



***USING WEB-BASED REMOTE SENSING TO MEASURE THE
SEVERITY OF FOREST FRAGMENTATION IN SOUTHEAST
ASIAN SUB REGIONS***

INTAN NUR FARISA BINTI MOHD FAUZI

FH 2018 13

**USING WEB-BASED REMOTE SENSING TO MEASURE THE
SEVERITY OF FOREST FRAGMENTATION IN SOUTHEAST
ASIAN SUB REGIONS**

By

INTAN NUR FARISA BINTI MOHD FAUZI

**A Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

2018

DEDICATION

Praise be to Allah,

With a humble heart I would like to dedicate the dissertation to:

My beloved and precious family

My supervisor

And also my friends

Thank you for your encouragements, supports and helps that you have given.

Thank you for everything. May Allah bless all of us.

ABSTRACT

Forest fragmentation is major threat to biodiversity, yet measuring it is still a challenge. Current techniques for measuring forest fragmentation is exclusively limited to experts of Geographic Information System (GIS) and remote sensing technology. The acquisition of satellite images as well as commercial GIS and remote sensing software is extremely expensive to natural resource managers and scientists from developing countries. Hence, this study provides a simple but reliable new method to measure forest fragmentation using Google Earth Pro which relies on the area and perimeter of an existing forest patch that are benchmarked against those measured for an optimal (i.e. circular) shaped patch. A 120 random forest patches were selected from Southeast Asian sub regions namely, Borneo, Peninsular Malaysia, Sulawesi and Sumatra using Google Earth Pro. The spatial geometry of the forest patches (area and perimeter) of the existing patch and theoretical circular shape were measured, the forest fragmentation effect value was then derived from the data obtained: 1) Forest Fragmentation Effect Value based on Area [FEVba]. 2) Forest Fragmentation Effect Value based on Perimeter [FEVbp]. Based on [FEVba], Sulawesi has the highest mean (0.6313), followed by Borneo, Peninsular Malaysia and Sumatra. Based on [FEVbp], Sumatra has the highest mean (0.2633) followed by Sulawesi, Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo. The result obtained indicates that the method can be universally applied across region to guide conservation stakeholders and help scientists to study biodiversity in fragmented landscapes.

ABSTRAK

Pemecahan hutan adalah ancaman utama kepada kepelbagaian biologi, namun mengukurnya masih menjadi cabaran. Teknik semasa untuk mengukur pemecahan hutan terhad kepada pakar Sistem Informasi Geografi (GIS) dan teknologi penderiaan jarak jauh. Perolehan imej satelit serta perisian GIS dan perisian penderiaan jauh amat mahal untuk pengurus sumber dan saintis asli dari negara-negara membangun. Oleh itu, kajian ini menyediakan kaedah baru yang mudah tetapi boleh dipercayai untuk mengukur pemecahan hutan menggunakan Google Earth Pro yang bergantung kepada kawasan dan perimeter serpihan hutan yang sedia ada yang ditanda aras terhadap yang serpihan hutan berbentuk optimum (iaitu bulat). Sebanyak 120 rintangan hutan rawak dipilih dari rantau Asian Tenggara iaitu Borneo, Semenanjung Malaysia, Sulawesi dan Sumatra menggunakan Google Earth Pro (GEP) Geometri spatial hutan (luas kawasan dan perimeter) daripada serpihan hutan sedia ada dan bentuk teori bulat telah diukur, nilai kesan pemecahan hutan kemudian diperoleh daripada data tersebut: 1) Nilai Kesan Fragmentasi Hutan berdasarkan Kawasan [FEVba]. 2) Nilai Kesan Fragmentasi Hutan berdasarkan Perimeter [FEVbp]. Berdasarkan [FEVba], Sulawesi mempunyai nilai tertinggi (0.6313), diikuti oleh Borneo, Semenanjung Malaysia dan Sumatra. Berdasarkan [FEVbp], Sumatra mempunyai nilai tertinggi (0.2633) diikuti oleh Sulawesi, Semenanjung Malaysia dan Borneo. Hasil yang diperoleh menunjukkan bahawa kaedah ini boleh digunakan secara meluas di seluruh rantau untuk panduan pihak berkepentingan kepada pemuliharaan dan membantu para saintis mengkaji biodiversiti di landskap terpecah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

First and foremost, I would like to take the utmost opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif for his kind and untiring assistance, support and guidance throughout this study.

