

FUZZY LOGIC SYSTEM AND MEDIATION DEVICE NODE WITH GRIDS FOR ENERGY SAVING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

EMAD MOHAMMED SALAMEH IBBINI

FSKTM 2019 58



FUZZY LOGIC SYSTEM AND MEDIATION DEVICE NODE WITH GRIDS FOR ENERGY SAVING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK



EMAD MOHAMMED SALAMEH IBBINI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

April 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved one's.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

FUZZY LOGIC SYSTEM AND MEDIATION DEVICE NODE WITH GRIDS FOR ENERGY SAVING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

By

EMAD MOHAMMED SALAMEH IBBINI

April 2019

Chairman : Kweh Yeah Lun, PhD Faculty : Computer Science and Information Systems

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) are widely used in many applications. However, it still suffering from many issues such as packet loss, idle listening, high delay, and distance between nodes which all contribute to increase energy consumption and dissipation in WSN. In order to reduce this energy dissipation, this research concentrates on the Hierarchal routing Medium Access Control (MAC) protocols on how to solve the aforementioned problems. This research proposes the Grid Mediation Device (GMD) Node to minimize the idle listening of the active dura- tion for each node, the nodes are put to sleep mode for a reasonably long period of time and they wake up for a short time only to receive data. This behavior reduces the energy consumption for each sensor while minimizing the delay since the clusterheads will not wait for the sleeping nodes that have no data to send. Thereafter, the active clusterheads will be also put in the sleeping mode once they finish their data transmission. Simulation and mathematical model of the proposed GMD protocol have confirmed that the proposed GMD can improve the lifetime for all sensor nodes in the network, due to minimizing the idle listening time for each node. Moreover, adding Multi-levels of grids and Multi-level of MD node to the GMD protocol that will minimize the distance of sending data from each level cluster grid to another level until reach to the base station instead of sending data directly from any cluster to the base station, because the path between nodes and cluster head is not reliable and the nodes are far from each other in different grid. This research leads to reduce packets lost problem as well makes the network more reliable which is another contribution of this study. Lastly, this research adding Fuzzy logic system to the grids to minimize the problem of the distance between nodes as using three fuzzy logic criteria for each node will lead to choosing the optimal location and energy for each clusterhead and each node. The three pro- posed protocols have been compared to the Two-Dimensional Technique Based on Centre of Gravity and Energy (TDTCGE) protocol which is used two-dimensional technical centers (energy Centres and Gravity Centres) that will help to reduce the energy consumption and distances between nodes. Moreover, some of the proposed protocol derives better system performance such as end-



to-end delay, throughput, lifetime, and energy saving. The Grid Mediation Device (GMD) protocol with Mathematical model has improved the lifetime around 88% as compared with the TDTCGE. In addition, The Fuzzy Logic grids (FLG) protocol saves more than 8% energy battery by adding the Fuzzy logic with the three criteria's (Distance between nodes, distance to the basestation, residual energy) that will minimize the radius competitions between nodes and the clusterhead, and between the nodes and BS by 52% more than TDTCGE protocol. GMD Simulation protocol de- creases the mean of delay by 40% because of the sleeping mode, and which node has data that it has the turn to transmit data only. In addition, Multilevel GMD Proposed protocol reduces the packets lost by 65%. This Research Work improves the lifetime and saving more energy which is also more reliable and efficiency for the wireless sensor network.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SISTEM LOGIK KABUR DAN NOD PERANTI PENGANTARAAN BERGRID UNTUK PENJIMATAN TENAGA DALAM RANGKAIAN PENDERIA TANPA WAYAR

Oleh

EMAD MOHAMMED SALAMEH IBBINI

UPM April 2019

Pengerusi : Kweh Yeah Lun, PhD Fakulti : Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Rangkaian Sensor Tanpa Wayar (WSN) digunakan secara meluas dalam banyak aplikasi. Walau bagaimanapun, ia masih mengalami banyak masalah seperti Pelang- garaan paket, pendengaran tidak aktif dan kelewatan transmisi menyumbang kepada pembaziran tenaga dalam Rangkaian Sensor Tanpa Wayar (WSN). Bagi mengu- rangkan kehilangan tenaga, kajian ini memberi perhatian kepada protokol yang dinamakan sebagai Kawalan Capaian Medium Pengalhalan Bertingkat (MAC) untuk mengurangkan penggunaan tenaga, kelewatan dan juga memperbaiki peng-hantaran serta jangka hayat bagi WSNs. Dengan menggunakan nod Mediation Device (MD) bagi meminimumkan pendengaran tidak aktif semasa tempoh ak- tif bagi setiap nod, nodnod diletakkan dalam mod tidur untuk satu tempoh masa yang munasabah.Nod-nod ini akan hanya bangun dalam tempoh yang singkat untuk memerima paket. Proses ini memperbaiki jangka hayat untuk se- tiap sensor dan pada masa yang sama meminimumkan kelewatan kerana Ketua Kluster tidak akan menunggu nod-nod yang tiada penghantaran data. Dengan ini juga, Ketua Kluster akan berada dalam keadaan tidur. Grid Mediation De- vice (GMD) yang dicadangkan akan menggunakan nod MD dengan grid di dalam rangkaian dua dimensi di mana paket beacon digunakan untuk meletakkan nod- nod dalam mod tidur apabila nod-nod ini tiada penghantaran data. Ini akan membantu menjimatkan penggunaan tenaga dalam rangkaian. Selain daripada simulasi keputusan dipaparkan, satu formula matematik juga telah dicadangkan untuk memperbaiki jangka hayat untuk semua nod-nod sensor di dalam rangka- ian menggunakan protokol GMD. Malahan, penambahan grid pelbagai tahap dan MD pelbagai tahap kepada protokol GMD membantu meminimumkan kehilan- gan paket dan kelewatan. Ini membuatkan rangkaian lebih boleh dipercayai and seterusnya menjadi satu lagi sumbangan dalam kajian ini. Ini kerana laluan di antara nod dan Ketua Kluster secara umumnya lebih boleh dipercayai dan nod- nod adalah jauh antara satu sama lain. Akhir sekali, kajian ini menambah sistem logik Kabur kepada grid bagi menentukan nod sensor yang optimum dan juga lokasi Ketua Kluster. Selain itu, kajian



