



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROCESSES OF  
WATER STRESSED FIG (*Ficus carica* L.) PLANT INFLUENCED BY  
ANTITRANSPIRANT-BRASSINOLIDE AND CARBON DIOXIDE  
ENRICHMENT***

**ZULIAS MARDINATA ZULKARNAINI**

**FP 2020 3**



**GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROCESSES OF  
WATER STRESSED FIG (*Ficus carica* L.) PLANT INFLUENCED BY ANTI-  
TRANSPIRANT-BRASSINOLIDE AND CARBON DIOXIDE ENRICHMENT**

By

**ZULIAS MARDINATA ZULKARNAINI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti  
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree  
of Doctor Philosophy**

**June 2020**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of the material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

*For my lovely wife Mellisa who believed in me, without you there would be no excuses for me to stand still and work hard to achieve my dreams. And for my mother Asnah, for all of your sacrifices and hardships in caring and teaching me as your son, you have raised me excellently. For my mother and father in law, my heartfelt gratitude for all love, encouragement, and support through the years of my quest for knowledge. May this achievement shall be our stepping stone towards living our dreams and ambitions...*

*The vegetation of a good land comes forth (easily) by the Permission of its Lord; and that which is bad brings forth nothing but (a little) with difficulty. Thus do We explain variously the Ayât (proofs, evidences, verses, lessons, signs, revelations, etc.) for a people who give thanks”.*

“[Al-A'râf 7 : 58]”

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROCESSES OF WATER STRESSED FIG (*Ficus carica* L.) PLANT INFLUENCED BY ANTI-TRANSPIRANT-BRASSINOLIDE AND CARBON DIOXIDE ENRICHMENT**

By

**ZULIAS MARDINATA ZULKARNAINI**

June 2020

**Chair : Assoc. Prof. Siti Zaharah Sakimin, PhD**  
**Faculty : Agriculture**

Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) belongs to the Moraceae family. It was a bush or small tree, moderate in size, deciduous with broad, ovate, 3 to 5-lobed leaves, contain copious milky latex and it was a new plant introduced in Malaysia. Brassinolide (BL) was a plant hormone which had biological effects on plant growth and development. While anti-transpirant containing magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) as a main component was developed to increase photosynthesis and plant growth. Water stress (WS) adversely impacts many aspects of the physiology of plants, especially photosynthetic capacity. If the stress was prolonged, plant growth and productivity were severely diminished. In some other conditions, plants with high carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration grew better than plants grown under ambient air conditions. The response of plant growth to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment depends on the level of concentration, duration of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, nutrient availability, temperature, irradiance, water, and varieties. Little information on exogenous application of BL, anti-transpirant, elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and combination of them with WS on growth, physiological changes, and biochemical responses of fig plants. Thus, the aim of this study was (i) to investigate the effect of different concentration of exogenous application of BL on growth and physiological changes of fig var. Improved Brown Turkey (IBT) and Masui Dauphine (MD), (ii) to determine the effect anti-transpirant on growth and physiological changes under optimized BL concentration and best respond fig variety, (iii) to study the possible role of exogenously applied anti-transpirant in alleviating the detrimental effects of drought in fig under optimization of BL grown under a greenhouse and (iv) to study the effect short-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress on biochemical responses and leaf gas exchange of fig under optimized BL concentration.

Fig plant was propagated using cuttings were transferred into mixed soil 3:2:1 (3 topsoil: 2 organic matters:1 sand). In Exp. 1, two different fig varieties (V) (IBT and MD) were sprayed into four levels (0, 50, 100, and 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) of BL

concentration. Different varieties of fig were considered as a main plot and BL concentrations (B) as a subplot. The experiment was arranged as a Split Plot Randomized Complete Block Design (SRCBD) with 4 replications (3 plants/rep). In Exp. 2, the plants were treated with four different concentrations (0, 2, 2.5, and 3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of anti-transpirant rate under optimized BL concentration using the best response of fig variety from exp. 1. The experiment was laid out as a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) factorial with 3 replications (3 plants/rep). In Exp. 3, the plants were subjected to two WS levels: well-watered (WW) and water-stressed (WS). WS was defined at 100% and 25% water holding capacity, respectively. The best respond fig variety was selected and exogenously applied with optimum BL and anti-transpirant rate. The experiment was arranged as RCBD factorial with 3 replications (4 plants/rep). In Exp. 4, the plant was exogenously applied with optimum BL and subjected to two WS levels as similar as in Exp 3. The plant was placed under two different greenhouses conditions (elevated with 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> and without CO<sub>2</sub> elevated). Different greenhouses conditions were considered as main fixed effects and WS as a random effect. The experiment was arranged as Nested Design with 4 replications (4 plants/rep). Biochemical processes ([proline, malondialdehyde (MDA), protein, soluble sugar content (SSC), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT) enzyme activities and starch]) and leaf gas exchanges [photosynthesis rate (A), stomatal conductance (Gs), transpiration rate (E), total chlorophyll content (T-Chl), relative chlorophyll content (CC), intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> (Ci), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), water use efficiency (WUE) and intrinsic-WUE] data were collected at monthly basis. All the data obtained were analyzed using Statistic Analysis System (SAS) version 9.4. A significant difference in mean values was determined and analyzed using two-way ANOVA and the mean differences were compared using the Least Significant Different Test (LSD) at 5% and 1% level of significance.

In exp. 1, the growth and physiological changes of the fig plants were affected by different application rates of BL and the cultivars. Total leaf area (TLA), specific leaf area (SLA), and shoot-to-root-ratio (S:R) increased with increasing concentrations of BL up to 100 ml L<sup>-1</sup>, followed by a declining trend, whereas net assimilation rate (NAR) fluctuated throughout for of study. In the 1<sup>st</sup> month after treatment (MAT), increasing the BL concentration from 50 to 100 ml L<sup>-1</sup> caused an increase in the NAR when compared to control but there was a decrease when BL concentration was 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>. At the 2<sup>nd</sup> MAT, by increasing the BL concentration from 50 to 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup> had decreased the NAR. Application of BL had some effect on plant height (PH), TLA, total dry biomass (TDB), SLA, and NAR but it was not significant on the S:R. Among the varieties, IBT showed higher growth than MD at every five-weekly and monthly observation. There was a significant interaction between the BL and the variety for TLA, SLA, S:R, and NAR parameters. Additionally, only S:R parameter showed a significant effect of interaction between the BL and cultivar at 1% level of significance. Interaction between BL concentrations and fig variety was significant only at 5%. Like morphological parameters, physiological traits such as A, E, and CC have shown some differences with BL application, but the differences were not consistent and most of the changes happened only in the first or second month of observation. As levels of BL increased PH, TLA, TDB,

and NAR parameters also linearly improved at 28%, 25%, 6% and 66%, respectively, higher than recorded for the control treatment.

In Exp. 2, as morphological parameters, physiological traits such as A, Es, Gs, WUE, Ci, and CC have shown some differences with BL application, but the differences were not consistent and most of the changes happened only in 1<sup>st</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> MAT. Both the anti-transpirant and BL treatments were effective in the physiological responses of fig. BL treatments (control and 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) were significant only at parameter chlorophyll fluorescence. Anti-transpirant concentration at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and BL concentration at 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup> showed higher physiological responses than the other concentrations at monthly observation. The growth stimulation was more pronounced on above-ground biomass than below-ground biomass, showing a high S:R. The increase in growth and physiology in this study might have been due to increased carboxylation rate after using the BL treatment, which enhanced carbon assimilation, channeling it to stimulate an increase in PH, TLA, and TDB.

In Exp. 3, drought substantially reduced the water status on Relative Leaf Water Content (RLWC), photosynthetic pigments, and leaf gas exchange. Moreover, substantially increased in biochemical responses attributes to proline content, MDA, SSC, POD, CAT but decreased on starch and protein content. However, the exogenous application of anti-transpirant remarkably improved the gas exchange and photosynthetic pigments both under drought and WW conditions. The results indicate that the application of anti-transpirant can ameliorate the effects of WW and enhance drought resistance of fig by adjusting water loss using stomatal control. Magnesium carbonate (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) was considered to be an anti-transpirant that closes stomata and thus affects metabolic processes in leaf tissues. The anti-transpirant-induced increase in photosynthesis could be due to improvements in leaf water balance as indicated by increased water potential underwater-deficit and improved CC. Anti-transpirant application substantially enhanced the activities of enzymatic antioxidants. Furthermore, CAT activity was substantially enhanced later. This regulation of enzymatic antioxidants seems the result of anti-transpirant-induced regulation of transcription and translation, which led to the improvement in the level of SSC and enzymatic antioxidants and increment in MDA and proline content.

In Exp. 4, water deficiency specifically degraded the A, Gs, E, VPD, and WUE but increased Ci and int-WUE. Furthermore, a substantial increase in biochemical responses attributes to CC, proline content, MDA, SSC, POD, CAT but decreased on starch, protein content, T-Chl, and F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>. Nevertheless, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concurrently increased the gas exchange and CCs both under drought and WW conditions. Underwater insufficiency, enriched CO<sub>2</sub> conditions boosted in physiological and metabolic activities were interceded through improved protein synthesis enabling maintenance of tissue water potential and activities of antioxidant enzymes reduction the lipid peroxidation. Differences in the short-term response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment may be also related to differences in the sink-source status of the whole plant depending on the developmental

stages. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> directly and indirectly, affects many plant physiological processes and biochemical accumulation in many plants and the identification of the key adaptive mechanisms to drought stress was essential to enhance the drought resistance of plants. Application of BL, anti-transpirant, and short-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased growth, physiological changes, and biochemical responses of fig. However, the WS condition degraded the physiological processes of fig and triggered enzyme activities more active. Whereas, BL and anti-transpirant applications can ameliorate the effects of WS and enhance drought resistance of fig by adjusting water losses using stomatal control.





Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PROSES PERTUMBUHAN, FISIKOLOGI DAN BIOKEMIK TANAMAN TIN  
(*Ficus carica* L.) STRESS AIR SETELAH DIRAWAT DENGAN ANTI-  
TRANSPIRASI-BRASSINOLIDE DAN PENINGKATAN KARBON DIOKSIDA**

Oleh

**ZULIAS MARDINATA ZULKARNAINI**

Jun 2020

**Pengerusi : Prof. Madya. Siti Zaharah Sakimin, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Pokok tin (*Ficus carica* L.) tergolong dalam keluarga Moraceae. Ia adalah pokok belukar atau kecil, bersaiz moderat, daun-daun yang lebar, ovate, 3 hingga 5 lobed, mengandungi getah yang banyak dan ia merupakan tanaman baru yang diperkenalkan di Malaysia. Brassinolide (BL) adalah hormon tumbuhan yang mempunyai kesan biologi terhadap pertumbuhan tumbuhan dan pembangunan. Anti-transpirant yang mengandungi Magnesium (Mg) dan Kalsium (Ca) sebagai komponen utama telah dibangunkan untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan fotosintesis dan pertumbuhan pokok. Ketegasan air (WS) menjejaskan banyak aspek fisiologi tumbuhan, terutamanya kapasiti fotosintesis. Sekiranya ketegasan itu berpanjangan, pertumbuhan tumbuhan, dan produktiviti akan berkurangan. Dalam keadaan yang lain, tumbuhan dengan kepekatan CO<sub>2</sub> yang tinggi tumbuh lebih baik daripada tumbuh-tumbuhan yang ditanam di bawah keadaan udara ambien. Kesan terhadap pertumbuhan tumbuhan yang diberikan CO<sub>2</sub> bergantung kepada tahap kepekatan, tempoh pemberian CO<sub>2</sub>, ketersediaan nutrien, suhu, air dan varieti. Terdapat maklumat yang terhad mengenai aplikasi BL secara luaran, anti-transpirant, pemberian CO<sub>2</sub> dan gabungannya dengan WS pada pertumbuhan, proses fisiologi dan tindak balas biokimia pada pokok tin. Oleh itu, matlamat kajian ini adalah (i) untuk mengkaji kesan pemberian BL kepekatan berbeza terhadap pertumbuhan dan proses fisiologi buah tin varieti Improved Brown Turkey (IBT) dan Masui Dauphine (MD), (ii) untuk menentukan kesan anti-transpirant terhadap pertumbuhan dan perubahan fisiologi di bawah kepekatan BL yang dioptimumkan dan pelbagai tindakbalas varieti yang terbaik, (iii) untuk mengkaji kemungkinan peranan anti-transpirant dalam mengurangkan kesan buruk kemarau di bawah pengoptimuman BL yang ditanam di dalam rumah hijau dan (iv) untuk mengkaji kesan aplikasi CO<sub>2</sub> jangka pendek dan ketegasan air pada tindak balas biokimia dan pertukaran gas daun tin di bawah pengoptimuman kepekatan BL. Tanaman tin yang telah dibiarkan menggunakan keratan batang dipindahkan ke campuran tanah dengan nisbah 3:2:1 (3 tanah atas : 2 bahan organik : 1 pasir).

Dalam eksp. 1, dua varieti (V) pokok tin berbeza (IBT dan MD) telah disembur kepada empat tahap (0, 50, 100 dan 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) BL. Pelbagai varieti tin yang berbeza dianggap sebagai plot utama dan kepekatan BL (B) sebagai sub plot. Eksperimen ini disusun sebagai split plot rawak lengkap (SRCBD) dengan 4 replika (3 tanaman/rep). Dalam eksp. 2, tumbuhan dirawat dengan empat kepekatan anti-transpirasi yang berbeza (0, 2, 2.5 dan 3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) di bawah kepekatan BL yang dioptimumkan dengan menggunakan varieti fig yang memberikan tindak balas yang terbaik dari eksp. 1. Eksperimen ini dibentangkan sebagai Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) faktorial dengan 3 ulangan (3 tanaman/rep). Dalam eksp. 3, tumbuhan telah didedahkan kepada dua tahap WS: air yang disiram dengan baik (WW) dan tegasan air (WS). WS ditakrifkan pada kapasiti pegangan air sebanyak 100% dan 25% masing-masing. Pelbagai jenis tindakbalas terbaik dipilih dan aplikasikan secara semburan luaran kepada pokok dengan kadar BL dan anti-transpirasi optimum. Eksperimen ini disusun sebagai faktorial RCBD dengan 3 ulangan (4 tanaman/rep). Dalam eksp. 4, pokok fig dirawat secara semburan luaran pada permukaan daun dengan BL kadar optimum dan tertakluk kepada dua tahap WS seperti yang serupa dengan eksp. 3. Pokok yang menerima rawatan diletakkan di bawah dua keadaan rumah hijau yang berbeza (800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> dan tanpa peningkatan kadar CO<sub>2</sub>). Kondisi rumah hijau yang berbeza dianggap sebagai kesan tetap utama dan WS sebagai kesan rawak. Eksperimen ini disusun sebagai Reka Bentuk Bersarang dengan 4 ulangan (4 tanaman/rep). Tindak balas biokimia [proline, malondialdehyde (MDA), protein, kandungan gula larut (SSC), peroksida (POD) dan aktiviti enzim catalase (CAT) dan kanji] dan pertukaran gas daun [kadar fotosintesis (A), konduktiviti stomata (Gs), kadar transpirasi (E), total kandungan klorofil (T-Chl), kandungan klorofil relatif (CC), CO<sub>2</sub> antara sel (Ci), deficit tekanan wap (VPD), kecekapan penggunaan air (WUE) dan intrinsik-WUE] data dikumpulkan setiap bulan. Semua data yang diperoleh dianalisis menggunakan Sistem Analisis Statistik (SAS) versi 9.4. Perbezaan yang signifikan dalam nilai min ditentukan dan dianalisis dengan menggunakan ANOVA dua hala dan perbezaan min dibandingkan dengan Ujian Berbeza yang Rendah (LSD) pada tahap signifikan 5% dan 1%.

