



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DEVELOPMENT OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED FUSION METHOD FOR
BUILDING DETECTION USING LIDAR AND VERY HIGH RESOLUTION
IMAGES**

NAHHAS FATEN HAMED A

FK 2019 55



**DEVELOPMENT OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED FUSION METHOD FOR
BUILDING DETECTION USING LiDAR AND VERY HIGH RESOLUTION
IMAGES**

By

NAHHAS FATEN HAMED A

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED FUSION METHOD FOR BUILDING DETECTION USING LiDAR AND VERY HIGH RESOLUTION IMAGES

By

NAHHAS FATEN HAMED A

August 2018

Chairman : Associate Professor Helmi Zulhaidi Bin Mohd Shafri, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Buildings play an essential role in urban construction, planning, and climate studies. Extracting detailed and accurate information about building such as value, usage, height, and size provides information for town planning, urban management, and three-dimensional (3D) visualization. Building extraction with remote sensing data especially LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) and VHR (Very High Resolution) images is a difficult task and open research problem. For this purpose, scientists have been developing methods utilizing the standard pixel features and additional height features of the data in various ways. In urban areas, extracting buildings is more complex than extracting them in rural areas. This is because of the presence of nearby objects, such as trees, which frequently have similar elevations as buildings. Additional challenges also come from different material combinations that create a variety of intensity in the spectral bands, employed. Two common methods are widely used in literature, pixel-based and object-based methods (also known as OBIA). The former results in salt and pepper like noise in the detected buildings, while the latter requires proper feature selection and image segmentation. Both methods have poor generalization and transferability to other environments, scale dependency, and require good quality training examples. As a result, the main goal of this research is to design and optimize deep learning-based fusion techniques using Autoencoders (AE) and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for integrating LiDAR and Worldview-3 (WV3) data for building extraction. The optimization was carried out using grid and random search techniques to improve the performance of models. Specifically, two fusion methods were developed. First, a method for fusion of LiDAR-based digital surface model (DSM) with orthophoto (LO-Fusion), and a second method for LiDAR-DSM with WV3 (LW-Fusion) image. The results of this thesis are promising. Our method achieved the highest accuracies of 97.34%, 94.48%, and 94.37% in the three-subset areas. It performed better than the

traditional methods, such as support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF), and K-nearest neighbour (KNN). The highest validation accuracy in this group of methods was 89.04%, achieved by SVM. Although KNN achieved better training accuracy (92.34%) than RF, the latter achieved better validation accuracy than the former (86.17%). Furthermore, CNN and Optimized CNN with the random search were used to detect buildings in the same areas using only LiDAR and orthophoto data. The experimental results show that the use of additional features of WV3 image fused with LiDAR data can increase validation accuracy by almost 11%. The validation accuracy of Optimized CNN with only LiDAR and orthophoto data was 86.19%, which is relatively lower than those of SVM and RF. Overall, proper optimization can improve the use of deep learning models such as CNN and autoencoders to the extent of outperforming OBIA for building detection from LiDAR and VHR satellite data.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN DALAM PEMBELAJARAN MENDALAM BERASASKAN
GABUNGAN KAEDAH BAGI PENGESANAN BANGUNAN
MENGUNAKAN LiDAR DAN IMEJ RESOLUSI YANG SANGAT TINGGI**

Oleh

NAHHAS FATEN HAMED A

Ogos 2018

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Helmi Zulhaidi Bin Mohd Shafri, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Bangunan memainkan peranan yang penting dalam pembinaan bandar, perancangan, dan iklim. Mendapatkan maklumat yang tepat mengenai bangunan seperti nilai, penggunaan, ketinggian dan saiz memainkan peranan penting sebagai maklumat utama yang kritikal bagi perancangan bandar, pengurusan bandar, pengurusan bencana dan visualisasi tiga dimensi (3D). Kebelakangan ini, kaedah berasaskan pelajaran mendalam, seperti rangkaian Neural mendalam (NN) dan model rangkaian Neural Convolutional (CNN), Autoencoders dan ensemble model telah mendapat banyak perhatian dalam aplikasi remote sensing, terutamanya untuk pengesanan objek (contohnya, pengesanan bangunan). Matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk merekabentuk pembelajaran mendalam berasaskan teknik gabungan bagi mengintegrasikan LiDAR point cloud dan imej satelit Worldview-3 untuk pengesanan bangunan. Objektif khusus kajian adalah seperti berikut: (1) untuk merekabentuk dan membangunkan satu teknik penggabungan yang menggunakan Autoencoders mendalam dan rangkaian neural convolutional untuk pengesanan bangunan daripada data LiDAR dan Ortofoto, (2) untuk merekabentuk dan membangunkan kaedah gabungan yang mendalam bagi mengintegrasikan data LiDAR dan Worldview-3 untuk pengesanan bangunan, dan (3) untuk mengoptimumkan kaedah gabungan yang mendalam melalui grid dan teknik pengoptimuman carian rawak untuk meningkatkan prestasi model gabungan. Kajian ini telah membangunkan dua kaedah pengesanan bangunan berdasarkan teknik-teknik pembelajaran mendalam (cth., autoencoders, CNN) dan penggabungan data. Kaedah pertama merupakan kaedah untuk LiDAR DSM dan Ortofoto, dan kaedah kedua adalah untuk LiDAR DSM dan imej WV3. Dua set data telah digunakan: data LiDAR untuk pesawat, termasuk orthophotos dan imej WV3. Di samping itu, tiga kawasan bandar telah digunakan bagi menguji kaedah yang dicadangkan. Secara keseluruhan, hasil kajian

menunjukkan prestasi memberangsangkan berbanding kaedah lain. Kaedah pengabungan berasaskan pelajaran mendalam (DF) mencapai ketepatan yang paling tinggi iaitu sebanyak 97.34%, 94.48% dan 94.37% dalam tiga kawasan subset. Kajian mendapati kaedah pembelajaran mendalam adalah lebih baik daripada kaedah tradisional, support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF) dan K-nearest neighbour (KNN). Ketepatan paling tinggi dalam antara kaedah tradisional adalah 89.04%, dengan menggunakan SVM. Walaupun KNN mencapai ketepatan latihan lebih baik (92.34%) daripada RF, RF mencapai pengesanan ketepatan yang lebih baik daripada KNN (86.17%). Selain itu, CNN yang biasa dan CNN optima dengan carian rawak telah digunakan untuk mengesan bangunan di dalam kawasan yang sama dengan hanya menggunakan data LiDAR dan Ortofoto. Keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan ciri-ciri tambahan imej data WV3 boleh meningkatkan ketepatan pengesanan oleh hampir 11%. Pengesanan ketepatan model CNN yang telah dioptimumkan dengan hanya menggunakan data LiDAR dan Ortofoto adalah 86.19%, agak rendah berbanding SVM dan RF. Berdasarkan keputusan ini, kajian-kajian pada masa hadapan perlu memberi fokus kepada kaedah pengoptimuman lain, seperti kaedah pengoptimuman Bayesian, dan teknik-teknik berasaskan pembelajaran gabungan yang lain menggunakan model yang lebih maju, seperti variational dan convolutional autoencoders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, and foremost, I am truly grateful to Allah, the almighty for all his help and endless blessings.

