

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE-AWARE COST-EFFECTIVE BROKERING AND LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHMS FOR DATA CENTER IN LARGE SCALE CLOUD COMPUTING

RANESH KUMAR NAHA

FSKTM 2015 46



PERFORMANCE-AWARE COST-EFFECTIVE BROKERING AND LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHMS FOR DATA CENTER IN LARGE SCALE CLOUD COMPUTING



By

RANESH KUMAR NAHA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



1000766823

fk 21/6/17

DEDICATIONS

To my beloved Mother and Father



122

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

PERFORMANCE-AWARE COST-EFFECTIVE BROKERING AND LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHMS FOR DATA CENTER IN LARGE SCALE CLOUD COMPUTING

By

RANESH KUMAR NAHA

March 2015

Chairman: Professor Mohamed Othman, PhD Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

The cloud computing transforms computing services into "as a service" form. It helps organization to reduce computing infrastructure cost. In cloud computing concept, cloud users can use computing resources according to their needs and requirements. Customers are able to scale hardware, software and application platform through Service Level Agreements (SLAs) in the cloud. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in cloud computing among service providers and cloud users. To provide services, different cloud service providers build their own computing platform differently due to the lack of a common standard. From Day to day it becomes very challenging to select an appropriate provider considering the specific user requirements. Besides the standardization service, one of the most significant current discussions in cloud computing is the cloud brokering service. Cloud brokering is having a positive impact in choosing an appropriate provider along with the capability to handle cloud-to-cloud communication. Cloud brokering is an intermediate negotiator between users and service providers. This negotiator helps users to select appropriate provider as their requests. Questions have been raised about the efficiency of cloud brokering in various aspects of user requirements, such as cost, timeliness, or service performance. However, there has been little discussion about efficient cloud brokering services.

We studied how brokering algorithms improves brokering performance. Through our research we found that cloud brokering algorithms and service load balancing algorithms able to improve brokering performance. The aim of this research is to propose a load balancing algorithm and propose cloud brokering algorithms in order to improve brokering performance. Proposed cloud brokering algorithms works with different types of cloud provider and deal with various user requirements. Proposed Cost Aware algorithm minimizes approximately 5.5% cost compared with closest data center algorithm. However, data center processing time response time was greatly increased. Further we developed Load Aware algorithm which minimizes average DC processing time and maximum DC processing time by 73% and 49% respectively. In order to make our proposed method cost efficient, we developed Load Aware Over Cost algorithm which is 8% cost effective comparing with Load Aware algorithm. This algorithm improves average response time by 43%. For all algorithm combinations our proposed State Based Load Balancing algorithm minimizes both processing time and response time. Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

KEBERKESANAN PRESTASI PENGATURAN KOS SEDAR DAN ALGORITMA IMBANGAN BEBAN UNTUK PUSAT DATA PENGKOMPUTERAN AWAN DALAM SKALA BESAR

Oleh

RANESH KUMAR NAHA

Mac 2015

Pengerusi: Profesor Mohamed Othman, PhD Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Pengkomputeran awan mengubah khidmat pengkomputeran sebagai bentuk "perkhidmatan". Ia membantu organisasi untuk mengurangkan kos infrastruktur pengkomputeran. Dalam konsep pengkomputeran awan, pengguna awan boleh menggunakan sumber komputer mengikut keperluan dan kehendak mereka. Pelanggan boleh mengikut skala perkakasan, perisian dan platform aplikasi melalui Perjanjian Tahap Perkhidmatan (SLA) awan. Dalam tahun-tahun kebelakangan ini, terdapat minat yang semakin meningkat dalam pengkomputeran awan di kalangan pembekal perkhidmatan dan pengguna awan. Bagi menyediakan perkhidmatan, pembekal perkhidmatan awan yang berbezamembina platformpengkomputeranmereka sendiri yang berbeza kerana kekurangan suatu ukuran yang sama. Dari hari ke hari ia menjadi sangat mencabar untuk memilih pembekal yang sesuai dengan mengambil kira keperluan pengguna tertentu. Selain piawaian perkhidmatan, salah satu perbincangan semasa yang paling penting dalamperkomputeran awan adalah perkhidmatan pengaturan awan. Pengaturan awan mempunyai kesan positif dalam memilih pembekal yang sesuai bersama-sama dengan keupayaan untuk mengendalikan komunikasi awan ke awan. Pengaturan awan adalah pengantara perunding di antara pengguna dan pembekal perkhidmatan. Perunding ini membantu pengguna untuk memilih pembekal yang sesuai untuk permintaan mereka. Pelbagai soalan yang telah dibangkitkan mengenai kecekapan pengaturan awan dalam aspek keperluan pengguna, seperti kos, ketepatan masa, prestasi perkhidmatan. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat sedikit perbincangan mengenai perkhidmatan pengaturan awan yang cekap.

