



***DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF MUSLIM MORAL EMOTION  
INVENTORY AMONG MALAY YOUTH IN THE KLANG VALLEY,  
MALAYSIA***

**REZKI PERDANI SAWAI**

**IPSAS 2020 2**



**DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF MUSLIM MORAL EMOTION  
INVENTORY AMONG MALAY YOUTH IN THE KLANG VALLEY,  
MALAYSIA**

By

**REZKI PERDANI SAWAI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
In Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**December 2019**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## **DEDICATION**

This dissertation is especially dedicated to my parents, Suardi Sawai Canon and Faridha Sheik Mohamed, my beloved husband Mohd Saufi bin Halim, and my children Aliya Aqilah, Aliya Salsabila, Afif Thaqif and Auni Insyirah and my twin sister, Joki Perdani Sawai for providing the continuous support, encouragement, prayers and understanding.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF MUSLIM MORAL EMOTION  
INVENTORY AMONG MALAY YOUTH IN THE KLANG VALLEY,  
MALAYSIA**

By

**REZKI PERDANI SAWAI**

**December 2019**

**Chairman : Professor Sidek Mohd Noah, PhD**  
**Institute : Social Science Studies**

Moral emotions of shame and guilt play an important role in shaping the moral/prosocial behaviour of youth. Many of the existing instruments that measure such emotions have been developed from and reflect Western perspectives and cultures. Differences in the concepts of shame and guilt and the lack of measurement tools for measuring them based on Islamic perspectives has hindered efforts to understand the moral emotions of youths and how they shape their moral/prosocial behaviour. This study was conducted to 1) provide an understanding of shame and guilt based on Islamic perspectives; 2) develop a tool for measuring shame and guilt; 3) ascertain the reliability and validity of the measurement instrument and 4) establish the norm for youth's moral emotions. This study employed an exploratory sequential mixed-method research (MMR) design in order to broadly explore and understand the moral emotions of shame and guilt of youths based on Islamic perspectives.

This study is divided into three phases namely design, development and evaluation. The first phase involves qualitative studies to achieve the first objective of the study through (i) content analysis of Quranic verses and hadiths related to shame and guilt; and (ii) interviews with experts to understand the concept of shame and guilt from an Islamic perspective. From the results of the content analysis and expert interviews, themes related to shame and guilt were used to develop instruments for measuring those emotions. The definitions of the concept are derived from literature studies based on traditional Islamic sources such as the Quran and hadith, and the classical writings of Islamic moralists such as Ibn Miskawayh, al-Ghazali and contemporary Islamic scholars. Based on the content analysis, literature review and expert interviews, a Muslim Moral Emotions Inventory (MMEI) was developed.

At the instrument development stage, the researcher adopted a quantitative approach through the application of the DeVellis (2012) and Miller et al. (2013) models. Once the measurement instrument was constructed, the validity test, content and reliability analysis was conducted through a pilot test to determine the validity and reliability of the measurement instrument. The results show that the MMEI is valid and reliable. Later, the MMEI was tested in the field among 1147 Malay Muslim youths from three institutions, i.e., Tahfiz, higher educational institution students and inmates of rehabilitation centres in Klang Valley. The scores obtained were used to establish the norms and benchmarks of the moral emotions of the youths.

Following that, the MMEI was also tested using Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to determine the validity of the construct. The EFA results show five basic dimensions of MMEI that constitute shame, namely positive speech, sensitivity to others' judgments, lowering or maintaining their view, preserving one's purity/dignity and modest self-presentation. The results of this study also found four basic dimensions of MMEI that build on guilt, fear of punishment, concern for others, God consciousness and forgiveness. The EFA revealed that the Barlett Sphericity Test had a significant relationship at  $\chi^2 (276) = 4897.39$ ,  $p = .001$ . A total of 26 items was retained for the Shame construct and 21 items for the Guilt construct. Subsequently, validation factor analysis (CFA) using AMOS 22 noted that the compatibility value for Shame was  $p = .000$ , RMSEA = .041, GFI = .957, CFI = .973, RFI = .926 and Chisq/df = 1.815. On the other hand, for the Guilt construct it is  $p = .000$ , RMSEA = .051, GFI = .963, CFI = .974, RFI = .935 and Chisq/df = 2.296. The findings of this study show that the instruments developed are valid and appropriate for measuring shame and guilt among youth.

This study contributes to the theoretical implications as well as the Islamization of science. In addition, it is envisaged that the MMEI instrument will assist towards promoting positive aspects in the moral development of youth.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN DAN VALIDASI INVENTORI EMOSI MORAL MUSLIM  
BAGI GOLONGAN BELIA MELAYU DI LEMBAH KLANG, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**REZKI PERDANI SAWAI**

**Disember 2019**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Sidek Mohd Noah, PhD**  
**Institut : Pengajian Sains Sosial**

Emosi moral rasa malu dan rasa bersalah memainkan peranan yang penting dalam membentuk tingkahlaku moral/prososial dalam kalangan belia. Kebanyakan instrumen sedia ada yang mengukur emosi moral telah dibangunkan dari perspektif Barat, dan mencerminkan budaya Barat. Perbezaan konsep rasa malu dan rasa bersalah dan kekurangan alat pengukuran untuk mengukur kedua-dua emosi moral tersebut berlandaskan kepada perspektif Islam telah menghalang usaha dalam memahami tentang emosi moral belia dan bagaimana emosi tersebut membantu dalam membentuk tingkahlaku moral/prososial belia. Oleh itu, kajian ini dilakukan bagi 1) memberi kefahaman berkaitan rasa malu dan rasa bersalah menurut perspektif Islam; 2) membangunkan alat pengukuran rasa malu dan rasa bersalah; 3) mengenalpasti kebolehpercayaan dan kesahan instrumen pengukuran tersebut dan 4) membina norma emosi moral belia. Dalam kajian ini, rekabentuk kaedah penyelidikan bercorak berurutan (*an exploratory sequential mixed method design*) telah dipilih untuk memahami secara meluas tentang emosi moral belia berdasarkan perspektif Islam.

Kajian ini dibahagikan kepada tiga fasa iaitu fasa rekabentuk, pembangunan dan penilaian. Fasa pertama melibatkan kajian kualitatif bagi mencapai objektif pertama kajian melalui (i) analisis kandungan ayat al-Quran dan hadis berkaitan rasa malu dan rasa bersalah; dan (ii) temubual dengan pakar untuk memahami konsep rasa malu dan rasa bersalah menurut perspektif Islam. Hasil dari analisis kandungan dan juga temubual dengan pakar, tema berkaitan rasa malu dan rasa bersalah digunakan untuk membangunkan instrumen bagi mengukur rasa malu dan rasa bersalah. Definisi konsep terhasil dari kajian literatur berdasarkan sumber-sumber ilmu Islam tradisional iaitu Al-Quran dan Hadis, penulisan klasik moralis Islam seperti Ibn Miskawayh, al-Ghazali serta penulisan sarjana Islam kontemporari. Hasil dari analisis kandungan,

pemeriksaan literatur dan temubual pakar, alat pengukuran *Muslim Moral Emotion Inventory* (MMEI) telah dibentuk.

Pada peringkat pembentukan instrumen, pengkaji menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif menerusi aplikasi model DeVellis (2012) dan Miller et.al (2013). Setelah instrumen pengukuran tersebut selesai dibentuk, ujian kesahan muka, kandungan dan analisis reliabiliti telah dijalankan melalui ujian pilot unuk memastikan kesahan dan kebolehpercayaan instrumen pengukuran tersebut. Hasil kajian menunjukkan alat ukur MMEI adalah sah dan boleh dipercayai. Kemudian, MMEI telah diuji di lapangan dalam kalangan 1147 belia Melayu Muslim dari tiga institusi pelajar Tahfiz, pelajar Pengajian Tinggi dan penghuni Pusat Pemulihan di Lembah Klang. Skor yang diperolehi digunakan untuk membina norma dan tanda aras emosi moral belia.

Alat ukur MMEI juga kemudian diuji menggunakan kaedah Analisis Faktor Penerokaan (EFA) dan Analisis Faktor Penetapan (CFA) bagi mengenalpasti kesahan konstruk alat ukur tersebut. Hasil Analisis Faktor Penerokaan (EFA) menunjukkan bahawa terdapat lima dimensi asas MMEI yang membentuk rasa malu. Lima dimensi malu adalah ucapan yang positif, sensitif terhadap penilaian orang lain, merendahkan atau menjaga pandangan, menjaga kemurnian/martabat seseorang dan berpenampilan sederhana. Hasil kajian ini juga mendapati empat dimensi asas MMEI yang membina rasa bersalah iaitu rasa takut terhadap hukuman, mengambil berat tentang orang lain, pengawasan dari Allah, dan kemaafan. Hasil daripada Analisis Faktor Penerokaan (EFA) didapati Ujian Barlett Sphericity mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan,  $\chi^2(276) = 4897.39$ ,  $p = .001$ . Sebanyak 26 item dikekalkan bagi konstruk Rasa Malu dan 21 item bagi konstruk Rasa Bersalah.

Seterusnya, analisis faktor pengesahan (CFA) menggunakan AMOS 22 mendapati nilai kesepadanan bagi Rasa Malu ialah nilai  $p = .000$ , RMSEA = .041, GFI = .957, CFI = .973, RFI = .926 and Chisq / df = 1.815. Manakala, bagi konstruk Rasa Bersalah ialah  $p = .000$ , RMSEA = .051, GFI = .963, CFI = .974, RFI = .935 and Chisq / df = 2.296. Dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa instrumen yang dibangunkan adalah sah dan bersesuaian bagi mengukur rasa malu dan rasa bersalah dalam kalangan belia.

Kajian ini telah menghasilkan sumbangan dari segi implikasi teori dan juga menyumbang kepada Islamisasi Ilmu Pengetahuan. Selain itu, diharapkan instrumen MMEI menyumbang kepada implikasi pembangunan moral belia.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Peace be upon the Prophet Muhammad SAW, the messenger of Allah. I thank Allah for granting me perseverance and strength that I needed to complete this thesis.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest and sincere gratitude and appreciation to my principal supervisor, Professor Dr Sidek Mohd Noah for his valuable guidance, comments, advice and support from the beginning of my research until the end of this thesis. Special acknowledgments also go to Professor Dr. Abdul Lateef Abdullah and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rumaya Juhari, my co-supervisors, for their superb intellectual support and ongoing encouragement.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding received towards my PhD from Ministry of Education (MOE) under the Research Acculturation Collaborative Effort (RACE) USIM/FKP/35/50114 grant that has partially funded my research.

Special appreciation to my families, my father Suardi Sawai Canon, my mother Faridha Sheik Mohamed, my husband Mohd Saufi bin Halim, and my children Aliya Aqilah Mohd Saufi, Aliya Salsabila Mohd Saufi, Afif Thaqif Mohd Saufi and Auni Insyirah Mohd Saufi for providing the continuous support, encouragement, and prayers, patience and understanding. To my twin sister, Joki Perdani Sawai, we have been through this journey together and had survived. Alhamdulillah.

Last but not least, many thanks to all my friends and colleagues, who directly or indirectly assisted me in any respect towards the completion of this research. Not to forget, I would like to acknowledge my respondents for providing accurate and reliable information toward accomplishing the research objectives.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Sidek Mohd Noah, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Educational Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Steven Eric Krauss@Abdul Lateef Abdullah, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Educational Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Rumaya Juhari, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Human Ecology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No: Rezki Perdani Sawai, GS35994

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Sidek Mohd Noah

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Steven Eric Krauss@Abdul Lateef Abdullah

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Rumaya Juhari

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xx
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview of Chapter	1
1.2 Background of the Study	1
1.2.1 Importance of moral emotions of shame and guilt in the development of youth morality	4
1.2.2 Adaptive versus maladaptive of moral emotions of shame and guilt	5
1.2.3 Measurement issues	7
1.3 Statement of the Problem	9
1.4 Research Questions	12
1.5 Research Objectives	12
1.6 Significance of the Study	12
1.6.1 Contributions to Knowledge	12
1.6.2 Contributions to Practice	13
1.6.3 Contributions to Policy	14
1.7 Scope of the Study	14
1.8 Limitations of Study	16
1.9 Definitions of Terms	16
1.9.1 Moral Emotions	17
1.9.2 Shame	17
1.9.3 Guilt	18
1.9.4 Youth	18
1.9.5 Tahfiz Students	19
1.9.6 Inmates of Rehabilitation Center	19
1.9.7 Norming	19
1.10 Summary	19
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1 Overview of Chapter	20
2.2 Basic Concepts	20
2.2.1 Moral Emotions	20
2.2.2 Shame	21
2.2.3 Guilt	22

2.2.4	Similarities and Differences of Shame and Guilt from Western cultural perspective	23
2.3	Theories Related to the Study	24
2.3.1	Theories of Measurement	24
2.3.1.1	Classical Test Theory	25
2.3.1.2	Item Response Theory (IRT)	26
2.3.2	Theories related to Instrument Validation	26
2.3.2.1	Face Validity	26
2.3.2.2	Content Validity	27
2.3.2.3	Construct Validity	27
2.3.2.4	Convergence Validity	28
2.3.2.5	Discriminant Validity	28
2.3.2.6	Reliability	28
2.3.3	Theories of Shame and Guilt from the Western Cultural Perspectives	29
2.3.3.1	Psychoanalytic Theory	29
2.3.3.2	Psychosocial Theory	31
2.3.3.3	Lewis Theory	31
2.3.3.4	Cultural Theory	32
2.3.4	Theories of Moral Emotions from Islamic Perspective	32
2.3.4.1	The Concept of Nafs by al-Ghazali	33
2.3.4.2	Tawazun Theory by Ibn Miskawayh	35
2.4	Research Theoretical Framework	36
2.5	Literature Reviews	39
2.5.1	Types of <i>Haya</i> ' from Islamic perspective	39
2.5.2	Characteristics of <i>Haya</i> ' from the Islamic Perspective	40
2.5.2.1	Lowering One's Gaze	40
2.5.2.2	Positive Speech	40
2.5.2.3	Safeguarding One's Chastity	41
2.5.2.4	Modest Self-Presentation	43
2.5.2.5	Sensitive to others judgment	45
2.5.3	Characteristics of Guilt from Islamic perspective	45
2.5.3.1	Forgiveness	45
2.5.3.2	God-consciousness	47
2.5.3.3	Care for Others	48
2.5.3.4	Fear of Punishment	49
2.5.4	Assesment of Moral Emotions	50
2.5.4.1	Test of Self-Conscious Affect (TOSCA)	50
2.5.4.2	Internalized Shame Scale (ISS)	51
2.5.4.3	Personal Feeling Questionnaire (PFQ)	52
2.5.4.4	The Guilt Inventory	52
2.5.4.5	Interpersonal Guilt Inventory	52
2.5.5	Research on Moral Emotions in Malaysia	53
2.5.6	Strategies of Developing Instrument	54
2.5.6.1	The Rational / Theoretical Approach	54
2.5.6.2	The Empirical Approach	55
2.5.6.3	Factorial Analysis Approach	55
2.5.7	Gender Differences in Shame and Guilt	55

2.5.8	Adaptiveness and Maladaptiveness of Shame and Guilt from Western cultural perspective	56
2.6	Research Conceptual Framework	58
2.7	Summary	59
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>60</b>
3.1	Overview of Chapter	60
3.2	Research Design	60
3.2.1	Qualitative approach: Expert interview	61
3.2.2	Quantitative approach: Instrument Development	61
3.2.2.1	Model of Instrument Development	61
3.2.2.2	Stages of Instrument Development	63
3.2.2.3	Second phase: Development	66
3.2.2.4	Third phase: Evaluation	69
3.2.2.5	Pilot testing with Experts	69
3.2.2.6	Pilot testing with Respondents	71
3.3	Research Subjects	73
3.3.1	Population of Study	73
3.3.2	Research Sample	74
3.3.3	Determination of Sample Size of Study	74
3.3.3.1	Phase 1: Design	74
3.3.3.2	Phase 3: Evaluation	75
3.3.4	Sampling Method	76
3.3.4.1	Design Phase: Expert Interviews	76
3.3.4.2	Evaluation Phase: Survey and Norming Development	76
3.4	Instrumentation	79
3.4.1	Document/Content analysis	80
3.4.2	Interview Protocol	80
3.4.3	Survey	81
3.4.3.1	Shame Scale	81
3.4.3.2	Guilt Scale	82
3.5	Data Collection Procedures	82
3.5.1	Interview	82
3.5.2	Survey	83
3.6	Data analysis	83
3.6.1	Qualitative data analysis	83
3.6.2	Survey data analysis	85
3.6.2.1	Descriptive Statistics	85
3.6.2.2	Inferential Statistic	85
3.6.2.3	Data Screening	86
3.7	Norm Development	87
3.7.1	Criterion-referenced test vs Norm-Referenced Test	87
3.7.2	Procedures in Developing Norm	87
3.7.2.1	Identify the Population of Interest	88
3.7.2.2	Identify Critical Statistics	88
3.7.2.3	Determine an Acceptable Amount of Sampling Error	90

