



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**MORPHOLOGY AND GROWTH AMONG MUTANT TURFGRASS
CULTIVARS UNDER DIFFERENT RATES OF NITROGEN**

NURNABILAH SABRI

FP 2015 96

**MORPHOLOGY AND GROWTH AMONG MUTANT TURFGRASS
CULTIVARS UNDER DIFFERENT RATES OF NITROGEN**



NURNABILAH BINTI SABRI

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN**

2014/2015

MORPHOLOGY AND GROWTH AMONG MUTANT TURFGRASS CULTIVARS
UNDER DIFFERENT RATES OF NITROGEN

BY

NURNABILAH BINTI SABRI

A project report submitted to Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Horticultural Science

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

2014/2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It gives me great pleasure in expressing my gratitude to all those people who have supported me and had their contributions in making this final year project possible. First and foremost, I must acknowledge and thank to Almighty Allah for blessing, protecting and guiding me throughout this period. I also would like to thank to Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Faculty of Agriculture for giving me opportunity to study and gain knowledge.

I would like to express my profound sense of reverence to my supervisor, Prof. Dr Abdul Shukor Bin Juraimi, for his constant guidance, support, motivation, and untiring help during completing my tasks. His in-depth knowledge on turfgrass has been extremely beneficial for me. He has given me enough freedom during my research, and he has always been nice to me. I expressed my deepest gratitude to postgraduates, Mr Halim Azhar, for sharing me with his ideas, opinions, and boosting my morale to build a better condition throughout this project.

I also would like to thanks to laboratory assistants, Mr Yunus Bin Abdul Wahab and TPU staff, Mrs Jamilah, for their help to carry out the experiment and also analysis in experimental data. Last but not least, special appreciation to my beloved family and my friends for giving me inspiration, moral support, encouragement and concern during my work.

CERTIFICATION

This project report entitled “Morphology and Growth Among Mutant Turfgrass Cultivars Under Different Rates of Nitrogen” was prepared by Nurnabilah Binti Sabri and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor in Horticultural Science.

Student’s name:

Nurnabilah Binti Sabri

.....

Student’s signature:

.....

Certified by:

.....

(Prof. Dr Abdul Shukor Bin Juraimi)

Project Supervisor,

Department of Crop Science,

Dean of Faculty of Agriculture,

43400, UPM Serdang,

Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xviii
ABSTRACT	xx
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Economic importance	6
2.2 Mutation breeding	6
2.3 Selection of mutant cultivars of turfgrass species	7
2.3.1 Cowgrass (<i>Axonopus compressus</i>)	7
2.3.2 Hybrid Bermudagrass (<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>)	10

2.4 Fertilization	13
2.4.1 Nitrogen	13
2.4.2 Phosphorus	15
2.4.3 Potassium	15
2.5 Plant growth	16
2.5.1 Turfgrass growth	16
2.6 Turfgrass morphology	17
2.7 Root – shoot growth relationships	17
2.8 Assimilation	18
2.9 Turfgrass cultural practices	18
2.9.1 Mowing	19
2.9.2 Topdressing	19
2.9.3 Watering	20
2.9.4 Weeding	20
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	22
3.1 Experimental site and period	22
3.2 Experiment design	23
3.3 Planting material	24
3.4 Media preparation	24
3.5 Treatment	24
3.5.1 Fertilizer application	24
3.6 Turfgrass cultural practices	25
3.6.1 Mowing	26
3.6.2 Topdressing	26

	3.6.3	Watering	26
	3.6.4	Weeding	26
	3.6.5	Pest and disease control	27
	3.7	Data collections	27
	3.7.1	Morphology characteristics	27
	3.7.2	Growth rate of coverage	27
	3.7.3	Leaf characteristics	28
	3.7.4	Plant density	28
	3.7.5	Root volume	28
	3.7.6	Shoot and root dry weight	29
	3.7.7	Ratio of root to shoot of dry weight	29
	3.8	Statistical analysis	29
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	30
	4.1	Effect of nitrogen treatments on mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i>	30
	4.1.1	Growth rate of coverage	30
	4.1.2	Leaf length	38
	4.1.3	Leaf width	41
	4.1.4	Plant density	44
	4.1.5	Root volume	47
	4.1.6	Shoot dry weight	50
	4.1.7	Root dry weight	53
	4.1.8	Ratio of root to shoot dry weight	56

