



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**RISK ASSESSMENT OF KUALA LUMPUR MUNICIPAL
LANDFILLS-DELPHI APPROACH**

THENG LEE CHONG

FSAS 2000 29

**RISK ASSESSMENT OF KUALA LUMPUR MUNICIPAL
LANDFILLS - DELPHI APPROACH**

By

THENG LEE CHONG

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

April 2000



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**RISK ASSESSMENT OF MUNICIPAL LANDFILL SITES IN
KUALA LUMPUR – THE DELPHI APPROACH**

By

THENG LEE CHONG

April 2000

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Nasir Hassan

Faculty: Science and Environmental Studies

Most of the solid waste disposal sites in Malaysia are either open dumps or controlled tipping. The risks from these sites are expected to be high especially the contamination of soil, air, surface and underground water, and also the impacts on flora and fauna. All these impacts have direct and indirect links to human being. The risks associated with solid waste disposal sites involved three compartments or media, i.e. the atmosphere, water and soil. This 'Cross media' or 'Multimedia' impacts phenomenon has made risk assessment of landfill site as a complicated process. This study discusses the development of a simple risk assessment systems for landfill sites by using the Delphi Approach, which emphasises the development of weightage for different parameters selected in the risk assessment procedures. The environmental conditions and risks of all closed and active disposal sites in Kuala Lumpur are assessed based on nine criteria representing the multi-media components of the environment, i.e. water quality, social, gas emissions, landuse, hydrology, geology, ecotoxicology, plant ecology and chemical constituents in soil and groundwater. These criteria have gathered 59 parameters and each parameter was assigned a



weightage of importance which was then assessed with the actual situation of the landfill sites. The final scores can be aggregated according to individual criteria or across the multi-criteria for the overall environmental conditions. The results of assessments indicated that most of the solid waste disposal sites in the study area showed significant level of risks especially the still active site, i.e. Taman Beringin landfill site. This study also presents the evaluations of the pollution levels of all the disposal sites in terms of water and soil contamination by selected chemicals, and also air pollution by selected gases emitted from the sites. Landfill Pollution Index (LPI) was also developed in this study and were calculated for each disposal site in the study area. The results show that Taman Beringin was the most polluted landfill with the LPI of 719.5576, followed by Jinjang Utara (383.5085), Paka 1 (197.6589), Brickfields (128.8949), Paka 2 (113.7235), Sri Petaling (30.8083) and Sungei Besi (17.8656). In summary, new evaluation systems had been introduced in this study in order to produce simple and reliable tools to evaluate or assess the pollution and risk levels for municipal waste landfill sites in Malaysia. Based on the assessment of Kuala Lumpur landfill sites, it can be concluded that the risk and pollution levels of landfill sites in Kuala Lumpur area are relatively high, but it is site-specific and various from one landfill site to another.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENILAIAN RISIKO TAPAK PELUPUSAN SISA DI KUALA LUMPUR –
KAEDAH DELPHI**

Oleh

THENG LEE CHONG

April 2000

Chairman: Profesor Madya Dr. Mohd Nasir Hassan

Faculty: Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Kebanyakan tapak pelupusan sisa pepejal di Malaysia adalah samada pembuangan terbuka atau pembuangan terkawal. Risiko yang timbul daripada operasi tapak-tapak pelupusan ini adalah dijangkakan tinggi dari segi pencemaran tanah, udara, air permukaan, air bawah tanah dan juga impak terhadap flora dan fauna. Kesemua impak ini mempunyai hubungan secara langsung dan tidak langsung dengan kesejahteraan hidup manusia. Risiko yang berkaitan dengan tapak pelupusan sisa pepejal melibatkan medium alam sekitar, iaitu atmosfera, air dan tanah. Impak bersilang atau “Cross Media Impacts” ini telah menjadikan penilaian risiko di tapak pelupusan sisa pepejal satu proses yang sukar dan kompleks. Kajian ini membincangkan pembentukan satu sistem penilaian risiko bagi tapak pelupusan sisa pepejal yang mudah dengan menggunakan kaedah Delphi yang menitikberatkan penggunaan pemberat atau “weightage” bagi setiap parameter yang terpilih dalam proses penilaian risiko. Keadaan alam sekitar dan risiko bagi semua tapak pelupusan yang tertutup dan aktif di Kuala Lumpur telah dinilai berdasarkan sembilan bidang berlainan yang mewakili impak bersilang bagi komponen alam sekitar iaitu kualiti air, sosial, pelepasan gas,

