

## **TROPICAL AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE**

Journal homepage: http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/

## Investigating the Potential of Endophytic Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Papaya Seeds as Plant Growth Promoter and Antifungal Agent

Mohammad Fahrulazri Mohd Jaini<sup>1</sup>, Nurfaten Farhanah Roslan<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Termizi Yusof<sup>1</sup>, Noor Baity Saidi<sup>2,6</sup>, Norhayati Ramli<sup>3</sup>, Nur Ain Izzati Mohd Zainudin<sup>4,6</sup> and Amalia Mohd Hashim<sup>1,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Bioprocess Technology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia <sup>5</sup>Halal Products Research Institute, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>6</sup>Laboratory of Sustainable Agronomy and Crop Protection, Institute of Plantation Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

### ABSTRACT

Endophytic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from papaya seeds, including a consortium of two LAB isolates, *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 could previously inhibit papaya dieback disease causative agent, *Erwinia mallotivora* BT-MARDI *in vitro*, indicating their potential as biofertilizer. However, further characterizations on other plant growth-promoting (PGP) properties of the LABs are pre-requisite to use in agricultural settings as bio-inoculum. Hence, this study aimed to evaluate

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 27 October 2021 Accepted: 6 December 2021 Published: 24 January 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47836/pjtas.45.1.12

E-mail addresses:

azri\_jaini@yahoo.com.my (Mohammad Fahrulazri Mohd Jaini) ff.inhopee@gmail.com (Nurfaten Farhanah Roslan) mohdtermizi@upm.edu.my (Mohd Termizi Yusof) norbaity@upm.edu.my (Noor Baity Saidi) yatiramli@upm.edu.my (Norhayati Ramli) ainizzati@upm.edu.my (Nur Ain Izzati Mohd Zainudin) amalia@upm.edu.my (Amalia Mohd Hashim) \*Corresponding author

ISSN: 1511-3701 e-ISSN: 2231-8542 PGP potentials further and *in vitro* antifungal activity of the LABs against various plant pathogens. The LAB isolates were tested positive in indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), siderophore, and ammonia production and could solubilize phosphate. *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 exhibited the strongest *in vitro* antifungal activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* TKA and *Curvularia lunata*. Inoculum concentration of 1x10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml of

*W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 mixture showed the highest increment in shoot and root dry weight. In conclusion, *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 consortium displayed promising plant probiotic potential. These results highlighted the possibility of the bacterial consortium to be exploited as bioinoculant to promote plant growth and inhibit phytopathogens causing plant diseases.

*Keywords*: Antimicrobial activity, bioinoculant, endophytes, lactic acid bacteria, plant growth promotion

## INTRODUCTION

Plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) are the bacterial group that stimulates the growth of their plant host, resulting in yield improvement of crops. Their functions are highly diverse, such as nitrogen fixer (Pham et al., 2017), secondary metabolites inducer (Doumbou et al., 2001), nutrient solubilizer (Giassi et al., 2016), and also as biological control agents (Morales-cedeno et al., 2020). PGPB can supply indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that improves the fitness of the plant root growth and plant nourishment uptake (Nimnoi & Pongslip, 2009; Passari et al., 2015). The PGPB produces several numbers of antibiotics that are good phytopathogen combatants. Certain PGPB could produce an enzyme that is essential in helping the bacteria to allocate itself into the plant tissue. Despite wealth resources on the use of rhizobacteria as a plant growth promoter, the information on lactic acid bacteria (LAB), which are commonly found in the plant endosphere, is rather limited.

LAB is a Gram-positive bacterium that yields lactic acid as one of the end products of the fermentation process. Among the plant, growth-promoting mechanisms of LAB is the production of organic acids, which result in a decrease in pH, accumulation of hydrogen peroxide, and antimicrobial secondary metabolites (Caplice & Fitzgerald, 1999). Due to their antimicrobial properties and Generally Regarded as Safe (GRAS) status, LABs are used in the food processing industry for various purposes. It suggests that the LAB is also potentially utilized in the agriculture industry as it poses no or little safety risk towards edible crops (Lutz et al., 2012), livestock, and human (Stiles & Holzapfel, 1997). LAB, such as Lactobacillus, has been proven to promote the growth of various plants (Shrestha et al., 2014). Plants treated with the bacteria showed higher yield, raised plant biomass and chlorophyll content, and enhanced the seedlings' growth rate (Mohite, 2013; Rzheyskaya et al., 2013; Shrestha et al., 2014; S. M. Kang et al., 2015).

Microbial inoculant is gaining more attention among farmers nowadays due to their agricultural sustainability and safety compared to chemical pesticides or fertilizers. To develop a good multi inoculant according to Bashan et al. (2014), 1) the efficiency of their resulting plant growth-promoting effects, 2) compatibility between the strains, 3) the symbiotic effect between the strains, and also 4) the potential of biofilm formation must be explored. A microbial inoculant that can attack several pathogens simultaneously, besides promoting plant growth, might be more economical and attractive to consumers.

This study explored several LABs isolated from papaya seeds, then assessed the selected two antibacterial LABs. L. lactis. and Weissella sp., on plant growth promotion and antifungal properties. Lactococcus lactis is widely used in food fermentation, especially cheese and yogurt production. It has been isolated from various kinds of plants, including Eucalyptus (Procópio et al., 2009), sugar cane (Beneduzi et al., 2013), and pepper-rosmarin (da Silva et al., 2013). It is, however, still under-utilized in agriculture until recently. According to several previous studies, L. lactis displayed significant plant growth-promoting activity in greenhouse trials with cabbage and later on crops (Grönemeyer et al., 2012; Somers et al., 2007). In addition to its PGP trait, L. lactis showed remarkable antibacterial activity against Listeria monocytogenes and Staphylococcus aureus (Enan et al., 2013). Likewise, W. cibaria was one of the LABs that could show antimicrobial ability (Kamboj et al., 2015). However, information regarding its capabilities in agriculture was still limited. In our previous study, L. lactis and W. cibaria have been shown to inhibit E. mallotivora, the causal agent of papaya dieback disease (PDD), in vitro (Taha et al., 2019).

As a continuation of the study by Taha et al. (2019), this study aimed to 1) determine *in vitro* plant growth-promoting property of the endophytic LAB isolates previously isolated from papaya seeds (Taha et al., 2019), 2) assess their inhibitory activity against several fungal plant pathogens in vitro, and 3) examining the impact of selected life bacterial inoculum (consortium of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39) of different concentrations on promoting papaya plant growth. Twentythree antagonistic L. lactis and Weissella sp. isolates were screened in vitro for their plant growth-promoting potential, i.e., phosphate solubilization, IAA formation, ammonia, siderophore, and hydrogen cyanide (HCN) production and pectinase assay. A bacterial consortium consists of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 that previously showed the best disease suppression against PDD in planta (Taha et al., 2019) were selected and further subjected to dual culture assay and poisoned agar test in vitro against common plant pathogens; i.e., C. lunata, F. oxysporum TKA, Fusarium proliferatum B68C, Fusarium verticillioides B106C, and Fusarium verticillioides J44C. Biofilm formation capability was also evaluated. The isolates were then tested for plant growth promotion under greenhouse conditions using three-month-old papaya seedlings as a model plant. Different concentrations of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 were applied to the papaya plantlets, and shoot length and plant biomass were measured. This study highlighted the potential application of the consortium of LAB as a plant growth promoter in planta.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Bacterial Sources and Culture Maintenance

Twenty-three LAB isolates that were previously shown to inhibit *E. mallotivora* (Taha et al., 2019) were utilized in this study. The strains were isolated from papaya seed, and the species identity was determined using 16S rRNA gene sequencing by Taha et al. (2019) (Table S1). The isolates were maintained in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Merck, Germany) supplemented with 20% glycerol (Merck, Germany) and stored at -20 °C freezer (Bosch, Germany).

## Plant Growth Promoting Assay In vitro

**Phosphate Solubilisation**. The antagonistic LAB isolates were grown on Pikovskaya's (PKV) agar media supplemented with 0.5% tricalcium phosphate (Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) (Merck, Germany). Bacterial suspensions grown for 16–24 h in MRS broth were pipetted onto the PKV agar media and incubated at 30 °C for two days. Clear halo zone formation of more than 0.01 cm surrounding the colonies indicates positive phosphorus (P)-solubilization ability (Passari et al., 2015). Positive control (*Klebsiella aerogenes*) was used as a comparison.

