



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**REPLACEMENT OF CONCENTRATE WITH THREE SPECIES OF TREE FORAGES ( *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Gliricidia sepium* AND *Leuceana leucocephala*) ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CROSSBRED DORPER SHEEP FED NAPIER SILAGE BASED FEED**

**MUHAMMAD FAJRUL KELANA**

**FP 2017 88**

**REPLACEMENT OF CONCENTRATE WITH THREE SPECIES OF TREE  
FORAGES ( *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Gliricidia sepium* AND *Leuceana leucocephala*)  
ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CROSSBRED DORPER SHEEP FED NAPIER  
SILAGE BASED FEED**



**MUHAMMAD FAJRUL BIN KELANA**

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA  
SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN**

**2016/2017**

**REPLACEMENT OF CONCENTRATE WITH THREE SPECIES OF TREE  
FORAGES ( *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Gliricidia sepium* AND *Leuceana leucocephala*)  
ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CROSSBRED DORPER SHEEP FED NAPIER  
SILAGE BASED FEED**

**By**  
**MUHAMMAD FAJRUL BIN KELANA**

A project report submitted to the  
Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in fulfillment of the requirement of SHW 4999 (Final Year Project)  
BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURE (ANIMAL SCIENCE)

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA  
SERDANG, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN  
2016/2017**

## ENDORSEMENT

This project report entitled **REPLACEMENT OF CONCENTRATE WITH THREE SPECIES OF TREE FORAGES (Artocarpus heterophyllus, Gliricidia sepium AND Leuceana leucocephala) ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CROSSBRED DORPER SHEEP FED NAPIER SILAGE BASED FEED** is prepared by **MUHAMMAD FAJRUL BIN KELANA** and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirement of SHW 4999 (Final Year Project) for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Agriculture (Animal Science).

Student's name:

Student's signature:

Muhammad Fajrul Bin Kelana

Matric No.; 174839

Certified by:

\_\_\_\_\_

Dr. Shokri Bin Jusoh

Project Supervisor

Department of Animal Science

Faculty of Agriculture

University Putra Malaysia

Serdang Selangor

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to all those who gave me the possibility to complete this Final Year Project. During this project, I've face many obstacles and with help from others, I managed to overcome it and complete the project.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest thanks to my parents who gave me the moral and financial support for me to do the project. A special thanks to my Final Year Project coordinator, Prof. Dr. Jothi Malar A/P P.V Panandam whose help, giving suggestions and encouragement, also helped me to coordinate my project well. I also like to express my appreciation to my project supervisor, Dr. Shokri Bin Jusoh, for his guidance and assist regarding my topic of project.

Therefore, I would like to acknowledge with much appreciation the important role of staffs in Ladang 2 which are Mr. Hidayat and Mr. Mohd Faizal Yope Baharudin and also staffs of Nutrition Labaratory, Department of Animal Science especially Mr. Saparin Demin and Ms Nurul Syuhada Adnan for providing good facilities and assist me during the project.

In addition to, special thanks to my friend Hafizan Bin Mohamad, who helps me throughout the project. Equally important, Muhd Hafiz Kamaruzzaman and Mohd Akid Anuar that guides me from time to time until I successfully complete this project.

Thank you.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
ENDORSEMENT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	iii-iv
LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES AND APPENDICIES.....	v-vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION.....	vii
ABSTRACT.....	ix-x
ABSTRAK.....	xi-xii
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of study.....	1
1.2 Research problems.....	2
1.3 Research hypothesis.....	2
1.4 Objective.....	3
1.5 Significant of study.....	3
CHAPTER 2.....	4
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
1.6 Forage species.....	4
1.7 Silage.....	5
1.8 Tree forages.....	5-7
1.9 Digestibility and Feed Intake of sheep.....	7-8