penggunaan tanah, hidrologi, geologi, ekotosikologi, ekologi tumbuhan dan analisis bahan kimia di dalam tanah dan air bawah tanah. Kesemua bidang ini melibatkan 59 parameter berlainan dan setiap parameter diberikan satu pemberat mengikut kepentingannya yang kemudian digunakan untuk menilai keadaan sebenar di setiap tapak pelupusan. Jumlah skor akhir boleh dikira mengikut bidang secara individu atau mengambilkira kesemua bidang sekali untuk keadaan alam sekitar secara keseluruhan. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan tapak pelupusan sisa pepejal di Kuala Lumpur menunjukkan paras risiko yang ketara terutamanya tapak yang masih aktif, iaitu Tapak Pelupusan Taman Beringin. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan penilaian terhadap tahap pencemaran kesemua tapak pelupusan yang berkenaan dari segi pencemaran air dan air bawah tanah, pencemaran tanah oleh bahan-bahan kimia dan juga pencemaran udara akibat pelepasan gas dari tapak pelupusan. Satu Index Pencemaran Tapak Pelupusan Sisa (LPI) juga telah dibentuk dan dikira untuk setiap tapak pelupusan di dalam kawasan kajian. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa Taman Beringin juga merupakan tapak yang paling tinggi tahap pencemarannya dengan LPI sebanyak 719.5576, diikuti oleh Jinjang Utara (383.5085), Paka 1 (197.6589), Brickfields (128.8949), Paka 2 (113.7235), Sri Petaling (30.8083) dan Sungei Besi (17.8656). Sebagai ringkasan, sistem penilaian yang baru telah diadakan dalam kajian ini untuk memberikan satu kaedah yang mudah dan boleh dipercayai dalam menilai tahap pencemaran dan risiko tapak pelupusan sisa di Malaysia. Berdasarkan keputusan penilaian yang diadakan di Kuala Lumpur, dapat disimpulkan bahawa tahap risiko dan pencemaran tapak pelupusan di Kuala Lumpur adalah tinggi, tetapi ia adalah berbeza antara satu sama lain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No thesis is the work of its authors. I would like to express my most sincere gratitude to my most honorable supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Nasir Hassan, for providing the invaluable guidance and encouragement and also for bearing with me throughout the whole course of my project. Thanks are also extended to my most respectable co-supervisors, Professor Dr. Muhamad Awang and Dr. Mohamad Yazid Saman, for their constructive criticisms, enlightening suggestions and opinions throughout the study period.

Words cannot describe my heartfelt appreciation for the contributions and sacrifices that my parents, brother and sisters have had to go through to enable me to obtain the level of education that I have today.

I owe special thanks to all the researchers of the *"Investigation and Assessment of Municipal Landfill Sites in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur"* project and also Mr. Md Mizanur Rahman, who has assisting me at the various stages of my research.