Kami mengkaji bagaimana algoritma pengaturan meningkatkan prestasi pengaturan. Melalui penyelidikan kami, kami mendapati bahawa algoritma pengaturan awan dan algoritma pengimbangan beban perkhidmatan dapat meningkatkan prestasi pengaturan. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mencadangkan algoritma pengimbangan beban dan mencadangkan algoritma pengaturan awan untuk meningkatkan prestasi pengaturan. Cadangan algoritma pengaturan awan bekerja dengan pelbagai jenis pembekal awan dan berurusan dengan pelbagai jenis keperluan pengguna. Cadangan algoritma Kos Kesedaran meminimumkan kos kira-kira 5.5% berbanding dengan algoritma pusat data yang berhampiran. Walau bagaimanapun, tindak balas masa pemprosesan pusat data masa telah banyak meningkat. Kami kemudiannya membangunkan algoritma Beban Kesedaran yang mengurangkan purata masa pemprosesan DC dan memaksimumkan masa pemprosesan DC masing-masing sebanyak 73% dan 49%. Dalam usaha untuk membuat kaedah yang kami cadangkan menjadi kos kecekapan, kami membangunkan algoritma Beban Kesedaran Lebihan Kos yang memberikan keberkesanan kos sebanyak 8% dibandingkan dengan Beban Kesedaran algoritma. Algoritma ini meningkatkan masa tindak balas purata sebanyak 43%. Untuk semua kombinasi algoritma kami mencadangkan algoritma Pengimbangan Beban Berasaskan Negeri bagi mengurangkan kedua-dua masa pemprosesan dan masa tindak balas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all thanks to our Creator, for giving us opportunity to observe most beautiful creation and lead us into the right way.

Thanks to Universit Putra Malaysia for giving me the opportunity to discover prettiness of the research world. I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Mohamed Othman for his invaluable advice and supervision during my study period. His motivation and inspiration helps me to done a successful research journey. Completion of this thesis was totally impossible without his proper guidance. It's my fortuity that I have got his vicinity.

I thank to the supervisory committee member, Dr. Masnida Binti Hussin for her suggestion to improve our works. I also thanks to all of friend from FSKTM and Department of Communication Technology and Network, I have had a great time with them. Specially I like to thanks Ms. Mehrnaz Moudi and Nur Arzilawati Md Yunus for providing active help during my research works.

I acknowledge Ministry of Education, Malaysia for providing Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship Plan (CSFP) scholarship to purse my master studies.

I am heartily thankful to my parents, brothers and sister for their encouragement at all times. Finally, I thank my wife for her inspiration, patience and supporting me during this time. I would not have been able to go through this without her favour.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
ABS	TR	ACT		i
ABS	TR.	AK		iv
ACK	NC	WLED	OGEMENTS	vi
APP	RO	VAL		vii
DEC	 Т Л	RATIO	N	ir
DEC				
LIST	01	IABL		XIV
LIST	[0]	F FIGU	RES	xv
LIST	[O]	FABBR	REVIATIONS	xvii
CHA	APT	ER		
1 I	NT	RODU	CTION	1
1	.1	Backg	round	1
1	.2	Proble	m Statement	2
1	.3	Resear	ch Objectives	2
1	.4	Resear	rch Scope	2
1	.5	Resear	ch Motivation	3
1	.6	Resear	ch Contributions	3
1	.7	Thesis	Organization	3
2 I	ITI	ERATU	RE REVIEW	5
2	.1	Introd	uction	5
2	2	Evalua	ation and adaption Cloud Computing	5
2	.3	Broker	ring and Load Balancing	6
2	.4	Relate	d Works	7
-		2.4.1	Intercloud Standardization Initiatives	9
		2.4.2	Cloud Brokering Architecture	10
		2.4.3	Brokering Architecture for Cloud and	
			Multi-Environments	10
		2.4.4	Brokering Strategy	23
		2.4.5	Algorithms for the Cloud	24
		2.4.6	Match Making Algorithm for the Cloud	24
		2.4.7	Resource Allocation Methods and Scheduling	24
		2.4.8	Cloud Brokering Algorithms	27
		2.4.9	Cloud Load Balancing	29
2	2.5	Resear	rch issues in cloud brokering and load balancing	31
2	2.6	Critica	al Issues and Challenges	32
2	2.7	Summ	ary	33

3	RES	EARCH METHODOLOGY	35
	3.1	Introduction	35
	3.2	Research Framework	35
	3.3	Experimental Environment	37
		3.3.1 Simulation Framework	38
		3.3.2 Region Distribution	40
		3.3.3 User Request Generation	40
		3.3.4 Data Center Configuration	41
		3.3.5 Cloud Pricing	41
		3.3.6 Internet Bandwidth Modeling	41
		3.3.7 Simulation Scenarios	42
	3.4	Performance Metrics	42
		3.4.1 Data Transmission Delay	42
		3.4.2 Date Center Processing and Response Time	42
		3.4.3 Data Transfer and VM Cost	43
	3.5	Physical Resources	43
	3.6	Summary	43