## Indole-3-acetic Acid (IAA) Evaluation.

The ability of LAB to manufacture IAA was calculated according to Passari et al. (2015) and Ehmann (1977). The isolates were grown in MRS broth (Merck, Germany) at 30 °C for three days. After incubation, 1 ml

of each culture was pipetted into Eppendorf tubes (Eppendorf, United Kingdom). The cultures were then centrifuged at a maximum speed of 4,293 x g for 5 min. A volume of 1 ml of the resulting supernatant was transferred into test tubes containing 2 ml of Salkowski's reagent before being incubated for another 25 min at 30 °C in dark conditions. The positive result of IAA production is indicated by pink color development. Positive control (Escherichia coli) was used as a comparison. The optical densities (OD) of isolates were measured at 530 nm wavelength using a spectrophotometer (SPECTRO 23, USA). The result was correlated with the standard curve of IAA to obtain the amount of IAA produced.

Ammonia Production. The LAB isolates were tested for ammonia production according to Cappuccino and Sherman (1996). A volume of 20 µl of LAB culture was inoculated into 10 ml of peptone water (Himedia, India). The culture was then incubated at 30 °C in an incubator shaker (Yihder Technology Co. Ltd., Taiwan) at 150 rpm for 24 h. Post-incubation, 0.5 ml of Nessler's reagent (Sigma, Germany) was pipetted into the culture. Ammonia production was observed through color changes from brown to yellow. Then, OD was taken at wavelength 570 nm, and the ammonia concentration was measured by constructing the standard curve of ammonium sulfate of known concentrations. Klebsiella pneumonia was used as the positive control.

Production of Siderophore. LAB siderophore development was tested using Chrome Azurol S (CAS) agar (Schwyn & Neilands, 1987). Wells of 10 mm were made on the CAS agar using a sterile cork borer. The LAB antagonists were cultured in MRS broth at 30 °C overnight. Afterward, 0.5 µl of an overnight culture of LAB isolates was pipetted into the CAS agar well. The diameter of the orange-halo zone and the size of the agar well were measured using a vernier caliper (Mitutoyo, Japan). The strength of siderophore production was determined according to the following: + represents < 5 mm wide halo zone (weak), ++ represents 5 to 10 mm wide halo zone (moderate), +++ represents > 10 mm wide halo zone (strong) (Gull & Hafeez, 2012). Escherichia coli was used as the positive control.

#### Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN) Production.

The formation of HCN was assessed according to a protocol from Lorck (1948). Bennett agar was amended with 4.4 g l<sup>-1</sup> glycine and inoculated with LAB isolates. A Whatman filter paper was dipped into 0.5% picric acid in 2% sodium carbonate for 1 min, and the filter paper was placed underneath the Petri dish lids. After overnight incubation at 30 °C, color changes to red on the filter paper indicate positive HCN production. *Klebsiella aerogenes* was used as the positive control for comparison.

**Pectinase Assay.** Screening for pectinaseproducing LAB was performed using a pectin agar medium (Nawawi et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2015). Basal agar medium was used to screen pectinolytic-producing bacteria supplemented with 1% pectin from the citrus peel to prepare the pectin agar. Sodium carbonate was used to modify the pH of the media, and the media were sterilized by autoclaving. The wells on the pectin agar were made using a sterile cork borer. Then, 100 µl of LAB suspension grown in MRS broth were loaded into the wells of pectin agar medium. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Plates were overflowed with Gram's iodine (Kasana et al., 2008) to observe the halo zone, indicating positive pectinase production. Positive control (Bacillus subtilis) was used as a comparison in determining the clear zone (Ho, 2015; Singh et al., 2015).

## **Antifungal Activity**

Dual Culture Assay. Dual culture assay was carried out following the methods by Tiru et al. (2013) with some modifications. Plate culture of fungal pathogens, F. oxysporum TKA, F. proliferatum B68C, F. verticillioides B106C, and F. verticillioides J44C were obtained from the Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia. The pure culture of C. lunata was collected from the Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. An agar disk of 5 mm diameter was cut out from a young culture of fungal pathogen and placed in the middle of potato dextrose agar (PDA) (BD Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) agar plates. A loopful of fresh LAB isolate from the plate culture was streaked 2 cm away from the fungal disc on both sides. The paired culture was incubated

at 30 °C for 5–10 days. All pairings were carried out in triplicates. The monoculture of each fungal pathogen served as control. The diameter of fungal growth in the treatment plate was measured immediately when the mycelia of the pathogen in the control plate reached the edge of the plate. The percentage inhibition of radial growth (PIRG) was calculated by using the formula:

PIRG (%) = 
$$\frac{(Dc - Ds)}{Dc} \times 100\%$$
 [1]

where Dc represents the diameter (measured in cm) of fungal growth on the control plate and Ds is the diameter (measured in cm) of fungal growth on the plate containing bacteria.

**Poisoned Agar Test.** A poisoned agar test was carried out following the method by Rahman et al. (2009) with some modifications. This method involved several fundamental steps: preparation of culture filtrates, preparation of poisoned agar plates, and poisoned agar test.

**Preparation of Culture Filtrates.** For each LAB isolate, 300 ml of potato dextrose broth (PDB) (BD Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) was prepared in conical flasks and autoclaved for 15 min at 121 °C/1.05 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> pressure. A loopful of fresh LAB isolate from the plate culture was inoculated into the broth. The flasks were incubated in an incubator shaker (Yihder Technology Co. Ltd., Taiwan) for 16 to 24 h at 150 rpm at 30 °C. After the incubation period, the overnight cultures of the LAB isolates were filtered using a 0.22 µm membrane filter.

**Preparation of Poisoned Agar Plates.** Initially, 90%, 80%, 70%, 60%, 50%, 40%, and 20% of PDA (BD Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) were prepared in conical flasks added with the culture filtrates. The agar was autoclaved for 15 min at 121 °C. Seven concentrations, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, and 80% (v/v) of the sterilized culture filtrates of LAB isolates were prepared and added into 90%, 80%, 70%, 60%, 50%, 40%, and 20% of prepared PDA (BD Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) respectively. The molten PDA (BD Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) containing different culture filtrates concentrations was poured into Petri plates and allowed to solidify.

Poisoned Agar Test. The plates were labelled as 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, and 80% concentration of LAB culture filtrates. An agar disc of 5 mm diameter was cut out from the young culture of fungal pathogen and placed at the middle of the prepared poisoned agar plates. Inhibitory activity test for each concentration of the LAB culture filtrate against each fungal pathogen was conducted in triplicates. The Petri dishes were incubated for 5-10 days at 30 °C. The monoculture of each fungal pathogen served as control. The diameter of fungal growth in the treatment plate was measured immediately when the mycelia of the pathogen in the control plate reached the edge of the plate. The PIRG was calculated by using the formula:

PIRG (%) = 
$$\frac{(Dc - Ds)}{Dc} \times 100\%$$
 [2]

where Dc represents the diameter of fungal growth on the control plate, and Ds

represents the diameter of fungal growth on the plate containing bacterial metabolites.

# Plant Growth-Promoting Assay In planta

Weissella cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 were grown in MRS broth, shaking at 150 rpm at 30 °C for 16-24 h. The cells were centrifuged at 4,629 x g for 15 min. The cell pellets were adjusted to  $OD_{600}$ :1.0 with distilled water before final concentration adjustment to  $10^2$ ,  $10^4$ ,  $10^6$ , and  $10^8$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. Both LAB suspensions were then mixed at a 1:1 (v/v) ratio. The diluted cultures were maintained at 4 °C. Finally, the LAB mixture was applied to the papaya plantlets under greenhouse conditions.

The in planta experiment was performed in a plant nursery located at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) Serdang, Selangor (GPS coordinate: 3.0083354, 101.7047198). The average daily temperature was 28 °C with a relative humidity of 80% and 12 h of days, and 12 h of night every day (Time and Date, n.d.). All plantlets with height ranging from 30-70 cm were planted in small polyethylene bags filled with peat moss soil. All plants were labeled appropriately and organized in a randomized complete block design. Six treatments included TK: Treatment with chitosan; TC: Un-inoculated as control; T2: Treatment with 1:1 mixture strain at 1x10<sup>2</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> final concentration of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39; T4: Treatment of 1:1 mixture strain at 1x10<sup>4</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> final concentration of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L.

lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39; T6: Treatment of 1:1 mixture strain at 1x10<sup>6</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> final concentration of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39; T8: Treatment of 1:1 mixture strain at 1x108 cfu ml-1 final concentration of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39. The inoculation treatment was performed using the soil drench method of Abdel-Kader et al. (2012) and foliar spraying by Jaber and Enkerli (2017). Then, 5 ml bacterial mixture was applied using the soil drench method and another 5 ml using foliar spraying. Each treatment was carried out in 14 replicates. Plant height was recorded at 0 d, 30 d, and 45 d, while the fresh and dry weight of both shoot and root were recorded at the end of the experiment, which was at 45 d.

