



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**ANALYSIS OF LETHALITY OF CYPERMETHRIN, IMIDACLOPRID AND
CHLORANTRANILIPROLE ON *Chrysoperla nipponensis*
(NEUROPTERA: CHRYSOPIDAE)**

NUR SHAMSINAR MOHD TAJUDIN

FP 2016 45

**ANALYSIS OF LETHALITY OF
CYPERMETHRIN, IMIDACLOPRID AND
CHLORANTRANILIPROLE ON *Chrysoperla nipponensis*
(NEUROPTERA: CHRYSOPIDAE)**



NUR SHAMSINAR BINTI MOHD TAJUDIN

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN

2015/2016

**ANALYSIS OF LETHALITY OF CYPERMETHRIN, IMIDACLOPRID AND
CHLORANTRANILIPROLE ON *Chrysoperla nipponensis*
(NEUROPTERA: CHRYSOPIDAE)**



BY

NUR SHAMSINAR BINTI MOHD TAJUDIN

**A project report submitted to Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the
award of the degree of Bachelor of Agricultural Science**

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

2015/2016

CERTIFICATION

This project report entitled Analysis of Lethality of Cypermethrin, Imidacloprid and Chlorantraniliprole on *Chrysoperla nipponensis* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) is prepared by Nur Shamsinar Binti Mohd Tajudin and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirement of PRT 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Agriculture Science.

Student's name:

Nur Shamsinar Binti Mohd Tajudin

Student's signature:

.....

Certified by:

.....

Prof. Dr. Dzolkhifli Omar

Supervisor,

Department of Crop Protection,

Faculty of Agriculture,

Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date:



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah S.W.T because of His grace I am able to complete my thesis within the given period of time. I wish to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Dzolkhifli Omar as my supervisor who helped, advice, support and gave valuable guidance throughout the completion this project.

I am forever in debt to Dr. Norhayu Asib and Syafique Ahmad who gave valuable guidance, sharing their expertise, and the encouragement extended to me. Special thanks goes to all member of Toxicology Lab, Department of Plant Protection especially to Mr. Jarkasi Sarbini, Mr. Mohamed Zaki Yusoff and post graduate students who always gave a helping hand and taking the time to help me with my project.

I shall always be grateful to my good friends Nurfatin Ruslan, and Muhammad Syazwan Md Akhir who have been extremely supportive and helpful while I underwent many challenges during the completion of this final year project. I also would like to express my appreciation to all members who are involved directly or indirectly throughout the making of this project.

Last but not least, from the bottom of my heart I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my beloved mother Kasmah Md Esa, late father Mohd Tajudin Ariffin and siblings who gave support and encouragement to me to complete this project successfully.

LIST OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
LIST OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF PLATES	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
ABSTRAK	viii
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Overview of Green Lacewing	3
2.1.1 Adult of Green Lacewing	4
2.1.2 Larvae of Green Lacewing	4
2.1.3 Lifecycle of Green Lacewing	5
2.2 Conventional Insecticides	7
2.2.1 Cypermethrin	8
2.2.2 Imidacloprid	9
2.2.3 Chlorantraniliprole	11

CHAPTER 3

MATERIALS AND METHOD

3.1 Mass Rearing of <i>C. nipponensis</i>	13
3.2 Bioassay	15
3.3 Parameter	
3.3.1 Insecticide Efficacy (E_t)	18
3.3.2 Initial versus Final Efficacy (E_0 vs E_5)	19
3.3.3 Insecticide Efficacy Change Over Time	20
3.3.4 Lethality Index	21
3.4 Data Analysis	21

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Insecticide Efficacy (E_t)	22
4.2 Initial versus Final Efficacy (E_0 vs E_5)	25
4.3 Insecticide Efficacy Change Over Time	26
4.4 Lethality Index	29

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION	31
-------------------	----

REFERENCES	32
-------------------	----

APPENDICES	38
-------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Insecticides evaluated against <i>Chrysoperla nipponensis</i> in laboratory bioassays	16
2	Lethality index of each insecticides with the initial efficacy rating and the change in efficacy over 5 days	29