I would also like to express my special thanks to my lovely OBY, for her constant affection, caring and encouragement. Last but not least, my deepest gratitude to my colleagues, and friends who make my years in UPM such a happy and unforgettable one.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL SHEETS	vii
DECLARATION FORM	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.1	Introduction	1.1
1.2	Objectives of Study	1.3
1.3	Significance of Study	1.4
1.4	Study Area	1.5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	2.1
2.1	Definition and Concept of Sanitary Landfill	2.1
2.2	Landfills in Malaysia	2.2
2.3	Classification of Landfills in Malaysia	2.4
2.3.1	Level 1 – Controlled Tipping	2.6
2.3.2	Level 2 – Landfill with Bund and Daily Sand Cover	2.6
2.3.3	Level 3 – Landfill with Leachate Re-circulation Systems	2.6
2.3.4	Level 4 – Landfill with Leachate Treatment Systems	2.8
2.4	Landfill and Environmental Risks	2.11
2.4.1	Cross Media Impacts of Landfill	2.13
2.5	Environmental Risk Assessment	2.15
2.5.1	Risk Assessment Goals, Requirement and Attributes	2.17
2.5.2	Concepts in Risk Assessment	2.18
2.5.3	Risk Assessment Techniques and Methods	2.20
2.5.4	Risk Assessment Procedures	2.24
2.5.5	Risk Ranking Techniques	2.28
2.5.6	Case Studies of Quantitative Risk Assessment	2.31
2.6	Application of Delphi Technique in Risk Assessment	2.36
2.6.1	Delphi Ranking System	2.37
2.6.2	Case Study of Delphi Technique – The Air Pollution Index (API)	2.38



2.7	Programming with Microsoft Visual Basic	2.40
2.7.1	Basic Concepts of Programming	2.40
2.7.2	Programming with Visual Basic	2.40
2.7.3	Strength of Visual Basic	2.41
3	METHODOLOGY	3.1
3.1	Introduction	3.1
3.2	Identification of the Important Criteria and Parameters in	3.3
	Assessing the Environmental Conditions and Pollution Levels of Landfill Sites	
3.2.1	Water Quality	3.3
3.2.2	Hydrology	3.4
3.2.3	Geology and Geotechnic	3.4
3.2.4	Social Aspects	3.6
3.2.5	Chemicals in Soil and Groundwater	3.6
3.2.6	Plant Ecology (Flora)	3.7
3.2.7	Ecotoxicology (Fauna)	3.8
3.2.8	Landfill Gas Emissions	3.8
3.2.9	Landuse	3.9
3.3	Weighing or Ranking of Parameters – Delphi Approach	3.9
3.4	Evaluation of Data	3.13
3.4.1	Evaluation of the Total Scores	3.16
3.4	Checklist for Different Criteria and Parameters	3.18
3.6	Environmental Conditions in Terms of Individual Criteria	3.19
3.7	The Overall Environmental Conditions	3.20
3.8	The Pollution Levels	3.20
3.8.1	Risk Rating Chart	3.21
3.9	Calculation of the Environmental Degradation Index	3.22
	(EDI) and Landfill Pollution Index (LPI)	
3.9.1	Calculation of the Environmental Degradation	3.22
	Index (EDI)	
3.9.2	Development of the Landfill Pollution Index (LPI)	3.24
3.10	Assumptions Used in the Study.....	3.25
3.11	Computerisation of the EDI and LPI Evaluations	3.26
3.11.1	Programming with Visual Basic	3.26
3.11.2	Visual Basic Project Structure	3.28
4	RESULTS	4.1
4.1	Identification of the Most Important Criteria and	4.1
	Parameters	
4.2	Weighting of Parameters Based on Delphi Method	4.1
4.2.1	Weightage for Parameters on Individual Criteria	4.2
4.2.2	Weightage for Parameters on Overall Criticality	4.6
4.3	Results of Checklists on the Conditions of Landfill Sites	4.10
4.4	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria	4.12
4.5	Risk in Terms of Overall Criticality	4.18
4.6	Risk in Terms of Pollution Levels	4.19
4.6.1	Results from Risk Rating Chart	4.20
4.7	Calculation of the Landfill Pollution Index (LPI)	4.25