I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to Dr. Helmi Shafri, my supervisor for his insightful comments and suggestions. His sharp critical ideas were of immense help to me to complete this study. Without his encouragement and guidance, this thesis would merely turn into a heap of dreams.

Most of all, I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my parents for their endless support and encouragement that I shall be indebted for forever. May Allah shower his mercy and blessings upon them and grant them paradise.

My heartfelt thanks go to my dear husband who provided encouragement and whose emotional support, me with a comfortable research atmosphere and endurance made me forever indebted to him. I am more grateful to my cute and beloved children who made my journey more enjoyable and interesting. I do apologize to them for being too busy with my research for a couple of years.

A special thanks also due to my brothers and sisters, Samar, Abeer, Samer, Sahar, and Somiah Hamed Nahhas for their emotional support and encouragement. I would like also to thank my family and relatives, especially my dear aunt, Muneerah and my nieces; Maha and Samiah al-Dosary for their continuous support and encouragement that motivated me to overcome the difficulties and obstacles overseas.

I wish also to extend my gratefulness to my friend, Maher Ibrahim Semen for his assistance and insightful research suggestions.

My gratefulness is also due to UPM University, which granted me the scholarship to pursue my study, without their financial support this would remain merely a dream.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Helmi Zulhaidi Bin Mohd Shafri, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shattri Mansor, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Zainuddin Bin Md Yusoff, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Nahhas Faten Hamed A, GS44353

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor
Dr. Helmi Zulhaidi Bin Mohd Shafri

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Professor
Dr. Shattri Mansor

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Zainuddin Bin Md Yusoff

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Goal and Objectives	3
1.4 Research Questions	3
1.5 Research Contributions	4
1.6 Significance of Research	4
1.7 Thesis Organization	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Remote Sensing Technologies	7
2.2.1 Optical Remote Sensing	8
2.2.2 LiDAR	9
2.3 Remote Sensing Data Fusion	11
2.3.1 Sensor / Data Fusion	11
2.3.2 Fusion Levels	12
2.4 Fusion Methods and Algorithms	15
2.5 Traditional Machine Learning Models	18
2.5.1 Support Vector Machine (SVM)	18
2.5.2 Decision Tree (DT)	20
2.6 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)	21
2.7 Autoencoders (AE)	22
2.8 Training of Deep Learning Models	23
2.9 Optimization of Neural Networks	24
2.9.1 Grid Search	24
2.9.2 Random Search	25
2.10 The Difference between Deep Learning and Traditional Machine Learning	25
2.11 Building Extraction Methods	27
2.11.1 Spectral-Based Methods	27
2.11.2 Object-Based Methods	28
2.11.3 Deep Learning Methods	29
2.12 Previous Studies on Building Extraction	30

2.13	Summary and Research Gaps	34
3	METHODOLOGY	36
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Methodology Flowchart	36
	3.2.1 LiDAR DSM - Orthophoto Fusion Model	37
	3.2.2 LiDAR DSM and WV3 Fusion Model	41
3.3	Study Areas	41
3.4	Datasets	41
	3.4.1 LiDAR	44
	3.4.2 WV3 image	45
3.5	Pre-processing	46
	3.5.1 Derivation of DSM and DEM	46
	3.5.2 Radiometric, Geometric Calibrations and Pan-sharpening of WV3	46
	3.5.3 Registration of LiDAR and WV3	47
	3.5.4 Feature Normalization	47
	3.5.5 Training/Validation Datasets	48
3.6	Segmentation	48
3.7	Feature Extraction	49
3.8	Data Fusion	51
	3.8.1 Autoencoder (AE)	52
	3.8.2 LiDAR DSM and Orthophoto Fusion	54
	3.8.3 LiDAR DSM and WV3 Fusion	54
3.9	Building Detection	55
	3.9.1 CNN	55
	3.9.2 The Proposed CNN Architecture	56
	3.9.3 Optimization of hyperparameters	56
3.10	Evaluation/ Validation and Comparative Methods	58
	3.10.1 Evaluation Based on Confusion Matrix	58
	3.10.2 Validation Method	58
	3.10.3 Comparative Study	59
3.11	Summary	59
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	60
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Building Detection with LiDAR – Orthophoto Fusion	60
	4.2.1 Training Area	60
	4.2.2 Validation Area	61
	4.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis	63
	4.2.3.1 Effects of Dimensionality Reduction	63
	4.2.3.2 Effects of CNN	64
	4.2.4 Comparison with OBIA - SVM	66
4.3	Building Detection with LiDAR – WV3 Fusion	67
	4.3.1 Training Area	69
	4.3.2 Validation Area	69
	4.3.3 Results of Optimization	70
	4.3.4 Sensitivity analysis	74

4.3.5	Transferability evaluation	77
4.3.6	Computing performance	78
4.4	Comparison with other Machine Learning Techniques	80
4.5	Summary	81
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	83
5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Conclusions	84
5.2.1	Building Extraction from LiDAR and Orthophoto Datasets	84
5.2.2	Building Extraction from LiDAR and WV3 Datasets	84
5.2.3	Optimization of Fusion Models	85
5.3	Recommendations for Future Works	86
	REFERENCES	87
	APPENDICES	101
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	109
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Advantages and disadvantages of data fusion at the pixel, feature, and decision levels	15
2.2	Advantages and disadvantages of main fusion methods	17
2.3	Kernel types and their required parameters	20
3.1	The geographic coordinates of the selected sites regarding longitudes and latitudes	43
3.2	An example on feature values before and after the normalization process (i.e., extracted from training area dataset)	48
3.3	MRS parameters used to create image objects from LiDAR and WV3 data	49
3.4	The input features (extracted from LiDAR and WV3 data) used in the fusion models	50
3.5	Search space of the hyperparameters of the AE and CNN models	58
4.1	Effects of dimensionality reduction on building detection accuracy	64
4.2	Accuracy assessment of the testing area	67
4.3	Number of image objects used for training, validation, and testing LW-Fusion for building detection	68
4.4	Grid and random search optimization results in the training area for AE	73
4.5	Grid and random search optimization results in the training area for CNN	73
4.6	Hyperparameters determined best for AE at different iterations by random search	75
4.7	Hyperparameters determined best for CNN at different iterations by random search	76

4.8	Accuracy of building detection by LW-Fusion in subset 3	78
4.9	Computation performance of grid search and random search based on AE and CNN models	79
4.10	Accuracy of building detection by different methods on the first subset	81
4.11	Accuracy of building detection by different methods on the second subset	81



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Basic Elements of Building in a Typical City or Town	7
2.2	The Fundamental Difference between Multispectral and Hyperspectral Remote Sensing	9
2.3	Typical airborne LiDAR system components	11
2.4	The concept of Pixel-level (a), Feature-level (b), and Decision-level (c) fusion methods	13
2.5	The typical convolution and the subsampling process of a CNN model	21
2.6	Simple structure of an AE	23
3.1	Overall methodology flowchart of the current research	39
3.2	Architecture of the proposed building detection method using DL and LiDAR–orthophoto fusion.	40
3.3	Flowchart of the proposed DF model for building detection from LiDAR and WV3 data	42
3.4	Location of testing sites used to evaluate the proposed models for data fusion and building detection	43
3.5	LiDAR point clouds over the three testing sites, (a) training site, (b) validation site, and (c) testing site	44
3.6	WV3 images of the three testing sites	45
3.7	The spectral profiles of buildings present in the study areas extracted from the WV3 image	46
3.8	Simple structure of an autoencoder (by the author)	53
3.9	Proposed deep AE-based model optimized by a random search for LiDAR–WV3 data fusion at the feature level (by the author)	55
3.10	Typical convolution and subsampling process of a CNN model	56