And also a special thanks to my friends and all the people that have been involved directly or indirectly in making this study a success.

Last but not least, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my family, especially my parents, Mohd Fauzi Bin Osman and Rohaidah Binti Majid for their beautiful encouragement, support and faith in me.

APPROVAL SHEET

I certify that this research project report entitled “Using Web-Based Remote Sensing to Measure the Severity of Forest Fragmentation in Southeast Asian Sub Regions” by Intan Nur Farisa Binti Mohd Fauzi has been examined and approved as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Dr. Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Supervisor)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Zakaria Bin Hussin
Dean
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: January 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DEDICATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
APPROVAL SHEET	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement/ Justification	3
1.3 Objectives	3
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Forest Fragmentation	4
2.2 Edge Effect	6
2.2.1 Abiotic Edge Effect	7
2.2.2 Direct Biological Edge Effect	7
2.2.3 Direct Biological Edge Effect	9
2.3 Core Area	9
2.4 Circular Shape as The Best Shape Reserve	10
2.5 Landscape Metrics	10
2.6 Google Earth Pro	11
 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Study Area	13
3.1.1 Selection of Forest Patch	15
3.2 Visual Interpretation	16
3.3 Theory of Optimal Circular on Habitat Patches	16
3.4 Measurement of Forest Spatial Geometry using Google Earth Pro	17
3.5 The Derivation of Fragmentation Effect Value	21
3.6 Statistical Analysis	22
 4 RESULTS	
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Forest Fragmentation Based on Area	23
4.2.1 Original Area	23
4.2.2 Theoretical Area	23
4.2.3 The Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Area	24
4.3 Forest Fragmentation Based on Perimeter	26

4.3.1	Original Perimeter	26
4.3.2	Theoretical Perimeter	27
4.3.3	The Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Perimeter	27
5	DISCUSSIONS	
5.1	Forest Fragmentation Effect Value in Southeast Asian Sub Regions	30
5.2	Forest Fragmentation in Borneo	31
5.3	Forest Fragmentation in Peninsular Malaysia	32
5.4	Forest Fragmentation in Sulawesi	33
5.5	Forest Fragmentation	33
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Conclusions	35
6.2	Limitation	36
6.3	Recommendations	36
	REFERENCES	38
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A	43
	Appendix B	49

LIST OF TABLES

	Table	Page
3.1	Coordinate of Study Area	16
4.1	Summary Statistics for Original Area (ha)	24
4.2	Summary Statistics for Theoretical Area (ha)	24
4.3	Summary Statistics for Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Area	25
4.4	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Area (ha)	25
4.5	The mean of Fragmentation Effect Value based on the Area for Borneo, Peninsular Malaysia, Sulawesi and Sumatra	25
4.6	Summary Statistics for Original Perimeter (km)	27
4.7	Summary Statistics for Theoretical Perimeter (km)	27
4.8	Summary Statistics for Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Perimeter	28
4.9	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Perimeter (km)	38
4.10	The Mean of Fragmentation Effect Value Based on the Perimeter for Borneo, Peninsular Malaysia, Sulawesi and Sumatra	39

LIST OF FIGURES

	Figure	Page
2.1	Forest Fragmentation Process	4
2.2	Facets of Landscape Structure Analysis	11
3.1	Map of Study Area	15
3.2	Historical Imagery Feature	18
3.3	Google Earth Pro Imagery Dated December 1994	18
3.4	Ruler Tool	19
3.5	Polygon and Circle Function in the Ruler Tool	19
3.6	A Polygon Drawn According to the Shape of Existing Forest Patch	20
3.7	A Circle Drawn Encapsulates the Polygon of the Forest Patch	20
3.8	Placemark Feature to Generate the Latitude and Longitude of the Point Chosen	21
3.9	The Polygon of Forest Patch Benchmarked to the Optimal Circular Shape of Forest Patch	21

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

[FEVba]	Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Area
[FEVbp]	Fragmentation Effect Value Based on Perimeter
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
WWF	World Wildlife Fund
GIS	Geographic Information System



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Currently, many of the developing countries which located in the tropical regions are facing rapid forest fragmentation due to the commercial logging, urbanization and agriculture expansion, which inevitably continues over the coming years. Forest fragmentation is a widespread phenomenon and is recognized as one of the major threats to biological diversity. It is a process by which large expanses of forests are converted into smaller tracts of forest surrounded by a matrix of habitats unlike the original in ways that reduce or eliminate the ability of the forest to provide ecological, economic, and social benefits, causing a disruption in continuity of the natural landscape.

