

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FACTORS DETERMINING STAKEHOLDERS' PERCEPTION OF KENAF CULTIVATION IN KELANTAN, MALAYSIA

AMIRA MAS AYU AMIR MUSTAFA

FEP 2014 9



FACTORS DETERMINING A STAKEHOLDERS' PERCEPTION OF KENAF CULTIVATION IN KELANTAN, MALAYSIA

By

AMIRA MAS AYU BINTI AMIR MUSTAFA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Master of Science

Jun 2014

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



1000765272

t FEP 2014 9

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science.

FACTORS DETERMINING STAKEHOLDERS' PERCEPTION OF KENAF CULTIVATION IN KELANTAN, MALAYSIA

By

AMIRA MAS AYU BINTI AMIR MUSTAFA

June 2014

Chairman: Professor Mohd Shahwahid Bin Haji Othman, Phd

Faculty: Economics And Management

Global warming has led to renewed interest in the more sustainable use of natural fibers in composite materials. This has led to a resurgence of interest on Kenaf fibers. The Malaysian government has selected Kenaf as a new commodity and natural fiber to be given support and priority. Even though the Government has provided incentives and support in Kenaf development, the progress in Kenaf cultivation has not met the expectation of the Government.

The objectives of this study are i) to identify the important stakeholders, their stakes and roles in Kenaf cultivation in Kelantan and ii) to determine the critical factors influencing farmers' participation in Kenaf cultivation. For the first objective, social network analysis has been used to identify the stakeholders in Kenaf cultivation and for the second objective based from the result in the first objective, factor analysis is applied to map out the stakeholder' perspective in Kenaf cultivation. Data for social network analysis and factor analysis are collected through interviews using structured questionnaire.

This paper provides the preliminary findings of this investigation. The result from social network analysis enables the identification of the real critical stakeholder in Kenaf cultivation which is the farmer. This key stakeholder is the target for fostering the growth of the Kenaf industry. The findings from factor analysis show that there are six significant factors; i) economic potentials in Kenaf cultivation, ii) strategies of tobacco cultivation, iii) grievances over Kenaf cultivation, iv) farmers' perspectives in changing crop cultivation, v) challenges on market assurances, and (vi) campaign and promotion to attract farmers involvement in Kenaf cultivation.

· . . . !

L

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PERSEPSI PIHAK BERKEPENTINGAN DALAM PENANAMAN KENAF DI KELANTAN, MALAYSIA

Oleh

AMIRA MAS AYU BINTI AMIR MUSTAFA

Jun 2014

Pengerusi: Professor Mohd Shahwahid Bin Haji Othman, Phd

Fakulti: Ekonomi Dan Pengurusan

Keperluan terhadap penggunaan gentian asli yang lebih mampan bagi bahan komposit telah membawa kepada pembangunan pada gentian Kenaf. Kerajaan Malaysia telah memilih dan memberi sokongan yang besar kepada Kenaf sebagai satu komoditi baru bagi menggantikan tanaman tembakau. Walaupun Kerajaan telah menyediakan pelbagai insentif dan sokongan dalam pembangunan Kenaf, namun begitu, kemajuan dalam penanaman Kenaf masih belum memenuhi jangkaan Kerajaan.

Objektif kajian ini adalah i) untuk mengenal pasti pihak yang berkepentingan serta peranan mereka dalam penanaman Kenaf di Kelantan serta, ii).untuk menentukan faktor-faktor kritikal yang mempengaruhi penyertaan pihak berkepentingan iaitu petani dalam penanaman Kenaf itu sendiri.

Bagi memenuhi objektif yang pertama analisis rangkaian sosial telah digunakan manakala analisis faktor telah digunakan bagi objektif kedua untuk memetakan perspektif pihak berkepentingan dalam penanaman Kenaf. Data bagi analisis rangkaian sosial dan analisis faktor ini dikumpul melalui hasil dari temu bual dan menggunakan soal selidik berstruktur.

Beberapa penemuan baru diperolehi daripada hasil kajian ini . Analisis rangkaian sosial menunjukkan bahawa terdapat beberapa pihak berkepentingan yang terlibat dan bertanggungjawab untuk menyelesaikan masalah yang timbul dalam penanaman Kenaf. Pihak berkepentingan ini akan menjadi sasaran untuk memajukan industri Kenaf ini. Menerusi analisis faktor dapat dirumuskan bahawa terdapat enam faktor yang akan mempengaruhi petani di dalam penglibatan mereka dalam penanaman Kenaf; i) potensi ekonomi dalam penanaman Kenaf, ii) strategi dalam penanaman tembakau, iii) rungutan berkaitan penanaman Kenaf, iv) perspektif petani dalam penanaman gantian baru, v)

cabaran dalam pasaran pertanian itu sendiri dan vi) ketiadaan kempen dan promosi dalam penggunaan serta penanaman Kenaf di Malaysia.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my appreciation to my supervisors, Professor Dr.Mohd Shahwahid Haji Othman and Professor Dr Rahinah Binti Ibrahim for their advice, encouragement, guidance, constructive criticisms and comments throughout the duration of the project. Despite their busy schedules they are always open to engage in meaningful discussions.