CHAPTER 3.....	9
MATERIALS AND METHOD.....	9
3.1 Location and climate of of the Area.....	9
3.2 Experimental unit.....	9
3.3 Treatment.....	10-11
3.4 Method.....	11-12
3.5 Parameter.....	12
3.6 Measurement.....	12-15
3.7 Experimental Design.....	16
3.8 Statistical Analysis.....	16
CHAPTER 4.....	17
RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....	17
4.1 Nutrient composition of feed.....	18-20
4.2 Performance of sheep (BW, DM intake, FCR).....	21-29
4.3 Digestibility of feed treatments.....	30- 31
CHAPTER 5.....	32
CONCLUSION.....	32
CHAPTER 6.....	33
REFERENCES.....	33- 36
CHAPTER 7: APPENDICES.....	37-44

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 3.0: Formulation feed for different treatment	11
Table 4.0: Feed formulation as indicator	17
Table 4.1: Nutrient composition of feeds	18
Table 4.2: Chemical composition (% DM) of feeds formulation	19





## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	PAGE
Figure 1: Effect of different feeds treatment on bodyweight changes of crossbred Dorper sheep for 6 week	21
Figure 2: Effect of different feeds treatment on bodyweight gain of crossbred Dorper sheep	23
Figure 3: Average daily gain of crossbred Dorper sheep on different feeds treatment within the period	24
Figure 4: The effect of different feeds treatment on dry matter intake of crossbred Dorper sheep	27
Figure 5: Effect of different diets on feed conversion ratio (kg) of crossbred Dorper sheep	28
Figure 6: Effect of different feed treatment on digestibility of ruminant	30

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES	PAGE
Appendix 1: Silage preparing and making	37
Appendix 2: Feeding trial started with 2 weeks of adaptation period and bodyweight of sheep recorded on every week.	38
Appendix 3: Feed samples and feed treatment proximate analysis	39
Appendix 4: In vitro gas production for digestibility	40
Appendix 5: Project data of Statistical Analysis Software (SAS)	41-44

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

°C	Celcius
%	Percentage
BW	Bodyweight
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
DM	Dry Matter
CP	Crude Protein
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fibre
ADF	Acid Detergent Fibre
ADL	Acid Detergent Lignin
EE	Ether Extract
g	gram
kg	Kilogram
SEM	Standard Error
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to determine the effects of using multiple species forage which are Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*), Gliricidia (*Gliricidia sepium*) and Leuceana (*Leuceana leucocephala*) as sheep feeds. In addition, evaluate the average daily gain (ADG), bodyweight gain, digestibility and dry matter intake of sheep. The digestibility analysis conducted by using *in vitro* gas-production. Proximate analysis was conducted at Nutritional laboratory, Department of Animal Science, Faculty, UPM. Twelve female crossbred Dorper sheep are raised in intensive system. Mineral block and clean drinking water were provided *ad libitum*. Four treatment diets were formulated in which containing 70% Napier grass silage +30% pellets as T1, 70% Napier grass silage + 10% Tree Forage mixture (Jackfruit, Gliricidia and Leuceana) + 20% pellets as T2, 70% Napier grass silage+ 20% Tree Forage mixture (Jackfruit, Gliricidia and Leuceana) + 10 % pellets as T3, 70% Napier grass silage + 30% Tree Forage mixture (Jackfruit, Gliricidia and Leuceana) as T4. The experimental design was a Complete Randomized Design. 1000 kg of Napier grass is harvested and prepare for silage making and addition of molasses by using 5% of silage weight. Furthermore, 12 female Dorper sheep are rear at growing stage under intensive system by grouping pens. Sheeps were allocated into four dietary treatments with three sheep in each group. The feeding trial for sheep is carried out with different percentage of pellet and three species of tree forages (based on the treatment) with 3 replicates. The diets given were 3% of bodyweight of the sheep. The parameters recorded in this experiment is the determination of nutritive value of the feeds and weekly bodyweight gain of sheeps. During the feeding trial, the average daily gain (ADG), average dry matter feed intake and digestibility also will be taken into consideration. Thus, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) will be carried for the