4.2 Effect of nitrogen treatments on mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	59
4.2.1 Growth rate of coverage	59
4.2.2 Leaf length	67
4.2.3 Leaf width	70
4.2.4 Plant density	73
4.2.5 Root volume	76
4.2.6 Shoot dry weight	79
4.2.7 Root dry weight	82
4.2.8 Ratio of root to shoot dry weight	85
5 CONCLUSION	88
REFERENCES	89
APPENDICES	91

Table 1	Nitrogen requirement of turfgrass species	14
Table 2	Treatments applied in the experiment for <i>A. compressus</i> cultivars.	23
Table 3	Treatments applied in the experiment for hybrid <i>C.dactylon</i> cultivars.	23
Table 4	Effect of nitrogen treatments on growth rate (m ²) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	31
Table 5	Effect of nitrogen treatments on leaf length (cm) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	39
Table 6	Effect of nitrogen treatments on leaf width (cm) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	42
Table 7	Effect of nitrogen treatments on plant density of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	45
Table 8	Effect of nitrogen treatments on root volume (cm ³) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	48

Table 9	Effect of nitrogen treatments on shoot dry weight (g) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	51
Table 10	Effect of nitrogen treatments on root dry weight (g) of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	54
Table 11	Effect of nitrogen treatments on ratio of root to shoot dry weight of mutant turfgrass of <i>A.compressus</i> .	57
Table 12	Effect of nitrogen treatments on growth rate (m ²) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	60
Table 13	Effect of nitrogen treatments on leaf length (cm) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	68
Table 14	Effect of nitrogen treatments on leaf width (cm) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C.dactylon</i> .	71
Table 15	Effect of nitrogen treatments on plant density of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	74
Table 16	Effect of nitrogen treatments on root volume (cm ³) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	77

Table 17	Effect of nitrogen treatments on shoot dry weight (g) of mutata turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	80
Table 18	Effect of nitrogen treatments on root dry weight (g) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	83
Table 19	Effect of nitrogen treatments on ratio of root to shoot dry of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> .	86

Fig. 1 Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> cv A13.	33
Fig. 2 Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> cv A23.	34
Fig. 3 Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> cv A26 – 2.	35
Fig. 4 Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> cv A48.	36
Fig. 5 Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> cv Control.	37
Fig. 6 Mean of leaf length (cm) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	40
Fig. 7 Mean of leaf width (cm) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	43
Fig. 8 Mean of plant density the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	46

Fig. 9	Mean of root volume (cm ³) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	49
Fig. 10	Mean of shoot dry weight (g) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	52
Fig. 11	Mean of root dry weight (g) the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	55
Fig. 12	Mean of ratio of root to shoot dry weight the mutant turfgrass of <i>A. compressus</i> .	58
Fig. 13	Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> cv Tifdwarf.	62
Fig. 14	Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> cv TifEagle.	63
Fig. 15	Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> cv TifEagle.	64
Fig. 16	Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> cv Satiri.	65
Fig. 17	Mean of growth rate cover (m ²) the turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> cv Satiri (Control).	66

Fig. 18	Mean of leaf length (cm) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	69
Fig. 19	Mean of leaf width (cm) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	72
Fig. 20	Mean of plant density the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	75
Fig. 21	Mean of root volume (cm ³) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	78
Fig. 22	Mean of shoot dry weight (g) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	81
Fig. 23	Mean of root dry weight (g) the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² quadrat.	84
Fig. 24	Mean of ratio of root to shoot dry weight the mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>C. dactylon</i> in 25cm ² .	87

Appendix 1	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> growth rate cover.	91
Appendix 2	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> leaf length.	91
Appendix 3	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> leaf width.	92
Appendix 4	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> plant density.	92
Appendix 5	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> root volume.	93
Appendix 6	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> shoot dry weight.	93
Appendix 7	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> root dry weight.	94

Appendix 8	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> ratio of root to shoot dry weight.	94
Appendix 9	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> growth rate cover.	95
Appendix 10	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutata turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> leaf length.	96
Appendix 11	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> leaf width.	96
Appendix 12	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> plant density.	97
Appendix 13	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> root volume.	97
Appendix 14	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> shoot dry weight.	98
Appendix 15	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mutant turfgrass of hybrid <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> root dry weight.	98

hybrid *Cynodon dactylon* ratio of root to shoot dry weight.