Dalam eksp. 1, pertumbuhan dan proses fisiologi pokok tin dipengaruhi oleh kadar aplikasi BL dan kultivar yang berlainan. Total leaf area (TLA), specific leaf area (SLA) dan nisbah shoot-to-root (S:R) meningkat dengan peningkatan kepekatan BL hingga 100 ml L<sup>-1</sup>, diikuti oleh bentuk penurunan, manakala kadar assimilasi bersih (NAR) turun naik sepanjang tempoh kajian. Pada bulan pertama selepas rawatan (MAT), meningkatkan kepekatan BL dari 50 hingga 100 ml L<sup>-1</sup> menyebabkan kenaikan NAR jika dibandingkan dengan kawalan tetapi terdapat penurunan apabila kepekatan BL adalah 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>. Pada MAT 2, dengan meningkatkan kepekatan BL dari 50 hingga 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup> telah menurunkan NAR. Penggunaan BL mempunyai kesan ke atas ketinggian tumbuhan (PH), TLA, jumlah biomass kering (TDB), SLA dan NAR tetapi tidak signifikan ke atas S:R. Di antara varieti ini, IBT menunjukkan pertumbuhan yang lebih tinggi berbanding MD pada setiap pemerhatian lima minggu dan bulanan. Terdapat interaksi yang signifikan antara BL dan varieti

fig bagi parameter TLA, SLA, S:R dan NAR. Tambahan pula, hanya parameter S:R yang menunjukkan kesan interaksi antara BL dan varieti pada tahap signifikan 1%. Interaksi antara kepelbagaian BL dan pelbagai varieti fig adalah signifikan hanya pada tahap 5%. Seperti parameter morfologi, ciri-ciri fisiologi seperti A, E, dan CC telah menunjukkan beberapa perbezaan dengan aplikasi BL, namun perbezaannya tidak konsisten dan kebanyakan perubahan berlaku hanya pada bulan pertama atau kedua pemerhatian. Oleh kerana peningkatan paras BL parameter PH, TLA, TDB dan NAR juga meningkat secara linear pada 28%, 25%, 6% dan 66% masing-masing, lebih tinggi daripada yang direkodkan untuk rawatan kawalan.

Dalam eksp. 2, seperti parameter morfologi, ciri-ciri fisiologi seperti A, Es, Gs, WUE, Ci dan CC telah menunjukkan beberapa perbezaan dengan aplikasi BL, namun perbezaannya tidak konsisten dan kebanyakan perubahan berlaku hanya pada MAT 1 atau 4. Kedua-dua rawatan anti-transpirant dan BL berkesan pada tindak balas fisiologi pokok fig. Rawatan BL (kawalan dan 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) adalah signifikan hanya pada parameter fluoresen klorofil. Kepekatan anti-transpirant pada 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dan kepekatan BL pada 200 ml L<sup>-1</sup> menunjukkan tindak balas fisiologi yang lebih tinggi daripada kepekatan lain pada pemerhatian bulanan. Rangsangan pertumbuhan biomas lebih ketara di atas tanah dibandingkan bawah tanah, menunjukkan S:R tinggi. Peningkatan pertumbuhan dan fisiologi dalam kajian ini mungkin disebabkan peningkatan kadar carboxylation selepas menggunakan rawatan BL, yang telah meningkatkan kadar asimilasi karbon dan menyalurkannya untuk merangsang peningkatan PH, TLA dan TDB.

Dalam eksp. 3, kemarau secara ketara mengurangkan status air pada kandungan air relatif daun (RLWC), pigmen fotosintetik dan pertukaran gas daun. Lebih-lebih lagi, tindak balas biokimia secara berterusan attribute kepada peningkatan kandungan proline, MDA, SSC, POD, CAT tetapi menurun pada kandungan kanji dan protein. Walau bagaimanapun, penggunaan anti-transpirasi secara luaran mengubah dengan baik pertukaran gas dan pigmen fotosintetik kedua-duanya di bawah keadaan kemarau dan air yang mencukupi. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan anti-transpirasi dapat memperbaiki kesan tekanan air dan meningkatkan ketahanan kemarau pokok fig melalui menyesuaikan kehilangan air menggunakan kawalan stomatal. Magnesium carbonate (MgCO<sub>3</sub>) dianggap sebagai anti-transpirasi yang menutup stomata dan seterusnya mempengaruhi proses metabolik dalam tisu daun. Anti transpirasi menggalakkan peningkatan fotosintesis yang disebabkan oleh peningkatan keseimbangan air daun seperti yang ditunjukkan oleh peningkatan potensi air di bawah air dan CC yang bertambah baik. Permohonan anti-transpirasi meningkatkan aktiviti antioksidan enzim. Selain itu, aktiviti CAT juga dipertingkatkan kemudian. Peraturan antioksidan enzim ini seolah-olah hasil daripada pengawasan dan terjemahan yang disebabkan oleh anti-transpirant yang menyebabkan peningkatan tahap SSC dan antioksidan enzimatik dan peningkatan MDA serta kandungan proline.

Dalam Eksp. 4, kekurangan air secara khusus merendahkan A, Gs, kadar transpirasi, defisit tekanan wap dan kecekapan penggunaan air (WUE) tetapi peningkatan CO<sub>2</sub> antar sel dan WUE intrinsik. Tambahan pula, tindak balas biokimia yang meningkat secara berterusan terhadap kandungan klorofil relatif, kandungan proline, MDA, SSC, POD, CAT tetapi menurun pada kanji, kandungan protein, jumlah kandungan klorofil dan pendarfluor klorofil. Walau bagaimanapun, peningkatan CO<sub>2</sub> serentak meningkatkan pertukaran gas dan kandungan klorofil di bawah keadaan kemarau dan air bersih. Di bawah kekurangan air, keadaan CO<sub>2</sub> yang dinaikkan dalam aktiviti fisiologi dan metabolik telah diselaraskan melalui sintesis protein yang lebih baik yang membolehkan penyelenggaraan potensi air tisu dan aktiviti enzim antioksidan mengurangkan peroxidation lipid. Perbezaan dalam tindak balas jangka pendek terhadap pengayaan CO<sub>2</sub> mungkin juga berkaitan dengan perbezaan status tenggelam-sumber seluruh tumbuhan bergantung kepada peringkat perkembangan. Peningkatan CO<sub>2</sub> secara langsung dan tidak langsung, memberi kesan kepada banyak proses fisiologi tumbuhan dan pengumpulan biokimia di banyak tumbuhan dan pengenpastian mekanisme penyesuaian utama kepada tekanan kemarau adalah penting untuk meningkatkan ketahanan tumbuhan tumbuhan. Penggunaan BL, anti-transpirant dan peningkatan jangka pendek CO<sub>2</sub> meningkat, perubahan fisiologi dan tindak balas biokimia daripada pokok tin. Walau bagaimanapun, keadaan tegasan air merendahkan tindak balas fisiologi rajah dan aktiviti enzim yang dicetuskan lebih aktif. Sedangkan aplikasi BL dan anti-transpirasi dapat memperbaiki kesan tegasan air dan meningkatkan kerintangan kemarau pokok fig dengan menyesuaikan kehilangan air pada daun menggunakan kawalan stomata.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Zaharah Sakimin, chairman of my supervisory committee, for her attentive supervision, unfailing guidance, consistent encouragement, patience, useful discussions, and devotion during this study. Her constructive criticisms and valuable comments during the preparation of this manuscript are highly valued. Besides her guidance and kindness, she helps to fulfill all the necessary facilities that made my study successful and fruitful. Thank you for believing me and change my life with your tutelage.

I am also indebted to Prof. Dr. Mahmud Tengku Muda Mohamed, my co supervisory committee, for his attentive supervision, unfailing guidance, and provided me laboratory facilities to do my job far more effectively. Besides his advice was most valuable and made me more passionate in my research works.

I also would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hawa ZE Ja'afar, for her helpful guidance and discussions. I am also grateful to the laboratory and field staff/personnel of the Crop Physiology group, UPM, for their help and co-operation during laboratory analysis and fieldwork. I will not forget the patience of my mother Asnah, my brother Zuledry.ZA., Zulfiandry.ZA., Zulfitri.ZA., and Zulhery.ZA, my nephews, my 719 housemates, and also my friends for their frequent communications, moral support, and constant encouragement, which made my life easy throughout my study. Thank you very much.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Siti Zaharah Binti Sakimin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mahmud Tengku Muda Mohamed, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Hawa ZE Jaafar, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 01 December 2020

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Siti Zaharah Binti Sakimin, PhD

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

Prof. Mahmud Tengku Muda Mohamed, PhD

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Hawa ZE Jaafar, PhD

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ABSTRACT.</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	ix
<b>APPROVAL</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS</b>	xxvii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statements	3
1.3 Hypothesis	3
1.4 General objectives	3
1.5 Specific objectives	3
<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Botanical description of fig	4
2.1.1 Moraceae	4
2.1.2 <i>Ficus carica</i> L	4
2.2 Cultivar Improved Brown Turkey (IBT) and Masui Dauphine (MD)	7
2.3 Morphology and development	8
2.3.1 Root system	8
2.3.2 Shoot system	10
2.3.3 Leaf system	10
2.4 Reproductive development	11
2.5 Fruit growth and development	12
2.6 Agronomy practices	12
2.6.1 Planting	13
2.6.2 Training	13
2.6.3 Pruning	14
2.6.4 Fertilization	14
2.7 Harvesting	15
2.7.1 Fruit yield	15
2.7.2 Fruit size	15
2.8 Uses and economic importance of <i>Ficus carica</i>	15
2.9 Anti-transpirant and CO <sub>2</sub> elevation	17
2.10 Roles of anti-transpirant in plants	18
2.10.1 Growth and yield of plant	19
2.10.2 Physiological changes of plant	19
2.10.3 Drought tolerance of plant	20
2.11 Plant hormone	21
2.12 Brassinolide (BL)	22



	2.12.1	Biosynthesis of brassinolide	23
	2.12.2	Practical applications of brassinolide	25
2.13		Brassinolide mechanism to growth development	26
2.14		Roles of brassinolide (BL) in plants	27
	2.14.1	Plant growth as influenced by brassinolide	27
	2.14.2	Physiological changes as influenced by brassinolide	28
	2.14.3	Yield of plant as influenced by brassinolide	28
2.15		Carbon dioxide and plant responses	28
2.16		Plants adaptation according to their photosynthetic characteristics	30
<b>3</b>		<b>GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>32</b>
	3.1	Location	32
	3.2	Media preparation	32
	3.3	Planting material	33
	3.4	Agronomy practices	33
	3.4.1	Irrigation	33
	3.4.2	Fertilization	33
	3.4.3	Weeding	33
	3.4.4	Pest and disease control	33
	3.5	Data collection.	34
	3.5.1	Growth measurement	34
	3.5.1.1	Stem extension	34
	3.5.1.2	Total leaf area	34
	3.5.1.3	Specific leaf area	34
	3.5.1.4	Total dry biomass	35
	3.5.1.5	Shoot to root ratio	35
	3.5.1.6	Net assimilation rate	35
	3.5.1.7	Relative growth rate	35
	3.5.2	Physiological changes.	36
		Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> , and vapour pressure deficit	36
	3.5.2.1		36
	3.5.2.2	Relative leaf chlorophyll	36
	3.5.2.3	Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content	37
	3.5.2.4	Chlorophyll fluorescence	37
	3.5.2.5	Water use efficiency and intrinsic water use efficiency	38
	3.5.2.6	Leaf relative water content	38
	3.5.3	Biochemical processes	39
	3.5.3.1	Proline content	39
	3.5.3.2	Starch content	38
	3.5.3.3	Malondialdehyde and soluble sugar content	40
	3.5.3.4	Protein content.	40
	3.5.3.5	Peroxidase and catalase	40

3.5.4	Water Stress Quantification	41
3.5.4.1	Field Capacity	41
3.5.4.2	Soil Water Content	41
<b>4</b>	<b>RESPONSES OF BRASSINOLIDE APPLICATIONS ON GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PROCESSES OF FIG (<i>Ficus carica</i> L.) VAR. IMPROVED BROWN TURKEY AND MASUI DAUPHINE PLANTS</b>	<b>42</b>
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Material and methods	43
4.2.1	Experimental site and time	43
4.2.2	Planting materials	43
4.2.3	Maintenance and agronomy practices	43
4.2.4	Treatments and experimental design	44
4.3	Data collection	44
4.3.1	Growth measurement	44
4.3.1.1	Stem extension	44
4.3.1.2	Total leaf area	45
4.3.1.3	Total dry biomass	45
4.3.1.4	Specific leaf area	45
4.3.1.5	Shoot to root ratio	45
4.3.1.6	Net assimilation rate	45
4.3.2	Physiological processes	45
4.3.2.1	Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance and transpiration rate	45
4.3.2.2	Total Chlorophyll content	45
4.4	Statistical analysis	45
4.5	Results	46
4.5.1	Growth measurement	46
4.5.1.1	Stem extension	46
4.5.1.2	Total leaf area	47
4.5.1.3	Total dry biomass	47
4.5.1.4	Specific leaf area	48
4.5.1.5	Shoot to root ratio	49
4.5.1.6	Net assimilation rate	50
4.5.2	Physiological changes	52
4.5.2.1	Photosynthesis rate	52
4.5.2.2	Stomatal conductance	52
4.5.2.3	Transpiration rate	53
4.5.2.4	Chlorophyll content	54
4.5.3	Correlation analysis	55
4.6	Discussion	56
4.7	Conclusion	58
<b>5</b>	<b>GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PROCESSES OF IMPROVED BROWN TURKEY (IBT) FIG AS INFLUENCED BY ANTI-TRANSPIRANT AND BRASSINOLIDE</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	Introduction	59
5.2	Material and methods	60

5.2.1	Experimental site and time	60
5.2.2	Planting materials	60
5.2.3	Maintenance and agronomy practices	60
5.2.4	Treatments and experimental design	60
5.2.5	Data collection	61
5.2.5.1	Growth measurement	61
5.2.5.1.1	Stem extension	61
5.2.5.1.2	Total leaf area	62
5.2.5.1.3	Total dry biomass	62
5.2.5.1.4	Specific leaf area	62
5.2.5.1.5	Shoot to root ratio	62
5.2.5.1.6	Net assimilation rate	62
5.2.5.1.7	Relative growth rate	62
5.2.5.2	Physiological measurements	62
	Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, and intercellular CO <sub>2</sub>	62
5.2.5.2.1		62
5.2.5.2.2	Chlorophyll content.	62
5.2.5.2.3	Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements	63
5.2.5.2.4	Instantaneous water use efficiency	63
5.2.5.3	Statistical analysis	63
5.3	Result	63
5.3.1	Plant growth	63
5.3.1.1	Stem extension	63
5.3.1.2	Total leaf area	64
5.3.1.3	Total dry biomass	64
5.3.1.4	Specific leaf area	65
5.3.1.5	Shoot to root ratio	66
5.3.1.6	Net assimilation rate	67
5.3.1.7	Relative growth rate	67
5.3.2	Physiological changes	68
5.3.2.1	Photosynthesis rate	68
5.3.2.2	Stomatal conductance	69
5.3.2.3	Transpiration rate	69
5.3.2.4	Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub>	70
5.3.2.5	Chlorophyll content	71
5.3.2.6	Chlorophyll fluorescence	72
5.3.2.7	Instantaneous water use efficiency	73
5.3.3	Correlation analysis	74
5.4	Discussion	75
5.5	Conclusion	79

