



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF SINGLE DELAY DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS AND SPECIAL SECOND ORDER OSCILLATORY INITIAL  
VALUE PROBLEMS USING RUNGE-KUTT A AND HYBRID METHODS***

**SUFIA ZULFA BINTI AHMAD**

**FS 2013 18**



**NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF SINGLE DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
AND SPECIAL SECOND ORDER OSCILLATORY INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS  
USING RUNGE-KUTTA AND HYBRID METHODS**

By

**SUFIA ZULFA BINTI AHMAD**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Science**

**June 2013**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made within the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF SINGLE DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND SPECIAL SECOND ORDER OSCILLATORY INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS USING RUNGE-KUTTA AND HYBRID METHODS**

By

**SUFIA ZULFA BINTI AHMAD**

**June 2013**

**Chairman : Professor Fudziah Bt Ismail, PhD**

**Faculty : Science**

The first part of the thesis focuses on adapting existing methods for solving first and second order delay differential equations (DDEs). The methods are Improved Runge-Kutta (IRK) and Runge-Kutta (RK) methods which are adapted for solving first order DDEs. The accuracy and stability of the methods when applied to linear first order DDEs are looked into. Next we adapt the existing hybrid methods for solving special second order DDEs. Numerical results are compared in terms of accuracy and computational time with the Runge-Kutta Nyström (RKN) method. Stability of the methods when applied to linear second order DDEs are presented.

The new Semi-Implicit Hybrid methods (SIHMs) are derived for solving system of oscillatory problems. The methods have highest possible order of

dissipation and dispersion with small error coefficients. The periodicity intervals of the methods are also given. Numerical results indicate that SIHMs are more efficient compare to the existing methods.

Then the zero-dissipative Phase-Fitted Hybrid methods (PFHMs) are constructed based on the existing explicit hybrid methods. The dispersion relations are developed in order to obtain methods with phase-lag of order infinity. Numerical illustrations indicate that PFHMs are much more efficient than the existing methods.

Finally, we constructed Optimized Hybrid methods (OPHMs) based on the existing non-zero-dissipative hybrid methods. To develop OPHMs; dissipative, dispersive and first derivatives of dispersive relations are required. We found that the non-zero-dissipative hybrid methods are more suitable to be optimized than phase-fitted. Numerical results are also given to prove the claim.

In conclusion, the IRK methods and hybrid methods are more efficient in solving first and second order DDEs respectively. The new methods constructed in this thesis are suitable for solving second-order ODEs and they are more efficient compared to the existing methods.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENYELESAIAN BERANGKA BAGI PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN  
TUNDA TUNGGAL DAN PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN BIASA  
PERINGKAT KEDUA BAGI MASALAH NILAI AWAL BENTUK  
BERAYUN MENGGUNAKAN KAEDAH RUNGE-KUTTA DAN HIBRID**

Oleh

**SUFIA ZULFA BINTI AHMAD**

Jun 2013

**Pengerusi : Professor Fudziah Bt Ismail, PhD**

**Fakulti : Sains**

Bahagian pertama tesis memberi tumpuan terutamanya untuk penyesuaian kaedah sedia ada bagi penyelesaian persamaan pembezaan tunda (PPT) untuk peringkat pertama dan kedua. Kaedah Runge-Kutta tertambah baik (RKT) dan Runge-Kutta (RK) disesuaikan untuk menyelesaikan PPT peringkat pertama. Kejituan dan kestabilan kaedah yang diterapkan kepada PPT peringkat pertama juga diteliti. Seterusnya kaedah sedia ada hibrid disesuaikan bagi menyelesaikan PPT peringkat kedua. Keputusan berangka dibandingkan dari segi ketepatan dan masa pengiraan dengan kaedah Runge-Kutta Nyström (RKN). Kestabilan kaedah apabila diterapkan kepada PPT peringkat kedua juga dipersembahkan.

Kaedah Separa-Tersirat Hibrid (STH) dibina untuk penyelesaian sistem masalah bentuk berayun. Kaedah ini mempunyai peringkat serakan dan lesapan yang tertinggi serta ralat pekali yang kecil. Selang berkala bagi kaedah turut diberikan. Keputusan berangka menunjukkan kaedah STH adalah lebih efektif dari kaedah-kaedah sedia ada.

Kaedah Lesapan Sifar Suai Secara Fasa Hibrid (SSFH) dibina berdasarkan kaedah sedia ada tak-tersirat hibrid. Hubungan secara serakan dibina untuk mendapatkan kaedah yang mempunyai fasa-lag peringkat infiniti. Ilustrasi berangka menunjukkan bahawa kaedah baru adalah lebih berkesan daripada kaedah-kaedah sedia ada.

Akhir sekali, kaedah Pengoptimuman Hibrid (PH) dibina berdasarkan kaedah hibrid lesapan tidak sifar sedia ada. Bagi pembinaan kaedah PH; hubungan antara lesapan, serakan dan pembezaan pertama serakan diperlukan. Didapati bahawa kaedah hibrid lesapan tidak sifar lebih sesuai untuk dioptimumkan berbanding suai secara fasa. Keputusan berangka juga diberikan untuk membuktikan dakwaan itu.

Kesimpulannya, kaedah-kaedah RKT dan hibrid adalah lebih efektif dalam menyelesaikan PPT peringkat pertama dan kedua. Kaedah-kaedah baru yang dibina di dalam tesis ini adalah sesuai untuk menyelesaikan Persamaan

Pembezaan Biasa (PPB) peringkat kedua dan kaedah tersebut lebih cekap berbanding dengan kaedah yang sedia ada.





