

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN CHOLESTEROL PHENOTYPES AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH RISK FACTORS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AMONG SUBJECTS ATTENDING HEALTH SCREENING AT A PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA

# NORLIANAH BT MAZALAN

FPSK(m) 2020 13



## LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN CHOLESTEROL PHENOTYPES AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH RISK FACTORS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AMONG SUBJECTS ATTENDING HEALTH SCREENING AT A PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA

By

NORLIANAH BT MAZALAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN CHOLESTEROL PHENOTYPES AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH RISK FACTORS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AMONG SUBJECTS ATTENDING HEALTH SCREENING AT APUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA

Bу

#### NORLIANAH BT MAZALAN

October 2019

## Chair : Subashini C. Thambiah, MBBS, MPath Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

Background: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in Malaysia. Dyslipidaemia, a component of both Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) and Framingham Risk Score (FRS), is an established CVD risk factor. However, approximately 50% of individuals with coronary artery disease (CAD) have normal lipid levels and only 30% of all myocardial infarctions can be rationalised on the basis of conventional lipid profile. Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) particle concentration and size are reported to be important CVD predictors. Individuals with small dense LDL particles are categorised as Pattern B and are at greater risk of developing CAD. This pilot study aimed to determine the prevalence of Pattern B lipoprotein profile and its association with risk factors of CVD, specifically MetS and FRS among selected Malaysian population. This cross-sectional study involving 380 apparently healthy subjects aged  $\geq$  30 years old who attended health screening at Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia (FMHS, UPM). Recruitment was by convenience nonrandom sampling. Sociodemographic factors and clinical characteristics were recorded in the proforma after informed consent. Biochemical analyses on fasting samples (lipid profile, plasma glucose and LDL subfractions) were performed at the Chemical Pathology Laboratory, FMHS, UPM. Data analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistic version 23.0 for Windows. This study outcomes showed that the prevalence of Pattern B and normolipidaemic Pattern B were 45% and 8.8%, respectively. There was significant association between all sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters (except for ethnicity, waist circumference for females, total cholesterol and LDL) with type of lipoprotein profile pattern. Male gender and MetS are independent predictors of Pattern B. Age, ethnicity and Pattern B independently predict MetS.

Independent predictors of FRS include gender, ethnicity, diastolic blood pressure and Pattern B.The similarity between the independent biochemical predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS include LDL1 and LDL3.As conclusion, the prevalence of Pattern B and normolipidaemic Pattern B is relatively high compared to previous studies. Being male with MetS independently predicted Pattern B. By performing LDL subfraction analysis, additional individuals at risk could be identified, that would be missed if their risk were predicted according to their FRS alone. Atherogenic normolipidaemia broadens the population at risk for a CVD event, as these at-risk individuals are not recognised and hence not included in the protective measures of primary CVD prevention.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

## FENOTIP LIPOPROTIN KOLESTEROL KEPADATAN RENDAH DAN KAITANNYA DENGAN FAKTOR RISIKO PENYAKIT KARDIOVASKULAR DALAM KALANGAN SUBJEK YANG MENGHADIRI SARINGAN KESIHATAN DI SEBUAH UNIVERSITI AWAM DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

#### NORLIANAH BT MAZALAN

Oktober 2019

## Pengerusi : Subashini C. Thambiah, MBBS, MPath Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Latar belakang: Penyakit kardiovaskular (CVD) merupakan penyebab utama kematian di Malaysia. Dislipidemia, iaitu komponen kepada Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) dan Framingham Risk Score (FRS), merupakan factor risiko utama bagi CVD. Walau bagaimanapun, dianggarkan 50% individu yang menghidap penyakit arteri koronari (CAD) mempunyai tahap kolesterol yang normal, manakalahnya 30% serangan jantung boleh dijelaskan dengan profil kolesterol konvensional. Kajian menunjukkan bahawa konsentrasi partikal dan saiz kepadatan rendah kolesterol lipoprotin (LDL) merupakan penentu risiko CVD. Individu dengan lipoprotein berkepadatan rendah dan bersaiz kecil (sdLDL) diklasifikasikan sebagai Profil Pola B dan mempunyai risiko yang tinggi untuk menghidap CAD. Tujuan utama kajian perinitis ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti prevalen Profil Pola B lipoprotin dan kaitannya dengan factor risiko CVD, khususnya MetS dan FRS di kalangan populasi rakyat Malaysia yang terpilih. Ini merupakan kajian kaedah keratan rentas melibatkan seramai 380 subjek sihat berusia 30 tahun ke atas yang hadir pada saringan kesihatan di Fakulti Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan, Universiti Putra Malaysia (FPSK, UPM). Pemilihan subjek dilakukan melalui kaedah sampel bukan-rawak. Faktor sosiodemografi dan kriteria klinikal direkodkan di dalam pro-forma selepas persetujuan dimaklumkan. Analisis biokimia ke atas sampel puasa (profil lipid, plasma glukos dan subfraks LDL) telah dilakukan di Makmal Patologi Kimia, FPSK, UPM. Data telah dianalisa dengan menggunakan IBM SPSS Statistik versi 23.0 untuk Windows. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan prevalen keseluruhan bagi Pola B adalah 45% manakala Pola B dengan paras lipid normal adalah 8.8%. Terdapat hubungan signifikan di antara semua factor sosiodemografik, kriteria klinikal dan parameter biokimia [kecuali etnik, ukur lilit pinggang bag iperempuan, jumlah keseluruhan kolesterol dan LDL] dengan profil lipoprotin. Jantina lelaki dan MetS merupakan predictor utama untuk Profil Pola B. Umur, etnik dan Pola B dapat meramalkan MetS secara bebas. Prediktor utama FRS adalah jantina, etnik, tekanan darah diastolik dan Pola B. Persamaan antara predictor utama biokimia bagi Pola B, MetS dan FRS adalah LDL1 dan LDL3.Kesimpulannya, prevalen Pola B secara relatifnya adalah tinggi berbanding kajian sebelum ini. Lelaki yang mempunyai MetS adalah secara bebas meramal kepada Pola B. Analisa subfraks LDL dapat mengenalpasti lebih ramai individu berisiko, yang gagal dikenalpasti sekiranya hanya menggunakan FRS. Aterogenik normolipidemia meluaskan lagi populasi yang berisiko untuk episod CVD, yang lazimnya gagal dikenalpasti dan oleh itu tidak dapat perlindungan pencegahan awal CVD.



