



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY MEASUREMENT USING PHOTOACOUSTIC
AND THERMAL LENS TECHNIQUES**

FANNY CHIN YEE JU

FSAS 2000 30

**THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY MEASUREMENT USING PHOTOACOUSTIC
AND THERMAL LENS TECHNIQUES**

By

FANNY CHIN YEE JU

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master
of Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

May 2000



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY MEASUREMENT USING
PHOTOACOUSTIC AND THERMAL
LENS TECHNIQUES**

By

FANNY CHIN YEE JU

May 2000

Chairman : Associate Professor W Mahmood Mat Yunus, Ph.D.

Faculty : Science and Environmental Studies

The open photoacoustic cell (OPC) technique was used for measuring thermal diffusivity of solid samples. It is based upon the measurement of the photoacoustic signal as a function of the modulation frequency in the region where the thermal diffusion length equals to the sample thickness. The measurements were carried out at room temperature for samples metal, alloy, semiconductor, polymer and superconductor. The measured thermal diffusivity values for metal, semiconductor and polymer samples are in good agreement with the values reported previously by other researchers. The thermal diffusivity values of the Ag_xAu_{1-x} alloys decrease from $1.48 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (pure Ag) to a minimum value at around $x = 0.70$ before increasing towards the value of $1.28 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (pure Au). In $Au_xCu_{(100-x)0.7}Ag_{(100-x)0.3}$ alloy system, the thermal diffusivity values decrease with the increasing of the weight fraction x and reaching a minimum at around $x = 90$ composition. Then, the thermal



diffusivity values tend to increase to the value of $1.28 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for the pure Au metal. For superconductor samples in the normal state, the measured thermal diffusivity decreases with the increase of Zn content in the $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$ system, However, the thermal diffusivity values increase with the increasing of Ba dopant in the $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$ system.

The OPC detection was also used to monitor the evaporation time of the liquid samples. The evaporation time for 10.60 mm^3 of acetone, chloroform, methanol and ethanol samples were recorded as 236.7 s, 578.8 s, 436.2 s and 869.2 s respectively. The results also show that the liquid evaporation time is inversely proportional to the laser power.

The laser beam power in the range of (2-16) mW was monitored by using OPC, closed photoacoustic cell (CPC) and piezoelectric (PZT) detections. In each case, the photoacoustic (PA) signals were found to be linear up to the laser power of 16 mW. In comparison, the power meter responsivity for CPC detection always higher than OPC and followed by PZT detection.

Finally, the thermal lens technique was used to determine the thermal diffusivity of liquid samples. The phenomenon of thermal lensing is due to the refractive index change with temperature in a liquid medium causes by the periodic photothermal heating. The change of the refractive index will turn the heated medium into a lens. By measuring the time dependence of the laser intensity change after passed though

the thermal lens, the thermal diffusivity of the sample can be obtained. In this work, the measurements were carried out for various solvents, fuel, palm oils and chitosan at different concentration. It was found that the thermal lens technique was suitable for measuring thermal diffusivity value of liquids in the range of $(9.09 \times 10^{-4} - 12.1 \times 10^{-4}) \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
bagi memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Master Sains

**PENGUKURAN PEKALI RESAPAN TERMA DENGAN
MENGUNAKAN TEKNIK FOTOAKUSTIK
DAN TEKNIK TERMA KANTA**

Oleh

FANNY CHIN YEE JU

Mei 2000

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya W Mahmood Mat Yunus, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Teknik fotoakustik sel terbuka (OPC) ditunjukkan untuk menentukan nilai pekali resapan terma bahan pepejal. Konsepnya adalah berdasarkan pengukuran isyarat akustik sebagai fungsi frekuensi termodulasi di mana jarak peresapan terma sama dengan ketebalan sampel. Pengukuran dibuat pada suhu bilik bagi bahan-bahan logam, aloi, semikonduktor, polimer and superkonduktor. Hasil nilai-nilai ukuran bagi bahan-bahan logam, semikonduktor dan polimer amat menyetujui dengan nilai yang telah dilaporkan oleh penyelidik-penyelidik lain. Nilai-nilai pekali resapan bagi aloi Ag_xAu_{1-x} berkurang dari $1.48 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (Ag tulen) ke suatu nilai minima lebih kurang pada $x = 0.70$ sebelum meningkat ke $1.28 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (Au tulen). Bagi sistem aloi $Au_xCu_{(100-x)0.7}Ag_{(100-x)0.3}$, nilai-nilai pekali resapan berkurangan dengan penambahan nilai nisbah berat x dan mencapai nilai minimanya lebih kurang pada $x = 90$. Kemudian, nilai-nilai ini bertambah sehingga mencapai $1.28 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ iaitu nilai