4.1	Results of building detection using LO-Fusion in the training area: (a) without feature dimensionality reduction, (b) with dimensionality reduction (10 features), (c) example of a detected building through a complete set of input features, and (d) example of building detection after feature reduction by AE dimensionality reduction approach	62
4.2	Results of building detection using LO-Fusion in the validation area: (a) without feature dimensionality reduction, (b) with dimensionality reduction (10 features), (c) example of a detected building through a complete set of input features, and (d) example of building detection after feature reduction by AE dimensionality reduction approach	63
4.3	Effects of CNN hyperparameters on building detection accuracy	65
4.4	Examples for the results of LO-Fusion and the SVM method: (a) orthophoto of the area, (b) results of LO-Fusion without dimensionality reduction, (c) results of LO-Fusion with dimensionality reduction, (d) SVM results without optimization, and (e) SVM results with optimization	67
4.5	LW-Fusion loss and accuracy for 100 epochs as trained by the Adamax method	68
4.6	Results for building detection on the subset 1 data: (a) the optimized CNN with LiDAR and orthophoto data, (b) LW-Fusion with LiDAR and WV3 data, and (c) the object-based SVM method	71
4.7	Results of building detection on the subset 2 data: (a) the optimized CNN with LiDAR and orthophoto data, (b) LW-Fusion with LiDAR and WV3 data, and (c) the object-based SVM method	72
4.8	Effects of some of the iterations on the accuracy of AE, results on the subset 1 (a) and results on the subset 2 (b)	74
4.9	Dimensionality reduction effects on reconstructing and fusing input features analysed in (a) subset 1 and (b) subset 2	75
4.10	Effects of LW-Fusion hyperparameters on building detection.	77
4.11	Results of building detection by LW-Fusion with random search optimization in subset 3	78
4.12	Amount of time required to find the suboptimal parameters of AE and CNN models by random search with different iterations	80

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AE	Autoencoder
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
BSP	Binary Space Partitioning
BT	Brovey Transform
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
CS	Component Substitution
DCA	Discriminant Correlation Analysis
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DF	Deep Fusion
DL	Deep Learning
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DT	Decision Tree
ENVI	Environment for Visualizing Images
ESP	Estimation Scale Parameter
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GIS	Geographic Information System
GLCM	Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix
GPU	Graphical Processing Unit
GS	Gram Schmidt
ICA	Independent Component Analysis
IHS	Intensity Hue Saturation
IRS	Indian Remote Sensing

KNN	K Nearest Neighbor
LN	Linear
LO-Fusion	LiDAR-Orthophoto Fusion
LR	Logistic Regression
LSMA	Linear Spectral Mixture Analysis
LSTM	Long Short Term Memory
LW-Fusion	LiDAR-Worldview Fusion
MCC	Multi-scale Curvature
MLP	Multilayer Perceptron
MR	Multiresolution
MRA	Multiresolution Analysis
MRF	Multiresolution Segmentation
MS	Multispectral
NB	Naive Bayes
NDTI	Normalized Difference Tree Index
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NN	Neural Network
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric
OA	Overall Accuracy
OBIA	Object-Based Image Analysis
PAN	Panchromatic
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PL	Polynomial
RBF	Radial Basis Function

RF	Random Forest
RGB	Red Green Blue
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SD	Standard deviation
SGD	Stochastic Gradient Descent
SIG	Sigmoid
SPOT	Satellite Probatoire d'Observation de la Terre
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission
SVM	Support Vector Machine
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VHR	Very High Resolution
WGS84	World Geodetic System 84
WV3	Worldview-3

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter first provides the background of study (Section 1.1). This section briefly explains the importance of buildings in modern cities and presents how spatial information is useful for applications require geo-data of buildings. Then, it states the research problem (Section 1.2) that this thesis solves and research objectives (Section 1.3), research questions (Section 1.4), and the main contributions of this thesis (Section 1.5). Finally, the significance of current research (Section 1.6), as well as thesis organization (Section 1.7), are presented.

1.1 Introduction

Buildings play an essential role in urban construction, planning, and climate. Extracting accurate information about the building such as value, usage, height, and size is critical for town planning, urban management, and Three-Dimensional (3D) visualization. With the advent of new remote sensing technologies such as LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging), Very High Resolution (VHR) satellite imagery, building extraction is a major step in urban mapping, planning, and many other applications, such as land use, change detection analysis, disaster management, and site selection. However, building detection with these technologies at pixel or object level is a challenging task, especially in complex urban areas (Xu et al., 2018). This challenge is mainly because such areas are often characterized by complex structures, large intra-class, and low inter-class variations. These issues lead to inaccurate building detection with the existing methods. The task can be further complicated by an increase in spatial resolution and multisource datasets used. Several new techniques have been proposed to tackle some of these issues.

LiDAR data provide additional features that can increase the accuracy and quality of building objects. LiDAR offers an accurate and efficient approach to point cloud (elevation) data acquisition, which can be used to extract ground objects, such as buildings and trees. Unlike traditional photogrammetry methods, LiDAR systems can collect dense point clouds within a relatively short time. The additional advantages of these systems include high vertical accuracy and relatively low cost when used for the right projects. Many methods have been proposed to extract building objects from LiDAR or fused data from multispectral and LiDAR.

In recent years, the advancement of artificial intelligence applications with remote sensing techniques has emerged new approaches to extract refined information, specifically deep learning. Deep learning-based methods, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Autoencoders (AE), and ensemble models have gained much attention in remote sensing applications, especially for object detection (e.g., building extraction). The main concept of deep learning models is extracting abstract representations from data, thereby reducing the complexity and necessity of feature extraction. Zhang et al. (2016) presented a technical tutorial of deep learning for remote sensing data. They discussed the practical aspects of designing deep learning-based models for remote sensing and suggested that low-level features (e.g., spectral, spatial, and textural), output feature representations, and the entire network architecture should be carefully addressed to achieve better results than traditional machine-learning methods. Consequently, developing accurate techniques for extracting building objects from remote sensing data using advanced methods such as deep learning can help advance various geospatial applications as mentioned above.

1.2 The Problem Statement

Buildings are fundamental elements in forming a city and essential for urban mapping. The extraction of accurate building objects from remote sensing data has become an interesting topic and has received increasing attention in recent years. Building extraction from remote sensing data is one of the long-standing and open research problems. Many methods have been developed for this purpose. Among the data sources, LiDAR and VHR aerial/satellite images have been found to be more efficient than other data sources due to the additional height features in LiDAR and fine spatial resolution of aerial and some satellite images.

In this context, the advantages of using LiDAR over traditional photogrammetry include the capability to collect dense point clouds at a relatively short time, high vertical accuracy, and low cost. However, the accurate extraction of buildings in urban areas with precise boundaries is a difficult task due to the presence of nearby objects, such as trees, which frequently have similar elevations as buildings (Sameen and Pradhan 2017). It was found that the fusion of LiDAR and aerial/satellite images has the potential to improve the quality of detecting overlapping objects. Therefore, it is suggested to use this approach for buildings detection.