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, Alhamdulillah my highest regards to Allah S.W.T whom with His mercy has given me the opportunity to complete this project as scheduled. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all my supervisors. First, most importantly my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my project supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Subashini C. Thambiah, who had not only guided me through each and every step during the writing of this thesis but had also at all times given continuous ideas and suggestions to improve it. I would also like to thank my co-supervisors, Dr. Intan Nureslyna Samsudin and Dr. Siti Yazmin Zahari Sham for assisting and providing me the knowledge and the encouragement towards completion of this thesis. My sincere gratitude to Dr. Salmiah Md. Said and Dr. Geeta Appannah, for their invaluable input in the statistical analysis of this project.

I would also like to acknowledge with much appreciation the late En. Norazmie Ramly and Pn. Rossalyna Rokmat of the Chemical Pathology Laboratory, FMHS, UPM and Miss Cheryn Lai and Dr Hailoon Low of All Eights (M) Sdn. Bhd., for their assistance during sample collection, processing and analysis. Without their support and cooperation, this project would not have been completed successfully.

My heartfelt appreciation to my parents Mr Mazalan Idrus, Mrs Nikmah Hassan, my sister, Amelia Mazalan, and my brother, Mohd Erwan Mazalan, for their patience, encouragement, financial and moral support throughout the research and writing of this thesis. Last but not least, to all who were involved either directly or indirectly in my journey, may Allah S.W.T bless you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Subashini C. Thambiah, MBBS, MPath

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Intan Nureslyna binti Samsudin, MB, MPath

Medical Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### Siti Yazmin binti Zahari Sham, MB, MPath

Medical Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 January 2020

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:

Name and Matric No.: Norlianah bt Mazalan (GS47140)

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Subashini C. Thambiah
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Intan Nureslyna binti Samsudin
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Siti Yazmin binti Zabari Sham

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV

CHAPTER					
1	INTR		TION		1
	1.1	Backg	round		2
	1.2	Proble	m statem	ent	3
	1.3	Signifi	cance of t	his study	2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	1.4	Object	ive		3
		1.4.1		l objective	3
		1.4 <mark>.2</mark>	Specific	3	
	1.5	Hypoth	neses		3
2	LITE	RATUR		W	5
	2.1	Cardio	ovascular	Disease (CVD)	
	2.2			Risk Factors	5
		2.2.1	Modifial	ble Risks	5 5 5 5
				Obesity	5
			2.2.1.2	Hypertension	6
			2.2.1.3	Dyslipidaemia	6
				2.2.1.3(a) Conventional	7
				Lipid Parameters	
				(i) Raised LDL level	7
				(ii) Low HDL level	8
				(iii) Raised TG level	8
				Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	8
				Smoking	9
		0 0 0		Physical Inactivity	9
		2.2.2		difiable Risks	9
				Age Gender	9 10
			2.2.2.2		10
	2.3.	Cardi		Ethnicity Risk Prediction Tools	11
	2.3.	2.3.1		ic Syndrome (MetS)	11
		2.3.1		ham Risk Score (FRS)	12
	2.4.			Conventional CVD Risk	13
	∠.⊤.	Facto			10
	25		rotein Sub	ofractions	13

2.6	Small Dense LDL (sdLDL) as CVD Risk	15		
2.7	Factor Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL)	15		
	Heterogeneity 2.7.1 Structure	15		
	2.7.1 Structure 2.7.2 Physicochemical	15		
2.8	LDL Atherogenicity Modifications	17		
2.0	2.8.1 Oxidation	17		
	2.8.2 Desialylation	18		
	2.8.3 Glycation	18		
	2.8.4 Electronegativity LDL	19		
2.9	Classification of LDL Subfractions	19		
	Methods			
	2.9.1 Gradient Gel Electrophoresis	19		
	(GGE) 2.9.2 Ultracentrifugation	20		
	2.9.2 Onlacentingation 2.9.3 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	20		
	(NMR)	21		
	2.9.4 Tube Gel Electrophoresis	21		
	ERIALS AND METHODS	22		
3.1	,	22		
3.2	, ,	22 22		
3.3				
3.4				
	3.4.1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria	23		
	3.4.1.1 Inclusion criteria 3.4.1.2 Exclusion criteria	23 23		
	3.4.2 Sampling methods	23		
3.5	Instruments and data collection	23		
0.0	3.5.1 Instruments	23		
	3.5.2 Data Collection Techniques	23		
	3.5.3 Quality Control	24		
3.6	Biochemical Analysis	24		
	3.6.1 LDL Subfractions Analysis	24		
3.7	Data Analysis	25		
3.8	Definitions of variables	26		
	3.8.1 Independent variables	26		
	3.8.2 Dependent variables	27		
3.9	Study Ethics	27		
RESI	ULTS AND FINDINGS	28		
4.1	Sociodemographic factors, clinical	28		
7.1	characteristics and biochemical	20		
	parameters of the study population			
4.2	Prevalence of Pattern A and Pattern B	31		
	and its associations with			
	sociodemographic factors, clinical			
	characteristics and biochemical			
	parameters in study subjects			