A special note of thanks goes to my husband Mohd Iqbal Bin Mohd Noor and a terrific group of colleagues in Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia. I would like to thank them for providing me with extra statistical analysis and in-depth research knowledge, which has been very useful for my research.

I would also like to give my sincere thanks to all respondents in my study for their support and help with obtaining data and information. This study would not have been completed without the support from UPM. For that, I would like to give my sincere thanks and appreciation for UPM's kindness and input.

Last, but not least, appreciations are due to my family and my family in law who I will forever be indebted to. Their continued encouragement, understanding, and constant loving support throughout the period of my study have been vital for the completion of this research project and for me to attain this degree.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWL APPROVAL DECLARAT LIST OF TA LIST OF FIG LIST OF AB | EDGEMENTS SHEETS TION ABLES GURES BREVIATIONS | Page I IV V VII XI XII XIII |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION1.0Introduction1.1Background of Kenaf1.2Kenaf in Malaysia1.3Problem Statement1.4Objective of the study1.5Justification of the Study1.6Organization of the thesis | 1 1 3 4 7 7 7 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 9 |
| | 2.2 Concept in Agriculture 2.3 Kenaf as a potential new alternative crop 2.4 Conceptual Framework 2.4.1 Stakeholder Analysis 2.4.1.1 Social Network Analysis 2.4.1.2 Type of social network 2.4.2 Factor Analysis | 9 11 11 13 15 18 |
| 3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Data analysis 3.2.1 Stakeholder Analysis 3.2.1.1 Social Network Analysis (SNA) 3.2.2 The theory of behavioural model 3.2.3 Factor Analysis 3.3 Data collection method 3.4 Respondent profile and sample size | 21 21 24 25 26 27 27 |

| | 3.5 3.6 | Questionnaire structure Conclusion | 28 29 |
|----------|--------------|--|----------|
| 4 | RESU | ULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 30 |
| | 4.2 | Stakeholder Analysis | 30 |
| | | 4.2.1 Descriptive Analysis for stakeholder | 30 |
| | | 4.2.2 Stakeholder identification | ~ 31 |
| | _ | 4.2.3. Identifying stakeholders' aims goals and interests. | 32 |
| | | 4.2.4 Social Network Analysis (SNA) | 34 |
| | | 4.2.4.1 Ties Strength in Social Network | 37 |
| | | 4.2.4.2 Centrality measures in Social Network | 39 |
| | 4.3 | Factor Analysis | 40 |
| | | 4.3.1 Farmers Profile | 41 |
| 5 | | CONCLUSION | |
| 5 | 5.1 | Introduction | 45 |
| | 5.2 | Major Findings | 45 |
| | 5.3 | Recommendation for future study | 46 |
| DFFFDFN | CES | | 47 |
| APPENDIX | | MPLE OUESTIONNAIRE STAKEHOLDER | 53 |
| APPENDIX | | MPLE OUESTIONNAIRE FARMERS | 56 |
| APPENDI | XIII: E | XAMPLE OF CALCULATING SNA | 60 |
| APPENDIX | X IV: E | XAMPLE OF CALCULATING FACTOR ANALYSIS | 63 |
| BIODATA | OF ST | UDENT | 67 |
| PUBLICA | FIONS | | 68 |

i

ì

.