ini mengaplikasikan sistem logik Kabur kepada grid-grid. Ini juga membantu mengurangkan masalah yang dikaitkan den- gan jarak komunikasi nod-nod sensor (secara bersama) dan juga kehilangan tenaga oleh nod-nod sensor dan cluster heads mereka. Tiga protokol yang dicadangkan telah dibandingkan dengan protokol Two-Dimensional Technique Based on Centre of Gravity and Energy (TDTCGE) di mana ia menggunakan teknik dua dimensi (i.e. pusat tenaga dan pusat graviti) untuk mengurangkan penggunaan tenaga dan jarak antara nod. Malahan, sesetengah protokol yang dicadangkan menghasilkan prestasi sistem yang lebih baik dari segi kelewatan hujung ke hujung, penghan- taran, jangka hayat dan penjimatan tenaga. Protokol GMD dan model matem- atiknya memperbaiki jangka hayat TDTCGE dengan anggaran 88%. Tambahan pula, protokol logik kabur grids (FLG) menjimatkan lebih 8% tenaga bateri hasil daripada penambahan logik kabur kepada ketiga-tiga kriteria (iaitu jarak antara nod, jarak antara styesn utama, dan baki tenaga). Mekanisma ini meminimumkan radius persaingan antara nod dan Ketua Kluster mereka, dan nod-nod dengan BS sebanyak 52% bila dibandingkan dengan protokol TDTCGE. Simulasi pro- tokol GMD mengurangkan purata kelewatan sebanyak 40% kerana ia melibatkan mod tidur. Tambahan juga, protokol GMD pelbagai tahap yang dicadangkan ini mengurangkan kehilangan paket sebanyak 65%. Kajian ini berjaya memperbaiki jangka hayat rangkaian dan menjimatkan tenaga serta menyediakan rangkaian yang boleh dipercayai dan ceka.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank many people who have contributed to this research endeavor. First, I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Kweh Yeah Lun. His trust, en- couragement, support, and guidance provided an enjoyable atmosphere to pursue knowledge and grow intellectually.

Prof.Mohamed Othman, Dr. Zurina Mohd Hanapi my co-advisor, provided in- sightful feedback on the technical aspects of this research.

Since my parents always assume responsibility for the good and the bad, I want to thank them for their tireless efforts in raising me, my sister, and mybrothers to honor the Lord, respect other people, and pursue excellence. My father, Prof. Mohammed Ibbini, taught me how to reach beyond my capabilities and serve others, and my mother makes for me Doaa taught me how to love and forgive. Finally, I would like to thank parents, who have contributed countless hours in direct support of this work. We truly set out and completed this journey together.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Kweh Yeah Lun, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohamed Othman, PhD

Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Zurina Mohd Hanapi, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No: Emad Mohammed Salameh Ibbini, GS38379

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:	
Name of Chairman	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Kweh Yeah Lun
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Mohamed Othman
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Zurina Mohd Hanapi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
ARS	FR A C'	г	i
ABST	TRAC.	1	-
ACK	NOWI	LEDGEMENTS	v
APPI	ROVA	L	vi
DEC	LARA	TION	viii
LIST	OFT	ABLES	xiii
LIST	OF F	IGURES	xiv
LIST	OF A	BBREVIATIONS	xvii
	DUDD		
	PTER		1
1		RODUCTION Describ Deskursed	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Research Objectives	3
	1.4	Research Scope	3
	1.5	Thesis Organization	4
	1.0	Thesis Organisation	5
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	Wireless Sensor Network	7
		2.2.1 WSN Challenges	7
		2.2.2 Network Structure	8
	2.3	Medium Access Control (MAC)	9
		2.3.1 Schedule-based MAC Protocols	9
	2.4	Routing Protocol for WSN	10
	2.5	HIERARCHICAL PROTOCOL	10
		2.5.1 LEACH	10
		2.5.2 TCCA	12
		2.5.3 M-LEACH Protocol	12
		2.5.4 LEACH-C Protocol	12
		2.5.5 TL-LEACH Protocol	12
		2.5.6 VLEACH Protocol	13
		2.5.7 PEGASIS	13
		2.5.8 Hierarchical-PEGASIS	14
		2.5.9 TEEN	15
		2.5.10 APTEEN	15
		2.5.11 MIUS	16
		2.5.12 EUHEKP	10
		2.5.13 UEEKP	1/
		2.5.14 UKUWSN	1/
		2.5.15 UMKP	18
		2.5.10 A cluster of Cluster Heads	18
		2.5.1/ Gossiping	18