3

4

G

	4.3	Prevalence of normolipidaemic and dyslipidaemic Pattern B and its associations with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters in study subjects	36
	4.4		38
	4.5	Prevalence of Framingham Risk Score (FRS)10-year cardiovascular risk categories and its associations with sociodemographic factors, clinical	40
		characteristics and biochemical	
	4.6	parameters in study subjects Correlation between LDL subfractions	43
	4.0	(LDL1 to LDL5) with components of MetS	40
		(WC, TG, HDL, SBP, DBP & FBG) and	
		FRS (WC, T <mark>C, HDL, SBP,</mark> FBG, total	
		FRS, age) in study subjects	
	4.7	Independent predictors of Pattern B, normolipidaemic Pattern B, MetS and FRS, respectively	45
5			50
	0190	CUSSION	50
Ū	5.1	Sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects	50
Ū			
Ū	5.2 5.3	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B	50 51 53
ũ	5.2 5.3 5.4	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS)	50 51 53 53
Ū	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS)	50 51 53 53 54
Ĵ	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS)	50 51 53 53
6	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of	50 51 53 53 54
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b>	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS	50 51 53 53 54 55 55
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1 6.2	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths 6.2.2 Limitations	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56
	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths 6.2.2 Limitations Conclusion	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 57
6	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> <b>REC</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>ES</b>	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS IMARY, CONCLUSION AND COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths 6.2.2 Limitations Conclusion	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 57 57
6 REFERENC	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 <b>SUN</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 ES ES DF ST	characteristics and laboratory parameters of the study subjects Pattern B Normolipidaemic Pattern B Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) Independent Biochemical Predictors of Pattern B, MetS and FRS <b>IMARY, CONCLUSION AND</b> <b>COMMENDATIONS FUTURE RESEARCH</b> Summary Strengths and limitations 6.2.1 Strengths 6.2.2 Limitations Conclusion Future Recommendations	50 51 53 53 54 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 57 57 57

6

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Criteria for MetS (3 out of 5) based on the Joint Interim Statement	11
2.2	LDL subfractions physicochemical properties	16
4.1	Sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters of the study subjects	28
4.2	Association of Pattern B and Pattern A with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters in study subjects	33
4.3	Association of normolipidaemic and dyslipidaemic Pattern B with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters in study subjects	37
4.4	Association of MetS with sociodemographic, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters in study subjects	39
4.5	Association of FRS risk categories with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters in study subjects	40
4.6	Correlation between LDL subfractions (LDL1 to LDL5) with components of MetS (WC, TG, HDL, SBP, DBP & FBG) and FRS (WC, TC, HDL, SBP, FBG, total FRS, age) in study subjects	43
4.7	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (sociodemographic factors and clinical characteristics) of Pattern B	45
4.8	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (biochemical parameters) of Pattern B	46
4.9	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (biochemical parameters) of normolipidaemic Pattern B	46
4.10	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (sociodemographic factors and clinical characteristics) of MetS	47
4.11	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (biochemical parameters) of MetS	48
4.12	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (sociodemographic factors and clinical characteristics) of FRS	48
4.13	Binary logistic regression analysis of predictors (biochemical parameters) of FRS	49

6

## LIST OF FIGURES

Table		Pa	age
2.1	Gel Tubes Lipoprotein Subfractions		14
2.2	Heterogeneity of Pattern A and Pattern B Phenotypes		16
3.1	Range of Lipoproteins Subfractions		25
4.1	The prevalence of Pattern A and Pattern B in study subjects		31
4.2	Subject L1 with Pattern A lipoprotein profile		32
4.3	Subject L5 with Pattern B lipoprotein profile		32
4.4	The prevalence of normolipidaemic and dyslipidaemic Pattern B in study subjects		36

 $\bigcirc$ 

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACS AGE AHA AIR AMI ApoB ApoA-I ApoB-100 ApoCIII ARIC	Acute Coronary Syndrome Advanced Glycation End Products American Heart Association Atherosclerosis and Insulin Resistance Acute Myocardial Infarction Apolipoprotein B Apolipoprotein A-I Apolipoprotein B100 Apolipoprotein C3 Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities
BMI BP CAD CE CETP CV CVD CMR CHD IHD CI CPG DBP DM FDA FFA FSL GGE HDL HOCL HOSCN IFG IGT IGG IGT IGG IHD IL-8 IDF IQR IR IBLDL LDL-P LDL-R LOX-1 Lp(a) LPL MetS	Body Mass Index Blood Pressure Coronary Artery Disease Cholesteryl Ester Cholesteryl Ester Transfer Protein Cardiovascular Cardiovascular disease Chylomicron remnants Coronary Heart Disease Ischemic Heart Disease Ischemic Heart Disease Confidence Interval Clinical Practice Guidelines Diastolic Blood Pressure Diabetes Mellitus United States Food and Drug Administration Free Fatty Acid Fasting Serum Lipid Gradient Gel Electrophoresis High Density Lipoprotein Hypochlorous Acid Hypothiocyanous Acid Impaired Fasting Glucose Impaired Glucose Tolerance Immunoglobulin G Ischemic Heart Disease Interleukin-8 International Diabetes Federation Interquartile Range Insulin Resistance Large Buoyant Low Density Lipoprotein LOL Particles LDL Receptor LDL Receptor-1 Lipoprotein(a) Lipoprotein Lipase Metabolic Syndrome