**6 ANTI-TRANSPIRANT APPLICATION IMPROVES THE DROUGHT TOLERANCE OF FIG (*Ficus carica* L.)** 80

## **UNDER OPTIMIZATION OF BRASSINOLIDE**

6.1	Introduction	80
6.2	Material and Methods	82
6.2.1	Experimental site and time	82
6.2.2	Planting materials and growth conditions	82
6.2.3	Maintenance and agronomy practices	83
6.2.4	Application of treatments	83
6.2.4.1	Optimum brassinolide	83
6.2.4.2	Anti-transpirant	83
6.2.4.3	Water stress	83
6.2.4.3.1	Field capacity	83
6.2.4.3.2	Soil water content	84
6.2.5	Experimental design	84
6.2.6	Data collection	84
6.2.6.1	Leaf relative water content	84
6.2.6.2	Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance and transpiration rate	84
6.2.6.3	Chlorophyll content	85
6.2.6.4	Proline content	85
6.2.6.5	Starch	85
6.2.6.6	Malondialdehyde and soluble sugar content	85
6.2.6.7	Protein	85
6.2.6.8	Peroxidase and catalase	85
6.3	Results	85
6.3.1	Water status	85
6.3.2	Leaf gas exchange	86
6.3.3	Photosynthetic pigments	88
6.3.4	Protein, proline content, starch, malondialdehyde and soluble sugar content	89
6.3.5	Enzyme activities	91
6.3.6	Correlation analysis	92
6.4	Discussion	93
6.5	Conclusion	94

## **7 BIOCHEMICAL PROCESSES AND GAS EXCHANGE OF WATER STRESSED FIG (*Ficus carica* L.) PLANT AS INFLUENCED BY CARBON DIOXIDE ENRICHMENT AND OPTIMIZED BRASSINOLIDE CONCENTRATION**

7.1	Introduction	96
7.2	Materials and methods	98
7.2.1	Experimental site and time	98
7.2.2	Planting materials and greenhouses conditions	98
7.2.3	Maintenance and agronomy practices	98
7.2.4	Application of treatments	98
7.2.4.1	Optimum brassinolide	98
7.2.4.2	Enriched CO <sub>2</sub>	99
7.2.4.3	Water stress	100

	7.2.4.3.1	Field capacity	100
	7.2.4.3.2	Soil water content	100
7.2.5		Experimental design.	100
7.2.6		Data collection.	102
	7.2.6.1	Leaf gas exchange	100
		Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> and vapour pressure deficit	
	7.2.6.1.1		100
	7.2.6.1.2	Water use efficiency (WUE) and intrinsic water use efficiency (int-WUE)	101
	7.2.6.1.3	Relative leaf chlorophyll	101
	7.2.6.1.4	Chlorophyll fluorescence	101
	7.2.6.1.5	Total chlorophyll content	101
	7.2.6.2	Biochemical processes	101
	7.2.6.2.1	Proline content	101
	7.2.6.2.2	Starch	101
	7.2.6.2.3	Malondialdehyde and soluble sugar content	101
	7.2.6.2.4	Protein	101
	7.2.6.2.5	Peroxidase and catalase	102
7.3		Results	102
	7.3.1	Leaf gas exchange	102
	7.3.1.1	Photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> and vapour pressure deficit	102
	7.3.1.2	Water use efficiency, intrinsic WUE, relative leaf chlorophyll, chlorophyll fluorescence and total chlorophyll content	104
	7.3.2	Biochemical responses	106
	7.3.2.1	Proline content, starch, malondialdehyde, soluble sugar content and protein	106
	7.3.2.2	Enzyme activities	108
	7.3.3	Correlation analysis	109
7.4		Discussion	110
	7.4.1	Leaf gas exchange	110
	7.4.2	Biochemical processes	113
7.5		Conclusion	115

<b>8</b>	<b>GENERAL CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION</b>	116
<b>9</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	118
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	119
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	144
	<b>APPENDICES A</b>	144
	CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENT ANOVA	144
	<b>APPENDICES B</b>	148
	CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENT ANOVA	148
	<b>APPENDICES C</b>	152
	CHAPTER 6 EXPERIMENT ANOVA	152
	<b>APPENDICES D</b>	156
	CHAPTER 7 EXPERIMENT ANOVA	156
	<b>APPENDICES E</b>	161
	Climatology data whole experiments	161
	<b>APPENDICES F</b>	162
	Research layout whole experiments	162
	<b>APPENDICES G</b>	165
	Pearson correlation experiment 3 and 4	165
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	167
	<b>PUBLICATIONS.</b>	168
	JOURNALS PUBLISHED	168
	JOURNALS ACCEPTED	168
	PROCEEDING	169
	BOOK.	169
	BOOK CHAPTER	169

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Pages
2.1	Comparison of two cultivar of <i>Ficus carica</i>	8
2.2	Ingredients content of anti-transpirant	18
2.3	General responses of C <sub>3</sub> plants to increased CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	29
2.4	General effects of carbon dioxide concentrations at different range to the plants	30
2.5	Photosynthetic characteristics of C <sub>3</sub> plants	31
3.1	Physical characteristic and chemical content of planting media in four experiments before treatment	32
4.1	Detail combinations treatment of four BL concentrations applied or two different cultivars of fig	44
5.1	Treatment combinations of anti-transpirant with water stress on fig	61
6.1	Treatment combinations of anti-transpirant with water stress on fig under BL optimization	84

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Pages
2.1 Part of <i>Ficus carica</i> L. (a) Five-lobed leaf. (b) Fig fruit. (c) Female flower. (d) Male flower. (e) Inside view of fig fruit	5
2.2 <i>Ficus carica</i> syconium diagram explaining the fruit inflorescence.	6
2.3 Cultivars of <i>Ficus carica</i> L. (a) Improved Brown Turkey (IBT); (b) Masui Dauphine (MD)	7
2.4 External morphology of typical flowering plant	9
2.5 Inside-out inflorescence (flower cluster) : a hollow, fleshy structure lined on the inside with hundreds of minute apetalous flowers; (1) syconium fig fruit, (2) short-style female and male flowers for <i>Ficus carica</i> caprifig, (3) long-style female flowers only, for <i>Ficus carica</i> common fig, (4) short and long-style female and male flowers for sycomor	11
2.6 Inside and out of <i>Ficus carica</i> L. fruit	13
2.7 Ten health benefits of figs	17
2.8 Anti-transpirant working cycle	20
2.9 Magnified view of a leaf cross-section	20
2.10 Chemical structure of brassinolide	23
2.11 Brassinolide biosynthesis pathway	25
2.12 Brassinolide action pathway in the leaf to growth development.	26
3.1 A number of pests and diseases on fig : (a) caterpillar leaves, (b) fruit eaten by a bird, and (c) leaves rust	34
3.2 (a) LICOR 6400 portable photosynthesis system used to measure leaf gas exchange parameters. (b) The second leaf was chosen when the leaf gas exchange measurement was conducted	36
3.3 (a) SPAD meter used to measure the relative chlorophyll meter by placing leaf lamina within the SPAD clip and values recorded. (b) Light spectrophotometer (Model UV-3101P, Labomed Inc, USA) that determined destructive chlorophyll content value	37
3.4 (a) Handy PEA fluorescence monitoring the whole system used in the experiments. (b) Leaf clipper attached to the leaf for 15 minutes	38
4.1 Changes in Stem extension as the main effect of : (a) Brassinolides, (b) Cultivars with a time of <i>Ficus carica</i> L. during 15 <sup>th</sup> WAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Means followed by the different small letters significant at : *=5%, **= 1% and ns=not significant	46
4.2 Changes in total leaf area (TLA) of fig at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT as affected by variety and BL application. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	47
4.3 Changes in total dry biomass (TDB) as the main effect of (a) BL application and b) varieties with the time of fig during 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and ns=not significant	48
4.4 Changes in specific leaf area (SLA) of fig at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT after treatment as affected by variety and BL application. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM	49



	(standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	
4.5	Changes in a shoot to root ratio (S:R) of fig at 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT as affected by varieties and BL application. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	50
4.6	Changes in net assimilation rate (NAR) of fig as affected by varieties and BL application at a) 1 <sup>st</sup> and b) 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	51
4.7	Changes in photosynthesis rate (A) of fig as affected by varieties and BL application at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	52
4.8	Changes in stomatal conductance (gs) of fig as affected by varieties and BL application at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	53
4.9	Changes in transpiration rate (E) of fig as affected by varieties and BL at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	54
4.10	Changes in chlorophyll content (CC) of fig as affected by varieties and BL application at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of differences between means) of 32 replicates. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	55
4.11	Significant relationships between chlorophyll content (CC) and total dry biomass (TDB) with a) specific leaf area (SLA), b) transpiration rate (E); E and chlorophyll content (CC) with c) stomatal conductance (gs), d) net assimilation rate (NAR); TDB and SLA with e) NAR, and f) total leaf area (TLA). * and ** were significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ , $P \leq 0.01$ respectively. n = 128	56
5.1	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on Stem extension of fig at 3 <sup>rd</sup> WAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	63
5.2	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on the total leaf area of fig at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	64
5.3	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on the total dry biomass (TDB) of fig at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	65
5.4	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant application on specific leaf area (SLA) of fig at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	65
5.5	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on S:R of fig at 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	66
5.6	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant net assimilation rate (NAR) of fig at 1 <sup>st</sup> to 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the	67

	different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	
5.7	Changes in RGR as influenced by a) BL and b) anti-transpirant of fig throughout 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Curves followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	68
5.8	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on photosynthesis rate (A) of fig at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	68
5.9	Changes in stomatal conductance (gs) as influenced by (A) BL and (B) anti-transpirant of fig throughout 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Curves followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	69
5.10	Changes in E as influenced by : (a) BL ; and (b) anti-transpirant of fig throughout 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Curves followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	70
5.11	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> (Ci) of fig at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	71
5.12	A significant interaction between BL with anti-transpirant on the total CC of fig at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	72
5.13	Change in chlorophyll fluorescence ( $f_v/f_m$ ) as influenced by: (a) BL; and (b) anti-transpirant of fig throughout 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Curves followed by the different small letters significant at $P < 0.05$	73
5.14	Change in water use efficiency (WUE) as influenced by : (a) BL; and (b) anti-transpirant of fig throughout 4 <sup>th</sup> MAT. Curves followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	74
5.15i	Significant relationships : (a) between SE with TDB and TLA; (b) between SE with S/R; (c) between TLA with TDB; (d) between TLA with gs and CC; and (e) between TDB with gs and S:R at $P \leq 0.05$ , n = 96	76
5.15ii	Continued. Significant relationships: (f) between TDB with CC; and (g) between NAR with RGR at $P \leq 0.05$ , n=96	77
6.1	Drought tolerance mechanism flowchart	81
6.2	Relative leaf water content as the main effect of water stress under the optimization of brassinolide. The curve represents means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	86
6.3	A significant interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) photosynthetic rate at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; (b) stomatal conductance at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; (c) transpiration rate at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	87
6.4i	A significant interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) chlorophyll a at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT; (b) chlorophyll b at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	88
6.4ii	Continued. A significant interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (c) Total chlorophyll content at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	89
6.5i	Biochemical responses of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) proline content as the main effect of water stress. curves represent means followed by the different small	89

	letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	
6.5ii	Continued. Biochemical responses of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (b) starch as the interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; (c) MDA as the main effect of anti-transpirant; (d) SSC as the interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars and curves represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	90
6.5iii	Continued. Biochemical responses of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (e) protein content as the interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars and curves represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	91
6.6	Enzyme activities of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) POD as the main effect of anti-transpirant ; and (b) CAT as the interaction between anti-transpirant with water stress at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars and curves represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	92
7.1	(a)The CO <sub>2</sub> cylinder and pressure regulator; (b) CO <sub>2</sub> /Temp./RH meter; (c) CO <sub>2</sub> nozzle; (d) CO <sub>2</sub> timer; and (e) Instruments panel	99
7.2i	Significant water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) A at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	102
7.2ii	Continued. Significant water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (b) gs at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT; (c) E at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT; (d) Ci at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	103
7.2iii	Continued. Significant water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (e) VPD at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	104
7.3i	Leaf gas exchange of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) WUE treatment of water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; (b) int-WUE treatment of water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> at 1 <sup>st</sup> MAT; (c) SPAD treatment of water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	105
7.3ii	Continued. Leaf gas exchange of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (d) Chlorophyll fluorescence treatment of water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; and (e) total chlorophyll content treatment of CO <sub>2</sub> . Bars and curves represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	106
7.4i	Significant water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) proline content at 2 <sup>nd</sup> MAT; (b) starch at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; (c) MDA at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means followed by the different small letters significant at $P \leq 0.05$	107
7.4ii	Continued. Significant water stress within CO <sub>2</sub> of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (d) SSC at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT; and (e) protein content at 3 <sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars represent means	108

- 7.5 followed by the different small letters significant at  $P \leq 0.05$   
Significant water stress within  $\text{CO}_2$  enzyme activities of fig under optimization of brassinolide according to parameters: (a) POD at 3<sup>rd</sup> MAT; and (b) CAT at 3<sup>rd</sup> MAT. Bars followed by the different small letters significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  109



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

%	percent
*	significant at 0.05 probability level
**	significant at 0.01 probability level
#	number
$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$	micromol per meter square per second
$\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$	micromol carbon dioxide per mole air
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degree-Celcius
L	Liter
A	net photosynthesis
$A_{647}$	Absorbance at 647 nm
ANOVA	analysis of variance
BL	Brassinolide
BRs	Brassinosteroids
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
$\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{48}\text{O}_6$	2,3,22,23-Tetrahydroxy- $\beta$ -homo-7-oxaergostan-6-one / Brassinolide
$\text{C}_3$	carbon 3 species
$\text{C}_4$	carbon 4 species
$\text{CaCO}_3$	Calcium Carbonate
CAM	crassulacean Acid Metabolism
CAT	catalase
CC	Chlorophyll Content
CGH	Control greenhouse (ambient $\text{CO}_2$ in a greenhouse)
Chl	chlorophyll
$\text{C}_i$	intercellular carbon dioxide concentration
$\text{cm}^2$	centimeter square
$\text{CO}_2$	carbon dioxide
C.V	coefficient of variation
d	day
DW	Dry weight
E	transpiration
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid

e.g	example
FC	field capacity
F <sub>m</sub>	maximal fluorescence
F <sub>o</sub>	minimal fluorescence
F <sub>v</sub>	variable fluorescence
f <sub>v</sub> /f <sub>m</sub>	The maximum quantum efficiency of PSII system
FW	Fresh weight
g	gram
gs	stomata conductance
ha	hectares
H <sub>2</sub> O	water
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen peroxide
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	hydrogen sulphate
HCl	hydrochloric acid
hrs	hours
i.e	that is
IBT	Improved brown turkey
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel On Climate change
lb	pound
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	least significance difference at 5% level
LRWC	Leaf relative water content
m	metre
MAT	Month After Treatment
MD	Masui dauphine
MgCO <sub>3</sub>	Magnesium Carbonate
ml	Mili litre
mm	milimeter
MDA	malondialdehyde
mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	mole per meter square per second
MPC	Multi Purpose Cultivation
ms <sup>-1</sup>	meter per second
n	number of samples
nm	nano meter

n.s	not –significant
NAR	net assimilation rate
P	probability
PGR	Plant growth regulator
POD	peroxidase
ppm	Part per million
PSII	photosystem II
PVP	polyvinylpyrrolidone
RCBD	randomized complete block design
RGR	relative growth rate
RH	relative humidity
rpm	Rotary per minute
s	second
SE	Stem extension
SLA	specific leaf area
SPAD	soil plant analytical development
SRCBD	splitplot randomized complete block design
S/R	shoot to root ratio
SGH	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> in the greenhouse
SRI	System of rice intensification
SSC	Soluble sugar content
t	time
TBA	thiobarbituric acid
TDB	Total Dry Biomass
T-Chl	Total Chlorophyll content
Temp.	temperature
TLA	Total Leaf Area
U	unit
UV	Ultraviolet
VPD	vapor pressure deficit
Var.	variety
W <sub>0</sub>	Well watered
W <sub>1</sub>	Drought stress
WUE	water use efficiency

WAT	weeks after the start of treatment
WS	Water stress
WW	wet weight





# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General Introduction

Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) belongs to the Moraceae family. It is bush or small trees, moderate in size, deciduous with broad, ovate, 3 to 5-lobed leaves, contain copious milky latex and it is a new plant introduced in Malaysia. The fig fruit is a highly perishable climacteric fruit and the oldest species of the fruit tree having been cultivated by humans for over 5000 years (Owino *et al.*, 2006). The common fig (*Ficus carica* L.) is a tree indigenous to southwest Asia and the eastern Mediterranean region; belong to the family Moraceae (Duenas *et al.*, 2008). Figs are usually cultivated especially in warm, and dry climates.

