

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MODELLING AND EVALUATION OF NUTRIENTS (N, P, K) FLOW FOR CHICKEN MANURE

NURULFARHANI ROZALI

FP 2015 209

MODELLING AND EVALUATION OF NUTRIENTS (N, P, K) FLOW FOR CHICKEN MANURE



MODELLING AND EVALUATION OF NUTRIENTS (N, P, K) FLOW FOR CHICKEN MANURE

By

NURULFARHANI BINTI ROZALI

161755

Thesis is to be submitted to Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia In fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of Bachelor of Agriculture (Animal Science)

> Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang, Selangor 2014/2015

CERTIFICATION FORM

This project report entitled **MODELLING AND EVALUATION OF NUTRIENTS (N, P, K) FLOW FOR CHICKEN MANURE** was prepared by **NURULFARHANI BINTI ROZALI** and submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture in fulfillment of the requirements of SHW4999 (Project) of the degree **BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURE (ANIMAL SCIENCE)**.

Student's name:	Student's
signature:	
NURULFARHANI BINTI ROZALI	
161755	
Certified by:	
Dr. Tee Tuan Poy	
Department of Animal Science,	
Faculty of Agriculture.	
DATE:	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum w.b.t. and a very good day I would like to say to those who is reading my thesis. As for beginning let me begin the thankful words to Allah s.w.t. who had given me a very good chances for me to accomplish my task in final year project. Through all the hardships and tears I've felt throughout this project, He finally addressed me in glory, Alhamdulillah. Thus, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my parents Puan Fatimah Bt. Ahmad and Encik Rozali B. Lebai Awang for their continuous supports and motivations.

Next would be my generous supervisor, Dr. Tee Tuan Poy who had guided me with her best for these two semesters on my project. She had shared with me a lot of information and experience regarding my final year project throughout the semesters. Her patience and understanding is one of the things that always encourage me to keep going and moving forward. Another thankful word for my project coordinator, Prof. Dr. Dahlan B. Ismail and all the lecturers in Animals Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, UPM.

My deepest gratitude also addressed to my final year project's partner Nor Suhaila Binti Ismail for all the hard work that we've done together throughout the period. Not to forget, the staffs in Unit Poultri and Unit Ruminan, Ladang 2, UPM, staffs in animal science and soil science department's lab, my youngest brother, Luqman Hakim B. Rozali, my friends, Nuruddin, Sariyan, Shyafiq, Madihah, Siti Nazirah, Izzuddin, Muhammad, Razi, Saifuddin, Salman, Shah and Muezz. Cooperation given by them had eased my work in completing the project.

In delivering an appreciation to those who have helped me, the last person that I'd like to thank is my other course mate, Amirul Hakim B. Shaharul Badri. He had helped me a lot from the beginning of the project's work until the end of my thesis writing. Thank you very much and may Allah bless you and the others. Knowledge gained throughout the project will be used for future inputs in my career.

ABSTRACT

MODELLING AND EVALUATION OF NUTRIENTS (N, P, K) FLOW FOR CHICKEN MANURE

Ву

Nurulfarhani Binti Rozali



2014/2015

Project Supervisor: Dr. Tee Tuan Poy

The concept of modeling system widely focused on assessing and evaluating nutrients flow (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) from feed to manure and manure to compost. The aim of this study is to develop chicken manure utilization modeling system at farm with the estimation of the nutrients in the manure. The model was developed by calibrating the data from previous experiment done by Diyana (2014). The modeling systems involve two models; (1) Production and housing stage and (2) Manure handling stage. Both models were process-based models that based on input equal to output concept. In the production and housing stage, the user interface described about the operation of nutrients flow. The interface allows the input; any changes in the data will change the value shown in the model. By using the interface, all nutrients input are estimated from the nutrient in feed, nutrients in chicken manure and the nutrients that retained in the manure.



