



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**CHANGES IN NUTRITIVE QUALITY OF
Setaria sphacelata var. *splendida* WITH MATURITY**

SURIANI MD. NOR

FP 2015 104

**CHANGES IN NUTRITIVE QUALITY OF *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida*
WITH MATURITY**



SURIANI BT MD NOR

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN**

2014/2015

**CHANGES IN NUTRITIVE QUALITY OF *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida*
WITH MATURITY**

BY

SURIANI BT MD NOR

A project report submitted to Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in fulfillment of requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Agricultural Science

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN**

2014/2015

This project paper attached here to, entitled “Changes in nutritive quality of *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida* with maturity.” prepared by Suriani Bt Md Nor and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of Bachelor of Agricultural Science.

Submitted by,

SURIANI BT MD NOR

Student’s signature,

Approved by,

PROF.MADYA DR. MOHD RIDZWAN ABDUL HALIM

(Project Supervisor)

Department of Crop Science

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, my great thank goes to ALLAH S.W.T whose blessings have enabled me to accomplish my research project successfully.

First and foremost, I would like to show my gratitude to my project supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Ridzwan Bin Abdul Halim for his teaching, valuable advice, guidance and motivation in this study. His guidance helped me in conducting the research and writing of this thesis. It has been such a great time to work under his supervision. Secondly, I want to thank the postgraduates under Dr. Ridzwan, Mr Ali Baghdadi and Mrs Noraniza Mahawi for their technical assistance.

Beside my advisor, I would like to thank Mr Fadhil , Mr Suhaimi, Mr Saparin, Mr Khairul for guiding and helping me to implement this project. I also would like to thank Mrs Zuliana who was doing her industrial training under Dr Ridzwan , my family and all my friends especially Nik Zuraila bt Nik Hassan and Mohd Nizam bin Unonis who have helped me in many ways in completing this project. Finally, I would like to thank the Faculty of Agriculture, especially members in The Department of Crop Science for their support and help especially Makmal Pusat members, who gave the permission to use all the required equipment and necessary materials to complete the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
CERTIFICATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
ABSTRACT	xi
ABSTRAK	xiii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Objectives of study	3
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Nutritive quality of forage	4
2.1.1. NDF	4
2.1.2. ADF	5
2.1.3. CP	5
2.2. The changes in nutritive quality with maturity	6
2.3. Effect of plant maturity on morphology of grasses	9
2.3.1. Leaf to Stem Ratio (LSR)	9
2.3.2. Plant Height (PH)	9

2.3.3.	Dry Matter Yield (DMY)	10
2.4.	Factors affecting the nutritive value of pasture	11
2.4.1.	Types of plants	11
2.4.1.1.	Grasses versus legume	11
2.4.1.2.	Warm versus cold climate	12
2.4.2.	Plant maturity	12
2.4.3.	Temperature	13
2.5.	NIRS background	14
2.6.	Usage of NIRS	14
2.7.	Background and Botanical Classification of <i>Setaria splendida</i>	15
2.8.	Morphology of <i>Setaria splendida</i>	17
2.9.	Usage of <i>Setaria splendida</i>	17
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1.	Location of study	18
3.2.	Treatments	18
3.3.	Experimental designs	19
3.4.	Rehabilitation and maintenance	20
3.5.	Harvesting schedule	20
3.6.	Plant height and number of tillers	22
3.7.	Sample preparation	23
3.8.	Nutritive quality	24
3.9.	NIRS analysis	28
3.10.	Data analysis	29
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	30
5.	CONCLUSION	49
	REFERENCES	51
	APPENDICES	56

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Forage yield (kg dry matter/ha) and nutrient content as affected by year, pasture type and length of growing period.	8
2	Effect of plant maturity on morphology of <i>S.sphacelata</i>	10
3	Effect of plant maturity on dry matter yield of <i>S. sphacelata</i> .	11
4	The botanical classification of <i>S. splendida</i> grass	16
5	Harvesting date for all treatments.	21
6	Harvesting intervals within 4 months	22

