



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**RUBBER (*Hevea brasiliensis*) SEEDLINGS GROWTH PERFORMANCE  
IN RESPONSE TO VARIOUS RATES OF N AND P FERTILIZERS**

**NUR AQILAH SAUFE**

**FP 2015 87**

**RUBBER (*Hevea brasiliensis*) SEEDLINGS**  
**GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN RESPONSE TO VARIOUS RATES**  
**OF N AND P FERTILIZERS**



**NUR AQILAH BINTI SAUFE**

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE**  
**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2014/2015**

**RUBBER (*Hevea brasiliensis*) SEEDLINGS**  
**GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN RESPONSE TO VARIOUS RATES**  
**OF N AND P FERTILIZERS**

**BY**

**NUR AQILAH BINTI SAUFE**

A project report submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 ( Final Year Project)  
for the award of the degree of  
Bachelor of Agricultural Science

**Faculty of Agriculture**

**Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**2014/2015**

## CERTIFICATION

This project report entitled “Rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) Seedlings Growth Performance in Response to Various Rates of N and P Fertilizers” is prepared by Nur Aqilah Binti Saufe and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Agricultural Science.

Student's name:

NUR AQILAH BINTI SAUFE

Signature

Approved by,

\_\_\_\_\_

(ASSOC. PROF. DR. ZULKEFLY BIN SULAIMAN)

Project Supervisor

Department of Crop Science

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### **In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful**

Thanks to Allah our mighty God for shedding on the good health, keeping my concentration and strength to complete this study, which hoped will contribute to the welfare of human kind.

My precious sincere gratitude and respect to my project supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zulkefly Bin Sulaiman from Department of Crop Science, for his invaluable pieces of advice, unwavering guidance, contribution patience and understanding during the period of my study.

Not to forget, I want to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to all staff members of the Ladang 10, Faculty of Agriculture and staffs from Department of Crop Science, Encik Mohd. Khoiri Bin Kandar and Encik Baharin Bin Mohd Amin for their generous help, suggestion and facilities provided that had made this experiment successful without much problem.

Exceptional thankfulness to my family especially to my respected father Encik Saufe Bin Ab. Kadir and to my dearest mother Puan Azizah Binti Che Ya for an endless love and trustworthy encouragement.

Lastly, sincere acknowledgement to all lecturers and friends for sharing ideas and problems. They have always taught me that anything is possible. I owe them more thanks than I can ever put into words.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	PAGE
CERTIFICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF PLATES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABSTRACT	xiii
ABSTRAK	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Origin of <i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	3
2.2 The Morphology of <i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	4
2.3 Nursery Establishment	5
2.3.1 Ground Nursery	6
2.3.2 Polybag Nursery	7
2.4 Rubber Clone / Latex Timber Clone	7
2.4.1 RRIM 2025	8
2.5 Nutrient	9
2.5.1 Nitrogen	11
2.5.1.1 Nitrogen deficiency symptoms	11
2.5.2 Phosphorus	12
2.5.2.1 Phosphorus deficiency symptoms	12
2.5.3 Potassium	13
2.5.3.1 Potassium deficiency symptoms	13
2.5.4 Magnesium	14
2.5.4.1 Magnesium deficiency symptoms	14
2.6 Fertilizer	15
2.6.1 Organic fertilizers	15
2.6.2 Inorganic fertilizers	15

2.6.2.1	Straight (Single) fertilizers	16
2.6.2.2	Mixture fertilizers	16
2.6.2.3	Compounds	17
2.7	Agricultural Practices	17
2.8	Irrigation	17
2.9	Weeding	18
2.10	Diseases of <i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	19
2.10.1	White root disease	19
2.10.2	<i>Corynespora</i> Leaf Spot	20
2.10.3	<i>Colletotrichum</i> leaf disease	20
2.10.4	Bird's Eye Spot	20
2.10.5	Anthrachnose	21
3	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	
3.1	Site and Duration	22
3.2	Planting materials	22
3.3	Experimental treatments and design	23
3.4	Observations and Measurements	25
3.4.1	Plant growth	25
3.4.1.1	Height measurement	25
3.4.1.2	Girth measurement	26
3.4.1.3	Chlorophyll Content	26
3.4.2	Plant biomass	
3.4.2.1	Above ground dry weight (leaf and stem)	27
3.4.2.2	Below ground dry weight (root)	27
3.4.2.3	Total dry weight	28
3.4.3	Nutrient analysis	28
3.4.4	Data analysis	29
3.5	Planting management	29
3.5.1	Fertilization	29
3.5.2	Irrigation	29
3.5.3	Weed control	30
3.5.4	Pest and disease control	30