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>et al.</i>	Et alia
⁰ C	Degree centigrade
spp.	Species
cm	Centimeter
g	Gram
RCBD	Randomized Complete Block Design
ANOVA	Analysis of variance

ABSTRAK

Satu eksperimen telah dijalankan di Unit Turf, Taman Pertanian Universiti, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) untuk mengkaji kesan kadar nitrogen terhadap pertumbuhan dan morfologi kultivar – kultivar mutan rumput turf. Bahan tanaman yang digunakan dalam kajian ini ialah daripada 5 jenis mutan spesies rumput kerbau (*Axonopus compressus*) dan 5 jenis mutan spesies rumput Bermuda (*Cynodon dactylon*). Baja Urea telah digunakan mengikut kadar nitrogen 0, 0.15, 0.30, and 0.45kg/100m²/bulan bagi *Axonopus compressus* dan 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75kg/100m²/bulan bagi Bermuda. Baja Urea diberi setiap dua minggu. Eksperimen ini dijalankan dengan menggunakan reka bentuk blok rawak lengkap dengan dua faktor dan empat replikasi. Setiap kultivar – kultivar mutan rumput turf yang berumur tiga bulan diberi baja Urea selama 28 minggu pada setiap awal pagi. Data pertumbuhan dan morfologi rumput turf yang direkodkan termasuklah ciri – ciri morfologi, kadar pertumbuhan, ciri – ciri daun (panjang dan lebar), kepadatan pucuk, isipadu akar, berat kering pucuk dan akar, dan nisbah berat kering akar terhadap pucuk.

Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan di antara kultivar – kultivar di dalam setiap spesies dan rawatan berdasarkan kadar baja yang berbeza digunakan. Bagi spesies *Axonopus compressus*, rawatan nitrogen 0.489 gram (T3) telah menunjukkan kesan terbaik terhadap pertumbuhan mutan *Axonopus compressus* cv A13, *Axonopus compressus* cv A23, *Axonopus compressus* cv A26 - 2, *Axonopus compressus* cv A48, dan original of *Axonopus compressus* (kawalan). Kultivar terbaik keseluruhan

bagi spesies *Axonopus compressus* ialah mutan *Axonopus compressus* cv A23 dan mutan *Axonopus compressus* cv A48 .

Bagi spesies hybrid *Cynodon dactylon*, rawatan nitrogen 0.815 gram (T3) telah menunjukkan kesan terbaik terhadap pertumbuhan mutan *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri, mutan *Cynodon dactylon* cv TifEagle, *Cynodon dactylon* cv Tifdward, *Cynodon dactylon* cv TifEagle, dan original *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri (kawalan). Kultivar terbaik keseluruhan bagi hybrid *Cynodon dactylon* ialah original *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri (kawalan). Kajian ini perlu diulangi untuk mendapatkan keputusan yang sah.

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Turf Unit, Taman Pertanian Universiti, UPM to study the morphology and growth among mutant turfgrass cultivars under different rates of nitrogen. Five cultivars of each mutant Cowgrass (*Axonopus compressus*) and Hybrid Bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) were used as planting materials. Urea fertilizer was applied as the source of nitrogen at different rates 0, 0.15, 0.30, and 0.45kg/100m²/month for Cowgrass, and 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75kg/100m²/month for Bermudagrass. The fertilizer was applied every two weeks in both species. The experiment was arranged in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two factorials and four replications. Urea fertilizer was applied to three months old mutant cultivars for 28 weeks in the early morning. Growth and morphological data visual (ligule, auricle, vernation, leaf blade and sheath, and collar), growth rate of coverage (m²), leaf characteristics (length and width) (cm), plant density, root volume (cm³), shoot and root dry weight (g), and ratio of dry weight of root to shoot were recorded.

The result revealed that there were significant differences among the cultivars in each species based on the different rate of fertilizer application. For *Axonopus compressus*, the treatment of nitrogen application of 0.489 gram (T3) gave the best effect on growth of mutant *Axonopus compressus* cv A13, *Axonopus compressus* cv A23, *Axonopus compressus* cv A26 - 2, *Axonopus compressus* cv A48, and original of

Axonopus compressus (control). The best cultivar for this species was *Axonopus compressus* cv A23 and *Axonopus compressus* cv A48.

For Hybrid *Cynodon dactylon*, the treatment of nitrogen application of 0.815 gram (T3) gave the best effect in growth of mutant *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri, mutant *Cynodon dactylon* cv TifEagle, *Cynodon dactylon* cv Tifdward, *Cynodon dactylon* cv TifEagle, and *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri (control). The best cultivar for this hybrid species was hybrid *Cynodon dactylon* cv Satiri (control). The experiment needs to be repeated to confirm these results.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Turfgrasses are plants from family *Poaceae* with roots and rhizomes known as vegetative ground cover that persists under regular mowing and traffic (Wiecko, 2006). It can be divided into two main groups which are cool – season grass and warm – season grass based on their temperature tolerance. The cool – season grass will grow in temperature range about 15 – 24°C and the warm – season grass will be predominantly grown in temperature range about 26.5 – 35°C (Brown, 2005). Malaysia is a tropical country. So, our country has high temperature that suited to warm – season turfgrasses such as *Axonopus compressus*, common and hybrids of *Cynodon dactylon*, *Zoysia spp.*, and so on.