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**In the Name of Allah the Most Compassionate,**

**The Most Merciful First and foremost**

First of all, praise is for *Allah Subhanahu Wa Taala* for giving me the strength, guidance and patience to complete this thesis. May blessing and peace be upon Prophet *Muhammad Salallahu Alaihi Wasallam*, who was sent for mercy to the world. I would like to express my greatest gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Fudziah Bt Ismail for her tolerant, invaluable guidance, knowledge, assistance, caring and kindness, and also constructive criticisms throughout construction of this thesis. This research might be incomplete without her help and excellent ideas. I am also grateful to the member of the supervisory committee, Dr. Norazak Bin Senu and Professor Dr. Mohamed Bin Suleiman for their help and advice regarding better understanding in the field of numerical analysis. I wish to express my appreciation to all my friends during my study in Universiti Putra Malaysia. Finally, a very deep appreciation and special thanks to my mother, Salmah binti Mior Khalid and my sisters Suraya Hanim and Sufrina Aishah for their continuous support, encouragement and love towards completing of this thesis. Thank you very much.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b> ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	viii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	x
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Literature Review	1
1.2 The Objective of the Thesis	6
1.3 Outline of the Thesis	7
1.4 Hybrid Method	9
1.5 Local Truncation Error and Algebraic Condition of Hybrid Method	10
1.6 Analysis of the Periodicity, Absolute Stability, Dispersion and Dissipation	13
<b>2 SOLVING SINGLE DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS USING IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA, RUNGE-KUTTA, HYBRID AND RUNGE-KUTTA NYSTRÖM METHODS</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Estimate Delay Terms with Newton Divided Differences Interpolation	19
2.3 Comparison of IRK Methods and RK Methods for First Order Single DDEs	21
2.3.1 Stability of the IRK Methods when apply to DDEs	23
2.3.2 Problems Tested	32
2.3.3 Numerical Results and Discussions	33
2.4 Comparison of RKN and Hybrid Methods for Second Order DDEs	38
2.4.1 Stability Analysis of the Hybrid and RKN Methods when apply to DDEs	39
2.4.2 Problems Tested	50
2.4.3 Numerical Results and Discussions	51

<b>3</b>	<b>SEMI-IMPLICIT HYBRID METHOD FOR SOLVING OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS</b>	<b>56</b>
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Development of Dispersion and Dissipation Relations	58
3.3	Derivation of Semi - Implicit Hybrid Methods (SIHMs)	63
3.3.1	Derivation of Three - stage Fourth - order SIHM	63
3.3.1.1	Problems Tested	66
3.3.1.2	Numerical Results and Discussions	68
3.3.2	Derivation of Three - and Four - stage Fifth - order SIHMs	77
3.3.2.1	Numerical Results and Discussions	81
<b>4</b>	<b>PHASE-FITTED HYBRID METHOD WITH ZERO - DISSIPATION FOR SOLVING OSCILLATORY INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Stability and Phase - Lag of Order Infinity of Hybrid Method	92
4.3	Derivation of Phase - fitted Hybrid Methods (PFHMs)	93
4.3.1	Construction of Three - and Four-stage Fourth-order PFHMs	93
4.3.1.1	Problems Tested	96
4.3.1.2	Numerical Results and Discussions	98
4.3.2	Construction of Five - stage Sixth - order PFHMs	108
4.3.2.1	Numerical Results and Discussion	110
<b>5</b>	<b>OPTIMIZED HYBRID METHODS FOR SOLVING OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS</b>	<b>118</b>
5.1	Introduction	118
5.2	Derivation of Phase - Lag, Amplification and Derivatives of Phase - Lag of Order Infinity	119
5.3	Derivation of Optimized Hybrid Methods (OPHMs) and Phase-fitted Methods (PFHMs)	120
5.3.1	Derivation of Four - stage Fifth - order Methods	120
5.3.1.1	Construction of OPHMs	120
5.3.1.2	Construction of PFHMs	123
5.3.1.3	Problems Tested	124
5.3.1.4	Numerical Results and Discussions	125
5.3.2	Derivation of Five - stage Sixth - order Methods	142
5.3.2.1	Construction of OPHMs	142
5.3.2.2	Construction of PFHMs	145
5.3.2.3	Numerical Results and Discussions	146

<b>6 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>159</b>
6.1 Summary	159
6.2 Future Work	161
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>178</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 <i>s</i> -stage Hybrid methods	9
1.2 <i>s</i> -stage Explicit Hybrid methods	10
1.3 Order condition	12
2.1 Divided Difference	20
2.2 Table of coefficient for <i>s</i> -stage explicit IRK method	21
2.3 Table of coefficient for <i>s</i> -stage explicit RK method	22
2.4 Comparing results of the methods in the literature for problem 2.1 – 2.5	34
2.5 Table of coefficient for <i>s</i> -stage explicit hybrid method	38
2.6 Table of coefficient for <i>s</i> -stage explicit RKN method	38
2.7 Comparing results of the methods in the literature for problem 2.6 – 2.9	52
3.1 The <i>s</i> -stage semi-implicit hybrid methods	58
3.2 The general form of 3-stage SIHM	63
3.3 The SIHM4(6,∞) method	64
3.4 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.1	69
3.5 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.2	69
3.6 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.3	70
3.7 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.4	70
3.8 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.5	71
3.9 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.6	71
3.10 Comparing SIHM4(6,∞) for problem 3.7	72
3.11 The SIHM5(6,∞) method	77
3.12 The general form of 4-stage SIHM	78
3.13 The SIHM5(8,5) method	80
3.14 Comparing SIHM5(6,∞) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.1	82

3.15	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.2	83
3.16.	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.3	83
3.17	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.4	84
3.18	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.5	84
3.19	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.6	85
3.20	Comparing SIHM5(6, $\infty$ ) and SIHM5(8,5) for problem 3.7	85
4.1	Table of coefficients for PFHM3(4)	94
4.2	Table of coefficients for PFHM4(4)	96
4.3	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.1	100
4.4	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.2	100
4.5	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.3	101
4.6	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.4	101
4.7	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.5	102
4.8	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.6	102
4.9	Comparing PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for problem 4.7	103
4.10	Table of coefficients for PFHM5(6)	109
4.11	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.1	110
4.12	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.2	111
4.13	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.3	111
4.14	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.4	111
4.15	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.5	112
4.16	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.6	112
4.17	Comparing PFHM5(6) for problem 4.7	112
5.1	Table of coefficients for OPHM(ETSHM5)	122
5.2	Table of coefficients for OPHM(ETSHM5(8,5))	123
5.3	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 4.1	127
5.4	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 4.2	129
5.5	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 4.3	131