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Adult of green lacewing	4
2	Larva of green lacewing	5
3	Lifecycle of green lacewing	6
4	Molecular structure of cypermethrin	9
5	Molecular structure of imidacloprid	11
6	Molecular structure of chlorantraniliprole	12
7	The percentage of insecticide efficacy (E_t) over time (Day)	24
8	The efficacy values of insecticide at day 0 (E_t) and at day 5 (E_5) for 3 insecticides	26
9	Dynamics of insecticide efficacy difference compared to initial efficacy ($E_t - E_0$) over time (Day)	28
10	Lethality index for insecticides	30

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE		PAGE
1	Tools for handling <i>C. nipponensis</i>	13
2	Adult artificial diet and water which provided in the adult cage	14
3	Elisa tray provided with larvae artificial diet	14
4	Type of insecticides as bioassay	15
5	Larvae in the petri dish individually	16
6	Larvae of <i>C. nipponensis</i> placed in Elisa tray after exposure to dry residue of insecticides	17
7	The condition of larvae after 5 days exposure to cypermethrin dry residue	18

ABSTRACT

The conservation of natural enemies can help in the effort to improve biological control. Selection of the appropriate insecticide is necessary in controlling pest populations to ensure the least side effects on non-target insects. In this study, the insecticide efficacy (E_t), initial versus final efficacy (E_0 vs. E_5), insecticide efficacy change over time and lethality index of cypermethrin, imidacloprid and chlorantraniliprole were evaluated against the larvae of green lacewings, *Chrysoperla nipponensis* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), a general predator of insect pests. The third instar larvae were exposed to dry residue at the label recommended field rate for 2 hours. The immediate impact of insecticides and the recovery and mortality rates of individual larvae over a 5 days period were observed. Among three insecticide, cypermethrin showed a moderate initial efficacy where $10\% < E_0 < 90\%$, while imidacloprid and chlorantraniliprole were low in initial efficacy ($E_0 \leq 10\%$). All evaluated insecticides showed increasing efficacy where the percentage of moribund and dead larvae increased by $> 10\%$ after 5 days. The lethality index for cypermethrin was 72.7% and followed by imidacloprid, 32.5%, and chlorantraniliprole, 11.2%. Consequently, cypermethrin showed the highest toxicity on larvae of *C. nipponensis* compared with other insecticides.

ABSTRAK

*Pemuliharaan musuh semulajadi dapat membantu dalam usaha untuk meningkatkan kawalan biologi. Pemilihan racun serangga yang bersesuaian adalah perlu dalam pengawalan populasi perosak bagi memastikan kurangnya kesan sampingan terhadap serangga bukan sasaran. Dalam kajian ini, keberkesanan racun serangga (E_t), perbandingan keberkesanan racun awal dan akhir (E_0 vs. E_5), perubahan keberkesanan racun serangga dari masa ke masa dan indek kematian adalah ukuran digunakan untuk menilai kesan tiga racun serangga konvensional: cypermethrin, imidacloprid dan chlorantraniliprole pada larva *Chrysoperla nipponensis* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), pemangsa am bagi populasi serangga perosak. Larva didedahkan kepada sisa racun yang disyorkan selama 2 jam. Kesan racun serangga terhadap larva serta-merta dan pemulihan dan kematian kadar individu larva dalam tempoh 5 hari diperhatikan. Kemudian, indek kematian digunakan bagi membandingkan racun serangga dengan mempertimbangkan keadaan perubahan larva. Antara ketiga-tiga racun serangga, cypermethrin telah menunjukkan keberkesanan permulaan yang serdahana ($10\% < E_0 < 90\%$), manakala imidacloprid dan chlorantraniliprole mempunyai keberkesanan permulaan rendah ($E_0 \leq 10\%$). Semua racun serangga menunjukkan peningkatan keberkesanan di mana peratusan larva hampir mati dan mati telah meningkat sebanyak $>10\%$ selepas penilaian 5 hari. Indek kematian untuk cypermethrin ialah 72.7% dan diikuti oleh imidacloprid 32.5%, manakala chlorantraniliprole hanya 11.2%. Oleh yang demikian, cypermethrin yang ditunjukkan adalah sangat toksik pada larva berbanding racun serangga lain.*

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The green lacewing or scientifically known as *Chrysoperla nipponensis* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) is an economically important predator. The green lacewings are classified as predator because they are good in controlling the population of pest especially aphids. It is not dangerous because it will not harm people, plant and also animals. The larvae of *C. nipponensis* plays the important role in controlling the pest population. It helps in suppressing the population of prey by attacking them using the sucking jaws. It will inject the paralyzing poison. Then, the larvae will suck the body fluids of the pest. The example of pest population that can be suppressed by *C. nipponensis* are aphids, whiteflies, leaf miner, mealy bugs, thrips, mites, armyworms and other insect pests.

