



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**SPECIES COMPOSITION, DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDING GUILDS OF  
FISHES FROM SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS, MALAYSIA**

**JIMMY ANAKAJAK**

**FS 2007 27**

**SPECIES COMPOSITION, DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDING GUILDS OF  
FISHES FROM SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS, MALAYSIA**

**By**

**JIMMY ANAK AJAK**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
In fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master Science**

**May 2007**



## DEDICATION

*I dedicated this work to my lovely wife, Nora, my daughter, Johanna, my son Jason, my family for their great support, commitment, understanding, confidence and assistance in me in order to finish this work and also for the memory of my late father.*

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment  
of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**SPECIES COMPOSITION, DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDING GUILDS OF  
FISHES FROM SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS, MALAYSIA**

By

**JIMMY ANAK AJAK**

**May, 2007**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Aziz bin Arshad, PhD**

**Faculty : Science**

A study was undertaken to investigate the fish species composition and their temporal and spatial distribution and feeding guilds in the Sg. Pulai seagrass bed. Fish specimens for the study were collected from April 2002 to June 2003 using the trammel nets of 640m in total length and 1.6 m height. Fishes were sampled from three subtidal Sg. Pulai seagrass beds namely Tanjung (Tg.) Adang Darat Shoal, Tg. Adang Laut Shoal and Merambong Shoal. A total of 2371 fish specimens were caught from the three sites representing 72 species and 37 different families.

Six most dominant fish species comprising of *Triacanthus biaculeatus*, *Arius maculatus*, *Arius tenuispinis*, *Plotosus lineatus*, *Anodontostoma chacunda* and *Hilsa kelee* were selected for the study on feeding guilds. Analysis on the feeding guild showed two different types of food-based component viz animal and plant origins. Bivalves, gastropods, crustaceans, copepods and polychaete worms were amongst the major gut content of the animal-based diets while seagrass fragment made up the sole plant-based

section. The animal-based diets were consistently recorded from *T. biaculeatus* *A. maculatus* *A. tenuispinis* and *P. lineaetus* stomach contents. All the four fish species showed a carnivorous type of feeding habit. The gut contents of *A. chacunda* and *H. kelee* were comparatively filled up with seagrass fragments, bivalves, gastropods and copepods, indicating the omnivorous type of feeding mode of the two clupeids species. These results suggested two major modes of feeding guilds that exist amongst the seagrass fishes. Throbbab analyzed showed the similar results with the RLG values.

In terms of spatial distribution, Tg. Adang Laut Shoal was inhabited by 28 families that consisted of 43 fish species and 11 of them were inclusive of species from the other study sites. Tg. Adang Darat Shoal was inhabited by 23 families and 37 different species of fishes. Four species found here exclusive to this seagrass bed and not recorded from the other two study sites. The fish caught within Merambong shoal seagrass bed were almost similar in species to the Tg. Adang Laut and Tg. Adang Darat shoals with the exception of *Dasyatis zugei* and *Gerres filamentous*. A total of 21 families and 28 species of seagrass fishes were finally recorded in the Merambong Shoal.

The study also revealed that several fish species were exclusively confined to one particular habitat either the vegetated or the non-vegetated sites. The results also indicated that families Leiognathidae and Engraulidae were consistently been recorded around the seagrass areas throughout the study period. In addition, the results may also indicates that other fish families were not permanent dwellers of the Sg. Pulai seagrass beds and their presence in the study areas could well be due to occasional feeding and spawning activities.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KOMPOSISI, TABURAN DAN TABIAT PEMAKANAN IKAN DARI  
KAWASAN RUMPUT LAUT SUNGAI PULAI, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**JIMMY ANAK AJAK**

**Mei, 2007**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Aziz bin Arshad, PhD**

**Fakulti : Sains**

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji komposisi ikan, ruang, taburan mengikut faktor ruang dan masa serta tabiat pemakanan ikan yang terdapat di kawasan rumput laut di Sungai Pulai. Penyampelan spesimen ikan telah dijalankan dari April 2002 hingga Jun 2003 dengan menggunakan pukut tiga lapis yang berukuran 640 m panjang dan 1.6 m tinggi. Tiga kawasan rumput Laut di Sg. Pulai telah dipilih sebagai kawasan persampelan iaitu; Beitng Tanjung (Tg.) Adang Darat, Beting Tg. Adang Laut dan Beting Merambong. Sejumlah 2371 spesimen ikan telah dikenalpasti yang terdiri daripada 72 spesies dan 37 famili yang berbeza.