Traditional methods of extracting buildings from remote sensing data include pixel-based supervised, unsupervised methods and OBIA have been found efficient for some cases (Alshehhi et al. 2017; Ji et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018). However, they often fail due to the complex and heterogeneous urban areas (Guo and Du 2017). Pixel-based methods result in salt and pepper effect like noise in the final maps; while OBIA based methods require careful handcrafting

features and optimizing the segmentation process. Other problems of these classical methods include poor generalization, transferability to other environments, scale dependency, and the good quality training samples.

In contrast, previous studies (Yuan 2017; Bischke et al. 2017; Maltezos et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018) have indicated the advantages of deep learning methods over traditional techniques for building detection. However, limited studies have investigated the power of deep learning for building detection from the fused of LiDAR and optical remote sensing images. Such concepts as AE and CNN in Deep Learning require optimization to exploit the advantages of both data sources for building identification. The optimization touches the hyperparameters of a designed model to reduce its sensitivity and the computing complexity. Therefore, careful designing for deep learning model and its optimization is required to extract fine buildings footprint.

1.3 Research Goal and Objectives

The main goal of this research is to develop an approach for deep learning based fusion techniques for integrating LiDAR point clouds and optical remote sensing data specifically Worldview-3 (WV3) and aerial orthophoto data, for building extraction. The specific objectives are as follows:

1. To design and develop fusion techniques using deep AEs and CNN for building extraction from LiDAR, orthophoto, and WV3 data.
2. To optimize the fusion methods via grid and random search techniques to improve the performance of the fusion models.
3. To assess and validate the developed fusion model and compare them to other benchmark methods.

1.4 Research Questions

This thesis attempts to find answers with experimental evidence for the following questions:

1. What are the advantages of fusing LiDAR data with very high-resolution satellite images instead of fusing LiDAR with orthophoto for building detection using deep learning methods?
2. What are the advantages and classification power of using deep learning methods over traditional machine learning techniques (e.g., support vector machine, random forest) for building detection?

3. Does AEs, as a dimensionality reduction technique, can improve the classification accuracy of building detection when used with the CNN model?
4. Which method between the grid and random search is superior to use for optimizing deep learning models for building detection from LiDAR and aerial/satellite image data?

1.5 Research Contributions

This thesis contributes to improving building detection from LiDAR, orthophoto, and VHR satellite image data. It contributes to the following research aspects:

- A design of a fusion technique based on deep learning models (i.e. CNN, AE) for building detection from LiDAR and orthophoto.
- The design of a deep fusion model integrates LiDAR and WV3 data for building detection with the new architecture.
- The evaluation of grid and random search optimization methods for fine-tuning deep learning models for building detection.

1.6 Significance of Research

Buildings are essential elements in cities, thus creating new building databases or updating old ones is an important task for city planners and managers. Building extraction from remote sensing data plays a major role in providing information for analysts and planners to generate useful data and enrich databases.

This research addresses several important points regarding building extraction such as data suitability and advanced fusion and detection methods as well as optimization techniques that can improve the overall performance of building detection workflows. It compares very high-resolution satellite images with aerial orthophoto for building detection, which provides information about how other researchers can advance the workflows in future upon the results from the current study. It develops and explains in details new deep learning based fusion methods for building detection from LiDAR and WV3 data. These new models can be a useful tool for updating existing databases in different organizations, thus making their data processing workflows more efficient.

1.7 Thesis Organization

This thesis is divided into five chapters as follow:

1. **Introduction** provides information about the background of the study, the statement of the problem, research goal and objectives, research questions, research contributions and significance of the research.
2. **Literature Review** explains the theory of methods used in this research and provides an overview, summary, and discussions on previous studies on building detection from remote sensing data.
3. **Methods and Materials** describe the overall methodology flowchart used in the current study, study area, datasets, a description of the proposed models with their processing details, and evaluation methods used to validate the results obtained from this research.
4. **Results and Discussion** describes the results obtained from this research; also, it provides detailed discussions on the experiments conducted on several datasets.
5. **Conclusion and Recommendations** summarize the major findings of this study and it offers recommendations for future works after explaining the limitations of the current work.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, S., Zoej, M. V., Ebadi, H., Moghaddam, H. A., & Mohammadzadeh, A. (2010). Automatic urban building boundary extraction from high resolution aerial images using an innovative model of active contours. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 12(3), 150-157.
- Aiazzi, B., Baronti, S., & Selva, M. (2007). Improving component substitution pansharpener through multivariate regression of MS + Pan data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 45(10), 3230-3239.
- Ali, S., & Smith, K. A. (2003, October). Automatic parameter selection for polynomial kernel. In *Information Reuse and Integration, 2003. IRI 2003. IEEE International Conference on*(pp. 243-249). IEEE.
- Alshehhi, R., Marpu, P. R., Woon, W. L., & Dalla Mura, M. (2017). Simultaneous extraction of roads and buildings in remote sensing imagery with convolutional neural networks. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 130, 139-149.
- Aplin, P., & Smith, G. M. (2011). Introduction to object-based landscape analysis. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 25(6), 869-875.
- Argyridis, Argyros Kleanthis. Investigation of ontologies, machine learning, and object-based image analysis for the identification of landforms, buildings, and building change detection (Doctoral dissertation) (2007).
- Arun, P. V., & Katiyar, S. K. (2013). An intelligent approach towards automatic shape modelling and object extraction from satellite images using cellular automata-based algorithms. *GIScience & remote sensing*, 50(3), 337-348.
- Bachofer, F., & Hochschild, V. (2015). A SVM-based approach to extract building footprints from Pléiades satellite imagery. *The address: https://www.geotechnwanda2015.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/61_Felix-Bachofer.pdf*.
- Baltsavias, E. P., Pateraki, M. N., & Zhang, L. (2001). Radiometric and geometric evaluation of Ikonos GEO images and their use for 3D building modelling. In *Joint Workshop of ISPRS Working Groups I/2, I/5 and IV/7 High Resolution Mapping from Space 2001*. ETH Höggerberg, Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry.
- Benediktsson, J. A., Pesaresi, M., & Amason, K. (2003). Classification and feature extraction for remote sensing images from urban areas based on morphological transformations. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(9), 1940-1949.

- Berger, C., Voltersen, M., Eckardt, R., Eberle, J., Heyer, T., Salepci, N., ... & Bamler, R. (2013). Multi-modal and multi-temporal data fusion: Outcome of the 2012 GRSS data fusion contest. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 6(3), 1324-1340.
- BHASKARAN, S. Automated algorithms for extracting urban features from Ikonos satellite data. A case study in New York City.
- Bhaskaran, S., Paramananda, S., & Ramnarayan, M. (2010). Per-pixel and object-oriented classification methods for mapping urban features using Ikonos satellite data. *Applied Geography*, 30(4), 650-665.
- Bischke, B., Helber, P., Folz, J., Borth, D., & Dengel, A. (2017). Multi-task learning for segmentation of building footprints with deep neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.05932.
- Bui, D. T., Pradhan, B., Lofman, O., Revhaug, I., & Dick, O. B. (2012). Application of support vector machines in landslide susceptibility assessment for the Hoa Binh province (Vietnam) with kernel functions analysis.
- CARPER, W., LILLESAND, T., & KIEFER, R. (1990). The use of intensity-hue-saturation transformations for merging SPOT panchromatic and multispectral image data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and remote sensing*, 56(4), 459-467.
- CARPER, W., LILLESAND, T., & KIEFER, R. (1990). The use of intensity-hue-saturation transformations for merging SPOT panchromatic and multispectral image data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and remote sensing*, 56(4), 459-467.
- Chaib, S., Liu, H., Gu, Y., & Yao, H. (2017). Deep feature fusion for VHR remote sensing scene classification. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 55(8), 4775-4784.
- Champion, N. (2007). 2D building change detection from high resolution aerial images and correlation digital surface models. *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 36(3/W49A), 197-202.
- Champion, N., & Everaerts, J. (2009). Detection of unregistered buildings for updating 2D databases.
- Chavez, P., Sides, S. C., & Anderson, J. A. (1991). Comparison of three different methods to merge multiresolution and multispectral data- Landsat TM and SPOT panchromatic. *Photogrammetric Engineering and remote sensing*, 57(3), 295-303.

