. *IPIN 2014 2014 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation*, *October*, 554–563. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2014.7275528
- Abd Rahman, M. A., Abdul Karim, M. K., & Anak Bundak, C. E. (2019). Weighted Local Access Point based on Fine Matching k-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm for Indoor Positioning System. 2019 AEIT International Annual Conference, AEIT 2019. https://doi.org/10.23919/AEIT.2019.8893365
- Akai, N., & Ozaki, K. (2017). 3D magnetic field mapping in large-scale indoor environment using measurement robot and Gaussian processes. 2017 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2017, 2017-Janua, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2017.8115960
- Árvai, L. (2018). Mobile phone based indoor navigation system for blind and visually impaired people: VUK - Visionless supporting frameworK. *Proceedings of the 2018 19th International Carpathian Control Conference, ICCC* 2018, 383–388. https://doi.org/10.1109/CarpathianCC.2018.8399660
- Ashraf, I., Hur, S., & Park, Y. (2018). mPILOT-magnetic field strength based pedestrian indoor localization. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *18*(7), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18072283
- Ashraf, I., Hur, S., Shafiq, M., & Park, Y. (2019). Floor identification using magnetic field data with smartphone sensors. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *19*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/s19112538
- Ashraf, I., Kang, M., Hur, S., & Park, Y. (2020). MINLOC:Magnetic Field Patterns-Based Indoor Localization Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE* Access, 8, 66213–66227. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2985384
- Bahl, P., & Padmanabhan, V. N. (2000). RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system. *Proceedings - IEEE INFOCOM*, 2(c), 775– 784. https://doi.org/10.1109/infcom.2000.832252
- Bai, Y. B., Gu, T., & Hu, A. (2016). Integrating Wi-Fi and magnetic field for fingerprinting based indoor positioning system. 2016 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2016, October 2016, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2016.7743699
- Bernhart, F., & Price, H. L. (2007). *Heron's Formula, Descartes Circles, and Pythagorean Triangles. May.* http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701624
- Binu, P. K., Akhil Krishnan, R., & Pranav Kumar, A. (2017). An efficient indoor location tracking and navigation system using simple magnetic map matching. 2016 IEEE International Conference on Computational

Intelligence and Computing Research, ICCIC 2016. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIC.2016.7919537

- Bozkurt Keser, S., Yazici, A., & Gunal, S. (2018). An F-Score-Weighted Indoor Positioning Algorithm Integrating WiFi and Magnetic Field Fingerprints. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7950985
- Bozkurt, S., Elibol, G., Gunal, S., & Yayan, U. (2015). A comparative study on machine learning algorithms for indoor positioning. *INISTA 2015 - 2015 International Symposium on Innovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications, Proceedings,* 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/INISTA.2015.7276725
- Caso, G., de Nardis, L., & di Benedetto, M. G. (2015). A Mixed approach to similarity metric selection in affinity propagation-based WiFi fingerprinting indoor positioning. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *15*(11), 27692–27720. https://doi.org/10.3390/s151127692
- Chen, Y., Zhou, M., & Zheng, Z. (2019). Learning Sequence-Based Fingerprint for Magnetic Indoor Positioning System. *IEEE Access*, 7, 163231–163244. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2952564
- Cheng, L., Song, B., Dai, Y., Wu, H., & Chen, Y. (2017). Mobile robot indoor dual Kalman filter localisation based on inertial measurement and stereo vision. *CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology*, 2(4), 173–181. https://doi.org/10.1049/trit.2017.0025
- Chung, J., Donahoe, M., Schmandt, C., Kim, I. J., Razavai, P., & Wiseman, M. (2011). Indoor location sensing using geo-magnetism. *MobiSys'11 - Compilation Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications and Services and Co-Located Workshops*, 141–154. https://doi.org/10.1145/1999995.2000010
- Dai, P., Yang, Y., Wang, M., & Yan, R. (2019). Combination of DNN and Improved KNN for indoor location fingerprinting. *Wireless Communications* and Mobile Computing, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4283857
- De Angelis, G., De Angelis, A., Pasku, V., Moschitta, A., & Carbone, P. (2015). A hybrid outdoor/indoor Positioning System for IoT applications. *1st IEEE International Symposium on Systems Engineering, ISSE 2015 -Proceedings*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/SysEng.2015.7302503
- Du, Y., & Arslan, T. (2018). A segmentation-based matching algorithm for magnetic field indoor positioning. 2017 International Conference on Localization and GNSS, ICL-GNSS 2017, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICL-GNSS.2017.8376237
- Du, Y., Arslan, T., & Juri, A. (2016). Camera-aided region-based magnetic field indoor positioning. 2016 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2016, October, 4–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2016.7743621
- Frassl, M., Angermann, M., Lichtenstern, M., Robertson, P., Julian, B. J., & Doniec, M. (2013). Magnetic maps of indoor environments for precise

localization of legged and non-legged locomotion. *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 913–920. https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2013.6696459