The common fig grows wild in dry and sunny areas, with deep and fresh soil; also in rocky areas, from sea level to 1,700 meters. It prefers light and medium soils, requires well-drained soil, and can grow in nutritionally poor soil. The size, shape, colour of the skin, and pulp quality are markedly affected by climate. But quality figs are produced in the region with a dry climate especially at the time of fruit development and maturity. High humidity coupled with the low temperature usually results in fruit splitting and low fruit quality (Kislev *et al.*, 2006).

The world's largest producer of dry as well as fresh figs is Turkey. Turkey produced 262 m<sup>3</sup> tons of Sar Lop, Bursa Black, and Yereven varieties or about 25% of the world's annual production. Egypt is the largest fig producing country in the Middle East, with 203 m<sup>3</sup> tons produced annually. Iran has an output of 75 m<sup>3</sup> tons, and Syria produces 44 m<sup>3</sup> tons each year. North Africa is also a major player in the fig industry, with Algeria, Tunisia, and Morocco producing a combined total of roughly 175 m<sup>3</sup> tons annually. The United States ranks eighth in global fig production, producing 43 m<sup>3</sup> tons per year (Oberheu, 2018).

There are many benefits of figs for health. Fig helps to get rid of constipation, to help support and strengthen our bones, for losing weight, help digestion, cancer prevention, reduce hypertension, for a strong immune system, to treat sexual weakness as well, to cure diabetes, as skin cleaner to prevent cure acne, and a natural antioxidant (Fraser, 2014).

Many farmers are using brassinolide to increase their productivity. Brassinolide (BL) is a relatively new class of plant hormones showing a wide occurrence in the plant kingdom with unique biological effects on growth and development (Khripach *et al.*, 2000; Sun *et al.*, 2010). BL stimulates metabolic processes such as photosynthesis (Zhang *et al.*, 2008), nucleic acid, and protein synthesis (Bajguz, 2000). It also increases ATPase activity in maize (*Zea mays* L.) roots, dark CO

fixation, the activities of phosphoenol-pyruvate carboxylase (PEPcase), and ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBPcase), and soluble protein concentration in wheat leaf (Zhang et al., 2007).

Commonly used by greenhouses, anti-transpirant was a process previously thought of as impractical in open land cultivation. Some other anti-transpirant containing magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) as a main component to increase photosynthesis and plant growth. It's a water-soluble compound composed of finely ground minerals that are sprayed onto a plant's leaf surface where it enters the leaf and discharges CO<sub>2</sub>. The effects are increased plant growth, better yields, a reduction in water usage, and a more resilient plant. Anti-transpirant enters the plant via the stomata as a fine mist onto the leaf surface, when it has been sprayed and the minerals inside the plant discharge CO<sub>2</sub>, It will increase glucose and proteins production and in turn, boost the quantity of oxygen released into the environment (Hartl and Stassen, 2007).

Water stress (WS) adversely impacts many aspects of the physiology of plants, especially photosynthetic capacity. If the stress was prolonged, plant growth and productivity were severely diminished, or even lead to plant death. Beside affected various plant physiology, WS imposes biochemical limitations and adverse effects (Bouranis *et al.*, 2014; and Chen *et al.*, 2015). Cell growth is the process that is most affected by water deficit. Furthermore, under more severe drought conditions inhibition of cell division, inhibition of wall and protein synthesis, and accumulation of solutes (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002).

C<sub>3</sub> plants can respond positively to increases in the air's CO<sub>2</sub> concentration by exhibiting enhanced rates of photosynthesis and biomass accumulation, especially under conditions of water insufficiency, in contrast to the erroneous view that such plants will not benefit from earth's rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Lim, 2012).

Several studies have investigated the effect of brassinolide on water-stressed plants. Li *et al.* (2007) reported that application 0.4 mg/L brassinolide increased the survival, growth, and drought resistance of Robinia pseudoacacia seedlings under water stress. Similarly, Zhang *et al.* (2008) found that brassinolide significantly increased drought tolerance and minimize the yield loss of soybean caused by water deficits. Meanwhile, Aldasoro *et al.* (2018) resulted that the physiological plant responses to the application of the anti-transpirant both under well-watered and water-deficit conditions reduce the negative effects of drought in nodulated legumes. Del Amor *et al.* (2010) studied application anti-transpirant under enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress condition. They reported that the application of anti-transpirant increased on photosynthesis and water relations of pepper plants under different levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress especially after 4 days of drought. However, the effects of exogenous application of BL, anti-transpirant, elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and combination of them with WS on growth, physiological changes, and biochemical processes have not been reported especially on fig plants.

## 1.2 Problem Statements

Information on anti-transpirant-brassinolide application exogenously under condition water stress is still lacking especially in the tropical zone and on fig plant. Studies about physiological and biochemical responses of fig under CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment techniques in the microclimate conditions are scarce as well. Consequently, farmers' productivity will decrease too. Hence, to benefit from the application it is pertinent to establish the CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment technique especially the protocol for difficult and slow-growing fruit plant species like fig should be established.

## 1.3 Hypothesis

1. Fig varieties alone and BL alone would increase the growth and physiological processes of fig.
2. BL and anti-transpirant interaction will optimized growth resulting from improved fig plant physiological and biochemical processes.
3. Exogenous application of anti-transpirant would alleviate the detrimental effects of drought in fig under optimization of BL evaluated in a greenhouse.
4. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and WS would influence on biochemical processes and leaf gas exchange of fig under BL optimization

## 1.4 General Objective

A project consists of four experiments was conducted to enhance growth and study about physiological and biochemical processes of stressed fig plant by the application of BL, anti-transpirant, along with microclimate CO<sub>2</sub> enriched conditions.

## 1.5 Specific Objectives

A project consisting of four major experiments hence, it has carried out with the overall objectives:

- 1) to investigate the growth and physiological processes of two fig cultivars;
- 2) to determine the effect anti-transpirant on growth and physiological processes under best respond fig variety and optimized BL application;
- 3) to study exogenous anti-transpirant and optimized brassinolide application in alleviating the detrimental effects of the drought of fig under greenhouse condition; and
- 4) to study the effect of short-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on biochemical processes and leaf gas exchange of water-stressed fig (*Ficus carica* L.) as influenced by optimized brassinolide application.

## REFERENCES

- Abd-Elmoneim, A.M., El-Deen, T.N. and Abdel-Fattah, G.H., 2019. Response of *Cyperus alternifolius* L. plant to some anti-transpirants and irrigation water levels *The Future J. Agric.*, 4 : 57-72.
- Abid, M., Tian, Z., Ata-Ul-Karim, S.T., Wang, F., Liu, Y., Zahoor, R., Jiang, D., and Dai, T. 2016. Adaptation to and recovery from drought stress at vegetative stages in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars. *Func. Plnt Bio.* 43(12): 1159-1169.
- Abo-El-Ez, A. T., Mostafa, R. A. A., and Badawy, I. F. 2013. Growth and productivity of three fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cultivars grown under upper Egypt conditions. *Aus. J.Bas.App.Sc.* 7(2), 709-714.
- Abid, M., Ali, S., Qi, L.K., Zahoor, R., Tian, Z., Jiang, D., Snider, J.L., and Dai, T. 2018. Physiological and biochemical changes during drought and recovery periods at tillering and jointing stages in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Sci. Rep.* 8(1): 4615.
- Ahmad, K. 2012. *Types of fig trees are widely spread in Indonesia* [Video File]. From [www.youtube.com/watch?v=dw6JFXqYKX0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dw6JFXqYKX0). Retrieved November 9, 2016.
- Ahmed, F.F., Abada, M.A.M., and Abd El-Hameed, H.M. 2012. Alleviating the adverse effect of sunburn on yield and colouration of red roomy grapevines by spraying calcium carbonate and sunscreen compounds. *Minia Inter. Conf. for Agric. and Irrigation in The Nile Basin countries* : 26 – 29.
- Ainsworth, E.A., and Rogers, A. 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: Mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Env.* 30(3): 258-270.
- Akitha Devi, M.K., and Giridhar, P., 2015. Variations in physiological response, lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzyme activities, proline and isoflavones content in soybean varieties subjected to drought stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Ind. Sect. B - Biol. Sci.* 85(1): 35-44.
- Aldasoro, J., Larrainzar, E. and Arrese-Igor, C., 2019. Application of anti-transpirants temporarily alleviates the inhibition of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in drought-stressed pea plants. *Agri. Wtr. Mgt.*, 213, pp.193-199.
- Al-Desouki, M.I., Abd El-Rhman, I.E. and Sahar, A.F., 2009. Effect of Some Antitranspirants and Supplementary Irrigation on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Sultani Fig (*Ficus Carica*) Grown in the Egyptian Western Coastal Zone under Rainfed Conditions. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 5(6), pp.899-908.

- Al-Janabi, A.M.I. and Al-Falahy, T.H.R., 2016. Effect of treatment with Brassinolide and foliar application of nutrient solution "Agroleaf" on some growth characteristics of local sweet orange scions. *Diyala J. Agri. Sci.* 8(2), pp.28-40.
- Ali, B., Hayat, S. and Ahmad, A. 2007. 28-Homobrassinolide ameliorates the saline stress in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Env. Exp.Bot.* 59, 33-41.
- Ali, S., Anjum, M.A., Nawaz, A., Naz, S., Hussain, S. and Ejaz, S., 2019. Effects of Brassinosteroids on Postharvest Physiology of Horticultural Crops: A Concise Review. *J.Hort. Sci. Tech.* 2(3): 62-68.
- Alici, E., and Arabaci, G. 2016. Determination of SOD, POD, PPO and CAT Enzyme Activities in *Rumex obtusifolius* L. *Annu. Res. Rev. Biol.* 11(3): 1-7.
- Altorena, A. 2016. *How to grow fig trees in containers.* <https://dengarden.com/gardening/growing-fig-trees>. Retrieved in 19 October 2016.
- Amirjani, M.R., 2010. Effect of salinity stress on growth, mineral composition, proline content, antioxidant enzymes of soybean. *Ame.J.Plnt.Physio.*, 5(6), pp.350-360.
- Amthor, J.S., 2012. *Respiration and crop productivity.* Springer Science & Business Media.
- Anderson, L.J., Maherali, H. Z., Johnson, H.B., Polley, H. W. and Jackson, R.B. 2001. Gas exchange and photosynthetic acclimation over subambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a C3-C4 grassland. *Glob.Chg.Biol.* 7, 693-707.
- Anderson, J.E., and Kreith, F. 2005. Effects of film-forming and silicone antitranspirants on four herbaceous plant species. *Plant Soi.* 49(1): 161-173.
- Anjum, S. A., Wang, L. C., Farooq, M., Hussain, M. Xue, L. L., and Zou, C. M. 2011. Brassinolide application improves the drought tolerance in maize through modulation of enzymatic antioxidants and leaf gas exchange. *J. Agr. Crp. Sci.* 197(3): 177–185.
- Anjum, S.A., Xie, X.-Y., Wang, L.-C., Saliem, M.F., and Lei, W. 2011. Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. *Af. J. Agr. Res.* 6(9): 2026-2032.
- Anwar, A., Liu, Y., Dong, R., Bai, L., Yu, X. and Li, Y., 2018. The physiological and molecular mechanism of brassinosteroid in response to stress: a review. *Biological research*, 51(1), p.46.
- Aranjuelo, I., Tcherkez, G., Jauregui, I., Gilard, F., Ancín, M., Fernández-San Millán, A., Larraya, L., Veramendi, J. and Farran, I., 2015. Alteration by thioredoxin f over-expression of primary carbon metabolism and its

- response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). *Env. Exp. Bot.*, 118, pp.40-48.
- Arteaga, M.A.I. 2016. *Brassinolide*. [www.chm.bris.ac.uk / motm / brassinolide / brassinolideh.htm](http://www.chm.bris.ac.uk/motm/brassinolide/brassinolideh.htm). University of Havana, Cuba. Retrieved in 5 November 2016.
- Ashraf, M., and Foolad, M.R. 2007. Roles of glycinebetaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *J. Env. Exp. Bot.* 59: 206–216.
- Baghel, M., Nagaraja, A., Srivastav, M., Meena, N.K., Kumar, M.S., Kumar, A. and Sharma, R.R., 2019. Pleiotropic influences of brassinosteroids on fruit crops: a review. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 87(2), pp.375-388.
- Bajguz A. 2000. Effect of brassinosteroids on nucleic acid and protein content in cultured cells of *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Plant Phys Biochem* 38:209–215.
- Bajguz, A. 2007. Metabolism of brassinosteroids in plants. *Plant Phys Biochem.* 45 (2): 95–107.
- Bajguz, A., and Hayat, S. 2009. Effects of brassinosteroids on the plant responses to environmental stresses. *Plant. Phys. Biochem.* 47(1):1-8.
- Bajguz, A., 2011. Brassinosteroids—occurrence and chemical structures in plants. In *Brassinosteroids: a class of plant hormone* (pp. 1-27). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Bao, F., Shen, J., Brady, S. R., Muday, G. K., Asami, T., and Yang, Z. 2004. Brassinosteroids interact with auxin to promote lateral root development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Phys*, 134(4): 1624–1631.
- Basu, S., Ramegowda, V., Kumar, A., and Pereira, A. 2016. Plant adaptation to drought stress. *F1000Res*.5 : 1-10.
- Batlang, U. 2006. Studies with triazoles to alleviate drought stress in greenhouse-grown (*Zea mays*) seedlings [Mater Thesis]. Blacksburg (VA) : Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.P.167.
- Bhattacharya, A., 2018. *Changing Climate and Resource Use Efficiency in Plants*. Academic Press. Pp.30-45.
- Beckford, R. 2016. *Plant propagation methodologies : seeding, grafting, budding, cuttings and layering*. IFAS Extension University of Florida.P.4.
- Bera, A. K., Pramanik, K., and Mandal, B. 2014. Response of biofertilizers and homo-brassinolide on growth, yield and oil content of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Afr. J. Agr. Res.* 9(48), 3494–3503.
- Beratungsdienst; K., and Heilbronn, H.N.V. 2011. *Agrosolution corporation experimental work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.

- Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Vadez, V., Devi, M.J., Lavanya, M., Vani, G. and Sharma, K.K., 2009. Genetic engineering of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) with the P5CSF129A gene for osmoregulation with implications on drought tolerance. *Mol.Breed.*, 23(4), pp.591-606.
- Bishop, G.J., and Yokota, T. 2001. Plants steroid hormones, brassinosteroids: current highlights of molecular aspects on their synthesis/metabolism, transport, perception and response. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 42: 114–120.
- Boku. 2011. *Agrosolution corporation experimental work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.
- Bouranis, D.L., Dionias, A., Chorianopoulou, S.N., Liakopoulos, G., and Nikolopoulos, D. 2014. Distribution profiles and interrelations of stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and water dynamics in young maize laminas under nitrogen deprivation. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 5: 659–670.
- Boyden, S.B., Reich, P.B., Puettmann, K.J. and Baker, T.R. 2009. Effects of density and ontogeny on size and growth ranks of three competing tree species. *J. Eco.* 97: 277–288.
- Bramley, H., Turner, N.C., and Siddique, K.H.M. 2013. *Water use efficiency, in: Genomics and Breeding for Climate-Resilient Crops*. Springer: New York, USA. Pp 225-268
- Brataševac, K., Sivilotti, P. and Vodopivec, B.M. 2013. Soil and foliar fertilization affects mineral contents in *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. 'rebula' leaves. *J. Soi. Sci. Plnt Nut.* 13(3), 650-663.
- Brizola, R.M.O., Leonel, S., Tecchio, M.A. and Hora, R.C. 2005. *Fig trees (Ficus carica L.) leaf nutrients contents with potassium fertilization*. Researchgate.[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262741542\\_Fig\\_trees\\_Ficus\\_carica\\_L\\_leaf\\_nutrients\\_contents\\_with\\_potassium\\_fertilizati\\_on](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262741542_Fig_trees_Ficus_carica_L_leaf_nutrients_contents_with_potassium_fertilizati_on) [accessed May 29, 2017].
- Burešová, I., Sedláčková, I., Faměra, O. and Lipavský, J., 2010. Effect of growing conditions on starch and protein content in triticale grain and amylose content in starch. *Plnt, Soil Env.*, 56(3), pp.99-104.
- Caemmerer, S., and Evans, J. 2006. Determination of the average partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in chloroplasts from leaves of several C<sub>3</sub> plants. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 18: 287.
- Caetano, L. C. S., Carvalho, A. J. C. D., Campostrine, E., Sousa, E. F. D., Murakami, K. R. N., and Cereja, B. S. 2005. Effect of the number of productive branches on the leaf area development and fig tree yield. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 27(3): 426-429.
- Çalışkan, O., & Polat, A. A. 2012. Morphological diversity among fig (*Ficus carica* L.) accessions sampled from the Eastern Mediterranean Region of Turkey. *Turkish J.Agric.Forest*, 36(2), 179-193.

- Cao, L. and Caldeira, K., 2010. Atmospheric carbon dioxide removal: long-term consequences and commitment. *Env. Res. Let.*, 5(2), p.024011.
- Carey, D., Deuter, P., Zull, A., Taylor, H., White, N. 2017. High value horticulture value chains for the Queensland Murray-Darling Basin project: Activity 1 – Assessing horticulture crop suitability for the Queensland Murray Darling Basin study area report. Queensland Government Department of Agriculture and Fisheries.
- Carroll, J. 2015. *Fig types: Different types of fig trees for the garden*. from <http://www.gardeningknowhow.com/edible/fruits/figs/different-types-of-fig-trees.htm>. Retrieved November 3, 2016.
- Cham, B.W., and Bayern. 2011. *Agrosolution Corporation Experimental Work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.
- Chen, L. X., Li, Y. H., Zheng, F. E., Yang, R. Z., Xia, G. R., Xiong, L.Z., and Juan, Q. L. 2007. Effect of brassinosteroids on soybean resistance to *hytophthora sojae*. *Soy Sci*, 5(5): 713–727.
- Chen, Y., Yu, J., and Huang, B. 2015. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on water relations and photosynthetic responses to drought stress and recovery during rewatering in tall fescue. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 140: 19–26.
- Choi, E.Y., Seo, T.C., Lee, S.G., Cho, I.H. and Stangoulis, J., 2011. Growth and physiological responses of Chinese cabbage and radish to long-term exposure to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. *Hort. Env. Biotech.*, 52(4), p.376.
- Chow, P.S., and Landhäusser, S.M., 2004. A method for routine measurements of total sugar and starch content in woody plant tissues. *Tree Phys.* 24: 1129–36.
- Clouse, S. D. 2011. *Brassinosteroids*. Rockville, Maryland: The American Society of Plant Biologists. Pp.2-28.
- Coleman, J.R. and Sage, R.F. 2001. Effects Of Low Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide on Plants: More Than A Thing of The Past. *Tre.Plant. Sci.* 6: 18-24.
- Cook, N.C., Bellstedt, D.U. and Jacob, S. G. 2001. Endogenous cytokinin distribution patterns at budburst in Granny Smith and Braeburn apple shoots in relation to bud growth. *Sci Hort.* 87: 53-63.
- Cornic, G. 2000. Drought stress inhibits photosynthesis by decreasing stomatal aperture - Not by affecting ATP synthesis. *J. Trnd. Plant. Sci.* 5(5):187-188.



- Cortes, P.A., Terrazas, T., León, T.C., Larqué-Saavedra, A. 2003. Brassinosteroids effects on the precocity and yield of cactus pear. *Sci.Hortic.* 97:65–73
- Cunniff, J., Purdy, S .J., Barraclough, T. J. P., Castle, M., Maddison, A. L., Jones, L. E., Shield, I. F., Gregory, A. S., and Karp, A. 2015. High yielding biomass genotypes of willow (*Salix spp.*) show differences in below ground biomass allocation. *Biom.Bio.* 80(5) 114-127.
- Dahal, K., Knowles, V.L., Plaxton, W.C., and Hüner, N.P.A. 2014. Enhancement of photosynthetic performance, water use efficiency and grain yield during long-term growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in wheat and rye is growth temperature and cultivar dependent. *Env. Exp. Bot.* 106 :207-220.
- Del Amor, F.M., Cuadra-Crespo, P., Walker, D.J., Cámara, J.M. and Madrid, R., 2010. Effect of foliar application of antitranspirant on photosynthesis and water relations of pepper plants under different levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress. *J.plant physio.*, 167(15), pp.1232-1238.
- Devi, M.K.A., Giridhar, P. 2015. Variations in Physiological Response, Lipid Peroxidation, Antioxidant Enzyme Activities, Proline and Isoflavones Content in Soybean Varieties Subjected to Drought Stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. B Biol. Sci.* 85: 35.
- Dion, L.M., Lefsrud, M. and Orsat, V., 2011. Review of CO<sub>2</sub> recovery methods from the exhaust gas of biomass heating systems for safe enrichment in greenhouses. *Biom.bioener.*, 35(8), pp.3422-3432.
- Driscoll, S.P., Prins, A. Olmos, E., Kunert, K.J. and Foyer, C.H. 2006. Specification of adaxial and abaxial stomata, epidermal structure and photosynthesis to carbon dioxide enrichment in maize leaves. *J.Exp.Bot.* 57: 381 – 390.
- Duenas, M., Perez-Alonso, J.J., Santos-Buelgaa, J and Escribano-Bailona, T. 2008. Anthocyanin composition in fig (*Ficus carica* L.). *J. Food Comp. Ana.* 21: 107-15.
- Duran, M.I., González, C., Acosta, A., Olea, A.F., Díaz, K. and Espinoza, L., 2017. Synthesis of five known brassinosteroid analogs from hyodeoxycholic acid and their activities as plant-growth regulators. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 18(3), p.516.
- Eason, H.M. and Bloom, A.J., 2014. Easy Leaf Area: Automated digital image analysis for rapid and accurate measurement of leaf area. *App. Plnt. Sci.*, 2(7), p.1400033.
- Ebrahiem-Asmaa, A. 2012. Alleviating the adverse effects of sunburn on the production of Red Roomy grapevines growing under Minia region conditions. *Minia. J. Agr. Res. Dev.* 32(1): 165 – 175.

- El-Said, E.M..2015. Effect of irrigation intervals and some anti-transpirants on growth, yield and fruit quality of eggplant. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 6 (12): 2079- 2091.
- Engin, H., Gökbayrak, Z., Sakaldaş, M., and Duran, F.U. 2015. Role of 22s, 23s-homobrassinolide and GA3 on fruit quality of '0900 Ziraat' sweet cherry and physiological disorders. *Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey. Acta Sci. Pol. Hort Cul*, 14(5) 2015: 99-108.
- Erb, M., Meldau, S. and Howe, G.A., 2012. Role of phytohormones in insect-specific plant reactions. *Trends Plnt.Sci.* 17(5), pp.250-259.
- Fageria, N.K., Filho, M.B., Moreira, A. and Guimarães, C.M., 2009. Foliar fertilization of crop plants. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 32(6), pp.1044-1064.
- Fang, Y., and Xiong, L. 2015. General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 72(4): 673-689.
- Fariduddin, Q., Ahmed, A., and Hayat, S. 2004. Response of *Vigna radiata* to foliar application of 28-homobrassinolide and Kinetin. *Biol. Plant.* 48: 465–468.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., and Basra, S.M.A. 2009a. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Develop.* 29: 185–212.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Basra, S.M.A., and Din, I.U. 2009e. Improving water relations and gas exchange with brassinosteroids in rice under drought stress. *J. Agr. Crop. Sci.* 195:262–269.
- Fem, K. 2015. *Edible Perennials: 50 Top perennials from Plants For A Future.* England PFAF Press.P 85
- Ferguson, L.M., Mariscal, H., Reyes and Metheney, P. 2003. Using girdling to improve black mission fig size. *Acta Hort.* fi05:167-169.
- Ferguson, L., and Crisosto, C.H. 2007. The fig: Overview of an ancient fruit. *Hort.Sci.* 42 (5): 7-1083.
- Filippou, P., Antoniou, C., and Fotopoulos, V. 2011. Effect of drought and rewatering on the cellular status and antioxidant response of *Medicago truncatula* plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 6(2): 270-277.
- Flaishman, M.A., and Al hadi, F.A. 2002. Fig growth in Israel. (in Hebrew). *Alon Hanotea* 56:56-57.
- Flaishman, M. A., and Oren, H. 2005. The Fig Industry In Israel : Present State. (in Hebrew). *Alon Hanutea* 59:105-107.

- Flaishman, M. A., Rodov, V., and Stover, E. 2008. *The Fig: Botany, Horticulture, And Breeding*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. P.34.
- Franks, P.J., and Farquhar, G.D. 2006. The mechanical diversity of stomata and its significance in gas-exchange control. *Plant Physiol.* 143(1):78-87
- Fraser, C. 2014. 10 Incredible Health Benefits of Figs. <http://livelovefruit.com/incredible-health-benefits-of-figs/>. Retrieved in 31 October 2016.
- Fridman, Y. and Savaldi-Goldstein, S., 2013. Brassinosteroids in growth control: how, when and where. *Pln.t Sci.*, 209, pp.24-31.
- Gaballah, M.S., Shaaban, S.M. and Abdallah, E.F., 2014. The use of anti-transpirants and organic compost in sunflower grown under water stress and sandy soil. *Int.J.Acad. Res.*, 6(6), pp.211-215.
- Gao, W.J. 2000. *The experimental technology of plant physiology*. World Book Press, Xian. Pp 89–258.
- Gagné-Bourque, F., Bertrand, A., Claessens, A., Aliferis, K.A., and Jabaji, S. 2016. Alleviation of drought stress and metabolic changes in timothy (*phleum pratense l.*) Colonized with bacillus subtilis B26. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 7: 584.
- Gallego-Bartolomé, J., Minguet, E.G., Grau-Enguix, F., Abbas, M., Locascio, A., Thomas, S.G., Alabadí, D. and Blázquez, M.A., 2012. Molecular mechanism for the interaction between gibberellin and brassinosteroid signaling pathways in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(33), pp.13446-13451.
- García-Sánchez, F., and Syvertsen, J.P. 2006. Salinity tolerance of Cleopatra mandarin and Carrizo citrange citrus rootstock seedlings is affected by CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment during growth. *J. Am. Hort. Sci.* 131: 24-31.
- Gardner, F.P., Pearce, R.B. and Mitchell, R.L., 2017. *Physiology of crop plants* (No. Ed. 2). Scientific Publishers.
- González-Rodríguez, A. M., Peña, A., Peters, J., and Grajal-Martín, M. J. 2007. Effect of pruning intensity in early fig and fig productions in the south of Tenerife, Canary Islands. In *VIII International Symposium on Temperate Zone Fruits in the Tropics and Subtropics 872* (pp. 333-338).
- González-García, M.P., Vilarrasa-Blasi, J., Zhiponova, M., Divo, F., Mora García, S., Russinova, E., Caño-Delgado, Al. 2011. Brassinosteroids control meristem size by promoting cell cycle progression in Arabidopsis roots. *Dev.* 138:849–859.
- Grieve, C. M., Grattan, S. R., & Maas, E. V. 2012. *Plant salt tolerance*. ASCE manual and reports on engineering practice, (71), 405-459.

- Gut, O. 2012. *lawn care: so the plant does not need to gasp*. Agrosolution Inc. Prinz-Eugen-Straße 23.Austria–4020 Linz. Retrieved in 7 November 2016.
- Hames, B., Scarlata, C. and Sluiter, A., 2008. Determination of protein content in biomass. *National Renewable Energy Laboratory*, pp.1-5.
- Hamilton, J.G., Thomas, R.B. and Delucia, E.H. 2001. Direct and indirect effects of elevated carbon dioxide on leaf respiration in a forest ecosystem. *Plant Cell Env.* 24: 975 – 982.
- Hamim. 2005. Photosynthesis of C3 and C4 Species in Response to Increased CO<sub>2</sub>. *Hayati*. 12: 131–138.
- Hanan, J.J., Holley, W.D. and Goldsberry, K.L., 2012. *Greenhouse management* (Vol. 5). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Haniff, M. H. 2006. Gas exchange of excised oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) fronds. *Asi. J. Plt Sci.* 5(1): 9–13.
- Harris, G.A., Coombs, J., Hall, D.D., Long, S.P., and Scurlock, J.M.O., 2007. *Techniques in bioproductivity and photosynthesis*. Elsevier.Pp.133-138
- Harker, N., and Hartman, M. 2013. *Ultimate canola challenge*. www. farming smarter.Com Retrieved in 7 November 2017.
- Hart, A. 2009. *Problems Identifying Fig Varieties*. <http://web.archive.org/web/20060824115709/http://...i.com.au/acotanc/Papers/Hart-1/Author-n-Text.htm>. Retrieved in 1 Januari 2017.
- Hartl, P.H., and Stassen, S. 2007. *Agrosol, Pure Plant Enegy. The New Fertilizer Technology*. [Final report]. Agrosolution Incorporation. Prinz-Eugen-Straße 23 .Austria – 4020 Linz. Retrieved in 7 November 2016.
- Hartmann, H. T., and Kester, D. E. 2011. *Plant propagation: principles and practices*: Prentice-Hall.Pp.280-323.
- Hatfield, J.L. and Dold, C., 2019. Water-use efficiency: advances and challenges in a changing climate. *Frontiers in plant science*, 10, p.103.
- Haug, M. T., King, E. S., Heymann, H., & Crisosto, C. H. 2013. Sensory profiles for dried fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cultivars commercially grown and processed in California. *J.food sci.*, 78(8), S1273-S1281.
- Hawa, Z.E.J. 2004. Carbon dioxide enrichment production technology for controlled environment system in the lowland tropics. *In International symposium on greenhouses, environmental controls and in-house mechanization for crop production in the tropics and sub-tropics*: 15 - 17 June 2004, International society of Horticultural Science and Mardi, Cameron Highland Malaysia.