3.7.2.4	Devise a Procedure for Drawing the Sample	91
3.7.2.5	Minimum Sample Size Required	92
3.7.2.6	Draw Sample and Collect Data	93
3.7.2.7	Compute Values of the Group Statistics of Interest	93
3.7.2.8	Identify Types of Normative Scores Needed	93
3.7.3	Normed Score Results for Two Demographic Variables	94
3.8	Summary	95
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	97
4.1	Overview of Chapter	97
4.2	Qualitative Findings	97
4.2.1	Content Analysis	97
4.2.2	Content Analysis: Interview	98
4.3	Quantitative findings	109
4.3.1	Content Validity Index of MMEI	109
4.3.2	Pilot Study	110
4.3.2.1	Pilot Study: Respondents Profile	110
4.3.2.2	Requirement for Sample Size	111
4.3.2.3	Normality test for Pilot Study	112
4.3.2.4	Reliability Test Pilot Study	113
4.4	Item analysis using Pilot Study Data	113
4.4.1	Item Analysis for Shame Scale	114
4.4.2	Item Analysis for Guilt Scale	115
4.5	Field Study	116
4.5.1	Field Study: Respondents Profile	116
4.5.2	Normality test for Field Study	117
4.5.3	Reliability Result of MMEI of Field Study	117
4.6	Norming	118
4.6.1	Norms for shame and guilt dimensions	119
4.6.2	Norms for subdimensions of shame	119
4.6.3	Norms for subdimensions of guilt	120
4.6.4	Norming According to Demographic Variables	121
4.6.5	Norming based on institution	122
4.7	Further Analysis Using Factor Analytic Procedures	123
4.7.1	Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	123
4.7.1.1	Shame Construct	125
4.7.1.2	Guilt Construct	128
4.7.2	Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	131
4.7.2.1	Normality Assessments of CFA	131
4.7.2.2	Assessment of the Model Fit	132
4.7.2.3	Standardized regression weight	134
4.7.3	Construct Validity of Measuring Instruments	135
4.7.3.1	Convergent Validity	136
4.8	Summary	138



<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	139
5.1	Overview of chapter	139
5.2	Summary of the Findings	139
5.2.1	Summary of the findings for Phase I	139
5.2.1.1	Content analysis of Quranic verses, Hadith and Interview	139
5.2.1.2	Development of items	140
5.2.2	Summary of the findings for Phase II	141
5.2.3	Summary of the findings for Phase III	141
5.3	Discussion of the Findings	143
5.3.1	Determination and conceptualization of constructs and sub-constructs	143
5.3.1.1	Content analysis of Quranic verses, hadith and interview	145
5.3.1.2	The uniqueness of MMEI	145
5.3.2	Development of Items	146
5.3.3	Test the psychometric attributes of Muslim Moral Emotions Inventory	147
5.3.4	Reliability value of MMEI	147
5.3.4.1	Is MMEI a valid instrument through Factor Analysis	148
5.3.5	Develop the profile of moral emotions of Muslim youth in Malaysia	150
5.3.5.1	Identify the moral emotions norms for gender and institution type	151
5.4	Implications of Study	152
5.4.1	Theoretical Implication	152
5.4.2	Practical Implications	154
5.4.2.1	Implication to Youth	154
5.4.2.2	Implication to Parents	154
5.4.2.3	Implication to Practitioners	155
5.4.2.4	Implication to Educators	156
5.4.2.5	Implication to Society	156
5.4.3	Implication for policy making	157
5.5	Limitations of Study and Suggestion for Future Research	158
5.6	Conclusion	159
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	161
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	193
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	326
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	327

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>	
2.1	Recommended alpha range	29
2.2	Types of destructive guilt	57
3.1	List of experts interview	66
3.2	Sample size for face validity	68
3.3	List of experts for content validation	70
3.4	Summaries of comments and suggestions from experts	70
3.5	Sample size for pilot test	71
3.6	Power analysis for Field Data	75
3.7	Minimum sample size for SEM analysis	76
3.8	Total items in shame scale	81
3.9	Total items in guilt scale	82
3.10	Levels of sampling error for the norming variables	91
3.11	Field test sample size according to institutions	93
4.1	Content validity index	110
4.2	Respondents profile for pilot study	111
4.3	Power analysis result for Pilot Test	112
4.4	Assessment of normality for pilot study	112
4.5	Cronbach's alpha for shame scale and guilt scale	113
4.6	Youth Demographic Profile	116
4.7	Results of Normality Test Skewness dan Kurtosis	117
4.8	Cronbach's alpha for shame and guilt scale and its subconstructs	118
4.9	Final descriptive data for shame and guilt dimension	118
4.10	Shame and guilt dimension norming table	119
4.11	Shame sub-dimensions norming table	120

4.12	Guilt sub-dimensions norming table	121
4.13	Difference in shame and guilt according to gender	122
4.14	Difference in shame and guilt according to institution	123
4.15	Rotated component matrix for shame	126
4.16	Rotated component matrix for guilt	129
4.17	Assessment of normality for shame	131
4.18	Assessment of normality for guilt	132
4.19	Standardized factor loading among constructs of shame	134
4.20	Standardized factor loading among constructs of shame	135
4.21	Evaluation of reliability and validity for shame	137
4.22	Evaluation of reliability and validity for guilt	138

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The Concept of <i>Nafs</i>	33
2.2 Tawazun Theory Framework	35
2.3 Research Theoretical Framework	38
2.4 Research Conceptual Framework	58
3.1 Miller et.al Model of Instrument Development	62
3.2 DeVellis Model of Instrument Development	62
3.3 Instrument development framework	63
3.4 Sampling framework of youth population (18-25) years old)	79
3.5 Data Collection Procedures	83
3.6 Process of norm development	88
3.7 Example of Normed Score Benchmarks for MMEI	95
3.8 Research Process	96
4.1 The components of Shame formed as a result of the EFA procedure	127
4.2 The components of Guilt formed as a result of the EFA procedure	129
4.3 Measurement model of Shame	133
4.4 Measurement model of guilt	134

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix</b>		<b>Page</b>
A	Content Analysis of Quranic Verses and Hadith related to Shame	193
B	Content Analysis of Quranic Verses and Hadith related to Shame	199
C	Interview Protocol	205
D	Research Instrument: Muslim Moral Emotion Inventory	207
E	Language Validity Experts	215
F	Language Validity Form	216
G	Content Validity Experts	238
H	Content Validity Form	239
I	Letter of Permission to Conduct Research (Pilot Study)	252
J	Letter of Permission to Conduct Research (Field Study)	253
K	Normality Test Plot Q-Q	255
L	Normality Test Box Plot	256
M	Frequency Chart	257
N	Item Analysis of Shame	258
O	Item Analysis of Guilt	275
P	Norming Histogram	288
Q	Muslim Moral Emotion Inventory Scoring Manual	291

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMOS	Analysis of Moment Structure
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CR	Construct Reliability
CVR	Content Validity Ratio
DF	Degree of Freedom
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
HEI	Higher Educational Institutions
KMO	Kaizer-Mayer-Olkin
ML	Maximum Likelihood
MMEI	Muslim Moral Emotions Inventory
NADA	National Anti-Drug Agency
NPE	National Philosophy of Education
PAF	Principal Axis Factoring
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
RMSEA	Root Mean Square of Error Approximation
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
SPSS	Statistical Package of Social Sciences®
TVE	Total Variance Explained

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview of Chapter

The contents of this chapter describe the background of the study, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, research hypotheses, the significance, scope of the study and limitations of the study. The terms in this study are also conceptually defined and operated according to the context of the study.

### 1.2 Background of the Study

Youth play an important role in national development and are the driving force in politics, economics, culture and education for the advancement and prosperity of race, religion and nation. In Malaysia, youth refers to those between the ages of 15 and 40 years (National Youth Development Policy, 2006). In 2018, the age of youth has been revised to those between the ages of 15 and 30 (National Youth Policy, 2015). The number of youths in Malaysia aged 15 to 29 in 2016 is 9.3 million people out of the total 31.7 million people in Malaysia (Department of Statistics, Malaysia, 2017). The exact statistics of Malaysian youths whether they are Muslims or non-Muslims, age 15 to 25 years is not available (Shamsul Amri, n.d). But, official statistics indicate that those between the ages of 15 to 64 years constitute 68 per cent of the population (Shamsul Amri, n.d). From this figure, it is estimated that the size of those between 15 to 25 years is about 40 per cent of the total population of 11.2 million. Thus, youth constitute the largest number of the population. However, the definition of youth differs from one country to another depending on institutional, political and cultural factors.

In Malaysia, in terms of program implementation strategy and activity orientation, the main focus is those between the ages of 18 and 25 years. Youth development has always been the Malaysian's government priority. Thus, Malaysia has introduced several policies related to youth. The main purpose of the National Youth Development Policy 1997 is to create a fully integrated Malaysian youth in terms of social, spiritual, physical and economic aspects. The basic principles of the National Youth Development Policy are to develop Malaysian youth who are; (i) practicing the principles of Rukun Negara; (ii) having a spirit of unity; (iii) possessing mature leadership qualities; (iv) participating in the country's planning process; and (v) having high moral values. In addition, moral development has been an integral component of youth development in the tenth Malaysia plan (2011-2015) (Adnan, Arifin & Borhan, 2014). The Government has also allocated a substantial amount of funds to improve the quality of Malaysian youth.

Despite all these efforts, Malaysia still staggers under the burden of increased social problems such as out of wedlock pregnancy among female youth, baby dumping, pornography, and so on. Social Welfare Department (2014) statistics cited in Siti Suhaila (2016) reported that cases of unauthorized children between 2012 and 2014 are also increasing by 161 cases (2012), 122 cases (2013) and 232 cases (2014). The increase in unauthorized children contribute to the case of baby abandonment. Harlina and Omar (2007) emphasized that prevention should be done to address youth moral problems. A problem underlying the social ills in Malaysia is the moral decay among Malaysian youth (Nor Hafizah, Zaihairul & Geshina Ayu, 2012). Understanding the elements that contribute to the moral development of youth is important.

There are three moral elements, namely, moral thinking, moral emotions and moral behaviors. Most previous research on moral development focuses on individual moral reasoning (Malti & Sebastian, 2015; Gibbs et al., 2007). Moral reasoning is a cognitive activity that involves moral judgment in making moral decisions (Aswati, 2007). This cognitive moral aspect was introduced by Lawrence Kohlberg (1976). This approach emphasizes the achievement of moral mastery in certain cognitive developmental stages that are considered appropriate according to the individual's age stage (Kohlberg, 1981). As individuals progress to a higher stage of moral development, they are more likely to be controlled by internal factors rather than the external factors. Kohlberg assumes that those with high moral attainments are in post-conventional moral thinking that is the ability to appreciate the principles such as justice, and rationale in their moral considerations, though the decisions are in conflict with the laws and regulations (Norzihani & Nur Surayyah, 2015).

This cognitive perspective has contributed greatly to our understanding of moral reasoning. Moral reasoning is important to show the individual's ability to present the relevant arguments and moral facts in making moral decisions and cultivating moral character. However, individual morality cannot be fully explained by moral reasoning alone. Individuals need to have a moral feeling to make them more moral (Abdul Rahman, 2008). Lack of moral emotions seems to be associated with a higher anti-social behavior, especially among psychopaths (Johnston & Krettenauer, 2011). Psychopaths do not feel anxious, sorry, or guilty and are not sensitive to the experience and suffering of their victims (Prinz, 2006). Adnan, Arifin & Borhan (2014) has also identified lack of self-consciousness about moral emotions as one of the barriers of moral development among Malaysian youth.

Shame and guilt may act as a 'moral compass' to judge one's own behaviors. A violation of that moral compass, or a noncompliance with those Islamic guidelines, could result in feelings of shame and guilt for breaking religious rules and social norms. Although scholars agree that moral emotion is important to prevent unethical and antisocial behavior, there is still a dispute between scholars regarding how shame and guilt should be defined, distinguished and measured (Cohen, Wolf, Panter & Insko, 2011; Eisenberg, 2000; Haidt, 2003; Haidt & Kesebir, 2010; Tangney & Dearing, 2002; Tangney, Stuewig, & Mashek, 2007). There is no single definition of



shame and guilt accepted in agreement. This is because these two emotions are culturally constructed and part of it based on religious values.

Both Western and Eastern culture recognized the importance of moral emotions. However, different cultures define shame and guilt in their own ways and each determines what is to be believed and performed. Some may be similar, while others are in contrast depending on the nature and role of shame and guilt when they relate to morality. Furthermore, different degrees of emphasis are applied according to their own understandings and conceptions of both moral emotions; shame and guilt. Measurement of moral emotions formed by Western researchers is derived from the views and experiences of Western societies. Thus, it may not be locally and culturally relevant to other cultures. Therefore, this study is an attempt to develop culturally relevant measures of moral emotions for the Malaysian Muslim youth based on Islamic perspective.

Noteworthy are the challenges that come from within the Muslim society itself. One of the greatest challenges is the ignorance of the Muslims themselves on what is morally right in Islam (Engku Ahmad Zaki, Nor Aini & Roose Nilawati, 2014). This lack of Islamic religious knowledge on morality leaves the Muslim vulnerable to many of the moral and social crises. For example, Muslims are trapped into believing and accepting deviant teachings which are clearly contradictory to the beliefs and tenets of Islam such as the free association between male and female.

Adherence to the principles of Islamic morality based on the Quran and the Sunnah help Muslims youth face diverse challenges that threaten their identity as Muslims and Khalifa in this world. Religion is seen as the basis for good values and ethics and influences Muslims' everyday lives (Haneef et al., 2006). Basic principles of ethical values offered by various religions are universal rules (Ruhe & Lee, 2008) with many religions sharing common values, and emphasizing on doing good for others. Judaism, Christianity and Islam recognize the importance of moral but apply different degrees of emphasis, with their own understanding and concept of the moral. In the teachings of Islam, good morals come from the strength of one's faith. A strong faith creates a good and noble character, while a weak faith creates a bad moral character (Jamiah et al., 2013; Asmawati, 2005). Through this statement, it is accepted that faith and morality are two things that cannot be separated. In contrast to the Western secularism, a person may have noble character despite having no religion. Islam recognizes the human freedom to choose between good or evil but is reminded of their accountability for their actions through the guidance of the Qur'an and the Hadith.

The study of moral emotions in Malaysia appear to be in its infancy. There is a gap in understanding how moral emotions among Malaysian Muslims youth contributes to the moral development and to what extent different 'types' of youth vary in this regard. This study might not be possible if there is no measuring instrument to assess the moral emotions of youth. Despite the increasing number of research conducted in the West on the topic of moral emotions, most of the research focus on children. What is lacking is the systematic study of moral emotions of youth. Hence, this study endeavors to

develop and validate an instrument to measure moral emotions of youth, specifically the Muslims' youth. This study would significantly contribute to the indicator factors of moral emotions of shame and guilt based on Islamic perspective.

### **1.2.1 Importance of moral emotions of shame and guilt in the development of youth morality**

Feeling sad, happy, joy or fear is a normal human experience for all. Therefore, no one can ever deny the feeling of these emotions as a result of an experience, or witnessing them in others while they themselves are experiencing the same thing. Emotions play an important role in the life of individuals by adding colors to the character and personality as well as affecting the well-being and mental health of a person. Interestingly, emotion also plays a critical role in the creation of moral actions. From a traditional religious perspective, for example, sexual guilt may be viewed as functional, and indeed a desirable method of controlling the sexual behaviors of individuals so as to discourage engagement in unsanctioned sexual activities (Ali-Faisal, 2014).

The importance of emotion in cultivating the moral character can be traced back to the ideas of the most prominent Greek philosopher, Aristotle (1976). He asserts emotions, if cultivated correctly it may develop the moral character. Aristotle argues that the virtuous person is the one who has attained balance and appropriateness of emotion as well as action. Similarly, in Islam, the development of good character is mostly a process of dealing with one's emotions and with the emotions of others (Fatimah, 2012). Investigating emotion as an element of morality is a continuing concern in the field of moral and social psychology. Shame, guilt, empathy, pride and gratitude are a subset of moral emotions. In this study, the researcher will limit the discussion to shame and guilt only.

The issue of moral emotions has received considerable critical attention in the early twentieth century where emotion is seen as an important element in moral thinking and moral behavior (Haidt, 2003; Malti & Dys, 2015). Moral emotions are emotions that respond to a moral violation, or that which encourage moral behavior (Haidt, 2003). According to Abdul Rahman (2008), moral emotions refer to emotions and subtleties of individual feelings that contain sentiment, empathy and sympathy, concern, compassion, altruistic and generous motivation that manifest prosocial behavior. For example, the function of shame and guilt inform the individual of their wrong doings and motivate them to avoid the same offences in the future (Lickel, Steele, & Schmader, 2011; Haidt & Bjorklund, 2008). Thus, moral emotions not only stimulate a person to act morally but also are indispensable when making decisions and moral actions (Nadarajan, 2014).

Shame and guilt are influenced by a system of values and social norms that are used as cultural ideologies by certain groups, believed to have a large contribution to the ongoing processes, experiences, arrangements and emotional expressions. Barnet (in

Tangney, 1995) says that socialization and internalization of social values and norms in individual is determined by the extent to which sociocultural factors influence the formation of emotions, especially shame and guilt.

Bedford and Hwang (2003) identified five reasons for the fundamental differences in the conceptualization of shame and guilt between the Western and Eastern cultures in terms of the roles played in society, proneness to shame and guilt, types of shame and guilt, conceptualization of self and the opposing moral systems of Eastern and Western cultures. Whereas the words used to describe emotional states in different languages may convey various connotations. Even within a particular language, the same word may imply a variety of meanings in different subcultures (Moscoso & Spielberger, 2011). Differences between and within cultures, in the meaning of the words used to describe emotional states are especially problematic in the cross-cultural adaptation measures of these constructs (Moscoso & Spielberger, 2011).

Although there is a considerable amount of literature published on shame and guilt in the Western countries, still a complete picture on the roles and functions of shame and guilt in other cultures, particularly in East Asian cultural contexts is lacking. This study provides an exciting opportunity to advance the understanding of the roles and functions of shame and guilt among the Malay Muslims in Malaysia as a basis for cross-cultural comparison of these emotions. In the Malaysian constitution, article 160(2), 'Malay' is defined as a person who professes the Muslim religion, habitually speaks Malay language and conform to Malay customs (Burhanudeen, 2006).