4	COS	ST AND PERFORMANCE AWARE BROKERING ALGO-		
	RIT	HMS	45	
	4.1 Introduction			
	4.2 Cloud Services Layered Model			
	4.3	Workload and Resource Model	46	
	4.4	Simulation of Existing Cloud Broker	47	
		4.4.1 Simulation Scenario	48	
		4.4.2 Algorithms for Cloud Brokering	48	
		4.4.3 Initial Experimental Result	48	
		4.4.4 Result Analysis	49	
		4.4.5 Experiment with Combined Scenario and Algorithm	51	
	4.5	Description of Proposed Cloud Brokering Algorithms	52	
		4.5.1 Cost Aware Brokering	53	
		4.5.2 Load Aware Brokering Algorithm	53	
		4.5.3 Load Aware Over Cost Brokering	55	
	4.6	5 Deployment Policy		
	4.7	Simulation Setup and Scenario 55		
	4.8	erimental Results and Discussion 58		
		4.8.1 Cost Aware Method	59	
		4.8.2 Load Aware Method	62	
		4.8.3 Load Aware Over Cost Method	66	
	4.9	Summary	70	
5	PER	RFORMANCE AWARE LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHM	71	
	5.1	Introduction	71	
	5.2	Load Balancing Methods	71	
	5.3	Proposed Load Balancing Algorithm	72	
	5.4	Experimental Setup and Simulation Scenario	73	

	5.5	Exper	imental Results and Analysis		74
		5.5.1	Average Response Time		74
		5.5.2	Minimum Response Time		77
		5.5.3	Average DC Processing Tim	e	79
		5.5.4	Maximum DC Processing T	ime	79
	5.6	Summ	hary		82
6	COI		NON AND FUTURE WORK	s	83
0	2 U	Concl	usione		83
	0.1	Conci			01
	6.2	Futur	e works		04
RI	EFER	ENCES	3		85
RI		TAOF	STUDENT		91
DI	UD A		STODENT		~
LI	ST O	FPUB	LICATIONS		92
				and the second sec	

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Key features of different cloud brokering architecture	20
2.2	Key features of different cloud brokering algorithms	28
3.1	Region Name and Region ID	40
3.2	Region Based Peak Hours and Users	41
3.3	Physical Machine Configuration	43
4.1	Initial Simulation Result	49
4.2	Resource Discovery (Cost & Load) Algorithm	54
4.3	Resource Discovery (Load Aware) Algorithm	54
4.4	Load Aware Over Cost Algorithm	55
4.5	No of VMs for different scenarios	56
4.6	Machine Configurations	57
4.7	Data center location and cost	58
5.1	State Based Load Balancing Algorithm	73

LIST OF TABLES

Table		
2.1	Key features of different cloud brokering architecture	20
2.2	Key features of different cloud brokering algorithms	28
3.1	Region Name and Region ID	40
3.2	Region Based Peak Hours and Users	41
3.3	Physical Machine Configuration	43
4.1	Initial Simulation Result	49
4.2	Resource Discovery (Cost & Load) Algorithm	54
4.3	Resource Discovery (Load Aware) Algorithm	55
4.4	Load Aware Over Cost Algorithm	55
4.5	No of VMs for different scenarios	56
4.6	Machine Configurations	57
4.7	Data center location and cost	58
5.1	State Based Load Balancing Algorithm	73

4.22	Average DC processing time comparison of proposed load aware over cost algorithm.	68
4.23	Maximum DC processing time comparison of proposed load aware over cost algorithm.	69
5.1	General flow of VM load balancer.	72
5.2	Total virtual machine cost comparison of proposed SBLB algo-	
	rithm.	75
5.3	Average response time comparison of proposed SBLB algorithm.	76
5.4	Minimum response time comparison of proposed SBLB algo-	
	rithm.	78
5.5	Average DC processing time comparison of proposed SBLB	
	algorithm.	80
5.6	Maximum DC processing time comparison of proposed SBLB	
	algorithm.	81