Aldous (2011) described the turfgrass industry as comprising the establishment, management, and production of specialized grasses for utility, beautification, and recreation amenity. It is also involved science and technology, business management, manpower development, and turfgrass production and services. Turfgrass industry is normally associated for the golf industry that has grown rapidly around the world about more than 31,000 golf courses in this world (Christians, 2011). In Malaysia, turfgrass industry usually represents golf industry where we have about 206 golf courses. This is because of high costing especially in management and maintenance to provide the best

golf course for the golfers that can give profits to the entrepreneurs and the golf club. The costing included from site preparation until post planting care.

Moreover, turfgrass can be divided into three parts which are the functions, sport and recreation amenity, and ornamental purposes that can be identified. Firstly, turfgrasses can be functioned in reducing soil erosion. Its dense growth and extensive root system prevent soil erosion by wind and water especially in rainy day (Weicko, 2006). It also can be used to reduce pollution especially for noise abatement, glare reduction, and visual pollution problem at the highway due to rough surface of turfgrass. It removes smoke and dust from the atmosphere, and it releases oxygen into the air (Idous and Chivers, 2002). It does not only serve as safety factor but also can prevent vital soil resources because it has a greater absorption and retention rate. In addition, turfgrass provided safety like cushioning to minimize the injury to the players (Turgeon, 2008).

Secondly, turfgrass also aided in sport and recreation amenity by giving natural setting and peaceful relaxing space. It also improved community pride and provided good and comfortable living space to the community. Besides that, it will be the ideal place for playing, organizing or relaxing to the people surrounding (Emmons, 2008). Thirdly, in ornamental and landscape views, turfgrass help in support the landscapes for beautification and attractiveness especially for human activities. In addition, the aesthetic values from the cool, clean, and natural green of turfgrass specifically the

aesthetic affect of parks, gardens, and lawns help in releasing stress and improve mental health as well as contribute to social harmony, stability and generally improve quality of life (Stewart, 2008).

In order to get the best types of turfgrass, lot of studies have been done through breeding programme in selection of superior grasses for a particular application by choosing individual grass that displayed desirable characteristics especially for growth and morphology parts. Resistance of disease and pest, shade, salinity, temperature, and so on also being countered to ensure the turfgrass selected will be the best cultivars produced that can growth healthily and increase visual pleasure or aesthetic appeal.

Therefore, they are several steps in turfgrass establishment such as species and cultivars selection, site preparation, turfgrass planting, and take care of turf after planting to ensure. This is to ensure the new turf can be established better. There are several methods to plant the turfgrass either by seeds or vegetative such as sodding, plugging, stolonizing, and sprigging (Christians, 2007). In turfgrass planting, stolonizing method can be used to establish new turfgrass where it can give high rate of establishment than sprigging method. Topdressing is applied in range of 0.15 to 0.25 inches to cover the new stolons and rolling that establishment area to get soil and stolons good contact. Irrigation should be immediately applied after topdressing and others cultural practices being applied according to the schedule (Emmons, 2008).

In order to take care of the new turfgrass growth and establish, fertilization must be applied. This is important for new turfgrass get their vital nutrients. They are 17 elements needed to be uptake by the turfgrass according to their requirements. These elements can be divided into macronutrients and micronutrients. Macronutrients can be further divided into primary elements and secondary elements. In primary elements, there are elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. These nutrients need to be applied in larger quantity as compared to secondary nutrients especially the nitrogen which is fast release fertilizer or easily leaching (Dunn and Diesburg, 2004). The secondary elements are such as calcium, magnesium, and sulfur. Calcium and magnesium can be supplied into the soil through application of lime while, the sulfur is added to the soil through fertilizer that contains sulfur like aluminum sulfate. The micronutrients or trace elements need to be applied in small quantities. The examples of micronutrients such as chlorine, molybdenum, zinc, copper, and so on (Christians, 2007).