	2.6 2.7 2.8	 2.5.18 CRCWSN 2.5.19 CMRP 2.5.20 A cluster of Cluster Heads 2.5.21 EBC 2.5.22 CBER 2.5.23 TDTCGE Fuzzy logic Clustering protocols Discussions Summary 	19 19 20 20 20 23 32 32
3	RESE	EARCH METHODOLOGY	33
	3.1	Introduction	33
	3.2	Notations and Definitions	33
	3.3	Research Framework	34
	3.4	The Proposed Schemes	35
		3.4.1 Problem Formulation	36
		3.4.2 Implementation of Previous Work	36
	3.5	Network Definition and Assumptions	36
		3.5.1 Radio Management	36
		3.5.2 Energy dissipation sources	38
		3.5.3 Idle listening	38
		3.5.4 System models	38
		3.5.5 Network model	38
		3.5.6 Energy consumption model	39
	2.6	3.5.7 The Lifetime Model	40
	3.0	Conducting simulation experiments	40
	3.1	Europerimental Solution	41
	5.0 2.0	Derformence metrice	41
	5.9	2 0.1 Throughput	42
		3.9.1 Infoughput	42
		3.0.3 End to and delay	42 13
		3.9.4 Energy Consumption	43
		3.9.5 Network lifetime	
		3.9.6 First Node Dies (FND)	43
		3.9.7 Last Node Dies (LND)	44
		3.9.8 Packet loss	44
	3.10	Performance Validation	44
	3.11	Summary	46
4		ZY LOGIC GRIDS PROTOCOL (FLG)	47 47
	4.1 1 2	I G Protocol Models	47
	т. <i>2</i> ДЗ	FL G Protocol (Fuzzy logic Grids)	$\frac{1}{47}$
	т.Ј	4 3 1 Fuzzy Ranking System	
		4.3.2 Fuzzy Logic with the Two Centers	55
	44	Results and Discussion	58
	4.5	Summary	62

xi

5	GRID	MEDIATION DEVICE (GMD PROTOCOL)	63
	5.1	Introduction	63
		5.1.1 Setup Phase	63
		5.1.2 Steady State Phase	63
	5.2	Algorithm for GMD Protocol	67
	5.3	GMD Simulation Models	71
	5.4	GMD Simulation Protocol	71
	5.5	GMD Mathematical Model	71
	5.6	Simulation analysis and Results	75
	5.7	Discussions and Result	90
	5.8	Summary	91
6	GRID	MULTILEVEL DEVICE (GMD MULTLEVEL)	92
	6.1	Introduction	92
	6.2	GMD Multilevel Protocol Models	92
	6.3	GMD Multilevel Protocol	92
		6.3.1 Setup Phase	93
	6.4	GMD Multilevel Protocol	93
		6.4.1 Setup Phase	93
		6.4.2 Steady-state Phase	94
	6.5	Results and Discussion	98
		6.5.1 Performance Metrics	98
	6.6	Summary	101
7	CONC	CLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	103
	7.1	Conclusion	103
	7.2	Future work	104
REFE	RENCI	ES	105
APPE	NDICE	S	112
BIOD	ATA O	FSTUDENT	119
LIST	OF PU	BLICATIONS	120

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Routing schemes comparison	22
2.2	Routing Schemas Comparison 2	23
2.3	Overview of the existing reliable routing protocols	24
3.1	Notations and Definitions Parameters	33
3.2	Simulation Parameter	41
3.3	Notation of parameters	42
4.1	Decision-making criteria for optimal cluster head	53

5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	re	Page
1.1	Small sensors devices [1]	1
1.2	Wireless Sensor Networks routing protocol	4
1.3	Research Contributions	5
2.1	PEGASIS	14
2.2	Hierarchical-PEGASIS protocol	14
2.3	TEEN protocol [2]	15
2.4	Example of all membership functions [3]	25
2.5	Fuzzy logic Model	25
2.6	Fuzzification process [4]	26
2.7	Example of (rule evaluation) [4]	27
2.8	Clipped and scaled membership functions [4]	28
2.9	Aggregation of the rule outputs [4]	28
3.1	The research framework	34
3.2	Radio Power States	37
3.3	Radio Model	39
3.4	Energy Consumption	45
3.5	Shows the lifetime of the network	46
4.1	Fuzzy logic process	47
4.2	Three fuzzy cluster-head elections	49
4.3	Fuzzy input variable Distance to Base	50
4.4	Fuzzy input variable Distance between nodes	50
4.5	Fuzzy set for fuzzy input variable Residual Energy	51
4.6	Fuzzy sets for a competition radius fuzzy output variable	51
4.7	Centre of gravity	52