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	The increasing NDF over growth stages.	6
2	<i>Setaria sphacelata</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	15
3	Layout of the experiment.	19
4	NDF percentage at the first cut.	30
5	Mean NDF percentage for harvest intervals	31
6	Correlation between NDF 1 and NDF 2	32
7	ADF percentage at the first cut.	33
8	Mean ADF percentage for harvest intervals.	34
9	Correlation between ADF 1 and ADF 2	35
10	CP percentage at the first cut.	36
11	Mean CP percentage for harvest intervals.	37
12	Correlation between CP 1 and CP 2	38
13	DMY at the first cut.	39
14	Cumulative DMY for harvest intervals.	40
15	LSR at the first cut.	41
16	Mean LSR for harvest intervals.	42

17	Plant height at the first cut.	43
18	Mean plant height for harvest intervals.	44
19	Number of tillers at the first cut.	45
20	Mean number of tillers for harvest intervals.	46
20	Moisture content at the first cut.	47
21	Mean moisture content for harvest intervals.	48



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
1	ANOVA of NDF at the first cut.	56
2	ANOVA of NDF for harvest intervals	56
3	ANOVA of ADF at the first cut.	56
4	ANOVA of ADF for harvest intervals	57
5	ANOVA of CP at the first cut.	57
6	ANOVA of CP for harvest intervals	57
7	ANOVA of DMY at the first cut.	57
8	ANOVA of DMY for harvest intervals	58
9	ANOVA of LSR at the first cut.	58
10	ANOVA of LSR for harvest intervals	58
11	ANOVA of at the first cut.	58
12	ANOVA of PH for harvest intervals	59
13	ANOVA of NOT at the first cut.	59
14	ANOVA of NOT for harvest intervals	59
15	ANOVA of MC at the first cut.	59
16	ANOVA of MC for harvest intervals	60
17	TUKEY TEST of NDF at the first cut.	61
18	TUKEY TEST of NDF for harvest intervals	61
19	TUKEY TEST of ADF at the first cut.	61

20	TUKEY TEST of ADF for harvest intervals	62
21	TUKEY TEST of CP at the first cut.	62
22	TUKEY TEST of CP for harvest intervals	62
23	TUKEY TEST of DMY at the first cut.	63
24	TUKEY TEST of DMY for harvest intervals	63
25	TUKEY TEST of LSR at the first cut.	63
26	TUKEY TEST of LSR for harvest intervals	64
27	TUKEY TEST of PH at the first cut.	64
28	TUKEY TEST of PH for harvest intervals	64
29	TUKEY TEST of NOT at the first cut.	65
30	TUKEY TEST of NOT for harvest intervals	65
31	TUKEY TEST of MC at the first cut.	65
32	TUKEY TEST of MC for harvest intervals	66
33	ANOVA NDF 2	67
34	PARAMETER ESTIMATE of NDF 2	67
35	ANOVA ADF 2	68
36	PARAMETER ESTIMATE of ADF 2	68
37	ANOVA CP 2	69
38	PARAMETER ESTIMATE of CP 2	69
39	Setaria splendida by weeks (Treatments)	70
40	Machines for ADF, CP and NIRS analysis	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
RCBD	Randomized Complete Block Design
°C	Degree Celsius
DF	Degree of Freedom
m	meter
m ²	Meter square
mm	Millimeter
cm	Centimeter
l	litre
ml	milliliter
R ²	R-square
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
Tukey's HSD	(Honest Significant Difference)
g	Gram
kg	Kilogram
ha	hectare
kg/ha	Kilogram/hectare
(%)	Percentage

ABSTRACT

The nutritive quality in feed is an important factor that can affect the production of milk and beef. The feed quality for livestock can be analyzed by using conventional chemical techniques or lately with the use of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS). The study was conducted to determine the optimum harvest interval for *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida* (*Setaria splendida*) based on its nutritive quality and yield when cut at different cutting intervals. In addition, the project also looks at the differences in nutritive quality parameters when measured with NIRS against that obtained by conventional method. The objective was to determine whether the NIRS was a reliable method of measuring nutritive quality of pastures. The experiment used the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 treatments and 4 replications. The treatments comprised of three cutting intervals: 3 (T1), 5(T2) and 7(T3) weeks. The measurements taken during harvest were the height of grasses and a number of tillers. Laboratory and NIRS analysis was conducted to determine the Crude Protein (CP), Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) as a measure of nutritive quality of the grass. There were significant differences in nutritive quality and yield among treatments ($P < 0.05$). The fibre content (NDF and ADF) and Dry Matter Yield (DMY) increased with advancing plant maturity while its CP, Leaf to Stem Ratio (LSR) and cumulative DMY showed a decline. The proportion of plants with vegetative parts declined at the later stage of maturity. However the nutritive quality at week 3 and week 5 was not significantly different. Therefore it is recommended that the grass is harvested at 3 or 5 weeks to get higher vegetative growth and better quality forage.