 \mathbf{G}

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

API	Application Programming Interface
ARAE	All Resources Are Equal
AWRT	Average Weighted Response Time
AWS	Amazon Web Services
BoT	Bag-of-Tasks
CA	Cost Aware
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CSP	Cloud Service Provider
DC	Data Center
DLCR	Dynamic Less Consuming Resource
EC2	Elastic Compute Cloud
EMO	Multi-objective Optimizer
GB	Giga Byte
Ghps	Giga Bit Per Second
GHz	Gigahertz
GICTE	Global Inter-Cloud Technology Forum
GMBS	Generic Meta-Broker Service
GP	Gluing Platform
HPC	High Performance Computing
IaaS	Infrastructure as a Service
ICT	Information Communication Technology
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IMS	IP Multimedia Subsystems
ISB	Intercloud Service Broker
IT	Information Technology
TTU	International Telecommunication Union
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union-Telecommunication
KDSWS	Knowledge-based Dynamic Semantic Web Services
LA	Load Aware
LAOC	Load Aware Over Cost
LCR	Less Consuming Resource
Mbps	Mega Bit Per Second
MIPS	Millions Instruction Per Second
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
OGF	Open Grid Forum
OVMP	Optimal Virtual Machine Placement
PaaS	Platform as a Service (PaaS)
PT-AR	Per Type-All Resources
PT-RR	Per Type-Resources With Result
QoS	Quality of Service
RAM	Random Access Memory
RR	Round Robin
SaaS	Software as a Service

C

SAGA	Simple API for Grid Applications
SALMon	Service Level Agreement Monitor
SBLB	State Based Load Balancing
SIP	Stochastic Integer Programming
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SME	Small and Medium Enterprises
SPBR	Service Proximity Based Routing
SPSB	Service Proximity Service Broker
SSV	SLA-based Service Virtualization
VM	Virtual Machine

 \mathbf{G}

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The lack of common standards in a fast emerging Cloud computing market over the last years resulted in vendor lock in and interoperability issues across heterogeneous Cloud platforms. Therefore, the Cloud user is facing now a challenging problem of selecting the Cloud provider that fits his needs. A new promising research approach is the use of intermediate broker services to assist the user in finding the appropriate Cloud resources that satisfy his requirements.

This research proposed three cloud brokering algorithms which will reduce execution time as well as operational cost. Cost aware brokering algorithm is the best on cost saving. In other hand, load aware brokering algorithm is best while user is concern about processing and response time. Another algorithm was developed using the method of both algorithms which is called load aware over cost brokering. proposed brokering mechanism implemented in generic simulation framework based on the CloudSim toolkit for the validation and evaluation of a cloud service brokering algorithms deployed on an large cloud environment.

A special type of large data center or a group of data center is the base physical infrastructure for Cloud computing environment. It's may be in one or several geographies. Cloud can be hosted by an enterprise, a government or a service provider (Bernstein et al., 2009, 2011). Content, storage and computing are capable to provide service anywhere in the network, which is called "Intercloud" (Bernstein and Vij, 2010). In interoperability scenario clouds should be find one another for information exchanging.

One of the promising use cases of the Intercloud vision defined in (Buyya et al., 2009) is market transactions via brokers. In such a use case, a broker entity acts as a mediator between the Cloud consumer and multiple interoperable Cloud providers to support the former in selecting the provider that better meets his requirements. Another value-added broker service is the easy deployment and management of the users service regardless of the selected provider through a uniform interface.

The evaluation of the broker using a real testbed is usually cost- and timeconsuming, as a large number of Cloud resources is required to achieve realistic results. A more promising and cost-saving approach for the broker evaluation is the use of simulation environments.

1.2 Problem Statement

IT vendor have been introduced public cloud and corporations construct their own private cloud. They are going to offer "pay as you go" model for their consumer. However, cloud federation and interoperation are still in a challenge. The lack of standardization across Cloud providers (Jrad et al., 2012) restricts the deployment of Cloud service brokers on real production Clouds. And this is a challenging task for Cloud developers and researchers. Amongst others, many vendor compatible adapters are needed by the broker to interface the heterogeneous Cloud platforms. Cloud brokering is considered as an interesting research problem.

Interface to monitor, deploy, resume, pause and shutdown of VMs in cloud provided by Cloud broker. This cloud broker deployed using specific independence provider technology. Although there are serveral ongoing efforts, but currently there are no agreed-upon tools to interface with a cloud to carry out these actions, but rather, every provider represents their specific API. Thus, a cloud broker must follow appropriate technique in order to satisfy users specific requirements.

On other hand it is very difficult to choose appropriate resources to meet QoS and unwanted SLA violation which is a big research problem. In order to overcome this problem, further research on cloud brokering and load balancing technique should be undertaken. These technique should be validate in a large scale cloud environments with realistic workload.

1.3 Research Objectives

Objectives of this research are:

- 1. Designing new cloud brokering algorithms.
- 2. Propose a new load balancing algorithm.

These algorithms will be tested on a simulated environment using various cloud scenarios and also able to manage cloud workload in peak load environment. Moreover, it will enable faster job processing with cost effective-ness.

1.4 Research Scope

The scopes of this research are to propose brokering mechanisms and a load balancing algorithms for hybrid cloud data center. After that it will be evaluated in a simulated environment with generated workload. Various cloud provider use different cloud architecture for their services. This research is limited to brokering mechanism and load balancing algorithms without changing existing architecture of cloud providers.