MI NCEP ATP III NF-Kb NHBLI NHMS	Panel III NF-kappaB National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Health and Morbidity Survey
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
PAGE	Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
PGGE	Polyacrylamide Gradient Gel Electrophoresis
RAGE	Receptor for Advanced Glycation End
Rf	Retardation Factor
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
RR	Relative Risk
SBP	Systolic Blood Pressure
sdLDL	Small Dense Low Density Lipoprotein
SHBG	Sex Hormone Binding Globulin
SMC	Smooth Muscle Cell
TC	Total Cholesterol
T2DM	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
TG	Triglycerides
TLC	Therapeutic lifestyle changes
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
VAP	Vertical Auto Profile
VLDL	Very Low Density Lipoprotein
WC	Waist Circumference
WHO	World Health Organization

 $(\mathbf{G})$ 

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the foremost cause of death in Malaysia. In 2012, CVD was the cause of 31% of all global death, of which approximately 7.4 million and 6.7 million were due to coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke, respectively. In Malaysia, CVD is estimated to account for 36% of total deaths [World Health Organization (WHO), 2014].

Traditionally, major CVD risk factors include age, obesity, hyperlipidaemia, smoking, diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertension (Yousof et al., 2013). Metabolic syndrome (MetS), a constellation of these CVD risk factors is also common in Malaysia, with a prevalence of 42%, using the harmonised criteria (Yeow et al., 2012). MetS is characterised by atherogenic dyslipidaemia, which consists of elevated small dense low density lipoprotein (sdLDL) levels, raised triglycerides (TG) and reduced high density lipoprotein (HDL) levels (Nikolic et al., 2013).

These risk factors also form the Framingham Risk Score (FRS), a coronary prediction tool that estimates a patient's 10-year risk of developing CVD event, which subsequently dictates management (Ridker, Buring, Rifai & Cook, 2007). It categorises patients into low (< 10%), intermediate (10 - 20%) and high risk (> 20%) groups [Khanna et al., 2013].

Dyslipidaemia, a component of both MetS and FRS, is an established risk factor in CVD. Previous research has demonstrated that LDL particle concentration and size are important predictors of CVD (El Harchaoui et al., 2007). On conventional lipid profile measurement, the LDL levels are typically normal in atherogenic dyslipidaemia but there is a higher fraction of sdLDL, which is not detected (CPG Management of Dyslipidaemia, 2017). Hence, the necessity for a more specific diagnostic tool for atherogenic dyslipidaemia.

In this study, measurement of LDL particle size and quantification of sdLDL fractions will be performed using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Characteristically, Pattern A includes large, buoyant LDL (IbLDL) particles (LDL1 and LDL2 subfractions) and Pattern B, the atherogenic profile has sdLDL particles (LDL3 through LDL7) [Krauss & Siri, 2004].

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Persons with MetS normally do not have elevated levels of LDL. However, it is hypothesised that the CVD risk associated with MetS may be attributable to sdLDL particles. These sdLDL particles are more susceptible to oxidation than lbLDL (Kathiresan et al., 2006), hence more atherogenic. Despite the widespread use of FRS, which is a conventional algorithm to assess 10-year CHD risk in middle-aged, asymptomatic persons (Vekic, Topic, Zeljkovic, Jelic-Ivanovic & Spasojevic-Kalimanovska, 2007), there is evolving concern for novel CHD risk prediction biomarkers.

It is established that race has an impact on conventional CHD risk factors. Since LDL subfractions are the promising predictors of CHD, further research is required to determine the distribution of these subfractions among diverse ethnicities. Current research on LDL subfractions is based on western populations with inadequate data on Asians (Vekic et al., 2007).

Although several studies have demonstrated the predictive power of LDL subfractions in CHD risk assessment, National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) still does not recommend its use in routine practice because methods available for measurement are complicated and inaccurate (Vekic et al., 2007). Furthermore, LDL subfraction as an independent predictor of CHD risk is questioned as it is highly correlated with TG and HDL (Pasternak, 2002). Hence, more studies are required to establish the role of sdLDL as a risk marker in CVD.

This study will use the FDA approved semi-automated Lipoprint Lipoprotein Subfractions Testing System from Quantimetrix that utilises the PAGE method to separate the LDL subfractions on the basis of size. To date, there are limited studies on sdLDL in South East Asia and to our knowledge, none in Malaysia. Thus, the aim of this study is to determine the prevalence of Pattern B lipoprotein profile and its association with risk factors of CVD, specifically MetS and FRS among selected apparently healthy Malaysian subjects.