The system of morality in Islam based on the five pillars of Islam and six pillars of Iman. Culturally speaking, Islam has been regarded as a total way of living by most Muslims (Ezzi, Teal & Izzo, 2014). Moral emotions of the Malays are influenced by customs, cultures and beliefs in Islamic teachings. In the Malay culture, the concept shame (*malu*) shapes the Malay's character of *budi bahasa*. A person who has no shame means he or she no longer has the special Malay quality of refined behavior and good manners (Dini Farhana, Melati & Suhailiza, 2018). As Islam influences almost every aspect of the life of the Malays and is central to the Malay identity, it is not surprising the concept of shame is similar to Islam, thus upholding Islam as their religion and at the same time maintaining their cultural values (Ismail, Stapa, Othman, Yacob, 2012; Burhanudeen, 2006). This study aims at developing a psychological instrument that specifically measures moral emotions (i.e. shame and guilt) of youth based on an Islamic perspective.

### **1.2.2 Adaptive versus maladaptive of moral emotions of shame and guilt**

There are Western researchers who have categorized societies based on shame culture and guilt culture (You, 1997). This classification of shame culture and guilt culture is closely related to the value system and social norms emphasized by social groups that ultimately affect individuals when formulating definitions concerning shame and guilt. Gilligan (1982), conducted research in several European countries and stated

that children in Western countries were educated for "guilt". They are taught to judge what is right and wrong according to certain norms. In a shame culture, people avoid feeling guilty, for fear of being evaluated negatively by others. Meanwhile, in guilt culture, individual avoids feelings of shame which comes from within. Shame and guilt differ in their orientation to themselves and others (Miceli & Castelfranchi, 2018). Through the classification of shame and guilt culture, shame has been regarded as something detrimental by most Western psychologists.

Shame has now come to be viewed as a negative word as if it were a pejorative (Hamza, 2012). Although Tangney and Dearing (2002) have consistently stated that guilt is an adaptive self-conscious emotion, in contrast, shame is regarded as being a dark, ugly, and maladaptive emotion. The Test of Self-Conscious Affect (TOSCA) has been developed out of this operationalized definition by Tangney, Wagner & Gramzow in 1989. TOSCA is used to determine what respondents believe they may "think" or "do" in a particular situation, without directly evaluating the extent to which the respondent thinks he may experience the emotion itself. Tangney, Miller, Flicker and Barlow (1996) does not use the term "shame and guilt" directly because he believes that participants cannot distinguish between the two emotional terms exactly. This is nevertheless incorrect. Participants were able to differentiate the sense of shame and guilt as shown in research done by Olthof et al., (2004). The inventory received a lot of criticisms because Tangney, Stuewig, & Mashek, (2007) considered shame as maladaptive emotions, while guilt an adaptive emotion.

There are opinions that see 'shame' as adaptive. For example, Dolan-Henderson (2003) and Montada (2003) stated that shame played an important role in morality and found that shamelessness could have adverse effects on moral development and psychological well-being. It is found that no moral emotion is intrinsically negative, but the result depends on how individuals overcome this feeling (Saarni, Mumme, & Campos, 1998). However, only a few studies have conducted research on shame as an adaptive aspect (Aknouche & Noraini, 2014). Therefore, this study tends to argue against Tangney et al., (2007). This is because shame is not seen as maladaptive for Muslim respondents but rather an adaptive emotion.

Aknouche & Noraini (2014) assert that there is an adaptive kind of shame as induced by religion and suggested future research on the role of religion in fostering adaptive shame, specifically among the Muslim youth. Al-Jallad (2010) distinguishes the concept of shame in English and Arabic language. According to him, shame is a form of social awareness that monitors one's actions while incorrect behavior reflects a lack of shame. Therefore, this research will attempt to address this gap by identifying the adaptive shame and how Islam conceptualizes it.

Guilt arises after a moral violation in which someone has hurt others intentionally or inadvertently (Fessler & Haley, 2003; Tangney, 1991). The main cause of guilt is the failure to carry responsibility towards others (Baumeister, Reis & Delespaul, 1995). When feeling guilty, individuals focus the effects of the behavior on others



(Baumeister, Stillwell & Heatherton, 1994; Lewis, 1987) and often feel tense, worried and uncomfortable (Ferguson, Stegge, & Damhuis, 1991).

On the other hand, shame arises from a moral breach or incompetence, in which individuals realize they have violated moral or social standards (Fessler, 2004; Keltner & Buswell, 1996). Shame is then generalized to self-image, raises self-awareness and the feeling as if one is a bad person (Lewis, 1971; Sabini & Silver, 1997). Shame is not only a bad feeling about oneself and one's actions, but it causes the person to think that he or she is worse in the eyes of others (Hungry, 2016). In times of shame, individuals often feel small, worthless, alone, inefficient, helpless, and flawed (de Hooze, 2008; Tangney, Stuewig & Martinez, 2014; Fontaine et. al, 2006; Tangney, 1995, 1999). Furthermore, shame can cause the individuals to be excluded and ultimately avoided and withdraw from engaging socially (Dickerson, Gruenewald, & Kemeny, 2004; Lewis, 2003; Tangney, 1991, 1995; Tangney et al., 2007; Scheff, 2013).

Although shame and guilt are recognized as the emotions that induced moral actions, the concept of shame and guilt expressed by Western psychologists and Islamic scholars might differ in terms of context and understanding. Hence, this study is an attempt to provide an understanding of shame and guilt based on sources of Islamic knowledge namely the Quran and the Hadith, as well as the classic writing of morality by Ibn Miskawayh, al-Ghazali and contemporary Islamic scholars.

### **1.2.3 Measurement issues**

A major challenge faced by non-Western psychologists is the lack of locally-relevant psychological instruments (Abu Yazid, Amla & Dharatun Nissa, 2017). Non-Western psychologists, particularly in Malaysia tend to either adopt or adapt the psychological instrument developed by the West. Many of these standardized assessments, however, are emic, or culturally specific measures designed for the Europeans and Americans. They are normed to mainstream, white, and middle-class populations (Krauss, 2005). Research on the equivalence of measurement used with different cultural groups should be done to claim the universality of the Western instrument. Without empirical research, all the imported Western instruments are often assumed to be etic (Krauss, 2005). The lack of testing tools or measurements needs to be addressed to allow psychologists to accurately measure the variables of interest.

Researchers need to be aware that the Western cultural values and characteristics are different from non-Western countries. Non-Western countries cultural values and characteristics are unique in their own way. These differences draw attention to the problems existing in the validity and reliability of measuring devices used. Currently, almost all of the measurement tools used in Malaysia originate mainly from the Western countries where the cultural characteristics are significantly different from the local cultural features found in Malaysia and elsewhere in the world (Sidek, 2013). Yet psychometrically, cross-cultural studies have shown that measurements from the

West can still be applied to the Asian community due to the reliability and validity of the measuring devices.

However, Mohd Effendi@Ewan (2015) has identified four psychometric problems that arise when researchers adapt external instruments; (i) context inconsistencies, (ii) lack of clarity and context, (iii) language and translation problems and (iv) biased item testing. Kim, Yang and Hwang (2006), a Korean psychologist, criticizes the Western Psychological approach which tends to offer their psychological theory as a “human universal” which applies to all. He then introduced the concept of indigenous psychology which emphasized on the need of understanding people in other parts of the world from a native perspective. The development of an emic measure based on the indigenous perspective has started since 1970s.

In the adoption of a test, psychologists tend to use the item as it was originally developed, and hope that the client understands the item. This option is fine as long as the respondent is adequately proficient in English. However, a client who is not proficient in English may encounter difficulty in understanding certain words. To overcome this problem, the researcher then takes the initiatives to adapt the imported Western instrument. In such case, the term test adaptation refers to the development and use of translated instruments (Gjersing, Caplehorn, & Clausen, (2010); Hambleton, 2005). Translation of the original instrument into different languages (target language), a close linguistic translation involves various forward and backward translation techniques (Bernardo, 2011). These techniques, however, have its limitation in terms of the translated items. Not all the items in a psychological test are translatable. Some of the test items are poorly translated and unable to adequately capture the underlying constructs in non-Western cultures (King, Ganotice Jr. & Watkins, 2012; Bernardo, 2011). The translation requires a complex process taking into account the linguistic and/or cultural appropriateness of the instrument (Haslina, Roodenburg, & Moore, 2018). The translation process is time-consuming and requires careful planning and the adoption of rigorous methodological approaches to derive a reliable and valid measure of the concept of interest in the target population (Sousa & Rojjanasrirat, 2011). Therefore, the need to develop a new locally relevant measure is apparent.

Taking into account the current scenario, psychologists in Malaysia should play an active role in constructing new and culturally relevant psychological test rather than continuing to depend on the instrument from the West. Otherwise, the conclusion drawn from the use of such instrument will not accurately describe the target population. For this reason, from the perspective of contemporary Islamic psychology, Muslim scholars should be able to create their own measurement instruments to overcome the problem of being over- or under-identified as a result of inappropriate norms being used. It is vital that the tests used are culturally and linguistically appropriate with different versions of the test available and customized for different cultural groups (Foxcroft, 2011). One of the reasons why local norms are often not developed is that there is a lack of test development and applied measurement expertise in Malaysia. This study aims to fill the gap that currently exists in previous

studies and contributes to the establishment of a new psychological instrument that will be normed locally.

Recently, a few psychological instruments that measure moral values based on local contexts and Islamic perspectives have been developed by some researchers in Malaysia such as Moral Value Inventory for Muslim Adolescents (Salhah et al., 2010), Moral Character of Muslim Personality (Fawziah, Ismaiel & Mohamad Sahari, 2012) and Malay Value Inventories (Jeannot & Khairul Anuar, 2012). Although their contributions to developing a more contextual psychosocial measurement are relevant, none of these instruments measures moral emotions. To meet these challenges, the current researchers will develop and verify reliable, easy and cost-effective testing tools to measure shame and guilt based on Islamic perspective.

### **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

The moral decadence of Muslim youth in Malaysia creates anxiety among the community. Social science researchers have sought to identify factors that cause moral decadence among the youth, notably Muslim youth. Based on statistics released by the Royal Malaysian Police (PDRM 2014), the number of cases involving juvenile offenders and sexual misconduct was 7,816 cases recorded in 2013 compared to 3,700 cases in 2012, indicating an increase of 4,116 cases. Significant increase in cases was also recorded in the case of severe crime of youth who completed schooling by 2,011 cases in 2013 compared with 849 cases in 2012.

Statistics from the Malaysian AIDS Council (2015) show HIV / AIDS infection statistics from 1986 to 2014. From 1986 to 2014, there has been an increase in the number of HIV / AIDS cases from year to year. In 2014, the increase in cases of Malaysians with HIV disease was 3517 and AIDS patients were 1149. Thus, the total number of HIV / AIDS cases for 2014 was 101672 consisting of 105189 (HIV) and 21384 (AIDS). Statistics show that the majority of people infected with HIV / AIDS are Malays as many as 7,1147 cases. This is a serious concern and should be taken seriously by all parties because the Malays are all Muslims. Apart from HIV / AIDS, the Social Welfare Department (2014) statistics show that cases of illegitimate children between 2012 and 2014 also increased by 161 cases (2012), 122 cases (2013 and 232 cases (2014). Harlina and Omar (2007) expressed their grief and disappointment to the baby dumping cases and stressed that prevention was needed to overcome this problem.

Abdul Rahman and Chang (1994) emphasized on the importance of educating young people on moral emotion so they are able to understand the feelings of others and themselves in a given moral situation, articulate the feelings that arise in the moral situation; and make decisions based on right feelings. This is in line with Vishalache (2010), who stated that the aspect of understanding the feelings of others can stimulate moral maturity so that individuals will behave according to the moral standards desired by society. Even as the consensus about the importance of integrating emotion into

the study of moral development continues to grow, research on these issues has been scarce and quite narrowly framed (Tangney, 2002).

The study of moral emotions among Muslims youth in Malaysia is still new and limited. To date, there are only two empirical doctoral studies by Aknouche (2010) and Norazlina (2010) that examined the moral emotions of the Malays, specifically shame. Aknouche (2010) reported that moral emotions of the Malays are influenced by customs, cultures and beliefs in Islamic teachings and individual self-esteem while Norazlina (2004) discussed the Malay shame concept found in the Saga Novel. In addition, some studies on emotional intelligence view shame as one of the Tawhidic emotional intelligence (Norsaleha et al., 2016).

The apparent involvement of a growing number of youth involved in immoral behaviors implies the loss of a shame culture, particularly among the Muslims. A society that loses its sense of shame suggests a population that no longer feels a sense of guilt in committing immoral and unethical behaviors (Zakaria, 2002; Collins & Bahar, 2000). This argument is in line with a saying of the Prophet Muhammad SAW narrated by Abu Masood Al-Ansari. Prophet Muhammad (SAW) said: "Among the words, people obtained from the first prophecy are: 'If you feel no shame, then do as you wish'". This hadith highlighted the importance of having a sense of shame and guilt, otherwise, the person who feels no shame will do whatever he wants according to his desire which might result in the increase of social ills. In Islam, shame and guilt are not something new. This matter has been discussed by Muslim scholars based on what is mentioned in the Qur'an and as-Sunnah (Abdullah Nasih Ulwan 2015; al-Ghazali, 1988, Ibn Miskawayh, 2002; Siti Solehah & Suriani, 2018).

The debate about shame is not only about the issue of interaction between men and women and is not limited to differences, developments and emotional changes that can be seen through facial, physical changes, but more comprehensive. Islam sees shame and guilt as values that drives someone to do good things and leaves what is prohibited by Allah SWT. In the Qur'an there are many verses related to shame and guilt emotions such as al-Nur 24: 30-31; al-Isra 17: 32; and al-Nisa' 4: 148. If the elements of shame and guilt can be clearly understood, the moral decay of youth can be reduced and manageable.

Abdullahi Hassan Zaroug (1999) listed several reasons for the loss of morality in the Muslims world; (i) less attention given to morality by contemporary Islamic movements; (ii) influence of modern culture; and (iii) the tendency of Muslim intellectuals to consider morality as a private subject best left to preachers and imams. Thus, in that respect, there is a dire need to study Islamic morality or Islamic ethics since this field remains largely unstudied (Abdullahi Hassan Zaroug, 1999). This view is supported by Fawziah, Ismaiel and Mohamad Sahari (2012) who pointed out that Islamic perspective of morality has not been addressed by researchers, at least not in the field of psychology. For this reason, the current research will focus on explaining the role of moral emotion in shaping the moral behavior of Malaysian Muslim Youth which contributes to the gap in moral psychology.



However, to study moral emotions among youth, it is critical to have the measurement tools to do it. One of the main problems identified by Sidek (1998) is the lack of locally-relevant psychological instruments in Malaysia. The problem of validity and reliability of measuring devices also exists as the measurement tools used in Malaysia are almost all of them originating from abroad, especially from Western countries whose cultural characteristics are significantly different from those of the world, local cultural features available in Malaysia. Psychometrically, cross-cultural studies have shown that measurements from the West can be applied to the Asian community due to the reliability and validity of the measuring devices. However, Mohd Effendi (2015) has identified four psychometric problems that arise when researchers adapt external instruments of (i) context inconsistencies, (ii) lack of clarity and context (ii) language and translation problems; and (iv) biased item testing. The lack of testing tools or measurements needs to be addressed so psychologists can accurately measure the variables of interest.

Most widely known measuring instrument used to measure moral emotions of shame and guilt is the Test of Self-Conscious Affect (TOSCA). Luyten, Fontaine and Corveleyn (2002) tested the theory that the TOSCA only measures adaptive forms of guilt and maladaptive forms of shame. After examining the guilt items, they concluded that the TOSCA Guilt Scale did include maladaptive forms of guilt (remorse, regret, and rumination) but those items are underrepresented when compared to the items that address the reparative behavior. The TOSCA Shame Scale, however, did not include potentially adaptive forms of shame. Aknouche and Noraini (2014) emphasizes the importance of studying moral emotions of shame and guilt that are adaptive. Therefore, this study aims to measure moral emotions as an adaptive emotion that will help to fill the existing knowledge pool.

TOSCA has been developed based on the contemporary Western perspective and normed on mainstream, white, middle-class populations. Therefore, it is not suitable to be used for Muslim youths. Muhammad Syukri (2008), outlines three main reasons for conducting Islamic based research namely; (i) different epistemological and paradigm basis (frameworks); (ii) lack of appropriate instruments to accommodate spiritual elements in human studies and; (iii) the basis of epistemology and philosophy in contemporary Western psychology is somewhat incompatible with Islamic principles and beliefs. As such, there are three main problems that drive researchers to develop new instrument, (i) differences in the definition of moral emotion or shame between the east and west, (ii) current measuring instrument used to measure moral emotions is based on the western worldview and (ii) without a culture-specific measuring instrument, we cannot understand shame and guilt among Muslim youths in Malaysia. Therefore, we need to define shame and guilt according to the Islamic worldview and develop appropriate measuring tools according to Islamic perspective. Hence, this study is an attempt to develop and validate new instruments to measure the level of shame and guilt for Muslim youths. This study will focus specifically on the development of instruments that use rational and factorial approaches to develop a standardized scale for the Muslim population, thereby increasing the number of psychological tests in Malaysia. Therefore, this study aims to develop a

psychometrically sound instrument to measure the moral emotions of the Malaysian Muslim Youth.