It can be evaluated according to the number of animals in the farm. The modeling can show the total amount of nutrients in the manure that might be loss to the environment and might polluted the environment which will leave an adverse impact to the society. The nitrogen content loss by ammonia volatilization to the atmosphere while phosphorus and potassium loss by runoff and leaching from the rain and contaminate the ground surface. The composting flow in the manure handling stage estimates the total nutrients available from the fresh manure. The nutrients losses during composting make the nutrients readily available to be applied to the soil directly as nutrient resources. Then, the model validation was made after the model calibration. The purpose of manure collection and composting done is validating the model. The result from both steps (Step 1: Manure collection, Step 2: Composting) were used to validate the current data with the previous data by Diyana (2014) which has been calibrated. In the first step, the manure was collected at Unit Poultry Ladang 2, UPM weekly on Friday morning. All the 100 birds were assigned into one battery cages with individual crates. One bird per one crate to make ease in collecting the feces. While in the second step, six piles of compost were assigned randomly for two treatments (with EM and without EM) with three replicates for each treatment (R1, R2, R3). Based on the results from step 1 and step 2, the validation of the data was presented in the graph. The regression line in the graphs showed the accuracy of the value in the graph and the 1:1 line is the balanced of prediction and observation works. Overall, the model validation in the production and housing stage and manure handling stage showed that the nutrients were underestimates. The study on nutrient loss in production and housing stage, and manure handling stage should be carried out for further model improvement.

ABSTRAK

PEMODELAN DAN PENILAIAN PENGALIRAN NUTRIEN (N, P, K) UNTUK NAJIS AYAM

Oleh

Nurulfarhani Binti Rozali

2014/2015

Penyelia Projek: Dr. Tee Tuan Poy

Konsep sistem pemodelan tertumpu secara meluas kepada penaksiran dan penilaian aliran nutrien (nitrogen, fosforus dan kalium) daripada makanan kepada baja dan baja untuk kompos. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan penggunaan sistem pemodelan najis ayam di ladang dengan anggaran nutrien dalam baja. Model ini telah dibangunkan dengan kaedah menentukur data daripada eksperimen sebelumnya yang telah dilakukan oleh Diyana (2014). Sistem model ini melibatkan dua peringkat model; (1) Pengeluaran dan peringkat perumahan dan (2) peringkat pengendalian najis. Kedua-dua model adalah model berasaskan prosesyang berdasarkan input sama atau selari dengan konsep output. Antara peringkat pengeluaran dan perumahan, terdapat satu sistem input iaitu sistem mukapengguna yang menjelaskan tentang pengendalian aliran nutrien. Antara fungsi muka-pengguna yang terdapat dalam sistem input adalah; sebarang perubahan ya berlaku dalam data akan mengubah nilai yang ditunjukkan dalam model. Dengan menggunakan sistem muka-pengguna ini, semua input nutrien adalah anggaran ya dibuat daripada nutrien dalam makanan, nutrien dalam najis ayam dan nutrien yang kekal dalam najis.

la boleh dinilai mengikut bilangan haiwan di ladang. Pemodelan juga boleh menunjukkan jumlah nutrien dalam baja yang mungkin akan hilang kepada alam sekitar dan mungkin akan mencemarkan alam sekitar dan pada akhirnya akan meninggalkan kesan buruk kepada masyarakat dan suasana. Kehilangan kandungan nitrogen ammonia adalah melalui pemeruapan ke atmosfera manakala kehilangan fosforus dan kalium adalah melalui aliran dan larut lesap dari hujan dan mencemari permukaan tanah. Aliran kompos di peringkat pengendalian najis menganggarkan jumlah nutrien dari najis segar. Nutrien yang telah hilang semasa proses kompos berlaku telah membuatkan nutrien yang sedia ada tersebut akan digunakan kepada tanah sebagai sumber nutrien secara langsung. Kemudian, pengesahan model itu dibuat selepas penentu ukuran model. Tujuan pengumpulan baja dan kompos dilakukan ialah untuk mengesahkan model yang telah dibangunkan. Hasil daripada kedua-dua langkah (Langkah 1: koleksi najis, Langkah 2: kompos najis) telah digunakan untuk mengesahkan data semasa dengan data sebelumnya oleh Diyana (2014). Dalam langkah pertama, najis yang dikumpulkan di Unit Ayam Ladang 2, UPM mingguan pada pagi Jumaat. Semua 100 burung telah ditempatkan ke dalam satu sangkar bateri dengan ruang peti individu. Satu burung setiap satu peti individu untuk memudahkan aktiviti pengutipan najis. Semasa di langkah kedua, enam timbunan kompos telah dibina secara rawak untuk dua rawatan (dengan EM dan tanpa EM) dengan tiga replikasi untuk setiap rawatan (R1, R2, R3). Berdasarkan hasil dari langkah 1 dan langkah 2, pengesahan data yang telah dibentangkan dalam graf. Garis regresi dalam graf menunjukkan ketepatan nilai dalam graf dan baris 1:1 adalah baris yang menunjukkan keseimbangan ramalan dan pemerhatian kerja. Secara keseluruhan, pengesahan model dalam peringkat pengeluaran dan peringkat perumahan dan pengendalian baja yang menunjukkan bahawa nutrien adalah di bawah anggaran. Kajian ke atas kehilangan nutrien dalam pengeluaran dan peringkat perumahan, dan peringkat pengendalian baja perlu dilakukan dengan lebih lanjut untuk penambahbaikan model.

vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT					
Certification Form					
Acknowledgement	ii.				
Abstract	iv.				
Abstrak	vi.				
Table of Contents	vii.				
List of Tables	XV.				
List of Figures	xvi.				
List of Abbreviations	xviii.				
CHAPTER					
1 INTRODUCTION	1				
1.1 Objectives	3				
1.2.1 General Objective					
1.2.2 Specific Objective					
1.2 Research Problem	3				
1.3 Hypothesis	3				
1.4 Significance of Study	4				

2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5				
2.1 Model					
2.2 Manure Production	6				
2.2.1 Manure Nutrients	9				
2.2.1.1 Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium (N, P, K)	11				
2.2.2 Nutrient losses and impact to the environment					
2.2.2.1 Ammonium volatilization	13				
2.2.2.2 Leaching	14				
2.2.2.3 Runoff					
2.3 Compost					
2.3.1 The limiting factors in composting	18				
2.3.1.1 Carbon/nitrogen ratio	18				
2.3.1.2 Aeration	19				
2.3.1.3 Moisture	20				
2.3.1.4 pH	21				
2.3.1.5 Temperature	21				
2.4 Effective Microorganism	22				

3	MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	23
	3.1 Data Collection	
	3.1.1 Step 1: Collecting chicken manure	
	3.1.1.1 Location	24
	3.1.1.2 Duration	24
	3.1.1.3 Experimental Design	25
	3.1.1.4 Feed Analysis	25
	3.1.1.5 Manure collection and analysis	25
	3.1.2 Step 2: Composting chicken manure with and without EM	
	3.1.2.1 Location	26
	3.1.2.2 Duration	26
	3.1.2.3 Experimental design	26
	3.1.2.4 Building the piles	27
	3.1.2.5 In-situ Parameter for Compost	27
	3.1.2.5.1 Temperature	27
	3.1.2.5.2 pH	28
	3.1.2.6 Composting nutrient sample for analysis	28

C



4 RESULTS	35
4.1 Model Development	35
4.1.1 User Interface	35
4.2 Data Collection	38
4.2.1 Step 1: Collecting chicken manure	
4.2.1.1 Feed intake, livability of layer chicken	38
and the nutrients in feed	
4.2.1.2 Manure production	40
4.2.1.3 Nutrients content in fresh manure	41
4.2.2 Step 2: Composting chicken manure	
with and without effective microorganism	
4.2.2.1 In situ parameter for composting	43
4.2.2.1.1 Compost temperature	43
4.2.2.1.2 Compost pH	47
4.2.2.1.3 Nutrients content in compost manure	50
4.3 Model Validation	53
4.3.1 Production and Housing stage	53
4.3.1.1 Manure production	53
4.3.1.2 Nutrients content of the manure	55
4.3.1.2.1 Nitrogen	55
4.3.1.2.2 Phosphorus	56
4.3.1.2.3 Potassium	56