experimental data by using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS). The bodyweight gain, average daily gain and average dry matter intake were determined by each treatment within period for 6 week. There was no significant ( $p>0.05$ ) differences of bodyweight gain and average dry matter intake between all treatment and also between period. However, treatment 1 which is served as control is significant ( $p>0.05$ ) with treatment 2, 3 and 4. In contrast, the average daily gain (ADG) of the sheep on week 1 until week 3 shows the significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ). The feeds were analysed for chemical composition and digestibility. Generally, nutrient digestibility for treatment 2 was highest compared to other treatment. Eventhough the gas production was high, there was no significant ( $p>0.05$ ) different of nutrient digestibility on different treatment. In conclusion, the three species of tree forages (Jackfruit, Glirisidia and Leuceana) can replaced partially of concentrate as a feed resource for the sheeps.

## ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kesan menggunakan pelbagai foraj spesies iaitu Nangka (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*), *Gliricidia* (*Gliricidia sepium*) dan Petai Belalang (*Leuceana leucocephala*) sebagai makanan biri-biri. Di samping itu, menilai purata pertambahan harian (ADG), pertambahan berat badan, penghadaman makanan dan kadar pengambilan bahan kering oleh biri-biri. Analisa penghadaman dilakukan dengan menggunakan teknik gas-pengeluaran *in vitro*. Dua belas ekor betina kacukan biri-biri Dorper ditenak dalam sistem intensif. Blok mineral dan air minuman yang bersih diberi *ad libitum*. Empat rawatan pemakanan telah dirangka di mana ia mengandungi 70% silaj rumput Napier + 30% dedak sebagai T1, 70% silaj rumput Napier + 10% pokok foraj campuran (Nangka, *Gliricidia* dan Petai Belalang) + 20% dedak sebagai T2, 70% silaj rumput Napier + 20% campuran pokok foraj (Nangka, *Gliricidia* dan Petai Belalang) + 10% dedak sebagai sebagai T3, 70% silaj rumput Napier + 30% campuran pokok foraj (Nangka, *Gliricidia* dan Petai Belalang) sebagai T4. Reka bentuk kajian adalah Reka Bentuk Rambang Lengkap. 1000 kg rumput Napier telah dituai dan digunakan untuk membuat silaj dengan penambahan molases menggunakan 5% daripada berat silaj. Tambahan pula, 12 ekor betina biri-biri Dorper ditenak pada peringkat pembesaran di bawah sistem intensif secara kandang perkumpulan. Biri-biri telah ditempatkan kepada empat rawatan pemakanan dengan tiga ekor biri-biri dalam setiap kandang kumpulan. Elemen makanan percubaan untuk biri-biri dilakukan dengan komposisi dedak dan campuran tiga spesies foraj pokok (berdasarkan rawatan) yang berbeza dengan 3 diet replikasi. Pemakanan diberikan adalah 3% daripada berat badan. Parameter direkodkan dalam eksperimen ini ialah penentuan

nilai nutrien makanan dan pertambahan berat badan mingguan. Semasa percubaan makanan, purata pertambahan harian (ADG), purata pengambilan bahan kering dan kadar penghadaman juga akan diambil kira. Oleh itu, analisis varian sehala (ANOVA) akan dijalankan untuk data eksperimen dengan menggunakan Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) . Pertambahan berat badan, purata pertambahan harian dan purata pengambilan bahan kering ditentukan bagi setiap rawatan selama enam minggu. Tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan ( $p > 0.05$ ) untuk pertambahan berat badan mingguan dan purata pengambilan bahan kering antara semua rawatan dalam tempoh kajian. Walau bagaimanapun, rawatan 1 (T1) yang berfungsi sebagai makanan dimalarkan adalah signifikan ( $p > 0.05$ ) terhadap rawatan 2 (T2), 3 (T3) dan 4 (T4). Sebaliknya, pertambahan purata harian (ADG) biri-biri pada minggu 1 hingga minggu 3 menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan ( $p > 0.05$ ). Makanan rawatan dianalisis untuk melihat komposisi kimia dan kadar penghadaman. Secara umumnya, kadar penghadaman nutrien rawatan 2 (T2) adalah tinggi berbanding rawatan yang lain. Walaupun pengeluaran gas adalah tinggi, tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan ( $p > 0.05$ ) bagi kadar penghadaman nutrien pada semua rawatan makanan. Konklusinya, tiga spesies pokok foraj (Nangka, Glirisidia dan Petai Belalang) boleh digantikan sebahagiannya dedak sebagai sumber makanan untuk biri-biri.