pekali resapan bagi sampel Au tulen. Bagi sampel superkonduktor pada keadaan normal, nilai pekali resapan berkurangan dengan penambahan kandungan Zn pada sistem $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$. Akan tetapi, nilai pekali resapan bertambah dengan penambahan kandungan Ba pada sistem $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$.

Teknik OPC juga digunakan untuk mengukur masa pengewapan bagi bahan-bahan cecair. Masa pengewapan untuk 10.60 mm^3 acetone, chloroform, methanol dan ethanol masing-masing dicatatkan sebagai 236.7 s, 578.8 s, 436.2 s and 869.2 s. Keputusan juga menunjukkan bahawa masa pengewapan adalah berkadar songsang terhadap kuasa laser.

Kuasa alur laser dalam julat (2-16) mW juga dikaji dengan menggunakan teknik OPC, teknik fotoakustik sel tertutup (CPC) dan teknik piezoelektrik (PZT). Dalam setiap kes, isyarat akustik didapati berkadar terus dengan kuasa laser sehingga 16 mW. Secara perbandingan, kuasa tindakan bagi CPC teknik sentiasa lebih daripada teknik OPC and diikuti oleh teknik PZT.

Akhirnya, teknik kanta terma digunakan untuk menentu nilai pekali resapan terma bagi bahan-bahan cecair. Fenomena kanta terma adalah berdasarkan perubahan indek biasan terhadap suhu pada suatu medium cecair akibat pemanasan fotothermal secara berkala. Perubahan indek biasan akan mengubah medium yang telah dipanaskan ke suatu kanta. Oleh itu, dengan mengukur perubahan keamatan laser terhadap masa selepas menembusi kanta terma, pekali resapan terma bagi sampel

cecair boleh diperolehi. Dalam kajian ini, pengukuran telah dilakukan terhadap pelbagai pelarut, bahan api kenderaan, minyak kelapa sawit and chitosan. Teknik kanta terma ini didapati amat sesuai untuk mengukur nilai pekali resapan terma bagi sampel cecair dalam julat ($9.09 \times 10^{-4} - 12.1 \times 10^{-4}$) cm^2/s .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest praise to God who has given me the strength, faith, confidence and courage to complete this project within the time frame.

It is my pleasure to acknowledge my supervisor, **Associate Professor Dr. W Mahmood Mat Yunus** for his guidance, help, advice and suggestion throughout the duration of this project. I would also like to expand my sincere appreciation to my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Abdul Halim Shaari and Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Maarof Moksini for their help and discussion during my studies.

Special thanks are also given to Professor Dr. Hamzah Mohamad and Mr. Azhari from X-Ray Laboratory, Geology department, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for their help on the X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis. I would also like to thank Miss Azilah, Mrs. Aminah and Mr. Ho for helping me in handling the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) unit.

Credit is also given to anyone, especially my family, course mates and friends, who either directly or indirectly, have contributed in a variety of ways towards the success of the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| ABSTRAK | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | viii |
| APPROVAL SHEETS | ix |
| DECLARATION FORM | xi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | xii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvi |
| LIST OF PLATES | xxi |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION | |
| Photothermal and Photoacoustic | 1 |
| Photothermal Detection Schemes | 3 |
| The Present Work | 6 |
| II LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| Introduction | 8 |
| Thermal Diffusivity Measurement using OPC Technique | 8 |
| Photoacoustic Optical Power Meter | 11 |
| Photothermal Lensing | 13 |
| III THEORY | |
| Introduction | 16 |
| The One Dimensional Rosencwaig and Gersho Theory | 16 |
| Photoacoustic Signal Production | 20 |
| Six Special Cases | 21 |
| Optically Transparent Solids | 23 |
| Optically Opaque Solids | 23 |
| Modification of RG Theory | 26 |
| Open Photoacoustic Cell Detection | 27 |
| Photoacoustic Piezoelectric Detection | 31 |
| Thermal Lens Effect | 35 |
| IV METHODOLOGY | |
| Photothermal Detection System | 39 |
| Light Source | 39 |
| Photothermal Detector | 41 |
| Open Photoacoustic Cell | 41 |
| Closed Photoacoustic Cell | 43 |



| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Piezoelectirc (PZT) Detector..... | 45 |
| Photodiode..... | 46 |
| Experimental Procedure..... | 47 |
| Thermal Diffusivity Measurement using OPC Technique..... | 48 |
| Sample Preparation..... | 49 |
| Alloy..... | 53 |
| Superconducting Ceramic..... | 53 |
| Data Analysis..... | 54 |
| Sample Thickness..... | 56 |
| Liquid Evaporation Monitoring using OPC Technique..... | 58 |
| Photoacoustic Optical Power Meter..... | 58 |
| OPC Detection..... | 59 |
| CPC Detection..... | 60 |
| PZT Detection..... | 61 |
| Single Beam Photothermal Lensing..... | 62 |
| Sample Preparation..... | 63 |
| | |
| V RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| Intorduction..... | 65 |
| Thermal Diffusivity of Solid using OPC Technique..... | 65 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Pure Metal and Si..... | 66 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Ag-Au Alloy..... | 68 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Au-Cu-Ag Alloy..... | 73 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Superconducting Ceramic..... | 76 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Rubber..... | 81 |
| Liquid Evaporation Monitoring using OPC Technique..... | 83 |
| Evaporation Time Measurement of Liquid..... | 83 |
| Laser Power Dependence..... | 85 |
| Photoacoustic Optical Power Meter..... | 90 |
| Microphone Detection..... | 90 |
| PZT Detection..... | 96 |
| Comparison Between OPC and PZT Detection..... | 98 |
| Comparison Between CPC and PZT Detection..... | 99 |
| Single Beam Photothermal Lensing..... | 101 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Solvent..... | 101 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Palm Oil and Fuel..... | 104 |
| Thermal Diffusivity, α of Chitosan..... | 104 |
| Z-scan Measurement..... | 106 |
| | |
| VI CONCLUSION | |
| Thermal Diffusivity Measurement using OPC Technique..... | 108 |
| Liquid Evaporation Monitoring using OPC Technique..... | 109 |
| Photoacoustic Optical Power Meter..... | 109 |
| Single Beam Photoacoustic Lensing..... | 111 |
| Suggestion..... | 112 |