4.7.1	Damage Functions or Dose Response Relationships	4.25
4.7.2	Threshold Limits for Parameters	4.27
4.7.3	Calculation of Environmental Degradation	4.29
	Index (EDI)	
4.7.4	Development of the Landfill Pollution Index (LPI)	4.30
4.8	Programming of LPI with Visual Basic	4.31
5	DISCUSSION	5.1
5.1	Conditions of Landfill Sites in Kuala Lumpur	5.1
5.1.1	Taman Beringin Landfill Site	5.1
5.1.2	Jinjang Utara Landfill Site	5.3
5.1.3	Sungei Besi Landfill Site	5.4
5.1.4	Sri Petaling Landfill Site	5.6
5.1.5	Brickfields Landfill Site	5.6
5.1.6	Paka 1 Landfill Site	5.8
5.1.7	Paka 2 Landfill Site	5.9
5.2	Evaluation of the Environmental Conditions, Pollution and Risk Levels of Landfill Sites – The Delphi Approach	5.10
5.2.1	Delphi Evaluations	5.10
5.2.2	Landfill Pollution Index (LPI)	5.12
5.3	Uncertainties of the Evaluations	5.13
5.3.1	Sources of the Uncertainties	5.13
5.4	Recommendations to Mitigate/Reduce Risks Posed by Landfill Sites	5.15
5.4.1	Geology and Hydrology	5.17
5.4.2	Water Quality	5.17
5.4.3	Air Quality and Noise	5.18
5.4.4	Flora and Fauna	5.18
5.4.5	Visual Impacts	5.18
5.4.6	Socio-economic and Cultural Impacts	5.18
6	CONCLUSION	6.1
6.1	Development of the New Evaluation Systems	6.1
6.2	Conditions of the Landfill Sites in Kuala Lumpur	6.2
6.3	Recommendations	6.3
	REFERENCES	R.1
	APPENDICES	A.1
	BIODATA OF AUTHOR	B.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 General Information of Selected Landfill Sites in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur	1.8
2.1 Present Condition of Selected Landfill Sites	2.3
2.2 Problems of Landfill Sites	2.4
2.3 Comparison of the Four Levels of Landfills in Malaysia	2.5
2.4 Transformation Processes of Multimedia Compartments	2.14
2.5 Techniques for Assessing Risk at the Conceptual Stage	2.21
2.6 Potential Multipathway Exposure Routes for Pollutant Releases from Landfill Site	2.26
2.7 Risk Rating Criteria and Parameters	2.33
2.8 Tank Summary Sheet	2.36
3.1 Calculation of Weightage for Parameters - Water Quality	3.13
3.2 Example of EDI Calculation	3.24
4.1 Lists of the Specialisation/Criteria and the Parameters Used to Assess the Environmental Conditions of Landfill Sites	4.2
4.2 Weightage of Parameters on Organic and Inorganic pollutants	4.3
4.3 Weightage of Parameters on Plant Ecology	4.3
4.4 Weightage of Parameters on hydrology	4.3
4.5 Weightage of Parameters on Social Aspects	4.4
4.6 Weightage of Parameters on Geology and Geotechnic	4.4
4.7 Weightage of Parameters on Water Quality	4.4
4.8 Weightage of Parameters on Ecotoxicology	4.5
4.9 Weightage of Parameters on Landfill Gas Emissions	4.5
4.10 Weightage of Parameters on Landuse	4.5
4.11 Calculation of Final Weightage for All Parameters to Evaluate the Overall Risk	4.7
4.12 Results of Checklists on the Conditions of All Landfill Sites	4.10
4.13 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Landuse	A.3
4.14 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria – Social	A.4
4.15 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Geology and Geotechnic	A.5
4.16 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria – Hydrology	A.6
4.17 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Water Quality	A.7
4.18 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Landfill Gas Emissions	A.8
4.19 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Analytical Chemistry	A.9
4.20 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Plant Ecology	A.10
4.21 The Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria – Ecotoxicology	A.11
4.22 Calculation of Final Weightage for All parameters to Evaluate the Overall Criticality / Risk	A.12
4.23 Identification of the Risk in Terms of the Overall Risk	A.15
4.24 Identification of the Risk in Terms of the Pollution Levels	A.18



4.25	Summarised Scores for Pollution Levels	4.21
4.26	Summary of the Dose Response Equations	4.26
4.27	Data Used as Threshold Limit Values in the Study	4.28
4.28	EDI Calculation for Taman Beringin Landfill Site	A.37
4.29	EDI Calculation for Jinjang Utara Landfill Site	A.38
4.30	EDI Calculation for Brickfields Landfill Site	A.39
4.31	EDI Calculation for Sungei Besi Landfill Site	A.40
4.32	EDI Calculation for Sri Petaling Landfill Site	A.41
4.33	EDI Calculation for Paka 1 Landfill Site	A.42
4.34	EDI Calculation for Paka 2 Landfill Site	A.43
4.35	EDI Calculation for Threshold Limit Values (TLV)	A.44
4.36	Landfill Pollution Index (LPI) for Landfill Sites	4.30
5.1	Public Concerns and Mitigation Actions at Landfill Sites	5.21