In horticultural crops such as vegetables, fruits and ornamental, the *C. nipponensis* population can be easily found. Besides that, *C. nipponensis* also can be found in the agricultural crop such as in tea plantation. However, most of farmer have little exposure to the benefit of beneficial insects. Therefore, they always use the conventional insecticide in order to control the pest in their farms or plantations. An example of the popular conventional insecticide use worldwide by the farmers in their field crops is imidacloprid. This is due to its low toxicity to human and systemic mode of action (Nauen and Denholm, 2005).

The use of insecticides in the agricultural production gives high impact on the non-target organisms. The insecticides could cause death as well as reducing the

fecundity of non-target organisms. High exposure to the insecticide may also shortened life span, change in development times and cause deformity.

Most of conventional insecticides are harmful to the environment when they leave residues for a long period of time. The main aim of a farmer using insecticide is to control or suppress the pest population. They do not know that it also may affect the population of beneficial insects and their habitat. Lehnert (2012) stated that the beneficial insects like green lacewings will be affected when the insecticide was applied in the fruit orchard area. This is not to the advantage to the farmer because the natural enemies are good in controlling the pest population in the field. Therefore, the farmer must conserve the habitat of beneficial insects in order to maintain the population of these beneficial insects.

In order to control population of pest, while conserving the population of beneficial insects by, the farmers must choose the correct insecticide to apply in the field. The correct insecticide must give little mortality to the predator population. Bonizzoni et al. (2006) claimed that the conventional insecticide like imidacloprid that affect non-target insects such as honey bee must not be used. Besides that, the cost of production is also increased due to the excessive use of insecticide and it also becomes wasteful.

Therefore, the aims of this study were to: 1) To determine the immediate effects of conventional insecticides to the larvae of *C. nipponensis*, 2) To determine the recovery and mortality rates of individuals over a 5 day period, and 3) To establish the relative efficacy of all tested insecticides based on these two above properties. This study will help us to identify the suitable conventional insecticide that can be used in the field, while avoiding the high mortality of beneficial insect, *C. nipponensis*.

REFERENCES

- Amarasekare, K. G., & Shearer, P. W. (2013). Life history comparison of two green lacewing species *Chrysoperla johnsoni* and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Environmental Entomology*, 42(5), 1079-1084. doi:10.1603/EN13070
- Antwi, F. B., & Reddy, G. V. P. (2015). Toxicological effects of pyrethroids on non-target aquatic insects. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 40(3), 915-923. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2015.09.023
- Aqueel, M. A., Collins, C. M., Raza, A. -. M., Ahmad, S., Tariq, M., & Leather, S. R. (2014). Effect of plant nutrition on aphid size, prey consumption, and life history characteristics of green lacewing. *Insect Science*, 21(1), 74-82. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12019
- Beketov, M. A., & Liess, M. (2008). Acute and delayed effects of the neonicotinoid insecticide thiacloprid on seven freshwater arthropods. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 27(2), 461-470.
- Bonizzoni, L., Martinez, X., Hederer, M., Bross, P., Bohet, J., Hamdan, F., Veillerette, F. (2006). Pesticides and bees protection: The case of imidacloprid, fipronil, thiamethoxam and clothianidin. *Open Letter Addressed to Mr.Markos Kyprianou, Commissioner of Health and Consumer Protection European Commission, Brussels*,
- Brooks, S. J., & Barnard, P. C. (1990). The green lacewings of the world: A generic review (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology Series*, 59(2), 117-286.
- Brugger, K. E., Cole, P. G., Newman, I. C., Parker, N., Scholz, B., Suvagia, P., Hammond, T. G. (2010). Selectivity of chlorantraniliprole to parasitoid wasps. *Pest Management Science*, 66(10), 1075-1081.
- Canard, M., McEwen, P., New, T., & Whittington, A. (2001). Natural food and feeding habits of lacewings. *Lacewings in the Crop Environment*, 116-129.
- Canard, M., Letardi, A., & Thierry, D. (2007). The rare chrysopidae (neuroptera) of southwestern europe. *Acta Oecologica*, 31(3), 290-298.
- Cantalamesa, F. (1993). Acute toxicity of two pyrethroids, permethrin, and cypermethrin in neonatal and adult rats. *Archives of Toxicology*, 67(7), 510-513.
- Cardoso, J. T., & Lazzari, S. (2003). Development and consumption capacity of *Chrysoperla externa* (hagen) (neuroptera, chrysopidae) fed with cinara spp. (hemiptera, aphididae) under three temperatures. *Revista Brasileira De Zoologia*, 20(4), 573-576.