## **Quantitative Biofilm Production**

This method was performed according to Christensen et al. (1995). Weissella cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 isolates were grown in 10 ml of trypticase soy broth (TSB) (Merck, Germany) supplemented with 1% glucose (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 30 °C overnight. The overnight culture was diluted at a ratio of 1:100 (v/v), and 200  $\mu$ l of the culture was dispensed into the wells of 96-well plate. The cultures were grown at 30 °C for 24 h. Post-incubation period, the culture of all the isolates in the 96-well were discarded. Then, the wells were washed up with 0.2 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.2) four times. Then, 2% sodium acetate (Merck, Germany) was added to fix the biofilm formed adhered to the wells

and stained by 0.1% crystal violet (Merck, Germany). Excess stain was discarded, and the wells were washed using deionized water. The 96-well plates were dried at room temperature, and the optical density of the stained bacteria biofilm was measured using a microplate auto reader (Tecan infinite F-50, Switzerland) at 570 nm. The experiment was repeated three times.

## **Statistical Analysis**

All univariate statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS v. 22.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., USA). The normality of the data was analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. In addition, data from the antifungal activity, plant growth-promoting assay *in planta*, and biofilm formation were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), and pairwise comparisons between the means of the treatments were analyzed by Tukey's test (p < 0.05).

## RESULTS

## Plant Growth Promoting Assay In vitro

**Phosphate Solubilization and IAA Production.** Table 1 shows the plant growth-promoting capabilities of the 23 isolated antagonists LAB. Among the 23 isolates, all LAB colonies displayed clear halo zones on PKV media, indicating their capabilities to solubilize phosphate. The diameter of the clear zones ranges from  $2.44 \pm 0.16$  cm to  $3.17 \pm 0.08$  cm. The lowest index was shown by *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST 11 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST4S and the highest was exhibited by *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD38. All the isolates were also positive for IAA production, ranging between  $2.029 \pm 0.352$  µg ml<sup>-1</sup> to  $15.223 \pm 0.329$  µg ml<sup>-1</sup>. Weissella cibaria PPKSD9 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPKST3 produced the highest IAA production with 15.223 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> and 15.086 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

All lactic acid bacteria isolates produced ammonia at levels ranging from  $4.09 \pm 0.27$ mg ml<sup>-1</sup> to  $14.31 \pm 0.72$  mg ml<sup>-1</sup>. *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST37 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST2 produced the highest ammonia value, while *W. confusa* PPKSD39 had the lowest value. All isolates were tested negative for HCN production as there were no color changes on filter papers.

Siderophore Production. All bacterial isolates also produced siderophore as indicated by clear orange halo zone formation around the colonies on the CAS agar media. The siderophore production index ranged from  $0.296 \pm 0.084$  to  $0.704 \pm 0.084$ . The weakest producer was *W. cibaria* PPKSD29 (11.7 mm in diameter) and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSST25, while the strongest producer was *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST11 (15.3 mm in diameter).

**Pectinase Enzyme Production Activity.** Of the 23 isolates, 21 showed negative results for pectinase enzyme activity, whereas only two showed a halo zone after iodine application. The two isolates were *W. confusa* PPKSD39 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKSD8 with clear zone size  $0.20 \pm$ 0.02 cm and  $0.15 \pm 0.01$  cm, respectively.

Table 1								
Lactic acid bac	teria isolates and thei.	r plant growth-p	promoting traits					
Isolates	IAA production (μg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Evaluation for IAA*	Siderophore production <sup>£</sup>	Phosphate solubilization <sup>e</sup>	HCN production <sup>1</sup>	Ammonia production (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Ammonia production <sup>*</sup>	Pectinase assay <sup>©</sup>
Negative	$0.385\pm0.132^{a}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	0ª	NA	NA
Positive	$8.594\pm0.255^{\rm b}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	+	$13.45\pm0.42^{\rm b}$	+	+
PPKSD19 <sup>8</sup>	$14.88\pm0.387^{\rm b}$	++++++	++++++	+	ı	$9.94\pm0.20^{\rm b}$	+	I
PPKSD29 <sup>8</sup>	$8.986\pm0.573^{\rm b}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	ı	$8.23\pm0.13^{\rm b}$	+	I
PPKSD34 $^{\vartheta}$	$6.812\pm0.641^{\mathrm{b}}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+	ı	$7.97\pm0.34^{\rm b}$	+	I
PPKSD37 <sup>8</sup>	$11.667\pm0.908^{\mathrm{b}}$	+++++	+++++	+	ı	$7.63\pm0.08^{\rm b}$	+	I
PPKSD390	$14.742\pm0.239^{\mathrm{b}}$	+++++	+++++	+	ı	$4.09\pm0.27^{\rm b}$	+	+
PPKSD8∆	$14.261\pm0.242^{\mathrm{b}}$	+++++	+++++	+	ı	$9.06\pm0.22^{\rm b}$	+	+
PPKSD9 <sup>0</sup>	$15.223\pm0.329^{b}$	+++++	+++++	+	ı	$10.00\pm0.44^{\mathrm{b}}$	+	ı
$PPKST1^{\Delta}$	$7.595\pm0.391^{\rm b}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	ı	$10.97\pm1.15^{\rm b}$	+	I
$PPKST11^{\Delta}$	$2.174\pm0.253^{\mathrm{a}}$	+	+++++	+	ı	$12.33\pm0.14^{\rm b}$	+	ı
$PPKST14^{\Delta}$	$8.406\pm0.919^{\rm b}$	+++++	++++	+	ı	$12.85\pm0.25^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPKST2 <sup>A</sup>	$10.378 \pm 0.343^{\rm b}$	++++	++++++	+	I	$14.05\pm0.09^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPKST3 <sup>∆</sup>	$15.086 \pm 0.801^{\rm b}$	++++	+++++	+	I	$9.29\pm0.32^{\rm b}$	+	I
PPKST37 <sup>A</sup>	$13.574\pm0.132^{\mathrm{b}}$	++++	++++	+	ı	$14.31\pm0.72^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPKST4 <sup>△</sup>	$11.856 \pm 0.151^{\rm b}$	++++	++++++	+	ı	$12.58\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPKST4B <sup>Δ</sup>	$13.952 \pm 0.132^{\rm b}$	+++++	++++	+	I	$8.61\pm0.28^{\rm b}$	+	I