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1	Locations of Landfill Sites in the Study Area 1.6
2.1	Prospective Illustration of Level 2 Landfill Site 2.7
2.2	Prospective Illustration of Level 3 Landfill Site 2.9
2.3	Prospective Illustration of Level 4 Landfill Site 2.10
2.4	Potential Exposure Pathways for Landfill 2.11
2.5	Components of the Risk Assessment Process 2.25
2.6	Flow Chart for Application of Ranking Techniques 2.29
2.7	Risk Rating Chart 2.34
3.1	Summary Diagram of the Approach/Methodology Used 3.2
3.2	Questionnaire No.1 3.11
3.3	Questionnaire No.2 3.12
3.4	Example of Checklist 3.18
3.5	Three-dimensional Risk Rating Chart 3.21
3.6	Visual Basic Project and Finished Application 3.26
3.7	General Structure of the Visual Basic Project 3.27
4.1	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Landuse 4.13
4.2	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Social 4.14
4.3	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Geology and 4.14 Geotechnic
4.4	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria – Hydrology 4.15
4.5	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Water Quality 4.15
4.6	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Gas Emissions 4.16
4.7	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Analytical Chemistry 4.16
4.8	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria - Plant Ecology 4.17
4.9	Risk in Terms of Individual Criteria – Ecotoxicology 4.17
4.10	Risk in Terms of Overall Criticality of All Landfill Sites 4.18
4.11	Risk in Terms of Pollution Levels of All Landfill Sites 4.20
4.12	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Taman Beringin 4.21
4.13	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Jinjang Utara 4.22
4.14	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Sungei Besi 4.22
4.15	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Sri Petaling 4.23
4.16	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Brickfields 4.23
4.17	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Paka 1 4.24
4.18	3-dimentional Risk Rating Chart for Paka 2 4.24
4.19	Dose Response Curve for Benzene in Soil A.20
4.20	Dose Response Curve for Benzene in Groundwater A.20
4.21	Dose Response Curve for 1,2-dichloroethane in Soil A.21
4.22	Dose Response Curve for 1,2-dichloroethane in Groundwater A.21
4.23	Dose Response Curve for 1,4-dichlorobenzene in Soil A.22
4.24	Dose Response Curve for 1,4-dichlorobenzene in A.22 Groundwater
4.25	Dose Response Curve for Etylbenzene in Soil A.23
4.26	Dose Response Curve for Etylbenzene in Groundwater A.23



4.27	Dose Response Curve for Arsenic (As) in Groundwater	A.24
4.28	Dose Response Curve for Lead (Pb) in Soil	A.24
4.29	Dose Response Curve for Lead (Pb) in Groundwater	A.25
4.30	Dose Response Curve for Chromium (Cr) in Soil	A.25
4.31	Dose Response Curve for Chromium (Cr) in Groundwater	A.26
4.32	Dose Response Curve for BOD	A.26
4.33	Dose Response Curve for COD	A.27
4.34	Dose Response Curve for Chromium (Cr)	A.27
4.35	Dose Response Curve for Lead (Pb)	A.28
4.36	Dose Response Curve for Mercury (Hg)	A.28
4.37	Dose Response Curve for Suspended Solids (SS)	A.29
4.38	Dose Response Curve for Manganese (Mn)	A.29
4.39	Dose Response Curve for Ammonium Nitrogen	A.30
4.40	Dose Response Curve for pH	A.30
4.41	Dose Response Curve for DO	A.31
4.42	Dose Response Curve for Carbon Monoxide	A.31
4.43	Dose Response Curve for Ammonia	A.32
4.44	Dose Response Curve for Freon-11	A.32
4.45	Dose Response Curve for Benzene	A.33
4.46	Dose Response Curve for Styrene	A.33
4.47	Dose Response Curve for Vinyl Chloride	A.34
4.48	Dose Response Curve for Hydrogen Sulphide	A.34
4.49	Dose Response Curve for Sulphur Dioxide	A.35
4.50	Dose Response Curve for Carbon Dioxide	A.35
4.51	Dose Response Curve for Methane	A.36
4.52	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.1	4.32
4.53	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.2	4.33
4.54	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.3	4.34
4.55	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.4	4.35
4.56	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.5	4.35
4.57	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.6 (Blank)	4.36
4.58	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.6 (Summary)	4.37
4.59	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.7	4.38
4.60	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.8	4.39
4.61	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.9	4.40
4.62	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.10	4.41
4.63	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.11	4.42
4.64	LPI Calculation Programme – Form No.12	4.43