	4.8	Shows the rank of nodes based on energy	54
	4.9	Shows the ranking of nodes based on distance from a node to BS	55
	4.10	The BS will choose the best 9 cluster heads for the first stage	56
	4.11	Pseudo code for FLG protocol	57
	4.12	The lifetime for the FLG-proposed protocol	58
	4.13	Lifetimes for protocols	59
	4.14	Shows distance between centres and CH after adding fuzzy logic	60
	4.15	Shows distance between centres and CH for (TDTCGE)	61
	4.16	The amount of energy consumption during rounds	62
	5.1	Case 1: if there is no data	64
	5.2	Case 2: when a node has data	65
	5.3	Network environment for TDTCGE	67
	5.4	Pseudo code of GMD protocol	68
	5.5	Pseudo code of GMD protocol	69
	5.6	The flowchart of the GMD	70
	5.7	Energy conservation and overhead for sleep modes	72
	5.8	Sleep and wakeup cycle	73
	5.9	Network environment of GMD Protocol	74
	5.10	Life Time Protocols	75
	5.11	The mean delay (in mile seconds) between TDTCGE and GMD	76
	5.12	Throughputs	77
	5.13	Energy consumption	78
	5.14	Duty cycle	79
	5.15	A lifetime of the GMD mathematical model, TDTCGE, and TDTCGE with time parameters	81
	5.16	When position of BS= $(0,0)$	82
	5.17	When the position of $BS = (50, 50)$	83

5.18	When the position of BS= $(100, 100)$	84
5.19	When grid=4	85
5.20	When grid=9	87
5.21	When grid=16	88
5.22	When the Base station at (75,125)	89
6.1	Multilevel GMD reliable path	94
6.2	Pseudo code GMD Multilevel (setup phase)	96
6.3	Pseudo code GMD Multilevel (steady state phase)	97
6.4	Research parameters	98
6.5	Dropped packets during each round	99
6.6	Number of messages received during rounds	100
6.7	DutyCycle	101

C

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- LEACH Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy
- MAC Medium Access Control
- RTS Request To Send
- S-MAC Sensor MAC
- TCP Transport Control Protocol
- TDMA Time Division Multiple Access
- T-MAC Timeout MAC
- TDTCGE Two Dimensional Technique Based On Center of Gravity and Energy Center
- UDP Universal Data Protocol
- WLAN Wireless Local Area Network
- WPAN Wireless Personal Area Network
- WSN Wireless Sensor Network
- CDMA Code Division Multiple Access
- RTS Request To Send
- CTS Clear To Send
- WSN Wireless Sensor Networks
- ACK Acknowledgment
- MD Mediation Device
- GMD Grid Mediation Device
- FLG Fuzzy Logic Grid
- CBR Constant Bit Rate
- BS Base Station
- SNR Clusterhead Signal to Noise Ratio

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Recent advances in micro-electromechanical systems, tiny microprocessors, and low power radio technologies have led to the creation of low-cost, low-power, multifunctional, miniature sensor devices that can detect and react to changes in the physical phenomena of their surrounding environments. Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) typically consist of a base station or sink node and some wireless sensor nodes. Each sensor node is a unit with wireless networking capability that can collect and process data independently. Figure 1.1 shows a sample sensor node [1]. These small system plat-forms which integrate sensors, processors, and transceivers have also been referred to as motes [5, 6].



Figure 1.1 : Small sensors devices [1]

WSNs are envisioned for a wide range of applications, from environmental surveillance, inventory tracking, health monitoring, and home automation to applications as diverse as even networking in or around a human body. Sensor networks mon- itor phenomena as diverse as moisture, temperature, speed, and location using optical, motion, and piezoelectric detectors.

For many of these applications, the sensor networks will share some common fea- tures. Since small batteries will power nodes, the radio itself, together with the protocol stack design must be energy-efficient.

The term "energy efficiency" is regarded as a major consideration and one of the most important requirements in WSN. Sensor nodes are expected to operate for long periods of time, running off batteries or ambient energy sources. Because the biggest consumer of energy is the radio, many researchers have focused on creating energy-efficient or low-energy-consuming routing MAC protocols [3, 5, 6, 7, 8].

The most important challenge for all WSNs is to minimise energy consumption. Increasing the energy efficiency of the network leads to prolonging the battery and network lifetime. This may be achieved by considering energy awareness issues in all aspects of the design and operation of each sensor node. Moreover, energy-saving protocols and techniques need to be designed for collective groups of communicating sensor nodes to have better overall performance and improved energy efficiency in many WSN routing MAC protocols.