Natural and anthropogenic factors can lead to forest fragmentation. The natural causes include storms, fires and aging. Numerous anthropogenic factors can also account for forest fragmentation. Among other things land use, infrastructure construction, urbanization, land tenure and socioeconomic factors can account for forest fragmentation

Forest fragmentation reduces the overall amount of habitat and producing a smaller isolated patches with a decrease of the core area and an increase of the edge. The expand in edge habitat facilitates the proliferation of invasive species of plants and animals as well as predators. Core area is the most-protected area in any forest patch, it is the area that is most distant from outside

disruptions. Basically, an ideal nature forest should obtain a shape of a perfect circle to reduce dispersal distances to avoid detrimental edge effects.

Area and Edge (perimeter) are the simplest measures of a patch. At the class and landscape levels, these can be summarized with basic statistics (e.g., mean, median, max, variance), also included is the patch radius of gyration, which can be considered the average distance an organism can move within a patch before encountering the patch boundary from a random starting point.

Currently, many tools available for instance Fragstats2.0, V-LATE, Landscape Analyst (Lang et al., 2004) to quantify the landscape structure for the study of landscape function and change. However, to make them fully available and operable for scientists and planners is still a challenge.

In this research, I intended to introduce a new method to measure the forest fragmentation using a Web-Based remote sensing which is Google Earth Pro. Google Earth Pro offers a comprehensive choice of landscape metrics as well as the geospatial information. The program is almost completely automated and requires less technical training. In this method, I extend to use both area and perimeter and incorporating one more functional component by using optimal circular shape of reserve design as the benchmarks to measure the forest fragmentation. This method is expected to be universally applied across regions to guide conservation stakeholders and help scientists to study biodiversity in fragmented landscapes.

1.2 Problem Statement

Forest fragmentation in developing countries through many land use changes is affecting the tropical regions. However, current techniques for measuring forest fragmentation is exclusively limited to experts of GIS and remote sensing technology. Furthermore, the acquisition of satellite images as well as commercial GIS and remote sensing software is extremely expensive to natural resource managers and scientists from developing countries. Thus, Google Earth Pro is used as a simple but reliable new method to measure forest fragmentation. The method relies on the area and perimeter of an existing patch that are benchmarked against those measured for an optimal circular shaped patch.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this study was to identify the forest patches in Southeast Asian sub regions. In addition, this study also measured the severity of forest fragmentation based on the area and perimeter.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, S. A., & Nakagoshi, N. (2007). Forest fragmentation and its correlation to human land use change in the state of Selangor, Peninsular Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 241, 39–48.

Anonymous (2011). *Annual Report Forestry Statistic for the Year 2011*, Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. Retrieved from <https://www.forestry.gov.my/index.php/en/2016-06-07-02-53-46/publication/annual-report/114-pusat-sumber/444-forestry-statistics-for-the-year-2011>

Austen, M. J., Francis, C. M., Burke, D. M., & Bradstreet, M. S. (2001). Landscape context and fragmentation effects on forest birds in southern Ontario. *The Condor*, 103(4), 701-714.

Beyene, B., Beyene, B., Unasho, A. (2016). *Processes of Forest Fragmentation and Its Impact*, 3, 42-65.

Boyle, S. A. (2008). *The Effects of Forest Fragmentation on Primates in the Brazilian Amazon*. Arizona State University, 31-8.

Burkey, T.V. (1995). Extinction rates in archipelagoes: implication for populations in fragmented habitats. *Conservation Biology* 9:527-541
Butler D. 2006. Virtual globes: the web-wide world. *Nature*, 439, 776-778.

Butler, B. J., Swenson, J. J., & Alig, R. J. (2004). Forest fragmentation in the Pacific Northwest: quantification and correlations. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 189(1), 363-373.

Carlson, K. M., Curran, L., & Ratnasari, D. (2012). Persistent Effects of Oil Palm Plantation Agriculture on Freshwater Stream Function in Indonesian Borneo. *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*. 2(1), 25-47.

Charles H. Cannon, Marcy Summers, John R. Harting, and Paul J.A. Kessler (2007). Developing Conservation Priorities Based on Forest Type, Condition, and Threats in a Poorly Known Ecoregion: Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biotropica*, 39(6), 747-759.