4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	RESULT	
4.1.1	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the height increment of rubber seedlings	31
4.1.2	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the girth increment of rubber seedlings	35
4.1.3	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the chlorophyll content of rubber seedlings	39
4.1.4	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the above ground dry weight (leaf and stem) of rubber seedlings	43
4.1.5	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the below ground dry weight (root) of rubber seedlings	45
4.1.6	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the total dry weight of rubber seedlings	47
4.1.7	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the nutrient content of rubber seedlings	
	4.1.7.1 Nitrogen content	49
	4.1.7.2 Phosphorus content	51
	4.1.7.3 Potassium content	53
	4.1.7.4 Calcium content	55
	4.1.7.5 Magnesium content	57
4.2	DISCUSSION	59
5	CONCLUSION	63
	REFERENCES	65
	APPENDICES	67



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Group of nutrient in rubber tree	10
2	Fertilizer treatments	23
3	Experimental Plot Design	24



## LIST OF PLATES

PLATE		PAGE
1	Leaf shape of rubber clone RRIM 2025	8
2	Nursery site	24
3	Plant height measurement	25
4	Girth measurement	26
5	Chlorophyll Content measurement	27
6	Daconil was used to spray fungi	30
7	Symptoms of Leaves Scorching	60

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES		PAGE
1	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the height increment of rubber seedlings at first month of treatment	32
2	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the height increment of rubber seedlings at second month of treatment	33
3	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the height increment of rubber seedlings at third month of treatment	34
4	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the girth increment of rubber seedlings at first month of treatment	36
5	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the girth increment of rubber seedlings at second month of treatment	37
6	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the girth increment of rubber seedlings at third month of treatment	38
7	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the chlorophyll content in rubber seedlings at first month of treatment	40
8	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the chlorophyll content in rubber seedlings at second month of treatment	41
9	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the chlorophyll content in rubber seedlings at third month of treatment	42
10	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the above ground dry weight (leaf and stem) of rubber seedlings at third month after treatments	44
11	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on below ground dry weight (root) of rubber seedlings at third month after treatments	46
12	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on total dry weight of rubber seedlings at third month after treatments	48
13	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on nitrogen content in the leaves of rubber seedlings	50
14	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the phosphorus content in leaves of rubber seedlings	52

15	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the potassium content in leaves of rubber seedlings	54
16	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the calcium content in leaves of rubber seedlings	56
17	Effect of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the magnesium content in leaves of rubber seedlings	58



## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
1	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT HEIGHT AT FIRST MONTH OF TREATMENT.	67
2	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT HEIGHT AT SECOND MONTH OF TREATMENT	67
3	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT HEIGHT AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	68
4	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT GIRTH AT FIRST MONTH OF TREATMENT.	68
5	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT GIRTH AT SECOND MONTH OF TREATMENT.	69
6	ANOVA TABLE – PLANT GIRTH AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	69
7	ANOVA TABLE – CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT AT FIRST MONTH OF TREATMENT	70
8	ANOVA TABLE – CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT AT SECOND MONTH OF TREATMENT.	70
9	ANOVA TABLE – CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	71
10	ANOVA TABLE – ABOVE GROUND DRY WEIGHT (LEAF AND STEM) AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	71
11	ANOVA TABLE – BELOW GROUND DRY WEIGHT (ROOT) AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	72
12	ANOVA TABLE – TOTAL DRY WEIGHT AT THIRD MONTH OF TREATMENT.	72
13	ANOVA TABLE – NITROGEN CONTENT IN LEAVES OF RUBBER SEEDLINGS.	73
14	ANOVA TABLE – PHOSPHORUS CONTENT IN LEAVES OF RUBBER SEEDLINGS.	73