- Chen, F., Qin, F., Peng, G., & Chen, S. (2012). Fusion of remote sensing images using improved ICA mergers based on wavelet decomposition. *Procedia Engineering*, 29, 2938-2943.
- Chen, Y., Li, C., Ghamisi, P., Jia, X., & Gu, Y. (2017). Deep fusion of remote sensing data for accurate classification. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(8), 1253-1257.
- Cho, W.; Jwa, Y.S.; Chang, H.J.; Lee, S.H. Pseudo-Grid Based Building Extraction Using Airborne LIDAR Data. In Proceedings of the XXth ISPRS Congress, Istanbul, Turkey, 12–23 July 2004; Volume XXXV, PartB3, pp. 378-381.
- Cord, M., & Declercq, D. (2001). Three-dimensional building detection and modeling using a statistical approach. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 10(5), 715-723.
- Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V., (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine learning*, 20, 273-297.
- Dahiya, S., Garg, P. K., & Jat, M. K. (2013). Building Extraction from High Resolution Satellite Images. *International Journal of Computing Science and Communication Technologies*, 5(2), 829-834.
- Dalla Mura, M., Atli Benediktsson, J., Waske, B., & Bruzzone, L. (2010). Extended profiles with morphological attribute filters for the analysis of hyperspectral data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 31(22), 5975-5991.
- Dong, J., Zhuang, D., Huang, Y., & Fu, J. (2009). Advances in multi-sensor data fusion: Algorithms and applications. *Sensors*, 9(10), 7771-7784.
- Donnay, J. P., Barnsley, M. J., & Longley, P. A. (Eds.). (2014). *Remote sensing and urban analysis: GISDATA 9*. CRC Press.
- Drăguț, L., Tiede, D., & Levick, S. R. (2010). ESP: a tool to estimate scale parameter for multiresolution image segmentation of remotely sensed data. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 24(6), 859-871.
- Duan, M., Li, K., Yang, C., & Li, K. (2018). A hybrid deep learning CNN–ELM for age and gender classification. *Neurocomputing*, 275, 448-461.
- Ehlers, M. (1991). Multisensor image fusion techniques in remote sensing. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 46(1), 19-30.
- Evans, J. S., & Hudak, A. T. (2007). A multiscale curvature algorithm for classifying discrete return LiDAR in forested environments. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 45(4), 1029-1038.

- Forlani, G., Nardinocchi, C., Scaioni, M., & Zingaretti, P. (2006). Complete classification of raw LIDAR data and 3D reconstruction of buildings. *Pattern analysis and applications*, 8(4), 357-374.
- Förstner, W., & Gülch, E. (1987, June). A fast operator for detection and precise location of distinct points, corners and centres of circular features. In *Proc. ISPRS intercommission conference on fast processing of photogrammetric data* (pp. 281-305).
- Gamba, P., Houshmand, B., & Saccani, M. (2000). Detection and extraction of buildings from interferometric SAR data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 38(1), 611-617.
- Ghahremani, M., & Ghassemian, H. (2015). Remote-sensing image fusion based on curvelets and ICA. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 36(16), 4131-4143.
- Ghanea, M., Moallem, P., & Momeni, M. (2014). Automatic building extraction in dense urban areas through GeoEye multispectral imagery. *International journal of remote sensing*, 35(13), 5094-5119.
- Ghassemian, H. (2001). A retina based multi-resolution image-fusion. In *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2001. IGARSS'01. IEEE 2001 International* (Vol. 2, pp. 709-711). IEEE.
- Ghassemian, M. H., & Venetsanopoulos, A. N. (1998, June). Image coding using vector quantization in LP/sub/spl infin/space. In *Advances in Digital Filtering and Signal Processing, 1998 IEEE Symposium on* (pp. 7-11). IEEE.
- Gilani, S. A. N., Awrangjeb, M., & Lu, G. (2016). An automatic building extraction and regularisation technique using lidar point cloud data and orthoimage. *Remote Sensing*, 8(3), 258.
- Greff, K., Srivastava, R. K., Koutník, J., Steunebrink, B. R., & Schmidhuber, J. (2017). LSTM: A search space odyssey. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 28(10), 2222-2232.
- Guindon, B. (2000). A framework for the development and assessment of object recognition modules from high-resolution satellite images. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(4), 334-348.
- Guo, Z., & Du, S. (2017). Mining parameter information for building extraction and change detection with very high-resolution imagery and GIS data. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 54(1), 38-63.

- Hamedianfar, A., Shafri, H. Z. M., Mansor, S., & Ahmad, N. (2014). Improving detailed rule-based feature extraction of urban areas from WorldView-2 image and lidar data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(5), 1876-1899.
- Haralick, R. M. (1979). Statistical and structural approaches to texture. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 67(5), 786-804.
- Haverkamp, D. S., & Poulsen, R. (2003, March). Complementary methods for extracting road centerlines from IKONOS imagery. In *Image and Signal Processing for Remote Sensing VIII* (Vol. 4885, pp. 501-512). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- Hermosilla, T., Ruiz, L. A., Recio, J. A., & Estornell, J. (2011). Evaluation of automatic building detection approaches combining high resolution images and LiDAR data. *Remote Sensing*, 3(6), 1188-1210.
- Hodgson, M. E., Jensen, J. R., Tullis, J. A., Riordan, K. D., & Archer, C. M. (2003). Synergistic use of lidar and color aerial photography for mapping urban parcel imperviousness. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 69(9), 973-980.
- Hofmann, A. D., Maas, H. G., & Streilein, A. (2002). Knowledge-based building detection based on laser scanner data and topographic map information. *International Archives of Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 34(3/A), 169-174.
- Hong, J., Lee, I., Oh, T., & Choi, K. (2009). Data fusion of LiDAR and image data for generation of a high-quality urban DSM. *Proceedings of the 2009 Urban Remote Sensing Joint Event, Shanghai*.
- Huertas, A., & Nevatia, R. (1988). Detecting buildings in aerial images. *Computer vision, graphics, and image processing*, 41(2), 131-152.
- Irvin, R. B., & McKeown, D. M. (1989). Methods for exploiting the relationship between buildings and their shadows in aerial imagery. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 19(6), 1564-1575.
- Ji, S., Wei, S., & Lu, M. (2018). Fully Convolutional Networks for Multisource Building Extraction From an Open Aerial and Satellite Imagery Data Set. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, (99), 1-13.
- Jimenez, L. O., Morales-Morell, A., & Creus, A. (1999). Classification of hyperdimensional data based on feature and decision fusion approaches using projection pursuit, majority voting, and neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 37(3), 1360-1366.

