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4. He Who taught (the use of) the Pen,
5. Taught man that which he knew not.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Software Measurement Background

Measurements have a long tradition in natural sciences. At the end of the last century the physicist, Lord Kelvin, formulated the following about measurement (Pressman, 1987):

“When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it into numbers, you know something about it. But when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meager and unsatisfactory kind: It may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely in your thoughts advanced to stage of science.”

Scientists who treat with measurement theory also support this view of the application measurement in sciences. Roberts (1979), points out in his book about measurement theory:

“A major difference between a ‘well-developed’ sciences such as physics and some of the less ‘well-developed’ sciences such as psychology or sociology is the degree to which things are measured.”
In the area of software engineering, the concept of software measurement or what is called software metrics is not new. It was around 26 years since Halstead originally proposed a family of software measures, collectively known as software science (Halstead, 1972). The magnitude of costs involved in software development and maintenance magnifies the need of a scientific foundation to support programming standards and management decisions by measurement. Already in 1980, Curtis (1980) pointed out:

"Rigorous scientific procedure must be applied to studying the development of software systems if we are to transform programming into an engineering discipline. At the core of these procedures is the development of measurement techniques and the determination of cause effect relationships."

The definition of a measure is an empirical objective assignment of a number or symbol to an entity to characterize a specific attribute (Fenton, 1991). Moreover, Ince et al. defined the software metrics as a numerical values of quality which can be used to characterize how good or bad that the product is in terms of properties such as its proneness to error.
Fenton (1991) has classified the software metrics to *product* metrics, *process* metrics, and *resource* metrics. In fact, he has defined the three classes as:

- **Products:** are any artifacts, deliverables, or documents that are got out of the processes.
- **Processes:** are any software-related activities.
- **Resources:**

Any thing that we are ever likely to want to measure or predict in software is an attribute of some entity of the three classes (product, process, or resource metrics). Fenton (1991) has made a distinction between attributes, which are *internal* or *external*. Internal attributes of a product, process, or resource are those that can be measured totally in terms of the product, process, or resource itself. Whereas, the external attributes of a product, process, or resource are those which can only be measured with respect to how the product, process, or resource relates to its environment.

Software metrics help in two ways. First, they help individual developers understand what they are doing and provide insight into areas that they might improve. For example, measurements of code complexity give information about which code is over-complex and might be improved by additional modularization. Measurements of
numbers and types of bugs give information on what errors a developer is prone to make, and thus what he should be watching out for. Second, software metrics gives an organization information about where it is, and about the effect of things it is trying to use (Shorp, 1993).

Grady and Caswell (1989) have summarized the advantages of software metrics. They determined that software metrics help the developer to:

- Understand software development process better.
- Measure progress.
- Provide common terminology for key controlling elements of the process.
- Identify complex software elements.
- Make software management more objective and less subjective.
- Enable the engineers and manager to estimate and schedule better.
- Better evaluate the competitive position.
- Understand where automation is needed.
- Identify engineering practices, which lead to highest quality and productivity.
- Make critical decisions earlier in the development process.
• Eliminate fundamental causes of defects.

• Encourage the use of software engineering techniques by the engineers and managers.

• Encourage the definition of long-term software development strategy based upon a measured understanding of current practices and needs.

• Be more competitive.

Scope of the Research

The research in this thesis aims at developing a compiler for a subset of Pascal language in which an evaluation for a number of software metrics has been incorporated. *Lex* and *Yacc* tools (Mason and Brown, 1990) have been used to generate the lexical analyser (scanner) and the syntax analyser (parser) for this system. While the other components of the system and the metrics evaluation routines have been written in C language. All of the system was implemented under *Linux* operating system.

The proposed compiler evaluates three metrics, which are: Halstead's metrics, McCabe's metric and Call Graph's metric. The system produces four files, three of them contain the metrics
evaluation for the three metrics (Halstead, McCabe, and Call Graph), and these files will be in common metrics format, which is used in SCOPE (SCOPE, 1991) project. And the other file will contain the intermediate code, which is generated by the system.

Attribute grammar approach has been used in this research to evaluate the software metrics in the parsing time of the compilation process and to use a well-defined approach to software metrics evaluation process.

The evaluation of the software metrics in this system is optional. However, a user can determine which metrics he wants to evaluate. Actually, he can evaluate Halstead’s metrics, McCabe’s metric, Call Graph’s metric, all of these metrics, or none of them.

**Objectives of the Research**

Most approaches to software metrics have normally been used on the models of the software. Examples of the models are control flow graph and call graph, which are obtained by mapping the source code to the respective models. The models capture the relevant aspects of the source code, and from the models, software metrics are evaluated. A problem with model-based approaches is
that although the metrics are correctly evaluated from the models, the mapping from source code to the models are not precisely stated. Hence, might produce different values for the same metrics when applied to a piece of source code. Different persons may produce different models for the same piece of source code.

As an alternative for the above approaches, this research advocates an approach to software metrics based on the measurement on the source code itself. Although many software documents written in languages with well-defined syntax and semantics, the well-developed theory of programming languages is not often used as a basis for software metrics evaluation. Especially, attribute grammar formalism has been used in this research (Abd Ghani, 1996).

Building metrics evaluation into a compiler can be a good idea because of the following reasons:

- Some compilers often extend the language syntax beyond the standard thus causing external compiler-independent collection tools have difficulties coping with this.
- Some problems may be encountered in analysing source code due to missing or different include files or compilation options.
• Analysing source code duplicates the parsing of syntax, which has already done by a compiler.

• It is easier to promote the use of metrics to software developers if existing compiler can perform metrics evaluation. It reduces the cost of the software project. The software developers have not to purchase another tool to perform metrics evaluation.

**Organisation of the Thesis**

Chapter two gives some background on software product metrics; its fundamentals and classifications such as design metrics and source code metrics. In addition, this chapter discusses software metrics evaluation tools, utilized by previous researchers in this area. The definition and advantages of common metrics format can be found at the end of this chapter.

Chapter three shows the attribute grammars; its fundamentals and applications, mainly the use of attribute grammars in compiler construction and software metrics definitions. At the end of this chapter our conclusion will be discussed. Chapter two and chapter three are the keys of this thesis.