1.2 Problem Statement

Energy efficiency is considered to be the main challenge for WSNs, and it has a large impact on a given system's lifetime. Each sensor, within a WSN, consists of different energy consuming parts, namely the Central Processing Unit (CPU), the microcontroller, the transmitter, and the receiver. The current research is done in the area of hierarchy routing Medium Access control (MAC) protocols in WSNs. Specifically, there are some of the proposed hierarchical routing MAC algorithms that does not satisfy all these requirements of previous protocols. Previous re-searches have extensively studied and proposed different Hierarchy routing MAC protocols. One of such is the Two-Dimensional Technique Based on Centre of Gravity and Energy Centre in WSN (TDTCGE) protocol that aims at addressing the problem of energy consumption by choosing the nearest node to the basesta- tion as a clusterhead. Although the TDTCGE effectively reduces this distance, it has left several other issues unsolved. These include:

- The TDTCGE research has not solved the problem of idle listening for each cluster head. Idle listening consumes a lot of energy in the TDTCGE because the cluster head radio transceiver is always ON which consumes a lot of energy which leads to minimize lifetime for each sensor in the network.
- The research of the TDTCGE has not minimised the radius distance between each node. This increases the energy consumed during clusterhead selection. Furthermore, clusterheads are far a way of basestation and centers.
- At the same time, the TDTCGE research focused on single hop routing, However, the incurred delay in sending data between clusters for routing the data to the basestation have not been addressed. Also, farther away from the cluster head incur delay when sending data to the basestation which warrants an improvement in throughput performance.

• The TDTCGE research loses many packets because clusterheads sends data directly from far away distance which leads to packets loss; additionally, the TDTCGE research needs to minimise the distance between nodes to choose a suitable node position and optimal path.

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary goal of this research is to propose an efficient protocol with a mathe- matical model that increases the network lifetime for wireless sensor networks and improves the performance of the WSN. To achieve this aim, the following are the main objectives to be accomplished in this thesis:

- To propose a GMD mathematical model to reduce idle listening on the radio transceiver. This will improve the lifetime of the network.
- To propose a multiple criteria's selection strategy with grids to minimise the radius distance between nodes in the TDTCGE protocol in order to save energy.
- To propose a GMD protocol that minimizes the sensor node transfer delay to the basestation and improves on the throughput performance.
- To propose a protocol of Multilevel GMD that minimises the loss packets from the source node to the destination node more effectively than in the TDTCGE protocol.

1.4 Research Scope

As previously mentioned, the major sources of energy dissipation are those of idle listening. Many routing protocols have been proposed to overcome these energy-wasting processes. In this work, we focus on enhancing the TDTCGE Routing Protocol.

This work particularly falls under the Wireless Sensor Networks routing protocol. WSN routing protocols are divided into four parts: route processing, network structure, network operations, initiator of communications.

Network Structures are divided into three parts: flat protocols, hierarchal protocol, and location-based protocol. Our work falls under the category of the hierarchical protocols (see Figure 1.2).





Figure 1.2 : Wireless Sensor Networks routing protocol

1.5 Research Contributions

The main contributions of this research are described as follows:

- i. Developing an efficient protocol by adding fuzzy logic with grids to choose an optimal cluster head by using three criteria (distance to BS, the distance between sensor nodes and residual energy) to minimise the radius distance between nodes and save their energy in WSN.
- ii. Developing an efficient protocol by adding an MD node with grids which introduces sleep beacons to minimise the idle listening and delay in the TDTCGE protocol and improve its throughput performance.
- iii. Designing a mathematical model for an MD node will improve the lifetime by using mathematical formulas.
- iv. Designing a GMD Multi-level protocol which reduces the packet loss of the TDTCGE protocol. (see Figure 1.3).



Figure 1.3 : Research Contributions

1.6 Thesis Organisation

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2, introduces the WSN challenges, and techniques aimed at achieving energy efficiency. Related works have also been discussed with comparisons. Chapter 3 describes the methodology of the research work explaining all the processes in details. It focuses on two main energy consuming consumption and proposes a technique to overcome these problems. Chapter 4 discusses the proposed fuzzy logic system with grids and clarify all the phases and the stages of this fuzzy logic system. Chapter 5 presents the proposed the GMD protocol. Here the functional procedure of the MD node with its impact on message transfer delay and throughput are described. This chapter also explores the GMD mathematical model with grids and the effective formulas on the network life time. Chapter 6 illustrates the GMD Multilevel protocol and the optimal reliable path with its effect on reducing the packet loss. Chapter 7 concludes this research and recommends some promising directions for future research.