Besides that, the measurement NIRS analysis in this experiment was not able to be used for estimation of forage nutritive quality as the values obtained from NIRS was not correlated with the values from the chemical analysis. The inability to use NIRS in this experiment was because of the improper calibration that was employed from a calibration which was previously done on other forage samples. Calibration with the current samples was not done because of some error of the software. This demonstrates the importance of using the correct samples for calibration if NIRS is to be effectively used.

ABSTRAK

Kualiti nutrient dalam makanan merupakan salah satu faktor yang penting dalam meningkatkan penghasilan susu dan daging ternakan lembu. Kualiti makanan ternakan boleh dianalisis dengan menggunakan teknik secara kimia atau menggunakan teknologi pembiasan spectra infra merah (NIRS). Kajian dilakukan untuk menentukan jarak tuaian yang optima untuk *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida* (*Setaria splendida*) berdasarkan kualiti nutrient dan hasilnya apabila ia dipotong pada jarak potongan yang berbeza. Tambahan pula, projek ini juga melihat kepada perbezaan dalam parameter kualiti nutrient apabila diukur melalui kaedah NIRS dan Konvensional. Objektif eksperimen adalah untuk menentukan sama ada NIRS merupakan kaedah yang boleh di guna pakai dalam mengukur kualiti nutrient pastura. Eksperimen ini menggunakan reka bentuk blok rawak lengkap (RCBD) dengan 3 rawatan dan 4 replikasi. Rawatan terdiri daripada 3 jarak pomotongan : 3 (T1), 5(T2) and 7(T3) minggu. Pengukuran yang diambil semasa rumput dituai adalah ketinggian rumput dan bilangan anak rumput. Analisis makmal dan NIRS dilakukan untuk menentukan jumlah Protein Kasar (CP) , kandungan serat (NDF) dan kandungan bahan tidak cerna (ADF) sebagai pengukuran untuk menentukan nilai pemakanan rumput. Kandungan serat (NDF dan ADF) dan Hasil Bahan Kering (DMY) meningkat dengan peningkatan kematangan pokok sementara Kandungan protein kasar (CP), Nisbah daun dan batang (LSR) dan Hasil Bahan Kering Terkumpul menunjukkan penurunan. Bahagian vegetative pokok akan menurun pada peringkat kematangan pokok yang akhir. Walaubagaimanapun, kualiti nutrient tumbuhan pada minggu ke- 3 dan ke -5 adalah tiada beza. Oleh itu, pemotongan rumput

pada minggu ke- 3 dan ke -5 adalah di syorkan untuk mendapatkan pertumbuhan vegetatif yang tinggi dan kualiti yang lebih baik bagi foraj.

Selain itu, pengukuran bagi analisis NIRS dalam eksperimen ini tidak boleh digunakan untuk pengukuran kualiti nutrient sebagaimana data yang diperolehi daripada NIRS tidak mempunyai hubungan dengan data yang diperolehi daripada analisis kimia. Penggunaan NIRS dalam eksperimen ini tidak boleh digunakan disebabkan kalibrasi yang tidak bersesuaian dan sudah lama digunakan oleh sampel foraj yang lain. Kalibrasi dengan sampel terkini tidak dapat dilakukan disebabkan oleh ralat perisian. Ini menunjukkan kepentingan penggunaan sampel yang betul untuk pengukuran jika mahu NIRS digunakan dengan berkesan.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The nutritive quality of feeds is an important factor that affects the production of milk and beef. Animal performance is affected by the quality of forage that will be given to the livestock (Lazzarini *et al.*, 2009). In order to increase the production of milk and beef, the nutritive quality analysis must be done to know the content of nutritional value within it.

Plant maturity greatly influences the nutritional quality of grasses. Generally, the pasture quality declines with advancing maturity when the plants change from being leafy and vegetative to a stemmy and reproductive (Blaser, 1964). Hanson *et al.*, (1988) explained that the nutritive quality begins to decrease slowly at the first growth stage. Then it will decline rapidly when the plant starts reproductive growth (when the plant develops a seed head). As the grasses approach full maturity (form hard seed), the quality decline is less rapid, but the nutritive value is extremely poor.