Х

LIST OF TABLES

| Fable | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Seven Key Programs for Kenaf Industry Development in 2010. | 4 |
| 2 | Kenaf Planted and Production Area by State | 6 |
| 3 | Stakeholder analysis matrix | 23 |
| 4 | Stakeholder characteristic around development in a project | 23 |
| 5 | Social Network Analysis measurement | 24 |
| 6 | The model behaviour perspective | 25 |
| 7 | KMO values versus degree of common variance | 27 |
| 8 | Mean scores for items in stakeholder questionnaires | 30 |
| 9 | Stakeholder identification in Kenaf cultivation | 31 |
| 10 | Matrix table in identifying stakeholder interest, influence | 33 |
| | andposition in Kenafcultivation. | |
| 11 | Summary of measurement for the whole social network | 36 |
| 12 | Comparing Network Density and mean degree | 38 |
| 13 | Betweeness and centrality score | 39 |
| 14 | Result factor analysis for three categories of farmers | 40 |
| 15 | KMO and Barlett's Test | 40 |
| 16 | Profile of the respondent on farmer | 41 |
| 17 | Total Variances Explained | 42 |
| 18 | Summary of Factor Analysis Result | 42 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | World production of Natural fiber | 2 |
| 2 | World production of Kenaf& Allied fiber | 2 |
| 3 | Examples of different types of social network | · 15 |
| 4 | Different centrality measures rank vertices differently | 16 |
| 5 | Betweenness centrality | 17 |
| 6 | Factor Analysis Model | 19 |
| 7 | Step of Stakeholder analysis | 21 |
| 8 | Institutional/sector | 22 |
| 9 | Impact/interest | 22 |
| 10 | The behavioural perspective model | 25 |
| 11 | Total percentages of Kenaf stakeholders. | 28 |
| 12 | Target area for growing Kenaf in Malaysia | 32 |
| 13 | Social Network of stakeholder in Kenafcultivation | 35 |
| 14 | Information Sharing Network | 35 |
| 15 | Networks with ties strength | 37 |
| 16 | Network showing strong ties | 37 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Asean Free Trade Agreement |
|---|
| Barlett's test of sphericity |
| East Coast Economic Region |
| Food and agriculture organization |
| fiber reinforced plastic composite |
| International Conference on Kenaf& Allied Fiber |
| Information Sharing Network |
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin |
| Malaysian Timber and Industry Board |
| Non-Governmental Organisations |
| National Kenaf and Tobacco Board |
| National Tobacco Board |
| Participatory Forest Management |
| Research and Development |
| UK Rural Economy and Land Programme |
| Social Network |
| Social Network Analysis |
| Towards Solent Marine Planning |
| Statistical Product and Service Solution |
| Stakeholder Value Network |
| United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural |
| Organization |
| |

XIII

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

The general background of Kenaf production in Malaysia is discussed in this chapter. Following the brief background, descriptive discussions are provided of the issues, challenges and problems faced by the Kenaf farming community. This forms the problem statement of this thesis. This allows the definition of the objectives of the study, and the justification of the study. The chapter ends with the organization of the thesis.

1.1 Background of Kenaf

Environmental and green awareness has significantly increased the importance of natural fibres, not only among subsistent and industrial producers, but also to consumers of bio-composite materials. There is a need for bio-composite to be developed since there are many reasons. One of the reasons is the rise in price of wood resources, the availability of new sources of fibres, the awareness of the environmental and the research and development in the developed countries will derived the development for this commodity such as market readiness and acceptance, market outlook and trends and product substitution (Harun *et al*, 2009).

Natural fibre is a sustainable source that is categorized as eco-friendly and is aligned with the Kyoto Protocol to mitigate global warming. Natural fibre offers advantages in environmental such as decreasing dependence on non-renewable energy, lower pollutant emission and lower greenhouse gasses emissions (Joshi *et al*, 2004). Natural fibers could be produced from several plants of which Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) from the Malvaceae family is one of them.

From figure 1, total world natural fibre production shows a steady rising trend since 1970 until 2007. From 1970 to 2007, total global natural fibre production increased at an average annual rate of 1.6%. This led to a global production level of 26.4 million tonnes in 2007. Natural fibre production is estimated to increase 13.7% to 30 million tonnes from 2007 to 2012 (Yarns and Fiber Exchange, 2007).

1



Organization (FAO) Figure 1: World production of Natural fibre



Figure 2: World production of Kenaf& Allied fibre from 2000/2001 to 2010/2011

In '000 Tonnes

Figure 2 shows the trend in Kenaf production among major producing countries since 2000 to 2010. The world's major producing countries of Kenaf fibres are India and China. India's production volume declined from 203.4 thousand tonnes in 2000 to 140 million tonnes in 2010 while China's production volume dropped from 136 thousand tonnes in 2001 to 75 thousand tonnes in 2010. Thailand dropped from 56

thousand tonnes in 2000 to 1.8 thousand tonnes in 2010. Since Malaysia is new in Kenaf production, its volumes are not recorded.

1.2 Kenaf in Malaysia

Even though natural fibre shows an increasing pattern since the last decade, but Kenaf and its allied fibres registered a distinct trend since 2000 until 2010. The above declining trends are contributed by the reducing demands for the traditional products being made from Kenaf such as gunny sacks. The use of Kenaf for the production of such low value processed products obviously could not compete with the cheaper plastic bags. Kenaf was introduced in Malaysian industry in the early 1970s and it was recognized as a potential alternative crop material for the production of panel products such as fibre board and particle board in the late 1990s (Abdul Khalil *et al.* 2010).