REFERENCES

- [1.] B. A. Attea and E. A. Khalil, "new evolutionary based routing protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *in Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 12, pp. 1950–1957, 2012.
- [2.] S. Lindsey and C. S. Raghavendra, "Pegasis: Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems," in IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings pp, vol. 3, pp. 3–1130, 2002.
- [3.] O. C. Omeni, O. Eljamaly, and A. J. Burdett, "Energy efficient medium access protocol for wireless medical body area sensor networks," in *International Summer School and Symposium on Medical Devices and Biosensors*, 2007, pp. 29–32.
- [4.] G. V. Merrett and Y. K. Tan, *Wireless sensor networks: application-centric design*. InTech, 2010.
- [5.] W. R. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "Energy- efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2000.
- [6.] W. Ye, J. Heidemann, and D. Estrin, "An energy-efficient mac protocol for wireless sensor networks," in Proceeding of INFOCOM, vol. 2002, pp. 1567– 1576, 2002.
- [7.] P. Joseph, H. Jason, and C. David, "Versatile low power media access for wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Embedded networked sensor systems Baltimore*. MD, USA: ACM, 2004.
- [8.] G. P. Halkes and K. G. Langendoen, "Crankshaft: An energy-efficient macprotocol for dense wireless sensor networks," in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol. 4373:*, *Heidelberg.* Berlin: Springer, 2007, pp. 228–244.
- [9.] Y. Wei, H. John, and E. Deborah, "Medium access control with coordinated adaptive sleeping for wireless sensor networks," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 12, pp. 493–506, 2004.
- [10.] B. Bougard, F. Catthoor, D. C. Daly, A. Chandrakasan, and W. Dehaene, "Energy efficiency of the ieee 802.15.4 standard in dense wireless microsensor networks: modeling and improvement perspectives," in IEEE Proceedings of Design, Automation and Test in Europe pp, pp. 196–201, 2005.
- [11.] R. Szewczyk, J. Polastre, A. Mainwaring, and D. Culler, "Lessons from a sensor network expedition," in *vol. 2920:*, *Heidelberg.* Berlin: Springer, 2004, pp. 307–322.

- [12.] S. Robert, M. Alan, P. Joseph, A. John, and C. David, "An analysis of a largescale habitat monitoring application," in *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Embedded networked sensor systems Baltimore*. MD, USA: ACM, 2004.
- [13.] I. Mohammad, M. Imad, and K. Laurie, "Handbook of sensor networks: Compact wireless and wired sensing systems: Crc press, inc," vol. 2004.
- [14.] M. P. Rajasekaran, S. Radhakrishnan, and P. Subbaraj, "Remote monitor- ing of post-operative patients using wireless sensor networks," *International Journal of Healthcare Technology and Management*, vol. 9, pp. 247–257, 2008.
- [15.] R. Ramanathan and J. Redi, "A brief overview of ad hoc networks: challenges and directions," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 40, pp. 20–22, May 2002.
- [16.] K. Holger and W. Andreas, "Protocols and architectures for wireless sensor networks: John wiley sons," vol. 2005.
- [17.] M. Messina, Y. Y. Lim, E. Lawrence, D. Martin, and F. Kargl, "Implementing and validating an environmental and health monitoring system" in fifth international conference on information technology: New generations, 2008, pp. 994-999."
- [18.] K. Sohrabi, J. Gao, V. Ailawadhi, and G. J. Pottie, "Protocols for self- organization of a wireless sensor network," *IEEE Personal Communications*, vol. 7, pp. 16–27, 2000.
- [19.] H. Douglas, S. Vinaitheerthan, L. Yung-Hsiang, B. Saurabh, and L. Zhiyuan, "Adaptive correctness monitoring for wireless sensor networks using hierarchical distributed run-time invariant checking," ACM Trans. Auton. Adapt, vol. 2, 2007.
- [20.] B. Marcel, K. Reiner, and M. Clemens, "A method for self-organizing communication in wsn based localization systems: Hashslot," in *Proceedings of the 32nd IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks: IEEE Computer Society*, 2007.
- [21.] I. F. Akyildiz and I. H. Kasimoglu, "Wireless sensor and actorstar, open networks: research challenges," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 2, pp. 351–367, October 2004.
- [22.] D. T. van and L. Koen, "An adaptive energy-efficient mac protocol for wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference* on *Embedded networked sensor systems Los Angeles*. California, USA: ACM, 2003.
- [23.] G. Pei and C. Chien, "Low power tdma in large wireless sensor networks," *inIEEE Military Communications Conference, MILCOM*, vol. 2001, 2001.