## 1.3 Significance of This Study

Conventional lipid profile, which reports LDL, HDL, TG and total cholesterol (TC) levels, does not identify sdLDL particles. Approximately 50% of individuals diagnosed with coronary artery disease (CAD) have normal lipid levels and only 30% of all myocardial infarctions (MI) can be rationalised on the basis of conventional lipid profile (The Lipoprint System Quantimetrix Manual, 2006). Hence, LDL subfractions testing convey a level of CVD risk not possible with conventional lipid profile. To date, there are no data related to the association of Pattern B lipoprotein profile with risk factors of CVD, specifically MetS and FRS in Malaysia. Therefore, this study will help identify subjects with an atherogenic profile where on conventional lipid profile measurement, the LDL levels are typically normal but there is a higher fraction of sdLDL, which is not

detected. In turn, this data could be used to strategise effective prevention and therapeutic programmes to reduce associated cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Malaysian population.

## 1.4 Objectives

## 1.4.1 General Objective

To determine Pattern B lipoprotein profile and its association with risk factors of CVD (MetS and FRS) in subjects attending health screening at FMHS, UPM.

## 1.4.2 Specific Objectives

To determine the:

- i. baseline characteristics (sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters) of study subjects;
- ii. prevalence of Pattern A and Pattern B, and normolipidaemic and dyslipidaemic Pattern B lipoprotein profiles, and its associations with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters, respectively, in study subjects;
- iii. prevalence of MetS and FRS values, and its associations with sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters, respectively, in study subjects;
- iv. correlation between LDL subfractions (LDL1 to LDL5) with components of MetS (WC, TG, HDL, SBP, DBP & FBG) and FRS (WC, TC, HDL, SBP, FBG, total FRS, age) in study subjects;
- v. independent predictors of Pattern B, normolipidaemic Pattern B, MetS and FRS, respectively;

## 1.5 Hypotheses

The alternative hypotheses of this study are:

- i. There are significant differences in sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters between Pattern A and Pattern B, and normolipidaemic and dyslipidaemic Pattern B lipoprotein profiles, respectively in study subjects;
- There are significant differences in sociodemographic factors, clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters between subjects with and without MetS, and among subjects with Low, Intermediate and High FRS values, respectively, in study subjects;

- There are significant correlation between LDL subfractions (LDL1 to LDL5) with components of MetS (WC, TG, HDL, SBP, DBP & FBG) and FRS (WC, TC, HDL, SBP, FBG, total FRS, age) in study subjects;
- vi. There are significant independent predictors of Pattern B, normolipidaemic Pattern B, MetS and FRS, respectively;



6

## REFERENCES

- 4th edition Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG): Management of Dyslipidaemia (2017). Academy of Medicine of Malaysia. Available on www.acadmed.org.my.
- 5th edition Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG): Management of Hypertension (2018). Academy of Medicine of Malaysia. Available on www.acadmed.org.my
- 5th edition Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG): Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (2015). Academy of Medicine of Malaysia. Available on www.acadmed.org.my.
- Alberti, K. (2009). Harmonizing the Metabolic Syndrome. *Circulation*, 1640-1645.
- Anuurad, E., Shiwaku, K., Enkhmaa, B., Nogi, A., Kitajima, K., Yamasaki, M., & Yamane, Y. (2004). Ethnic differences in the formation of small LDL particles in Asians: A comparison of Koreans, Japanese and Mongolians. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 34(11), 738-746.
- Aris, T. (2015). The Third National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS 2015). Volume II Non Communicable Disease, Risk Factor and Other Health Problems. Hypertension and Hypercholecterolemia. Ministry of Health, Malaysia.2015 National Health and Morbidity Survey. Retrieved from www.iku.gov.my/images/IKU/Document/REPORT/nhmsreport2015vol2 .pdf
- Bañuls, C., Bellod, L., Jover, A., Martínez-Triguero, M. L., Víctor, V. M., Rocha, M., & Hernández-Mijares, A. (2012). Comparability of two different polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis methods for the classification of LDL pattern type. Clinica *Chimica Acta*, 413(1-2), 251-257.
- Bassuk, S. S., Rifai, N., &Ridker, P. M. (2004). High-sensitivity C-reactive protein: clinical importance. *Current problems in cardiology*, 29(8), 439-493.
- Bilen, O., Kamal, A., & Virani, S. S. (2016). Lipoprotein abnormalities in South Asians and its association with cardiovascular disease: *Current state and future directions. World Journal of Cardiology*, 8(3), 247.
- Borhanuddin, B, MohdNawi, A, Azhar Shah, S et al. (2018). 10-Year Cardiovascular Disease Risk Estimation Based on Lipid-Profile-Based and BMI-Based Framingham Risk Scores across Multiple Sociodemographic Characteristics: The Malaysian Cohort Project. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2979206