Previous research undertaken by Paridah *et al.* (2009) shows that kenaf fibres could be used for the production of higher value processed products such as the higher priced insulation boards, automotive components and body armour. Malaysian Government sees an opportunity to promote the growth of this new commodity in the country (Paridah *et al*, 2011). Dempsey (1975) says that factors that affect Kenaf fibre yield include the adaptability to the cultivated area, rainfall, temperature, soil type, and fertility. The weather in Malaysia is suitable for all year round cultivation of Kenaf and this could possibly replace tobacco as a crop for cultivation by farmers.

With the above possibilities, there has been interest in Kenaf as a renewable fibre source for the manufacture of these high value-added products especially in biocomposites materials (automobile industry, insulation board and body armour) and this could be potentials for Malaysia to plant and develop Kenaf crops. As an example, Toyota has increasingly used more natural fibre such as Kenaf since 1999. Kenaf fibres have been used in board productions along with polypropylene as the composites of choice for door trims for vehicles such as Toyota and Ford (Discover natural fibre, 2009). The potential for Kenaf is further enhanced with the reported application of Kenaf fibre into the production of bio-composite products such as chipboard, fibre reinforced plastic composite (FRPC), kenaf oriented board, anti-ballistic products and light weight and high performance products made for the automotive industry (ECER report 2010). In fact, the Government has been hoping that Kenaf could transform into a new source of growth in Malaysia to diversify the country's commodities sector. (ECER report 2010).

Under the East Coast Economic Region (ECER), Kenaf has been identified as one of the potential crops to be developed (ECER report 2010). Kenaf can be planted all year round in Malaysia because of the tropical climate with the temperature ranging from 20°C to 30°C. Due to declining tobacco world prices and the 5% reduction in

import duties brought about by the enforcement of the Asean Free Trade Agreement (AFTA), almost 5000 tobacco farmers would be affected (All voices, 2010). Kenaf could be a potential crop to replace tobacco.

The conversion of National Tobacco Board (NTB) to National Kenaf and Tobacco Board (NKTB) also shows the Government's commitment to encourage the development of the Kenaf industry. In order to develop the Kenaf industry, NKTB has allocated some capital and resources (i) to carry out research and development activities and (ii) to provide incentives that could attract farmers to plant Kenaf and for industry to include Kenaf as the raw material in their products (ECER report 2010).

1.3 Problem Statement

Under the Ninth Malaysia Plan, the Government has already allocated RM35 million for the Kenaf industry development. In the year 2010, the National Kenaf and Tobacco Board (NKTB) received a RM 33 million budget for the financing of Kenaf development programs which included crop planting, infrastructure development, procurement of machinery, farm mechanization, seeds farm, and Kenaf processing (ECER report 2010). Table 1 shows the programs that have been developed and their estimated budget allocation. The largest budget allocation was for financing of Kenaf crop cultivation.

| No | Program | Cost (RM Million) |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Kenaf Crop Planting | 10,267,500 |
| 2 | Infrastructure Development | 6,800,000 |
| 3 | Procurement Machineries | 7,197,300 |
| 4 | Farm Mechanization | 5,000,000 |
| 5 | Seeds farm | 500,000 |
| 6 | Kenaf Processing Centre | 2,150,000 |
| 7 | Kenaf Craft Development | 1,000,000 |
| | TOTAL | 32,914,800 |

Table 1: Seven Key Programs for Kenaf Industry Development in 2010.

*Source: National Kenaf and Tobacco Board

With the NKTB's Kenaf Development Program 2010, this organization has provided capital investment to smallholders amounting to RM6,400 per hectare as incentives to farmers to cover land rental cost, seeds, fertilizers, pesticides and labour cost. NKTB also provides the basic farm infrastructure at the planting area that comprises road, irrigation system, and water management system. NKTB also provides RM3.9

5

million to develop a "*Buy Back Scheme*" package to attract more farmers to be involved in Kenaf plantation (ECER report, 2010). In this package, NKTB will act as a buyer if the industry fails to buy the farmer's yield. NKTB also provides basic farm infrastructure such as irrigation system, water management system, collection and storage centre.