Endophytic LABs as Plant Growth Promoter and Antifungal Agent

215

Isolates	IAA production (μg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Evaluation for IAA*	Siderophore production <sup>£</sup>	Phosphate solubilization <sup>e</sup>	HCN production <sup>1</sup>	Ammonia production (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Ammonia production <sup>*</sup>	Pectinase assay <sup>©</sup>
PPKST4S <sup>∆</sup>	$13.093 \pm 0.203^{b}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	I	$13.20\pm0.22^{\mathrm{b}}$	+	ı
$PPKST5^{\Delta}$	$14.124\pm0.373^{\rm b}$	++++	+++++	+	ı	$8.84\pm0.28^{\rm b}$	+	ı
$PPSSD1^{\partial}$	$4.130\pm0.488^{\mathrm{a}}$	+	+++++	+	ı	$7.70\pm\!0.16^b$	+	ı
PPSSD38 <sup>∆</sup>	$6.377\pm0.236^{b}$	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	ı	$8.68\pm0.47^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPSSD39 <sup>∆</sup>	$10.000\pm0.388^{\mathrm{b}}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	ı	$7.54\pm0.26^{b}$	+	ı
$PPSSD7^{\Delta}$	$1.522\pm0.434^{\mathrm{a}}$	+	++++++	+	I	$11.12\pm0.53^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPSST25 <sup><math>\Delta</math></sup>	$13.471\pm0.376^{\mathrm{b}}$	++++	+++++	+	ı	$6.90\pm0.41^{\rm b}$	+	ı
PPSST38∆	$2.029\pm0.352^{\mathrm{a}}$	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	ı	$11.74\pm0.99^{\mathrm{b}}$	+	ı
<i>Note</i> . *For IAA produc *For IAA produc (10.01 µg ml <sup>-1</sup> ar For siderophore *For Phosphate s *For Phosphate s *Ammonia prod *For siderophore NA, no activity <i>ALactococcus la</i> , For the IAA pro For siderophore For siderophore For siderophore	<pre>tion: (-): No activity (t ad above) &gt; production: (-): No cl solubilizing index: (-): uction: (-) No color cha uction: (-) No color cha uction: (-) No color cha &gt; 10 mm halo zone (str etts subsp. lactis, <sup>0</sup>Weis duction assay, Staphylc production assay, Kle production assay, Kle srent letters are signific</pre>	ielow 1 μg ml <sup>-1</sup> ) ear zone; (+): C No clear zone; (+) E nges; (+) Brow anges; (+) Brow anges; (+) Cloo anges; (+): Cl ong). All isolate ong). All isolate sella cibaria, <sup>α</sup> 1 scoccus aureus ided Bacillus su absiella aerogen cantly different i	<ul> <li>(+): Low activity, lear zone observe</li> <li>(+) Clear zone observe</li> <li>(+) Clear zone observe</li> <li>w color changes to w color changes to a color changes to we solve changes to a color changes to a color changes to a color change to a color chan</li></ul>	<ul> <li>γ(1.00 - 5.00 µg ml<sup>-1</sup></li> <li>ed served</li> <li>o orange</li> <li>o yellow</li> <li>L + represents &lt; 5 mu</li> <li>control showed a d</li> <li>as determined by A</li> <li>as determined by A</li> <li>as determined by A</li> <li>as determined an eved as neg</li> <li>ichia coli were used as neg</li> <li>ichia coli were used as h</li> </ul>	); (++): Moderate n halo zone (weah iameter of orange PI® 50 CH or 16S gative and positivv as negative contri- positive and nega o < 0.05) using Al	activity (5.01-10.0 c); ++ represents 5 t -halo zone ranging rRNA gene sequer e controls, respectiv ol and positive con tive controls, respe	0 μg ml <sup>-1</sup> ); (+++); o 10 mm halo zor from 11.7 to 15.5 roing (Taha et al., <i>i</i> ely trol, respectively ctively key's Test	: High activity ne (moderate); 3 mm . 2019)

Table 1 (Continue)

### **Antifungal Activity**

Five plant fungal pathogens isolated from different plant hosts, viz. *C. lunata* (rice), *F. oxysporum* TKA (banana), *F. proliferatum* B68C (maize), *F. verticillioides* B106C (maize), and *F. verticillioides* J44C (maize) (Zainudin et al., 2017) were used to challenge the LAB isolates. All these fungal pathogens were the causal agents of many plant diseases worldwide. The mean radial growth of pathogens formed in the dual culture assay showed weak to moderate inhibition ability against fungal pathogens, ranging from 3.03% to 42.16% (Figure 1). The PIRG values of *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39, relative to control, were recorded at 30.34% and 31.20%, against *C. lunata*, 42.16% and 38.73% against *F. oxysporum* TKA and 32.00% and 33.33% respectively against *F. vertcillioides* B106C, 3.03%, and 4.55% against *F. proliferatum* B68C, and 15.28% and 23.61% against *F. verticillioides* J44C (Figure 1). *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 exhibited the highest antagonistic activity against *F. oxysporum* TKA at 42.16% and 38.73%. Both isolates, however, showed the least inhibitory activity against *F. proliferatum* B68C.



*Figure 1*. Percentage of inhibition of radial growth (PIRG, %) of isolates *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 in dual culture assay. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of three replications. Means with different capital and small letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) using ANOVA based on Tukey's Test for *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39, respectively against five fungal pathogens

The antifungal activity of the LAB isolates against the representative pathogens was further confirmed with the poisoned agar test. The poisoned agar test was used to evaluate the action of secondary metabolites to control pathogen growth. The inhibition spectrum was in a dose-dependent manner as the percentage of culture filtrate containing secondary metabolites increased. The results showed weak to high inhibition against the fungal pathogens at different culture filtrate concentrations ranging from 16.67% to 84.36% (Figure 2a and Table S2). The PIRG value of W. cibaria PPKSD19 against C. lunata was comparatively higher than the other pathogens, with the lowest PIRG achieved was 53.50% at 10% of culture filtrate, and the highest PIRG was 84.36% at 80% culture filtrate (Table S2 in supplementary data). From Figure 2a, W. cibaria PPKSD19 had strong inhibition against C. lunata despite a very low

percentage of culture filtrate as compared to other pathogens, which only inhibited 16.67% to 41.67% of mycelial growth at 10% of culture filtrate and 35.38% to 59.58% at 80% culture filtrate. Meanwhile, the W. cibaria PPKSD19 reduced the growth of C. lunata up to 84.36% at 80% of culture filtrate. L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 showed the highest inhibition against F. oxysporum TKA at 10% to 80% of culture filtrate (Figure 2b). The range of inhibition L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 against F. oxysporum TKA was from 43.81% to 59.05% (Table S2b and Figure S1 in supplementary data). The other pathogens, however, showed only at 22.56% to 47.33% inhibition at 10% of culture filtrate and 40.00% to 55.56% inhibition at 80% of L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 culture filtrate. It showed that L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 has high inhibitory activity against F. oxysporum TKA.





*Figure 2*. Percentage of inhibition of radial growth (PIRG, %) of isolates (a) *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and (b) *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 in poisoned agar test. The error bars indicate standard deviations. Means with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) using ANOVA based on Tukey's test

Note. The 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 80 indicate the percentage (%) of culture filtrate concentration (v/v)

## Effect of Different Concentrations of *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD19 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 Mixture on the Growth Parameters of Papaya Plants

The use of chitosan as a control treatment in this study is widely used as a biofertilizer, where it could induce plant immune response towards the pathogen. Furthermore, chitosan supplementation may increase the availability of phosphate in the soil (Berger et al., 2013).

Assessment of different concentrations of the mixture of *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 to promote the growth of papaya plant revealed that the growth of plantlets treated with the highest concentration of the mixture,  $10^8$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>, showed a significant increment in fresh and dry weight of shoot (p < 0.05) as compared to the negative control (Figure 3a and Figure 3b). The dry weight of the root also increased significantly compared to negative control following treatment with  $10^4$ ,  $10^6$ , and  $10^8$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> of the LAB inoculant (Figure 3d). The fresh root also somewhat increased; however, the increment was not statistically significant (p > 0.05) (Figure 3c).



Mohammad Fahrulazri Mohd Jaini, Faten Farhanah Roslan, Mohd Termizi Yusof, Noor Baity Saidi, Norhayati Ramli, Nur Ain Izzati Mohd Zainudin and Amalia Mohd Hashim

*Figure 3*. The effect of different concentrations of a mixture of *Weissella cibaria* PPKSD 19 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD 39 as main factors on the growth parameters of papaya plants at Day 45). (a) Fresh weight of shoot, (b) Dry weight of shoot, (c) Fresh weight of root, and (d) Dry weight of root against the different concentrations of a mixture of *W. cibaria* PPKSD 19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD 39 treatment

*Note.* Each bar represents the means  $\pm$  SD of 14 plant replicates. Means with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) using Kruskal Wallis (a, b, d) and ANOVA (c) based on Tukey's Test.

#### **Biofilm Production**

Quantitative biofilm production of *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 isolates were determined using the microplate auto reader. There were light blue color changes in the microplate for

both isolates. The low average  $OD_{570}$  nm measurement of both *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 compared to the positive control, indicating weak biofilm producers (Table 2).

#### Table 2

Evaluation of biofilm production by the LAB isolates

Isolates	Biofilm production (OD)*	Evaluation
Weissella cibaria PPKSD19	$0.0783\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	Weak
Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39	$0.0687\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	Weak
<sup>¶</sup> Listeria monocytogene	$0.2858\pm0.05^{\text{b}}$	Strong
*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	$0.0602\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	Weak

*Note.* Interpretation of biofilm production. Measurement was taken at  $OD_{570}$  nm for biofilm production Optical density cut-off value (OD c) = Average OD of negative control + 3× standard deviation (SD) of negative control

 $\leq$  OD c / OD c  $< \sim \leq 2x$  OD c = None/weak

 $2x \text{ OD } c \le 4x \text{ OD } c = Moderate$ 

> 4x OD c = Strong

\*Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SD of three replications. Means with different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) using ANOVA based on Tukey's Test

Positive control strain (Strong)

<sup>¥</sup>Negative control (None/Weak)

## DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the plant growth-promoting ability *in vitro* and *in planta* of the 23 LAB isolates previously isolated from papaya seeds. In addition, IAA, HCN, siderophore and ammonia production, phosphate solubilization, and pectinase assay were carried out for the 23 bacterial isolates.