- Jin, X., & Davis, C. H. (2005). An integrated system for automatic road mapping from high-resolution multi-spectral satellite imagery by information fusion. *Information Fusion*, 6(4), 257-273.
- Jordan, M., Cord, M., & Belli, T. (2002). Building detection from high resolution digital elevation models in urban areas. *INTERNATIONAL ARCHIVES OF PHOTOGRAMMETRY REMOTE SENSING AND SPATIAL INFORMATION SCIENCES*, 34(3/B), 96-99.
- Kabolizade, M., Ebadi, H., & Ahmadi, S. (2010). An improved snake model for automatic extraction of buildings from urban aerial images and LiDAR data. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 34(5), 435-441.
- Karsli, F., & Kahya, O. (2008). Building extraction from laser scanning data. *ISPRS08, p. B3b*, 289.
- Keerthi, S. S., & Lin, C. J. (2003). Asymptotic behaviors of support vector machines with Gaussian kernel. *Neural computation*, 15(7), 1667-1689.
- Khorram, S., van der Wiele, C. F., Koch, F. H., Nelson, S. A., & Potts, M. D. (2016). Data Acquisition. In *Principles of applied remote sensing* (pp. 21-67). Springer, Cham.
- Khoshelham, K. (2005). Region refinement and parametric reconstruction of building roofs by integration of image and height data. *Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spatial Inform. Sci*, 36, 3-8.
- Kim, T., & Muller, J. P. (1999). Development of a graph-based approach for building detection. *Image and Vision Computing*, 17(1), 3-14.
- Kong, F., Yin, H., & Nakagoshi, N. (2007). Using GIS and landscape metrics in the hedonic price modeling of the amenity value of urban green space: A case study in Jinan City, China. *Landscape and urban planning*, 79(3-4), 240-252.
- Laben, C. A., & Brower, B. V. U.S. Patent No. 6,011,875. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (2000).
- Lafarge, F., Descombes, X., Zerubia, J., & Pierrot-Deseilligny, M. (2008). Automatic building extraction from DEMs using an object approach and application to the 3D-city modeling. *ISPRS Journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing*, 63(3), 365-381.
- Lee, D. S., Shan, J., & Bethel, J. S. (2003). Class-guided building extraction from Ikonos imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 69(2), 143-150.

- Lee, D. S., Shan, J., & Bethel, J. S. (2003). Class-guided building extraction from Ikonos imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 69(2), 143-150.
- Lewis, J. J., O'callaghan, R. J., Nikolov, S. G., Bull, D. R., & Canagarajah, C. N. (2004, June). Region-based image fusion using complex wavelets. In *Proc. 7th International Conference on Information Fusion* (Vol. 1, pp. 555-562).
- Lillesand, T.M., Kiefer, R.W., Chipman, J.W. *Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation*. John Wiley & Sons (2008), Inc., U.S.A, 756 pp
- Lu, D., & Weng, Q. (2009). Extraction of urban impervious surfaces from an IKONOS image. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30(5), 1297-1311.
- Lu, Z., Im, J., Rhee, J., & Hodgson, M. (2014). Building type classification using spatial and landscape attributes derived from LiDAR remote sensing data. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 130, 134-148.
- Luo, B., Khan, M. M., Bienvenu, T., Chanussot, J., & Zhang, L. (2013). Decision-based fusion for pansharpening of remote sensing images. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 10(1), 19-23.
- Luo, S., Wang, C., Xi, X., Zeng, H., Li, D., Xia, S., & Wang, P. (2015). Fusion of airborne discrete-return LiDAR and hyperspectral data for land cover classification. *Remote Sensing*, 8(1), 3.
- Ma, R. (2005). DEM generation and building detection from lidar data. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 71(7), 847-854.
- Maggiori, E., Tarabalka, Y., Charpiat, G., & Alliez, P. (2017). Convolutional neural networks for large-scale remote-sensing image classification. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 55(2), 645-657.
- Mahmoudi, F. T., Samadzadegan, F., & Reinartz, P. (2015). Object recognition based on the context aware decision-level fusion in multiviews imagery. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 8(1), 12-22.
- Maltezos, E., Doulamis, N., Doulamis, A., & Ioannidis, C. (2017). Deep convolutional neural networks for building extraction from orthoimages and dense image matching point clouds. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 11(4), 042620.
- Man, Q., Dong, P., & Guo, H. (2015). Pixel-and feature-level fusion of hyperspectral and lidar data for urban land-use classification. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 36(6), 1618-1644.

- Marjanovic, M. I. L. O. S., Kovacevic, M., Bajat, B., Mihalic, S., & Abolmasov, B. (2011). Landslide assessment of the Starca basin (Croatia) using machine learning algorithms. *Acta Geotechnica Slovenica*, 8, 45-55.
- Marjanović, M., Kovačević, M., Bajat, B., & Voženilek, V. (2011). Landslide susceptibility assessment using SVM machine learning algorithm. *Engineering Geology*, 123(3), 225-234.
- Miao, Q., Liu, R., Quan, Y., & Song, J. (2017). Remote sensing image fusion based on shearlet and genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, 9(4), 240-250.
- Michaelsen, E., Stilla, U., Soergel, U., & Doktorski, L. (2010). Extraction of building polygons from SAR images: Grouping and decision-level in the GESTALT system. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 31(10), 1071-1076.
- Miliaresis, G., & Kokkas, N. (2007). Segmentation and object-based classification for the extraction of the building class from LIDAR DEMs. *Computers & Geosciences*, 33(8), 1076-1087.
- Miller, J. (2016). Building Extraction from LiDAR Using Edge Detection (Doctoral dissertation).
- Momeni, R., Aplin, P., & Boyd, D. S. (2016). Mapping complex urban land cover from spaceborne imagery: the influence of spatial resolution, spectral band set and classification approach. *Remote Sensing*, 8(2), 88.
- Mountrakis, G., Im, J., & Ogole, C. (2011). Support vector machines in remote sensing: A review. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 66(3), 247-259.
- Mousavi Hondori, H., & Khademi, M. (2014). A review on technical and clinical impact of microsoft kinect on physical therapy and rehabilitation. *Journal of medical engineering*, 2014.
- Muller, J. P., Ourzik, C., Kim, T., & Dowman, I. (1997). Assessment of the effects of resolution on automated DEM and building extraction. In *Automatic Extraction of Man-Made Objects from Aerial and Space Images (II)* (pp. 233-242). Birkhäuser, Basel.
- Myint, S. W., Gober, P., Brazel, A., Grossman-Clarke, S., & Weng, Q. (2011). Per-pixel vs. object-based classification of urban land cover extraction using high spatial resolution imagery. *Remote sensing of environment*, 115(5), 1145-1161.
- Niemeyer, J., Rottensteiner, F., & Soergel, U. (2014). Contextual classification of lidar data and building object detection in urban areas. *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing*, 87, 152-165.