- Casida, J. E. (1980). Pyrethrum flowers and pyrethroid insecticides. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 34, 189-202.
- Chlorantraniliprole. (2015). Retrieved from <http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Chlorantraniliprole#section=Top>
- Cordova, D., Benner, E., Sacher, M., Rauh, J., Sopa, J., Lahm, G., Gutteridge, S. (2006). Anthranilic diamides: A new class of insecticides with a novel mode of action, ryanodine receptor activation. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 84(3), 196-214.
- Corrales, N., & Campos, M. (2004). Populations, longevity, mortality and fecundity of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) from olive-orchards with different agricultural management systems. *Chemosphere*, 57(11), 1613-1619. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.09.019>
- Cypermethrin. (2015). Retrieved from <http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/cypermethrin#section=Top>
- Cypermethrin general fact sheet. (1998). Retrieved from <http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/cypermethrin.pdf>.
- Demkovich, M., Siegel, J. P., Higbee, B. S., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2015). Mechanism of resistance acquisition and potential associated fitness costs in *Amyelois transitella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) exposed to pyrethroid insecticides. *Environmental Entomology*, nvv047.
- Desneux, N., Decourtye, A., & Delpuech, J. (2007). The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. *Annu.Rev.Entomol*, 52, 81-106.
- Dinter, A., Brugger, K. E., Frost, N., & Woodward, M. D. (2010). Chlorantraniliprole (rynaxypyr): A novel DuPont™ insecticide with low toxicity and low risk for honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and bumble bees (*Bombus terrestris*) providing excellent tools for uses in integrated pest management. *Julius-Kühn-Archiv*, (423), 84.
- El Hassani, A. K., Dacher, M., Gary, V., Lambin, M., Gauthier, M., & Armengaud, C. (2008). Effects of sublethal doses of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on the behavior of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 54(4), 653-661.
- Elbert, A., Haas, M., Springer, B., Thielert, W., & Nauen, R. (2008). Applied aspects of neonicotinoid uses in crop protection. *Pest Management Science*, 64(11), 1099-1105.
- Elliott, M., Janes, N., & Potter, C. (1978). The future of pyrethroids in insect control. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 23(1), 443-469.
- Evans, E. W. (2013). Multitrophic interactions among plants, aphids, alternate prey and shared natural enemies-a review. *Eje*, 105(3), 369-380.

- Gandhi, P. I., Gunasekaran, K., Poonguzhali, S., Anandham, R., Kim, G., Chung, K., & Sa, T. (2005). Laboratory evaluation of relative toxicities of some insecticides against *Trichogramma chilonis* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 8(4), 381-386. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1226-8615\(08\)60267-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1226-8615(08)60267-8)
- Garratt, M. P. D., Wright, D. J., & Leather, S. R. (2011). The effects of farming system and fertilisers on pests and natural enemies: A synthesis of current research. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 141(3-4), 261-270. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.03.014>
- Gentz, M. C., Murdoch, G., & King, G. F. (2010). Tandem use of selective insecticides and natural enemies for effective, reduced-risk pest management. *Biological Control*, 52(3), 208-215.
- Gibson, R. (2008, December 24). *Growing With Science Blog*. Retrieved from Bug of the Week: Lacewing Revisited : <http://blog.growingwithscience.com/tag/green-lacewing-life-cycle/>
- Gibson, R. (2010, May 12). *Growing With Science Blog*. Retrieved from Bug of the Week: Green Lacewings: <http://blog.growingwithscience.com/2010/05/bug-of-the-week-green-lacewings/>
- Gibson, R. (2015, January 28). *Bug of the Week: Green Lacewing Life Cycle* . Retrieved from Growing With Science Blog: <http://blog.growingwithscience.com/2015/01/bug-of-the-week-green-lacewing-life-cycle/>
- Gontijo, L. M., Celestino, D., Queiroz, O. S., Guedes, R. N. C., & Picanço, M. C. (2015). Impacts of azadirachtin and chlorantraniliprole on the developmental stages of pirate bug predators (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) of the tomato pinworm *tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Florida Entomologist*, 98(1), 59-64.
- Hannig, G. T., Ziegler, M., & Marçon, P. G. (2009). Feeding cessation effects of chlorantraniliprole, a new anthranilic diamide insecticide, in comparison with several insecticides in distinct chemical classes and mode-of-action groups. *Pest Management Science*, 65(9), 969-974.
- Hodgson, E. W, Trina, J. (2008). Beneficial insects: Lacewings and antlions. Retrieved from <http://www.utahpests.usu.edu/htm/factsheets/publication=9250&custom=1>
- Hopwood, J., Black, S. H., Vaughan, M., & Lee-Mäder, E. (2013). In Shepherd M. (Ed.), *Beyond the birds and bees. effects of neonicotinoid insecticides on agricultural important beneficial invertebrates* *Beyond the birds and bees.* (Matthew Shepherd Trans.). Porland: The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation.