Kenaf cultivation involves many stakeholders, and there is a need to identify their stakes and influences on the performance of the Kenaf cultivation and processing industry. Understanding these stakes and influences, and overcoming the constraints that they face could improve and attract more investors to invest in the Kenaf industry. The success of one industry development is dependent on stakeholders' perspective and how they handle the management

Freeman (1984) and Donaldson and Preston (1995) defined a stakeholder as any group or individual who can affect or is affected by the achievement of the organization's objectives and must have a legitimate interest in the organization. In the context of the promotion of Kenaf development by the Government, affected stakeholders' interests and stakes have to be understood and fulfilled to raise the stakeholders' participation in the Government's Kenaf development programs. Greater linkage among these stakeholders and their involvement towards raising Kenaf fibre production and utilization could overcome various impediments constraining the Kenaf development programs set by NKTB.

Even though the Government has provided the incentives and support packages for Kenaf development, the progress in Kenaf cultivation and processing has not met the expectation of the Government. The local farmers are still not embracing Kenaf as a commodity crop and the return is still not as lucrative as other crops. This is shown from the statistics on Kenaf production area (Table 2). The extent of the Kenaf area planted in Peninsular Malaysia is fluctuating over the 2006-2010 period with no definite growing trend.

Farmers are still uncertain and are having negative perceptions towards development in the Kenaf cultivation (Simon Khoo, 2010). This issue is more complicated than it is perceived by the public. There are many stakeholders and factors involved in the Kenaf cultivation. Their interplay and influences have not been investigated thoroughly by the government (ECER 2010).

| | Production Area by State (2006-2010) | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | pu | |
| | d a | |
| | ante | |
| | f Pl | |
| | ena | |
| | S: K | |
| | ole 2 | |
| | Tał | |

| State | | 2006 | | | 2007 | | | 2008 | | | 2009 | | | 2010e | |
|----------------|------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Area | Prod | uction | Area (Hectares | Prod | fuction | Area (Hectares | Prod | uction | Area (Hectares | Prod | luction | Area (Hectares | Pro | duction |
| | | Tonn e | RM | · . | Tonn e | RM | | Tonn e | RM | <u> </u> | Tonn e | RM | , î | Tonne | RM |
| Kelantan | 41 | 1,838 | 165,40 2 | 200 | 936 | 280,000 | 283 | 58 | 114,00 0 | 228 | 1,970 | 985,000 | 500 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Terenggan u | 70 | 2747 | 247,19 7 | 85 | | | 180 | 30 | 9,000 | 115 | 941 | 470,500 | 510 | 5,100 | 2,550,000 |
| Kedah | 51 | 2 | 54,750 | 84.5 | 18 | 450,000 | 126 | 5 | 118,65 0 | 88.5 | 35 | 875 | 50 | 500 | 250,000 |
| Perlis | 8 | 11 | 287,75 0 | 67.5 | 12 | 300,000 | 101 | - | 2,500 | 46.5 | 61 | 475 | 50 | 500 | 250,000 |
| Pahang | | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 10,00 0 | 5,000,000 |
| Melaka | 1 | ŧ | | 1 | - | I | 1 | | | | 1 | ı | 5 | 1 | - |
| Perak | 1 | • | | I | | | - | 7. | - | | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Sabah | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 170 | 4,598 | 755,09 9 | 437 | 966 | 1,030,00 0 | 069 | 94 | 244,15 0 | 478 | 2,965 | 1,456,85 0 | 2125 | 21,15 0 | 10,555,000 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

.

Source: National Kenaf and Tobacco Board

9

1.4 **Objective of the study**

The general objective of this study is dedicated towards examining the issues in overcoming constraints in the promotion of Kenaf cultivation and processing in Malaysia. This aim is addressed by two specific objectives as follows:

- i. To identify the important stakeholders and their stakes and roles in Kenaf cultivation
- ii. To determine the critical factors influencing the participation of the most important stakeholder in Kenaf cultivation.

1.5 Justification of the Study

This study is justifiable to ensure that the commitments and investments made by the Government in Kenaf fibre development bear fruit as was intended. With greater understanding of the issues and the roles played by various stakeholders, and possibilities of overcoming these constraints, would make this investigation an important instrument to helping the Government in resolving the issues on Kenaf cultivation in Malaysia.

1.6 Organization of the thesis

This thesis will be divided into five chapters namely; introduction, literature review, research methodology, result and discussion and summary, conclusion and recommendation for future research.

Chapter one has discussed the background of Kenaf production worldwide and in Malaysia. It also highlighted the problem statement, objective and the justification of this study.

Chapter two provides a review of the literature on Kenaf cultivation and processing. It will highlight previous research efforts on the characteristic and potential of Kenaf. This chapter also discusses matters pertaining to the use of various methodologies such as factor analysis and stakeholder analysis in investigating issues occurring in Kenaf as well as in other crops. Critical factors in influencing the introduction and cultivation of a new crop will be assessed.