xi

4.3.2 Manure handling stage

- 4.3.2.1 Nutrients content of the composted manure 58
 - 4.3.2.1.1 Nitrogen 58

58

59

60

- 4.3.2.1.2 Phosphorus
- 4.3.2.1.3 Potassium



5 DISCUSSION	62
5.1 Model Development	62
5.1.1 User Interface	62
5.1.2 Model validation	62
5.2 Data Collection	63
5.2.1 Step 1: Collecting chicken manure	
5.2.1.1 Feed amount and nutrients	63
5.2.1.2 Manure production	63
5.2.1.3 Nutrients content in fresh manure	64
5.3 Step 2: Effect of composting using Effective	65
Microorganism (EM)	
5.3.1 Nutrients value of compost	65
5.3.2 In-situ parameter	67
5.3.2.1 Compost temperature	67
5.3.2.2 pH of compost	67
5.4 Model Validation	68
5.4.1 Production and Housing stage	68
5.4.1.1 Model validation on manure production	68
5.4.2 Manure Handling Stage	69
5.4.2.1 Model Validation on Compost Nutrients	69

Content

6	CONCLUSION	70
	6.1 Conclusion	70
	6.2 Recommendations	70
	References	71
	Appendices	78

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Approximate amount of manure produced by various types and sized of livestock.	9
2.2	Average nutrient composition of layer manures	10
2.3	Recommended controlled conditions for composting	18
3.1	Raw materials used to set up composting piles	27
3.2	Total nutrients (N, P and K) in feed (g/animal/d) based on 50 birds per farm	30
4.1	Feed intake of broiler chicken	38
4.2	Livability of the layer chicken	38
4.3	Percentage of nutrient content in feed (g/b/d)	39
4.4	Average daily manure production per bird (g/b)	41
4.5	Nutrients value in fresh chicken manure	42
4.6	Nutrients in composting	52
5.1	N, P and K value changes in composting	66

LIST OF FIGURES

	Table			Page	
	3.1	Proce	dures in Model Development	23	
	3.2	Steps	involved in estimating the nutrients flow	24	
	3.3	Indivic	lual excretion and nutrient analysis	25	
	3.4	Comp	osting	26	
	4.1	Model	output interface	35	
	4.2	User i housir	nterface of manure nutrient in production and ng stage (Model 1)	36	
	4.3	User i (Mode	nterface of compost in manure handling stage I 2)	37	
	4.4	Average manure productions per bird per day and average body weight			
	4.5	Comp withou	ost temperatures at morning for treatment with EM and at EM at 3 different depths through period of composting	44	
	4.6	Compost temperatures at evening for treatment with EM and without EM at 3 different depths through period of composting			
	4.7	Compost pH at morning for treatment with EM and without E at 3 different depths through period of composting			
	4.8	Comp at 3 di	Compost pH at evening for treatment with EM and without EM at 3 different depths through period of composting.		
	4.9	(a)	Model validations for the manure production (Week 3)	53	
		(b)	Model validations for the manure production (Week 4)	54	
		(c.)	Model validations for the manure production (Week 5)	54	
		(d)	Model validations for manure production (Week 6)	54	
S	4.10	(a)	Model validations for the N flow in a production and housing stage	55	
	4.11	(a)	Model validations for P flow in a production and housing stage	g 56	
	4.12	(a)	Model validations for the K flow in a production and housing stage	57	

- 4.13 (a) Model validations for the N flow (with EM) in a manure 58 handling stage
 - (b) Model validations for the N flow (without EM) in a manure 59 handling stage
- 4.14 (a) Model validations for the P flow (with EM) in a manure 59 handling stage
 - (b) Model validations for the P flow (without EM) in a manure 60 handling stage
- 4.15 (a) Model validation for the K flow (with EM) in manure 61 handling stage
 - (b) Model validations for the K flow (without EM) in manure 61 handling stage

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EM	-	Effective Microorganisms
MP	-	Manure Production
NH_4^+	-	Ammonium
NH ₃ -	-	Ammonia
NO ₃ -	-	Nitrate
DM kg	2	Dry Matter Kilogram
g	2	Gram
C/N	-	Carbon/Nitrogen