However, quality of turf grass can decline due to undesirable morphological characteristic (coarse textured and slow growing) and lack in optimum amount of nutrients needed for better morphology and growth. In addition, lack in management of fertilizer program can cause high in management cost due to excessive or less use of fertilizer that affect the cultivars growth. Based on previous research, mutation breeding produced new potential cultivars that have better quality but have not been fully studied for fertilizer application.

Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the optimum rate of nitrogen fertilizer that used by mutant turfgrass cultivars for better growth. The objectives of the study were to determine the optimum amount of nitrogen fertilizer needed for different types of mutant turfgrass cultivars and to evaluate the growth performance of different types of mutant turfgrass cultivars under different rates of nitrogen.



REFERENCES

- Aldous, D.E. 2011. *International Turf Management Handbook*. Routledge, New York.
- Aldous, D.E., McAuliffe, K.W. and Power, N.M. 2007. The turfgrass industry: Australia, New Zealand, and the Asia-Pacific Region. *Chronica Horticulture*. 47: 17-19.
- Brown, S. 2005. *Sports turf & amenity grassland management*. The Crowood Press Ltd., United Kingdom.
- Casler, M.D. and Duncan, R.R. 2003. *Turfgrass biology, genetics, and breeding*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Christians, N.E. 2007. *Fundamentals of turfgrass management*. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
- Christians, N.E. 2011. *Fundamentals of turfgrass management*. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Duble, R.L. 2004. *Turfgrasses: Their management and use in the Southern Zone*. 2nd ed. CIP, United State of America.
- Dunn, J. and Diesburg, K. 2004. *Turf management in the transition zone*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Emmons, R.D. 2000. *Turfgrass science and management*. Delmar Publishers, Albany, New York.
- Emmons, R.D. 2000. *Turfgrass science and management*. 3rd ed. Delmar, Inc., The Thomson Learning, United State of America.
- Emmons, R.L. 2008. *Turfgrass Science and Management*. 4th ed. Thomson Delmar Learning, New York.
- Fry, J. and Huang, B. 2004. *Applied turfgrass science and physiology*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Han, L., Stier, J.C. and Li, D. 2008. *International Society for Horticultural Science & International Society for Horticultural Science. Proceedings of the IInd International Conference on Turfgrass Science and Management for Sports Fields: Beijing, China 24-29 June 2007*. International Society for Horticultural Science, Leuven, Belgium.
- Hanna, W.W. and Elsner, J.E. 1999. Registration of TifEagle bermudagrass. *Crop Sci.* 39:1258. *Horticultural Science News*. Retrieved 26 November 2014 from http://www.ihc2014.org/download/media/chronica_horticulturae/Turfgrass%20Industry.pdf

- Howieson, M.J. 2005. Influence of mowing frequency and mower sharpness on antioxidant and carbohydrate metabolism, and photosynthetic yield of creeping bentgrass. PhD dissertation, Iowa State University.
- Idous, D. and Chivers, I. 2002. Sports turf and amenity grasses: a manual for use and identification, pp. 182-191. Australia: Landlinks Press.
- Lu, S., Wang, Z., Niu, Y, Chen, Y., Chen, H., Fan, Z., Lin, J., Yan, K., Guo, Z. and Li, H. 2009. Gamma-ray radiation induced dwarf mutants of turf-type bermudagrass. *Plant Breeding*. 128: 205–209.
- Mei shih, T. 2014. Improvement of turfgrass growth and quality using foliar fertilizer application. Final Year Project. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Pessarakli, M. 2002. Handbook of Plant and Crop Physiology. 2nd ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Pessarakli, M. 2008. Handbook of Turfgrass Management and Physiology. CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, United State of America.
- Samarakoon S.P., Wilson, J.R. and Shelton, H.M. 1990. Growth, morphology, and nutritive quality of shaded *Stenotaphrum secundatum*, *Axonopus compressus* and *Pennisetum clandestinum*. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 114: 161-169.
- Stewart, M. 2008. Best management practices for turf and lawn fertilization. International Plant Nutrition Institute. Retrieved 17 December 2014.
http://www.greenerworld.ca/documents/tuf_lawn%5B1%5D.pdf.
- Trivedi, P.C. 2006. Advances in plant physiology. I.K. International Publishing House Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Turgeon, A.J. 2005. Turfgrass management . 7th ed. Pearson Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Turgeon, A.J. 1999. Turfgrass management. Reston Publishing Co, Virginia.
- Weicko, G. 2006. Fundamentals of tropicals turf management. CAB International, United Kingdom.
- Zimdahl, R.L. 2013. Fundamentals of weed science . 4th ed. Elsevier Inc, USA.