5.6	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 4.4	133
5.7	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 4.6	135
5.8	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 5.1	137
5.9	Comparing OPHMs and PFHMs order five for problem 5.2	139
5.10	Table of coefficients for OPHM(ETSHM6)	145
5.11	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 4.1	147
5.12	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 4.2	148
5.13	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 4.3	149
5.14	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 4.4	150
5.15	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 4.6	151
5.16	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 5.1	152
5.17	Comparing OPHM(ETSHM6) and PFHM(ETSHM6) for problem 5.2	153

## LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Page
2.1 $x_n - \tau$ position between two backward and two forward points	20
2.2 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for IRK3 method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	28
2.3 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for IRK4 method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	30
2.4 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for IRK5 method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	31
2.5 The efficiency curve for IRK and RK methods for Problem 2.1	35
2.6 The efficiency curve for IRK and RK methods for Problem 2.2	35
2.7 The efficiency curve for IRK and RK methods for Problem 2.3	36
2.8 The efficiency curve for IRK and RK methods for Problem 2.4	36
2.9 The efficiency curve for IRK and RK methods for Problem 2.5	37
2.10 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for E-HYBRID3(4) method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	42
2.11 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for E-HYBRID4(4) method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	43
2.12 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for RKN3(4) method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	47
2.13 The stability regions (a), (b) and (c) for RKN4(5) method for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2},$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ respectively	48
2.14 The efficiency curve for hybrid and RKN methods for Problem 2.6	53
2.15 The efficiency curve for hybrid and RKN methods for Problem 2.7	53



2.16	The efficiency curve for hybrid and RKN methods for Problem 2.8	54
2.17	The efficiency curve for hybrid and RKN methods for Problem 2.9	54
2.18	Time taken for the methods to solve the problems using all step sizes for the methods in the literature	55
3.1	Stability Region of SIHM4(6,∞)	65
3.2	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.1 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3, \dots, 7$	73
3.3	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.2 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.5}{2^i}$ for $i = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$	73
3.4	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.3 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$	74
3.5	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.4 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$	74
3.6	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.5 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$	75
3.7	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.6 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.25}{2^i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$	75
3.8	The efficiency curve for SIHM4(6,∞) for Problem 3.7 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$	76
3.9	Stability Region of SIHM5(6,∞)	78
3.10	Stability Region of SIHM5(8,5)	81
3.11	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.1 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$	86
3.12	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.2 with	87

	$t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	
3.13	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.3 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3,4,5,6,7$	87
3.14	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.4 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.9}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	88
3.15	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.5 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	88
3.16	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.6 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.75}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	89
3.17	The efficiency curve for SIHMs order five for Problem 3.7 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 3,4,5,6,7$	89
4.1	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.1 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	104
4.2	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.2 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 2,3,4,5,6$	104
4.3	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.3 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.8}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	105
4.4	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.4 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.2 - i \cdot 0.035$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	105
4.5	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.5 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.4 - i \cdot 0.04$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	106
4.6	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.6 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.028 - i \cdot 0.004$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	106
4.7	The efficiency curve for PFHM3(4), PFHM4(4) for Problem 4.7 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	107

4.8	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.1 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	113
4.9	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.2 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 2,3,4,5,6$	114
4.10	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.3 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.8}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	114
4.11	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.4 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.2 - i \cdot 0.035$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	115
4.12	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.5 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.4 - i \cdot 0.04$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	115
4.13	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.6 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 0.028 - i \cdot 0.004$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	116
4.14	The efficiency curve for PFHM5(6) for Problem 4.7 with $t_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	116
5.1	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 4.1 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	128
5.2	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 4.2 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 2,3,4,5,6$	130
5.3	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 4.3 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.5}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	132
5.4	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 4.4 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	134
5.5	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 4.6 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	136
5.6	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for	138

	Problem 5.1 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	
5.7	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order five for Problem 5.2 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 1.0 - i0.125$ for $i = 1,1,3,5,7$	140
5.8	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 4.1 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	154
5.9	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 4.2 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 2,3,4,5,6$	154
5.10	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 4.3 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.5}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$ .	155
5.11	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 4.4 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 0,1,2,3,4$	155
5.12	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 4.6 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	156
5.13	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 5.1 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = \frac{0.125}{2^i}$ for $i = 1,2,3,4,5$	156
5.14	The efficiency curve for OPHMs and PFHMs of order six for Problem 5.2 with $T_{end} = 10^4$ and $h = 1.0 - i0.125$ for $i = 1,1,3,5,7$	157

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DDE	Delay Differential Equation
DIRKN	Diagonally Implicit Runge-Kutta Nyström
DIRKN(HS)	Three-stage fourth-order DIRKN method by Sommeijer (1987)
DIRKN3(4)	Three-stage fourth-order dispersive order six of DIRKN method derived by Senu et al (2010a)
DIRKN4(4)	Four-stage fourth-order dispersive order six method DIRKN method derived by Senu et al (2010b)
E-HYBRID3(4)	Explicit three-stage fourth-order hybrid methods developed by Franco (2006)
E-HYBRID5(6)	Explicit five-stage sixth-order hybrid method by Franco (2006)
ETSHM5	Explicit four-stage fifth-order hybrid methods by Franco (2006)
ETSHM5(8,5)	Explicit hybrid method of four-stage fifth-order with dispersion of order eight and dissipation of order five develop by Franco (2006)
ETSHM6	Explicit hybrid methods of five-stage sixth-order develop by Franco (2006)
HYBRID4(4)	Explicit four-stage fourth-order hybrid methods developed by Franco (2006)
IRK3	Explicit third order Improved Runge-Kutta methods derived by Rabiei (2011b)
IRK4	Explicit fourth order Improved Runge-Kutta methods derived by Rabiei (2011c)
IRK5	Explicit fifth order Improved Runge-Kutta methods derived by Rabiei (2011a)