15	ANOVA TABLE – POTASSIUM CONTENT IN LEAVES OF RUBBER SEEDLINGS.	74
16	ANOVA TABLE – CALCIUM CONTENT IN LEAVES OF RUBBER SEEDLINGS.	74
17	ANOVA TABLE – MAGNESIUM CONTENT IN LEAVES OF RUBBER SEEDLINGS.	75



## ABSTRACT

The competitiveness with the other rubber producer has led the Rubber National Key Economic Area (NKEA) to drive the sectors' growth with acceleration of the replanting and new planting of rubber. Replanting has become critical as there are already 40,000 ha of old rubber trees that will be replanted continuously while new planting of 30,000 ha per year will be conducted within 5 years. Hence, adequate nutrition for rubber seedlings is considerably necessary to enhance growth and development of the rubber seedlings due to increasing demand for replanting purposes.

Therefore, this experiment was carried out to evaluate the rubber seedling growth performance in response to various rates of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) fertilizers. The experimental design in this study was Randomised Complete Block Design (RCBD) which involved 9 treatments; T1(5.2 g urea/plant + 11.2 g CIRP/plant), T2 (5.2 g urea/plant + 16.2 g CIRP/plant), T3 (5.2 g urea/plant + 21.2 g CIRP/plant), T4 (10.2 g urea/plant + 11.2 g CIRP/plant), T5 (10.2 g urea/plant + 16.2 g CIRP/plant), T6 (10.2 g urea/plant + 21.2 g CIRP/plant), T7 (15.2 g urea/plant + 11.2 g CIRP/plant), T8 (15.2 g urea/plant + 16.2 g CIRP/plant) and T9 (15.2 g urea/plant + 21.2 g CIRP/plant) with 3 replications.

The result indicates that there was significant difference for the application of various rates of N and P fertilizers on the height increment, girth increment, chlorophyll content, above ground dry weight (leaf and stem), below ground dry weight (root). Nevertheless, there were no significant differences on total dry weight

of rubber seedlings. Besides that, this experiment showed that the application of T2 (2.0 g urea/plant and 7.5 g CIRP/plant) gave much higher value of nutrient content for nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium in the leaf tissues than the control T1 (2.0 g urea/plant and 2.5 g CIRP/plant). This may indicate inadequate application rates of phosphorus at the control treatment (T1).

The expected result from this study will be useful for rubber plantation industry especially in the nursery and to provide new information about fertilizer requirement. Treatment T2 with application of fertilizer rate 2.0 g urea/plant and 7.5 g CIRP/plant gave the best result in all parameters taken in this study. So, the rate 2.0 g urea/plant and 7.5 g CIRP/plant is recommended to the rubber seedlings in the nursery.



## ABSTRAK

Persaingan di antara pengeluar-pengeluar utama getah telah menggerakkan Bidang Ekonomi Utama Negara (NKEA) untuk memacu pertumbuhan sektor dengan meningkatkan penanaman semula dan penanaman baru tanaman getah. Penanaman semula berada di tahap kritikal apabila terdapat sebanyak 40,000 hektar pokok getah tua yang akan ditanam semula secara berterusan manakala penanaman baru sebanyak 30,000 hektar setahun akan dijalankan dalam tempoh 5 tahun. Oleh itu, nutrien yang mencukupi untuk anak pokok getah adalah penting untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan dan perkembangan anak pokok getah kerana permintaan yang semakin meningkat untuk tujuan penanaman semula.

Oleh itu, eksperimen ini dijalankan untuk menilai prestasi pertumbuhan anak pokok getah terhadap kesan pelbagai kadar baja nitrogen (N) dan fosforus (P). Rekabentuk eksperimen yang telah digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah Randomised Complete Block Design (RCBD) yang melibatkan 9 rawatan; T1 (5.2 g urea/pokok + 11.2 g CIRP/pokok), T2 (5.2 g urea/pokok + 16.2 g CIRP/pokok), T3 (5.2 g urea/pokok + 21.2 g CIRP/pokok), T4 (10.2 g urea/pokok + 11.2 g CIRP/pokok), T5 (10.2 g urea/pokok + 16.2 g CIRP/pokok), T6 (10.2 g urea/pokok + 21.2 g CIRP/pokok), T7 (15.2 g urea/pokok + 11.2 g CIRP/pokok), T8 ( 15.2 g urea/pokok + 16.2 g CIRP/pokok ) dan T9 (15.2 g urea/pokok + 21.2 g CIRP/pokok ) dengan 3 replikasi.

Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan bagi pelbagai kadar baja N dan P pada kenaikan ketinggian, kenaikan lilitan, kandungan

klorofil, berat kering bahagian atas (daun dan batang), berat kering bahagian bawah (akar). Walau bagaimanapun, tidak terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan terhadap jumlah berat kering benih getah. Di samping itu, eksperimen ini menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan T2 (2.0 g urea/pokok dan 7.5 g CIRP/pokok) memberikan nilai yang lebih tinggi pada kandungan nutrien bagi nitrogen, fosforus, kalium, kalsium dan magnesium di dalam tisu daun daripada kawalan T1 (2.0 g urea/ pokok dan 2.5 g CIRP/pokok). Ini mungkin menunjukkan bahawa kadar baja fosforus yang tidak mencukupi di rawatan kawalan (T1).

Keputusan yang dijangka daripada kajian ini akan memberi kebaikan dalam industri perladangan getah terutamanya di tapak semaian dan dapat memberikan maklumat baru mengenai keperluan baja. Rawatan T2 dengan penggunaan kadar baja 2.0 g urea/pokok dan 7.5 g CIRP/pokok memberikan hasil yang terbaik dalam semua parameter yang diambil dalam kajian ini. Kadar 2.0 g urea/pokok dan 7.5 g CIRP/pokok adalah disyorkan untuk anak pokok getah di tapak semaian.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

Malaysia is expected to produce about two million tonnes of latex annually by year 2020, and it is expected to contribute the country's total Gross National Income (GNI) from RM18.5 billion (2012) to RM52.9 billion in the year 2020. Four Entry Point Projects (EPP) were identified as primary contributors to the projected Gross National Income (GNI) growth, and one of the targets is to increase average national rubber productivity in Malaysia. To boost rubber productivity, this EPP aims to ensure only high-yielding and quality-planting materials are supplied to the smallholders. In the year 2012, the government has launched replanting and new planting programme under the Rubber National Key Economic Area (NKEA). Under this programme, replanting of old rubber trees (40,000 ha/year) and new planting (30,000 ha/year) will be conducted within 5 years (Economic Transformation Programme Annual Report, 2012).

Due to increasing demand of young rubber trees for replanting purposes, research should be conducted to ease the process of cultivation. For these reasons, research and knowledge on application of fertilizer is one of the most effective methods to enhance growth and development of the rubber seedlings in the nursery. Effective nutrient supply in the nursery is important to speed up the process of maturation and vegetative growth of rubber seedlings, as well as reduce cost of production. To produce two whorls polybag of rubber seedling from young budding, it requires eight until nine months before field planting ; phase 1 – three to four months for production of rootstocks, phase 2 – 21 to 31 days for bud grafting, phase

3 – growth of successful scion after budding that takes 3 to 4 months before transplant into the field. For the nursery stage, the rate and frequency of fertilizer application begin one month immediately after the plant achieved first whorl of hard leaves. The establishment of rubber nursery is required to be focused on the right fertilizer for the growth of rubber seedlings. This is important to obtain early maturity and planting materials transplanted into the field to achieve high initial establishment success.