- Hayat, S., and Ahmad, A. 2003. Soaking-seeds of *Lens culinaris* with 28-homobrassinolide increased nitrate reductase activity and grain yield in the field in India. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 143: 121–124.
- Hayat, S., and Ahmad, A. (Eds.). 2010. *Brassinosteroids: a class of plant hormone*. Springer Science & Business Media.Pp.29-56.
- Hayat, S., Alyemeni, M. N., and Hasan, S. A. 2012. Foliar spray of brassinosteroid enhances yield and quality of *Solanum lycopersicum* under cadmium stress. *Sau. J. Bio. Sci.* 19(3): 325–335.
- Heer, G., Nordhalden., and Württemberg, B. 2011. *Agrosolution Corporation Experimental Work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.
- Hepaksoy, S., and Aksoy, U. 2006. Propagation of *Ficus carica* L. clones by in vitro culture. *Bio. Plant*.50: 433-436.
- Hodgson, J.G., Montserrat-Martí, G., Charles, M., Jones, G., Wilson, P., Shipley, B., Sharafi, M., Cerabolini, B.E.L., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Band, S.R. and Bogard, A., 2011. Is leaf dry matter content a better predictor of soil fertility than specific leaf area?. *Ann. Bot.*, 108(7), pp.1337-1345.
- Hoffmann, W.A. and Poorter, H. .2002. Avoiding Bias in Calculations of Relative Growth Rate. *Ann. Bot.* 90 (1): 37–42.
- Hossain, M.A., Bhattacharjee, S., Armin, S.-M., Qian, P., Xin, W., Li, H.-Y., Burritt, D.J., Fujita, M., and Tran, L.-S.P. 2015. Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic oxidative stress tolerance: insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. *Front.Plant Sci.* 6: 420.
- Hosomi, A. M., and Kato, A. 2002. Screening of fig varieties for rootstocks resistant to soil sickness. *J. Jap. Soc. Hor. Sci.* 71 :171-176.
- Hosomi, A., Miwa, Y., Furukawa, M., & Kawaradani, M. 2012. Growth of Fig Varieties Resistant to *Ceratocystis* Canker following Infection with *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 81 (2): 159–165.
- Hosomi, A. 2015. Variation in graft compatibility of wild *Ficus* species as rootstock for common fig trees (*Ficus carica*). In V *International Symposium on Fig* 1173 (pp. 199-206).
- Hossain, A. B. M. S., and Boyce, A. N. 2009. Fig fruit growth and quality development as affected by phloem stress. *Bulgarian J.Agric.Sci.*, 15(3), 189-195.
- Hsu, S.Y., Hsu, Y.T., and Kao, C.H. 2003. The effect of polyethylene glycol on proline accumulation in rice leaves. *Bio. Plant.* 46: 73–78.

- Hu, Y. J., Shi, L. X., Sun, W., and Guo, J. X. 2013. Effects of abscisic acid and brassinolide on photosynthetic characteristics of *Leymus chinensis* from Songnen Plain grassland in Northeast China. *Bot. Stu.* 54(1): 42.
- Huo, C.F., Wang, Z.Q., Sun, H.L., Fan, Z.Q. and Zhao, X.M. 2008. Interactive effects of light intensity and nitrogen supply on *Fraxinus mandshurica* seedlings growth, biomass and nitrogen allocation. *Chi. J. App. Eco.* 19(8):1658–1664.
- Ibrahim, M. H., Jaafar, H. Z. E., Rahmat, A., and Zaharah, A. R. 2011. The relationship between phenolic and flavonoid production with total non-structural carbohydrate and photosynthetic rate in *Labisia pumila* Benth. under high CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen fertilization. *Mol.* 16(1): 162–174.
- Inanc, A.L. 2011. Chlorophyll: structural properties, health benefits and its occurrence in virgin olive oils, *Akademik Gida.* 9(2) : 26-32.
- Ingrand, V., Herry, G., Beausse, J. and de Roubin, M.R., 2003. Analysis of steroid hormones in effluents of wastewater treatment plants by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J.Chrom. A*, 1020(1), pp.99-104.
- Intergovernmental Panel On Climate change 2013, 2013. *Summary for policymakers. In: climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group i to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.* CEUR Workshop Proc. 1542, 33–36.
- Iqbal, N., M. Ashraf, M.Y. Ashraf. 2009. Influence of exogenous glycinebetaine on gas exchange and biomass production in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*) under water limited conditions. *J. Agr. Cro. Sci.* 195: 420–426.
- Islami, T., and Utomo, W.H. 2011. *Relationship among soil, water, and plants.* Semarang: IKIP Semarang Press. Pp.1-10.
- Jaafar, H.Z.E. 2006. Carbon dioxide enrichment technology for improved productivity under controlled environment system in the tropics. *Act. Hort.* 742: 353-363
- Jager, C.E., Symons, G.M., Ross, J.J. and Reid, J.B. 2008. Do brassinosteroids mediate the water stress response?. *J. Plant Physiol.* 133: 417-425.
- Jaleel, C.A., Manivannan, Paramasivam., Wahid, A., Farooq, M., Al-Juburi, H.J., Somasundaram, Ramamurthy. and Panneerselvam, R., 2009. Drought stress in plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol*, 11(1), pp.100-105.

- James, S.A., and Bell, D.T. 2000. Influence of light availability on leaf structure and growth of two *Eucalyptus globulus* ssp. *globulus* provenances. *Tree Physio.* 20:1007–1018.
- Javan, M., Tajbakhsh, M. And Mandoulakani, B.A., 2013. Effect of antitranspirants application on yield and yield components in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under limited irrigation. *J. App. Bio. Sci.*, 7(1), pp.70-74.
- Jones, H.G., 2013. *Plants and microclimate: a quantitative approach to environmental plant physiology*. Cambridge university press.Pp.145-150.
- Jones, S. R. B. 2014. *Growing common figs in the low desert*. The University of Arizona College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Tucson, Arizona 85721.Pp.1-3.
- Joseph, B., and Raj, S. J. 2011. Pharmacognostic and Phytochemical Properties of *Ficus carica* Linn – An Overview. *Int.J.PharmTech Res.*, 3(1), 8–12.
- Kagale, S., Divi, U.K., Krochko, J.E., Keller, W.A. and Krishna, P., 2007. Brassinosteroid confers tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica napus* to a range of abiotic stresses. *Planta*, 225(2), pp.353-364.
- Kandil, H. 2015. Effect of agrosol treatment and phosphorus levels on pea plants (*Pisum Sativum* L.). *J. Bas.App. Sci. Res.* 5(12): 102-108.
- Kang, J.G., Yun, J., Kim, D.H., Chung, K.S., Fujioka, S., Kim, J.I., Dae, H.W., Yoshida, S., Takatsuto, S., Song, P.S., and Park, C.M. 2001. Light And Brassinosteroid Signals Are Integrated Via A Dark-Induced Small G Protein In Etiolated Seedling Growth. *Cell* .105: 625–636.
- Kaplan, U., and Gokbayrak, Z.2012. Effect of 22(S), 23(S)-homobrassinolide on adventitious root formation in grape rootstocks. *S Afr J Enol Vitic* 33:53–56.
- Karlsruhe, Z.G., Burgbacher, M., Weiler, K., and Württemberg, B. 2011. *Agrosolution corporation experimental work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.
- Katherine, A.P., and Jeanne, L.D.O. 2016. *Figs and Mulberries, Inside and Out*. [http://www.soiledandseeded.com/magazine/issue09/figs\\_and\\_mulberries\\_inside\\_and\\_out.php](http://www.soiledandseeded.com/magazine/issue09/figs_and_mulberries_inside_and_out.php). Retrieved in 29 October 2016.
- Katny, M.A.C., Hoffmann-Thoma, G., Schrier, A.A., Fangmeier, A., Jäger, H.J., and Van Bel, A.J.E. 2005. Increase of photosynthesis and starch in potato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is dependent on leaf age. *J. Plant Physiol.* 162: 429–438.
- Katul, G., Manzoni, S., Palmroth, S. and Oren, R., 2010. A stomatal optimization theory to describe the effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on leaf photosynthesis and transpiration. *Ann. Bot.*, 105(3), pp.431-442.

- Kawamata, M., Nishida, E., Ohara, H., Ohkawa, K., and Matsui, H. 2002. Changes in the intensity of bud dormancy and internal compositions of current shoot in fig. *J. Jpn.Soc.Hortic. Sci.* 71(2): 177-182.
- Kazemi, S., Eshghizadeh, H.R., and Zahedi, M. 2018. Responses of Four Rice Varieties to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and Different Salinity Levels. *Rice Sci.* 25: 142–151.
- Keeseey, M.W. and Lerner, B.R. 2018. *New plants from cuttings* (pp.1-6). Lafayette: Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service. <http://www.hort.purdue.edu/ext/HO-37graphics.pdf>. West Retrieved May 9, 2018
- Khripach, S., Zhabinskii, V., De groot, A. 2000. Twenty years of brassinosteroids: steroidal plant hormones warrant better crops for the XXI century. *Ann Bot (Lond)* 86: 441–447.
- Kimball, B.A., Kobayashi, K., and Bindi, M. 2004. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Adv.Agron.*77 : 293-368
- Kislev, M. E., Hartmann, A. and Bar-Yosef, O. 2006. Early Domesticated Fig in the Jordan Valley. *Sci.* 312(5778): 1372. doi:10.1126/science.1125910
- Kong, M., Lampinen, B., Shackel, K. and Crisosto, C H. 2013. Fruit skin side cracking and ostiole-end splitting shorten postharvest life in fresh figs (*Ficus Carica* L.), but are reduced by deficit irrigation. *Postharvest Biol.Tech.* 85: 154–161.
- Koyama, L., Tokuchi, N., Fukushima, K., Terai, M., & Yamamoto, Y. 2008. Seasonal changes in nitrate use by three woody species: the importance of the leaf-expansion period. *Trees*, 22(6), 851.
- Kramer, P., 2012. *Physiology of woody plants*. Elsevier..Pp.39-167.
- Kumar, M.G.N. 2016. *Propagating shrubs, vines, and trees from stem cuttings*. Washington DC: Pacific Northwest Extension Publication PNW152. Washington State University. Pp.1-6.
- Kumari, S. 2014. Temperature, vapour pressure deficit and water stress interaction on transpiration in wheat temperature , vapour pressure deficit and water stress interaction on transpiration in wheat. *Int.J.Sci.Res.* 2(3): 375-376.
- Kuradeniz, T., 2001, May. Fig Growing in Eastern Black Sea Region (Turkey). In *II International Symposium on Fig 605* (pp. 205-208).
- Landis, T.D. Dumroese, R.K. and Haase, D.L. 2010. *The container tree nursery manual. volume 7, seedling processing, storage, and outplanting*. Agric. Handbk. 674. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service. P.200.



- Lansky, E. P., and Paavilainen, H. M. 2010. *Figs: the genus Ficus*. CRC Press.
- Lawson, T., Carigon, J., Black, C.R., Colls, J.J., Landon, G. and Wayers, J.D.B. 2002. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on gas exchange parameters and epidermal characteristics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *J. Exp.Bot.* 53(369): 737-746.
- Lee, K.J., and Kozlowski, T.T. 2006. Effects of silicone antitranspirant on woody plants. *Plant Soil.* 40(3): 493-510.
- Levitt, J., 2015. *Water, radiation, salt, and other stresses* (Vol. 2). Elsevier.Pp.35-49.
- Li, K.R., Wang, H.H., Han, G., Wang, Q.J. and Fan, J., 2008. Effects of brassinolide on the survival, growth and drought resistance of Robinia pseudoacacia seedlings under water-stress. *New Forests*, 35(3), pp.255-266.
- Li, B., Zhang, C., Cao, B., Qin, G., Wang, W. and Tian, S. 2012. Brassinolide enhances cold stress tolerance of fruit by regulating plasma membrane proteins and lipids. *Amino Acids*, 43: 2469-2480.
- Li, X., Zhang, G., Sun, B., Zhang, S., Zhang, Y., Liao, Y., Zhou, Y., Xia, X., Shi, K. and Yu, J., 2013. Stimulated leaf dark respiration in tomato in an elevated carbon dioxide atmosphere. *Scientific reports*, 3, p.3433.
- Li, X., Schmid, B., Wang, F. and Paine, C.E.T. 2016. Net assimilation rate determines the growth rates of 14 species of subtropical forest trees. *PLoS ONE*. 11(3).e0150644.
- Lim, T.K. 2012. *Edible medicinal and non-medicinal plants*.Vol. 3, Fruits. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London : New York.Pp.1-6.
- Litz, R. E. 2005. *Biotechnology of fruit and nut crops* (Vol. 29). CABI. Pp.350-361.
- Mahajan, S., and Tuteja, N. 2005. Cold, salinity and drought stress: an overview. *Arc. Biochem. Biophys.* 444: 139–158.
- Maity, U. and Bera, A.K., 2009. Effect of exogenous application of brassinolide and salicylic acid on certain physiological and biochemical aspects of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Ind.J.Agr.Res.*, 43(3), pp.194-199.
- Manea, A. and Leishman, M.R., 2011. Competitive interactions between native and invasive exotic plant species are altered under elevated carbon dioxide. *Oecologia*, 165(3), pp.735-744.
- Mardinata, Z. 2009. power explants grown oil palm in tissue culture by using different sources of explants and coconut water. *Din. J.* 24(2): 27-37.

- Marenco, R.A., Antezana-Vera, S.A. and Nascimento, H.C.S., 2009. Relationship between specific leaf area, leaf thickness, leaf water content and SPAD-502 readings in six Amazonian tree species. *Photosynthetica*, 47(2), pp.184-190.
- Mawa, S., Husain, K., & Jantan, I. (2013). *Ficus carica* L. (Moraceae): Phytochemistry, Traditional Uses and Biological Activities. *Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine : eCAM*, 2013, 974256. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/974256>
- Maxwell, K. and Johnson, G.N. 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence- a practical guide. *J.Exp.Bot.* 345: 659–668.
- Müssig, C., 2005. Brassinosteroid-promoted growth. *Plant Bio.*, 7(2), pp.110-117.
- Kang, Y.H., Breda, A. and Hardtke, C.S., 2017. Brassinosteroid signaling directs formative cell divisions and protophloem differentiation in *Arabidopsis* root meristems. *Development*, 144(2), pp.272-280.
- Ma Y, Xue H, Zhang L, Zhang F, Ou C, Wang F, Zhang Z .2016. Involvement of auxin and brassinosteroid in dwarfism of autotetraploid apple (*Malus x domestica*). *Sci Rep* 6:26719
- Mandavia, C., Raval, L., Khasiya, V. and Mandavia, M.K., 2012. Morpho-Physiological Parameters and Seed yield of Chickpea as influenced by Salicylic acid and Brassinolide. *Phytotechnology: Emerging Trends*, p.265.
- Melgarejo, P., Martínez, J. J., Hernández, F., Salazar, D. M., and Martínez, R. 2007. Preliminary results on fig soil-less culture. *Scientia hort.* 111(3), 255-259.
- Mikhail, M., Wang, B., Jalain, R., Cavadias, S., Tatoulian, M., Ognier, S., Gálvez, M. E., and Da Costa, P. 2019. Plasma-catalytic hybrid process for CO<sub>2</sub> methanation: optimization of operation parameters. *React. Kin. Mechanis. Cat.*. 126 (2): 629–643.
- Miransari, M., and Smith, D.L. 2014. Plant hormones and seed germination. *Env. Exp. Bot.* 99 : 110– 121
- Modi, R. K., Kawadkar, M., Sheikh, S., Kastwar, R., & Tiwari, G. 2012. A review on: Comparative studies on ethanolic extract of root and stem bark of *Ficus carica* for analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities. *Int.J.Pharm.Lif.Sci.*, 3(8).
- Mohawesh, O.E., Al-Absi, K.M. and Tadros, M.J., 2010. Effect of antitranspirant application on physiological and biochemical parameters of three orange cultivars grown under progressive water deficit. *Advances in Hort.Sci.* pp.183-194