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Poultry has becoming as the most preferable farming production in Malaysia, almost 90% of production in Peninsular Malaysia, with the rest in East Malaysia. In terms of bird numbers, commercially bred broilers comprise 67%, while layers make up around 25% and breeders make up 8% of the total. Peninsular Malaysia has about 3200 broiler farms, which includes contract and independent farmers as well as large vertically integrated farms. The tremendous growth of the sector has been largely propelled by private sector enterprise and it has evolved into a progressive, organized and developed industry with annual production of meat and eggs valued at RM 4.1 billion annually. Poultry farming contributed 55.4% to livestock value added in 2003, while beef contributed 5.8%, pork 16.7% and eggs 20.4%. The trend in farming production is mainly towards an intensive farming system which commonly found as closed-house system and caging system. This type of farming production tends to generate large amount of chicken manure which can cause in excessive nutrients excretion. Untreated chicken manure has the potential to create human and animal health risks, odors and the leaching nitrates and other pollutants into groundwater (Fan et al., 2000), and environmental pollution caused by chicken manure has become a serious social problem. However, chicken manure is a valuable resource as a soil fertilizer, which can provide a high content of macro- and micro-nutrients for crop growth, and it is essential to find effective technologies for recycling the waste and minimizing its adverse impact on the environment. (Whitemore, 2007). The increasing number of chicken also indicates the increasing in the manure production.

Thus, poor manure handling in the farm would lead to other problems to the environments. The main concerns on the environment impacts are air pollution, water pollution especially surface and groundwater, and soil contamination that caused by current management practices. Most of the farmers will dispose it in drainage area and caused all the wastes goes to the river and polluted the environment. Therefore, good manure handling method is required to overcome this improper waste management practice. Composting has been recognized as economical and effective way for the management of animal manure. It is a spontaneous biological decomposition process of organic materials in a predominantly aerobic environment. During the process, bacteria, fungi and other microorganisms including microarthropods breaks down other organic materials to stable, usable organic substances called compost. Composting has been traditionally carried out by the farmers after manure collection for better handling, transport and management. Hence, reusing and recycling the manure into fertilizer is strongly suggested in handling this excess manure.

Nevertheless, the effects of manure management and composting on nutrients value can still be predicted by using an estimation tool. The estimation of manure production and nutrients excretion are important for developing effective nutrient management plan (Nennich *et al.*, 2005). Modelling can function as a tool to estimate the nutrients flow in an animal production system. If models are to be useful in helping to design farming systems that use various nutrient sources more effectively, it is a requirement that the models must be able to reliably describe the release of nutrients from the different organic sources. Thus, it can be achieved by adopting a process-based model from three other models. The process-based model able to represents the nutrients flow from animal production and housing system through the manure handling system. The model estimated the nutrients content in the feed, manure and compost in term of kilogram per day per farm. According to Renly (2010), the estimation of nutrients (N, P, K) flow from the farm and farmer's ability to track it. Data from the field will be used to validate the model.

Hence, the study was aimed to develop a model on chicken's manure through calibration of previous experiment data collected by Diyana (2014). The modelling approach was developed to estimate the nutrients flow value from cagehousing production to manure handling (composting) stage. The model that was developed can be proposed or recommended for manure utilization as an improved tool to reduce the environment pollutions from chicken's farm in Malaysia.

Therefore, the field data was collected through experiment to determine the chicken's manure nutrients value. The study focused on nutrients value (N, P, K) determination on fresh chicken's manure in housing as well as chicken's composted manure. From the model developed, farmers are able to plan a better nutrient management plan for a foreseeable future farming production. Having a better management practices in a farm ensures a better future.

1.1 OBJECTIVES

1.1.1 General objective:

To develop a model for assessing on nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) flow in chicken manure and composting stages.

1.1.2 Specific objective:

- 1. To develop a chicken manure nutrients model.
- 2. To estimate the nutrients (N, P, K) flow from cages to composting system.
- 3. To validate the model for chicken manure nutrients flow.

1.2 RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

Modelling is an effective tool to estimate the nutrients content in the manure and might enhance the efficiency of chicken manure utilization and control environment impacts from the caging system.

1.3 SIGNIFICANT OF STUDY

The purpose of study is to develop a model which can be used to estimate the nutrients value availability in chicken manure and its losses to the environment.