IVP	Initial Value Problem
MPAFRKN4(4)	Modified Phase-fitted and Amplification fitted Runge-Kutta Nyström method of four-stage fourth-order by Papadopoulos et al (2010)
NDDI	Newton Divided Difference Interpolation
ODE	Ordinary Differential Equation
OPHM(ETSHM5(8,5))	New optimized hybrid method four-stage fifth order developed based on ETSHM5(8,5) derived in this thesis
OPHM(ETSHM5)	New optimized hybrid method four-stage fifth order developed based on ETSHM5 derived in this thesis
OPHM(ETSHM6)	New optimized hybrid method five-stage sixth order developed based on ETSHM6 derived in this thesis
OPRKN4(5)	New optimized Runge-Kutta Nyström method of four-stage fifth-order develop by Kosti et al (2012)
PFHM(ETSHM5(8,5))	New phase-fitted hybrid method four-stage fifth order developed based on ETSHM5(8,5) derived in this thesis
PFHM(ETSHM5)	New phase-fitted hybrid method four-stage fifth order developed based on ETSHM5 derived in this thesis
PFHM(ETSHM6)	New phase-fitted hybrid method five-stage sixth order developed based on ETSHM6 in this thesis
PFHM3(4)	Phase-fitted hybrid method of three-stage fourth-order and zero dissipation develop in this thesis
PFHM4(4)	Phase-fitted hybrid method of four-stage fourth-order and zero dissipation develop in this thesis

PFHM5(6)	Phase-fitted hybrid method of five-stage sixth-order and zero dissipation develop in this thesis
PFRKN4(4)	Phase-fitted hybrid method of four-stage fourth-order Runge-Kutta Nyström by Papadopoulos et al (2009)
PH	Kaedah Pengoptimuman Hibrid
PPT	Persamaan Pembezaan Tunda
RK3	Explicit third order Runge-Kutta methods in Butcher (2008)
RK4	Explicit fourth order Runge-Kutta methods developed in Butcher (2008)
RK7(6)	Seven-stage sixth-order RK method in Butcher (2008)
RKT	Kaedah Runge-Kutta ditambah baik
RKN3(4)	Explicit three-stage fourth-order Runge-Kutta Nyström method by Hairer (2010)
RKN4(5)	Explicit four-stage fifth-order Runge-Kutta Nyström method by Hairer (2010)
SIHM4(6,∞)	Semi-implicit hybrid method of order 4 with dispersive order 6 and zero dissipation develop in this thesis
SIHM5(6,∞)	Semi-implicit hybrid method of order 5 with dispersive order six and zero-dissipation develop in this thesis
SIHM5(8,5)	Semi-implicit hybrid method of order 5 with dispersive order eight and dissipation order five develop in this thesis
SSFH	Kaedah Suai Secara Fasa Hibrid

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Literature Review

Differential equations have appeared in many practice originated in engineering, physical, social sciences and recently also great approaches in the field of biology and medicine. Some physical processes occur not only depend on the current state of the system but also the past states. Mathematical models of such process commonly result in differential equations with a time delay. This type of equation is called delay differential equations (DDEs) which the derivative at anytime depends on the solution at prior times and also known as model that incorporating past history. A more realistic model must include some of the past history of the system to determine the future behavior. DDEs often appear in connection with fundamental problems to analyze mathematical model in order to determine the future behavior.

There has been a growing interest in the field of DDEs, such as the work of Kuang (1993), Ismail and Suleiman (2000), Bellen and Zennaro (2003), Taiwo and Odetunde (2010) and many others. There are many applications which are well-known related to DDEs such as population dynamics, epidemiology and reforestation. For example, the process of reforestation involved



replanting process will take at least 20 years before the tree reaching maturity. Hence, mathematical model of forest harvesting and regeneration must have time delay built into it.

In the first part of this study we are focusing on adapting existing methods for solving first and second order DDEs. The general form of a single first order delay differential equation with constant delay can be written as

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x), y(x - \tau)), a \leq x \leq b, y(x_0) = y_0, x \in [-\tau, a] \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\tau$  is the delay term. Many authors have attempted to increase the efficiency of Runge-Kutta (RK) methods that required a lower number of function evaluations to solve first order initial value problems (IVPs). Consequently, Goeken et al (2000) proposed a class of RK method with higher derivatives approximations for the third and fourth-order methods. Phohomsiri and Udwardia (2004) constructed the accelerated Runge-Kutta (ARK) integration schemes for the third-order using two functions evaluations per step. Then, Udwardia and Farahani (2008) developed the ARK method for higher orders. Rabiei et al (2011b) constructed the Improved Runge-Kutta (IRK) method with reduced number of function evaluations which proposed a method of order three with two stages. Rabiei et al (2011c) then derived the order conditions and constructed the IRK method for solving ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The convergence and stability region of the methods were also discussed. Here, we use IRK and

RK methods to solve (1.1) and compare the methods efficiency in terms of accuracy. We use the same approaches as defined in Ismail and Suleiman (2000) to find the stability region of IRK methods when applied to first order DDEs.

The general form of the special second order delay differential equation with constant delay can be written in the form of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y''(x) &= f(x, y(x), y(x - \tau)), \quad a \leq x \leq b, \\ y(x_0) &= y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0, \quad x \in [-\tau, a] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\tau$  is the delay terms and first derivative does not appear explicitly. Apparently, the most common methods used for solving second order ODEs numerically is Runge-Kutta Nyström (RKN) method and also Runge-Kutta (RK) method after reducing the IVPs to first order ODEs. Franco (1995) proposed that second order ODEs can be solved using particular explicit hybrid algorithms or special multi-step methods. Coleman (2003) developed the algebraic order conditions of hybrid method up to order nine. Later, Franco (2006) constructed explicit two-step hybrid method of order four, five and six using the algebraic order condition developed by Coleman (2003) which have optimized error constant for solving second order IVPs. In this thesis we adapt the hybrid and RKN methods in order to solve (1.2). The RKN and hybrid methods are compared in terms of accuracy and stability regions. We use the same approaches as defined in Ismail and Suleiman

(2000) to find the stability region of hybrid methods. While, the stability region for RKN method is defined using the way proposed by Kuang and Cong (2005).

Many differential equations which appear in practice are system of second order IVP, in which the first derivative does not appear explicitly as

$$y'' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0 \quad (1.3)$$

We are focusing on solving (1.3) directly for which it is known in advance that their solution is oscillating. While dealing with oscillatory problems, we need to consider the algebraic order conditions, dispersion (phase-lag) and dissipation (amplification error) properties when construction of a method. Bursa and Nigro (1980) first introduced the phase-lag of a method. Van der Houwen and Sommeijer (1987) proposed explicit RKN methods of order four, five, and six with reduced phase-lag of order six, eight, and 10 respectively. Senu et al (2010a) developed diagonally implicit RKN (DIRKN) method with dispersion of higher order for solving oscillatory problems. There are also some studies such as Samat et al (2012) in which they developed higher order explicit hybrid methods of order seven with phase-lag order eight and dissipation of order nine. In order to solve (1.3), semi implicit hybrid methods (SIHMs) are developed using the necessary algebraic condition, dispersion and dissipation relation. To implement the methods, accuracy and stability are two further factors for judging the efficiency of a method.