All of the endophytic LAB isolates could produce IAA, in which *W. cibaria* PPKSD9 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKSD3 showed the highest IAA production. Strafella et al. (2021) reported several *L. lactis* strains could produce a higher amount of IAA compared to the other LAB tested. Nimnoi and Pongslip (2009) reported that the IAA hormone affected plant cell elongation, and IAA-producing bacteria improved the root and shoot growth of *Raphanus sativus* and *Brassica oleracea*. Similarly, the IAA- producing *W. cibaria* and *L. lactis* used in this study have also enhanced the growth of papaya seedlings.

The highest phosphate solubilizing index was produced by L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD38. In a similar experiment conducted by Strafella et al. (2021), all tested L. lactis isolates could solubilize phosphate. Manufacturing of low molecular weight organic (Collavino et al., 2010), phytase, and alkaline phosphatase (de Lacerda et al., 2016) were proposed to mediate this property. Viruel et al. (2014) reported positive enhancement of maize plants after being treated with phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Phosphorous is one of the nutrients needed for plant growth (Khan et al., 2014). The availability of phosphorous, however, can be the limiting factor in a certain condition, thus affecting the growth of the plant significantly (Wang et al., 2010).

Hence, the phosphate-solubilizing LAB may help provide the plant with nutrients needed and increase growth.

All the tested LAB isolates were positive for ammonia production, with the highest production being Lactococcus sp. PPKST37 and Lactococcus sp. PPKST2. Ammonia production is valued as root and shoot elongation assistance, resulting in higher plant biomass. According to Marques et al. (2010), opportunistic phytopathogen can also be inhibited by the overproduction of ammonia. Earlier studies mentioned that HCN is commonly involved in disease suppression in plants (Wei et al., 1991). However, a study revealed that HCN was not involved in biocontrol against pathogens but rather regulated phosphate availability (Rijavec & Lapanje, 2016). According to Rijavec and Lapanje (2016), cyanide in the HCN can improve the mineral transportability and discharge of phosphate, resulting in phosphate availability. The LAB isolates used in this study, however, did not produce HCN.

Another plant growth-promoting feature tested was siderophore production. Through the iron-binding capabilities, bacteria that produce siderophores will have the upper hand in competing with the phytopathogens, making iron unavailable for them (Bashan et al., 1980; Siddiqui, 2005). All the endophytic LAB isolates were positive for siderophore production, with the largest halo zone created by *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPKST11. Marag and Suman (2018) also found that one of their endophytic *Zea mays* L. isolates could produce siderophore

and later identified as *L. lactis*. In the study of unique genomic cluster matching of two *L. lactis* strain, there were clusters of unique genes of *L. lactis*, similar to the siderophore production pathway of *B. subtilis* (Siezen et al., 2008), supporting the capabilities of the *L. lactis* to produce siderophore.

Pectinase enzyme production assay recorded only two positive results, W. confusa PPKSD39 and L. lactis subsp. lactis 1 PPKSD8. Assamoi et al. (2016) reported that Weissella isolates of Wc 115 displayed pectinase activity needed for cassava dough softening. The presence of pectinase helps the bacteria to break into the plant endosphere. As hydrolase, pectinase will break down the pectin of the plant structure to help the endophytic bacteria to get inside of the plant ecosystem (Hayat et al., 2010). Since W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 lack of pectinase production, slight wound, or pre-emptive seed colonization was made to allow the W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 to infiltrate into the plant endosphere. However, this does not rule out the possibility that W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 may utilize other ways to assist them in entering the plant endosphere.

All pathogens used in the antifungal assay were the severe causal agents of plant diseases of many important plantations worldwide. *Curvularia* sp. and *Fusarium* sp. previously showed capabilities in infecting papaya plants, causing various diseases. *Fusarium semifectum* and *F. oxysporum* in the papaya plant caused fruit rot (Zakaria et al., 2012). The wilt-inducing strains of F. oxysporum were responsible for severe damage on many economically important plant species (Agbaglo et al., 2020; Fravel & Larkin, 2002), commonly banana plants. Meanwhile, F. proliferatum is always associated with F. verticillioides as they cause similar plant diseases. Bullerman and Tsai (1994) reported that soil-borne F. proliferatum, a closely related fungus to Fusarium moniliforme (syn. F. verticillioides), is found in all corn-growing regions. On the other hand, C. lunata was firstly discovered from an infected papaya plant causing post-harvest disease in the fruit (Helal et al., 2018). The pathogens can also infect many other plant species, such as rice, maize, and banana (Liu et al., 2011; Tann & Soytong, 2017). This fungus secreted mycotoxin, which is responsible for plant disease. The ability of our isolates to inhibit these globally recognized pathogens highlights their potential as universal biocontrol agents for various plant diseases.

By supporting our findings, *W. cibaria* and *L. lactis* from various sources were also shown to have inhibitory activity against various fungal pathogens in food, plants, and human. *Weissella cibaria* isolated from a semolina ecosystem completely inhibited all the tested fungal species that generally contaminated the bakery product, viz. *Aspergillus niger*, *Penicillium roqueforti*, and *Endomyces fibuliger* (Valerio et al., 2009) also agree with Ndagano et al. (2011), who found that *W. cibaria* FMF4B16 isolated from mill flour and fermented cassava showed antifungal activity against food molds. A study by Trias et al. (2008) reported that W. cibaria strain TM128 reduced the fungal rot diameter of the Golden Delicious apple by 50%. Kim (2005) reported that L. lactis subsp. lactis isolated from Kimchi had strong antagonistic activity against Aspergillus fumigatus, an opportunistic pathogen causing several human diseases. It has also been reported that W. cibaria can inhibit F. oxysporum (Valencia-Hernández et al., 2016), responsible for many plant diseases. Mauch et al. (2010) also reported that W. cibaria has fungistatic activity against Fusarium species. Interestingly, there were no past reports regarding antifungal activity of W. cibaria and L. lactis against C. lunata, F. verticillioides, and F. proliferatum. Overall, the antagonistic activities of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 against these pathogens suggests their biocontrol potential in suppressing a wider range of plant diseases. Further experiments need to be conducted to confirm this.

The potential of the *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 consortium that previously showed outstanding ability in suppressing papaya dieback disease *in planta* (Taha et al., 2019), while displaying positive *in vitro* PGP traits in this study were further tested for their ability in promoting papaya plant growth in the absence of the pathogen. Different doses of the consortium were applied to see at which concentration it can sufficiently enhance the papaya plant growth. Interestingly, the effects of the

LAB isolates on all growth parameters were also concentration-dependent (Figure 3), suggesting a positive growth enhancement effect on the papaya plantlets. It means that the higher the concentration of W. cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39, the higher the increment of the papaya plant shoot growth, by which a significant improvement was seen between untreated and 108 cfu ml-1 of the consortium (p < 0.05). The effect was comparable to that of the positive control, chitosan, suggesting they are equally competent to promote plant growth. Evaluation for the plant height assessment revealed no significant difference between the treatments.

Meanwhile, the significantly higher dry weight for aerial and underground parts of papaya plants showed the effectiveness of the bacterial mixture treatment compared to the untreated plants (Figure 3b and Figure 3d). Many researchers recorded a similar increasing pattern in plant weight inoculated with PGP bacteria (Gholami et al., 2009; Passari et al., 2015; Shrestha et al., 2014). The positive effects of *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 consortium to the papaya plantlets may be explained by their ability to produce IAA, ammonia, siderophore, and also solubilize phosphate.

Biofilm production assay showed no biofilm produced by *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39. The inability of our *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 to form biofilm was contradictory with a previous report, which stated that *Lactococcus* could produce biofilm (Zaidi et al., 2011). In a different study related to *W. cibaria*, the bacterium was discovered to inhibit the biofilm of *Streptococcus mutans* due to the production of water-soluble polymers from sucrose (M. S. Kang et al., 2006). Since *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 and *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 were mixed, *W. cibaria* PPKSD19 was likely to inhibit the biofilm production of *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39, resulting in lower cell distribution. It remains to be elucidated in future work.