REFERENCES

- Ahn, H.K., Richard, T.L., Choi, H.L., 2007. Mass and thermal balance during composting of poultry manure-wood shavings mixture at different aeration rates. Process Biochem, 42. 215-223.
- Alberta Agriculture. (2005). Manure composting manual. Livestock Engineering Unit and Environment Practice Unit. Pp.1-11.
- Araji, A.A., Abdo, Z.O., Joyce, P., 2001. Efficient use of animal manure on cropland-economic analysis. Bioresour. Technol. 79, 179-191.
- Atia, A. (2008). Ammonia volatilization from manure application. Livestock Air Quality Specialist. Pp 1-4.
- Attia, A. (2008). Ammonia Volatilization from Manure Application. Alberta Agricultural and Rural Development. Retrieved on November 14, 2013, from

http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex.

- Azhar, K (2013). Waste Management: Disposal and Utilization. SHW 4512. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Benhart, M. (2007). Characterization of poultry litter for storage and process design. Auburn University. Pp1-44.
- Bernal, M.P., Alburquerque, J.A., R., 2009. Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. Bioresour.Technol. 100, 5444-5453.
- Bernhard, A. (2012). The Nitrogen Cycle: Processes, Players and Human Impact. Nature Education Knowledge. Vol 3(10), P 25.
- Christensen, J. (2013). Is Goat Manure Good For Fertilizer. Good Housekeeping. Retrieved on November 17, 2013, from

http://homeguides.sfgate.com/goat-manure-smallfarms/Manure.pdf

Cogger, C. (2003). Manure on Your Farm: Asset or Liability?. Livestock and Poultry Environmental Stewardship. The Washington State University, from

http://www.cals.ncsu.edu/waste_mgt/smallfarms/Manure.pdf

- Collins, E.R., J.C. Barker, L.E. Carr, H.L. Brodie and J.H. Martin. (1999). Poultry Waste Management Handbook. Ithaca, New York, USA, Natural resource. Agriculture, and Engineering Service (NRAES). Pp. 1-3.
- de Guardia, A., Mallard, P., Teglia, C., Marin, A., Le Pape, C., Launay, M., Benoist, J.C., Petiot, C.,2010b. Comparison of five organic wastes regarding their behavior during composting: part 2, nitrogen dynamic. Waste Manage. 30, 415-425.
- Edwards, D.R., Daniels, T.C., 1992. Environmental impacts of on-farm poultry waste disposal a review. Bioresour. Technol. 41, 9-33.
- Efforda. G., McCartney, D., 2004. Determining the critical bulking agent requirement for municipal biosolids composting. Compost Sci. Util. 12, 208-218.
- Eghball, B., B.J. Wienhold, J.E. Gilley and R.A. Eigenberg (2002). Mineralization of Manure Nutrients. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.* Retrieved on December 16, 2013 from

http://www.jswonline.org/content/57/6/470.short.

- Fan, Z.J., Ai, Y.W., Li, J.M., Li, G.W., 2000. Discussion of controlling N loss from volatilization in animal manure. J. Sichuan Normal Univ. (in Chinese) 23 (5), 548-550.
- Fasina, O.O., 2006. Flow and physical properties of switchgrass, peanut hull and poultry litter. Trans. ASABE 49. 721-728.
- Gao, M.C., Liang, F.Y., Yu, A., Li, B., Yang, L.J., 2010. Evaluation of stability and maturity during forced-aeration composting of chicken manure and sawdust at different C/N ratios. Chemosphere 78, 614-619.
- Garbarino, J.R., Bednar, A.J., Rutherford, D.W., Beyer, R.S., Wershaw, R.L., 2003. Environmental fate of roxarsane in poultry litter. I. Degradation of roxarsane during composting. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 1509-1514.
- Guerra-Rodriguez, E., Diaz-Ravina, M., Vazquez, M., 2001. Co-composting of chestnut burr and leaf litter with solid poultry manure. Bioresour. Technol. 78, 107-109.
- Haug, R.T., 1993. The Practical Handbook of Compost Engineering. Lewis, Boca Raton, Florida.