## **1.4 Research Questions**

This research aims at answering the following questions:

- 1.4.1 What are the Qur'anic verses and hadiths related to shame and guilt
- 1.4.2 How is the understanding of Muslim scholars or experts related to shame and guilt
- 1.4.3 What are the items that measure moral emotions of shame and guilt
- 1.4.4 Does MMEI have acceptable psychometric attributes
  - 1.4.4.1 What is the reliability value of MMEI
  - 1.4.4.2 Is MMEI a valid instrument through Factor Analysis
- 1.4.5 What is the profile of moral emotions of Muslim youth in Malaysia
- 1.4.6 What are the moral emotions norms for gender and institution type

## **1.5 Research Objectives**

The main objective of this study is to develop Muslim Moral Emotion Inventory that measures shame and guilt. Specifically, the research aims to:

- 1.5.1 Explore the Quranic verses and hadith related to shame and guilt
- 1.5.2 Explore the understanding of Muslim scholars on Shame and guilt
- 1.5.3 Develop an instrument that measures the moral emotions (i.e shame and guilt) of Muslim youth based on the Islamic perspective
- 1.5.4 Test the psychometric properties of Muslim Moral Emotions Inventory
  - 1.5.4.1 To test the internal consistency of the measuring instruments
  - 1.5.4.2 To test the factorial validity of the measuring instruments
- 1.5.5 Profile of moral emotions of Muslim youth in Malaysia
- 1.5.6 Develop the moral emotions norms according to gender and institutions

## **1.6 Significance of the Study**

### **1.6.1 Contributions to Knowledge**

This study significantly contributes to the existing body of knowledge through development of an instrument to measure shame and guilt based on Islamic perspective. Current research shows the new trend in Malaysia is a tendency to study a concept from an Islamic perspective. Therefore, it is hoped that this study will expand the literature in the field of moral psychology, Islamic psychology and religious psychology. The efforts of current researchers should not be viewed as confrontational with Western scholars' ideas in psychology as the intent is to introduce Islamic understanding of morals and provide alternative solutions for emotional

problems faced by youth. As Mohd Abbas (2011) and Badri (1979) suggest, Islamic psychology offers a calmer arbitrator who is able to provide solutions for the many problems faced by people in the world. Hopefully, this study will highlight the differences that exist between Western and Islamic psychology.

In addition, researchers could utilize the instruments developed in this study to acquire the valid and reliable scores of shame and guilt, especially for Muslims youth. These contributions were well-significant to the existing knowledge of moral emotions roles in shaping the morality of youth. When the same instrument were used by various studies, they would allow more valid and reliable comparisons to be generated. Thus, a complete picture of moral emotions of youth would be obtained. Clearly, this information is vital for youth moral development.

### **1.6.2 Contributions to Practice**

Specific studies on the development of moral emotions scale are still lacking. The major contribution of this research is to develop a new scale that measures shame and guilt. Information drawn from such scales can be used to guide parents, teachers and stakeholders, most importantly the youth, on the positive values of shame and guilt and how relevant these emotions are as a motivation in avoiding social problems. It is hoped that this research will provide insight into improving the quality of moral emotions among Muslim youths. This study suggests there is enough benefit to explore and understand the implications of moral emotions at the individual level.

This study has clinical implications for a therapist or counsellor. It provides background on culture, ethnicity, religious and spiritual relationship, the meaning one attached to the family and being a Muslim. A therapist or counsellor should consider the interaction between these factors because the belief in adaptive or maladaptive shame and guilt may differ based on religious dogmas, religious commitment and the ethnic background of a person. Therefore, it is important for counsellors to pay attention to all matters related to religion and traditional values when treating Muslim clients as they are part of a person's personality. The counsellor should also be aware that involvement in spirituality and religion varies throughout one's life (Nooria, 2003, Vieten et al., 2013).

Having a clearer understanding of both moral emotions would enhance the capability in clinical assessments, provide insight into useful intervention, gain a greater comprehension of personality differences and increase cross-cultural understanding (Bedford, 2004). This study is also important for psychologists, counsellors and psychotherapists as the use of a legitimate, reliable and cost-effective instruments in measuring moral emotions help provide useful and practical solutions to the problem of assessing moral emotions.

### **1.6.3 Contributions to Policy**

This study is also important for policymakers to address the social problems among youths. Mohamed Aslam et al. (2001), state that it is only logical if the implemented policy were to come from an Islamic framework covering the basic structure in which morals, ethics and proper conduct are based on as it will be better suited for the Malaysian Muslim youth.

This study attempts to provide normed score benchmarks around the level and nature of moral emotions among Malaysia's Muslim youth population since research on the social ills of youth in Malaysia (e.g., Aknouche, 2010; Norazlina, 2010) has not addressed the lack of research on this issue. There have been little attempts made to understand the lives of Muslim youth in Malaysia in the context of morality. Studies attempting to uncover insight into social problems among youth have therefore not been supported by empirical findings on moral emotions. This has also led to the omission of Islam as a key variable in attempting to understand the individual and personal aspects of Muslims youth's behavior from an empirical perspective.

This study does not focus on social ills. Rather, the primary concern of this research is to measure the understanding of Malaysian Muslim youth's moral emotions in terms of the conceptualization, nature and different levels of moral emotions from an Islamic perspective and how shame and guilt are related to morality. By attempting to measure moral emotions, this study has open the way toward understanding how competent Muslim youth contribute to society's harmony. To get there, however, the first step is being able to assess where young people are along this path. In this way, this study aims to make a modest contribution towards the design of future policies that will both directly or indirectly reduce the number of social problems and increase the morality of Muslim youth by providing inputs into their developmental needs in relation to moral emotions.

### **1.7 Scope of the Study**

The scope of this study focuses on two constructs namely shame and guilt which are referred to as moral emotions. Shame and guilt are researched more in the West as compared to other types of moral emotions such as gratitude. This current study emphasis is on the development of a measurement tool that is appropriate to local cultural norms and teachings of Islam. Most of the existing measurement tools built so far are based on Western ideas.

Western's concept of shame is different from the concept of shame in Islamic perspective. Thus, the existence of measurement tools based on Islamic perspective and the local community will help to close the existing gap in previous studies, where the use of Western measurement tools is common. Khaidzir and Khairil (2011), emphasize that the development of any Islamic psychological measurement should introduce constructs and indicators derived from the cultural, social, ideological



sources of Islamic teachings in measuring psychological concepts. Thus, the concept of moral emotions, particularly shame and guilt should be measured from the constructs and indicators that relate to Islamic teachings for use with Muslim respondents.

Regardless of the function of moral emotions in regulating human social life, the current study emphasizes the development of the capacity for adaptive moral emotions. This study does not focus on internalized shame or shame proneness which involved a condition where a person feels bad for themselves despite their actions. The study of shame and guilt among youth would improve our understanding of developmental changes in morality, the self, and peer relationships.

This study refers to a specific population of youth, who are aged between 18 and 25 years from three different groups, namely, Tahfiz, Higher Educational Institution and Rehabilitation Centers in Klang Valley. Other races such as Indians, Chinese etc. are excluded from this study. Limiting the scope to Muslims youth age 18 to 25 years provides a better picture of the moral emotions of Muslims youth.

In addition, the Islamic scholars differ in their worldviews. This work is based on which *Sunnah wal Jamaah* school of thought. The current study incorporates the Islamic school of thought most widely practiced by the Muslims of Malaysia, which is taught in all public Islamic institutions in the country. From the perspective of Islamic jurisprudence and matters of *fiqh* (jurisprudence understanding. Malaysians typically follow the majority *ahl al-Sunnah wal jamaah madzhab* (traditional Sunni Islam school of law/thought of Imam Shafii (Krauss, 2005). Thus, all Islamic references and knowledge cited in the study fall within the parameters sets by this school so as to be relevant to Malaysian youth and their knowledge and understanding of Islam. This represent another important limitation of the study.

There are positive and negative moral emotions. In this study, the researcher focused on guilt and shame, both are negative ones. The aversive feeling of shame and guilt motivate youth to engage in prosocial behaviour and conform to the norm, standards and rules prescribed by the society. Active emotions, especially negative ones such as shame and guilt, simply take over conscious experience they cannot easily be ignored (Reeve, 2015). The intensity of shame and guilt can be highly disruptive to ongoing thought and behaviour that motivates the individual to reduce it. Of course, if one does not feel bad about one's specific self-defect, one has little reason to try to improve it. When based on an appraisal of a specific self-defect, the serious self-criticism of shame should motivate effort to improve this particular aspect of the self- image (Gausel, Leach, Vignoles, & Brown, 2011).

This study is conducted in the context of Muslims youth in Malaysia. Malaysia is a Muslim majority country where 60.4 per cent of its 28 million total population are Muslims (Nurhafizah, 2012). The largest group within the Muslim category comes from the ethnic Malays. They constitute 50.4 per cent of the total population. In Article

160 (2) of the Malaysian constitution, Malay refers to ‘those who were born as Malaysian, a Muslim, using the Malay language and conform to Malay traditional custom and practices ‘adat’ (Brown, 2010). Malays mostly embrace and identify themselves with Islam as their religion and way of life (Brown, 2010). Islam is considered important in their life as it signifies their way or rule of life which covers the entire systems of life from personal, psychological, social, educational, economic and even social welfare perspectives (Mohd Darbi, 2006). It is interesting that the Malay culture is not totally an 'exclusive' culture, rather a melting pot of cultures interwoven with Islamic principles (Mohd Harith, 2008). The Malays in Malaysia were converted to the Islamic religion by the Arab and Indian Muslim traders in the 15th and 16th centuries. Since then, the Malays have practised Islam with the Sunni denomination and at the same time carried with them the traditional practices engendered from Hindu culture and customs (Karim, 1992).

### **1.8 Limitations of Study**

Several limitations should be noted when interpreting the results of this study. The data was collected among Muslim youth in Malaysia only. Therefore, this limits the generalizability of the findings in several ways. First, since the researcher opted to concentrate on Malaysian Muslim youth only, the results cannot be generalized to the general population especially to other Muslims populations from different countries. A larger sample size may provide more conclusive data in future studies.

This study also has limitations in the use of a self-report questionnaire. Although the measure used in this study demonstrated adequate reliability and validity, the self-report questionnaire assesses what participants believe to be true or what they are willing to communicate. The exclusive use of a self-report measures to assess moral emotions could lead to response bias, particularly given the context and timing in which the respondents were asked to rate their level of emotions. Incorporating an interview in future studies may add a richer dataset to the self-report measures and accords better insight into the youths’ moral emotions. Sometimes the reliance on self-reports alone may represent the desired rather than the real experience and feeling (Breslavs, 2013).

Another limitation of the current study is the researcher’s lack of formal knowledge in the Arabic language. Most of the references are in the Arabic language. Even though the researcher had come from a religious school background, she does not use the Arabic language in a daily speech which limits her understanding of the Arabic text. As a solution to this problem, the researcher relies on translated Quran and Hadith and several books of contemporary original Malay and English works on morality and emotions in Islam.

### **1.9 Definitions of Terms**

In this study, the terms for the measured variables are defined as follows:

### 1.9.1 Moral Emotions

Moral emotions refer to emotions that respond to moral violations or which promote moral behavior for the well-being of society either as a whole or at least for that individual (Haidt, 2003). This definition is supported by Tangney et al. (2007) who argues that emotion is moral because it motivates us to act morally. Emotion is a source of strong motivation and some argue that it is a necessary condition for moral faith to be translated into action (Damasio, 1994). Islam did not provide the meaning of moral emotions. Islam discusses emotion and moral separately.

The word emotion originated from the Arabic word “*al-Infial*”. Akil (1985) in *Mu'jam Ilm al-Nafs* defines emotion as the strong inner feelings due to the experience and also the adjustment process that an individual goes through. Meanwhile, Najati (1979), refers emotion as evoked feelings and the inner workings of someone's reaction to some things, events and experiences such as the emotions of fear, anger, frustration, joy, love and affection. The function of an emotion may be regarded as adaptive or maladaptive, depending upon the extent to which the person's perception of the situation makes sense and the extent to which the function promotes personal development.

In the context of this study, moral emotions refer to the score that respondents get from the Shame and Guilt Scales.

### 1.9.2 Shame

‘Shame’ in the Arabic language is often translated as *haya*’ and *khajal* which are often used interchangeably. However, there is a significant difference between their meanings. *Haya*’ refers to the rejection of doing or saying what is bad and immoral (Al-Ghazali, 1988). While *khajal* closely resembles the English definition of shyness as an undesirable quality that refers to a sense of discomfort in social situations.

In this research, *al-Haya*’ causes a person to refrain from committing any immoral behaviour in any way, acts, conversations or visions. This is in line with the definition and types of shame proposed by Abdul Qadir al-Shaikhili (1994), Al-Ghazali (1997) and Muhammad (2006). The five indicators that reflect possession of a sense of shame are lowering the gaze, avoiding flirtatious speech and conduct, safeguarding chastity by avoiding close physical contact with unrelated members of the opposite sex and observing modest or Islamic dress according to the Quran and Sunnah.

Shame is assessed by using the Shame Scale in the Muslim Moral Emotions Inventory for Youth. The total scores attained by respondents on this scale reflect whether they possess a sense of shame (*al-haya*’).

### 1.9.3 Guilt

Guilt is “an uncomfortable emotion, occurring when a person believes one has done or considers doing something believed to be wrong” (Albertsen, O’ Connor & Berry, 2006). Guilt is the experience of negative feelings about personal wrongdoing even when it is private. This is because the person is aware of the existence of God (God consciousness). It includes apologizing, confessing to wrongdoing, trying to pay the other person back (in a good way), doing something to make the situation right, and seeking forgiveness. Fear of punishment may also result in the feeling of guilt. Expressions of concern and caring for others motivate a person to engage in prosocial behaviour to avoid feeling guilty.

In this research, the operational definition of guilt refers to the score received by respondents on the Guilt Scale. Total guilt scores are calculated by summing the responses to each of the 60 statements with the higher scores reflecting greater guilt.

### 1.9.4 Youth

There is no universally agreed definition of youth. The National Youth Development Policy of Malaysia defines youth as people aged between 15 to 40 years. Moreover, it specifies that the main focus of youth development programs and activities in the country should be directed at young people aged 18 to 25 years (Ministry of Youth and Sports, 1997). While the Eight Malaysian Plan (2001-2005) has classified youth as comprising those in the 15 to 24 years age group.

Islam defines youth as those who have reached the age of puberty and perfect mind. The youth in the Quran is referred to as *'fata'* and in the hadith as *'syabab'* (Nik Safiah, Selamah & Norazlina, 2015). Thus, Islam defines youth as a phase of the age of *'baligh'* who accepts the responsibility for every act done in obligations to Allah, apostle and society (Department of Islamic Development Malaysia, 2009).

Specifically, for this study, the operational definition of youth is those between the ages of 18 to 25 years, which refers to the period of young adulthood who are emotionally mature and responsible for their moral actions. From the developmental psychology perspective, those who are in the age of 18-25 years old are classified as late adolescent. The late adolescent years are characterized by major role transitions in every aspects of life, thus, increase the responsibility for one’s daily life, behavior, and future. It is the time for adolescent moving toward less-dependent and more-mature relationships with the family of origin; exploring romantic and sexual relationships; and preparing for occupational life. All these requires youth to be able to adapt to the normative changes and able to regulate behavioral impulses. The ability to regulate behavioral impulses is critical for successfully dealing with the increased exposure to risk that typically occurs during adolescence. Adolescents, especially those in late adolescence, are more likely than adults to engage in risky behavior, such as unprotected sex, hazardous driving, or heavy drinking.



### **1.9.5 Tahfiz Students**

In Malaysia, the term “Hafiz” is normally given to those who memorize the entire Quran, but in fact, the title should only be given to those who are able to memorize the hadith in large numbers and has the faculty to prove its position and authenticity. Rather, a more suitable title to adopt would be supporters of al-Quran or Hamil al-Quran which means memorizers who can read the Quran by heart from memory and the ability to read a large amount of the scriptures in a short period of time.

Specifically, for this study, the operational definition for those who memorized the Quran and is attached to a learning institution is called Tahfiz students and is one of the groups of the respondents in this study.

### **1.9.6 Inmates of Rehabilitation Center**

In this study, the inmates of rehabilitation centers refer to those who are in the Rehabilitation Center of al-Riqab registered under Majlis Agama Islam Selangor and there are 35 rehabilitation centers registered with the organization. The inmates also included those who are in the Cure and Care Centre under National Anti-drug Agency (NADA) During the data collection, the inmates refer to those who are in the Center from June to November 2015.

### **1.9.7 Norming**

Norming refers to the process of constructing norms. Norms are statistics that describe the test performance of a defined group of test-takers or respondent (Krauss, 2005).

### **1.10 Summary**

This chapter presents the background of the research and the problem statement. The research objectives emphasize the fundamental need to explore moral emotions, shame and guilt from an Islamic perspective. This chapter also describes the instruments adopted for this study and discusses the operational definitions and terms used in this study. Further discussions highlighted the importance of the study and the benefits contributed by the study. Finally, limitations of the study were pointed out which limits the scope of interpretation or interpretation of findings from the data obtained. The next chapter provides a literature review of previous studies done and a detailed explanation of the underlying theories, the framework and hypotheses of the research.

## REFERENCES

- Abdallah, R., & Coyle, A. (2018). Toward a framework for Islamic psychology and psychotherapy: An Islamic model of the soul. *Journal of Religion and Health*. doi.org/10.1007/s10943-018-0651-x.
- Abdullah, Y. A. (1994). *The Holy Quran: Text and translation*. Kuala Lumpur: Islamic Book Trust.
- Abdullahi Hassan, Z. (1999). Ethics from an Islamic perspective: Basic issues. *The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences*, 16, 3.
- Abdul Rahman, M. A., & Chang L.H. (1994). *Pendidikan moral. (Dinamika Guru)*. Siri Pendidikan Longman: Longman Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.
- Abdul Rahman, M. A. (2008). *Pendidikan moral: Teori etika dan amalan moral*. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Abd Rahman, A. M. (2004). *Malu perhiasan diri*. Kuala Lumpur: Darul Nu'man.
- Abdul Talib, M. H.(2004). *SAGA*. Kuala Lumpur: Utusan Publications & Distributors Sdn. Bhd.
- Abdul Qadir Al-Shaikhili. (1994). *Fadhilat al-Haya'*. Jordan: Dar al-Bashir.
- Abu-Raiya, H. (2014). Western psychology and Muslim psychology in dialogue: Comparisons between a Qura'nic theory of personality and Freud's and Jung's ideas. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 53, 326-338.
- Abu Yazid, A. B., Amla M. S., & Dharatun Nissa M. K. (2017). *Pengujian dan penilaian psikologi dalam kaunseling*. Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Abun, F. D., & Cajindos, R. (2012). The effect of religion toward moral values of college students in Locos Sur, Philippines. *E-International Scientific Research Journal*, 4, 3, 181-196.
- Adibah, A. L. (2013). *Pembangunan instrumen penilaian akhlak pelajar di institusi pengajian tinggi awam*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Adibah, A. R. (2013). Understanding Islamic ethics and its significance on the character building. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 3, 6, 508-513.
- Ahmad Shehu, A. (2006). Gender and sexuality: An Islamic perspective. *SARI: Jurnal Alam dan Tamadun Melayu*, 24, 34-48. ISSN 0127-2721.
- Aiken, L.R., & Groth-Marnat, G. (2006). *Psychological testing and assessment*. (12th Ed.). United States of America: Pearson.