1.5 Research Motivation

Throughout its long history, computer system developed by centralized and decentralized concept. In early stage, computer system was centralized system known as mainframe. These mainframes have massive shared resources used by various users. Later on decentralized system concept highly valued by the consumers due to its cost, and computer system released as personal computer.

In recent years, centralized computer system concept again emerge for technological demand which known as cloud computing. In cloud computing, physical resources are invisible to the users. Even the user is not required to pay high infrastructure cost for their computational needs. Rather than they can pay as they need and it could be for a single hour. The main idea of cloud computing is to deliver system resources to the users through Internet. The underlying infrastructure hosted is a large scale massive data centre with virtualization technology.

Currently there are many organizations offering different services for system hardware infrastructure, software, programming development environment and OS platform through cloud computing. These services most commonly known as Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), Platform as a Service (PaaS) and Software as a Service (SaaS).

From various providers it is very difficult to choose appropriate provider by user specific needs. Cloud brokering could be an intermediate platform which helps users to find best fit provider. Unfortunately a few works has done to address this complex problem. From these motivations this work was done on cloud brokering mechanism in order to enhance its brokering performance.

1.6 Research Contributions

This research proposed brokering algorithms with load balancing technique for large scale cloud environment. Through broker It enabled consumer mobility. However, it accelerated the initiative of intercloud vision for cloud federation. End users obtained an interworking environment by using different cloud service providers. The key contributions of this research are:

- Proposed cloud brokering algorithms and it could managed cloud workload more efficiently by selecting appropriate provider.
- Proposed load balancing algorithms which minimized processing time.

1.7 Thesis Organization

Chapter 2 describes the related works in cloud brokering and load balancing. Chapter 3 describes research methodology used in various experiment throughout this research. This chapter stated the basic requirement of the experimental environment and simulation setup. Chapter 4 stated fist findings with results and simulations based on different scenario.

Chapter 5 begins with next finding and next to describes impact of new algorithms in cloud environment. An initial description of all algorithms used in this study described in chapter 4 and 5. These chapter also explained a detailed about experimental results of the simulations. Finally last chapter ends with conclusion and future works. All references, biodata of student and list of publication included at the end of this thesis.



REFERENCES

- Abramson, D., Buyya, R., and Giddy, J. (2002). A computational economy for grid computing and its implementation in the nimrod-g resource broker. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 18(8):1061–1074.
- Amazon (2013a). Amazon EC2 instances. Retrieved from http://aws.amazon.com/ec2/instance-types/.
- Amazon (2013b). Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud. Retrieved from https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/pricing/.
- Anjum, A., Hill, R., McClatchey, R., Bessis, N., and Branson, A. (2012). Glueing grids and clouds together: A service-oriented approach. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, 8(3):248–265.
- Aslanzadeh, S., Chaczko, Z., and Chiu, C. (2015). Cloud computingeffect of evolutionary algorithm on load balancing. In *Computational Intelligence and Efficiency in Engineering Systems*, pages 217–225. Springer.
- Bellavista, P., Carella, G., Foschini, L., Magedanz, T., Schreiner, F., and Campowsky, K. (2012). Qos-aware elastic cloud brokering for ims infrastructures. In *Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications*, pages 157–160.
- Bernstein, D., Ludvigson, E., Sankar, K., Diamond, S., and Morrow, M. (2009). Blueprint for the Intercloud - Protocols and Formats for Cloud Computing Interoperability. 2009 Fourth International Conference on Internet and Web Applications and Services, pages 328–336.
- Bernstein, D. and Vij, D. (2010). Intercloud Directory and Exchange Protocol Detail Using XMPP and RDF. 2010 6th World Congress on Services, pages 431–438.
- Bernstein, D., Vij, D., and Diamond, S. (2011). An Intercloud Cloud Computing Economy - Technology, Governance, and Market Blueprints. 2011 Annual SRII Global Conference, pages 293–299.
- Bohn, R. B., Messina, J., Liu, F., Tong, J., and Mao, J. (2011). Nist cloud computing reference architecture. In *Services (SERVICES)*, 2011 IEEE World Congress on, pages 594–596.
- Bossche, R. V. D., Vanmechelen, K., and Broeckhove, J. (2010). Cost-optimal scheduling in hybrid iaas clouds for deadline constrained workloads. In *Proceedings - 2010 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Cloud Computing*, *CLOUD 2010*, pages 228–235.
- Buyya, R., Giddy, J., and Abramson, D. (2000). An evaluation of economy-based resource trading and scheduling on computational power grids for parameter sweep applications, pages 221–230. Active Middleware Services. Springer.