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

The feeding cost for livestock production is estimated about 70% of the total production cost. An increase price of animal feed supplements is one of the challenges in the livestock industries all over the world (Olorunnisa kola, 2012). Thus, alternative feed is needed to minimize the cost.

Napier grass have yields with very large quantities of dry matter, but is low in protein content. Generally consists of fresh greenery herbage derived from grasses, legumes and non-legume crops. Grasses are very palatable forage, easy to obtain because it has the ability to grow high, especially in the tropical country. Legumes are grown agriculturally, primarily for their food grain seed for livestock forage and silage, and as soil-enhancing green manure. Legumes are notable in that most of them have symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria in structures called root nodules. *Leucana leucocephala* are highly palatable to most grazing animals and *Gliricidia* have high nutritive value especially in crude protein content. The abundance of tree species in Malaysia could be good feed resources for goats and sheep. The tree such as jackfruit leaves has been used as small ruminant feed by the villagers for palatability, safeness and nutritive quality of the herbage. It is suggested that forage tree legumes have considerable potential to supplements low quality diets. Jackfruit leaves are a good source of protein diet for ruminant.



An alternative way to improve the mutton industry in Malaysia is by evaluating new breeds. The Dorper sheep from South Africa, is a synthetic breed by crossing Dorset Horn and Blackhead Persian. Dorper sheep is a meat purpose breed that can adapt harsh climate. The Dorper sheep are known to have good growth and reproductive performance. A Dorper is a fast growing meat producing sheep. The sheep industry has recently been under a period of sustained financial pressure and improvements in production efficiency are required to unpin the future sustainability of sheep production, especially in hill areas (Annett et al., 2011; Speijers et al. 2009).

### **1.2 Research problems**

- To evaluate the effectiveness of feeds by using high nutritive value of multiple tree forage species (Leuceana , Jackfruit, and Glirisidia) which can reduce the concentrate usage in feeding livestock
- Sheep are both browser and grazer and prefer to eat multiple species of forage.
- Feeding the sheep with mixture of grass and concentrate cause high cost in feeding

### **1.3 Research hypothesis**

The use of multiple species forage of high nutritive value can replace the usage of concentrate feeds on sheep.

## 1.4 Objective

### 1.4.1 The General Objective Study

- To determine the effects of using multiple species forage of high nutritive value which are Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*), Gliricidia (*Gliricidia sepium*) and Leuceana (*Leuceana leucocephala*) as sheep feeds.

### 1.4.2 The Specific Objectives Study

- To determine the nutritive value of sheep feeds mixture which is from Napier grass silage and three species of tree forage (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leuceana leucocephala*).
- The use of multiple species forage of high nutritive value can replace the usage of concentrate feeds on sheep.
- Evaluate the bodyweight change, average daily gain (ADG), digestibility, dry matter intake and feed conversion ratio of sheep.

## 1.5 Significant of study

The study was conducted to establish options of improving nutrition and to determine the bodyweight change, average daily gain (ADG), digestibility, dry matter intake and feed conversion ratio of sheep fed on mixture of multiple species forage. In addition, new information by using jackfruit leaves has high nutritive value for growing small ruminant (Das and Ghosh, 2001). and other tree forage has high protein content for growing sheep. Thus, reduces the usage of high cost of concentrate in feeding livestock.