This study showed that all isolated LAB has great potential as a plant growth promoter, as all the isolates exhibited positive results in the IAA production, inorganic phosphorous solubilization, siderophores, and ammonia production tests, and two of them in the pectinase assay. Weissella cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 could inhibit fungal pathogens, viz. C. lunata, F. oxysporum TKA, F. proliferatum B68C, F. verticillioides B106C, and F. verticillioides J44C isolated from various plant hosts, suggesting their potential in controlling other plant diseases. Weissella cibaria PPKSD19 and L. lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 inhibited F. oxysporum TKA and C. lunata the most. The greenhouse experiment showed that 1x10<sup>8</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> was the optimum concentration to enhance papaya plant growth significantly. These results highlighted the potential of both isolates as plant probiotics. However, further investigations must be performed to explore their potential to control various plant diseases while simultaneously increasing plant growth.

## CONCLUSION

Weissella cibaria PPKSD19 and Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39 consortium displayed promising plant probiotic potential by showing positive inhibition against multiple plant pathogens in vitro, as well as increasing fresh weight of shoot and dry weight of shoot and root of papaya plantlets. These results highlighted the possibility of the bacterial consortium being exploited as a versatile bioinoculant to promote plant growth and simultaneously suppress phytopathogens causing plant diseases. For future work, the antifungal activity of the consortium should be verified in planta. Furthermore, the storage stability of the consortium as bioinoculant should be optimized for longer shelf-life before commercialization and large-scale field application could be realized towards sustainable agriculture.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by IPM (9433200) Putra Grant Scheme by Universiti Putra Malaysia and Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (5540356), Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia.

#### REFERENCES

Abdel-Kader, M. M., El-Mougy, N. S., Aly, M. D. E., Lashin, S. M., & El-Mohamady, R. S. (2012). Soil drench with fungicides alternatives against root rot incidence of some vegetables under greenhouse conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 2(2), 61–69. https:// doi.org/10.5923/j.ijaf.20120202.10

- Agbaglo, S. Y., Nyaku, S. T., Vigbedor, H. D., & Cornelius, E. W. (2020). Pathogenicity of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum on growth and yield of two okra varieties cultivated in Ghana. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2020, 8824165. https://doi. org/10.1155/2020/8824165
- Assamoi, A. A., Krabi, E. R., Ehon, A. F., N'guessan, G. A., Niamké, L. S., & Thonart, P. (2016). Isolation and screening of *Weissella* strains for their potential use as starter during attiéké production. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society* and Environment, 20(3), 355–362. https://doi. org/10.25518/1780-4507.13117
- Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L. E., Prabhu, S. R., & Hernandez, J. P. (2014). Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: Formulations and practical perspectives (1998-2013). *Plant Soil*, 378(1–2), 1–33. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
- Bashan, Y., Okon, Y., & Henis, Y. (1980). Ammonia causes necrosis in tomato leaves infected with *Pseudomonas* tomato (Okabe) Alstatt. *Physiological Plant Pathology*, 17(1), 111–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-4059(80)90012-0
- Beneduzi, A., Moreira, F., Costa, P. B., Vargas, L. K., Lisboa, B. B., Favreto, R., Baldani, J. I. & Passaglia, L. M. P. (2013). Diversity and plant growth promoting evaluation abilities of bacteria isolated from sugarcane cultivated in the South of Brazil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 63, 94–104. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.08.010
- Berger, L. R., Stamford, N. P., Santos, C. E. R. S., Freitas, A. D. S., Franco, L. O., & Stamford, T. C. M. (2013). Plant and soil characteristics affected by biofertilizers from rocks and organic matter inoculated with diazotrophic bacteria and fungi that produce chitosan. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, *13*(3), 592–603. https://doi. org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000047

- Bullerman, L. B., & Tsai, W. J. (1994). Incidence and levels of *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Fusarium proliferatum* and fumonisins in corn and corn-based foods and feeds. *Journal of Food Protection*, 57(6), 541–546. https://doi. org/10.4315/0362-028X-57.6.541
- Caplice, E., & Fitzgerald, G. F. (1999). Food fermentations: Role of microorganisms in food production and preservation. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 50(1-2), 131–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(99)00082-3
- Cappuccino, J. G., & Sherman, N. (1996). *Instructor's* guide for microbiology: A laboratory manual. Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company.
- Christensen, G. D., Baldassarri, L., & Simpson, W. A. (1995). [38] Methods for studying microbial colonization of plastics. *Methods in Enzymology*, 253, 477–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(95)53040-1
- Collavino, M. M., Sansberro, P. A., Mroginski, L. A., & Aguilar, O. M. (2010). Comparison of *in vitro* solubilization activity of diverse phosphatesolubilizing bacteria native to acid soil and their ability to promote *Phaseolus vulgaris* growth. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 46, 727–738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-010-0480-x
- da Silva, T. F., Vollú, R. E., Jurelevicius, D., Alviano, D. S., Alviano, C. S., Blank, A. F., & Seldin, L. (2013). Does the essential oil of *Lippia sidoides* Cham. (pepper-rosmarin) affect its endophytic microbial community?. *BMC Microbiology*, *13*, 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-13-29
- de Lacerda, J. R. M., da Silva, T. F., Vollú, R. E., Marques, J. M., & Seldin, L. (2016). Generally recognized as safe (GRAS) *Lactococcus lactis* strains associated with *Lippia sidoides* Cham. are able to solubilize/mineralize phosphate. *SpringerPlus*, *5*, 828. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40064-016-2596-4
- Doumbou, C. L., Salove, M. K. H., Crawford, D. L., & Beaulieu, C. (2001). Actinomycetes, promising

tools to control plant diseases and to promote plant growth. *Phytoprotection*, 82(3), 85–102. https://doi.org/10.7202/706219ar

- Ehmann, A. (1977). The van Urk-Salkowski reagent-a sensitive and specific chromogenic reagent for silica gel thin-layer chromatographic detection and identification of indole derivatives. *Journal* of Chromatography, 132(2), 267–276. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(00)89300-0
- Enan, G., Abdel-shafi, S., Ouda, S., & Negm, S. (2013). Novel antibacterial activity of *Lactococcus lactis* subspecies *lactis* Z11 isolated from Zabady. *International Journal of Biomedical Science*, 9(3), 174–180.
- Fravel, D. R., & Larkin, R. P. (2002). Reduction of fusarium wilt of hydroponically grown basil by *Fusarium oxysporum* strain CS-20. *Crop Protection*, 21(7), 539–543. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0261-2194(01)00143-0
- Gholami, A., Shahsavani, S., & Nezarat, S. (2009). The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 49, 19–24. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1083385
- Giassi, V., Kiritani, C., & Kupper, K. C. (2016). Bacteria as growth-promoting agents for citrus rootstocks. *Microbiological Research*, 190, 46– 54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.12.006
- Gull, M., & Hafeez, F. Y. (2012). Characterization of siderophore producing bacterial strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Mst 8.2 as plant growth promoting and biocontrol agent in wheat. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6(33), 6308-6318. https://doi.org/10.5897/ AJMR12.1285
- Grönemeyer, J. L., Burbano, C. S., Hurek, T., & Reinhold-Hurek, B. (2012). Isolation and characterization of root-associated bacteria from agricultural crops in the Kavango region of Namibia. *Plant Soil*, 356(1), 67–82. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0798-7

- Hayat, R., Ali, S., Amara, U., Khalid, R., & Ahmed, I. (2010). Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion: A review. *Annals* of Microbiology, 60(4), 579–598. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13213-010-0117-1
- Helal, R. B., Hosen, S., & Shamsi, S. (2018).
  Mycoflora associated with post-harvest disease of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) and their pathogenic potentiality. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 47(3), 389–395. https://doi.org/10.3329/bjb. v47i3.38656
- Ho, H. L. (2015). Xylanase production by *Bacillus* subtilis using carbon source of inexpensive agricultural wastes in two different approaches of submerged fermentation (SmF) and solid state fermentation (SsF). Journal of Food Processing and Technology, 6(4), 1000437. https://doi. org/10.4172/2157-7110.1000437
- Jaber, L. R., & Enkerli, J. (2017). Fungal entomopathogens as endophytes: Can they promote plant growth?. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 27(1), 28–41. https://doi.org/10.10 80/09583157.2016.1243227
- Kamboj, K., Vasquez, A., & Balada-Llasat, J. M. (2015). Identification and significance of Weissella species infections. Frontiers in Microbiology, 6, 1204. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2015.01204
- Kang, M. S., Chung, J., Kim, S. M., Yang, K. H., & Oh, J. S. (2006). Effect of Weissella cibaria isolates on the formation of Streptococcus mutans biofilm. Caries Research, 40(5), 418-425. https://doi.org/10.1159/000094288
- Kang, S. M., Radhakrishnan, R., You, Y. H., Khan, A. L., Park, J. M., Lee, S. M., & Lee, I. J. (2015). Cucumber performance is improved by inoculation with plant growthpromoting microorganisms. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil and Plant Science*, 65(1), 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09064710.2014.960889