- [24.] M. Younis, M. Youssef, and K. Arisha, "Energy-aware routing in cluster-based sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Symposium on Modeling*. Analysis, and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunications Systems (MASCOTS'02): IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- [25.] A. K. Das, R. J. Marks, P. Arabshahi, and A. Gray, "Power controlled minimum frame length scheduling in tdma wireless networks with sectored antennas," *in IEEE Proceeding of INFOCOM*, vol. 2005, no. 24, pp. 1782–1793, 2005.
- [26.] K. Langendoen and G. Halkes, *Energy Efficient Medium Access Control.* in Embedded Systems Handbook: CRC, 2005.
- [27.] S. Selvakennedy and S. S. Sinnappan, "A configurable time-controlled clustering algorithm for wireless sensor networks," 2005, in Parallel and Distributed Systems, Proceedings. 11th International Conference on, vol. 2.
- [28.] Z. Cheng, M. Perillo, B. Tavli, W. Heinzelman, S. Tilak, and N. Abu-Ghazaleh, "Protocols for local data delivery in wireless microsensor networks," vol. 45, August 2002.
- [29.] A. Manjeshwar and D. P. Agrawal, "Teen: a routing protocol for enhanced efficiency in wireless sensor networks," *in Proceedings*, vol. 15, pp. 2009–2015, 2001.
- [30.] V. Loscr'i, G. Morabito, and S. Marano, "A two-levels hierarchy for low- energy adaptive clustering hierarchy," *[*, vol. 13, 2006.
- [31.] M. Yassein, A. Al-zou'bi, Y. Khamayseh, and W. Mardini, "Improvement on leach protocol of wireless sensor network (vleach)," JDCTA: International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 132–136, 2009.
- [32.] Y. Yu, R. Govindan, and D. Estrin., "Geographical and energy aware rout- ing: A recursive data dissemination protocol for wireless sensor networks," UCLA/CSD-TR-01-0023, UCLA, Technical Report, May 2001.
- [33.] N. H. Mak2 and W. K. G. Seah1, "How long is the lifetime of a wireless sensor network?" School of Computing, National University of Singapore, IEEE Manuscript submitted to AINA ., vol. 2009.
- [34.] A. Manjeshwar and D. P. Agrawaly", "Apteen: A hybrid protocol for efficient routing and comprehensive information retrieval in wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS. 02)/02 17.00§c IEEE, 2002, pp.1530 – -2075.
- [35.] S. Ganesh and R. Amutha, "Efficient and secure routing protocol for wireless sensor networks through optimal power control and optimal handoff-based recovery mechanism," *Journal of Computer Networks and Communications*, vol. 2012, 2012.

- [36.] S. A. Nikolidakis, D. Kandris, D. D. Vergados, and C. Douligeris, "Energy effi- cient routing in wireless sensor networks through balanced clustering," *Algorithms*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 29–42, 2013.
- [37.] N. Batra, A. Jain, and S. Dhiman, "An optimized energy efficient routing algorithm for wireless sensor network," *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Creative Engineering*, vol. 1, p. 5, 2011.
- [38.] A. G. Delavar and A. A. Baradaran, "Crcwsn: Presenting a routing algorithm by using re-clustering to reduce energy consumption in wsn. international journal of computers communications control, 2013. (isi)(issn 1841-9836) 8(1): p. 61-69."
- [39.] S. Sharma and S. K. Jena, "Cluster based multipath routing protocol for wireless sensor networks," *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 14–20, 2015.
- [40.] V. V. Deshpande and A. R. B. Patil, "Energy efficient clustering in wireless sensor network using cluster of cluster heads," *In Wireless and Optical Communications Networks (WOCN)*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–5, 2013.
- [41.] A. Norouzi, F. S. Babamir, and A. H. Zaim, "A novel energy efficient routing protocol in wireless sensor networks," *Wireless Sensor Network*, vol. 3, p. 10, 2011.
- [42.] B. Nazir and H. Hasbullah, "Energy balanced clustering in wireless sensor network," *In Information Technology (ITSim)*, vol. 2010, pp. 569–574, 2010.
- [43.] S. K. Mammu, A. Sharma, U. Hernandez-Jayo, and N. Sainz, "A novel clusterbased energy efficient routing in wireless sensor networks," *In Advanced informa- tion networking and applications (AINA)*, vol. 2013, pp. 41– 47, 2013.
- [44.] A. Heydariyan, A. A. Baradaran, and E. Rezaei, "" tdtcge: Two dimensional technique based on center of gravity and energy center in wireless sensor network"," *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research (ISI)(ISSN:*, vol. 2090, pp. 194–201, 2013.
- [45.] I. F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, "Wireless sensor networks: a survey," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 38, pp. 393–422, 2002.
- [46.] N. Q. U. K. Z. J. N. Shakeel, U.; Jan, "Drads: Depth and reliability aware delay sensitive routing protocol for underwater wsns." In Proceedings of the 2016 10th International Conference on Innovative Mobile and Internet Services in Ubiquitous Computing (IMIS), Fukuoka, Japan,, p. 78–83, 2016.
- [47.] I. K. T. H. M. K. Z. Q. U. J. N. Shah, P.M.; Ullah, "Mobisink: Cooperative routing protocol for underwater sensor networks with sink mobility." In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE 30th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA), Crans-

Montana, Switzerland,, p. 189–197, 2016.