- Buyya, R., Murshed, M., Abramson, D., and Venugopal, S. (2005). Scheduling parameter sweep applications on global grids: A deadline and budget constrained cost-time optimization algorithm. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 35(5):491–512.
- Buyya, R., Pandey, S., and Vecchiola, C. (2012). Market-oriented cloud computing and the cloudbus toolkit. *Large Scale Network-Centric Distributed Systems*, pages 319–358.
- Buyya, R., Ranjan, R., and Calheiros, R. N. (2010). Intercloud: utility-oriented federation of cloud computing environments for scaling of application services. In Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing-Volume Part I, pages 13–31. Springer-Verlag.
- Buyya, R., Vecchiola, C., and Selvi, S. T. (2013). Mastering Cloud Computing, Chapter 2 - Principles of Parallel and Distributed Computing. Morgan Kaufmann, Boston.
- Buyya, R., Yeo, C. S., Venugopal, S., Broberg, J., and Brandic, I. (2009). Cloud computing and emerging it platforms: Vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 25(6):599–616.
- Calheiros, R. N., Ranjan, R., Beloglazov, A., De Rose, C. A., and Buyya, R. (2011). Cloudsim: a toolkit for modeling and simulation of cloud computing environments and evaluation of resource provisioning algorithms. *Software: Practice and Experience*, 41(1):23–50.
- Calheiros, R. N., Toosi, A. N., Vecchiola, C., and Buyya, R. (2012). A coordinator for scaling elastic applications across multiple clouds. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 28(8):1350–1362.
- Chaisiri, S., Lee, B. S., and Niyato, D. (2009). Optimal virtual machine placement across multiple cloud providers. In 2009 IEEE Asia-Pacific Services Computing Conference, APSCC 2009, pages 103–110.
- Chhetri, M. B., Vo, Q. B., Kowalczyk, R., and Do, C. L. (2011). Cloud broker: helping you buy better. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on Web information system engineering*, pages 341–342. Springer-Verlag.
- Cho, K.-M., Tsai, P.-W., Tsai, C.-W., and Yang, C.-S. (2014). A hybrid metaheuristic algorithm for vm scheduling with load balancing in cloud computing. pages 1–13. Springer.
- Cuomo, A., Modica, G. D., Distefano, S., Puliafito, A., Rak, M., Tomarchio, O., Venticinque, S., and Villano, U. (2013). An sla-based broker for cloud infrastructures. *Journal of Grid Computing*, 11(1):1–25.
- DAgostino, D., Galizia, A., Clematis, A., Mangini, M., Porro, I., and Quarati, A. (2013). A qos-aware broker for hybrid clouds. *Computing*, 95(1):89–109.

- De Assunção, M. D. and Buyya, R. (2009). Performance analysis of allocation policies for intergrid resource provisioning. *Information and Software Technology*, 51(1):42–55.
- Domanal, S. and Reddy, G. (2014). Optimal load balancing in cloud computing by efficient utilization of virtual machines. In *6th International Conference on Communication Systems and Networks, COMSNETS 2014*, pages 1–4.
- Facebook (2009). Facebook user statistics. Retrieved from https://www.facebook.com.
- Facebook (2013). Facebook user statistics. Retrieved from http://newsroom.fb.com/Key-Facts.
- Fang, Y., Wang, F., and Ge, J. (2010). A task scheduling algorithm based on load balancing in cloud computing. In Web Information Systems and Mining, pages 271–277. Springer.
- Ferrer, A. J., Hernndez, F., Tordsson, J., Elmroth, E., Ali-Eldin, A., Zsigri, C., Sirvent, R., Guitart, J., Badia, R. M., Djemame, K., Ziegler, W., Dimitrakos, T., Nair, S. K., Kousiouris, G., Konstanteli, K., Varvarigou, T., Hudzia, B., Kipp, A., Wesner, S., Corrales, M., Forg, N., Sharif, T., and Sheridan, C. (2012). Optimis: A holistic approach to cloud service provisioning. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 28(1):66–77.
- Ferreto, T. C., Netto, M. A. S., Calheiros, R. N., and Rose, C. A. F. D. (2011). Server consolidation with migration control for virtualized data centers. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 27(8):1027–1034.
- Florence, A. P. and Shanthi, V. (2014). A load balancing model using firefly algorithm in cloud computing. *Journal of Computer Science*, 10(7):1156–1165.
- GICTF (2013). Intercloud interface specification draft (intercloud protocol). Retrieved from http://www.gictf.jp/index_e.html.
- Howard, R. and Kerschberg, L. (2004). A knowledge-based framework for dynamic semantic web services brokering and management. In *International Conference on Database and Expert Systems Applications - DEXA*, volume 15, pages 174–178.
- Hu, J., Gu, J., Sun, G., and Zhao, T. (2010). A scheduling strategy on load balancing of virtual machine resources in cloud computing environment. In *Parallel Architectures, Algorithms and Programming (PAAP), 2010 Third International Symposium on,* pages 89–96. IEEE.
- IEEE (2012). Draft Standard for Intercloud Interoperability and Federation. Retrieved from https://www.oasisopen.org/committees/download.php/46205/p2302-12-0002-00-DRFTintercloud-p2302-draft-0-2.pdf.