- Aisha, Utz. (2011). *Psychology from the Islamic perspective*. Riyadh: International Islamic Publishing House.
- Akil, F. (1985). *Mu'jam ilm al-nafs*. Beirut: Dar al-Ilm Lil Malayin.
- Aknouche, N. (2010). *Development of a theoretical multifaceted process model of shame: A qualitative study of Malays*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Aknouche, N., & Noraini, M. N. (2014). The primacy of the self in shame: Can shame be benevolent? *American International Journal of Social Science*, 3 (1), 59-79.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1990). *The nature of man and the psychology of the human soul*. Kuala Lumpur: ISTAC.
- Al-Balali, A. H. (2006). *Why not cover your modesty*. Riyadh: International Islamic Publishing House.
- Al-Bar M.A., Chamsi-Pasha H. (2015). The sources of common principles of morality and ethics in Islam. In: *Contemporary Bioethics*. Springer, Cham.
- Albertsen, E.J., O'Connor, L.E., & Berry, J. W. (2006). Religion and interpersonal guilt: Variations across ethnicity and spirituality. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 9 (1), 67-84.
- Al-Bukhari, M. I. (1997). *Sahih Bukhari*. Translated by Muhammad Khan. Riyadh: Darussalam.
- Al-Ghazali. (1988). *Ihya Ulumiddin, Vol 1*. Kuala Lumpur: Victory Ajensi.
- Al-Ghazali. (1995). *On Disciplining the soul: Kitab riyadat al-nafs and on breaking the two desires*. Trans. T. J. Winter. Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society.
- Al-Ghazali. (2003). *Al-Arba'in fi usul al-din fi al-'afa'id wa asrar al-'ibadat wa al-akhlaq*. Edited by 'Abdullah al-Hamid 'Arwani and Muhammad Bashir al-Shaqfah. Dimashq: Dar al-Qalam.
- Al-Ghazali. (2003). *Morality of a Muslim*. Trans. Arabic Rustamov, Mosccow: Ansar.
- Ali, Abbas Husain. (1995). The nature of human disposition: Al-Ghazali's contribution to an Islamic concept of personality, *Intellectual Discourse*, 3(1), 51-64.
- Al-Jallad, N. (2010). The concept of "shame" in Arabic: Bilingual dictionaries and the challenge of defining culture-based emotions. *Language Design*, 12, 31-57.
- Ali-Faisal, S. F. (2014). Crossing sexual barriers: The influence of background factors and personal attitudes on sexual guilt and sexual anxiety among Canadian and American Muslim women and men. *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*, 5051. Retrieved from <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/etd/5051>.

- Al-Mawardi, Abu al-Hasan Ali al-Basri. (1987). *Kitab Adab al-Dunya wa al-Deen*. Beirut: Dar Maktabah al-Haya't.
- Altman D. G., Bland J. M. (2005). Standard deviations and standard errors. *Br. Med. J.* 331, 903–903. 10.1136/bmj.331.7521.903
- Al-Tirmidhi, M. I. (2007). *Jami' Al-Tirmidhi, Translated By Abu Khalyil*. Riyadh: Darussalam.
- Ansari, Z. A. (Ed.). (1992). *Qur'anic concepts of human psyche*. Islamabad, Pakistan: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Ansari, A. H. (2011). Universality of good conscience and morality: An expository study with special reference to Islamic Paradigm. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 7(13), 2334-2341.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L., Sorensen, C., & Walker, D. (2013). *Introduction to research in education*. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning.
- Aristotle. (1976). *Nichomachean ethics*. Translated by Thomson, J.A.K. London: Penguin Books.
- Ashiq, A. A., & Murken, S. (2002). Naturalistic and Islamic approaches to psychology, psychotherapy, and religion: metaphysical assumptions and methodology - A discussion. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 12 (4), 239–254.
- Asmawati, S. (2005). Pemantapan komponen akhlak dalam pendidikan islam bagi menangani era globalisasi. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, Fakulti Pengurusan dan Pembangunan Sumber Manusia Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 6, 95-103.
- Aswati, H. (2007). *Satu kajian skema taakulan akhlak dalam kalangan pelajar Melayu*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Atif Khalil. (2012). Tawba in the sufi psychology of Abu Talib Al-Makki (d. 996). *Journal of Islamic Studies Advance*, 1-31.
- Averill, P. M., Diefenbach, G. J., Stanley, M. A., Breckenridge, J. K., & Lusby, B. (2002). Assessment of shame and guilt in a psychiatric sample: A comparison of two measures. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 32, 1365–1376.
- Aydin, H. (2010). Concepts of the self in Islamic traditions and western psychology: A comparative analysis. *Studies in Islam and the Middle East*. 7(1), 2-30.
- Azimi, H., Abdul Lateef, A., Sidek, M. N., Turiman, S., Rumaya, J., Jamiah, M., Khairul Anwar, M., Azma, M., & Hasnan, K. (2007). *Muslim Religiosity & Personality Assessment: Prototype for nation building*. Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang: Institute for Social Science Studies.

- Azman, H. (2012). *Instrumen Penilaian Pembimbing dalam Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Berasaskan Kriteria Pelajar di Industri*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Badri, M. B. (1979). *The dilemma of Muslim psychologists*. London: MWH London Publishers.
- Bartlett, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W., & Higgins, C. C. (2001). Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*, 19(1), 43–50. doi:10.1109/LPT.2009.2020494
- Baumeister, R. F., Reis, H. T., & Delespaul, P. G. (1995). Subjective and experiential correlates of guilt in daily life. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 21, 1256-1268. doi:10.1177/01461672952112002
- Baumeister, R. F., Stillwell, A. M., & Heatherton, T. F. (1994). Guilt: An interpersonal approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 115, 243-267. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.115.2.243
- Bedford, O.A. (2004). The individual experience of guilt and shame in Chinese culture. *Culture & Psychology*, 10(1), 29-52.
- Bedford, O. A. & Hwang, K. K. (2003). Guilt and shame in Chinese culture: A cross-cultural framework from the perspective of morality and identity. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 33(2), 127 - 144.
- Benedict, R. (1947). *The chrysanthemum and the sword*. London: Secker and Warburg.
- Bering, J. M., & Johnson, D. D. P. (2005). 'Oh Lord, you hear my thoughts from afar': Recursiveness in the cognitive evolution of supernatural agency. *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, 5, 118-142.
- Bernardo, A. B. I. (2011). Lost In Translation? Challenges in using psychological tests in the Philippines. *Silliman Journal*, 52, 1, 21-42.
- Bhowon, U., & Bundhoo, H. (2016). Perceptions and reasons for veiling: A qualitative study. *Psychology and Developing Societies*, 28(1), 29–49.
- Blackwell, C. (2016). Dressed for respect? An Investigation of religiosity, body image and modesty among Christian women. (Electronic Thesis or Dissertation).
- Blaikie, N. (2003). *Analyzing Quantitative Data*. Sage Publications.
- Boulanouar, A.W. (2006). The notion of modesty in Muslim women's clothing: An Islamic point of view. *New Zealand Journal of Asian Studies*, 8(2), 134-156.
- Breslavs, G. M. (2013). Moral emotions, conscience, and cognitive dissonance. *Psychology in Russia: State of the Art*, 6(4), 65-72.



- Briggs, S.R., & Cheek, J.M. (1986). The role of factor analysis in the development and evaluation of personality scales. *Journal of Personality*, 54(1), 106-148.
- Brown, F.G. (1976). *Principles of educational and psychological testing*. (2<sup>nd</sup>. Ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Brown, F.G. (1983). *Principles of educational and psychological testing*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Brown, G. K. (2010). Legible pluralism: The politics of ethnic and religious identification in Malaysia. *Ethnopolitics*, 9(1), 31-52.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (2009). *Quantitative data analysis with SPSS 14, 15 & 16. A guide for social scientists*. New York: Routledge.
- Burhanudeen, H. (2006). *Language and social behaviour: Voices from the Malay world*. Malaysia, Bangi: Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Carni, S., Petrocchi, N., Del Miglio, C., Mancini, F., & Couyoumdjian, A. (2013). Intrapyschic and interpersonal guilt: a critical review of the recent literature *Cognitive Processing*. DOI 10.1007/s10339-013-0570-4
- Carter, E. C., McCullough, M. E., & Carver, C. S. (2012). The mediating role of monitoring in the association of religion with self-control. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 3(6), 691-697. DOI: 10.1177/1948550612438925.
- Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1998). *On the self-regulation of behavior*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Chamhuri Siwar, Ferdoushi Ahmed, Ahmad Bashawir & Md. Shahin Mia, (2016). Urbanization and Urban Poverty in Malaysia: Consequences and Vulnerability. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 16: 154-160.
- Chua, Y. P. (2016). *Mastering research methods*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Penerbit Universiti Malaya.
- Clark, L. A. & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychology Assessment*, 7, 309–19.
- Coakes, S. J., & Steed, L. G. (2003). *SPSS: Analysis without anguish*. Sydney: John Wiley & Sons.



- Cochran, W. F. (1977). *Sampling techniques*. (John Willey & Sons, Ed.) (3rd Ed.). United States of America: Harvard University. Retrieved from [https://scholar.google.com.tr/scholar?q=sampling+techniques&btnG=&hl=en&as\\_sdt=0,5#0](https://scholar.google.com.tr/scholar?q=sampling+techniques&btnG=&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5#0)
- Coelho, P.S. & Esteves, S.P. (2007). The choice between a 5-point and a 10-point scale in the framework of customer satisfaction measurement. *International Journal of Market Research*, 49(3), 313-345.
- Cohen, R. J. (2013) *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. (Revised ed.). New York Academic Press.
- Cohen, R. J., & Swerdik, M. E. (2005). *Psychological testing and assessment: An introduction to tests and measurement*. (6th ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw Hill.
- Cohen, T. R., Wolf, S. T., Panter, A. T., & Insko, C. A. (2011). Introducing the GASP scale: New measure of guilt and shame proneness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 100, 947-966.
- Collins, E.F. & Bahar, E. (2000). To know shame: Malu and its uses in Malay societies. *An interdisciplinary Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 14(1), 35-69.
- Cook, D. (1996). Empirical studies of shame and guilt: The internalized Shame scale. In D. Nathanson (ed.), *Knowing feeling: Affect, script, and psychotherapy* (pp. 132–165). New York: W. W. Norton.
- Cook, D. A., & Beckman, T.A. (2006). Current concepts in validity and reliability for psychometric instruments: Theory and application. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 119.
- Copjec, J. (2006). The Object-Gaze: Shame, hejab, cinema. *Filozofski Vestnik*, 27(2), 11–29.
- Covert, M. V., Tangney, J. P., Maddux, J. E., & Heleno, N. M. (2003). Shame-proneness, guilt-proneness, and interpersonal problem solving: A social cognitive analysis. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 22,(1), 1-12.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Upper Saddle River: Pearson Education.
- Creswell, J. W. & Plano Clark, V. L. (2007). *Designing and conducting mixed method research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Nebraska, New York: Pearson Inc.

- Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (1986). Introduction to classical and modern test theory. Retrieved from <http://ezproxy.usherbrooke.ca/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=ED312281&lang=fr&site=ehost-live&scope=site>
- Dalmaso, M., Galfano, G., & Castelli, L. (2015). The impact of same- and other-race gaze distractors on the control of saccadic eye movements. *44*(8–9), 1020–1028. DOI: 10.1177/0301006615594936 [pec.sagepub.com](http://pec.sagepub.com).
- Damasio, A. R. (1994). *Descartes' error: emotion, reason, and the human brain*. New York: Grosset/Putnam.
- Dansie, E. J. (2009). *An empirical investigation of the adaptive nature of shame*. All Graduate Theses and Dissertations. <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/226>
- Darwin, C. (1872). Chapter 13: Self-attention, shame, shyness, modesty; blushing. *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*. New York: D. Appleton & Company. 309-346.
- Dattalo, P. (2009). A Review of Software for Sample Size Determination. *Evaluations & Health Professions*. *32*(3), 229-248.
- Davis, L. L. (1992). Instrument review: Getting the most from a panel of experts. *Applied Nursing Research*, *5*(4), 194–197. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0897-1897\(05\)80008-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0897-1897(05)80008-4).
- de Hooge, I. E. (2008). *Moral emotions in decision making: Towards a better understanding of shame and guilt*. Ridderkerk: Ridderprint.
- de Hooge, I. E., Zeelenberg, M., & Breugelmans, S. M. (2010). Restore and protect motivations following shame. *Cognition and Emotion*, *24*, 111–127. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02699930802584466>
- Demspey, H.L. (2017). A comparison of the social-adaptive perspective and functionalist perspective on guilt and shame. *Behavioral Sciences*. *7*(83), 1-19. doi:10.3390/bs7040083
- De Vellis, R. F. (2003). *Scale Development: Theory and Applications* (2nd ed., Vol. 26). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- De Vellis, R.F. (2012). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (3rd Ed.). Thousands Oaks, California: Sage Publication.
- Dickerson, S. S., Gruenewald, T. L., & Kemeny, M. E. (2004). When the social self is threatened: Shame, physiology and health. *Journal of Personality*, *72*(6), 1191–1216.

- Dini Farhana, B., Melati, S., & Suhailiza, M.H. (2019). Shame Transformation Using an Islamic Psycho-Spiritual Approach for Malay Muslims Recovering from Substance Dependence. in *The Bright Side of Shame: Transforming and Growing Through Practical Applications in Cultural Contexts*. Mayer, C-H & Vanderheiden, E (Eds).Springer. (pp.198-209).
- Dolan-Henderson, A. A. (2003). *Shame, guilt, and ethical orientation*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The University of Texas, Austin.
- Doraisamy, S. (2002). *Situation of young workers in Malaysia - Executive summary*. Malaysian Trade Union Congress website. Retrieved May 13, 2014 from: [http://wxvw.mtuc.org.my/young\\_workers.htm](http://wxvw.mtuc.org.my/young_workers.htm).
- Dumitrescu, C. (2005). Shame and honor: Biblical understandings and Islamic cultural reflections. *Journal of Adventist Mission Studies*: 1(1), 14-39. <https://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/jams/vol1/iss1/4>.
- Eisenberg, N. (2000). Emotion, Regulation, and Moral Development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51(1), 665-97. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.psych.51.1.665.
- El Guindi, F. (1999). *Veil: Modesty, privacy and resistance. dress, body and culture series*. Oxford and New York: Berg.
- Else-Quest, N., Higgins, A., Allison, C. and Morton L. ( 2012). Gender differences in self-conscious emotional experience: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(5), 947-81.
- Emery, N. J. (2000). The eyes have it: The neuroethology, function and evolution of social gaze. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 24, 581–604. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634\(00\)00025-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634(00)00025-7)
- Engku Ahmad Zaki, E. A., Nor Aini, A. B., & Roose Nilawati, S. (2014). Islamic Faith in Malaysia: Current issues and challenges. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 5, 20, 1954-1962.
- Erikson, E.H. (1974). *Dimensions of a new identity*. New York: Norton.
- Ezzi, S.W., Teal, E.J. & Izzo, G.M. The influence of Islamic values on connected generation students in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of International Business and Cultural Studies*, 9, 1-19.
- Fatimah, A. (2012). Teaching Islamic ethics and ethical training: Benefiting from emotional and spiritual intelligence. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*. 2, 3, 224-232.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G\*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 175-191.

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G\*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41, 1149-1160.
- Fawziah K. A. A., Ismaiel, H. A. & Mohamad Sahari, N. (2012) Moral character of Muslim Personality: Scale validation. *Journal of Education and Practice*. www.iiste.or ISSN 2222-1735 (Paper) ISSN 2222-288X (Online), 3(16),118-128.
- Fayzullayeva, M.S. (2018) Ethics of communication in philosophy Muhammad Al-Ghazali. *Arts and Social Sciences Journal*, 9, 345. doi: 10.4172/2151-6200.1000345.
- Fazlur, Rahman (2001). Some key ethical concepts of the Qur'an. *The Journal of Religious Ethics*, 170-85.
- Ferguson, T.J.; Stegge, H.; Damhuis, I. (1991). Children's understanding of guilt and shame. *Child Development*. 62, 827-839.
- Fessler, D. M. T. (2004). Shame in two cultures: Implications for evolutionary approaches. *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, 4, 207-262.
- Fessler, D. M. T., & Haley, K. J. (2003). The strategy of affect: Emotions in human cooperation In P. Hammerstein (Ed.), *The genetic and cultural evolution of cooperation* (pp. 7-36). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Field, A., (2005). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. 2nd ed. London: Sage.
- Finkbeiner, P. (2016). *Qualitative Research: Semi-structured Expert Interview*. *Social Media for Knowledge Sharing in Automotive Repair*, 141-181. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-48544-7\_6.
- Fisher, W. A., & Barak, A. (2001) Internet pornography: A social psychological perspective on Internet sexuality. *Journal of Sex Research*, 38, 312-23.
- Fontaine, J. R., Luyten, P., De Boeck, P., Corveleyn, J., Fernandez, M., Herrera, D., & Tomcsányi, T. (2006). Untying the Gordian knot of guilt and shame: The structure of guilt and shame reactions based on situation and person variation in Belgium, Hungary, and Peru. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 37(3), 273-292. doi:10.1177/0022022105284493.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*. Feb1981, 18(1), 39-50. 12p. 1 Diagram. doi:10.2307/3151312
- Foxcroft, C.D. (2011). Ethical issues related to psychological testing in Africa: What I have learned (So far). *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1022>.

- Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2006). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (6th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Fredrickson, B.L. (2001). The Role of Positive Emotions in Positive Psychology: The Broaden-and-Build Theory of Positive Emotions. *Am Psychology*, 56(3): 218–226.
- Freud, S. (1905). Three essays on the theory of sexuality. *Standard Edition*, 7, 130–243.
- Freud, S. & Gay, P. (1989). *The ego and the id*. London: W.W. Norton & Company Inc.
- Friedman, M. (1985). Toward a reconceptualization of guilt. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, 21 (4), 501–547.
- Fitzner, K., & Heckinger, E. (2010). Sample size calculation and power analysis: a quick review. *Diabetes Education*, 36: 701–707. doi: 10.1177/0145721710380791.
- Gao, S., Li, G., & Wang, D. A. (2005). New approach for detecting multivariate outliers. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. 34, 1857-1865, DOI: 10.1081/STA-200066315
- Garson, G. D. (2009). Fundamentals of hierarchical linear and multilevel modeling. In *Hierarchical Linear Modeling: Guide and Applications* (pp. 3–26). doi:10.4135/9781483384450.n1
- Gausel, N., & Leach, C. W. (2011). Concern for self-image and social- image in the management of moral failure: Rethinking shame. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 41, 468 – 478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.803>.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2010) *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 17.0 Update*. 10th Edition, Pearson: Boston.
- Ghorbani, N., Watson, P.J., Mehdi Salimian, Zhuo Chen. (2013). Shame and guilt: Relationships of Test of Self-Conscious Affect measures with psychological adjustment and gender differences in Iran. *An International Journal on Personal Relationship*. 97-108. DOI:10.5964/ijpr.v7i1.118.
- Gibbs, J. C., Basinger, K. S., Grime, R. L., Snarey, J. R. (2007). Moral judgment development across cultures: Revisiting Kohlberg's universality claims. *Developmental Reviews*, 27(4), 443-500.
- Gilliland, R., South, M., Carpenter, B. N., & Hardy, S. A. (2011). The roles of shame and guilt in hypersexual behavior. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity: The Journal of Treatment & Prevention*, 18(1), 12–29. doi:10.1080/10720162.2011.551182



- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Giner-Sorolla, R., & Piazza, J., & Espinosa, P. (2011). What do the TOSCA shame and guilt scales really measure: Affect or action? *Personality and Individual Differences*, *51*, 445-450. Doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2011.04.04.010.
- Gjersing, L., Caplehorn, J. R. M., & Clausen, T. (2010). Cross-cultural adaptation of research instruments: Language, setting, time and statistical considerations. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *10*, 13.doi:10.1186/1471-2288-10-13.
- Gobel, M.S. Kim, H.S., & Richardson, D.C. (2015). The dual functions of social gaze. *Cognition*, *136*, 359–364.
- Gravetter, F. J., & Wallnau, L. B. (2013). *Statistics for the behavioral science* (9<sup>th</sup> ed.). Wadsworth, Belmont, USA: Jon-David Hague.
- Haidt, J. (2003). The moral emotions. In R. J. Davidson, K. R. Scherer, & H. H. Goldsmith (Eds.), *Handbook of affective sciences*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.(pp. 852-870).
- Haidt, J., & Kesebir, S. (2010). Morality. In S. T. Fiske, G. Lindzey, & D. T. Gilbert (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Psychology* (pp. 797-832). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Haidt, J. (2001). The emotional dog and its rational tail. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 814-834.
- Haidt, J., & Bjorklund, F. (2008). Social intuitionists answer six questions about moral psychology. In W. Sinnott-Armstrong (Ed.), *Moral psychology: The cognitive science of morality: Intuition and Diversity*, *2*, 181-217. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.Ethically Adrift 67
- Haidt, J., & Graham, J. (2007). When morality opposes justice: Conservatives have moral intuitions that liberals may not recognize. *Social Justice Research*, *20*, 98-116.
- Hair, J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (Edition 7.). Pearson Prentice Hall Inc.
- Hair, J. (2009). *Multivariate Data Analysis. Faculty Publications*. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/facpubs/2925>.
- Hambleton, R. K, Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (1991). *Fundamentals of item response theory*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Jones, R. W. (1993). Comparison of classical test theory and item response theory and their applications to test development. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, *12*(3), 38-47.



- Hambleton, R. K. (2005). Issues, designs, and technical guidelines for adapting tests into multiple languages and cultures. In R. K. Hambleton, P. F. Merenda, & C. D. Spielberger (Eds.), *Adapting educational and psychological tests for cross-cultural assessment* (pp.3-38). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hamza, Y. (2012). *Purification of the Heart: Signs, symptoms and cures of the spiritual diseases of the heart*. United States of America: Sandhala Inc.
- Haneef, M. A., Abdullah Yusof, S., & Mohd. Amin, R., & Md. Noon, H. (2006). Values and their relationship to social problems in Malaysia: An Islamic Framework. *The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences*, 19(3), 58-78.
- Hatcher, L. (1994). *A Step-by-Step Approach to Using the SAS® System for Factor Analysis and Structural Equation Modeling*. Cary, N.C.: SAS Institute, Inc.
- Haq, A. & Yasien, M. (2009). *Psychology of Personality: Islamic perspectives*. Cengage Learning Asia.
- Harder, D. W., & Lewis, S. J. (1987). The assessment of shame and guilt. In J. N. Butcher & C. D. Spielberger (Eds), *Advances in personality assessment*, 6, pp. 89-114).
- Harder, D. W., Cutler, L. & Rockart, L. (1992). Assessment of shame and guilt and their relationships to psychopathology. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 59, 584-604.
- Haslina, M., Roodenburg, J. & Moore, D.W. (2018). The adaptation of the Big Five Inventory in measuring Malaysian youths personality traits. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 5(7), 8-14.
- Hauge, K. E. (2016). Generosity and guilt: The role of beliefs and moral standards of others. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 54, 35-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2016.03.001>
- Henkin, M. (2004). *Shame And Guilt: Perceptions of American And Chinese College Students*. (Electronic Thesis or Dissertation). Retrieved from <https://etd.ohiolink.edu>.
- Hermann, R.C., & Provost, S. (2003). Interpreting measurement data for quality Improvement: Standards, means, norms and benchmarks. *Psychiatric Services*, 54, 655-7.
- Hertzog, M. A. (2008). Considerations in determining sample size for pilot studies. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 31, 180-191.
- Hesse-Biber, S. (2010). Qualitative Approaches to Mixed Methods Practice.. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(6), 455-468.

- Hill, R. (1998). What sample size is "enough" in internet survey research? *Interpersonal Computing and Technology. An Electronic Journal for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*.6 (3/4).
- Hinkin, T. R. (1995). A review of scale development in the study of behavior in organizations. *Journal of Management*, 21, 967-988.
- Hochel, S. (2013). To veil or not to veil: Voices of Malaysian Muslim women. *Intercultural Communication Studies*, 22(2), 40-57.
- Hoffman, M. L. (1987). The contribution of empathy to justice and moral judgment. In Eisenberg, N., and Strayer, J. (eds.), *Empathy and its Development*, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 47–80.
- Hofstede, G. (1991). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*. Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill.
- Hogan, R., & Hogan, J. (2007). *Hogan Personality Inventory manual* (3rd ed.). Tulsa, OK: Hogan Assessment Systems.
- Hopkin, K.D., & Stanley, J.C. (1981). *Educational and psychological measurement and evaluation*. Prentice Hall.
- Hoseini, S.S. (2013). Masturbation: Scientific evidence and Islam's view. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 56, 6, 2076–2081
- Hungrige, A. (2016). *Women's masturbation: an exploration of the influence of shame, guilt, and religiosity*. (Doctoral dissertation). Texas Women's University.
- Hussain, M. (2014). *Seven steps to spiritual intelligence*. UK: KUBE Publishing Ltd
- Hu, Z., & Li, J. (2015). The integration of EFA and CFA: One method of evaluating the construct validity. *Global Journal of Human Social-Science: A Arts & Humanities -Psychology*, 15(6).
- Ibnu Amirullah Yusuf. (2013). *Syarah hadis 40 Imam Nawawi: Asas-asas ilmu Islam*. Selangor: Jasmin Publications.
- Ibn al-Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, 'Ali al-Hamd al-Muhammad al-'Ali (1999). *Al-daw' al-munir 'ala al-tafsir*. Riyad: Maktabah Dar al-Salam.
- Ibn Majah. (2007). *English translation of Sunan Ibn Majah*. Translated by Nasiruddin al-Khattab. Riyadh: Maktaba Darussalam.
- Ibnu Miskawayh, A. M. (2002). *The refinement of character: A translation from Arabic Tahdhib Al-Akhlaq* (C. Zurayk, Trans.). K. Beirut: American University of Beirut.
- Ilker, E., Sulaiman, A.M., & Rukayya, S.A. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*. 5, 1, pp. 1-4. doi: 10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11

- ILBS, Youth Societies and Youth Developments Act, Kuala Lumpur: ILBS Publications, (2007).
- Imenda, S. (2014). Is there a conceptual difference between theoretical and conceptual frameworks? *Journal Social Science*, 38(2), 185–195.
- Inozu, M., Karanci, A.N. & Clark, D.A. (2012). Why are religious individuals more obsessional? The role of mental control beliefs and guilt in Muslims and Christians. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*. 43, 959-966.
- Isaac, S. & Michael, W.B. (1995). *Handbook in research and evaluation*. San Diego: EdITS.
- Ismail, A. M., Stapa, Z., Othman, M. Y. & Mashitoh, Y. (2012). Islam dalam pendidikan dan hubungannya dengan pembentukan jati diri bangsa Melayu di Malaysia. *Jurnal Hadhari*, Special Edition, 37-50.
- Jamiah, M., Azimi, H., Sidek, M. N., Hasnan, K., Krauss, S. E., K., Turiman, S., & Fazilah, I. (2013). Prinsip pengukuran religiositi dan personaliti Muslim. *Journal of Psychology and Human Development*. 1 (1): 36-43. <http://spaj.ukm.my/ppppm/jppm/article/view/50/32>
- Javali S B, Gudaganavar N V, Raj S M. (2011). Effect of varying sample size in estimation of coefficients of internal consistency. *Webmed Central Biostatistics*. 22(2), 1-8.
- Jeannot, A. K. & Khairul Anuar, R. (2012). Pembentukan skala pengukuran nilai Melayu: Satu kajian perintis, *Akademika* (82), 1, 113-123.
- Johanson, G. and Brooks, G. (2010). Initial scale development: Sample size for pilot studies. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 70, 394-400. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0013164409355692>
- Johnson, B.R., Jang, S.J., Larson, D.B., & Li, S.D. (2001). Does adolescent religious commitment matter? A reexamination of the effects of religiosity on delinquency. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 38(1), 22-44.
- Johnson, J. *In-depth Interviewing*, In: Handbook of interview research: context & method, 2002. Gubrium, J, and Holstein, J. (eds), Sage Publication: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Johnston, M., & Krettenauer, T. (2011). Moral self and moral emotion expectancies as predictors of anti- and prosocial behaviour in adolescence: A case for mediation? *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 8(2), 228-243.
- Joshanloo, M. (2013). Eastern conceptualizations of happiness: Fundamental differences with western views. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 15, 475-593.

- Joshanloo, M. (2012). A comparison of western and Islamic conceptions of happiness. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 14, 1857-1874.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39(1), 31–36. doi:10.1007/BF02291575.
- Karen, R. (1992). Shame: The rediscovery of what some psychologists regard as the primary cause of emotional distress. *The Atlantic*, 269(2), 40-70.
- Kaplan, R. M., & Saccuzzo, D. P. (1982). *Psychological testing: Principles, applications, and issues*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company. Retrieved from papers://dee23da0-e34b-4588-b624-f878b46d7b3d/Paper/p725.
- Karim, W. J. (1992). *Women and culture: Between Malay Adat and Islam*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Katz, M. H. (2014). Shame (*haya'*) as an affective disposition in Islamic legal thought. *Journal of Law, Religion and Thought*. 139-169.
- Keltner, D. (1995). Signs of appeasement: Evidence for the distinct displays of embarrassment, amusement, and shame. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68, 441–454. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.68.3.441
- Keltner, D. & Buswell, B.N. (1996). Evidence for the distinctness of embarrassment, shame, and guilt: A study of recalled antecedents and facial expressions of emotion. *Cognitive Emotion*. 10, 155–171.
- Kerlinger, F. N. (1979). Science and behavioral research. *Behavioral Research: A Conceptual Approach* (Edition 10.). Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, United States.
- Keshavarzi, H., & Haque, A. (2013). Outlining a psychotherapy model for enhancing Muslim mental health within an Islamic context. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 23(3), 230-249. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10508619.2012.712000>
- Khaidzir, I., Jawiah D., Fariza M. S., & Hanina, H. H. (2009). *Psikologi Islam: Falsafah, teori dan aplikasi*. UKM: Insitut Islam Hadhari.
- Khaidzir, I., & Khairil, A. (2011). Psikologi Islam: Suatu Pendekatan psikometrik remaja berisiko (Islamic Psychology: An Approach to psychometric for at-risk adolescence). *Jurnal e-Bangi*, 6(1), 77-89.
- Khaidzir, I., Khairil, A., & Hanina H. H. (2011). Measurement of self-esteem: Comparison between the constructs of West and Islam. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 1(13), [Special Issue-September 2011]. 196-201.
- Khairudin, C. T. (2017). *Dimensi kebahagiaan golongan dewasa Melayu*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis) Universiti Malaya, Malaysia.

- Kerlinger, F. N. (1970). *Foundation of behavioural research*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Kim, U., Yang, K. S., Hwang K. K. (2006) Contributions to indigenous and cultural psychology. In: Kim U., Yang KS., Hwang KK. (eds) *Indigenous and Cultural Psychology*. International and Cultural Psychology. Springer, Boston, M
- King, R.B., Ganotice Jr., F.A., & Watkins, D.A. (2012). Validation of the Chinese version of the Sense of Self (SOS) Scale. *Asia Pacific Education Review*. 13:323–331. DOI 10.1007/s12564-011-9195-4
- Kitayama, S., Markus, H.R., & Matsumoto, H. (1995). Culture, self and emotion: A cultural perspective on "self-conscious" emotions. In J.P Tangney & K.W Fischer (Eds.). *Self-conscious emotions* (pp. 439-464). New York: Guilford Press.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modelling* (Edition 3.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Kohlberg, L. (1976). Moral stages and moralization: The cognitive-developmental approach. In T. Lickona (Ed.), *Moral development and behavior: Theory, research and social issues* (pp. 67-78). New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston..
- Kohlberg, L. (1981). *The meaning and measurement of moral development*. Worcester, MA: Clark University Press.
- Krejcie, R.V. & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610.
- Krauss, S.E. (2005). *Development of the Muslim Religiosity-Personality Inventory for Measuring the Religiosity of Malaysian Muslim Youth*. Universiti Putra Malaysia: Unpublished Doctoral Thesis.
- Kugler, K.E., & Jones, W.H. (1992). On conceptualizing and assessing guilt. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62(2), 318–327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.62.2.318>
- Kuru, O. (2016). *Self in relation to the concept of God in Risale-i Nur*, Durham theses, Durham. University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: <http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/11587/>
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009), *Inter Views: Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Kyriazos, T. A. (2018). Applied Psychometrics: The 3-Faced Construct Validation Method, a Routine for Evaluating a Factor Structure. *Psychology*, 9, 2044-2072. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2018.98117>
- Lapsley, D. K. (1996). *Moral psychology*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.



- Laskoski, L. M., Natividade, J. C., & Hutz, C. S. (2013). Development of instruments to assess shame and guilt in adolescents: Empirical differences between the constructs. *Paidéia (Ribeirão Preto)*, 23(55), 171-178. doi:10.1590/1982-43272355201304
- Lebra, T.S. (1983). Shame and guilt: A cultural view of the Japanese self. *Ethos*, 11, 192-209.
- Leeming, D., & Boyle, M. (2004) Shame as a social phenomenon: A critical analysis of the concept of dispositional shame. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research & Practice*, 77(3). pp. 375-396. ISSN 1476-0835 .
- Leith, K. P., & R. F. Baumeister. (1998). Empathy, shame, guilt, and narratives of interpersonal conflicts: Guilt-prone people are better at perspective talking. *Journal of Personality*, 66, 1, 1-1.
- Lewis, H. (1971). *Shame and guilt in neurosis*. New York: International Universities.
- Lewis, H. (1987). Shame and the narcissistic personality. In D. Nathanson (ed.), *The many faces of shame*. New York: Guilford.
- Lewis, M. (2003). The role of the self in shame. *Social Research*, 70, 1181-1204.
- Lickel, B., Steele, R.R., & Schmader, Toni. (2011). Group-based shame and guilt: Emerging directions in research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 5/3, 153–163, 10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00340.x
- Liew, Y. F. (2012). *Development and validation of instruments to measure teacher curriculum paradigm and actual curriculum development practices in the teacher-student interaction context*. Unpublished Doctoral thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Lim, Chong Hin. (2007). *Penyelidikan pendidikan: Pendekatan kualitatif dan kuantitatif*. Shah Alam: McGraw-Hill (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.
- Lindsay-Hartz, J., de Rivera, J., & Mascolo, M. F. (1995). Differentiating guilt and shame and their effects on motivation. In J. P. Tangney & K. W. Fischer (Eds.), *Self-conscious emotions: The psychology of shame, guilt, embarrassment, and pride* (pp. 274-300). New York: Guilford Press.
- Lord, F.M. (1980). *Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems*. Hillsdale, N.J: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lutwak, N., Panish, J., Ferrari, J.R., & Razzino, B. W. (2002). Shame and guilt and their relationship to positive expectations and anger expressiveness. *Adolescence*, 36 (144), 541–653.