- Chaturvedi, N. (2003). Ethnic differences in cardiovascular disease. *Heart*, 89(6), 681-686.
- Cho, Y., Lee, S.-G., Jee, S. H., & Kim, J.-H. (2015). Hypertriglyceridemia is a Major Factor Associated with Elevated Levels of Small Dense LDL Cholesterol in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome. Annals of Laboratory Medicine, 35(6): 586–594.
- Department of Statistic of Malaysia (2017). Retrieved from https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/ctheme&menu\_id=L 0pheU4 3
- Determine a level of cardiovascular disease risk not possible with conventional lipid profiles, through the measurement of HDL-C and LDL-C subfractions. Available on www.wellpro.co.za.com.
- Dutheil, F., Walther, G., Chapier, R., Mnatzaganian, G., Lesourd, B., Naughton, G., ... &Vinet, A. (2014). Atherogenic subfractions of lipoproteins in the treatment of metabolic syndrome by physical activity and diet–the RESOLVE trial. *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 13(1), 112.
- El Harchaoui, K., van der Steeg, W. A., Stroes, E. S., Kuivenhoven, J. A., Otvos, J. D., Wareham, N. J., ... &Boekholdt, S. M. (2007). Value of low-density lipoprotein particle number and size as predictors of coronary artery disease in apparently healthy men and women: the EPIC-Norfolk Prospective Population Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 49(5), 547-553.
- Ensign, W., Hill, N., &Heward, C. B. (2006). Disparate LDL phenotypic classification among 4 different methods assessing LDL particle characteristics. *Clinical Chemistry*, 52(9), 1722-1727.
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholestrol in Adults. (2002). Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholestrol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Jama, 16(285), 2486-2497.
- Franklin SS, Lopez VA, Wong ND, Mitchell GF, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Levy D. (2009). Single versus combined blood pressure components and risk for cardiovascular disease: the *Framingham Heart Study. Circulation*,119: 243–250.
- Freedman, D.S., Otvos, J.D., Jeyarajah E.J., Shalaurova, I., Cupples L.A., Parise H., D'Agostino, R.B., Wilson, P.W.F., Schaefer, E.J. (2004). Sex and age differences in lipoprotein subclasses measured by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: the Framingham Study. *Clinical Chemistry*, 50:1189-1200.
- Gazi, I, Tsimihodimos, V, Filippatos, T Bairaktari, E, Tselepsi, AD, and Elisaf, M (2006). Concentration and relative distribution of low-densitiy lipoproteins subfractions in patients with metabolic syndrome defined

according to the National Cholesterol Education Program criteria. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 55: 885-891.

- Ghazali, S. M., Seman, Z., Cheong, K. C., Hock, L. K., Manickam, M., Kuay, L. K., ... & Mustafa, A. N. (2015). Sociodemographic factors associated with multiple cardiovascular risk factors among Malaysian adults. *BMC Public Health*, 15(1), 68.
- Ghee, L. K., &Kooi, C. W. (2016). A review of metabolic syndrome research in Malaysia. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 71, 20–28.
- Grundy, S. M., Balady, G. J., Criqui, M. H., Fletcher, G., Greenland, P., Hiratzka, L. F., ... &Ockene, I. S. (1998). Primary prevention of coronary heart disease: guidance from Framingham: a statement for healthcare professionals from the AHA Task Force on Risk Reduction. *Circulation*, 97(18), 1876-1887.
- Guardiola M, Solà R, Vallvé JC, et al (2015). Body mass index correlates with atherogenic lipoprotein profile even in nonobese, normoglycemic, and normolipidemic healthy men. *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*. 9(6): 824-831.
- Hemann, B. A., Bimson, W. F., & Taylor, A. J. (2007). The Framingham Risk Score: an appraisal of its benefits and limitations. *American Heart Hospital Journal*, 5(2), 91-96.
- Hirano, T., Ito, Y., & Yoshino, G. (2005). Measurement of small dense lowdensity lipoprotein particles. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis*, 12(2), 67-72.
- Hobbs, F. D. R., Jukema, J. W., Da Silva, P. M., McCormack, T., &Catapano, A. L. (2010). Barriers to cardiovascular disease risk scoring and primary prevention in Europe. QJM: *An International Journal of Medicine*, 103(10), 727-739.
- Hulthe, J, Bokemark, L Wikstrand, J and Fagerberg, B. (2000). The Metabolic Syndrome, LDL Particle Size and Atherosclerosis. *Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis and Vascular Biology*. 20: 2140-2147.
- Ivanova, E. A., Myasoedova, V. A., Melnichenko, A. A., Grechko, A. V., &Orekhov, A. N. (2017). Small dense low-density lipoprotein as biomarker for atherosclerotic diseases. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity*, 2017.
- Karastergiou, K., Smith, S. R., Greenberg, A. S., & Fried, S. K. (2012). Sex differences in human adipose tissues the biology of pear shape. *Biology of Sex Differences*, 3(1), 13.
- Kathiresan, S., Otvos, J. D., Sullivan, L. M., Keyes, M. J., Schaefer, E. J., Wilson, P. W., ... & Robins, S. J. (2006). Increased small low-density lipoprotein particle number. *Circulation*, 113(1), 20-9.

- Kazumi, T., Kawaguchi, A., Hozumi, T., Nagao, M., Iwahashi, M., Hayakawa, M., ... &Yoshino, G. (1999). Low density lipoprotein particle diameter in young, nonobese, normolipidemic Japanese men. *Atherosclerosis*, 142(1), 113-119.
- Khanna, R., Kapoor, A., Kumar, S., Tewari, S., Garg, N., & Goel, P. K. (2013). Metabolic syndrome & Framingham Risk Score: observations from a coronary angiographic study in Indian patients. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 137(2), 295.
- Knowles, J. W., Angeli, F., Budoff, M. J., & Rader, D. J. (2012). The evolution and refinement of traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Cardiology in review*, 20(3), 118.
- Kolovou, G. D., Anagnostopoulou, K. K., &Cokkinos, D. V. (2005). Pathophysiology of dyslipidaemia in the metabolic syndrome. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 81(956), 358-366.
- Krauss, R. M., & Siri, P. W. (2004). Metabolic abnormalities: triglyceride and low-density lipoprotein. *Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics*, 33(2), 405-415.
- Kulanuwat, S., Tungtrongchitr, R., Billington, D., & Davies, I. G. (2015). Prevalence of plasma small dense LDL is increased in obesity in a Thai population. *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 14(1), 30.
- Lin, CF, Chang, YH, Chien, SC, Lin, YH and Yeh, HY. (2018). Epidemiology of Dyslipidaemia in the Asia Pacific Region. International Journal of Gerontology. 12: 2-6.