- ITU (2012). Fg cloud technical report (part 1 to 7). Retrieved from http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/cloud/Documents/FG-coud-technical-report.zip.
- Javadi, B., Thulasiraman, P., and Buyya, R. (2012). Cloud resource provisioning to extend the capacity of local resources in the presence of failures. In Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications, HPCC-2012 - 9th IEEE International Conference on Embedded Software and Systems, ICESS-2012, pages 311–319.
- Javadi, B., Thulasiraman, P., and Buyya, R. (2013). Enhancing performance of failure-prone clusters by adaptive provisioning of cloud resources. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 63(2):467–489.
- Jin, G., Liu, L., Zhang, P., and Yu, M. (2015a). Cost constrain load balanced ant colony scheduling of cloud environment. *Journal of Information and Computational Science*, **12**(3):1045–1054.
- Jin, G., Liu, L., Zhang, P., and Yu, M. (2015b). Load balancing and task scheduling strategy for the cloud computing environments. *Journal of Computational Information Systems*, 11(2):769–781.
- Jrad, F., Tao, J., and Streit, A. (2012). Simulation-based evaluation of an intercloud service broker. In CLOUD COMPUTING 2012, The Third International Conference on Cloud Computing, GRIDs, and Virtualization, pages 140–145.
- Kaur, S. (2012). Cloud computing is like having an infinite credit line! *IETE Technical Review (Medknow Publications & Media Pvt. Ltd.)*, 29(6):438–441.
- Keahey, K., Foster, I., Freeman, T., and Zhang, X. (2005). Virtual workspaces: Achieving quality of service and quality of life in the grid. *Scientific Programming*, 13(4 SPEC. ISS.):265–275.
- Keahey, K., Tsugawa, M., Matsunaga, A., and Fortes, J. (2009). Sky computing. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 13(5):43–51.
- Kertész, A., Kecskemeti, G., and Brandic, I. (2011). Autonomic sla-aware service virtualization for distributed systems. In *Parallel, Distributed and Network-Based Processing (PDP), 2011 19th Euromicro International Conference on*, pages 503–510. IEEE.
- Kertész, A., Kecskemeti, G., and Brandic, I. (2014). An interoperable and selfadaptive approach for sla-based service virtualization in heterogeneous cloud environments. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 32:54–68.
- Kertész, A., Kecskemeti, G., Marosi, A., Oriol, M., Franch, X., and Marco, J. (2012). Integrated monitoring approach for seamless service provisioning in federated clouds. In *Proceedings - 20th Euromicro International Conference* on Parallel, Distributed and Network-Based Processing, PDP 2012, pages 567– 574.

- Kessaci, Y., Melab, N., and Talbi, E.-G. (2013). A pareto-based genetic algorithm for optimized assignment of vm requests on a cloud brokering environment. In *Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2013 IEEE Congress on, pages 2496–2503. IEEE.
- Lucas-Simarro, J. L., Moreno-Vozmediano, R., Montero, R. S., and Llorente, I. M. (2012). Cost optimization of virtual infrastructures in dynamic multicloud scenarios. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, doi: 10.1002/cpe.2972.
- Lucas-Simarro, J. L., Moreno-Vozmediano, R., Montero, R. S., and Llorente, I. M. (2013). Scheduling strategies for optimal service deployment across multiple clouds. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 29(6):1431–1441.
- Maguluri, S. T., Srikant, R., and Ying, L. (2012). Stochastic models of load balancing and scheduling in cloud computing clusters. In *INFOCOM*, 2012 *Proceedings IEEE*, pages 702–710. IEEE.
- Mahajan, K., Makroo, A., and Dahiya, D. (2013). Round robin with server affinity: A vm load balancing algorithm for cloud based infrastructure. *Journal of Information Processing Systems*, 9(3):379–394.
- Ngan, L. D. and Kanagasabai, R. (2012). Owl-s based semantic cloud service broker. In *Proceedings* 2012 IEEE 19th International Conference on Web Services, ICWS 2012, pages 560–567.

OGF (2013). Open grid forum. Retrieved from http://www.gridforum.org.