| | |
|---------------------------|-----|
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 113 |
| APPENDIX A | 118 |
| APPENDIX B | 121 |
| APPENDIX C | 123 |
| APPENDIX D | 125 |
| APPENDIX E | 126 |
| VITA | 127 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1 | Summary of Photothermal and Photoacoustic Detection Methods..... | 5 |
| 4.1 | Calculated Quantity for Each Composition of Alloy and Sintering Condition..... | 53 |
| 4.2 | Chitosan Samples at Different Concentration..... | 64 |
| 5.1 | Thermal Diffusivity of Metal and Si Samples | 68 |
| 5.2 | Thermal Diffusivity of Ag-Au Alloy Samples..... | 70 |
| 5.3 | Thermal Diffusivity of Au-Cu-Ag Alloy Samples..... | 74 |
| 5.4 | Thermal Diffusivity of Superconducting Ceramic Samples | 78 |
| 5.5 | Thermal Diffusivity of Rubber Samples | 81 |
| 5.6 | Solvent Evaporation Time Compared to the Boiling Point for Each Sample Respectively | 88 |
| 5.7 | Thermal Diffusivity Values of Solvent Samples using Thermal Lensing Technique..... | 103 |
| 5.8 | Thermal Diffusivity Values of Palm Oil and Fuel Samples using Thermal Lensing Technique..... | 105 |
| 5.9 | Thermal Diffusivity Values of Chitosan Samples using Thermal Lensing Technique..... | 106 |
| 6.1 | Thermal Diffusivity Values of Samples using OPC Technique | 110 |
| 6.2 | Thermal Diffusivity Values of the Liquid Samples using Thermal Lensing Technique..... | 111 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1 Photothermal Phenomena Caused by Illumination of a Surface by a Modulated Beam of Light..... | 4 |
| 3.1 Schematic Diagram for a Simple Cylindrical Photoacoustic Cell | 17 |
| 3.2 Schematic Representation of Special Cases for the Photoacoustic Effect in Solids..... | 25 |
| 3.3 Schematics of Three Phenomena Occurring After Light Absorption in Solid Samples (a) Thermal Diffusion (b) Thermal Expansion and (c) Thermoelastic Bending..... | 26 |
| 3.4 Cross Section of the Open Photoacoustic Cell Detector..... | 27 |
| 3.5 Geometry and Sources of Surface Strain for the Thermoelastic Bending R' and R are the Sample and the Microphone Inlet Hole Radius Respectively | 30 |
| 3.6 Sources of Surface Strain. Transducer may be Attached to Either Side of the Sample..... | 33 |
| 3.7 Schematic Diagram of the Thermal Lens Technique..... | 36 |
| 3.8 Thermal Lens Position Dependence..... | 38 |
| 4.1 He-Ne Laser and Laser Power Supply | 40 |
| 4.2 Argon Laser System Consists of Five Basic Components..... | 41 |
| 4.3 Microphone Outlook | 42 |
| 4.4 Cross Section of the Open Photoacoustic Cell..... | 43 |
| 4.5 Cross Section of the Closed Photoacoustic Cell | 44 |
| 4.6 PZT Tranducer | 45 |
| 4.7 Photodiode Detector with 100 mm^2 Sensitive Area..... | 46 |
| 4.8 Responsivity versus Wavelength for Photodiode Detector..... | 47 |



| | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.9 | Experimental Set-up for Thermal Diffusivity Measurement using OPC Technique | 48 |
| 4.10 | Flow Chart for Ag-Au Alloy Samples Preparation..... | 50 |
| 4.11 | Pallet Mould (8mm in Diameter) | 51 |
| 4.12 | Sintering Experimental Set-up | 52 |
| 4.13 | PA Signal (mW) versus Frequency (Hz) for Al Sample (1.04mm).... | 55 |
| 4.14 | $\ln(\text{PA Signal})$ versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for Al Sample (1.04 mm) | 55 |
| 4.15 | Thermal Diffusivity, α (cm^2/s) versus Sample Thickness, l (cm) for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$ ($x = 0.02$) Sample..... | 57 |
| 4.16 | Characteristic Frequency, f_c (Hz) versus $1/(l^2)$ (cm^{-2}) for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$ ($x = 0.02$) Sample | 57 |
| 4.17 | The Cross Section of the Liquid Sample Holder which is placed on the Top of the Microphone and is attached with Silicon Grease | 59 |
| 4.18 | Experimental Set-up for the use of a OPC as a Laser Power Meter ... | 60 |
| 4.19 | Experimental Set-up for the use of a PZT as a Laser Power Meter | 61 |
| 4.20 | Single Beam Photothermal Lensing Experimental Set-up..... | 63 |
| 5.1 | $\ln(\text{PA signal})$ versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for (a) Sn (0.45 mm) and (b) Si (0.53 mm) Samples..... | 67 |
| 5.2 | $\ln(\text{PA signal})$ versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for Ag-Au Alloy Samples..... | 69 |
| 5.3 | Thermal Diffusivity of the $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{1-x}$ Samples..... | 70 |
| 5.4 | The Relationship of the Lattice Spacing, d and the Thermal Diffusivity Values for the $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{1-x}$ ($x = 0.25, 0.50$ and 0.75) Samples | 73 |
| 5.5 | $\ln(\text{PA signal})$ versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for $\text{Au}_{91.60}\text{Cu}_{5.88}\text{Ag}_{2.51}$ Sample | 74 |
| 5.6 | Thermal Diffusivity of the $\text{Au}_x\text{Cu}_{(100-x)0.7}\text{Ag}_{(100-x)0.3}$ ($x = 58.50, 78.00, 83.50, 91.60$ and 95.00) Samples | 75 |

| | | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 5.7 | The Relationship of the Lattice Spacing, d and the Thermal Diffusivity Values for the $\text{Au}_x\text{Cu}_{(100-x)}\text{Ag}_{(100-x)0.3}$ ($x = 58.50, 78.00, 83.50, 91.60$ and 95.00) Samples | 76 |
| 5.8 | \ln (PA signal) versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for (a) $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$, $x = 0.08$ (0.66 mm) and (b) $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$, $x = 0.08$ (0.83 mm) Superconducting Ceramic Sample | 77 |
| 5.9 | Thermal Diffusivity and T_c Values versus Concentration of Zn for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ Samples ($x = 0.02 - 0.10$)..... | 79 |
| 5.10 | Thermal Diffusivity and T_c Values versus Concentration of Ba for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pb}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_\delta$ Samples ($x = 0.02 - 0.10$) | 79 |
| 5.11 | \ln (PA signal) versus $\ln(\sqrt{f})$ for (a) ENR 25 (75%) and (b) ENR 50 (75%) Samples | 82 |
| 5.12 | PA Signal as a Function of Frequency for Different Quantity of Distilled Water using OPC Technique..... | 84 |
| 5.13 | PA Signal as a Function of Frequency for Different Quantity of Distilled Water using CPC Technique | 84 |
| 5.14 | Time Dependence of the PA Signal for 10.6 mm^3 of (a) Acetone (b) Chloroform (c) Methanol and (d) Ethanol Liquid Samples at 50 Hz with the Laser Power = 17.07 mW..... | 87 |
| 5.15 | PA Signal versus Time for Acetone Sample at Different Laser Power..... | 88 |
| 5.16 | PA Signal versus Time for Methanol Sample at Different Laser Power..... | 89 |
| 5.17 | Evaporation Time versus Laser Power for 10.6 mm^3 of Acetone and Methanol Samples | 89 |
| 5.18 | Microphone Response for OPC Detection as a Function of the Modulation Frequency (Hz) | 91 |
| 5.19 | Microphone Response for CPC Detection as a Function of the Modulation Frequency (Hz) | 91 |
| 5.20 | Photoacoustic Signal (mV) versus Chopping Frequency (Hz) at Different Laser Power for Carbon Black Pallet ($l = 1.82 \text{ mm}$) using OPC Detection..... | 93 |

| | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.21 | Photoacoustic Signal (mW) versus Chopping Frequency (Hz) at Different Laser Power for Carbon Black Pallet ($l = 1.82$ mm) using CPC Detection..... | 93 |
| 5.22 | Photoacoustic Signal (mV) versus Laser Power (mW) at Different Chopping Frequency for Carbon Black Pallet ($l = 1.82$ mm) using OPC Detection..... | 94 |
| 5.23 | Photoacoustic Signal (mV) versus Laser Power (mW) at Different Chopping frequency for Carbon Black Pallet ($l = 1.82$ mm) using CPC Detection..... | 94 |
| 5.24 | Signal/Power (mV/mW) versus Frequency (Hz) for (a) OPC Detection and (b) CPC Detection..... | 95 |
| 5.25 | Photoacoustic Signal (mV) versus Chopping Frequency (Hz) at Different Laser Power for (a) Brass and (b) Silver Samples using PZT Detection..... | 96 |
| 5.26 | Photoacoustic Signal (mV) versus Laser Power (mW) at Different Chopping Frequency for (a) Brass and (b) Silver Samples using PZT Detection..... | 97 |
| 5.27 | Signal/Power (mV/mW) versus Frequency (Hz) using PZT Detection..... | 97 |
| 5.28 | Signal/Power (mV/mW) versus Frequency (Hz) using OPC and PZT (brass) Detection..... | 99 |
| 5.29 | Signal/Power (mV/mW) versus Frequency (Hz) using CPC and PZT (brass) Detection..... | 100 |
| 5.30 | Plots of Thermal Lens signal as a Function of Time at (a) 20 Hz (b) 40 Hz (c) 60 Hz (d) 80 Hz (e) 110 Hz and (f) Combination of the 5 Different Frequencies..... | 102 |
| 5.31 | Thermal Lens Signal versus Time for Chloroform Sample at Different Frequencies at Laser power 1.43 mW..... | 103 |
| 5.32 | Thermal Lens Signal versus Time for Diesel Sample at Different Frequencies at Laser Power 1.43 mW..... | 104 |
| 5.33 | Thermal Lens Signal versus Time for Chitosan 6g/liter Sample at Different Frequencies at Laser Power 1.43 mW..... | 105 |



| | | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.34 | Thermal diffusivity (cm^2/s) versus Concentration (g/liter) for Chitosan Samples | 106 |
| 5.35 | Thermal Lens (mV) against Position (cm) for Acetone Sample at Laser Power = 5 mW and Chopping Frequency = 60 Hz | 107 |



LIST OF PLATES

| Plate | | Page |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4.1 | Pressing Machine | 52 |
| 5.1 | Surface Morphologies of the $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{1-x}$ Samples (a) $x = 0.75$ (b) $x = 0.50$ and (c) $x = 0.25$ | 71 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Photothermal and Photoacoustic

Photothermal science is a cumulative name for a class of phenomena that involve the generation of heat caused by the absorption of modulated optical energy. In fact, when the optical energy is absorbed, the excited states in atoms or molecules lose their excitation energy by a series of non-radiative transitions that result in a general heating in the material.

The early concept of the photoacoustic effect (as cited by Favier, 1997) was discovered by Alexander Graham Bell in 1880 when he tried to explain the operation of his photophone. It was named photoacoustic because the photothermal heating effect was detected by an indirect acoustic method. He also studied the photoacoustic effect in solids, liquids and gases where the modulated light was used to illuminate the sample. Among the scientists who were involved in studying this phenomenon were Rayleigh, Röntgen, Mercadier and Tyndall. Due to the limitation of hearing tubes used as detectors in the early experiments, interest in the field of photoacoustic died down. However, some interesting conclusions could be made. For example, Mercadier who performed photoacoustic spectroscopic studies on various materials, came to the conclusion that the maximum effect was found to

be produced by the red rays and by the invisible ultra red rays. Likewise, Rontgen stated that the sounds in question are due to the bending of the plates under unequal heating.

It was only at beginning of the 1970s that the photothermal and photoacoustic research was rediscovered mainly due to three major factors:

1. Development of intense light sources; such as lasers and high pressure arc lamps, such as xenon lamps.
2. Development of fast and sensitive detection equipment; such as electret microphones and piezoelectric detectors.
3. Development of more sensitive signal processing equipment; such as filters, phases sensitive detectors and ultimately lock-in amplifiers.

The improvements in the above three areas enabled the photoacoustic phenomena to be explored and studied further as partly shown in the present work, and hence higher sensitivity and greater selectivity photoacoustic spectroscopy could be performed.

Now, further development of the photoacoustic spectroscopy techniques and their applications become more interesting for measuring the optical properties and thermal characterization of various materials. The attractive features of photoacoustic spectroscopy can be listed as follows:

1. Requires minimal sample preparation
2. Enables measurements of thermal and optical properties on highly absorbing and scattering media
3. Non-contact and non-destructive

4. Measurements can be carried out on a broad range of material (gases, liquids, solids, powders, gels, thin films, etc.)
5. Can be used to determine a very wide range of absorption coefficient magnitudes (10^{-3} to 10^{-5} m^{-1})
6. A range of complimentary photothermal detection methods
7. An increase in signal-to-noise ratio with data processing capability and increasing in input light power.

Photothermal Detection Schemes

The heat generated in sample results in physical changes in and around the sample. Figure 1.1 shows the resulting of the phenomena caused by illumination of a surface by a modulated beam of light. Beside the change in temperature of the sample, it's also produced infrared, acoustic waves, thermal waves, thermoelastic waves; surface expansion, surface reflectivity modulation and refractive index gradient in the medium in contact with the heated surface. All of these effects have been used to probe the photothermal response of an enormous number of materials – solids, liquids and gases.

The thermal wave detection techniques were classified into three groups i.e. acoustic, thermal and optical. Acoustic detection techniques employ either a gas condenser microphone for the detection of pressure variations in air or a piezoelectric transducer for the detection of thermoelastic waves in solid media. Thermal detection methods include the use of thermocouples, thermistors, infrared detectors