Chapter three focusses on the research methodology that will be used in this study in line with the main objectives set in chapter one. This chapter also discusses how and where the data are collected and explains the procedures involved in handling the data for analysis. In chapter four, the result on factor analysis and stakeholder analysis will be analysed with regard to the impact on the Kenaf cultivation in Malaysia.

Finally, chapter five provides the summary and conclusions of findings in relation to the impacts of Kenaf cultivation on Malaysian economy. Policy implications, limitations of the study and recommendations for future research would also be highlighted here.

REFERENCES

Abdul K. H. P. S., Ireana Y. A. F., Bhat A.H., and Jawaid M. (2010). Cell wall ultrastructure, anatomy, lignin distribution, and chemical composition of Malaysian cultivated kenaffiber. Ind. Crop Prod.31 (1): 113-121

Adesina, A. A. and Baidu-Forson, J. (1995). Farmers' Perceptions and Adoption of New Agricultural Technology: Evidence from Analysis in Burkina Faso and Guinea, West Africa. Agricultural Economics, 13, 1-9.

Bandiera O. and Rasul I. (2003). Complementarities, social networks and technology adoption in Mozambique.

Bandura, A. (1977) Social Learning Theory, General Learning Press, New York.

Barabasi, A.L. (2003). Linked: How everything is connected to everything else and what it means. New York, NY: Penguin.

Bodin, Ö., Crona, B.I. (2009). The role of social networks in natural resource governance: what relational patterns make a difference? Global Environmental Change, 19(3), 366–374.

Cai Y.L, Wang W.D., Gong X.Y., Li Y.H., Chen C.F., and Ma J. (2008) Mobile E-Commerce Model Based On Social Network Analysis., 15(Suppl.): 79–83

Carrington P.J., Scott J., and Wasserman S. (2005).Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis. Cambridge University Press

Ching A, Webber C.L, Neill SW (1993). Effect of location and cultivar on Kenaf yield components. Ind. Crops Prod., pp. 191-196

Clayton, (1964), "Agrarian Development in Peasant Economics", Pergaman Press, London.

De Lopez T.T. (2001). Stakeholder management for conservation projects: a case study of Ream National Park, Cambodia. Environmental Management 28, 47–60.

Dempsey J. M. (1975). Fiber crops. The University Presses of Florida, Gainesville.

Desvouges W.H and Frey J.H (1989).Integrating focus group and surveys.Examples from environmental risk studies. Journal of official statistic Vol 5 No 4: 349-363

Discover natural fiber (2009) Retrieved from http://www.naturalfibres2009.org/en/iynf/high-tech.html

Dolisca F, McDaniel J.M, Teeter L.D. (2007) Farmers' perceptions towards forests: A case study from Haiti. Forest Policy and Economics 9 704–712

Donaldson T. and Preston.L.E (1995), The Stakeholder Theory of the Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, and Implications. The Academy of Management Review, Vol. 20, No. 1 pp. 65-91

ECER report, 2010. Retrieved from http:// <u>www.ecerdc.com.my/</u> ecerdc/downloads/ annualreport/ECER_AnnualReport2010.pdf

Eden C. and Ackermann F. (1998). Making Strategy: the Journey of Strategic Management. Sage Publications, London

Fadhil G.F (2010). Factor Analysis, Target Factor Testing and Model Designing of Aromatic Solvent Effect of the Formyl Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Chemical Shift in Para Substituted Benzaldehydes. Am. J. Appl. Sci., 7(1): 24-32.

Fisher, G. (1994). Availability of Kenaf fibers for the U.S paper industry.Paper presented at the Tappipuping conference proceeding, book 2, November 6-10, Sherotonharbor island, San Diego, California, Tappi press, Atlanta, GA, USA

Foster A. and Rosenzweig M. (1995) Learning by doing and learning from others:Human capital and technical change in agriculture. Journal of political Economy 103: 1176-1209

Foxall, G. R. (1998). Radical BehavioristInterpretation:generating and Evaluating an Account of Consumer Behaviour. The Behaviour Analyst, 21, 321-354

Frank O. (2002). Using Centrality modelling in network surveys. Social Network, Volume 24 page 385.

Freeman R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Boston: Pitman.

Fu F, Feng W, Li Z., Edward F. C., and Weidou N. (2011). A network-based modelling framework for stakeholder analysis of China's energy conservation campaign. Energy 36 4996-5003.