Therefore, the main objective of this study is to evaluate the rubber seedlings growth performance in response to various rates of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) fertilizers. A study on the effect of various rates of nitrogen fertilizer and phosphorus fertilizers is carried out to prove the best rates and effectiveness of fertilizer on the growth of rubber seedlings.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Aziz, S.A.K. (2002). Advance in rubber cultivation and processing. Lembaga Getah Malaysia., 1-12.
- Ann, B. (2012). Contributions of leaf photosynthetic capacity, leaf angle and leaf-shading to maximization of net photosynthesis in *Acer Saccharum*: A modelling assessment. 110(3) 731-741. doi:10.1093/aob/mcs106
- Bolle-Jones, E. W., & Mallikarjuneswara, V. R. (1957). Cobalt: Effect on the growth and composition of hevea. Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia.15(3), 128-140.
- Chin, S.L. (1978). Role and deficiency symptoms in RRIM Training Manual on Soils, Management of Soils and Nutrition of Hevea, Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia., 92-97.
- Diane, R., Alan, M.D., & Steve, D. (2002). Fertilizing the vegetable garden. Virginia Cooperative Extension.
- Economic Transformation Programme. (2012). Palm oil and rubber. Retrieved April,2014,from [http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/annualreport/upload/Eng\\_ETP201207NKEA05POR.pdf](http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/annualreport/upload/Eng_ETP201207NKEA05POR.pdf)
- Evans, J. R. (1989). Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationship in leaves of Ca plants. *Oecologia*, 78(1), 9-19.
- Evans, S. (2011). International rubber study group predicts decade of strong tyre demand. Tyrepress Magazine, (9), 1. tyrepress.com. Accessed: November 19, 2014
- Kwai, L.S. (1979). Propagation and establishment methods in hevea in RRIM Training Manual on Rubber Planting and Nursery Techniques, pp. 15-18. Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia.
- Leonila, M.T. (2009). Rubber nursery management. Open academy for Philippine Agriculture (USM).
- Malaysian Rubber Board. (2009). *Rubber Plantation and Processing Technologies*. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysia Rubber Board.
- Mokhatar, S. J., & Daud, W. N. (2011). Evaluation of straight fertilizer, urea (AGRENAS) and ammonium sulphate, on hevea brasiliensis (RRIM2000) . *J. Sci. Technol. Tropics*, (7), 1-7.
- Noordin, W. D., Najib, L. R., & Tajuddin, I. (1984). Rubber fertilizer: Importance and Guideline for smallholder Rubber Plantation, Rubber Research Institute Malaysia Planters Conference Kuala Lumpur.

- Noordin, W.D. (2013). Lecture Notes, Plantation Crop Production. University Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Pillay, P. N. R. (1980). *Handbook of Natural Rubber in India*. Kottayam, India: The Rubber Research Institute of India.
- Punnoose, K. I., Kodandaraman, R., Philip, V., & Jessy, M. D. (2000). *Field upkeep and intercropping*. In: *Natural rubber: Agromanagement and crop processing* (eds. P. J. George and C. K. Jacob). Kottayam, India: The Rubber Research Institute of India.
- Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia. (1987). *Annual Report 1987*. Kuala Lumpur: Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia.
- Seth, A. K. (1977). Integrated weed control in tropical plantations. University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, pp. 69-87.
- Shafar, J.M., & Daud, W. N. (2011). Performance of hevea brasiliensis on haplic acrisol soil as affected by different source of fertilizer, *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, Vol.1 No.1.
- Sharifuddin, H. A. H., & Suhaimin, I. (1981). The effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on the yield and chemical composition of sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) In Proc. Seminar on management of Tropical Soils 1981. Kuala Lumpur. Pp 182 -191.
- Shorrocks, V. M. (1962). Leaf analysis as a guide to the nutrition of *Hevea Brasiliensis* II. Sampling Technique with Mature Trees: Variations in Nutrient Compositioning of the Leaves with Position on the Tree. *Journal Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia*. 17(2) Pp 91-101.
- Tajuddin, I. (1979). Fertilizer forms and characteristics propagation . *RRIM Training manual on rubber planting techniques* (pp. 155-156) Rubber Research Institute Malaysia.
- Tajuddin, I. (1979). Soils management and nutrition of hevea, Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia, pp: 119.
- Webster, C. C. (1989). Propagation, planting and pruning. In C. C. Webster and W. J. Baulkwill (Ed.), *Rubber* (pp. 96-244). Essex, England: Longman Scientific and Technical.
- Webster, C. C., & Paardekooper, E. C. C. (1989). The botany of the rubber tree. In C. C. Webster and W. J. Baulkwill (Ed.), *Rubber* (pp. 57-84). Essex, England: Longman Scientific and Technical.