- Heo, S.U., S.Y. Moon, K.S. Yoon, Y.J. Kim and Y.M. Koo. (2008). Enhance Compost Maturity by effective microorganism. Journal of Biotechnology, Vol 136. Pp.65
- Higa, T. and J.F. Parr. (1994). Beneficial and Effective Microorganisms for a Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. International nature Farming research Center, Japan. Pp.1-33
- Himanen, M., Hänninen, M., 2011. Composting of bio-waste, aerobic and anaerobic sludges – Effect of feedstock on the process and quality of compost. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 2842-2852.
- Hollman, M., K. F.Knowlton, and M. D. Hanigan. (2008). Evaluation of Solids, Nitrogen and Phophorus Excretion Models for Lactating Dairy
 Cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91:1245-1258. American Dairy
 Science Association.

Huang, G.F., Wong, J.W.C., Wu, Q.T., Nagar, B.B., 2004. Effect C/N on composting of pig manure with sawdust. Waste Manage. 24, 805-813.

- Imbeah, M., 1998. Composting piggery waste: a review. Bioresour. Technol. 63, 197-203.
- Jusoh, M.L, L.M., Hossain and U. Schmidhalter. (2005). Composting of rice straw with effective microorganism (EM) and its influence on composting quality. Iranian *Journal of Environmental Health Sciences & Engineering*. Vol 10 (17). Pp-1-9.
- Keener, H.M., Marugg, C., Hansen, R.C., Hoitink, H.A.J., 1993. Optimizing the efficiency of the composting process. In: Hoitink, H.A.J., Keener. H.M. (Eds.), Science and Engineering of Composting: Design, Environmental and Microbial and Utilization Aspects. Renaissance Publications, Worthington, USA. pp. 59-94.
- Kellog, R. L., C. H. Lander, D. C. Moffitt and G. Noel (2000). Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation. Animal Residuals Management 2000. Vol 140, P 18-57.
- Knight, R.L., Payne Jr., V.W.E., Borer, R.E., Clarke Jr., R.A., Pries, J.H., 2000. Constructed wetlands for livestock wastewater management. Ecol. Eng. 15, 41-55.
- Kuter, G.A., Hoitink, H.A.J., Rossman, L.A., 1985. Effects of aeration and temperature on composting of municipal sludge in a full-scale vessel system. J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed. 57, 309-315.
- Li, X.J., Zhang, R.H., Pang., Y.Z., 2008. Characteristics of dairy manure composting with rice straw. Bioresour. Technol. 99, 359-367.

- Maguire, R.O., P.W. Plumstead and J. Brake. (2006). Impact of diet, moisture, location and storage on soluble phosphorus in broiler litter breeder manure. *Journal of Environment Quality*. Pp. 858-865.
- Martinez, J., Hao, X., 1996. A field treatment plan for pig slurry. Water Sci. Technol. 34 (11), 87-92.
- Mayer, J., S. Scheid, F. Widmer, A. Fliebach and H.R. Oberholzer. (2010). How effective are effective microorganism (EM)? Result from a field study in temperate climate. Applied Soil Ecology. Vol 46. Pp. 230-239.

Mccall, M.W. (1980). Chicken manure. University of Hawaii.Pp.1-2.

- Michel, F.C., Forney, L.J., Huang, A.J., Drew, S., Czuprenski, M., Lindeneg, J.D., Reddy, C.A., 1996. Effects of turning frequency, leaves to grass ratio and windrow vs pile configuration on composting of yard trimmings. Compost Sci. Util. 4, 26-43.
- Mokhtar. S. (2007). Manure production and characteristics. Agricultural Communication, Texas A&M University System. Pp. 1-3.
- Murphy, S. (2006). Manure Sampling and Analysis. Soil, Plant and Water Analysis and Conservation. The State University of New Jersey.
- Nennich, T. D., J. H. Harrison, L. M. VanWieringen, D. Meyer, A. J. Heinrichs, W. P. Weiss, N. R. St-Pierre, R. L. Kincaid, D. L. Davidson, and E. Block. (2005). Prediction of Manure and Nutrient Excretion from Dairy Cattle. *Journal of Dairy Sciences* 88:3721-3733.
- Nolan, T., Troy, S.M., Healy, M.G., Kwapinski, W., Leahy, J.J., Lawlor, P.C., 2011. Characterization of compost produced from separated pig manure and a variety of bulking agents at low initial C/N ratios. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 7131-7138.
- Peacock, C. (1996). Improving Goat Production in The Tropics. A Manual for Development Workers. Farm Africa Publication; P319-321. Retrieved on November 17, 2013, from

http://books.google.com.my/books?id=93_D6tcj1DcC&pg=PA319&dq

Powell, J. M., D. B. Jackson Smith, D. F. McCory, H. Saam, and M. Mariola.
(2006). Validation on Feed and Manure Data Collected on
Wisconsin Dairy Farms. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89:2268-2278.
American Dairy Science Association.