Lipoprint Package Insert. Available on www.quantimetrix.com.

- Lizcano, F., & Guzmán, G. (2014). Estrogen deficiency and the origin of obesity during menopause. *BioMed Research International*.
- Lu, H. T., Nordin, R., Ahmad, W. A. W., Lee, C. Y., Zambahari, R., Ismail, O., ... &NCVD Investigators. (2014). Sex Differences in Acute Coronary Syndrome in a Multiethnic Asian Population: Results of the Malaysian National Cardiovascular Disease Database—Acute Coronary Syndrome (NCVD-ACS) Registry. *Global heart*, 9(4), 381-390.
- Maas, A. H. E. M., & Appelman, Y. E. A. (2010). Gender differences in coronary heart disease. *Netherlands Heart Journal*, 18(12), 598-603.
- Michos ED, Nasir K, Braunstein JB, et al. (2006). Framingham risk equation underestimates subclinical atherosclerosis risk in asymptomatic women. *Atherosclerosis* 184: 201–6.
- Miller, M., Stone, N. J., Ballantyne, C., Bittner, V., Criqui, M. H., Ginsberg, H. N., ... & Lennie, T. A. (2011). Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 123(20), 2292-2333.

- Mohd Nor et al. (2016). Guidelines for The Management of Obese Patients Coming for Surgery.
- Mora, S, Yanek, LR, Moy, TF, Fallin, MD, Becker, LC and Becker, DM. (2005). Interaction of Body Mass Index and Framingham Risk Score in Predicting Incident Coronary Disease in Families. *Circulation*, 111: 1871-1876.
- Mottillo, S., Filion, K. B., Genest, J., Joseph, L., Pilote, L., Poirier, P., ... & Eisenberg, M. J. (2010). The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 56(14), 1113-1132.
- Mulukutla, S. R., Venkitachalam, L., Marroquin, O. C., Kip, K. E., Aiyer, A., Edmundowicz, D., & Reis, S. E. (2008). Population variations in atherogenic dyslipidemia: A report from the HeartSCORE and IndiaSCORE Studies. *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*, 2(6), 410–417.
- Nikolic, D., Katsiki, N., Montalto, G., Isenovic, E., Mikhailidis, D., & Rizzo, M. (2013). Lipoprotein subfractions in metabolic syndrome and obesity: clinical significance and therapeutic approaches. *Nutrients*, 5(3), 928-948.
- Nishida, C., Barba, C., Cavalli-Sforza, T., et al. (2004). Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *The Lancet*, 363, 157-163.
- Oravec, S., Dukat, A., Gavornik, P., Kucera, M., Gruber, K., Gaspar, L., & Banach, M. (2014). Atherogenic versus non-atherogenic lipoprotein profiles in healthy individuals. Is there a need to change our approach to diagnosing dyslipidemia? *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 21(25), 2892–2901.
- Oravec, S., Dukát, A., Gavorník, P., Lovásová, Z., &Gruber, K. (2011). Atherogenic normolipidemia - a new phenomenon in the lipoprotein profile of clinically healthy subjects. *Neuro Endocrinology Letters*, 32(3):317-21.
- Orekhov, A., Bobryshev, Y., Sobenin, I., Melnichenko, A., &Chistiakov, D. (2014). Modified low density lipoprotein and lipoprotein-containing circulating immune complexes as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of atherosclerosis and type 1 diabetes macrovascular disease. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 15(7), 12807-12841.
- Otvos, J. D., Mora, S., Shalaurova, I., Greenland, P., Mackey, R. H., & Goff Jr, D. C. (2011). Clinical implications of discordance between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and particle number. *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*, 5(2), 105-113.

- Pajunen P, R. H. (2010). The metabolic syndrome as a predictor of incident diabetes and cardiovascular events in the Health 2000 Study. *Diabetes & Metabolism*, 395-401.
- Pasternak R (2002). The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (ATP III final report). In NHLBI Publication # 02-5215. National Institutes of Health 2002; 1-280.
- Patient Monitoring and Support CVD Risk Check. Available on www.cvdriskchecksecure.com.
- Ridker, P. M., Buring, J. E., Rifai, N., & Cook, N. R. (2007). Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. Jama, 297(6), 611-619.
- Rizzo, M., &Berneis, K. (2006). Low-density lipoprotein size and cardiovascular risk assessment. *An International Journal of Medicine / Oxford Academic*, 99(1), 1-14.
- Rizzo, M., Barbagallo, C. M., Severino, M., Polizzi, F., Onorato, F., Noto, D., Averna, R. M. (2003). Low-density-lipoprotein peak particle size in a Mediterranean population. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 33(2), 126–133.

Roche Package insert for FBG and FSL, 2012.