- Gozick, B., Subbu, K. P., Dantu, R., & Maeshiro, T. (2011). Magnetic maps for indoor navigation. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 60(12), 3883–3891. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2011.2147690
- Haverinen, J., & Kemppainen, A. (2009). Global indoor self-localization based on the ambient magnetic field. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 57(10), 1028–1035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2009.07.018
- Huang, H., Li, W., Luo, D. A., Qiu, D. W., & Gao, Y. (2018). An improved particle filter algorithm for geomagnetic indoor positioning. *Journal of Sensors*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5989678
- Huang, X., Guo, S., Wu, Y., & Yang, Y. (2017). A fine-grained indoor fingerprinting localization based on magnetic field strength and channel state information. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 41, 150–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2017.08.003
- Jang, H. J., Shin, J. M., & Choi, L. (2017). Geomagnetic field based indoor localization using recurrent neural networks. 2017 IEEE Global Communications Conference, GLOBECOM 2017 - Proceedings, 2018-Janua, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/GLOCOM.2017.8254556
- Jiaxing, L., Congshi, J., & Zhongcai, S. (2017). The design and implementation of indoor localization system using magnetic field based on smartphone. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives, 42*(2W7), 379–383. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-2-W7-379-2017
- Keser, S. B., Yayan, U., Yazici, A., & Gunal, S. (2016). A priori verification and validation study of RFKON database. *International Journal of Computer Science: Theory and Application*, 5(1), 20–27.
- Kim, H. S., & Choi, J. S. (2008). Advanced indoor localization using ultrasonic sensor and digital compass. 2008 International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems, ICCAS 2008, 223–226. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCAS.2008.4694553
- Kim, S. E., Kim, Y., Yoon, J., & Kim, E. S. (2012). Indoor positioning system using geomagnetic anomalies for smartphones. 2012 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2012 -Conference Proceedings, November, 0–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2012.6418947
- Kumar, S., & Hegde, R. M. (2016). Multi-sensor data fusion methods for indoor localization under collinear ambiguity. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 30, 18–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2015.09.001
- Le Grand, E., & Thrun, S. (2012). 3-Axis magnetic field mapping and fusion for indoor localization. *IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion*

and Integration for Intelligent Systems, 2, 358–364. https://doi.org/10.1109/MFI.2012.6343024

- Li, B., Gallagher, T., Dempster, A. G., & Rizos, C. (2012). How feasible is the use of magnetic field alone for indoor positioning? 2012 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2012 Conference Proceedings, April 2014. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2012.6418880
- Li, B., Gallagher, T., Rizos, C., & Dempster, A. G. (2013). Using geomagnetic field for indoor positioning. *Journal of Applied Geodesy*, *7*(4), 299–308. https://doi.org/10.1515/jag-2013-0016
- Li, P., Yang, X., Yin, Y., Gao, S., & Niu, Q. (2020). Smartphone-Based Indoor Localization with Integrated Fingerprint Signal. *IEEE Access*, 8, 33178– 33187. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2974038
- Limeng Cao, R. K. (2017). Based on Geomagnetic Field. 2017 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC), 1826–1830.
- Liu, Y., Zhou, Y., Wen, S., & Tang, C. (2014). A Strategy on Selecting Performance Metrics for Classifier Evaluation. *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications*, 6(4), 20–35. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMCMC.2014100102
- Lohan, E. S., Torres-Sospedra, J., Leppäkoski, H., Richter, P., Peng, Z., & Huerta, J. (2017). Wi-Fi crowdsourced fingerprinting dataset for indoor positioning. *Data*, 2(4), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/data2040032
- Lu, X., Dong, Y., & Wang, X. (2013). A Monte Carlo Localization algorithm for 2-D indoor self-localization based on magnetic field. 2013 8th International ICST Conference on Communications and Networking in China, CHINACOM 2013 - Proceedings, 563–568. https://doi.org/10.1109/ChinaCom.2013.6694658
- M, H., & M.N, S. (2015). A Review on Evaluation Metrics for Data Classification Evaluations. International Journal of Data Mining & Knowledge Management Process, 5(2), 01–11. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijdkp.2015.5201
- Ma, Z., Poslad, S., Hu, S., & Zhang, X. (2018). A fast path matching algorithm for indoor positioning systems using magnetic field measurements. *IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, PIMRC, 2017-Octob, 1–5.* https://doi.org/10.1109/PIMRC.2017.8292759
- Moghtadaiee, V., & Dempster, A. G. (2015). Vector Distance Measure Comparison in Indoor Location Fingerprinting. *IGNSS 2015 Conference, July 14-16, Surfers Paradise, Gold Cost, Queensland, Australia, July.*
- Molina, B., Olivares, E., Palau, C. E., & Esteve, M. (2018). A multimodal fingerprint-based indoor positioning system for airports. *IEEE Access*, 6, 10092–10106. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2798918