Some authors have developed hybrid methods with the purpose of making the phase-lag of the method smaller. For example, Van de Vyver (2007) provided a theoretical framework for a new type of phase-fitted and amplification-fitted of two-step hybrid methods for solving special second ODEs. Papadopoulos et al (2009) constructed phase fitted RKN (PFRKN) method using the dispersion relation in order to get method with phase lag of order infinity. The method is developed based on the Runge-Kutta-Nyström method of algebraic order four with four (three effective) stages by Dormand, El-Mikkawy and Prince (1987). In the literature, zero-dissipative phase-fitted two-step hybrid methods are developed using the same approaches as in Papadopoulos et al (2009) for solving second order ODEs. In this thesis, the phase-fitted hybrid methods (PFHMs) are constructed based on the existing zero-dissipative explicit hybrid methods originally developed by Franco (2006).

Lastly, we investigate effect of optimized and phase-fitted method for the modification of the existing non-zero dissipative hybrid methods. Simos (2012) developed the methodology of optimization of the efficiency of a hybrid two-step method for the numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation. The study is to focus on the vanishing of the phase-lag and its derivatives optimize the efficiency of the hybrid two-step method. Kosti et al

(2012) constructed an explicit RKN method with four stages and fifth algebraic order conditions. The variable coefficients of the preserved method result after nullifying the phase lag, the dissipative error and the first derivative of the phase-lag. In this study, both phase-fitted hybrid methods (PFHMs) and optimized hybrid methods (OPHMs) are developed based on the same non-zero-dissipative explicit methods originally by Franco (2006) for solving the second order ODEs. The OPHMs and PFHMs are constructed using the same approaches used by Kosti et al (2012) and Papadopoulos et al (2009) respectively. Therefore, the investigation of whether optimized methods or phase-fitted improve the accuracy of non-zero-dissipative methods are discussed in the research.

## 1.2 The Objective of the Thesis

The main objectives of this thesis can be summarized as follows:

1. To compare the efficiency of IRK with RK method, hybrid method with RKN method for solving first and second order DDEs respectively.
2. To construct Semi-Implicit Hybrid methods (SIHMs) using phase-lag and dissipative properties for solving oscillating problems.
3. To develop Phase-Fitted Hybrid methods (PFHMs) from existing zero-dissipative methods for solving second order ODEs.

4. To derive Optimize Hybrid methods (OPHMs) and PFHMs from existing non-zero-dissipative methods and investigate the effect of nullifying the properties of phase-lag, amplification error, and first derivative of phase-lag when designing the methods.

### 1.3 Outline of the Thesis

In Chapter 1, basic theory of numerical method and analysis of dispersion and dissipation of hybrid methods are discussed. In Chapter two, a brief explanation is given on DDEs and how the numerical methods are adapted for solving DDEs. Comparison of efficiency of the methods and their stability are also given. In Chapter three, we derived SIHMs of order four and two methods of order five. The dispersion and dissipation relations are applied in the derivation of the methods. The stability properties of the methods are also determined. Numerical results are presented and comparisons of the methods with some other implicit and explicit existing methods are given.

In Chapter four, we derive zero-dissipative explicit PFHMs of three-and four-stage fourth-order and five-stage sixth-order which based on the hybrid methods which were originally developed by Franco (2006). To get methods of phase-lag of order infinity, the dispersion properties are

imposed for each of the hybrid methods using the same way as proposed in Papadopoulos et al (2009). Numerical results and comparison of the methods with the original hybrid methods and other methods in literature for solving special second order ODEs which have oscillating solutions are also included.

For non-zero-dissipative hybrid method, we construct four-stage fifth-order and five-stage sixth-order OPHMs in Chapter five. The methods are developed using the same approach introduced in Kosti et al (2012) which optimized the methods by imposing the dispersion, dissipation and first derivative of dispersion relation. The methods are based on the non-zero-dissipative hybrid method developed by Franco (2006). Numerical results and comparison on accuracy of the methods with the original hybrid method and methods in literature are also discussed. In addition, based on the same hybrid methods by Franco (2006) we also developed the phase-fitted version of the methods. OPHMs and PFHMs performance are compared to investigate which method improves the explicit non-zero-dissipative hybrid methods in terms of accuracy. Finally, the conclusion of the thesis is given in Chapter six.

## 1.4 Hybrid Method

An  $s$ -stage two-step hybrid method for numerical integration IVPs is in the form

$$Y_i = (1 + c_i)y_n - c_i y_{n-1} + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} f(x_n + c_j h, Y_j), \quad (1.4)$$

$$y_{n+1} = 2y_n - y_{n-1} + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i f(x_n + c_i h, Y_i), \quad (1.5)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , where the coefficients of  $b_i$ ,  $c_i$ , and  $a_{ij}$  can be represented in Butcher tableau by the table of coefficients in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1:  $s$ -stage Hybrid methods

$c$	$A$	$c_1$	$a_{1,1}$	$\dots$	$a_{1,s}$
$ $	$b^T$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
$ $		$c_s$	$a_{s,1}$	$\dots$	$a_{s,s}$
			$b_1$	$\dots$	$b_s$

The methods are characterized by two  $s$ -dimensional vectors,  $b$  and  $c$ , with elements  $b_i$  and  $c_i$ , respectively, and  $s \times s$  matrix  $A$  with elements  $a_{ij}$ . In vector notation, for an autonomous system of equations  $y' = f(y)$ , (1.4) and (1.5) can be written in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= 2y_n - y_{n-1} + h^2 (b^T \otimes I) f(Y), \\ Y &= (e + c) \otimes y_n - c \otimes y_{n-1} + h^2 (A \otimes I) f(Y), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^T$ .