With increasing plant maturity the Crude Protein (CP) and digestibility will also decrease. On the other hand, Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF), Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF), Dry Matter Yield (DMY), and fiber content will be increase (Cecava, 1995). Short intervals between cuttings will result in high quality forages but total yield will be lower.

Generally, most laboratories use chemical methods to determine the NDF, ADF and CP content of feed. NDF is a component of the plant comprising of the plant cell wall, while ADF is the cell wall after removal of hemicellulose. Then CP is measured by analyzing the nitrogen content in the pasture and multiplying by 6.25.

The quality of feed for livestock can be analyzed by using a conventional chemical technique or with indirect methods that are more rapid such as the Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS). The NIRS is used for analysis of the content of organic components, protein, fiber, and others in animal foods. The NIRS can give accurate results of protein content and other constituents when it is calibrated properly (Dryden, 2003).

The NIRS is also useful for estimating the nutritional value of forage and can give faster results than conventional methods (Norris *et al.*, 1976). At the same time, the result obtained from research showed that NIRS was the one of alternative techniques to the conventional chemical analysis because the result obtained from NIRS was closely related to chemical analysis (Lobos *et al.*, 2013). The desire to increase the production of milk and beef has made the analysis of feed quality as a main factor especially in analyzing the nutritional content in foodstuff.

1.2 Objectives of study

The objectives of this research were:

- 1) To determine the optimum harvest interval of *Setaria sphacelata* var. *splendida* (*Setaria splendida*) based on the nutritive quality and yield.
- 2) To compare the changes in nutritive quality of *Setaria splendida* with maturity using two methods of analysis: conventional laboratory techniques and NIRS.

REFERENCES

- Alvim, M., Martins, C., Botrel, M. d. A., & Freitas, V. d. P. (1993). Effect of availability of forage in *Setaria sphacelata* cv. kazungula pasture on milk production during the rainy season. *Revista Da Sociedade Brasileira De Zootecnia*, 22, 380-388.
- Amiri, F. (2012). Comparison of nutritive values of grasses and legume species using forage quality index. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology*, 34(5)
- Blaser, R. (1964). Symposium on forage utilization: Effects of fertility levels and stage of maturity on forage nutritive value. *Journal of Animal Science*, 23(1), 246-253.
- Burns, J. C. (1978). Antiquality factors as related to forage quality. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 61(12), 1809-1820.
- Cassoli, L. D., Roma Junior, L. C., Rodrigues, Ana Carolina de Oliveira, & Machado, P. F. (2008). In situ degradability of corn stover and elephant-grass harvested at four stages of maturity. *Scientia Agricola*, 65(6), 595-603.
- Cecava, M. J. (1995). Pasture and forages. *Beef Cattle Feeding and Nutrition*. Perry TW, Cecava MJ (Eds.). Academic Press, Inc, , 91-103.
- Chapin, F. S., Bloom, A. J., Field, C. B., & Waring, R. H. (1987). Plant responses to multiple environmental factors. *Bioscience*, , 49-57.

- Chen, C., Wang, S., & Chang, Y. (2001). Climatic factors, Acid Detergent Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber and Crude Protein contents in Digit grass. *Proceedings of the XIX International Grassland Congress, Sao Paulo, Brazil*, pp. 364-365.
- Conzen, J. (2006). Multivariate calibration, a practical guide for developing methods in the quantitative analytical chemistry. *Ettlingen, Germany: BrukerOptik GmbH.[Links]*,
- Dabo, S., Taliaferro, C., Coleman, S., Horn, F., & Claypool, P. (1987). Yield and digestibility of Old World Bluestem grasses as affected by cultivar, plant part, and maturity. *Journal of Range Management*, , 10-15.
- Davidson, S. (1988). *Setaria splendida*: A splendid grass for export. *Rural Research: A CSIRO Quarterly*,
- De Boever, J., Cottyn, B., Vanacker, J., & Boucque, C. V. (1995). The use of NIRS to predict the chemical composition and the energy value of compound feeds for cattle. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 51(3), 243-253.
- Delwiche, S., & Graybosch, R. A. (2002). Identification of waxy wheat by Near-Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 35(1), 29-38.
- Dryden, G. (2003). Near Infrared Spectroscopy: Applications in deer nutrition. *RIRDC Pub*, (W03/007)
- Enoh, M., Kijora, C., Peters, K., & Yonkeu, S. (2005). Effect of stage of harvest on DM yield, nutrient content, in vitro and in situ parameters and their relationship of

native and Brachiaria grasses in the Adamawa Plateau of Cameroon. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 17(1), 1-9.