- Oh, J. H., Kim, D., & Lee, B. H. (2014). An Indoor Localization System for Mobile Robots Using an Active Infrared Positioning Sensor. *Journal of Industrial* and Intelligent Information, 2(1), 35–38. https://doi.org/10.12720/jiii.2.1.35-38
- Oh, J., & Kim, J. (2018). Adaptive K-nearest neighbour algorithm for WiFi fingerprint positioning. *ICT Express*, *4*(2), 91–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icte.2018.04.004
- Park, S., Lee, J. H., & Parka, C. G. (2021). Robust Pedestrian Dead Reckoning for Multiple Poses in Smartphones. *IEEE Access*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3070647
- Pasku, V., Angelis, A. De, Angelis, G. De, Member, S., Arumugam, D. D., Dionigi, M., Carbone, P., Moschitta, A., & Ricketts, D. S. (2017). *Magnetic Field-Based Positioning Systems*. 19(3), 2003–2017.
- Pasku, V., De Angelis, A., Dionigi, M., De Angelis, G., Moschitta, A., & Carbone, P. (2016). A positioning system based on low-frequency magnetic fields. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 63(4), 2457–2468. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2015.2499251
- Poulose, A., Eyobu, O. S., & Han, D. S. (2019). An indoor position-estimation algorithm using smartphone IMU sensor data. *IEEE Access*, *7*, 11165–11177. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2891942
- Qiu, K., Huang, H., Li, W., & Luo, D. (2018). Indoor geomagnetic positioning based on a joint algorithm of particle filter and dynamic time warp. Proceedings of 5th IEEE Conference on Ubiquitous Positioning, Indoor Navigation and Location-Based Services, UPINLBS 2018, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/UPINLBS.2018.8559747
- Shahidi, S., & Valaee, S. (2015). GIPSy: Geomagnetic indoor positioning system for smartphones. 2015 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2015. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2015.7346761
- Shao, W., Luo, H., Zhao, F., & Crivello, A. (2018). Toward improving indoor magnetic field–based positioning system using pedestrian motion models. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 14(9). https://doi.org/10.1177/1550147718803072
- Shao, W., Luo, H., Zhao, F., Ma, Y., Zhao, Z., & Crivello, A. (2018). Indoor Positioning Based on Fingerprint-Image and Deep Learning. *IEEE Access*, 6, 74699–74712. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2884193
- Shao, W., Zhao, F., Wang, C., Luo, H., Muhammad Zahid, T., Wang, Q., & Li, D. (2016). Location Fingerprint Extraction for Magnetic Field Magnitude Based Indoor Positioning. *Journal of Sensors*, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1945695
- Shu, Y., Bo, C., Shen, G., Zhao, C., Li, L., & Zhao, F. (2015). Magicol: Indoor Localization Using Pervasive Magnetic Field and Opportunistic WiFi Sensing. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 33(7), 1443–1457. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2015.2430274