- Radcliff, D. E. and M. L. Cabrera. (2007). Modeling of Phosphorus in the Environment. United State of America: Taylor and Francis Group, LLC.
- Rasapoor, M., Nasrabadi, T., Kamali, Hoveidi, H., 2009. The effects of aeration rates on generated compost quality, using aerated static pile method. Waste Manage, 29, 570-573.
- Renly, A (2010). Modeling and Evaluation of Nutrients Flow for Feedlot Cattle Manure in Malaysia. SHW 4999. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Rotz., C. A. (2004). Management to reduce nitrogen losses in animal production. *Journal of Animal Science*. Pp. 1-21.
- Sherman, R. (2001). Large-scale organic materials compost. Extension WasteManagement Specialist Biological & Agricultural Engineering Department mNorth Carolina State University. Pp. 1-16.
- Singh, A. (2007). Effective Microorganisms. The Canadian Organic Grower. Retrieved on March 27, 2013, from

http://www.cog.ca/documents/07SU EffectiveMicroorganisms.pdf

- Smith, D.R., P.R., Owens, A.B., Leytem and E.A., Warnemuende. (2007). Nutrient losses from manure and fertilizer application as impacted by time to first runoff event. Environmental Pollution Journal. Vol 147. Pp. 131-137.
- Sutton, A., and C.H, Lander. (2003). Effects of diet and feeding management on nutrient content of manure. USDA. Pp. 1-6.
- Tao, J. and K. Mancl, (2008). Estimating manure production, storage size and land application. The Ohio State University. Pp. 1-5.
- Thörneby, L., Persson, K., Trägardh, G., 1999. Treatment of liquid effluents from dairy cattle and pigs using reverse osmosis. J. Agricult. Eng. Res. 73 (2), 159-170.
- Tiquia, S.M., Tam, N.F.Y., 2002. Characterization and composting of poultry litter in forced-aeration piles. Process Biochem. 37, 869-880.
- Vadas, P. A., W. J. Gburek, A. N. Sharpley, P. J. A. Kleinman, P. A. Moore, M. L. Cabrera and R. D. Harmel (2007). A model for Phosphorus Transformation and Runoff Loss for Surface-Applied Manures. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. Vol36(1). P 324-332.
- Wang, B., Dong, W., Zhang, J., Cao, X., 1996. Experimental study of high rate pond system treating piggery wastewater. Water Sci. Technol. 34 (11), 125-132.

- Wang, K., Li, W.G., Li, Y.B., Gong, X.J., Wu, C.D., Ren, N.G., 2013b. The modeling of combined strategies to achieve thermophilic composting of sludge in cold region. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 85, 608-616.
- Whitemore, A.P., 2007. Determination of the mineralization of nitrogen from composted chicken manure as affected by temperature. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecocyst. 77, 225-232.
- Wilhelm, L.R., Suter, D.A., Brusewitz, G.H., 2004. Food and Process Engineering Technology. ASABE., St. Joseph, MI. p. 315.
- Williams, C.M. (2010). Poultry waste management in developing countries. North Carolina State University, United State of America. FAO. Pp. 1-2.
- Wortmann, C. S. and C. A. Shapiro (2012). Composting Manure and Other Organic Materials. Livestock Waste System. Retrieved on November 30, 2013, from

http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/pages/publicationD.jsp?publicationId=567

- Zainal, Z., G. Sanjay and M.S. Noresah. (2010). Effective Microorganism (EM) technology for water quality restoration and potential for sustainable water resource and management. Pp. 1-8.
- Zhang, H., G. Johnson and M. Fram. (2002). Managing phosphorus from animal manure. Oklahoma Cooperative Extensive Service. Vol 2249. Pp. 1-4.