- Møller, I.M., Jensen, P.E., and Hansson, A., 2007. Oxidative modifications to cellular components in plants. *Ann. Rev. Plant.Bio.* 58: 459-481.
- Monselise, S. P. 2018. *Handbook of fruit set and development.* CRC press.
- Murtaza, G., Rasool, F., Habib, R., Javed, T., Sardar, K., Mohsin Ayub, M., Ahsin Ayub, M., and Rasool, A. 2016. A review of morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants under drought stress conditions. *Imp. J. Int. Res.* 2 (12) : 1600-1606.
- Mustafa, N. S., and Taha, R. A. 2012. Influence of plant growth regulators and subculturing on in vitro multiplication of some fig (*Ficus carica*) cultivars. *J. Appl.Sci.Res.*, 8(8), 4038-4044.
- Na, Y.W., Jeong, H.J., Lee, S.Y., Choi, H.G., Kim, S.H., and Rho, I.R. 2014. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a diagnostic tool for abiotic stress tolerance in wild and cultivated strawberry species. *Hort. Env. Bio.* 55(4): 280–286.
- Nakano, H., Muramatsu, S., Makino, A., and Mae, T. 2002. Relationship between the suppression of photosynthesis and starch accumulation in the pod-removed bean. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 27(2): 167 – 173
- Nayyar, H., and Gupta, D. 2006. Differential sensitivity of C3 and C4 plants to water deficit stress: Association with oxidative stress and antioxidants. *Env. Exp. Bot.* 58(1–3): 106-113.
- Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Choe, S., Takatsuto, S., Tax, F.E., Yoshida, S., and Feldmann, K.A. 2000. Biosynthetic pathways of brassinolide in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 124: 201–209.
- Oberheu, C. 2018. Top fig growing countries <http://www.worldatlas.com/articles/top-fig-growing-countries.html>. Retrieved in 29 October 2018.
- Ohyama, K., Kozai, T., Ishigami, Y., Ohno, Y., Toida, H., and Ochi, Y. 2000. A CO<sub>2</sub> control system for a greenhouse with a high ventilation rate. In *International Conference on Sustainable Greenhouse Systems-Greensys2004*. 691 : 649-654.
- Oklestkova, J., Rárová, L., Kvasnica, M., and Strnad, M. 2015. Brassinosteroids: synthesis and biological activities. *Phyto. Rev.* 14(6): 1053-1072.
- Öpik, H.R., Stephen, A., Willis, A. J., and Street, H.E. 2005. *The physiology of flowering plants (4th ed.)*. Cambridge University Press. P. 191.
- Owino, W. O., Manabe, Y., Mathooko, F.M., Kubo, Y., and Inaba, A. 2006. Regulatory mechanisms of ethylene biosynthesis in response to various stimuli during maturation and ripening in fig fruit (*Ficus carica* L.). *Plantt Physiol.Biochem.* 44: 335-42.

- Pacifici, E., Polverari, L., Sabatini, S. 2015. Plant hormone cross-talk: the pivot of root growth. *J Exp Bot* 66:1113–1121.
- Patanè, C.; Pellegrino, A. and Di Silvestro, I. 2018. Effects of calcium carbonate application on physiology, yield and quality of field-grown tomatoes in a semi-arid Mediterranean climate. *Crop and Past.Sci.*, 69(4):411-418.
- Pelden, D. and Meesawat, U., 2019. Foliar idioblasts in different-aged leaves of a medicinal plant (*Annona muricata* L.). *Songklanakarin J. Sci.Tech.*, 41(2).
- Pereira-Netto AB, Roessner U, Fujioka S, Bacic A, Asami T, Yoshida S, Clouse SD. 2009. Shooting control by brassinosteroids: metabolomic analysis and effect of brassinazole on *Malus prunifolia*, the Marubakaido apple rootstock. *Tree Physiol* 29:607–620
- Pereira-Netto, A.B., 2011. Genomic and non-genomic events involved in the brassinosteroid-promoted plant cell growth. In *Brassinosteroids: A Class of Plant Hormone* (pp. 243-268). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Pereira, C., Serradilla, M. J., Martín, A., Villalobos, M. del C., Pérez-Gragera, F., and López-Corrales, M. 2015. Agronomic behaviour and quality of six fig cultivars for fresh consumption. *Sci. Hort.* 185, 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.01.026>
- Pfister, B., and Zeeman, S.C. 2016. Formation of starch in plant cells. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 73(14): 807-2781.
- Philip, E., Noor, A., and Rizal, M.K.M. 2006. Growth and physiological evaluations of planted forest species along a recreational trail. *J. Trop. Plant Physiol.* 1(1), 57– 65.
- Pierantozzi, R. 2001. *Carbon Dioxide*. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. Wiley.P.356.
- Pierre-Jerome, E., Drapek., Colleen., Benfey., and Philip, N. 2018. Regulation of Division and Differentiation of Plant Stem Cells. *Annual Rev.Cell.Dev.Bio.*34: 289–310.
- Pipattanawong, N., Fujishige, N., Yamane, K., Ogata, R. 1996. Effects of brassinosteroid on vegetative and reproductive growth in two day-neutral strawberries. *J Jpn Soc Hortic Sci* 65:651–654
- Pirasteh-Anosheh, H., Saed-Moucheshi, A., Pakniyat, H., Pessarakli, M. 2016. Stomatal responses to drought stress. In book: *Water Stress and Crop Plants: A Sustainable Approach*. Vol.1, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Pp.24-40.

- Pirzad, A., Shakiba, M.R., Zehtab-Salmasi, S., Mohammadi, S.A., Darvishzadeh, R. and Samadi, A., 2011. Effect of water stress on leaf relative water content, chlorophyll, proline and soluble carbohydrates in *Matricaria chamomilla* L. *J. Med. Plant. Res.*, 5(12), pp.2483-2488.
- Poorter, H., Niklas, K.J., Reich, P.B., Oleksyn, J., Poot, P., Mommer, L. 2012. "Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control". *New Phyt.* **193** (1): 30–50. [doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x)
- Puebla, M. F., Toribio. and Montes, P. 2003. Determination of fruit bearing pruning date and cutting intensity In .San Pedro. (*Ficus Carica* L.) Type Fig Cultivars.*Acta Hort.* 605: 147-157.
- Qaderi, M.M. and Reid, D.M., 2009. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. In *Climate change and crops* (pp. 1-18). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Rajewska, I., Talarek, M. and Bajguz, A., 2016. Brassinosteroids and response of plants to heavy metals action. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7, p.629.
- Rampino, P., Pataleo, S., Gerardi, C., Mita, G., and Perrotta, C., 2006. Drought stress response in wheat: Physiological and molecular analysis of resistant and sensitive genotypes. *Plan.Cell Env.* 29(12): 2143-52.
- Ravichandra, N.G., 2013. *Fundamentals of plant pathology*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd. P.166.
- Rao, S.S.R., Vardhini, B.V., Sujatha, E., and Anuradha, E. 2002. Brassinosteroids- a new class of phytohormones. *Curr. Sci.* 82:1239–1245.
- Reddy, A.R., Rasineni, G.K. and Raghavendra, A.S., 2010. The impact of global elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on photosynthesis and plant productivity. *Cur. Sci.*, pp.46-57.
- Rees, M., Osborne, C.P., Woodward, F.I., Hulme, S.P., Turnbull, L.A. and Taylor, S.H. 2010. Partitioning the components of relative growth rate: how important is plant size variation?. *Am. Soc. Nature.* 176: 61–152.
- Rezakhani, M.S. and Pakkish, Z. 2017. Influences of brassinosteroid and hot water on postharvest enzyme activity and lipid peroxidation of lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* L.) fruit during storage at cold temperature. *Int.J.Hort.Sci. Tech.* 4: 57-65.
- Rudall, P. J., and Bateman, R. M. 2010. Defining the limits of flowers: the challenge of distinguishing between the evolutionary products of simple versus compound strobili. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Bio. Sci.* 365(1539), 397-409.
- Sage, R.F., Sharkey, T.D., and Seemann, J.R. 2008. Acclimation of

- photosynthesis to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in five C<sub>3</sub> species. *Plant Physiol.* 1989(89) : 590-596.
- Sairam, R. K., Srivastava, and G.C., Saxena, D. C. 2000. Increased antioxidant activity under elevated temperature: a mechanism of heat stress tolerance in wheat genotypes. *Biol.Plant.* 43: 245–251.
- Sairam, R.K., Rao, K., and Srivastava, G.C., 2002. Differential response of wheat genotypes to long term salinity stress in relation to oxidative stress, antioxidant activity and osmolyte concentration. *Plant.Sci.* 163(5) : 1037-1046.
- Saitoh, K., Yonetani, K., Murota, T., and Kuroda, T. 2009. Effects of flag leaves and panicles on light interception and canopy photosynthesis in high-yielding rice cultivars. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 5(4) : 275-280.
- Sánchez-Blanco, M.J.,Álvarez, S., Ortuño, M.F., and Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C.. 2014. Root System Response to Drought and Salinity: *Root Dist.Water Trans.* 10.1007/978-3-642-54276-3\_15.
- Sandalio, L., María, R. S., and María, R. P. 2016. Leaf epinasty and auxin: A biochemical and molecular overview. *J.Plant Sci.* 253(1):187-193.
- Sanz-Sáez, Á., Erice, G., Aranjuelo, I., Nogués, S., Irigoyen, J.J. and Sánchez-Díaz, M., 2010. Photosynthetic down-regulation under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> exposure can be prevented by nitrogen supply in nodulated alfalfa. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 167(18), pp.1558-1565.
- Sasek, T.W., Delucia, E.H., and Strain, B.R. 2008. Reversibility of Photosynthetic Inhibition in Cotton after Long-Term Exposure to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations. *Plant Physiol.* 78: 619-622.
- Schrader, L.E. 2011. Scientific basis of a unique formulation for reducing sunburn of fruits. *HortScience*, 46:1–11.
- Shanan, N., and Shalaby, E. 2011. Influence of some chemical anti-transpirant agents on vase-life of *Monstera deliciosa* leaves. *Afr. J. agr. Res.* 6(1): 132-139.
- Shahbaz, M. and Ashraf, M., 2007. Influence of exogenous application of brassinosteroid on growth and mineral nutrients of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under saline conditions. *Pak.J.Bot.*, 39(2), p.513.
- Shi, X., Jin, F., Huang, Y., Du, X., Li, C., Wang, M., Shao, H., Jin, M. and Wang, J., 2012. Simultaneous determination of five plant growth regulators in fruits by modified quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe (QuEChERS) extraction and liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Agri.Food chem.* 60(1), pp.60-65.
- Shimada, Y., Fujioka, S., Miyauchi, N., Kushiro, M., Takatsuto, S., Nomura, T., Yokota, T., Kamiya, Y., Bishop, G.J., and Yoshida, S. 2001.

Brassinosteroid-6-oxidases from arabidopsis and tomato catalyze multiple c-6 oxidations In Brassinosteroid Biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 126: 770–779.

- Shiple, B., 2006. Net assimilation rate, specific leaf area and leaf mass ratio: which is most closely correlated with relative growth rate? A meta-analysis. *Func. Eco.*, 20(4), pp.565-574.
- Shu, H. M., Guo, S. Q., Shen, X. L., and Ni, W. C. 2011. Cotton physiology affected by brassinosteroid under NaCl stress. *Jiang.J.Agr.Sci.* 1(6): 1198–1202.
- Siddiq, M., Ahmed, J., Lobo, M. G., and Ozadali, F. (Eds.). 2012. *Tropical and subtropical fruits: postharvest physiology, processing and packaging*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Sieder, H. K. 2011. *Agrosolution corporation experimental work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany. P.8.
- Sivaci, A. 2006. Seasonal changes of total carbohydrate contents in three varieties of apple (*Malus sylvestris* Miller) stem cuttings. *Sci. Hor.* 109: 234 - 237.
- Slatnar, A., Klancar, U., Stampar, F., and Veberic, R. 2011. Effect of drying of figs (*Ficus carica* L.) On the contents of sugars, organic acids, and phenolic compounds. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 59: 11696–11702.
- Spiegelman, Z., Ham, B.K., Zhang, Z., Toal, T.W., Brady, S.M., Zheng, Y., Fei, Z., Lucas, W.J. and Wolf, S., 2015. A tomato phloem-mobile protein regulates the shoot-to-root ratio by mediating the auxin response in distant organs. *The Plant Journal*, 83(5), pp.853-863.
- Srivastava, L. M. 2002. *Plant growth and development: Hormones and environment*. Academic Press. P. 140.
- Srivastava, K., Raghava, N. and Raghava, R.P., 2011. Brassinosteroids stimulate seed germination parameters and chlorophyll content in moongbean. *Indian J. Sci. Res.*, 2(3), pp.89-92.
- Steudle, E. 2000. Water uptake by roots: Effects of water deficit. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51( 350): 1531–1542.
- Stover, E., Aradhya, M., Ferguson, L., and Crisosto, C. H. 2007. The fig: Overview of an ancient fruit. *HortScience*, 42(5), 1083–1087.
- Stratilová, E., Ait-Mohand, F., Řehulka, P., Garajová, S., Flodrová, D., Řehulková, H. and Farkaš, V., 2010. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylases (XETs) from germinating nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*) seeds: isolation and characterization of the major form. *Plnt.Physio.Biochem.*, 48(4), pp.207-215.

- Swamy, K.N., Rao, S.S.R. 2006. Influence of brassinosteroids on rooting and growth of geranium (*Pelargonium sp.*) stem cuttings. *Asian J Plant Sci* 5:619–622
- Su, Q., Rowley, K.G., Itsiopoulos, C., and O’Dea, K. 2002. Identification and quantification of major carotenoids in selected components of the Mediterranean diet: Green leafy vegetables, figs and olive oil. *Eur. J. Clin. Nut.* 56: 1149–1154.
- Sultan, M.A.R.F., Hui, L., Yang, L.J., and Xian, Z.H. 2012. Assessment of drought tolerance of some *Triticum l.* species through physiological indices. *Cz.J.Genet. Plant Breed.* 48(4):178-184.
- Sun, Y., Fan, X.Y., Cao, D.M., Tang, W., He, K., Zhu, J.Y., He, J.X., Bai, M.Y., Zhu, S., Oh, E. and Patil, S., 2010. Integration of brassinosteroid signal transduction with the transcription network for plant growth regulation in *Arabidopsis*. *Dev. cell*, 19(5), pp.765-777.
- Surendar, K., Vincent, S., Vanagamudi, M. and Vijayaraghavan, H., 2013. Physiology of Pgr’s and Nitrogen on Crop Growth Rate, Net Assimilation Rate, Nitrate Reductase Activity and Indole Acetic Acid Oxidase Activity of Black Gram (*Vigna Mungo L.*). *Gen. Applied Bio.*, 4.
- Tabrizi, E.F.M., Yarnia, M., Khorshidi, M.B. and Ahmadzadeh, V., 2009. Effects of micronutrients and their application method on yield, crop growth rate (CGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) of corn cv. Jeta. *J.Food, Agri.Env.*, 7(2), pp.611-615.
- Taha, R. A., Mustafa, N. S., and Hassan, S. A. 2013. Protocol for micropropagation of two *Ficus carica* cultivars. *world J.Agr.Sci.*, 9(5), 383-388.
- Taïbi, K., del Campo, A.D., Vilagrosa, A., Bellés, J.M., López-Gresa, M.P., Pla, D., Calvete, J.J., López-Nicolás, J.M., and Mulet, J.M. 2017. Drought tolerance in *pinus halepensis* seed sources as identified by distinctive physiological and molecular markers. *Front.Plant Sci.* 8: 1202.
- Taiz, L., and Zeiger, E. 2002. *Plant physiology*, 3rd Edn. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Sunderland, USA.P.647.
- Tambussi, E. A., Bort, J., and Araus, J.L. 2007. Water use efficiency in C3 cereals under Mediterranean conditions: a review of physiological aspects. *Ann.Appl.Bio.* 150(3):307–321.
- Takatani, N., Ito, T., Kiba, T., Mori, M., Miyamoto, T., Maeda, S.I. and Omata, T., 2014. Effects of high CO<sub>2</sub> on growth and metabolism of *Arabidopsis* seedlings during growth with a constantly limited supply of nitrogen. *Plant and Cell Physio.*, 55(2), pp.281-292.