H. Erkus-Ozturk, A. Eraydın. (2010) Environmental governance for sustainable tourism development: Collaborative networks and organisation building in the Antalya tourism region. Tourism Management 31 113–124

Hansson H., Ferguson R. (2010), Factors influencing the strategic decision to further develop dairy production — A study of farmers in central Sweden, Livestock Science xxx xxx-xxx

Hare M. and Pahl-Wostl C. (2002). Stakeholder categorisation in participatory integrated assessment.Integrated Assessment 3, 50–62.

Harun, J., Paridah M. T., Nor Aini A. S. and Khalina A, (2009). Kenaf- its establishment and journey towards energizing the wood-based and bio composite

industry in Malaysia; international conference on prospect of jute and kenaf as natural fibres, Dhakar-Bangladesh.

Harun, J., Paridah M. T., NorAini A. S. and Khalina A, (2009). Kenaf- its establishment and journey towards energizing the wood-based and bio composite industry in Malaysia; international conference on prospect of jute and kenaf as natural fibres, Dhakar-Bangladesh

Hayami Y., Vernon W. R. (1971), "Agricultural Development: an International Perspective",

Herdt R,W, and Steiner R.A (1995) Agricultural sustainability: concepts and conundrums. In Barnett V, Steiner R (eds) Agricultural sustainability: economic, environmental and social considerations. Wiley, Chichester/New York/Brisbane/Toronto/Singapore, p 257

Howell J., Duett, S., Newman, S.E., (1993). Kenaffiber core as a vermiculite substitute in peat- based media for growing greenhouse bedding plants. Proc. Southern Nurseryman's Assoc. Conf. 38, 59 - 62.

Joshi S.V, Drzal L.T., Mohanty A.K., and Arora S. (2004) Are natural fiber composites environmentally superior to glass fiber reinforced composites? Composites: Part A 35 371–376

Kamaruzzaman Y. (2009) http://www.demotix.com/news/200292/impact-afta-2010-malaysian-tobacco-industry#media-200290

Kontigiani A, Mihalis S. Skourtos M.S., Langford I.H., Bateman I.J., and Gergiou S. (2001). Ecological Economics 37:123–138

Laiche, A.J., Newman, S.E., (1994). Kenaf core as a containermedia component for woody landscape plants and green-house bedding plants. In: Goforth, C.E., Fuller, M.J.(Eds.), A Summary of Kenaf Production and Product Development Research. Miss. State Univ. Bul. 1011. Mississippi State University, Mississippi, p. 30

Lienert J., Schnetzer F., Ingold K. (2013) Stakeholder analysis combined with social network analysis provides fine-grained insights into water infrastructure planning processes. Journal of Environmental Management 125 134e148London: Earthscan. pp.120-134.

Maguire B., Potts J., and Fletcher S. (2012) The role of stakeholders in the marine planning process- Stakeholder analysis within the Solent,United Kingdom. Marine Policy 36 246–257

Marin A. and Berkes F. (2010). Network approach for understanding small-scale fisheries governance: The case of the Chilean coastal co-management system. Marine Policy 34 851–858

Marsden P.V. (2002). Egocentric and Sociocentric Measures of Network Centrality, Social Network. Volume 24, page 407

Mazur, R, Onzere, S. (2009). Social networks and status in adopting agricultural technologies and practices among small scale farmers in Uganda. In: Sanginga PC,

Waters-Bayer A, Kaaria S, Njuki J, Wettasinha C, (eds). Innovation Africa: Enriching Farmers' Livelihoods.

McMillin J.D., Wagner M.R., Webber C.L III, Mann S.S., Nichols J.D., and Jech L. (1998). Potential for Kenaf cultivation in south-central Arizona. Industrial Crops and Products 973 - 77

Mellor J.W., (1974), "The Economics of agricultural development", Cornell University Press, Ithaka and New York.

Monge, M., Hartwich, F., Halgin, D. (2008). How change agents and social capital influence the adoption of innovations among small farmers: Evidence from social networks in rural Bolivia. IFPRI Discussion Paper 00761. IFPRI, New York

Negatu W. and Parikh A. (1999) The impact of perceptions and other factors on the adoption of agricultural technology in the Moret and JiruWoreda, district of Ethiopia. Agricultural Economics, 21 (1999): 205-216

Newman M.E.J. (2005). A Measurement of Betweenness Centrality Based on Random Walks. Social Network, Volume 27, page 39

Newton, K. (2001) Trust, social capital, civil society, and democracy, International Political

Nyeko P, Edwards-Jones G, Day RK, Thomas R.(2002). Farmers' knowledge and perceptions of pests in agroforestry with specific reference to Alnus species in Kabale District, Uganda. Crop protection 21:929–941.