Evans, I. (1950). Pole the possibilities of beef production in southern africa. *Empire Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 18, 81-93.

Físicas, El Corte Y Propiedades, & Del Forraje, R. (1999). Physical and rheological properties of forage crops with reference to cutting. *Arch.Zootec*, 48, 75-78.

Greaves, B. L., Schimleck, L. R., Borralho, N. M., Michell, A. J., & Raymond, C. A. (1996). Genetic control and repeatability of Near Infrared Reflectance from *Eucalyptus nitens* woodmeal. *Appita Journal*, 49(6), 423-426.

Hacker, I., & Jones, R. (1969). The *Setaria Sphacelata* Complex--A Review. *Tropical Grasslands*, 3(1), 13.

Hanson, A., Barnes, D., & Hill, R. (1988). Feeding value (forage quality). *Significance*, 14, 1.2.

Hoffman, P., Lundberg, K., Bauman, L., & Shaver, R. D. (2003). The effect of maturity on NDF digestibility. *Focus on Forage*, 5(15)

Hruschka, W. R. (1987). Data analysis: Wavelength selection methods. *Near-Infrared Technology in the Agricultural and Food Industries*, 2

Kitcherside, M., Glen, E., & Webster, A. (2000). Fibrecap: An improved method for the rapid analysis of fibre in feeding stuffs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 86(1), 125-132.

Lazzarini, I., Detmann, E., Sampaio, C. B., Paulino, M. F., Valadares Filho, Sebastião de Campos, Souza, M. A. d., et al. (2009). Intake and digestibility in cattle fed low-quality tropical forage and supplemented with nitrogenous compounds. *Revista Brasileira De Zootecnia*, 38(10), 2021-2030.

Lobos, I., Gou, P., Hube, S., Saldana, R., & Alfaro, M. (2013). Evaluation of potential nirs to predict pastures nutritive value. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 13(2), 463-468.

Lynch, J. M., & Barbano, D. M. (1999). Kjeldahl nitrogen analysis as a reference method for protein determination in dairy products. *Journal-AOAC International*, 82, 1389-1398.

Minson, D. (2012). *Forage in ruminant nutrition* Elsevier.

Menind, A., Oper, L., & Nurk, L. (2012). Preliminary Investigation Of Physical, Chemical And Technological Parameters Of Biogasification And Briquetting Of Fractionated Silage. *11th International Scientific Conference: Engineering for Rural Development, Jelgava, Latvia, 24-25 may, 2012*.

Mushtaque, M., Ishaque, M., & Ahamd, M. (2010). Growth and herbage yield of *Setaria sphacelata* grass in response to varying clipping stages. *JAPS, Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 20(4), 261-265.

Norris, K., Barnes, R., Moore, J., & Shenk, J. (1976). Predicting forage quality by infrared replectance spectroscopy. *Journal of Animal Science*, 43(4), 889-897.

- Sabiiti, E., & Mugerwa, J. (1988). Forage research and development for livestock production in Uganda. *Panesa/Arnab (Pastures Network for Eastern and Southern Africa/African Research Network for Agricultural by-Products), Ed., Utilization of Research Results on Forage and Agricultural by-Product Materials as Animal Feed Resources in Africa, Proceedings of the First Joint Workshop, Lilongwe*, pp. 5-9.
- Schut, A., Gherardi, S., & Wood, D. (2010). Empirical models to quantify the nutritive characteristics of annual pastures in South-West Western Australia. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 61(1), 32-43.
- Sood, B., & Kumar, P. (1995). Production and economics of natural grasslands improvement. *Range Management and Agroforestry*, 16(2), 17-22.
- Stuth, J., Jama, A., & Tolleson, D. (2003). Direct and indirect means of predicting forage quality through near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *Field Crops Research*, 84(1), 45-56.
- Valdes, E., & Leeson, S. (1992). Near infrared reflectance analysis as a method to measure metabolizable energy in complete poultry feeds. *Poultry Science*, 71(7), 1179-1187.
- Van Soest, P. J. (1994). *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant* Cornell University Press.
- Wan Hassan, W., Phipps, R., & Owen, E. (1990). Dry matter yield and nutritive value of improved pasture species in malaysia. *Tropical Agriculture, Trinidad and Tobago*, 67(4), 303-308.