## CHAPTER 6

### REFERENCES

#### Journal article

- Agastin, A., Sauvant, D., Naves, M., & Boval, M. (2014). Influence of trough versus pasture feeding on average daily gain and carcass characteristics in ruminants: a meta-analysis. *Journal of animal science*, 92(3), 1173-1183.
- Akingbade, A. A., Nsahlai, I. V, Bonsi, M. L. K., Morris, C. D., & Toit, L. P. (2001). Reproductive performance of South African indigenous goats inoculated with DHP-degrading rumen bacteria and maintained on *Leucaena leucocephala* / grass mixture and natural pasture, 39.
- Arbi, I., Sbihi, H., Ping, C., & Al-resayes, S. I. (2014). *Leucaena leucocephala* ( Lam .) de Wit seed oil : Characterization and uses. *Industrial Crops & Products*, 52, 582–587. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.11.021>
- Arung, E. T., Kusuma, I. W., Kim, Y. U., Shimizu, K., & Kondo, R. (2012). Antioxidative compounds from leaves of Tahongai (*Klienhovia hospita*). *Journal of wood science*, 58(1), 77-80.
- Asada M.; K.Ochiai 1991. Food habits of sika deer on the Boso Peninsula, central japan. *Journal of Animal Science* Volume 11, Issue 1. pp 89–95.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. (1980). Official methods of analysis (Vol. 534). W. Horwitz (Ed.). Arlington, VA, Washington DC: AOAC.
- Aye, P. A., & Adegun, M. K. (2013). Chemical composition and some functional properties of Moringa, *Leucaena* and *Gliricidia* leaf meals. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 4(1), 71-77.
- Beever D.E. 1996. Advances in the understanding of factors influencing the nutritive value of legumes. Younie. Legumes in sustainable farming systems: British Grassland Society Occasional Symposium 30: 194-207.
- Bosma, R. H., & Bicaba, M. Z. (1997). Effect of addition of leaves from *Combretum aculeatum* and *Leucaena leucocephala* on digestion of Sorghum stover by sheep and goats, 24, 167–173.
- Bosman, H. G., Versteegden, C. J. G. M., Odeyinka, S. M., & Tolkamp, B. J. (1995). Effect of amount offered on intake , digestibility and value of *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala* for West African Dwarf goats, 15, 247–256.
- Chen C.P. (1985). The Research and Development of Pastures in Peninsular Malaysia.

- Chen, C.P., K.C. Chang, S.S. Ajit, and A.W. Hassan. 1978. Pasture and animal Production under five-year old oil palm at Serdang. *Integration of Animals with Plantation Crops*, 1978. Penang. p. 179-192.
- D.C. Allison, 1985. Factors affecting forage intake by range ruminants: A review pp.305–311.
- Dahlan, I., 1992a. The nutritive values and utilization of oil palm leaves as a fibrous feed for goats and sheep. In *Proc. Sixth Asian-Australasian Association of Animal Production, Animal Science Congress on Recent Advances in Animal Production, Vol. III. AHAT, Bangkok.* pp. 271.
- Das, A., & Ghosh, S. K. (2007). Effect of partial replacement of concentrates with jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) leaves on growth performance of kids grazing on native pasture of Tripura, India, *67*, 36–44.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2005.09.019>
- Destia, S. T., Yuan, X., Li, J., & Shao, T. (2016). Bioresource Technology Ensiling characteristics, structural and nonstructural carbohydrate composition and enzymatic digestibility of Napier grass ensiled with additives. *Bioresource Technology*, *221*, 447–454. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.068>
- Hohnwald, S. (2016). Relative palatability and growth performance of capoeira species as supplementary forages in the NE-Amazonia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *218*, 107–115. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.11.015>
- Hove, L., Topps, J. H., Sibanda, S., & Ndlovu, L. R. (2001). Nutrient intake and utilisation by goats fed dried leaves of the shrub legumes *Acacia angustissima*, *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Leucaena leucocephala* as supplements to native pasture hay. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *91*(1-2), 95–106.
- In: *International Symposium on Pastures in the Tropics and Subtropics Tropical Agriculture Research Series No.18* p.33-51.
- Lafferty, S., Qing, T., Wilson, A. M., Leigh, A., Newman, L. A., Edward, S., & Paul, M. (2003). Metabolism of the soil and groundwater contaminants, ethylene dibromide and trichloroethylene, by the tropical leguminous tree, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *37*, 441–449.
- Liu, Q. H., Shao, T., & Bai, Y. F. (2016). The effect of fibrolytic enzyme, *Lactobacillus plantarum* and two food antioxidants on the fermentation quality, alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene of high moisture napier grass silage ensiled at different temperatures. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *221*, 1–11.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.08.020>
- Ma, T., Deng, K., Tu, Y., Zhang, N., Jiang, C., Liu, J., ... Diao, Q. (2014). Effect of dietary forage-to-concentrate ratios on urinary excretion of purine derivatives and microbial nitrogen yields in the rumen of Dorper crossbred sheep, *160*, 37–44.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.11.013>