- Luyten, P., Fontaine, J. R. J., & Corveleyn, J. (2002). Does the Test of Self-Conscious Affect (TOSCA) measure maladaptive aspects of guilt and adaptive aspects of shame? An empirical investigation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33, 1373–1387.
- Maclean, A.M., Walker, L.J. & Matsuba, K. (2004). Transcendence and the moral life: Identity integration, religion and the moral life. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 43, 429-437.
- Mahnaz A. K., & Sharifah Zainiyah S. Y. (2016). Bringing X,Y,Z generation together to facilitate school-based sexual & reproductive health education. *Global Journal of Health Science* 8 (9): 132-135.
- Majid, F. (1991). *Ethical theories in Islam*. Netherlands: E.J Brill.
- Makogon, I. K., & Enikolopov, S.N. (2013). Problems with the assessment of shame and guilt. *Psychology in Russia: State of the Art*, 6(4), 168-175.
- Malaysian AIDS Council Resource Center. 2015. *AIDS in Malaysia*. Kuala Lumpur: Department of Public Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Malterud, K., Siersma, V.D., & Guassora, A. D. Sample size in qualitative interview studies: Guided by information power. *Qualitative Health Research*. 1-8. DOI: 10.1177/1049732315617444.
- Malti, T., & Keller, M. (2010). The development of moral emotions in a cultural context. In W. F. Arsenio & E. A. Lemerise (Eds.), *Emotions, aggression, and morality in children: Bridging development and psychopathology* (pp. 177-198). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/12129-009>
- Malti, T., & Dys, S. P. (2015). A developmental perspective on moral emotions. *Topoi: An International Review of Philosophy*, 34(2):453-459.
- Malti T., & Ongley S. F. (2014). “The development of moral emotions and moral reasoning,” in *Handbook of Moral Development* eds Killen M., Smetana J. G. New York: Psychology Press. 163–183.
- Malti, T., & Dys, Sebastian, P (2015). A developmental perspective on moral emotions. *Topoi: An International Review of Philosophy*, 34(2), 453-459. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-013-9224-5>
- Manavipour, D. (2012). Moral developmental scale in Iranian culture. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*. 22(2), 289-294.
- Manion, J.C. (2002). The Moral Relevance of Shame. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 39,1, 73-90.
- Markus, H.R., and Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224–253.



- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G.B. (2006). *Designing Qualitative Research*. Thousands Oaks: Sage Publication.
- Mavrodes, G. (1986). Religion and the queerness of morality: rationality, religious beliefs and moral commitment. *Essays in the Philosophy of Religion*. Ithaca: Cornell University.
- Maxwell, B., & DesRoches, S. (2010). Empathy and social-emotional learning: Pitfalls and touchstones for school-based programs. In B. Latzko & T. Malti (Eds.), *Children's moral emotions and moral cognition: Developmental and educational perspectives*. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 129, 33–53. San Francisco: Jossey-Bas.
- Mazidah, M., & Noor Hisham M. N. (2011). *Indahnya didikan awal anak cara Islam*. Batu Caves, Selangor: PTS Millenia.
- Mazlin, M. M., Dorrae, A.R., Jane, S. H. & Nuraliza, A. S. (2013). Bridging the gap: Malaysia youths & the pedagogy of school-based sexual health education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural Science*, 85, 236-245.
- McDaniel, E. (1994). *Understanding educational measurement*. Madison, Wisconsin: Brown & Benchmark Publishers.
- McQuitty, S. (2004). Statistical Power and Structural Equation Models in Business Research. *Journal of Business Research*, 57, 175-183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(01\)00301-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(01)00301-0)
- McIntire, S. A., & Miller, L. A. (2007). *Foundations of psychological testing: A practical approach* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Miceli, M. & Castelfranchi, C. (2018). Reconsidering the differences between shame and guilt. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*. 14(3), 710–733, doi:10.5964/ejop.v14i3.1564.
- Miettinen, T., & Suetens, S. (2008). Communication and guilt in a prisoner's dilemma. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 52, 945–960. doi:0.1177/0022002708322325.
- Ministry of Youth and Sports, (1997). Retrived from <http://www.kbs.gov.my/my/akta-pekeling/akta.html>.
- Mohammad Hassan, K.(2007). *Muslim scholarly discussions on salvation and the fate of 'others'*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis dissertation). The University of Michigan.
- Mohamed, A. S. (1975). *Ghazali's theory of virtue*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Mohamed, A. H., Selamah, A. Y., Ruzita, M. A., & Hazizan, M. N. (2001). Values and their relationship to social problems in Malaysia: An Islamic framework. *The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences*. 19(3), 58-77.

- Mohamed, M. A. (2003). Konsep malu dan segan orang Melayu berdasarkan hikayat dan cerita Melayu lama. Dlm. *Psikologi Melayu*. Selenggara. Abdul Halim Othman. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Mohammed A-N., & Ilham, N. (2013). Forgiveness in the Arab and Islamic contexts. *Journal of Religious Ethics*. 41, 3, 474-494.
- Mohd Abbas, A. R. (2011). Human nature: An Islamic perspective. *Journal of Islam in Asia*, Special Issue, 1, 251-274.
- Mohd Abbas, A. R., & Sayed Sikandar, S. H. (2017). The spiritual dimension of man: An Islamic psycho-spiritual study. *International Journal of Islamic Thoughts*, 6 (2), 2017: 53-68
- Mohd Darbi, H. (2006). Of morality and individualism in Malaysia. *Akademika*, 67 . pp. 103-108. ISSN 0126-5008.
- Mohd Faizal, K., Sofia Haya'ti, Y. & Osama, K. (2019). Muhammad's Conversation with the Bedouin: a Speech-Act analysis of Prophetic discourse in Hadith. *Al-Bayan-Journal of Quran and Hadith Studies*, 17, 1-23.
- Mohd Effendi@Ewan, M. M. (2015). *Pembinaan instrumen kecerdasan menghadapi cabaran (IKBAR) bagi pelajar politeknik menggunakan Model Rasch*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Mohd Harith, M. K. (2008). *Socio-Dynamic counseling as an effective tool to manage socially delinquent lifestyles*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Washington International University.
- Mohd Kamal, H. (2011). *Voice of Islamic moderation from the Malay world*. Ipoh: Malaysia, Emerging Market Innovative Research (M) Sdn Bhd.
- Mohd Majid, K. (2004). *Kaedah penyelidikan pendidikan*. Serdang, Selangor: IDEAL, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Mohd Rosmizi, A. R., (2014). *Good deeds in Christianity and Islam: Comparing the perspectives of Augustine and Al-Ghazali*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis), Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.
- Mohd Sheffie, A.B. (1995). *Metodologi Penyelidikan*. Kuala Lumpur:Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka (DBP).
- Mohsen, N. R. M. (2007). *Leadership from the Quran, operationalization of concepts and empirical analysis: Relationship between Taqwa, trust and business leadership effectiveness*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Monette, D.R., Sullivan, T.J., & DeJong, C.R. (2011). *Applied social research: A tool for the human services* (8th ed.). Australia: Brookscole.

- Montada L. (2003) Justice, equity, and fairness in human relations. In: Millon T., Lerner M. J., Weiner I. B. (eds), *Handbook of Psychology: Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 5. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, pp. 537–568
- Moscoso, M.S., & Spielberger, C.D. (2011). Cross-cultural assessment of emotions: The expression of anger *Revista de Psicología*, Vol. 29 (2), 2011, 343-360.
- Muhammad, A. (2006). *Fiqh al-Haya'*. Iskandariah.
- Muhammad Adib, S., & Salasiah, H. H. (2015). Confusion concerning the use of Maqasid al-shari'ah in some social issues in Malaysia. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6, 369-374.
- Muhammad Ahmad Ibnu Isma'il al- Muqaddam. (2004). *Konsep malu dalam Islam*. Diterjemahkan oleh Iyoh Masruroh Daud. Jakarta Timur: Pustaka al-Kautsar.
- Muhammad Ismail, al-Muqaddim. (2015). *Fiqh al-Haya': Understanding the Islamic concept of modesty*. IIPH.
- Muhammad Syahir, A. B., Ahmad Sunawari, L., & Ibrahim, A. B. (2013). Perspektif Aristotle dan Al-Ghazali terhadap konsep persahabatan (Perspective of Aristotle and Al-Ghazali on the concept of friendship). *Jurnal Hadhari*, 5 (1), 21 - 36
- Muhammad Syukri, S. (2008). *Kaedah penyelidikan berteraskan Islam: Keperluan, kedudukan dan hala tuju* [Malay manuscript]. Keynote address at Seminar Pengurusan Pembangunan Islam II: Kaedah Penyelidikan Berteraskan Islam Organized by Centre for Islamic Development Management Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia and Dewan Bahasa & Pustaka, 6 February 2008.
- Muhammad Syukri, S. (2013). Human account in development studies: An Islamic Analysis. *International Journal of Arts and Commerce*, 2, 6, 1-10.
- Muris, P., Meesters, C., & van Asseldonk, M. (2018). Shame on me! Self-Conscious Emotions and Big Five Personality Traits and Their relations to anxiety disorders symptoms in young, Non-Clinical Adolescents. *Child Psychiatry Human Development*, 49, 268-278.
- Murken, S. & Shah, A.A. (2002). Naturalistic and Islamic approaches to psychology, psychotherapy, and religion: Metaphysical assumptions and methodology-A discussion. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 12(4), 239-254.
- Murphy, K. R., & Davidshofer, C. O. (2005). *Psychological testing: Principles and applications* (6th Ed.). Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- Myers, D. G. (2008). Religion and human flourishing. In: Eid M, Larsen R, editors. *The science of subjective well-being*. London: The Guilford Press; pp. 323–346.

- Nadarajan, T. (2014). *Penggunaan Teater Forum dalam Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran Pendidikan Moral Di Sekolah Menengah*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). University Malaya, Malaysia.
- Najati, M.U. (1979). *Al-Hadith al-Nabawi wa 'ilm al-Nafs*. Cairo: Dar alshuruq.
- Nathanson, D. L. (1992). *Shame and pride*. New York: Norton.
- Neda, S. (2018). Shame and its features: Understanding of shame. *European Journal of Social Sciences Studies*.3,3, 75-107.
- Netemeyer, R. G., Bearden, W. O. & Sharma, S. (2003). *Scaling procedures: Issues and Applications*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Niedenthal, P. M., Tangney, J. P., & Gavanski, I. (1994). “If only I weren’t” versus “If only I hadn’t”: Distinguishing shame and guilt in counterfactual thinking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 585–595.
- Nik Safiah, N. A., Selamah, M., Norazlina, A. W. (2015). Pembangunan belia dari perspektif pengurusan Islam (Youth Development From Islamic Management Perspective). *Journal of Global Business and Social Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 1, no. 2, 61–76.
- Noor Shakirah, M. A. (2008). *Al-Ghazali and his theory of the soul*. Pulau Pinang: Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Noorhawaney, Y. (2019). *Memahami fenomena homoseksual dalam kalangan belia gay Melayu di sekitar Lembah Klang*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Noraini, I. (2013). *Penyelidikan dalam pendidikan* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Kuala Lumpur: MCGraw Hill Education (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.
- Norazlina, Z. & Noor Shakirah, M. A. (2017). Incorporating Islamic creed into islamic counselling process: A guideline to counsellors. *Journal of Religion and Health*, pp 1-11.
- Nor Hafizah, N. H., Zaihairul, I. & Geshina Ayu, M. S. (2012). Moral competencies among Malaysian youth. *Health and the Environment Journal*, 3, 3, 1-10.
- Norsaleha, M. S., Ab Halim, T., Noor Hafizah, M. H., Salleh, A., & Amriah, B. (2016). Kecerdasan emosi bertauhid dalam kalangan remaja Muslim Malaysia (*Vitality of the tauhidic emotional intelligence of Malaysia's Muslim adolescents*). *GEOGRAFIA Online TM Malaysian Journal of Society and Space* 12, 5, 179 - 190.
- Nor Tutiani, Ab. W. (2019). *Development of a problem-posing multimedia module and its effectiveness to enhance student performance in form four biology*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

- Norzihani, S., & Nur Surayyah, M. A., (2015). Elemen dalam program penyediaan guru sebagai pemudah cara pemikiran moral guru pelatih pendidikan moral. *Jurnal Kurikulum & Pengajaran Asia Pasifik*, 3, 2, 1-13.
- Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Nur Anis Hanani, M. T., Latifah, A. M. & Nurliyana, M. T. (2019). Relevansi sifat al-haya' dalam pementapan akhlak generasi Z. *Jurnal al-Turath*, 4,1, 8-14.
- Nurhafizah, M. S. (2012). *Malay Mothers' Experiences of Having a Child with Cancer: A Study in a Teaching Hospital in Malaysia*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). University of Queensland, Australia.
- Nuriman & Fauzan. (2017). The influence of Islamic moral values on the students' behavior in Aceh. *Dinamika Ilmu*. 17, 2, 275-290.
- Nurzihan, H. (2014). A Comparative analysis on hijab wearing in Malaysian Muslimah magazines. *The Journal of the South East Asia Research Center for Communications and Humanities*. 6, 1, 79-96.
- Nurzihan, H., Shahreen, M. N. & Md Sidin, A. I. (2015). Hijabistas: An analysis of the mediation of Malay-Muslims and modesty. *Malaysian Journal of Media Studies*, 17, 2, 10–25.
- O'Connor, L. E., Berry, J. W., Weiss, J., Bush, M., & Sampson, H. (1997). Interpersonal guilt: The development of a new measure. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 53(1), 73-89. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4679\(199701\)53:13.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(199701)53:13.0.CO;2-I)
- O'Connor, L.E., Berry, J.W., & Weiss, J. (1999). Interpersonal guilt, shame and psychopathological problems. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 18(2), 181–203.
- Olthof, T., Ferguson, T., Bloemers, E., & Deij, M. (2004). Morality and identity-related antecedents of children's guilt and shame attributions in events involving physical illness. *Cognition & Emotion*, 18(3), 383–404. doi:10.1080/02699930341000077
- Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using SPSS for Windows 15* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.). Sydney, Australia: Open University Press. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.2027c.x
- Pallant, J. (2011) *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using the SPSS program*. 4th Edition, Allen & Unwin, Berkshire.
- Passyn, K., & Sujan, M. (2006). Self-Accountability emotions and fear appeals: Motivating behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12, 583-589.
- Polis Diraja Malaysia. (2014). *Perangkaan penglibatan dan tangkapan murid dalam jenayah dari tahun 2012-2013*.



- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). The Content Validity Index : Are You Sure You Know What ' s Being Reported ? Critique and Recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 29, 489–497. doi:10.1002/nur
- Polit, D. F., Beck, T., & Owen, S. V. (2007). Focus on Research Methods Is the CVI an Acceptable Indicator of Content Validity ? Appraisal and Recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 30, 459–467. doi:10.1002/nur.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (1989). Phenomenological research methods. In R. S. Valle & S. Halling (Eds.), *Existential-phenomenological perspectives in psychology: Exploring the breadth of human experience* (p. 41–60). Plenum Press
- Powell, R. (2011). Forgiveness in Islamic Ethics and Jurisprudence. *Berkeley Journal Middle East & Islamic Law*, 17, <http://scholarship.law.berkeley.edu/jmeil/vol4/iss1/1>.
- Prinz, J. (2006). The emotional bases of moral judgment. *Philosophical Explorations*, 9 (1), Routledge: Taylor and Francis: DOI:10.1080/138697900492466.
- Punch, K. (2000). *Developing Effective Research Proposals Essential Resources for Social Research* (e-book isb.). California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Qutb, S. (2000). *Social justice in Islam* (Rev. éd.). (J.B. Hardie & H. Algar, Trans). New York: Islamie Publications International. (Original work published 1953).
- Rabia, D., & Aisha, S. (2014).Development of a Multidimensional Measure of Islamic Spirituality (MMS). *Journal of Muslim Mental Health*. 8, 2.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Memon, M. A. (2018). *Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using SmartPLS 3.0: An updated and practical guide to statistical analysis*. (2nd edition) Singapore: Pearson.
- Ranathunga, G.M., & Dewpura, D. A. R. D. L. (2018). An investigative study of modest fashion: The case of the Western and central provinces of Sri Lanka. *World Scientific News*. 182-196.
- Reise, S. P., Waller, N. G., & Comrey, A. L. (2000). Factor analysis and scale revision. *Psychological Assessment*, 12, 287–297.
- Reeve, J. (2015). *Understanding motivation and emotion* (6th ed.) Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Reynolds, C. R., Livingston, R. B., & Willson, V. (2006). *Measurement and assessment in education*. Boston, MA, : Allyn & Bacon/Pearson Education.
- Reynolds, C. R., & Suzuki, L. A. (2013). Bias in psychological assessment: An empirical review and recommendations. In J. R. Graham, J. A. Naglieri, & I. B. Weiner (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology: Assessment psychology* (pp. 82-113). Hoboken, NJ, US: John Wiley & Sons Inc.