- Quarati, A., Clematis, A., Galizia, A., and DAgostino, D. (2013). Hybrid clouds brokering: Business opportunities, qos and energy-saving issues. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 39:121–134.
- Quarati, A., DAgostino, D., Galizia, A., Mangini, M., and Clematis, A. (2012). Delivering cloud services with qos requirements: an opportunity for ict smes. *Economics of Grids, Clouds, Systems, and Services*, pages 197–211.
- Randles, M., Lamb, D., and Taleb-Bendiab, A. (2010). A comparative study into distributed load balancing algorithms for cloud computing. In Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (WAINA), 2010 IEEE 24th International Conference on, pages 551–556. IEEE.
- Rochwerger, B., Breitgand, D., Epstein, A., Hadas, D., Loy, I., Nagin, K., Tordsson, J., Ragusa, C., Villari, M., Clayman, S., Levy, E., Maraschini, A., Massonet, P., Muoz, H., and Tofetti, G. (2011). Reservoir - when one cloud is not enough. *Computer*, 44(3):44–51.
- Sasikala, N. and Ramesh, D. (2014). Effective load balancing for cloud computing using hybrid ab algorithm. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 9(23):3815–3822.

- Sotiriadis, S., Bessis, N., and Kuonen, P. (2012). Advancing inter-cloud resource discovery based on past service experiences of transient resource clustering. In *Proceedings - 3rd International Conference on Emerging Intelligent Data and Web Technologies, EIDWT 2012*, pages 38–45.
- Tordsson, J., Montero, R. S., Moreno-Vozmediano, R., and Llorente, I. M. (2012). Cloud brokering mechanisms for optimized placement of virtual machines across multiple providers. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 28(2):358–367.
- Van, H. N., Tran, F. D., and Menaud, J. M. (2009). Autonomic virtual resource management for service hosting platforms. In *Proceedings of the 2009 ICSE* Workshop on Software Engineering Challenges of Cloud Computing, CLOUD 2009, pages 1–8.
- Vecchiola, C., Calheiros, R. N., Karunamoorthy, D., and Buyya, R. (2012). Deadline-driven provisioning of resources for scientific applications in hybrid clouds with aneka. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 28(1):58–65.
- Venkata Krishna, P. (2013). Honey bee behavior inspired load balancing of tasks in cloud computing environments. *Applied Soft Computing*, 13(5):2292–2303.
- Wang, S.-C., Yan, K.-Q., Liao, W.-P., and Wang, S.-S. (2010). Towards a load balancing in a three-level cloud computing network. In *Computer Science* and Information Technology (ICCSIT), 2010 3rd IEEE International Conference on, volume 1, pages 108–113. IEEE.
- Wickremasinghe, B. and Buyya, R. (2009). Cloudanalyst: A cloudsim-based tool for modelling and analysis of large scale cloud computing environments. *MEDC project report*, 22(6):433–659.
- Wickremasinghe, B., Calheiros, R. N., and Buyya, R. (2010). Cloudanalyst: A cloudsim-based visual modeller for analysing cloud computing environments and applications. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, AINA*, pages 446–452.
- Zhan, Z.-H., Zhang, G.-Y., Ying-Lin, Gong, Y.-J., and Zhang, J. (2014). Load balance aware genetic algorithm for task scheduling in cloud computing. In *Simulated Evolution and Learning*, pages 644–655. Springer.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Ranesh Kumar Naha was born in Comilla, Bangladesh. He received B.Sc. degree in Computer Science and Engineering from the State University of Bangladesh in 2008. After his graduation, he served as Lecturer until 2011 in the Daffodil Institute of IT, Bangladesh. He also achieved several vendor certifications from Cisco and Microsoft during the period of his teaching profession.

Then, he was proceeded his studies in the Department of Communication Technology and Networks in the faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology in 2012, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). He has been awarded a Commonwealth Scholarship provided by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia during his master studies at UPM. His research interests are in wired and wireless network, parallel and distributed computing and cloud computing.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- R.K. Naha and M. Othman. 2014. Brokering and load balancing mechanismin the Cloud Revisited. *IETE Technical Review*, vol: 31, pp: 271–276, doi:10.1080/025646 02.2014.942239 (Impact Factor: 0.925).
- **R.K. Naha** and M. Othman. 2014. Optimized Load Balancing for Efficient Resource Provisioning in the Cloud. in 2014 IEEE 2nd International Symposium on Telecommunication Technologies Internet Technologies, Langkawi Island, Malaysia, pp:382-385.
- **R.K. Naha** and M. Othman. 2014. Diverse Approaches to Cloud Brokering: Innovations and Issues. Submitted in *Journal of Communication Networks and Distributed Systems* (Scopus Indexed).
- **R.K. Naha** and M. Othman. 2015. Evaluation of Cloud Brokering Algorithms in Cloud Based Data Center. Accepted in *Far East Journal of Electronics and Communications* (Scopus Indexed).
- **R.K. Naha** and M. Othman. 2015. Cost aware service brokering and performance sentient load balancing algorithms in the cloud. Submitted in *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, (Impact Factor: 1.772).