- O'Neil-Dunne, J. P., MacFaden, S. W., Royar, A. R., & Pelletier, K. C. (2013). An object-based system for LiDAR data fusion and feature extraction. *Geocarto International*, 28(3), 227-242.,
- Padmini, D. (2014). Different Levels of Image Fusion Techniques in Remote Sensing Applications and Image Classification. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Comput. Sci. Softw. Eng.*, 4, 199-206.
- Park, W., Kwak, S., & Kim, T. G. (2000, November). Line-rolling algorithm for automated building extraction from 1-meter resolution satellite images. In *Proc. International Symposium on Remote Sensing* (pp. 31-36).
- Piella, G. (2003). A general framework for multiresolution image fusion: from pixels to regions. *Information fusion*, 4(4), 259-280.
- Polh, C., and J. L. Van Genderen. "Multisensor image fusion in remote sensing: concepts, methods and applications. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 19, no. 5 (1998): 823-854.
- Poursanidis, D., Chrysoulakis, N., & Mitraka, Z. (2015). Landsat 8 vs. Landsat 5: A comparison based on urban and peri-urban land cover mapping. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 35, 259-269.
- Prerna, R., & Singh, C. K. (2016). Evaluation of LiDAR and image segmentation based classification techniques for automatic building footprint extraction for a segment of Atlantic County, New Jersey. *Geocarto International*, 31(6), 694-713.
- Pu, S., & Vosselman, G. (2009). Knowledge based reconstruction of building models from terrestrial laser scanning data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 64(6), 575-584.
- Qin, R., & Fang, W. (2014). A hierarchical building detection method for very high resolution remotely sensed images combined with DSM using graph cut optimization. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 80(9), 873-883.
- Ramiya, A. M., Nidamanuri, R. R., & Krishnan, R. (2016). Object-oriented semantic labelling of spectral-spatial LiDAR point cloud for urban land cover classification and buildings detection. *Geocarto International*, 31(2), 121-139.
- Ramiya, A. M., Nidamanuri, R. R., & Krishnan, R. (2016). Object-oriented semantic labelling of spectral-spatial LiDAR point cloud for urban land cover classification and buildings detection. *Geocarto International*, 31(2), 121-139.

- Rockinger, O. (1996, July). Pixel-level fusion of image sequences using wavelet frames. In *Proceedings of the 16th Leeds Applied Shape Research Workshop* (pp. 149-154). Leeds University Press.
- Rottensteiner, F., Trinder, J., Clode, S., & Kubik, K. K. T. (2004). Fusing airborne laser scanner data and aerial imagery for the automatic extraction of buildings in densely built-up areas. In *The International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing's Twentieth Annual Congress* (Vol. 35, pp. 512-517). ISPRS.
- Sahar, L., Muthukumar, S., & French, S. P. (2010). Using aerial imagery and GIS in automated building footprint extraction and shape recognition for earthquake risk assessment of urban inventories. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 48(9), 3511-3520.
- Salah, M., Trinder, J. C., & Shaker, A. (2011). Performance evaluation of classification trees for building detection from aerial images and LiDAR data: a comparison of classification trees models. *International journal of remote sensing*, 32(20), 5757-5783.
- Sameen, M. I., & Pradhan, B. (2017). A two-stage optimization strategy for fuzzy object-based analysis using airborne LiDAR and high-resolution orthophotos for urban road extraction. *Journal of Sensors*, 2017.
- Samui, P. (2008). Slope stability analysis: a support vector machine approach. *Environmental Geology*, 56(2), 225-267.
- Scott, G. J., Marcum, R. A., Davis, C. H., & Nivin, T. W. (2017). Fusion of deep convolutional neural networks for land cover classification of high-resolution imagery. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(9), 1638-1642.
- Segl, K., & Kaufmann, H. (2001). Detection of small objects from high-resolution panchromatic satellite imagery based on supervised image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 39(9), 2080-2083.
- Shackelford, A. K., & Davis, C. H. (2003). A combined fuzzy pixel-based and object-based approach for classification of high-resolution multispectral data over urban areas. *IEEE Transactions on GeoScience and Remote sensing*, 41(10), 2354-2363.
- Shackelford, A. K., & Davis, C. H. (2003). A combined fuzzy pixel-based and object-based approach for classification of high-resolution multispectral data over urban areas. *IEEE Transactions on GeoScience and Remote sensing*, 41(10), 2354-2363.

- Shafri, H. Z., Taherzadeh, E., Mansor, S., & Ashurov, R. (2012). Hyperspectral remote sensing of urban areas: an overview of techniques and applications. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 4(11), 1557-1565.
- Shah, C. A., & Quackenbush, L. J. (2007, May). Analyzing multi-sensor data fusion techniques: A multi-temporal change detection approach. In *ASPRS 2007 Annual Conf* (pp. 7-11).
- Shettigara, V. K. (1992). A generalized component substitution technique for spatial enhancement of multispectral images using a higher resolution data set. *Photogram. Engineer. Remote Sen.*, 58, 561-567.
- Sohn, G., & Dowman, I. (2007). Data fusion of high-resolution satellite imagery and LiDAR data for automatic building extraction. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 62(1), 43-63.
- Sohn, G., & Dowman, I. J. (2001). Extraction of buildings from high resolution satellite data. *Automated Extraction of Man-Made Objects from Aerial and Space Images (III)*. Balkema Publishers, Lisse, 345-355.
- Song, H., Huang, B., Liu, Q., & Zhang, K. (2015). Improving the spatial resolution of landsat TM/ETM+ through fusion with SPOT5 images via learning-based super-resolution. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 53(3), 1195-1204.
- Taherzadeh, E., & Shafri, H. Z. (2011, April). Using hyperspectral remote sensing data in urban mapping over Kuala Lumpur. In *Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2011 Joint* (pp. 405-408). IEEE.
- Tan, G.; Shibasaki, R. A Research for the Extraction of 3D Urban Building by Using Airborne Laser Scanner Data. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Asian Conference on Remote Sensing*, Kathmandu, Nepal, 25–29 November 2002; p. 5.
- Tang, T., & Dai, L. (2014). Accuracy test of point-based and object-based urban building feature classification and extraction applying airborne LiDAR data. *Geocarto International*, 29(7), 710-730.
- Tarantino, E., & Figorito, B. (2011). Extracting buildings from true color stereo aerial images using a decision making strategy. *Remote Sensing*, 3(8), 1553-1567.
- Taşar, O., & Aksoy, S. (2016, July). Object detection using optical and LiDAR data fusion. In *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2016 IEEE International* (pp. 7204-7207). IEEE.