- [48.] S. A. A. I. M. K. A. G. M. Javaid, N.; Hussain, "Region based cooperative rout- ing in underwater wireless sensor networks." J. Netw. Comput. Appl., vol. 92, p. 31–41., 2017.
- [49.] N. G. S. I. S. I. M. H. N. N. K. Abbasi, J.S.; Javaid, "Balanced energy efficient rect- angular routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks." In Proceedings of the 2017 13th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), Valencia, Spain,, p. 1634–1640., 2017.
- [50.] Y. K. I. Rahman, M.A.; L ee, "Eecor: An energy-efficient cooperative opportunistic routing protocol for underwater acoustic sensor networks." *EEE Access*, vol. 6, p. 14119–14132., 2017.
- [51.] A. A. I. K. K.-M. M. H. Shah, S.; Khan, "Localization free energy efficient and cooperative routing protocols for underwater wireless sensor networks." *Symmetry*, vol. 10, p. 498, 2018.
- [52.] J.-M. Kim, S.-H. Park, Y.-J. Han, and T.-M. Chung, "Chef: cluster head election mechanism using fuzzy logic in wireless sensor networks," *In Advanced communi- cation technology*, vol. 2008, no. 10, pp. 654–659, 2008.
- [53.] H. Su and X. Zhang, Energy-efficient clustering system model and Reconfigura- tion schemes for wireless sensor networks. in proc. of the 40thConference on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS 2006), March 2006, 2006.
- [54.] J. C. Bezdek, R. Ehrlich, and W. Full, "Fcm: The fuzzy c- means clustering algorithm," *Computers Geosciences*, vol. 10, no. 2-3, pp. 255–260, 2005.
- [55.] D. R. Gupta, Indranil and S. Sampalli, "Cluster-head election using fuzzy logic for wireless sensor networks," In Communication Networks and Services Research Conference, 2005. Proceedings of the 3rd Annual, . IEEE, 2005.
- [56.] C. Otto, A. Milenkovic, C. Sanders, and E. Jovanov, "System architecture of a wireless body area sensor network for ubiquitous health monitoring," *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, vol. 1, pp. 307–326, 2006.
- [57.] H. Wang, P. Wang, P. Tu, and J. Yang, "A redundant and energy- efficient cluster- head selection protocol for wireless sensor network," in *International Conference on Communication Software and*, I. Second, Ed. 2010: Networks, pp. 554–558.
- [58.] F. Al-Ma'aqbeh, O. Banimelhem, E. Taqieddin, F. Awad, and M. Mowafi, "Fuzzy logic-based energy efficient adaptive clustering protocol," in *Proceedings* of the 3rd International Conference on Information and Communication Systems. p. 21. ACM, 2012.

- [59.] P. Nayak and A. Devulapalli, "A fuzzy logic-based clustering algorithm for wsn to extend the network lifetime," *IEEE sensors journal*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 137–144, 2016.
- [60.] P. Nayak, D. Anurag, and V. V. N. A. Bhargavi, "Fuzzy based method super cluster head election for wireless sensor network with mobile base station (fmschm)," in *Proc. 2nd Int.* Conf. Adv. Comput. Methodol, 2013, pp. 422–427.
- [61.] T. Sharma and B. Kumar, "F-mchel: Fuzzy based master cluster head election leach protocol in wireless sensor network," *International Journal of Computer Science and Telecommunications*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 8–13, 2012.
- [62.] C. W. G. S. Chen, M. and V. C. Leung, "Balanced itinerary planning for multiple mobile agents in wireless sensor networks," *In International Conference on Ad Hoc Networks, Springer*, p. 416–428., 2010a.
- [63.] W. Alec and E. C. David, "A transmission control scheme for media access in sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 7th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking Rome*. Italy: ACM, 2001.
- [64.] D. G. P. Halkes and K. G. Langendoen, "T. v," in "Comparing Energy-Saving MAC Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks, Mobile Networks and Applications, Netherlands, vol. 10, 2005, pp. 783–791.
- [65.] I. E.T.F. (IETF), in Adhoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing draft- ietf-manet-aodv-13: Mobile Ad Hoc Networking Working Group, 2003.
- [66.] R. G. Sargent, "Verification and validation of simulation models," *Journal of sim- ulation*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 12–24., 2013.
- [67.] R. Rathna and A. Sivasubramanian, "Improving energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks through scheduling and routing," *IJASSN*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 21–7, 2012.
- [68.] S. Eugene, C. Seong-Hwan, I. Nathan, M. Rex, S. Amit, W. Alice, and C. Anantha, "Physical layer driven protocol and algorithm design for energyefficient wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 7th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking Rome.* Italy: ACM, 2001.
- [69.] I. Demirkol, C. Ersoy, and F. Alagoz, "Mac protocols for wireless sensor networks: a survey," *vol.*, vol. 44, pp. 115–121, April 2006.
- [70.] El-Hoiydi and J. d. Decotignie, *WiseMAC: An Ultra Low Power MAC Protocol for Multi-hop Wireless Sensor Networks*. Berlin: Springer, 2004, vol. 3121:.

- [71.] L. M. S. C. of the IEEE Computer Society, "in wireless lan medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications for low-rate wireless personal area networks (lr-wpans)," *New York, NY, USA: IEEE*, 2003.
- [72.] R. Venkatesh, O. Katia, and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "Energy-efficient collision- free medium access control for wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Embedded networked* sensor systems Los Angeles. California, USA: ACM, 2003.
- [73.] P. Lin, C. Qiao, and X. Wang, "Medium access control with a dynamic duty cycle for sensor networks," in *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, WCNC pp*, pp. 1534–1539, 2004.
- [74.] L. Jing and Y. L. Georgios, "A bit-map-assisted energy-efficient mac scheme for wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the third international symposium on Information processing in sensor networks Berkeley*. California, USA: ACM, 2004.