- Tanaka, Y., Sugano, S.S., Shimada, T., and Nishimura, I.H. 2013. Enhancement of leaf photosynthetic capacity through increased stomatal density in *Arabidopsis*. *New Phyt.* 198:757–764.
- Tang, J., Han, Z., and Chai, J. 2016. What are brassinosteroids and how do they act in plants?. *BMC Bio.* 14: 113.
- Taub, D.R., Miller, B., and Allen, H. 2008. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the protein concentration of food crops: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biol.* 14: 565–575.
- Tapia, R., Botti, C., Carrasco, O., Prato, L., and Franck, N. 2003. The effect of four irrigation rates on growth of six fig cultivars. *Act. Hort.* 605:113-118.
- Tarakhovskaya, E. R., Maslov, Yu., and Shishova, M. F. 2007a. Phytohormones in algae. *Ru. J. Plant Physiol.* 54 (2): 163–170.
- Tarakhovskaya, E. R., Maslov, Yu., and Shishova, M. F. 2007b. Gibberellin formation in microorganisms. *Plant Growth Regul.* 15: 303–314.
- Tezara, W., Driscoll, S. and Lawlor, D.W., 2008. Partitioning of photosynthetic electron flow between CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and O<sub>2</sub> reduction in sunflower plants under water deficit. *Photosynthetica*, 46(1), p.127.
- Tominaga, J., Shimada, H., and Kawamitsu, Y. 2018. Direct measurement of intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in a gas-exchange system resolves overestimation using the standard method. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69(8): 1981–1991.
- Türkan, I., Bor, M., Özdemir, F., and Koca, H. 2005. Differential responses of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in the leaves of drought-tolerant *P.acutifolius* Gray and drought-sensitive *P. vulgaris* L. subjected to polyethylene glycol mediated water stress. *Plant Sci. J.* 168 (1): 223-231
- Unknown. 2018. *Plant Anatomy*. <https://www.enchantedlearning.com/subjects/plants/plant/>. Retrieved in 2 August 2018.
- Vardhini, B. V. 2012. Effect of brassinolide on certain enzymes of sorghum grown in saline soils of Karaikal. *J. Physiol.* 4(2): 30–33.
- Wallersdorf, W.B., Stangl, L., Eichendorf., and Bayern. 2011. *Agrosolution Corporation Experimental Work*.
- Vriet, C., Russinova, E. and Reuzeau, C., 2013. From squalene to brassinolide: the steroid metabolic and signaling pathways across the plant kingdom. *Mol.PInt.*, 6(6), pp.1738-1757.
- Wang, L., Jiang, W., Ma, K., Ling, Z. and Wang, Y. 2003. The production and research of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) in China. *Acta Hort.* 605: 191-196.

- Wang, W., Vinocur, B., and Altman, A. 2003. Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta* 218: 1–14.
- Wang, F., Yu, C.L. and Liu, D., 2007. Effects of plant growth regulators on drought resistance of shrub seedlings. *For Sci Tech*, 32(3), pp.56-60.
- Wang, J., Cheung, M., Rasooli, L., Amirsadeghi, S. and Vanlerberghe, G.C., 2014. Plant respiration in a high CO<sub>2</sub> world: How will alternative oxidase respond to future atmospheric and climatic conditions?. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 94(6), pp.1091-1101.
- Wang, Z., Cui, Y., Vainstein, A., Chen, S., and Ma, H. 2017. Regulation of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) fruit color: metabolomic and transcriptomic analyses of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway. *Front.Plnt.Sci.*, 8, 1990.
- Wassink, E.C. 2006. Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis, in: *Advances in Enzymology and related areas of molecular biology. Photosynth. Res.* 83: 101–105.
- Wasser; B., Land, M., and Hessen. 2011. *Agrosolution corporation experimental work*. [Final report]. Austria – 4020 Linz and Germany.
- Wei ,Z. and Li, J.2016. Brassinosteroids regulate root growth, development and symbiosis. *Mol Plant* 9:86–100
- Weraduwege, S.M., Chen, J., Anozie, F.C., Morales, A., Weise, S.E. and Sharkey, T.D. 2015. The relationship between leaf area growth and biomass accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front.Plant Sci.* 6:167.
- Wertheim, S.J. 2005. *Pruning*,. In: J. Tromp, A.D. Webster and S.J.Wertheim (eds.). *Fundamentals of temperate zone tree fruit production*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.Pp.176–189.
- Wright, G.C. and Rachaputi, N.C., 2014. Drought: Resistance. *Encyclopedia of Natural Resources-Water and Air-Vol II*, p.1018.
- Wu, C., Niu, Z., Tang, Q. and Huang, W., 2008. Estimating chlorophyll content from hyperspectral vegetation indices: Modeling and validation. *Agri.For.Met*, 148(8-9), pp.1230-1241.
- Xiao, L., Pang, R. H., Cai, R. X., Yu, P., Huang, X. H., and Wang, L. 2007. Physiological effect and yield increase action after spraying BR in rice early blooming stage. *J. An. Agr. Sci.* 1(11): 3317–3330.
- Xu, D., and Tuyen, D. D. 2012. Genetic studies on saline and sodic tolerances in soybean. *Breed. Sci.* 61 (5): 559-565.
- Xu, Z., Jiang, Y., Jia, B. and Zhou, G., 2016. Elevated-CO<sub>2</sub> response of stomata and its dependence on environmental factors. *Front.Plant Sci.*, 7, p.657.

- Xu, L., Han, L., and Huang, B. 2019. Antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression patterns in leaves of kentucky bluegrass in response to drought and post-drought recovery. *J. Am. Soc. Hor. Sci.* 136(4): 247-255.
- Yang, L., Liu, H., Wang, Yunxia, Zhu, J., Huang, J., Liu, G., Dong, G., Wang, and Yulong. 2009. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on inter-subspecific hybrid rice cultivar Liangyoupeijiu under fully open-air field conditions. *Field Crops Res.* 112 (1): 7-15.
- Yang, C.J., Zhang, C., Lu, Y.N., Jin, J.Q. and Wang, X.L., 2011. The mechanisms of brassinosteroids' action: from signal transduction to plant development. *Mol. Plant*, 4(4), pp.588-600.
- Yang, P.M., Huang, Q.C., Qin, G.Y., Zhao, S.P., and Zhou, J.G., 2014. Different drought-stress responses in photosynthesis and reactive oxygen metabolism between autotetraploid and diploid rice. *Photosynthetica*. 52(2): 193–202.
- Ye, Q., Zhu, W., Li, L., Zhang, S., Yin, Y., Ma, H. and Wang, X., 2010. Brassinosteroids control male fertility by regulating the expression of key genes involved in Arabidopsis anther and pollen development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(13), pp.6100-6105.
- Yun, H.R., Joo, S.H., Park, C.H., Kim, S.K., Chang, S.C. and Kim, S.Y., 2009. Effects of brassinolide and IAA on ethylene production and elongation in maize primary roots. *J.Plnt.Bio.*, 52(3), pp.268-274.
- Zaharah, S., Razi, M., Zainuddin, M., and Ghani, Y. A. 2006. Growth performance and yield of mango in response to restricted rooting volume. In D. Omar, W. H. Lau, M. P. Jothi, M. Hanafi, K. Salleh., M. H. Ariff, ... T. P. Tee. (Eds), *Proceedings of Agriculture Congress* (pp. 365–371). Kuala Lumpur: PST Enterprise.
- Zakaria, M. A. T. B. 2018. Effects of brassinolide and anti-transpirants under water stress conditions on plant growth and physico-chemical changes of *Musa acuminata colla* cv. Berangan. [Mater Thesis]: University Putra Malaysia.
- Zappe, W. 2012. *Lawn care: so the plant does not need to gasp*. Agrosolution incorporation. Prinz-Eugen-Straße 23.Austria – 4020 Linz. Retrieved in 7 November 2016.
- Zegaoui, Z., Planchais, S., Cabassa, C., Djebbar, R., Belbachir, O.A., Carol, P. 2017. Variation in relative water content, proline accumulation and stress gene expression in two cowpea landraces under drought. *J. Plant Physiol.* 218:26-34.
- Zhang, S., Hu, J., Zhang, Y., Xie, X.J. and Knapp, A., 2007. Seed priming with

brassinolide improves lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.) seed germination and seedling growth in relation to physiological changes under salinity stress. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 58(8), pp.811-815.

- Zhang, C.J., Chen, L., Shi, D.W., Chen, G.X., Lu, C.G., Wang, P., Wang, J., Chu, H.J., Zhou, Q.C., Zuo, M. and Sun, L., 2007. Characteristics of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase and C4 pathway key enzymes in flag leaves of a super-high-yield hybrid rice and its parents during the reproductive stage. *South Afr.J. Bot.*, 73(1), pp.22-28.
- Zhang, M., Zhai, Z., Tian, X., Duan, L. and Li, Z., 2008. Brassinolide alleviated the adverse effect of water deficits on photosynthesis and the antioxidant of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant. Growth Reg.*, 56(3), pp.257-264.
- Zhang, Z., Liu, L., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y. and Wang, Q., 2014. Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment on health-promoting compounds and organoleptic properties of tomato fruits grown in greenhouse. *Food Chem.*, 153, pp.157-163.
- Zhang, Z., and Huang, R. 2016. Analysis of malondialdehyde, chlorophyll proline, soluble sugar, and glutathione content in arabisidopsis seedling. *Bio-protocol*. 3( 14): 1-8.
- Zhang, M., Wang, L.F., Zhang, K., Liu, F.Z. And Wan, Y.S., 2017. Drought-induced responses of organic osmolytes and proline metabolism during pre-flowering stage in leaves of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *J. Inte. Agri.*, 16(10), pp.2197-2205.
- Zhang, C., Shi, S., Wu, F., 2018. Effects of drought stress on root and physiological responses of different drought-tolerant alfalfa varieties. *Scientia Agr.Sin.* 51(5): 868-882.
- Zlatev, Z. S., Lidon, F. C., Ramalho, J. C., and Yordanov, I. T. 2006. Comparison of resistance to drought of three bean cultivars. *Bio. Plant.* 50(3): 389–394.
- Zou, Q. 2000. *The experimental guidance of plant physiology*. Agriculture Press of China, Beijing. Pp 26–158.
- Zulkarnaini, Z. M., Sakimin, S. Z., Mohamed, M. T. M. and Jaafar H. Z. E. 2019a. Effect brassinolide application on growth and physiological changes in two cultivars of fig (*Ficus carica* L.). *Pert. J. Trop.Agr.Sci.* 41(1): 333-346.
- Zulkarnaini, Z. M., Sakimin, S. Z., Mohamed, M. T. M., and Jaafar, H. Z. E. 2019b. Relationship between chlorophyll content and soil plant analytical development values in two cultivars of fig (*Ficus carica* L. ) as brassinolide effect at an open field. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Env.Sci.* 250: 012025.

## BIODATA OF STUDENT

Zulias Mardinata Zulkarnaini was born on March 20, 1980 at Pekanbaru city, Province of Riau, Indonesia. He pursued his primary education at Sekolah Dasar Negeri 018 Pekanbaru. He completed secondary school at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Negeri Pekanbaru and then Sekolah Menengah Umum 05 Pekanbaru. He was conferred his Bachelor in Agricultural Engineering (majoring in Agricultural Mechanization) from Gadjah Mada University (UGM) in 2003.

He then pursued his Master of Agriculture at Islamic University of Riau and Doctor of Philosophy in Crop and Cropping System at the Department of Crop Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, and Malaysia. Now, he works at Islamic University of Riau.

## PUBLICATIONS

### JOURNALS PUBLISHED

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** Sakimin, S.Z., Mahmud, M.T.M., and Jaafar, H.Z.E. 2019. Effect of Brassinolide Application on Growth and Physiological Changes in Two Cultivars of Fig (*Ficus carica* L.). *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Sciences*. Vol 42(1): 333-346.....(Scopus, Q3, IF=0.17).

**Zulkarnaini, Z. M.,** Sakimin, S. Z., Mohamed, M. T. M., and Jaafar, H. Z. 2019. Changes in Leaf Area Index, Leaf Mass Ratio, Net Assimilation Rate, Relative Growth Rate and Specific Leaf Area Two Cultivars of Fig (*Ficus Carica* L.) Treated Under Different Concentrations of Brassinolide. *AGRIVITA Journal of Agricultural Science*, 41(1), 158-165. <https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v41i1.2001>. .....(Scopus, Q3, IF=0.22).

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** Sakimin, S.Z., Mahmud, M.T.M., Jaafar, H.Z.E., and Mellisa. 2019. Responses of Two Fig (*Ficus Carica* L.) Varieties After Receiving Brassinolide on Leaf, Shoot and Root Segment. *Journal of Agronomy*. 18 (2): 80-86. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2019.80.86 .....(Scopus, Q3, IF=0.27).

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** and Mellisa. 2020. Agrosol and Brassinolide Applications Improve Growth and Physiological Responses of fig (*Ficus Carica* L.). *Global Journal of Botanical Science* 8, 40-52. DOI: 10.12974/2311-858X.2020.08.5 .....(Google Scholar, IF=0.22).

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** Sakimin, S.Z., Mahmud, M.T.M., Jaafar, H.Z.E., Amnah, S., and Mellisa. 2020. Anti-transpirant Application Improves the Drought Tolerance of Fig (*Ficus Carica* L.) Under Optimization of Brassinolide. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*. 12: 1-11. DOI: 10.3923/ajcs.2020.1.11.....(Scopus, Q3, IF=0.31).

### JOURNALS ACCEPTED

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** Sakimin, S.Z., Mahmud, M.T.M., and Jaafar, H.Z.E. 2019. Biochemical Responses and Leaf Gas Exchange of Fig (*Ficus Carica* L.) Under Water Stress, Short-Term Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and Optimized Brassinolide Concentration. *Current Plant Biology Journal*. .....(70% Accepted, Scopus, Q1, IF=0.17).

**Zulkarnaini, Z.M.,** Sakimin, S.Z., Mahmud, M.T.M., and Jaafar, H.Z.E. 2019. Comparison of Temperatures, Vapour Pressure Deficit and Water Stress Interaction on Transpiration of During Day and Night Under Different Greenhouse Systems and Effect on Growth of Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) . *Research on Crop Journal*. .....(Under reviewed, Scopus, Q3, IF=0.17).

## PROCEEDING

**Zulkarnaini,Z.M.,** Sakimin,S.Z., Mahmud,M.T.M., and Jaafar,H.Z.E.2019. Relationship Between Extractable Chlorophyll Content and SPAD Values in Two Cultivars of Fig (*Ficus Carica* L.) as Brassinolide Effect at Open Field. In Earth and Environmental Science (Eds.) *Proceedings of The International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture For Rural Development (ICSARD) 2018*, 23-24 October 2018 Java Heritage Hotel, Purwokerto, Indonesia. <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/250/1/012025/pdf>.

## BOOK

**Zulkarnaini,Z.M.,**& Mellisa. 2019. *Brassinolide application as plant growth regulators. Essential for growth and physiological changes in fruit plants*. 1: 52. Germany: Scholars Press. ISBN 978-613-8-82927-0. [www.scholars-press.com/#](http://www.scholars-press.com/#)

## BOOK CHAPTER

**Zulkarnaini,Z.M.,** Sakimin,S.Z., Mahmud,M.T.M., and Jaafar,H.Z.E.. 2020. Impact Brassinolide on two fig varieties in Agroecosystems, ISBN 978-1-83880-528-9. Book edited by: Dr. Marcelo Larramendy. Available from: <https://mts.intechopen.com/booksprocess/aboutthebook/chapter/212336/book/9685>