- Tomljenovic, I., Höfle, B., Tiede, D., & Blaschke, T. (2015). Building extraction from airborne laser scanning data: An analysis of the state of the art. *Remote Sensing*, 7(4), 3826-3862.
- Tournaire, O., Brédif, M., Boldo, D., & Durupt, M. (2010). An efficient stochastic approach for building footprint extraction from digital elevation models. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 65(4), 317-327.
- Troy, A., & Grove, J. M. (2008). Property values, parks, and crime: A hedonic analysis in Baltimore, MD. *Landscape and urban planning*, 87(3), 233-245.
- Tu, T. M., Su, S. C., Shyu, H. C., & Huang, P. S. (2001). A new look at IHS-like image fusion methods. *Information fusion*, 2(3), 177-186.
- Turker, M., & San, K. (2010). Building detection from pansharpened Ikonos imagery through support vector machines classification. In *ISPRS Technical Commission VIII Symposium, Networking the World with Remote Sensing International, ISPRS Archives* (Vol. 38, No. Part 8, pp. 841-6). K. Kajiwara, K. Muramatsu, N. Soyama, T. Endo, A. Ono, and S. Akatsuka.
- Turlapaty, A., Gokaraju, B., Du, Q., Younan, N. H., & Aanstoos, J. V. (2012). A hybrid approach for building extraction from spaceborne multi-angular optical imagery. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 5(1), 89-100.
- Vakalopoulou, M., Karantzalos, K., Komodakis, N., & Paragios, N. (2015, July). Building detection in very high resolution multispectral data with deep learning features. In *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2015 IEEE International* (pp. 1873-1876).
- Valizadeh, S. A., & Ghassemian, H. (2012, November). Remote sensing image fusion using combining IHS and Curvelet transform. In *Telecommunications (IST), 2012 Sixth International Symposium on* (pp. 1184-1189). IEEE.
- Vestri, C., Devernay, F. Using Robust Methods for Automatic Extraction of Buildings. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Kauai, HI, USA, 8–14 December 2001; pp. 208-213.
- Vincent, P., Larochelle, H., Lajoie, I., Bengio, Y., & Manzagol, P. A. (2010). Stacked denoising autoencoders: Learning useful representations in a deep network with a local denoising criterion. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11(Dec), 3371-3408.
- Wald, L. (1999). Some terms of reference in data fusion. *IEEE Transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 37(3), 1190-1193.

- Wan, S., Lei, T., Chou, T.Y., (2010). A novel data mining technique of analysis and classification for landslide problems. *Natural Hazards*, 52, 211–230.
- Wegner, Peter. The object-oriented classification paradigm. MIT press, 1987.
- Wei, Y., Zhao, Z., & Song, J. (2004, September). Urban building extraction from high-resolution satellite panchromatic image using clustering and edge detection. In *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2004. IGARSS'04. Proceedings.* (Vol. 3, pp. 2008-2010).
- Weidner, U., & Förstner, W. (1995). Towards automatic building extraction from high-resolution digital elevation models. *ISPRS journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 50(4), 38-49.
- Wu, S. S., Qiu, X., Usery, E. L., & Wang, L. (2009). Using geometrical, textural, and contextual information of land parcels for classification of detailed urban landuse. Incorporating GIS building data and census housing statistics for sub-block population estimation. *Annals of Association of American Geographers*, 99, 76–98.
- Xu, Y., Wu, L., Xie, Z., & Chen, Z. (2018). Building Extraction in Very High Resolution Remote Sensing Imagery Using Deep Learning and Guided Filters. *Remote Sensing*, 10(1), 144.
- Xu, Y., Wu, L., Xie, Z., & Chen, Z. (2018). Building Extraction in Very High Resolution Remote Sensing Imagery Using Deep Learning and Guided Filters. *Remote Sensing*, 10(1), 144.
- Yang, H. L., Yuan, J., Lunga, D., Laverdiere, M., Rose, A., & Bhaduri, B. (2018). Building Extraction at Scale using Convolutional Neural Network: Mapping of the United States. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.08946.
- Yao, X., Tham, L. G., & Dai, F. C. (2008). Landslide susceptibility mapping based on support vector machine: a case study on natural slopes of Hong Kong, China. *Geomorphology*, 101(4), 572-582.
- Yoo, S., Im, J., & Wagner, J. E. (2012). Variable selection for hedonic modeling using machine learning approaches: A case study in Onondaga County, NY, USA. *Land-scape and Urban Planning*, 107, 293–306.
- Yuan, J. (2017). Learning building extraction in aerial scenes with convolutional networks. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*.
- Zabuawala, S., Nguyen, H., Wei, H., & Yadegar, J. (2009, February). Fusion of LiDAR and aerial imagery for accurate building footprint extraction. In *Image Processing: Machine Vision Applications II* (Vol. 7251, p. 72510Z). International Society for Optics and Photonics.

- Zhang, J. (2010). Multi-source remote sensing data fusion: status and trends. *International Journal of Image and Data Fusion*, 1(1), 5-24.
- Zhang, K., Yan, J., & Chen, S. C. (2006). Automatic construction of building footprints from airborne LIDAR data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44(9), 2523-2533.
- Zhang, Y. (2004). Understanding image fusion. *Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing*, 70(6), 657-661.
- Zhang, Y., De Backer, S., & Scheunders, P. (2009). Noise-resistant wavelet-based Bayesian fusion of multispectral and hyperspectral images. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 47(11), 3834.
- Zhao, R., Pang, M., & Wei, M. (2018). Accurate extraction of building roofs from airborne light detection and ranging point clouds using a coarse-to-fine approach. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 12(2), 026011.
- Zhao, T., & Wang, J. (2014). Use of lidar-derived NDTI and intensity for rule-based object-oriented extraction of building footprints. *International journal of remote sensing*, 35(2), 578-597.
- Zhong, J., Yang, B., Huang, G., Zhong, F., & Chen, Z. (2016). Remote sensing image fusion with convolutional neural network. *Sensing and Imaging*, 17(1), 10.
- Zhou, Y., & Qiu, F. (2015). Fusion of high spatial resolution WorldView-2 imagery and LiDAR pseudo-waveform for object-based image analysis. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 101, 221-232.
- Zhu, H., Basir, O., & Karray, F. (2002, October). Data fusion for pattern classification via the Dempster-Shafer evidence theory. In *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2002 IEEE International Conference on* (Vol. 7, pp. 2-pp). IEEE.
- Zhu, X., Zhang, S., Jin, Z., Zhang, Z., & Xu, Z. (2011). Missing value estimation for mixed-attribute data sets. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 23(1), 110-121.
- Ziaei, Z., Pradhan, B., & Mansor, S. B. (2014). A rule-based parameter aided with object-based classification approach for extraction of building and roads from WorldView-2 images. *Geocarto International*, 29(5), 554-569.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Faten Hamed Nahhas was born in Saudi Arabia in 1980. She received the BSc. degree in Geography Science from the University of Dammam, Dammam, S.A., in 2002, the M.Sc. degree in Remote Sensing & GIS from Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, in 2015. Currently she is a PhD candidate at UPM. Her research interest includes LiDAR, feature extraction, and deep learning. Nahhas was awarded Diploma of Early Childhood Education from Gulf Girl Charity Association (Centre of Early Childhood) in 2004, as well as, working as a school teacher in al-Dhahran Private Schools, al-Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, where she attended a number of courses in teaching, planning, class management, teaching strategies, as well, the use of brain research in teaching and learning and curriculum planning and design, how to deal with students of special needs. Nahhas also received training on handling team works and workshops. She has also attended a number of workshops and conferences in Malaysia and overseas, including Bahrain and Saudi Arabia.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Al-Nahas, F. H., Shafri, H. Z., Sameen, M. I., Pradhan, B., & Mansor, S. (2018). "Deep Learning Approach for Building Detection Using LiDAR–Orthophoto Fusion," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7212307, 12 pages, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7212307>.

Al-Nahas, F., & Shafri, H. Z. M. (2018, June). Pixel-based and object-oriented classifications of airborne LiDAR and high resolution satellite data for building extraction. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol.169, No. 1, p. 012032). IOP Publishing.





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

DEVELOPMENT OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED FUSION METHOD FOR BUILDING
DETECTION USING LIDAR AND VERY HIGH RESOLUTION IMAGES

NAME OF STUDENT: NAHHAS FATEN HAMED A

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (v)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentiality or restricted.]