Ocal E.M, Oral EL, Erdis E, Vural G (2007). Industry financial ratios application of factor analysis in Turkish construction industry. Build. Environ., 42: 385-392.

Paridah M.T, Amel B. A., Syeed O. A. S. and Zakiah A. (2011).Retting Process of some bast plant fibres and its effect on fibre quality: A review. Bioresources 6 (4), 5260 – 5281.

Pill, W.G., Bischoff, D.J., (1998). Resin-coated, controlled-release fertilizer as a preplant alternative to nitrogen enrichment of stem core in soilless media containing ground stem core of Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*). J. Hort. Sci. 73 (1), 73 - 79

Pill, W.G., Tilmon, H.D., Taylor, R.W., (1995a). Nitrogen-enriched ground Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) stem core as a component of soilless growth media. J. Hort. Sci. 70 (4), 673 - 681

Putnam, R. D. 1993. Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy. Princeton: Princeton University Press

Reed M.S, Graves A., Dandy N., Posthumus H., Hubacek K, Morris J., Prell C., Quinn C.H, and Stringer L.C (2009). Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. Journal of Environmental Management 90 1933–1949 Salam M.A, Noguchi T. (2006) Evaluating capacity development for participatory forest management in Bangladesh's Sal forests based on 4Rsstakeholder analysis. Forest Policy and Economics 8 785–796

Schultz T. W. (1964). Transforming Traditional Agriculture, New Haven: Yale University Press.

Schultz, T. W. (1965)," Economic Crises in world Agriculture", The University of Michigan PressScience Review, 22 (2) 201-214

Simon Khoo (2010) Growing demand for Kenaf. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/story.aspx/?file=%2F2010%2F4%2F29%2Fsouthneast% 2F6143094

The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore and London.

Topcu Y, Uzundumlu A.S, Celep S. and Hun S. (2010), Scientific Research and Essays Vol. 5(14), pp. 1881-1889.

Torek Sulong (2010) http://www.allvoices.com/contributed-news/6536333-Kenafplants-new-commodity-parts-in-malaysian-tobacco

Udry C.R. and Conley T.G. (2004) Social Network in Ghana.Center discussion Paper No 888, Economic Growth Centre, Yale University

Valente T.W (2003) Social Network Influences on adolescent substance use: An introduction. Connections 25(2)

Valente, T.W. (2006). Opinion leader interventions in social networks.British Medical Journal, 333, 1082-1083

Villar J.C., Revilla E., Gomez N., Carbajo J.M., and Simón J.L. (2009). Improving the use of Kenaf for kraft pulping by using mixtures of bast and core fibers.industrial crops and products 29 301–307

Wang, Y.T., (1994). Using ground Kenaf stem core as a major component of container media. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 119(5), 931 – 935.

Wasserman S. and Faust K. (1994).Social Network Analysis, Methods and Application. Cambridge University Press

Webber C. L III, and Bledsoe V.K. (2002) Plant maturity and Kenaf yield components. Industrial Crops and Products 16 81–88

Webber C.L. III and Bledsoe R.E. (1993). Kenaf: Production, harvesting, processing, and products. p. 416-421. In: J. Janick and J.E. Simon (eds.), New crops. Wiley, New York.

Wood I.M., Muchow R.C. and Ratcliff D. (1983). Effect Of Sowing Date On The Growth And Yield Of Kenaf (*Hibiscus Cannabinus*) Grown Under Irrigation In Tropical Australia (ii. Stem Production).Field Crops Research, 7 91–102

Wossink G.A.A., De Buck A.J., Niejenhuis V. and Haverkamp H.C.M. (1997) Farmer perceptions of weed control techniques in sugarbeet. Agricultural Systems, 55(3): 409-423

Yarns and Fiber Exchange (2007) Retrieved from http://www.naturalfibres2009.org/en/iynf

Yasuda Y. (2001) Practical Social Network Analysis). Tokyo: Shinyo-sha



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Amira Mas Ayu Binti Amir Mustafa was born on December 09th, 1986 in Hospital Bersalin, Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. She received her primary education at Sekolah Kebangsaan Bandar Tun Razak from 1993 to 1995 and she continues her studies at Sekolah Rendah Jalan Semenyih (1) from 1996 to 1998. Then she received her secondary education at Sekolah Menengah Jalan Bukit Kajang from 1999 to 2003 and then continued her pre-university education at the Sekolah Menengah Kajang Utama in Kajang. She completed her Bachelor's degree in International Trade and Finance at Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2009. She enrolled her study for Master of Science program in the Faculty Economics and Management, UPM.