- Torres-Sospedra, J., Rambla, D., Montoliu, R., Belmonte, O., & Huerta, J. (2015). UJIIndoorLoc-Mag: A new database for magnetic field-based localization problems. 2015 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation, IPIN 2015, October, 13–16. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPIN.2015.7346763
- van, M. T., van Tuan, N., Son, T. T., Le-Minh, H., & Burton, A. (2017). Weighted k-nearest neighbour model for indoor VLC positioning. *IET Communications*, *11*(6), 864–871. https://doi.org/10.1049/ietcom.2016.0961
- Vandermeulen, D., Vercauteren, C., & Weyn, M. (2013). Indoor localization Using a Magnetic Flux Density Map of a Building Feasibility study of geomagnetic indoor localization. AMBIENT 2013: The Third International Conference on Ambient Computing, Applications, Services and Technologies Magnetic, c, 42–49.
- Walton, A. J., & Black, R. J. (1999). The global positioning system. *Physics Education*, *34*(1), 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/34/1/019
- Wang, G., Wang, X., Nie, J., & Lin, L. (2019). Magnetic-Based Indoor Localization Using Smartphone via a Fusion Algorithm. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 19(15), 6477–6485. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2019.2909195
- Wang, Q., Feng, Y., Zhang, X., Sun, Y., & Lu, X. (2016). IWKNN: An Effective Bluetooth Positioning Method Based on Isomap and WKNN. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8765874
- Wang, Xinheng, Zhang, C., Liu, F., Dong, Y., & Xu, X. (2017). Exponentially weighted particle filter for simultaneous localization and mapping based on magnetic field measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 66(7), 1658–1667. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2017.2664538
- Wang, Xuyu, Yu, Z., & Mao, S. (2018). DeepML: Deep LSTM for Indoor Localization with Smartphone Magnetic and Light Sensors. *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2018-May, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2018.8422562
- Wu, H., He, S., & Chan, S. H. G. (2017). A Graphical Model Approach for Efficient Geomagnetism-Pedometer Indoor Localization. *Proceedings - 14th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems, MASS* 2017, 371–379. https://doi.org/10.1109/MASS.2017.11
- Xie, H., Gu, T., Tao, X., Ye, H., & Lu, J. (2016). A Reliability-Augmented Particle Filter for Magnetic Fingerprinting Based Indoor Localization on Smartphone. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, *15*(8), 1877–1892. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMC.2015.2480064
- Xie, H., Gu, T., Tao, X., Ye, H., & Lv, J. (2014). *MaLoc.* 243–253. https://doi.org/10.1145/2632048.2632057
- Yazici, A., Keser, S. B., & Gunal, S. (2017). Integration of classification algorithms for indoor positioning system. 2nd International Conference on

Computer Science and Engineering, UBMK 2017, 267–270. https://doi.org/10.1109/UBMK.2017.8093387

- Yeh, S. C., Hsu, W. H., Lin, W. Y., & Wu, Y. F. (2020). Study on an Indoor Positioning System Using Earth's Magnetic Field. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 69(3), 865–872. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2019.2905750
- Zhang, M., Qing, T., Zhu, J., & Shen, W. (2017). Indoor positioning tracking with magnetic field and improved particle filter. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 13(11). https://doi.org/10.1177/1550147717741835
- Zhao, Y., Fritsche, C., Yin, F., Gunnarsson, F., & Gustafsson, F. (2018). Sequential Monte Carlo Methods and Theoretical Bounds for Proximity Report Based Indoor Positioning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 67(6), 5372–5386. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2018.2799174

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Caceja Elyca Anak Bundak was born in Hospital Bintulu, Sarawak on 14th of January 1995. She received her early education at Sekolah Rendah Kelapa Sawit No.1 and continued her secondary education at Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Luar Bandar Miri. After completion of SPM, she pursued her higher education by enrolling for pre-university course at Kolej Matrikulasi Labuan, Wilayah Persekutuan Labuan. After that, she received Bachelor of Science degree in Major Physics from Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2018. On September 2018, she enrolled for her master's degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia under the supervision of Dr. Mohd Amiruddin Abd Rahman involving Applied Physics.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Bundak, C. E. A., Abd Rahman, M. A., Abdul Karim, M. K., & Osman, N. H. (2021). Fuzzy rank cluster top k Euclidean distance and triangle based algorithm for magnetic field indoor positioning system. *Alexandria Engineering Journal, September*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.08.073</u> (Published)
- Bundak, C. E. A., Abd Rahman, M. A., Abd Karim, M. K., & Osman, N. H. (2022). Effect of Different Signal Weighting Function of Magnetic Field Using KNN for Indoor Localization. *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, 730(January), 571–581. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4597-3_52</u> (Accepted)
- Bundak, C. E. A., Abd Rahman and C. N. C. Saidi . 2021 . Vector Signal Strength Different for Magnetic Field Indoor Positioning System. (2021). April. International Society of Affective Science and Engineering Conference, ISASE2021
- Abd Rahman, M. A., Abdul Karim, M. K., & Anak Bundak, C. E. (2019). Weighted Local Access Point based on Fine Matching k-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm for Indoor Positioning System. 2019 AEIT International Annual Conference, AEIT 2019, September, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.23919/AEIT.2019.8893365



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : First Semester 2021/2022

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT : Indoor Positioning Using Weighted Magnetic Field Signal Distance Similarity Measure and Fuzzy Based Algorithms.

NAME OF STUDENT :

Caceja Elyca Anak Bundak

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:



This thesis is submitted for:

PATENT	Embargo from	until (date)
	(date)	Approved by:
(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport N Date :	No.: Name: Date :	(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
[Note : If the thesis is the letter from the or confidentially or rest	s CONFIDENTIAL or RES ganization/institution wi ricted.]	STRICTED, please attach with th period and reasons for