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Risk is an unavoidable feature of human existence. Neither man nor the organisations and societies to which he belongs can survive for very long without taking risk (*Ansell and Wharton, 1992*).

Risk assessment has been used in formulating the environmental policy of a country. However, the initial efforts relied more on intuition than on the scientific principles of toxicology, chemistry and fate and transport modelling which are used in modern risk assessment studies. It was only in recent years that the approach of scientific-based risk assessment played a major role in environmental decision making (*Maughan, 1993*).

In the case of solid waste landfill sites, information and knowledge on the risk and pollution levels are useful to decision makers as to the consequences of any possible actions to be taken. Some important decisions that could use or rely on the risk estimates include selecting waste treatment or disposal options, remediating contaminated sites, minimising waste generation and siting new facilities (*Tchobanoglous et al. 1993*). However, it should be emphasised that risk assessments or risk estimates are only one of many information used, and the final decisions are usually driven by political, social and economic factors.



There are many methods to treat and dispose of solid wastes. In most of the developing countries including Malaysia, landfilling is the most widely used methods for the disposal of solid wastes. Landfill is the oldest method, it has a wide range of capabilities and in most instances, it is the least expensive method. Landfill can take all types of non hazardous wastes and the environmental impacts are relatively lower than other disposal systems especially incineration (*Tchobanoglous et al. 1993*).

Most of the closed and existing landfill sites in Malaysia are either open dumps or controlled tipping (*Lee and Sivapalasundram, 1979*). The technology of proper sanitary landfill system is not totally implemented. The risks from these sites are expected to be significant especially in terms of the soil, air, surface and underground water pollution, and also the impacts of these pollution on flora and fauna including the general safety of the public due to landfill settlement and exposure to the pollutants.

Today, the major problem faced by landfill sites is acute shortage of land and land prices are becoming more expensive. Thus, closed landfill sites are given serious attention for development purposes. In this case, detailed studies on the risk of the landfill sites conditions are necessary before the proposed developments are to be carried out. Various aspects of the landfill sites that need to be assessed include hydrological and geological characteristics, water quality and gas emissions, the impacts of pollution on fauna, flora and also human health. Based on the combination of all these information, the risk and pollution levels of the landfill sites can be

assessed and decisions can be made to select the appropriate rehabilitation and remedial alternatives of the sites for future development.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to develop simple method of risk characterisation and assessment systems for closed and active landfill sites in order to investigate and assess objectively the environmental conditions, pollution and risk levels of selected municipal landfill sites in Kuala Lumpur. Since the environmental impacts of landfill sites involve various environmental compartments which are known as "Cross-media impacts" or "Multimedia impacts", the Delphi method is used in the study. The multi factors considered in this method include hydrology, landuse, geology and geotechnics, social aspects, water quality, gas emissions, flora and fauna studies and also levels of chemical contamination in soil and groundwater. The end result is the development of a system that is able to assess the level of risk at landfill site from various perspectives, i.e. in terms of pollution levels, individual criteria and the overall risk.

The specific objectives of the proposed study are as follows :

- a) To identify the parameters in characterising and assessing of the environmental conditions, risk and pollution levels of a landfill.
- b) To develop representative weightage and ranking of each parameter according to their importance in characterising the risk levels using the Delphi approach.