Clark, W. R. (2010). Nature Education Knowledge. *Principles of Landscape Ecology*, 1, 8-15.

Conroy GC, Anemone RL, Van Regenmorter J, Addison A. (2008). Google Earth, GIS, and the Great Divide: A new and simple method for sharing paleontological data. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 55, 751–755.

Crim, S., Dubois, M. R., Finley, J., Fletcher, R., Robinson, L. J., & Blanche, C. (2002). *Forest Fragmentation Extension Programming: A National Initiative*, 8, 134-152.

D'Angelo, S. A., Andrade, A. C., Laurance, S. G., Laurance, W. F., & Mesquita, R. C. (2004). Inferred causes of tree mortality in fragmented and intact Amazonian forests. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 20(2), 243-246.

De Smith, M. J., Goodchild, M. F., & Longley, P. (2007). *Geospatial Analysis: A Comprehensive Guide to Principles, Techniques and Software Tools*. Troubador Publishing Ltd, 1-13.

Diamond, J. M. (1975). The island dilemma: lessons of modern biogeographic studies for the design of natural reserves. *Biological conservation*, 7(2), 129-146.

Donna, M. (1998). *"Borneo's Wild Side"*. The New York Times. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/1998/08/02/travel/borneo-s-wild-side.html>

Duro, D.C., Ranklin, S.E., Dubé, M.G. (2012) A Comparison of pixel-based and object-based image analysis with selected machine learning algorithms for the classification of agricultural landscapes using SPOT-5 HRG imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2012, 118, 259–272.

Erasmi, S., Twele, A., Ardiansyah, M., Malik, A., & Kappas, M. (2004). Mapping deforestation and land cover conversion at the rainforest margin in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *EARSeL eProceedings*, 3(3), 388-397.

Forman, R.T.T. (1995) *Land Mosaics: The Ecology of Landscapes and Regions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2(4), 112-114.

Franklin, A. B., & Gutiérrez, R. J. (2002). Spotted owls, forest fragmentation, and forest heterogeneity. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 25, 203-220.

Gaveau, D. L. A., Kshatriya, M., Sheil, D., Sloan, S., & Molidena, E. (2013). Reconciling Forest Conservation and Logging in Indonesian Borneo. *PLoS One*, 8, 8-11.

Gaveau, D. L., Sloan, S., Molidena, E., Yaen, H., Sheil, D., Abram, N. K. & Meijaard, E. (2014). Four decades of forest persistence, clearance and logging on Borneo. *Plos One*, 9(7), 5-10

Goodchild, M. F., Guo, H., Annoni, A., Bian, L., de Bie, K., Campbell, F. & Lewis, A. J. (2012). Next-generation digital earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(28), 11088-11094.

Hamdan, O., Rahman, K. A., & Samsudin, M. (2016). Quantifying rate of deforestation and CO2 emission in Peninsular Malaysia using Palsar imageries. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 37(1), 12-28.

Harrison, S., & Bruna, E. (1999). Habitat fragmentation and large-scale conservation: what do we know for sure?. *Ecography*, 22(3), 225-232.

Hu, Q., Wu, W., Xia, T., Yu, Q., Yang, P., Li, Z., & Song, Q. (2013). Exploring the use of Google Earth imagery and object-based methods in land use/cover mapping. *Remote Sensing*, 5(11), 6026-6042.

Hunter Jr, M. L., & Gibbs, J. P. (2006). *Fundamentals of Conservation Biology*, 3(11), 226-235.

Janies D, Hill AW, Guralnick R, Habib F, Waltari E, Wheeler WW. (2007). Point of view: genomic analysis and geographic visualization of the spread of avian influenza. *Systematic Biology*, 56, 321-329

rrJha, C. S., Goparaju, L., Tripathi, A., Gharai, B., Raghubanshi, A. S., & Singh, J. S. (2005). Forest fragmentation and its impact on species diversity: an analysis using remote sensing and GIS. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 14(7), 1681-1698.