- Rice, J. S. (1996). *A disease of one's own: Psychotherapy, addiction, and the emergence of co-dependency*. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction.
- Rodriguez, M. (1997). Norming and norm-referenced test scores. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southwest Educational Research Association, Austin, Texas. <http://academic.son.wisc.edu/rdsu/pdf/norming>.
- Roscoe, J.T. (1975). *Fundamental Research Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. New York: Holt Rinehart & Winston.
- Rothman, A., & Coyle, A. (2018). Toward a framework for Islamic psychology and psychotherapy : An Islamic model of the soul. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 57(5), pp. 1731-1744.
- Rubio, D.M.G., Berg-Werger, M., Tebb, S.S., Lee, E.S., & Rauch, S. (2003). Objectifying content validity. Conducting a validity study in social work research. *Social Work research*, 27(2), 94-104. <http://doi.org/10.1093/swr/27.2.94>.
- Ruhe, J., & Lee, M. (2008). Teaching Ethics in International Business Courses: The Impacts of Religions. *Journal of Teaching in International Business*, 19(4), 362-388.
- Ryan, T. (2008). Healthy shame? An interchange between Elspeth Probyn and Thomas Aquinas. *Australian eJournal of Theology*, 12, (1), 1-18.
- Rybak, C. J., & Brown, B. M. (1996). Assessment of Internalized Shame: Validity and reliability of the Internalized Shame Scale. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, 14(1), 71–83. doi: 10.1300/J020V14N01
- Rye, M. S., Pargament, K.I., Ali, M. A., Beck, G. L., Dorff, E. N., Hallisey, C., Narayanan, V., Williams, J. G. (2001). Religious perspectives on forgiveness. In: McCullough, M. E., Pargament, K. I., Thoresen, C. E., Editors. *Forgiveness: Theory, research, and practice*. New York: Guilford; pp. 17–40.
- Saarni, C., Mumme, D. L., & Campos, J. J. (1998). Emotional development: Action, communication and understanding. In: Damon W, Eisenberg N, editors. *Handbook of Child Psychology*, vol. 3. New York: J. Wiley. p 237–309.
- Sabini, J., Garvey, B., & Hall, A. L. (2001). Shame and embarrassment revisited. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27, 104-117.
- Sabini, J., & Silver, M. (1997). In defense of shame: Shame in the context of guilt and embarrassment. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 27(1):1 - 15. DOI: 10.1111/1468-5914.00023
- Sahih Bukhari. The English Translation of Sahih Al Bukhari With the Arabic Text. Muhammad Muhsin Khan Egypt: Al Saadawi Publications.
- Sahih Muslim. Translator: Abd-al-Hamid Siddiqui.

- Salhah Abdullah, Amla Salleh, Zuria Mahmud, Saedah Abd Ghani. (2010). Moral Value Inventory for Muslims Adolescents. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 106-112.
- Sapora, S. (2016). *Kaunseling daripada perspektif Islam dan Barat*. Nilai: Penerbit USIM.
- Sarjit., S. G., & Wan Amir Zal, W. I. (2008). Perubahan nilai budaya belia Malaysia menuju Wawasan 2020. *Jurnal Pembangunan Belia*. 1, 15-34. Institut Penyelidikan Pembangunan Belia Malaysia.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Financial Times Prentice Hall.
- Sayed Shahabuddin, H. (2013). Masturbation: Scientific Evidence and Islam's View. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 56(6), 2076-2081. doi: 10.1007/s10943-013-9720-3.
- Schwartz, M. (2005). Feeling Good: The role of emotion in naturalized moral epistemology. Dalhousie University, *Philosophy Department Colloquium Series* Halifax NS; July 22.
- Scheff, T. (2013). A social/emotional theory of "mental illness". *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 59, 1, 87-92.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2010). *A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling* (Edition 3.). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. doi:10.1002/9781118133880.hop202023
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2010) *Research Methods for Business: A skill building approach*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. John Wiley and Sons. India.
- Shamsul Amri, B. (n.d). Muslim youth survey in Malaysia (201-2011). Muslim Youth in Southeast Asia: Surveys in Malaysia and Indonesia.
- Sheikh Muhammed Salih, al-Munajjid. (2013). *Correcting the Ummah: The Prophet's Way. Al-Asalib al-Nabawiyah*. Kuala Lumpur: Dar al-Wahi Publications.
- Sheikh S. (2014). Cultural variations in shame's responses: A dynamic perspective. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 18(4), 387-403. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1088868314540810>.
- Shiratuddin, N., Hassan, S., Mohd Sani, M. A., Ahmad, M. K., Khalid, K. A., Abdull Rahman, N. L., Abd Rahman, Z. S. and Ahmad, N. S. Y. S. (2017) Media and youth participation in social and political activities: Development of a survey instrument and its critical findings. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*, 25. pp. 1-20. ISSN 0128-7702

- Sibarani, R. (2004). Fenomena Kesantunan bahasa dalam bahasa Indonesia/Melayu dalam *Pemikiran Melayu: Tradisi dan kesinambungan*. Selenggara, Worawit Baru@Haji Ahmad Idris. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Sibilia, P. (2015). Self-exposed nudity on the net: Displacements of obscenity and beauty?. *Cadernos pagu* (44), Janeiro-junho de, 171-198.
- Siddiq, F. (2003). *Perspektif Qurani: Siri wacana tematik*. Kajang: Biro Dakwah dan Tarbiyah ABIM Pusat 2003.
- Sidek, M. N. (1998). *Pengujian dalam psikologi dan kaunseling*. Serdang. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Sidek, M. N. (2013). *Reka bentuk penyelidikan: Falsafah, teori dan praktis*. Malaysia: Penerbit Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Silfver, M., Helkama, K., Lönnqvist, J.-E., & Verkasalo, M. (2008). The relation between value priorities and proneness to guilt, shame, and empathy. *Motivation and Emotion*, 32(2), 69–80. doi:10.1007/s11031-008-9084-2
- Silfver-Kuhlampi, M. (2008). The sources of moral motivation – studies on empathy, guilt, shame and values. University of Helsinki.
- Silva Gomes, R.M. & Pereira, A.S. (2014). Influence of Age and Gender in Acquiring Social Skills in Portuguese Preschool Education. *Psychology*, Vol.5, No.2, 99-103.
- Simms, L.J. (2008). Classical and Modern Methods of Psychological Scale Construction. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2/1, 414–433, 10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00044.x
- Siti Rahaya'h, A. (2008). *Inovasi dalam pengukuran & penilaian pendidikan*. Fakulti Pendidikan, UKM: Ampang Press Sdn. Bhd.
- Siti Solehah, A., & Suriani, S. (2018). *Konsep al-haya' menurut perspektif sunnah*. Proceeding of the International Conference on Contemporary Issues in Al-Quran and Hadith 2018 (THIQAHA 2018). 7<sup>th</sup> Mac 2018. Ilim, Bangi, Selangor, e-ISBN :978-967-2122-37-1
- Siti Suhaila, I. (2016). *Kesediaan Amalan Guru Pendidikan Islam dalam Pengajaran Elemen Pendidikan Seks*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Siti Syairah, M. M., & Ruzianisa, M. (2012). Sex education in Malaysia: Accepted or rejected. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*. 41(7), 34-39.
- S Maria, A., Noor Ani, A., Noridah, M. S., Tahir, A., Noraida, M. K., Noor Azlin, M. S. & Nik Rubiah, N. A. R. (2015). Prevalence of sexual activity in older Malaysian adolescents and associated factors. *Journal of Public Health Aspects*. 2,1, 1-7.

- Smith, R.H., Webster, J., Parrott, W.G., & Eyre, H.L. (2002). The role of public exposure in moral and nonmoral shame and guilt. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83, 1, 138–159
- Smith, T. B., McCullough, M. E., & Poll, J. (2003). Religiousness and depression: Evidence for a main effect and the moderating influence of stressful life events. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 614. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.4.614>
- Sousa, V. D., & Rojjanasrirat, W. (2011) Translation, adaptation and validation of instruments or scales for use in cross-cultural health care research: A clear and user-friendly guideline. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 17(2): 268–274.
- Sousan, B. Z. (2014). *Investigating The influence of external variables and mediators on learning management system utilization among education students of three malaysian research universities*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Stein, C., & Mankowski, E. (2004). Asking, witnessing, interpreting, knowing: Conducting qualitative research in community psychology. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 33(1/2), 21-35.
- Stoeber, J., Harris, R.A., & Moon, P.S. (2007). Perfectionism and the experience of pride, shame, and guilt: Comparing healthy perfectionists, unhealthy perfectionists, and non-perfectionists. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 43(1), 131-141.
- Stevens, . S. S. (1946). On the Theory of Scales of Measurement. *Science, New Series*, Vol. 103, No. 2684. 677-680.
- Stuewig, J., Tangney, J.P., Heigel, C., Harty, L. McCloskey, L. (2010). Shaming, blaming and maiming: Functional links among the moral emotions, externalization of blame, and aggression. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 44, 91-102. Doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2009.12.005.
- Syed, J. & Ali, F. (2006). Emotion regulation and Muslim women at work. In D. Hurst (Ed.), *Consequentiality Volume II: Mythology, Theology, Ontology*. Florida:
- Syed Azauddin, S. B. (2005). *Alternative quality management standards Islamic perspective A look at their rationale and implementation*. Kuala Lumpur: Utusan Publications and Distributors.
- Syed Othman, Alhabshi. (1996). Quality and productivity conciousness: An Islamic approach. In *Quality Management Islamic Perspectives*. Kuala Lumpur: Institut Kefahaman Islam Malaysia.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (1983). Using Multivariate Statistics. *Psychology Chritiques*, 28, 746. doi:10.1037/022267

- Taherdoost, H. (2016). Validity and reliability of the research instrument: How to test the validation of a questionnaire/survey in a research. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 5, 3, 28-36. ,
- Tangney, J.P., Wagner, P.E., & Gramzow, R. (1989). *Test of Self-Conscious Affect*. George Mason: Fairfax VA.
- Tangney, J. P. (1991). Moral affect: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61, 598-607. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1960652>
- Tangney, J.P., Wagner, P.E., & Gramzow, R. (1992). Proneness to Shame, Proneness to Guilt, and Psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 101, 3, 469-478.
- Tangney, J. P. (1993). Shame and guilt. In C. G. Costello (Ed.), *Symptoms of depression* (pp. 161-180). New York: Wiley.
- Tangney, J. P. (1995). Recent advances in the empirical study of shame and guilt. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 38, 1132-1145.
- Tangney, J. P. (1995). Shame and guilt in interpersonal relationships. In J. P. Tangney and K. W. Fischer (Eds.), *Self-Conscious Emotions: The Psychology of Shame, Guilt, Embarrassment, and Pride* (pp. 114-390). New York: Guilford.
- Tangney, J. P., Burgraff, S. A., & Wagner, P. E. (1995). Shame-proneness, guilt-proneness, and psychological symptoms. In J. P. Tangney, & K. W. Fischer (Eds.), *The self-conscious emotions* (pp. 343-367). New York: Guilford Press.
- Tangney, J.P., & Fischer, K. (1995). *Self-conscious emotions: the psychology of shame, guilt, embarrassment, and pride*. The Guilford Press.
- Tangney, J. P., Miller, R., Flicker, L., & Barlow, D. (1996). Are shame, guilt, and embarrassment distinct emotions? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(6), 1256-69. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8667166>
- Tangney, J. P., Niedenthal, P. M, Covert, M. V., & Barlow, D. (1998). Are shame and guilt related to distinct self-discrepancies? A test of Higgins's (1987) hypotheses. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 75, No. 1, 256-268.
- Tangney, J. P. (1999). The self-conscious emotions: Shame, guilt, embarrassment, and pride. In T. Dalglish & M. Power (Eds.), *Handbook of cognition and emotion* (pp. 541-568). Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Tangney, J. P. (2002). Perfectionism and the self-conscious emotions: Shame, guilt, embarrassment, and pride. *Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10458-008>.



- Tangney, J.P., & Dearing, R.L. (2002). *Shame and guilt*. United States of America: The Guilford Press.
- Tangney, J.P., Stuewig, J., & Mashek, D.J. (2007). Moral emotions and moral behavior. *Annual Review Psychology*. 58, 345–72.
- Tangney, J.P., Stuewig, J., & Martinez, A.G. (2014) Two faces of shame: Understanding shame and guilt in the prediction of jail inmates' recidivism. *Psychological Science*. 25(3), 799–805. doi:10.1177/0956797613508790.
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*. 2, 53-55.
- Thorndike, T. A. (2005). *Fundamentals of measurement in applied research*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). Intercultural conflicts: A face-negotiation theory. In Y. Y. Kim & W. B. Gudykunst (Eds.), *Theories in intercultural communication* (pp. 213–235). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Tracy, J. L., & Robins, R. W. (2006). Appraisal antecedents of shame, guilt, and pride: support for a theoretical model. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 32,10, 1339-1351. DOI: 10.1177/0146167206290212
- Tracy, J.L., Robins, R.W. & Tangney, J.P. (2007). *The self-conscious emotions: Theory and research*. United States of America: The Guilford Press.
- Trochim, W.M. & Donnelly, J.P. (2006). *The research methods knowledge base*. 3rd Edition. Atomic Dog, Cincinnati, OH.
- Tsai, J. L. (2007). Ideal affect: Cultural causes and behavioral consequences. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2(3), 242–259. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00043.
- Umar Latief, (2015). Konsep Amarah Menurut Al-Qur'an. *Jurnal Al-Bayan*. 21, 32, 68-83.
- United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. (2002). Youth In Malaysia: A Review of the Youth Situation and National Policies and Programmes [http://www.youthpolicy.org/library/wp-content/uploads/library/2002\\_Youth\\_Malaysia\\_Review\\_Policies\\_Programmes\\_Eng.pdf](http://www.youthpolicy.org/library/wp-content/uploads/library/2002_Youth_Malaysia_Review_Policies_Programmes_Eng.pdf).
- Van Alphen, M. (2017). Shame as a functional and adaptive emotion: A biopsychosocial perspective. In *The Value of Shame: Exploring a Health Resource in Cultural Contexts*; Vanderheiden, E., Mayer, C.-H., Vanderheiden, E., Mayer, C.-H., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, pp. 61–86.



- Vargas, L., & González-Torres, M. C. (2009). The revitalization of character education in today's educational psychology arena: contributions from the sciences of prevention and positive psychology. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 7, 1379–1418.
- Varghese, M. E. (2015). *Attachment to God and psychological well-being: Shame, guilt, and self-compassion as mediators*. Open Access Dissertations. 578. [https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/open\\_access\\_dissertations/578](https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/open_access_dissertations/578)
- Vieten, C., Scammell, S., Ammondson, I., Pargament, K.I., Lukoff, D. (2013). Spiritual and religious competencies for psychologists. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 5(3), 129-144.
- Vishalache, B. (2010). The development of moral education in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education*, Vol. 25, 89–101.
- Wagner, W., Sen, R., Permanadeli, R., & Howarth, C. (2012). The veil and Muslim women's identity: Cultural pressures and resistance to stereotyping. *Culture & Psychology*, 18, 521–541.
- Wang, J., & Wang, X. (2012). *Structural Equation Modeling: Applications Using Mplus*. Higher Education Series. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics.
- Watson, S.D., Gomez, R., & Gullone, E. (2016) The shame and guilt scales of the Test of Self-Conscious Affect-Adolescent (TOSCA-A): Psychometric properties for responses from children, and measurement invariance across children and adolescents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1-10.
- Weisberg, H.F. & Bowen, B.D. (1977). *An introduction to survey research and data analysis*. San Francisco : W. H. Freeman.
- Weiss, J. (1986). Unconscious guilt. In J. Weiss & H. Sampson (Eds.), *The psychoanalytic process: Theory, clinical observation and empirical research* (pp. 43–67). New York: Guilford Press.
- Williams, B. (1993). *Shame and necessity*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Williams, R. H. R. H., Zimmerman, D. W. D. W., Zumbo, B. D. B. D., & Ross, D. (2003). Charles Spearman: British behavioral scientist. *Human Nature Review*, 3, 114–118.
- Wood, W., & Eagly, A. H. (2002). A cross-cultural analysis of the behavior of women and men: Implications for the origins of sex differences. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(5), 699–727.
- Woiien, S. L., Ernst, H. A. H., Patock-Peckham, J. A., & Nagoshi, C. T. (2003). Validation of the TOSCA to measure shame and guilt. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35, 313-326.

- Wolf, S.T, Cohen, T.R., Panter, A. T. & Insko, C. A. (2010): Shame Proneness and Guilt Proneness: Toward the Further Understanding of Reactions to Public and Private Transgressions, *Self and Identity*, 9, 4, 337-362. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15298860903106843>.
- Wong, Y., & Tsai, J. (2007). Cultural models of shame and guilt. In J. L. Tracy, R. W. Robins, & J. P. Tangney (Eds.), *The self-conscious emotions: Theory and research* (pp. 209-223). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Yarnell, L.M., Stafford, R.E., Neff, K.D., Reilly, E.D., Knox, M.C., & Mullarkey, M. (2015): Meta-Analysis of gender differences in self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, DOI: 10.1080/15298868.2015.1029966
- You, Y.G. (1997). Shame and guilt mechanisms in East Asian culture. *The Journal of Pastoral Care*, 51(1), 57-64.
- Zainudin, A. (2015). *SEM made simple: A gentle approach to learning structural equation modeling* (1<sup>st</sup> Ed.). Bandar Baru Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia: MPWS, Rich Publication.
- Zakaria, S. (2002). Akhlak Islam dan gaya hidup Muslim semasa. Analisis konsep dan agenda bertindak. *Jurnal YADIM*, 2 (1), 51-65.
- Zamanzadeh, V., Ghahramanian, A., Rassouli, M., Abbaszadeh, A., Alavi-Majd, H., Nikanfar, A-R. (2015). Design and implementation content validity study: Development of an instrument for measuring patient-centered communication. *Journal of Caring Sciences*. 4(2):165-78.
- Zeng, J., & Wyse, A. (2009). *Introduction to classical test theory*. Michigan Education. [https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mde/3\\_Classical\\_Test\\_Theory\\_293437\\_7.pdf](https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mde/3_Classical_Test_Theory_293437_7.pdf).
- Zetty Nurzuliana, R. (2016). Amalan pengintegrasian ilmu sains dan Al-Quran dalam pengajaran Pendidikan Islam di Maahad Tahfiz Sains Negeri Selangor. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Zuraidah, Z. (2014). *The effects of customer-brand relationship investments on customer engagement*. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.