The methods of the form (1.4) and (1.5) can be defined as

$$Y_1 = y_{n-1}, Y_2 = y_n, \quad (1.7)$$



$$Y_i = (1 + c_i)y_n - c_i y_{n-1} + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} f(x_n + c_j h, Y_j), i = 3, \dots, s, \quad (1.8)$$

$$y_{n+1} = 2y_n - y_{n-1} + h^2 [b_1 f_{n-1} + b_2 f_n + \sum_{i=3}^s b_i f(x_n + c_i h, Y_i)], \quad (1.9)$$

where  $f_{n-1} = f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$ ,  $f_n = f(x_n, y_n)$  and the first two nodes are  $c_1 = -1$  and  $c_2 = 0$ . This method is considered as two-step hybrid method because we only require to evaluate  $f(t_n, y_n), f(x_n + c_3 h, Y_3), \dots, f(x_n + c_s h, Y_s)$  for each step after starting procedure. The general form of explicit hybrid method can be written in Butcher tableau in Table 1.2.

**Table 1.2: s-stage Explicit Hybrid methods**

-1	0				
0	0	0			
$c_3$	$a_{3,1}$	$a_{3,2}$	0		
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	0	
$c_s$	$a_{s,1}$	$a_{s,2}$	$\dots$	$a_{s,s-1}$	0
	$b_1$	$b_2$	$\dots$	$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

### 1.5 Local Truncation Error and Algebraic Condition of Hybrid Method

Algebraic order condition of hybrid method was developed by Coleman (2003). The order conditions for two-step hybrid methods are derived by considering them as one-step methods of the form

$$u_n = u_{n-1} + h\phi(u_{n-1}, h), \quad (1.10)$$

where  $u_n$  is an appropriately defined numerical solution vector, and some starting procedure is used to generate  $u_0$ .

This approach is prompted by the work of Hairer and Warner (2012) for a class of two-step Runge-Kutta methods for differential equations of first order. The first equation in (1.6) can be written as a pair of equations by defining  $F_n := (y_{n+1} - y_n)/h$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= y_{n-1} + hF_{n-1}, \\ F_n &= F_{n-1} + h(b^T \otimes I)f(Y). \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be written as (1.10) with

$$u_n = \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ F_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \phi(u_{n-1}, h) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ (b^T \otimes I)f(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $Y$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= (e + c) \otimes y_n - c \otimes y_{n-1} + h^2(A \otimes I)f(Y) \\ &= e \otimes y_{n-1} + h(e + c) \otimes F_{n-1} + h^2(A \otimes I)f(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

The vector  $u_n$  is an approximation for  $z_n = z(x_n, h)$ , where  $z$  is the exact-value function defined by

$$z(x, h) = \begin{pmatrix} y(x) \\ \frac{y(x+h) - y(x)}{h} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.12)$$

The local truncation error of the method at  $x_n$  is

$$d_n = z_n - z_{n-1} - h\phi(z_{n-1}, h), \quad (1.13)$$

with

$$\phi(z_{n-1}, h) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y(x_n) - y(x_{n-1})}{h} \\ (b^T \otimes I)f(Y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.14)$$

where  $Y$  is now defined implicitly as (1.11).

The order conditions that developed by Coleman (2003) for a  $s$ -stage, up to order seven for explicit hybrid methods are in Table 1.3.

**Table 1.3: Order condition**

Tree $t$	$\rho(t)$	Order condition
$t_{21}$	2	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i = 1$
$t_{31}$	3	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i = 0$
$t_{41}$	4	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{6}$
$t_{42}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} = \frac{1}{12}$
$t_{51}$	5	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^3 = 0$
$t_{52}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i a_{ij} = \frac{1}{12}$
$t_{53}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} c_j = 0$
$t_{61}$	6	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^4 = \frac{1}{15}$
$t_{62}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^2 a_{ij} = \frac{1}{30}$
$t_{63}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j = -\frac{1}{60}$
$t_{64}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} a_{ik} = \frac{7}{120}$
$t_{65}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{180}$
$t_{66}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} = \frac{1}{360}$
$t_{71}$	7	$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^5 = 0$
$t_{72}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^3 a_{ij} = \frac{1}{30}$
$t_{73}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^2 a_{ij} c_j = 0$
$t_{74}$		$\sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i a_{ij} a_{ik} = \frac{1}{30}$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
t_{75} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{72} \\
t_{76} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i a_{ij} a_{jk} = -\frac{1}{720} \\
t_{77} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} a_{ik} c_k = -\frac{1}{120} \\
t_{78} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} c_j^3 = 0 \\
t_{79} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} c_j a_{jk} = \frac{1}{360} \\
t_{7,10} & \sum_{i=1}^s b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} c_k = 0
\end{array}$$


---

where value of  $i > j > k$ . The simplifying condition for hybrid method is

$$\sum_i^s a_{ij} = \frac{(c_i^2 + c_i)}{2}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s, j = i - 1 \text{ and } k = j - 1.$$

## 1.6 Analysis of the Periodicity, Absolute Stability, Dispersion and Dissipation

Stability analysis of explicit hybrid method has been discussed in Franco (2006). We apply the test equation  $y''(t) = (i\lambda)^2 y(x) = -\lambda^2 y(x)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$  by replacing  $f(x, y) = -\lambda^2 y(x)$  to the equation (1.4) and (1.5) and gives

$$Y_i = (1 + c_i)y_n - c_i y_{n-1} - h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} \lambda^2 y(x), i = 1, \dots, s, \quad (1.15)$$

$$y_{n+1} = 2y_n - y_{n-1} - h^2 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \lambda^2 y(x), \quad (1.16)$$

Let  $H = h\lambda$ , so equation (1.15) and (1.16) can be written as

$$Y_i = (1 + c_i)y_n - c_i y_{n-1} - H^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} y(x), i = 1, \dots, s, \quad (1.17)$$

$$y_{n+1} = 2y_n - y_{n-1} - H^2 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i y(x), \quad (1.18)$$

and equation (1.17) will give

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1 &= (1 + c_1)y_n - c_1y_{n-1} - H^2(a_{11}Y_1 + a_{12}Y_2 + \dots + a_{1s}Y_s) \\
Y_2 &= (1 + c_2)y_n - c_2y_{n-1} - H^2(a_{21}Y_1 + a_{22}Y_2 + \dots + a_{2s}Y_s) \\
&\vdots \\
Y_s &= (1 + c_s)y_n - c_sy_{n-1} - H^2(a_{s1}Y_1 + a_{s2}Y_2 + \dots + a_{ss}Y_s)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

Then, (1.19) and (1.18) can be written in vector form respectively as

$$Y = (e + c)y_n - cy_{n-1} - H^2AY, \tag{1.20}$$

$$y_{n+1} = 2y_n - y_{n-1} - H^2b^TY, \tag{1.21}$$

where  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_s \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_s \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{s1} & \dots & a_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_s \end{pmatrix}$ .