- Rosenson, R. S. (2004). Clinical role of LDL and HDL subclasses and apolipoprotein measurement. ACC Current Journal Review, 5(13), 33-37.
- Sullivan, L. M., Massaro, J. M., & D'Agostino Sr, R. B. (2004). Presentation of multivariate data for clinical use: The Framingham Study risk score functions. *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(10), 1631-1660.
- Superko HR (2009). Advanced lipoprotein testing and subfractionation are clinically useful. *Circulation*, 119, 2383-2395.
- Swiger, K. J., Martin, S. S., Blaha, M. J., Toth, P. P., Nasir, K., Michos, E. D., & Jones, S. R. (2014). Narrowing Sex Differences in Lipoprotein Cholesterol Subclasses Following Mid-Life: The Very Large Database of Lipids (VLDL-10B). Journal of the American Heart Association: Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease, 3(2): e000851.
- Tan, AKG, Dunn, RA and Yen ST (2011). Ethnic Disparities in Metabolic Syndrome in Malaysia: An Analysis by Risk Factors. *Metabolic* Syndrome and Related Disorders 9(6): 441-451.

Tee, E., S., & Yap, R. W. K. (2017). Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Malaysia: current trends and risk factors. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 71(7), 844–849.

The LipoPrint® LDL Subfractions Kit.

The Lipoprint® System, Quantimetrix Manual, 2006.

- Upadhyay, R. K. (2015). Emerging risk biomarkers in cardiovascular diseases and disorders. *Journal of lipids*, 2015.
- Vaidya, D, Dobs, A, Gapstur, S.M., Golden, S H, Hankinson, A, Liu, K ans Ouyang, P. (2008). The Association of Endogenous Sex hormones with Lipoprotein Subfraction Profile in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Metabolism*, 57(6): 782-790.
- Van, J., Pan, J., Charles, M.A., Krauss, R., Wong, N., Wu, X. (2007) Atherogenic lipid phenotype in a general group of subjects. Archives of Pathology Laboratory Medicine, 131(11):1679-85.
- Varlamov, O., Bethea, C. L., & Roberts Jr, C. T. (2015). Sex-specific differences in lipid and glucose metabolism. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 5, 241.
- Vekic, J, Topic, A, Zeljkovic A, Jelic-Ivanovic, Z and Spasojevic-Kalimanovska, V. (2007). LDL and HDL subclasses and their relationship with Framingham risk score in middle-aged Serbian population. *Clinical Biochemistry* 40: 310–316.
- WHO Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles (2014). Available on www.who.int.
- Wolska A., &Remaley, A. (2017). Lipoprotein Subfractionation Analysis: The Continuing Quest for Improving Cardiovascular Risk Prediction. Retrieved from https://www.aacc.org/publications/cln/articles/2017/january/lipoproteinsubfractionation-analysis
- Yeow, T. P., Khir, A. S., Ismail, A. S., Ismail, I. S., Kamarul Imran, M., Khalid, B. A. K., ... & Md Isa, S. H. (2012). Predictors of ischaemic heart disease in a Malaysian population with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetic Medicine*, 29(11), 1378-1384.
- Yousuf, O., Mohanty, B. D., Martin, S. S., Joshi, P. H., Blaha, M. J., Nasir, K., ... &Budoff, M. J. (2013). High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and cardiovascular disease: a resolute belief or an elusive link?. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 62(5), 397-408.

## **BIODATA OF STUDENT**

Norlianah bt Mazalan was born in Sandakan, Sabah. Her primary education was in two local government schools, SK Sg Manila and SK St Mary Convent, Sandakan, Sabah. She then completed her secondary education in SMK St Cecilia, Sabah in 2009. After that, she continued with the local pre-university programme, The Malaysian Matriculation Programme in Labuan Matriculation College with the aim of pursuing biomedicine. She graduated from Universiti Kuala Lumpur Institute of Medical Science Technology (UniKL MESTECH) in 2016 with Bachelor of Clinical Laboratory Science (Honours). She then completed her internship training at Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia (FMHS, UPM), Serdang, Selangor for one semester. In 2016, Norlianah applied to further her postgraduate studies at FMHS, UPM and is currently in her final year of the Master of Science (Chemical Pathology).

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Subashini C. Thambiah, Noor Ayuni Mohamed Pesri, **Norlianah Mazalan**, Intan Nureslyna Samsudin, Safarina Mohamad Ismuddin, Geeta Appannah, Malina Osman and Siti Yazmin Zahari Sham.A Pilot Study on Pattern B Lipoprotein Profile in Malaysia. *Submitted to The Malaysian Journal of Pathology*.2019.
- Subashini C. Thambiah, **Norlianah Mazalan**, Intan Nureslyna Samsudin, Geeta Appannah, Siti Yazmin Zahari Sham, Salmiah Md. Said. (2020). Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL) Subfraction Profiles and Its Association with Risk Factors of Cardiovascular Disease Among Subjects Attending Health Screening at Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. *Writing in Progress.*2020.



## **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

## STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

## ACADEMIC SESSION : First Semester 2019/2020

## TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN CHOLESTEROL PHENOTYPES AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH RISK FACTORS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AMONG SUBJECTS ATTENDING HEALTH SCREENING AT A PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA

### NAME OF STUDENT : NORLIANAH BT MAZALAN

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

\*Please tick (V)



CONFIDENTIAL



RESTRICTED



(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :



PATENT

Embargo from		until		
·	(date)		(date)	

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: (Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]