Joshi, A. (2014). *What Lies Within, We May Never Know: Deforestation Threatening Sulawesi's Unique Wildlife*. Retrieved from <https://news.mongabay.com/2014/08/what-lies-within-we-may-never-know-deforestation-threatening-sulawesi-unique-wildlife/>

Lang, S., Walz, U., Klug, H., Blaschke, T., & Syrbe, R. U. (2008). Landscape Metrics-A toolbox for assessing past, present and future landscape structures. *Geoinformation Technologies for Geocultural Landscapes: European Perspectives*, 207, 207.

Lang, Stefan., & Klug, Hermann (2006). Interactive Metrics Tool (IMT)- A didactical suite for teaching and applying landscape metrics. *Ecology(Bratislava)*, 25, 131-140.

Langner, A., Miettinen, J., & Siegert, F. (2007). Land cover change 2002–2005 in Borneo and the role of fire derived from MODIS imagery. *Global Change Biology*, 13(11), 2329-2340.

Large, A. R. G., & Gilvear, D. J. (2015). Using Google Earth, a virtual-globe imaging platform, for ecosystem services-based river assessment. *River Research and Applications*, 31(4), 406-421.

Laurance, W. F., Ferreira, L. V., Rankin-de Merona, J. M., & Laurance, S. G. (1998). Rain forest fragmentation and the dynamics of Amazonian tree communities. *Ecology*, 79(6), 2032-2040.

Laurance, W., Nascimento, H.E.M., Laurance, S.G., Andrade, A.C., Fearnside, P.H., Ribeiro, J.E.L. & Capretz, R.L. (2006) Main forest fragmentation and the proliferation of successional trees. *Ecology*, 87, 469-482.

Lewis, D. J., & Plantinga, A. J. (2004). Policies to Reduce Forest Fragmentation: Combining Econometric Models with GIS-Based Landscape Simulations. *Selected Paper*, 31(2), 118-190.

Lian, K. K., & Robinson, N. A. (2002). Regional Environmental Governance: Examining the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Model. *Global Environmental Governance: Options and Opportunities*, 3, 117

Margono, B. A., Turubanova, S., Zhuravleva, I., Potapov, P., Tyukavina, A., Baccini, A. & Hansen, M. C. (2012). Mapping and monitoring deforestation and forest degradation in Sumatra (Indonesia) using Landsat time series data sets from 1990 to 2010. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(3), 10-34.

Murcia, C. (1995). Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 10(2), 58-62.

Myers A. (2011). Fieldwork in the Age of Digital Reproduction: A review of the potentials and limitations of *Google Earth* for archaeologists. *The SAA Archaeological Record*, 7-11.

N. Horning, J.A. Robinson, E. Sterling, W. Turner, S. Spector. (2010). *Remote Sensing for Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques*, 3, 64-66

Richards, D. R., & Friess, D. A. (2016). Rates and drivers of mangrove deforestation in Southeast Asia, 2000–2012. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(2), 344-349.

San, N. N., Löfgren, H., & Robinson, S. (2000). Structural adjustment, agriculture, and deforestation in the Sumatra regional economy. *International Food Policy Research Institute. Washington, DC*, 4

Sato HP, Harp EL. (2009). Interpretation of earthquake-induced landslides triggered by the 12 May 2008, M7.9 Wenchuan earthquake in the Beichuan area, Sichuan Province, China using satellite imagery and Google Earth. *Landslides*, 6, 153-159.

Schessl, M., Da Silva, W. L., & Gottsberger, G. (2008). Effects of fragmentation on forest structure and litter dynamics in Atlantic rainforest in Pernambuco, Brazil. *Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 203(3), 215-228.

Silberbauer M, Geldenhuys W. (2009). Google Earth: a spatial interface for SA water resource data. *PositionIT*, 42-47.

Tooth S. (2006). Virtual Globes: a catalyst for the re-enchantment of geomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 31: 1192-1194.

Turner, M. (1990). Spatial and temporal analysis of landscape pattern s. *In Landscape Ecology*, 4, 21-30.

Victor, P. (2017). *Deforestation – a modern-day plague in Southeast Asia*. Retrieved from <https://www.theaseanpost.com/article/deforestation-modern-day-plague-southeast-asia>

Visser, V., Langdon, B., Pauchard, A., & Richardson, D. M. (2014). Unlocking the potential of Google Earth as a tool in invasion science. *Biological invasions*, 16(3), 513-534.

Wade, T., Riitters, K., Wickham, J., & Jones, K. B. (2003). Distribution and causes of global forest fragmentation. *Conservation Ecology*, 7(2), 456-487.