By rearranging (1.20) we obtain

$$Y = (I + H^2A)^{-1}(e + c)y_n - (I + H^2A)^{-1}cy_{n-1} \tag{1.22}$$

where  $(I + H^2A)^{-1} \neq 0$ . We substitute (1.22) into (1.21) and we get

$$y_{n+1} = \left(2 - H^2b^T(I + H^2A)^{-1}(e + c)\right)y_n - (1 - H^2b^T(I + H^2A)^{-1}c)y_{n-1}. \tag{1.23}$$

Then we can rewrite (1.23) as

$$y_{n+1} - S(H^2)y_n + P(H^2)y_{n-1} = 0. \tag{1.24}$$

From (1.24) we obtain the following recursion

$$P(\xi, H) = \xi^2 - S(H^2)\xi + P(H^2) = 0 \tag{1.25}$$

where

$$S(H^2) = 2 - H^2b^T(I + H^2A)^{-1}(e + c)$$

and

$$P(H^2) = 1 - H^2b^T(I + H^2A)^{-1}c. \tag{1.26}$$

The characteristic polynomial (1.25) represents the stability polynomial of hybrid method. The numerical solution defined by the difference (1.24) should be periodic therefore the necessary conditions are

$$P(H^2) \equiv 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |S(H^2)| < 2, \quad \forall H \in (0, H_p) \quad (1.27)$$

and interval  $(0, H_p)$  is known as the periodicity interval of the method. The method is called zero dissipative ( $d(H) = 0$ ) if it satisfied conditions in (1.27). Otherwise, as the method possesses a finite order of dissipation, the integration process is stable if the coefficients of polynomial in (1.27) satisfy the conditions

$$P(H^2) < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |S(H^2)| < 1 + P(H^2), \quad \forall H \in (0, H_s) \quad (1.28)$$

And interval  $(0, H_s)$  is known as the interval of absolute stability of the method.

The first analysis of phase-lag was carried out by Bursa and Nigro (1980). Phase analysis can be divided into two parts. First is inhomogeneous which phase error is constant in time and second is homogeneous which the phase error are accumulated as  $n$  increases. As proposed by Franco (2006), the phase analysis is investigated using the second order homogeneous linear test model,  $y''(x) = -\lambda^2 y(x)$ . The steps to define phase analysis of hybrid method are the same from equation (1.15) to (1.26). Given that the exact solution for the homogeneous test  $y'' = (i\lambda)^2 y(x)$  is

$$y(x_n) = 2|\varpi| \cos(X + nH). \quad (1.29)$$

The numerical solution of (1.5) is in the form of

$$y_n = 2|c||\rho|^n \cos(\omega + n\varphi). \quad (1.30)$$

**Definition 1** (Apply the hybrid method (1.29) and (1.30)) We define the phase-lag  $\phi(H) = H - \varphi$ . If  $\phi(H) = O(H^{q+1})$ , then the hybrid method is said to be dispersive of order  $q$ . While, the quantity  $d(H) = 1 - |\rho|$  is called as amplification error and if  $d(H) = O(H^{r+1})$ , then the hybrid method is said to have dissipation order  $r$ . According to Definition 1, if at a point  $h$ ,  $d(H) = 0$ , then the hybrid method has zero dissipation at this point and it is dissipative otherwise. The error  $\phi(H)$  and  $d(H)$  are accumulated in the numerical process and therefore a cause of inaccuracy which leads to many integration steps to be performed. Hence, in this study we will focus on increasing the order of dispersion  $q$  (defined by  $\phi(H) = O(H^{q+1})$ ) and the order of dissipation  $r$  (defined by  $d(H) = O(H^{r+1})$ ). Dispersion (phase-lag) is the angle between the true and the approximated solution, whereas dissipation is the distance from a standard cyclic solution.

The following nomenclature given by Van der Houwen and Sommeijer (1987)

$$\phi(H) = H - \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{S(H^2)}{2\sqrt{P(H^2)}} \right) \quad (1.31)$$

$$d(H) = 1 - \sqrt{P(H^2)} \quad (1.32)$$

are called the dispersion error and the dissipation error, respectively. The general form of  $S(H^2)$  and  $P(H^2)$  for explicit hybrid methods in Franco (2006) is in the form of

$$S(H^2) = 2 - \alpha_1 H^2 + \alpha_2 H^4 - \alpha_3 H^6 + \dots + \alpha_i H^{2i}, \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for } i > s. \quad (1.33)$$

$$P(H^2) = 1 - \beta_1 H^2 + \beta_2 H^4 - \beta_3 H^6 + \dots + \beta_i H^{2i}, \beta_i = 0 \text{ for } i > s. \quad (1.34)$$

