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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Strategic information system planning (SISP) is an exercise or ongoing activity that enables organizations to develop priorities for information system development. Applications are chosen for their alignment with business objectives or their capacity to create significant impact on the organization's competitive positioning. Improving SISP practices has rapidly become one of the most critical issues facing information system executives today (Lederer and Sethi, 1988; Nierderman et al., 1991; Clark, 1992; Lederer and Sethi, 1992; Galliers, 1993; Galliers, Merali and Spearing, 1994; Segars and Grover, 1998; Doherty, Marples and Suhaime, 1999).

Information system plays an important role in most of the organizations. It contributes especially in the area of keeping data, analysis information and provides accurate result in shorter time. Therefore, many organizations began to use information system in ways, which changed the way their business was conducted and changed the balance of power in their industry with respect to competitors, customers or suppliers (Ward, Griffiths and Whitmore, 1990). The use of information system was directly influencing their competitive position and had become a strategic weapon. It became the second issue that had been identified by business information technology (IT) executives (Stellin, 2001). Improvement in efficiency through data processing and increasing management effectiveness through Management Information System (MIS) have translated into improved business performance – competitiveness – but the relationship between information
system success and strategic development was normally indirectly (Boar, 1993; Boar, 1994; Diwan, 1999).

In other word, the information systems play an important role in order to do management planning more effectively. Whilst most organizations want to develop a more effective approach to do strategic planning in the future, they have probably achieved their current situation through various short-term tactics. Many organizations would no doubt like to rethink strategy without having to cope with the results of a less than strategic approach to information system in the past (Ward, Griffiths and Whitmore, 1990; Callon, 1996; Reich and Benbasat, 1996; Blodgett, 1998). Therefore, there is a need to create an information system that provides strategic planning especially in the area of assessment for internal and external environment factors.

1.1 Problem Statement

SISP offers the most complete and practical strategic planning and makes implementation system available. Most of the strategic planning theories are noted in books and practiced by the top management through everyday experience. The strategic planning has three main areas, which are assessment, strategic coding and execution (Ward, Griffiths and Whitmore, 1990; Boar, 1993; Boar, 1994). Sometimes, when an organization has problems in certain business area such as market share, customer's satisfaction, financial and so on, it will take time for the
top management to discuss about the advantages and disadvantages. Of course, the
data from those business areas should be collected at the first place.

Experience and practices make the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the managers
find the conclusions in strategic planning easily (Steiner, 1997). There are fourteen
processes that a CEO should take in strategic planning (Bower, 1986). Therefore,
there are too many responsibilities and data in hands. Information systems have to
be utilized to acquire competitive advantages for the business (Diwan, 1999).

In this case, a computer-based system and information processing is performed with
computer program that carries out the calculation and manipulation to make the
transformations. Access is made to different files of data and the data reduced to
manageable and relevant form – the information. Without it, managers might have a
difficult time applying all of the data to the problem at hand. It would be too
cumbersome and time-consuming to shift through each record to assimilate the
needed information. So, strategic planning information system can significantly
assist managers in data reduction and planning (Doherty, Marples and Suhaimi,
1999).

There is quite a number of software in strategic planning, such as CheckMATE
(David, 1998), EssentialSoftware (EssentialSoftware, 1999), and Double It!
(Gurusoftware, 2000), but none of them specify in assessment of strategic planning.
Besides, the existing software is not comprehensive enough in making analytical
analysis and conclusions. It more concentrate on profit and loss factors analysis.
Therefore, this research is going to build up a simulation model, which can be used
by the top management in doing their strategic planning by using information system especially in the area of assessment. This model assesses the current critical success factors based on the business environment. Besides, this research evaluates the system usability by determining the relationship between the respondents’ background and usability of system, and relationship between the attributes of usability.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this research are to:

i. develop a simulation model, which represents the actual working procedures of managers in making assessment in strategic planning by using information system for their organization,

ii. develop an assessment software system, which assists managers in assessing the current position of their organization and give alternative solutions, and to

iii. evaluate the usability of the system in the organization by determining whether the system fulfill the needs of users. It includes testing the relationship between the respondents’ background with the usability of system, and relationship between the attributes of usability.