- Imidacloprid. (2015). Retrieved from <http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Imidacloprid#section=Top>
- Imidacloprid general fact sheet. (2010). Retrieved from <http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidagen.html>
- Insecticides. (2008). In H. Mehlhorn (Ed.), (pp. 651-651) Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-48996-2_1609
- Jeschke, P., & Nauen, R. (2008). Neonicotinoids—from zero to hero in insecticide chemistry. *Pest Management Science*, 64(11), 1084-1098.
- Jones, V. P., Steffan, S. A., Wiman, N. G., Horton, D. R., Miliczky, E., Zhang, Q., & Baker, C. C. (2011). Evaluation of herbivore-induced plant volatiles for monitoring green lacewings in washington apple orchards. *Biological Control*, 56(1), 98-105.
- Khan, H., Akram, W., & Shad, S. (2013). Resistance to conventional insecticides in pakistani populations of *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae): A potential ectoparasite of dairy animals. *Ecotoxicology*, 22(3), 522-527. doi:10.1007/s10646-013-1044-2
- Kim, D., Brooks, D. J., & Riedl, H. (2006). Lethal and sublethal effects of abamectin, spinosad, methoxyfenozone and acetamiprid on the predaceous plant bug *deraeocoris brevis* in the laboratory. *Biocontrol*, 51(4), 465-484.
- Kreutzweiser, D., Good, K., Chartrand, D., Scarr, T., & Thompson, D. (2007). Non-target effects on aquatic decomposer organisms of imidacloprid as a systemic insecticide to control emerald ash borer in riparian trees. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 68(3), 315-325.
- Lacewing larva. (2013). Retrieved from <http://www.evergreengrowers.com/aphid-control/green-lacewings-aphid-control/green-lacewing-larva.html>
- Lahm, G. P., Cordova, D., & Barry, J. D. (2009). New and selective ryanodine receptor activators for insect control. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 17(12), 4127-4133.
- Lahm, G. P., Stevenson, T. M., Selby, T. P., Freudenberger, J. H., Cordova, D., Flexner, L., Hughes, K. A. (2007). Rynaxypyr™: A new insecticidal anthranilic diamide that acts as a potent and selective ryanodine receptor activator. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 17(22), 6274-6279.
- Larson, J. L., Redmond, C. T., & Potter, D. A. (2014). Impacts of a neonicotinoid, neonicotinoid-pyrethroid premix, and anthranilic diamide insecticide on four species of turf-inhabiting beneficial insects. *Ecotoxicology*, 23(2), 252-259.
- Lehnert, R. 2012. Reduced risk? Good Fruit Grower, Yakima, WA. Good Fruit Grower, March 1, 2012. (<http://www.goodfruit.com/Good-Fruit-Grower/March-1st-2012/Reduced-risk/>).