- c) To develop a simple method of risk characterisation and quantitative assessment based on predetermined pollution and risk levels and subsequently to develop and calculate the Landfill Pollution Index (LPI) for all landfill sites in the study area.
- d) To systematically computerise the Landfill Pollution Index (LPI) evaluation system with more accessible and user friendly manner.

1.3 Significance of the Study


The study developed a simple method of quantitative risk characterisation and assessment system and eventually the landfill Pollution Index (LPI) for municipal landfill sites in Kuala Lumpur based on the Delphi approach. This approach would complement single criteria such as cost-benefit analysis (*Wilson, 1982*) or other multi-criteria methods such as Environmental Impact Assessment (*Turner and O’Riordan, 1982*) or Geographical Information System (GIS). The problem with other multi-criteria methods is that the results could not be added into a single score. As a result, it is difficult to compare the performance of one project to another. The strength of Delphi Approach is that it allows the evaluation of many parameters from different criteria and units by putting all these parameters into a single scale. The system developed by using Delphi Approach could be applied to other landfill sites. The systems would enable decision makers to understand the conditions or status of both the closed and active landfill sites. Besides, the conditions of landfill sites would also be more accessible and meaningful to the landfill operators and the general publics in terms of

its environmental impacts. The present study would also be useful in providing information especially to the landfill operators and decision makers as database in the formulation and execution of a cost-effective and efficient remediation or reclamation plan, as well as other systematic solid waste disposal programmes.

1.4 Study Area

The study area is located in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur. Kuala Lumpur has the total area of 234 km² and it is characterised by highly populated, urbanised, and the most industrialised area in the country. As the centre of administration, industrialisation, commerce, finance and culture, Kuala Lumpur is experiencing rapid population growth. By assuming the population average growth rate of 2.5 percent, the area is expected to have about 3 million people by the year 2020 and the waste generated is expected to increase to about 5,000 tonnes per year (*Nasir et al. 1995; Nasir et al. 1996*).

The rapid population growth and development experienced by this urban centre has created major environmental problems and issues. One of the major problems is the increased in the amount of solid wastes generated. The solid wastes are mainly derived from various locations or areas such as the residential, commercial, institutional, industrial and construction areas.



Sites: 1-Taman Beringin, 2-Jinjang Utara, 3-Sri Petaling, 4-Sungai Besi, 5-Kg. Paka 2
6-Kg. Paka 1, 7-Abdullah Hukum, 8-Air Panas, 9-DBKL, 10-Brickfields.

Figure Landfill Sites in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur

There are ten (10) dumping sites used to receive solid wastes in the study area and out of these, seven (7) were selected for the study, i.e. Sri Petaling, Brickfields, Taman Beringin, Jinjang Utara, Sungei Besi, Paka 1 and Paka 2 (See Figure 1.1). Taman Beringin is the only site which is still receiving wastes or still in operation during the study period, while the rest of the sites have been closed. General information of all the selected landfill sites are showed in Table 1.1

Table 1.1 General Information of Selected Landfill Sites in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur

Landfill Site	Location	Year Started	Year Closed	Area (acres)	Amount (tonnes)		Distance from Kuala Lumpur Town Centre (km)
					Total Wastes Deposited	Tonnes / day	
Sri Petaling	03°03.50'N, 101°41.73'E	1979	1991	52	7.1	1,500	15
Sungei Besi	03°06.53'N, 101°42.56'E	1989	1995	34	3.1	1,200	10
Paka 2	03°06.02'N, 101°42.04'E	1989	1994	25	3.3	1,500	10
Paka 1	03°12.80'N, 101°41.81'E	1989	1994	32.2	3.1	1,400	10
Taman Beringin	03°13.78'N, 101°39.72'N	1991	Operating	30	5.8	2,000	3
Jinjang Utara	03°12.80'N, 101°39.72'E	1979	1996	162	6.6	1,000	20
Brickfields	03°07.80'N, 101°41.00'E	N.A.	N.A.	7	0.5	1,000	2

Note : N.A. = not Available