- Kasana, R. C., Salwan, R., Dhar, H., Dutt, S., & Gulati, A. (2008). A rapid and easy method for the detection of microbial cellulases on agar plates using Gram's iodine. *Current Microbiology*, 57(5), 503–507. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00284-008-9276-8
- Khan, M. S., Zaidi, A., & Ahmad, E. (2014). Mechanism of phosphate solubilization and physiological functions of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. In M. Khan, A. Zaidi, & J. Musarrat (Eds.), *Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms* (pp. 31–62). Springer. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08216-5\_2
- Kim, J. D. (2005). Antifungal activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Kimchi against *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Mycobiology*, 33(4), 210–214. https:// doi.org/10.4489/myco.2005.33.4.210
- Liu, T., Xu, S., Liu, L., Zhou, F., Hou, J., & Chen, J. (2011). Cloning and characteristics of Brn1 gene in *Curvularia lunata* causing leaf spot in maize. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 131, 211–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-011-9800-8
- Lorck, H. (1948). Production of hydrocyanic acid by bacteria. *Physiologia Plantarum*, *1*(2), 142–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1948. tb07118.x
- Lutz, M. P., Michel, V., Martinez, C., & Camps, C. (2012). Lactic acid bacteria as biocontrol agents of soil-borne pathogens. *IOBC-WPRS Bulletin*, 78, 285–288
- Marag, P. S., & Suman, A. (2018). Growth stage and tissue specific colonization of endophytic bacteria having plant growth promoting traits in hybrid and composite maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Microbiological Research*, 214, 101–113. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2018.05.016
- Marques, A. P. G. C., Pires, C., Moreira, H., Rangel, A. O. S. S., & Castro, P. M. L. (2010). Assessment of the plant growth promotion abilities of six bacterial isolates using *Zea mays*

as indicator plant. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(8), 1229–1235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2010.04.014

- Mauch, A., Dal Bello, F., Coffey, A., & Arendt, E. K. (2010). The use of *Lactobacillus brevis* PS1 to *in vitro* inhibit the outgrowth of *Fusarium culmorum* and other common *Fusarium* species found on barley. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 141(1–2), 116–121. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.05.002
- Mohite, B. (2013). Isolation and characterization of indole acetic acid (IAA) producing bacteria from rhizospheric soil and its effect on plant growth. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 13(3), 638–649. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000051
- Morales-Cedeño, L. R., del Carmen Orozcomosqueda, M., Loeza-lara, P. D., Parra-Cota, F. I., de los Santos-villalobos, S., & Santoyo, G. (2020). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes as biocontrol agents of pre- and post-harvest diseases: Fundamentals, methods of application and future perspectives. *Microbiology Research*, 242, 126612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. micres.2020.126612
- Nawawi, M. H., Mohamad, R., Tahir, P. M., & Saad, W. Z. (2017). Extracellular xylanopectinolytic enzymes by *Bacillus subtilis* ADI1 from EFB's compost. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2017, 7831954. https://doi. org/10.1155/2017/7831954
- Ndagano, D., Lamoureux, T., Dortu, C., Vandermoten, S., & Thonart, P. (2011). Antifungal activity of 2 lactic acid bacteria of the Weissella genus isolated from food. Journal of Food Science, 76(6), M305–M311. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1750-3841.2011.02257.x
- Nimnoi, P., & Pongslip, N. (2009). Genetic diversity and plant-growth promoting ability of the indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) synthetic bacteria isolated from agricultural soil as well as rhizosphere,

rhizoplane and root tissue of *Ficus religiosa* L., *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Piper sarmentosum* Roxb. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 5(1), 29–41.

- Passari, A. K., Mishra, V. K., Gupta, V. K., Yadav, M. K., Saikia, R., & Singh, B. P. (2015). *In* vitro and in vivo plant growth promoting activities and DNA fingerprinting of antagonistic endophytic actinomycetes associates with medicinal plants. *PLOS One*, 10(9), e0139468. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139468
- Pham, V. T. K., Rediers, H., Ghequire, M. K. G., Nguyen, H. H., De Mot, R., Vanderleyden, J., & Spaepen, S. (2017). The plant growthpromoting effect of the nitrogen-fixing endophyte *Pseudomonas stutzeri* A15. *Archives* of Microbiology, 199(3), 513–517. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00203-016-1332-3
- Procópio, R. E. L., Araújo, W. L., Maccheroni Jr., W., & Azevedo, J. L. (2009). Characterization of an endophytic bacterial community associated with *Eucalyptus* spp. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 8(4), 1408–1422. https://doi. org/10.4238/vol8-4gmr691
- Rahman, M. A., Begum, M. F., & Alam, M. F. (2009). Screening of *Trichoderma* isolates as a biological control agent against *Ceratocystis paradoxa* causing pineapple disease of sugarcane. *Mycobiology*, 37(4), 277–285. https://doi. org/10.4489/MYCO.2009.37.4.277
- Rijavec, T., & Lapanje, A. (2016). Hydrogen cyanide in the rhizosphere: Not suppressing plant pathogens, but rather regulating availability of phosphate. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1785. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01785
- Rzheyskaya, V. S., Teplitskaya, L. M., & Oturina, I. P. (2013). Colonization of rhizoplane of cucumber roots by microorganisms which are components of the microbial preparation "Embiko<sup>®</sup>". *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems*, 4(2), 63–70. https://doi.org/10.15421/021311

- Schwyn, B., & Neilands, J. B. (1987). Universal chemical assay for the detection determination of siderophores. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 160(1), 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(87)90612-9
- Shrestha, A., Kim, B. S., & Park, D. H. (2014). Biological control of bacterial spot disease and plant growth-promoting effects of lactic acid bacteria on pepper. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 24(7), 763–779. https://doi.org/10 .1080/09583157.2014.894495
- Siddiqui, Z. A. (2005). PGPR: Prospective biocontrol agents of plant pathogens. In Z. A. Siddiqui (Ed.), PGPR: Biocontrol and biofertilization (pp. 111–142). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4152-7\_4
- Siezen, R. J., Starrenburg, M. J. C., Boekhorst, J., Renckens, B., Molenaar, D., & van Hylckama Vlieg, J. E. (2008). Genome-scale genotypephenotype matching of two *Lactococcus lactis* isolates from plants identifies mechanisms of adaptation to the plant niche. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74(2), 424–436. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01850-07
- Singh, A., Kaur, A., Dua, A., & Mahajan, R. (2015). An efficient and improved methodology for the screening of industrially valuable xylano-pectinocellulolytic microbes. *Enzyme Research*, 2015, 725281. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/725281
- Somers, E., Amke, A., Croonenborghs, A., van Overbeek, L. S., & Vanderleyden, J. (2007, August 26-31). *Lactic acid bacteria in organic* agricultural soils [Poster presentation]. Rhizosphere 2 Conference 2007, Montpellier, France. https://research.wur.nl/en/publications/ lactic-acid-bacteria-in-organic-agricultural-soils
- Stiles, M. E., & Holzapfel, W. H. (1997). Lactic acid bacteria of foods and their current taxonomy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 36(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(96)01233-0