At the beginning of Chapter 2, we will discuss the stability of Improved Runge-Kutta (IRK) method when applied to first order DDE. The comparison of accuracy in terms of absolute error between IRK and Runge-Kutta (RK) method are also presented. Then, comparison between stability regions and accuracy of hybrid method and RKN method when applied to second order DDE are also discussed. The stability properties of the methods are defined using particular linear test model which will be discussed in detail in the next chapter.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alfredo Bellen and Marino Zennaro.(2003). *Numerical methods for delay differential equations*. Oxford University Press Inc., New York.
- Allen, R. C., and Wing, Jr. G. M.(1974). An invariant imbedding algorithm for the solution of inhomogeneous linear two-point boundary value problems, *J. Computer Physics*. 14: 40-58.
- Basem S. Attili, Khalid Furati, Muhammed I. Syam.(2006). An efficient implicit Runge-Kutta method for second order systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 178: 229-238.
- Bhagat Singh.(1975). Asymptotic nature on non-oscillatory solutions of  $n$ th order retarded differential Equations. *SIAM Journal Mathematics and Analysis*. 6:784-795
- Bingtuan Li.(1996). Oscillation of first order Delay Differential Equations. *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*. 124: 3729-3737.
- Bursa, L. and Nigro, L.(1980). A one-step method for direct integration of structural dynamic equations, *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg*. 15: 685-699.
- Butcher, J. C.(2008). *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*. Wiley & Sons LTD., England.
- Chakravarti, P. C., Worland, P.B.(1971). A class of self-starting methods for the numerical solution of  $y'' = f(x, y)$  . *BIT. Numerical Mathematics*. 11: 368-383.
- Chawla, M. M., Rao, P.S.(1985). High-accuracy P-stable methods for  $y'' = f(x, y)$ . *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*. 5: 215-220.
- Coleman, J. P.(2003). Order conditions for class of two-step methods for  $y'' = f(x, y)$ . *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*. 23: 197-220.
- Dormand, J.R., El-Mikkawy, M.E.A., Prince, P.J.(1987). Families of Runge-Kutta Nyström formulae. *IMA J. Numer. Anal*. 7: 235-250.

- Franco J.M.(1995). An explicit hybrid method of Numerov type for second-order periodic initial-value problems. *Journal of Computational Applied Mathematics*. 59: 79-90.
- Franco J.M.(2006). A class of explicit two-step hybrid methods for second order IVP's. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 187: 41-57.
- Goeken, O., Johnson, O.(2000). Runge-Kutta with higher order derivative approximations. *Applied Numer. Math.* 34: 207 -218.
- Hairer, E., Nørsett, S.P., Wanner, G.(2010). *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations 1. Nonstiff problems*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Ismail, F. and Suleiman, M.(2000). The P-Stability and Q-Stability of Singly diagonally implicit Runge-Kutta Method for delay differential Equations. *Intern. J. Computer Math.* 76: 267-277.
- Schmitt, K.(1971). Comparison theorems for second order delay differential equations, *Journal of Mathematics*. 1: 459-467.
- Kuang, J.(1993). Delay differential equations with applications in population dynamics. *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press. 191: 398
- Kuang, J. and Cong, Y.(2005). *Stability of Numerical Methods for Delay Differential Equations*. Science Press, USA Inc.
- Kosti, A. A., Anastassi, Z. A., and Simos. T.E.(2012). An optimized explicit Runge-Kutta Nyström method for the numerical solution of orbital and related periodical initial value problems. *Computer Physics Communications*. 183: 470-479.
- Ladas, G.and Stavroulakis, I.P.(1982). On delay differential inequalities of first order, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*. 25: 105-113.
- Lambert, J. D. and Watson, I. A.(1976). Symmetric multistep methods for periodic initial-value problems, *J. Inst. Maths Applies*. 18: 189-202.
- Papadopoulos, D. F., Anastassi Z. A., and Simos. T.E.(2009). A phase-fitted Runge-Kutta Nyström method for the numerical solution of initial

value problems with oscillating solutions. *Journal of Computer Physics Communications*.180:1839-1846.

- Papadopoulos, D. F., Anastassi Z. A., and Simos. T.E.(2010). A modified phase-fitted and amplification-fitted Runge-Kutta-Nyström method for the numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation, *Mol Model*. 16: 1339-1346.
- Phohomsiri, P., Udwadia, F.E.(2004). Acceleration of Runge-Kutta integration schemes. *Discret. Dynamic. Nature. Soci*. 2: 307-314.
- Rabiei, F. and Ismail, F.(2011a). Fifth-order Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving Ordinary Differential Equations. *Proceeding of WSEAS Conference, Penang, Makaysia*, ISBN: 978-1 61804-039-8. 129-133.
- Rabiei, F. and Ismail, F.(2011b). Improved Runge-Kutta Method for solving Ordinary Differential Equations. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*. 1: 191-194.
- Rabiei, F., Ismail, F., Suleiman, M., and Arifin, N.(Submitted 2011c). Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving Ordinary Differential Equations. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*.
- El-Safty, A. and Abo-Hasha, S.M.(1990). On the application of spline functions to initial value problem with retarder argument. *Int. J. Comput. Math*. 32: 137-179.
- Samat, F., Ismail, F., and Suleiman, M.(2012). High Order Explicit Hybrid Methods for solving second-order ordinary differential equations. *Sains Malaysiana*. 41:253-260.
- Senu, N., Suleiman, M., Ismail, F., and Othman, M.(2011a). A fourth-order diagonally implicit Runge-Kutta- Nyström method with dispersion of high order, *ASM'10 Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Applied Mathematics, simulation, modeling*. ISBN: 978-960-474-210-3. 78-82.
- Senu, N., Suleiman, M., Ismail, F., and Othman, M.(2011b). A Singly Diagonally Implicit Runge-Kutta Nyström Method for Solving Oscillatory Problems. *Proceeding of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists*. ISBN: 978-988-19251-2-1.

- Simos, T.E.(2012). Optimizing a hybrid two-step method for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation and related problems with respect to phase-lag. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*. 2012 : 17 pg. doi: 10.1155/2012/420387.
- Sommeijer, B.P.(1987). A note on a diagonally implicit Runge-Kutta-Nyström method. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 19: 395-399.
- Sontakke, B. R.(2011). Survey of oscillation criteria for first order delay differential equations. *Bulletin of the Marathwada Mathematical Society*. 12: 128-136.
- Stiefel, E. and Bettis, D.G.(1969). Stabilization of Cowell's methods, *Numer. Math*. 13: 154-175.
- Taiwo, O.A., and Odetunde, O.S.(2010). On the numerical approximation of delay differential equations by a decomposition method, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, Vol.3 :237-243.
- Udwadia, F.E., Farahani, A.(2008). Accelerated Runge-Kutta methods. *Discret. Dynamic. Nature. Soci*. Doi: 10.1155/2008/790619.
- Van de Vyver, H.(2007). A symplectic Runge-Kutta- Nyström method with minimal phase-lag, *Physics Letters A*. 367:16-24.
- Van der Houwen, P. J., and Sommeijer, B. P.(1987). Explicit Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) methods with reduced phase errors for computing oscillating solutions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*. 24: 595-617.