Meier, J.S, Kreuzer, M. and Marquardt, S. 2012.” Design and methodology of choice feeding experiments with ruminant livestock,” *Applied Animal Behaviour science*, vol. 140. Pp. 105-120.

on the performance, intake, digestibility and nitrogen utilization of West African Dwarf goats.

Pal, K., Patra, A. K., Sahoo, A., & Kumawat, P. K. (2015). Evaluation of several tropical tree leaves for methane production potential , degradability and rumen fermentation in vitro. *Livestock Science*, 180, 98–105. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2015.07.011>

Rahman, M. M., Rahman, M. R., Nakagawa, T., & Abdullah, R. B. (2015). Effects of wet soya waste supplementation on the intake , growth and reproduction of goats fed Napier grass. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 199, 104–112. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.11.007>

Raudales, J. (1993). a m m o n i a t e d corn straw and *Gliricidia sepium* or, 10, 209–217.

Shelton, H. M. 2000.” Tropical forage tree legumes in agroforestry systems,” *Unasylya*, vol.51, pp. 25-32.

Sinclair, A. G., Bland, V. C., & Edwards, S. A. (2001). The influence of gestation feeding strategy on body composition of gilts at farrowing and response to dietary protein in a modified lactation. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79(9), 2397–2405.

Souza, D. A., Selaive-villarroel, A. B., Pereira, E. S., Silva, E. M. C., & Oliveira, R. L. (2016). Effect of the Dorper breed on the performance , carcass and meat traits of lambs bred from Santa Inês sheep. *Small Ruminant Research*, 145, 76–80. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2016.10.017>

Thi Mui, N., Ledin, I., Ud??n, P., & Van Binh, D. (2001). Effect of replacing a rice bran-soya bean concentrate with Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) or flemingia (*Flemingia macrophylla*) foliage on the performance of growing goats. *Livestock Production Science*, 72(3), 253–262. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(01\)00223-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(01)00223-8)

Thi Mui, N., Ledin, I., Udn, P., & Van Binh, D. (2001). Effect of replacing a rice bran-soya bean concentrate with Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) or flemingia (*Flemingia macrophylla*) foliage on the performance of growing goats. *Livestock Production Science*, 72(3), 253–262. Devendra, C. & McLeroy, G.B. 1982. Goat and sheep production in the tropics. Intermediate Tropic. Agric. Series. London: Longman Group Ltd.

Thi, D., Van, T., Thi, N., & Ledin, I. (2007). Effect of group size on feed intake , aggressive behaviour and growth rate in goat kids and lambs, 72, 187–196. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2006.10.010>

Tropical animal health and production, 47(1), 123-129.

Yuan, X., Guo, G., Wen, A., Desta, S. T., Wang, J., Wang, Y., & Shao, T. (2015). The effect of different additives on the fermentation quality , in vitro digestibility and aerobic stability of a total mixed ration silage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 207, 41–50. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.06.001>