- Strafella, S., Simpson, D. J., Khanghahi, M. Y., De Angelis, M., Gänzle, M., Minervini, F., & Crecchio, C. (2021). Comparative genomics and *in vitro* plant growth promotion and biocontrol traits of lactic acid bacteria from the wheat rhizosphere. *Microorganisms*, 9(1), 78. https:// doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9010078
- Taha, M. D. M., Jaini, M. F. M., Saidi, N. B., Rahim, R. A., Shah, U. K. M., & Hashim, A. M. (2019). Biological control of *Erwinia mallotivora*, the causal agent of papaya dieback disease by indigenous seed-borne endophytic lactic acid bacteria consortium. *PLOS One*, 14(12), e0224431. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0224431
- Tann, H., & Soytong, K. (2017). Biological control of brown leaf spot disease caused by *Curvularia lunata* and field application method on rice variety IR66 in Cambodia. AGRIVITA Journal of Agricultural Science, 39(1), 111–117. https:// doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v39i1.768
- Time and Date (n.d.). *Weather in Malaysia*. Retrieved from 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2021, from https://www. timeanddate.com/weather/malaysia/
- Tiru, M., Muleta, D., Berecha, G., & Adugna, G. (2013). Antagonistic effects of rhizobacteria against coffee wilt disease caused by *Gibberella xylarioides*. Asian Journal of Plant Pathology, 7(3), 109–122. https://doi.org/10.3923/ ajppaj.2013.109.122
- Trias, R., Bañeras, L., Montesinos, E., & Badosa, E. (2008). Lactic acid bacteria from fresh fruit and vegetables as biocontrol agents of phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi. *International Microbiology*, 11(4), 231–236. https://doi. org/10.2436/20.1501.01.66
- Valencia-Hernández, L. J., López-López, K., & Serna-Cock, L. (2016). Weissella cibaria fungistatic activity against Fusarium spp. affecting yellow pitahaya. American Journal of Applied Sciences, 13(12), 1354–1364. https://doi.org/10.3844/ ajassp.2016.1354.1364

- Valerio, F., Favilla, M., De Bellis, P., Sisto, A., de Candia, S., & Lavermicocca, P. (2009). Antifungal activity of strains of lactic acid bacteria isolated from a semolina ecosystem against *Penicillium roqueforti, Aspergillus niger* and *Endomyces fibuliger* contaminating bakery products. *Sytematic and Applied Microbiology*, 32(6), 438–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. syapm.2009.01.004
- Viruel, E., Erazzú, L. E., Martínez Calsina, L., Ferrero, M. A., Lucca, M. E., & Siñeriz, F. (2014). Inoculation of maize with phosphate solubilizing bacteria: Effect on plant growth and yield. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 14(4), 819–831. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162014005000065
- Wang, X., Shen, J., & Liao, H. (2010). Acquisition or utilization, which is more critical for enhancing phosphorus efficiency in modern crops?. *Plant Science*, 179(4), 302–306. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.06.007
- Wei, G., Kloepper, J. W., & Tuzun, S. (1991). Induction of systemic resistance of cucumber to *Colletrichum orbiculare* by select strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Phytopathology*, 81(11), 1508–1512. https://doi. org/10.1094/Phyto-81-1508

- Zaidi, A. H., Bakkes, P. J., Krom, B. P., van der Mei, H. C., & Driessen, A. J. M. (2011). Cholatestimulated biofilm formation by *Lactococcus lactis* cells. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(8), 2602–2610. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.01709-10
- Zainudin, N. A. I. M., Hamzah, F. A., Kusai, N. A., Zambri, N. S., & Salleh, S. (2017). Characterization and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* proliferatum and *Fusarium verticillioides*, causal agents of *Fusarium* ear rot of corn. *Turkish* Journal of Biology, 41(1), 220–230. https://doi. org/10.3906/biy-1606-25
- Zakaria, L., Chik, M. W., Heng, K. W., & Salleh, B. (2012). Fusarium species associated with fruit rot of banana (Musa spp.), papaya (Carica papaya) and guava (Psidium guajava). Malaysian Journal of Microbiology, 8(2), 127–130.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## Table S1

*List of bacterial isolates used and its sources. Bacteria was isolated from papaya seed and sarcotesta (Taha et al., 2019)* 

Plant tissue source	Strain	Lactic acid bacteria
Seed	PPKSD8	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKSD9	Weissella cibaria
	PPKSD19	Weissella cibaria
	PPKSD29	Weissella cibaria
	PPKSD34	Weissella cibaria
	PPKSD37	Weissella cibaria
	PPKSD39	Weissella confusa
	PPSSD1	Weissella cibaria
	PPSSD7	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPSSD38	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPSSD39	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
Sarcotesta	PPKST1	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST2	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST3	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST4	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST4S	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST4B	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST5	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST11	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPKST14	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPSST25	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
	PPSST38	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis

Table S2

Percentage of inhibition of radial growth (PIRG, %) of isolates (a) Weissella cibaria PPKSD 19 and (b) Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD 39 in poisoned agar test

PIRG (%)	Curvularia lunata	Fusarium oxysporum TKA	Fusarium proliferatum B68C	Fusarium verticillioides B106C	Fusarium verticillioides J44C
10	$53.50\pm0.71^{\text{a}}$	$16.67\pm4.59^{\mathtt{a}}$	$27.18\pm3.55^{\mathtt{a}}$	$41.67\pm1.44^{\mathtt{a}}$	$25.13\pm3.55^{\mathtt{a}}$
20	$55.14\pm0.71^{\text{a}}$	$24.29\pm1.43^{\text{b}}$	$29.23\pm4.07^{\text{ab}}$	$42.92\pm0.72^{\mathtt{a}}$	$30.77\pm4.07^{\text{ab}}$
30	$55.14\pm0.71^{\text{a}}$	$39.52\pm2.97^{\circ}$	$30.26\pm4.95^{\text{ab}}$	$44.58\pm9.21^{\text{ab}}$	$37.95\pm0.89^{\rm bc}$
40	$54.32\pm2.14^{\mathtt{a}}$	$40.48 \pm 1.65^{\text{cd}}$	$30.26\pm0.89^{\text{ab}}$	$49.17\pm5.20^{ab}$	$40.51\pm3.20^{\circ}$
50	$70.37\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	$47.14 \pm 1.43^{\text{de}}$	$35.90\pm0.89^{\rm b}$	$47.92\pm4.02^{\text{ab}}$	$41.03\pm3.55^{\circ}$
60	$82.30\pm0.71^{\circ}$	$50.95\pm2.18^{\text{e}}$	$33.85\pm1.54^{\text{ab}}$	$52.92\pm8.32^{ab}$	$45.13\pm2.35^{\circ}$
80	$84.36\pm1.43^{\circ}$	$53.33\pm0.82^{\text{e}}$	$35.38 \pm 1.54^{\rm b}$	$59.58\pm5.05^{\rm b}$	$43.08\pm3.08^{\circ}$

(a) Weissella cibaria PPKSD19

Means with different superscript letters within rows indicate significant difference at  $p\,{<}\,0.05$  using one-way ANOVA

(b) Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis PPSSD39

PIRG (%)	Curvularia lunata	Fusarium oxysporum TKA	Fusarium proliferatum B68C	Fusarium verticillioides B106C	Fusarium verticillioides J44C
10	$47.33 \pm 1.43^{\mathtt{a}}$	$43.81\pm2.97^{\mathtt{a}}$	$39.73\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$38.33\pm3.82^{\mathtt{a}}$	$22.56\pm0.89^{\mathtt{a}}$
20	$48.97 \pm 1.43^{\text{ab}}$	$52.38\pm4.12^{\rm b}$	$39.73\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$41.67\pm3.61^{\tt a}$	$41.03\pm0.89^{\text{ab}}$
30	$50.62\pm0.00^{\rm bc}$	$51.90\pm0.82^{\rm b}$	$42.01\pm2.85^{\text{ab}}$	$49.17\pm1.91^{\rm ab}$	$44.62\pm1.54^{\rm bc}$
40	$51.85 \pm 1.23^{\texttt{cd}}$	$53.33\pm0.82^{\rm bc}$	$45.66\pm2.09^{\rm bc}$	$45.83\pm2.89^{\text{ab}}$	$44.62\pm1.54^{\circ}$
50	$53.50\pm0.71^{\text{de}}$	$52.38 \pm 1.65^{\text{b}}$	$43.38 \pm 1.58^{\text{ab}}$	$46.25\pm1.25^{\text{ab}}$	$44.10\pm0.89^{\circ}$
60	$54.73\pm0.71^{\text{e}}$	$54.29\pm2.47^{\rm bc}$	$52.05\pm3.62^{\circ}$	$56.25\pm3.31^{\text{ab}}$	$28.21 \pm 1.78^{\circ}$
80	$55.56\pm0.00^{\text{e}}$	$59.05\pm0.82^{\circ}$	$49.77\pm5.19^{\rm bc}$	$56.67\pm0.72^{\rm b}$	$40.00\pm1.54^{\circ}$

Means with different superscript letters within rows indicate significant difference at p < 0.05 using one-way ANOVA

Endophytic LABs as Plant Growth Promoter and Antifungal Agent



*Figure S1*. Antagonistic activity of different culture filtrate concentrations of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 against *Fusarium oxysporum* TKA grown on PDA media. The PDA was incorporated with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, and 80% (v/v) culture filtrate concentration of 7-day old *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* PPSSD39 cultures