- Leskey, T. C., Lee, D., Short, B. D., & Wright, S. E. (2012). Impact of insecticides on the invasive *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae): Analysis of insecticide lethality. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *105*(5), 1726-1735. doi:10.1603/EC12096
- Lewis, W. J., van Lenteren, J. C., Phatak, S. C., & Tumlinson, J. H.,3rd. (1997). A total system approach to sustainable pest management. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *94*(23), 12243-12248.
- Liu, Z., Williamson, M. S., Lansdell, S. J., Denholm, I., Han, Z., & Millar, N. S. (2005). A nicotinic acetylcholine receptor mutation conferring target-site resistance to imidacloprid in *Nilaparvata lugens* (brown planthopper). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *102*(24), 8420-8425. doi:0502901102 [pii]
- Misra, H. P., & Senapati, B. (2003). Evaluation of new insecticides against okra jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *73*(10), 576-578.
- Naka, H., Haruyama, N., Ito, K., Mitsunaga, T., Nomura, M., & Mochizuki, A. (2006). Interspecific hybridization between introduced and indigenous green lacewings (Neurop, Chrysopidae: Chrysoperla) at different adult densities. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, *130*(8), 426-428. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0418.2006.01084.x
- Nauen, R., & Denholm, I. (2005). Resistance of insect pests to neonicotinoid insecticides: Current status and future prospects. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, *58*(4), 200-215.
- Oliveira, S. A., Auad, A. M., Souza, B., Silva, D. M., & Carvalho, C. A. (2010). Effect of temperature on the interaction between *Chrysoperla externa* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) and siphia flava (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Eur.J.Entomol*, *107*(2), 183-188.
- Pansa, M. G., Blandino, M., Ingegno, B. L., Ferrari, E., Reyneri, A., & Tavella, L. (2015). Toxicity and persistence of three pyrethroids for the control of cereal bugs on common wheat. *Journal of Pest Science*, *88*(1), 201-208.
- Pappas, M., Broufas, G., & Koveos, D. (2007). Effects of various prey species on development, survival and reproduction of the predatory lacewing *Dichochrysa prasina* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Biological Control*, *43*(2), 163-170.
- Pappas, M., Broufas, G., & Koveos, D. (2011). Chrysopid predators and their role in biological control. *Journal of Entomology*, *8*(3), 301-326.
- Pimentel, D. (2005). Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the united states. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *7*(2), 229-252. doi:10.1007/s10668-005-7314-2

- Porcel, M., Ruano, F., Cotes, B., Peñ˜a, A., & Campos, M. (2013). Agricultural management systems affect the green lacewing community (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) in olive orchards in southern Spain. *Environmental Entomology*, 42(1), 97-106. doi:10.1603/EN11338
- Rimoldi, F., Schneider, M. I., & Ronco, A. E. (2008). Susceptibility of *Chrysoperla externa* eggs (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) to conventional and biorational insecticides. *Environmental Entomology*, 37(5), 1252-1257. doi:10.1603/0046-225X(2008)37[1252:SOCEEN]2.0.CO;2
- Rojht, H., Budija, F., & Trdan, S. (2009). Effect of temperature on cannibalism rate between green lacewings larvae (*Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens), Neuroptera, Chrysopidae). *Acta Agriculturae Slovenica*, 93(1), 5-9.
- Sánchez-Bayo, F., & Goka, K. (2006). Influence of light in acute toxicity bioassays of imidacloprid and zinc pyrethrin to zooplankton crustaceans. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 78(3), 262-271.
- Sato, T., & Takada, H. (2004). Biological studies on three micromus species in Japan (Neuroptera: Hemerobiidae) to evaluate their potential as biological control agents against aphids: 1. Thermal effects on development and reproduction. *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, 39(3), 417-425.
- Smaghe, G., Deknopper, J., Meeus, I., & Mommaerts, V. (2013). Dietary chlorantraniliprole suppresses reproduction in worker bumblebees. *Pest Management Science*, 69(7), 787-791.
- Stelzl, M., & Devetak, D. (1999). Neuroptera in agricultural ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 74(1), 305-321.
- Tomizawa, M., & Casida, J. E. (2005). Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology: Mechanisms of selective action. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 45, 247-268.
- Wang, D., Pan, F., He, Y., Guo, X., & Chen, Q. (2011). Sublethal effects of eleven insecticides of different categories on reproduction of *Trichogrammatoidea bactrae nagaraja* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *Acta Entomol. Sin.*, 54, 56-63.
- Williams, R. (1999). Lacewings, nature's little helpers. *J. Pest Reform*, 19, 22-23.
- Yang, E., Chuang, Y., Chen, Y., & Chang, L. (2008). Abnormal foraging behavior induced by sublethal dosage of imidacloprid in the honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 101(6), 1743-1748.