Sebanyak enam spesies ikan yang mempunyai kelimpahan yang tinggi seperti *Triacanthus biaculeatus*, *Arius maculatus*, *Arius tenuispinis*, *Plotosus lineatus*, *Anodontostoma chacunda* dan *Hilsa kelee* dipilih untuk tujuan kajian tabiat pemakanan. Analisis tabiat pemakanan ikan menunjukkan dua komponen makanan utama iaitu makanan berasaskan haiwan dan juga berasaskan tumbuhan, dwicengkerang, krustasea,

siput gastropod, kopepod dan cacing polychaeta adalah komponen utama makanan berasaskan haiwan manakala cebisan daun rumput laut merupakan makanan berasaskan tumbuhan. Makanan berasaskan haiwan didapati secara konsisten pada ikan *Triacanthus biaculeatus*, *Arius maculatus*, *Arius tenuispinis* dan *Plotosus lineatus*. Keempat-empat spesies ikan tersebut menunjukkan tabiat pemakanan karnivor. Analisis kandungan isi perut *Anadontostoma chacunda* dan *Hilsa kelee* secara komperatif mendapati ianya dipenuhi oleh cebisan daun rumput laut, dwicengkerang, siput gastropod dan kopepod yang mana menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua ikan ini mempunyai tabiat pemakanan omnivor. Analisa RLG dan 'aras tropik' menyokong keputusan yang dihasilkan melalui kajian kandungan isi perut spesies ikan.

Dari segi taburan ikan mengikut masa dan ruang pula, Beting Tg. Adang Laut didiami oleh 28 famili yang terdiri daripada 43 spesies, dan 11 spesies daripadanya merupakan spesies yang sama dengan kawasan kajian yang lain. Beting Tg. Adang Darat pula didiami 23 famili dan 37 spesies. Empat spesies yang dijumpai di kawasan ini adalah berbeza dan tidak dijumpai di Beting Tg. Adang Darat dan Beting Tg. Adang Laut. Ikan yang ditangkap di Beting Merambong pula hampir sama dengan yang direkodkan oleh Beting Tg. Adang Laut dan Beting Tg. Adang Darat kecuali spesies *Dasyatis zugei* dan *Gerres filamentous*. Sejumlah 21 famili dan 28 spesies dicatatkan di Beting Merambong.

Kajian yang dijalankan mendapati hanya spesies ikan tertentu sahaja yang khusus pada habitat tertentu di antara kawasan rumput laut dan bukan rumput laut. Keputusan kajian mendapati famili Leiognathidae dan Engraulidae menunjukkan kehadiran yang

konsisten di kawasan rumput laut sepanjang kajian dijalankan. Kajian juga menunjukkan yang famili ikan yang lain bukan merupakan spesies yang kekal di kawasan rumput laut di Sg. Pulai dan kehadiran mereka ke kawasan kajian mungkin disebabkan oleh aktiviti seperti mencari makanan and juga pembiakan.



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am indeed grateful to my chairman Associate Professor Dr. Aziz bin Arshad, for is invaluable advice, helps, untiring support and encouragement in preparation of this thesis. Sincere appreciation and thanks to my committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Japar Sidik Bujang and Dr. Muta Harah Zakaria for their great support, motivation and helpful comments and suggestions.

My special thanks to Research Officer Mr Perumal Kuppan, not only giving support throughout the field and laboratory works but also valuable suggestions during the study periods. All my sampling and laboratory mates Norhapizah, Annaletchumy, Lim, Liza, Hanafi, Akma, Efrizal, Said, Amin, Rozhan, Prabath, Jamal, Hazel, Helena, Natrah and Omid who lend a hand in sampling and data analysis.

My next appreciation goes to Mr. Mazlan for his great guide in photography of fish sample. Also thanks to Mr. Azmi, Mr. Ahmad Kimon and Mr Sulaiman for their helps during the sampling periods.

Last but not least I express my special thanks to my family members, close relative and fellow friends for understanding and moral support in this study.

Thanks

Jimmy Ajak.

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	viii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	ix
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xi
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LISTS OF PLATES</b>	xvii
<b>LISTS OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxi
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I      GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
 <b>II      GENERAL LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
Current Status of Malaysian Fisheries	5
Seagrass Fisheries	10
Types of Feeding	11
Detritus	11
Scavengers	12
Herbivores	13
Grazers	13
Browsers	13
Phytoplanktivores	14
Carnivores	15
Benthivores	15
Zooplankitovores	16
Aerial Feeders	17
Piscivores	17
Feeding Guilds of Seagrass Species	18
Seagrass Ecosystems	20
Important of Seagrass	21
Flora and Fauna of Seagrass Beds	22
Fishes Associated with Seagrass	23
 <b>III     GENERAL METHODOLOGY</b>	
Site Description	26

	Sample Collection	26
	Identification of Fishes	28
	Species Composition	29
	Feeding Guilds	30
	Temporal Variation	33
<b>IV</b>	<b>CHECKLIST OF FISHES IN OF SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS</b>	
	Introduction	35
	Methodology	36
	Results	37
	Discussions	82
<b>V</b>	<b>FEEDING GUILDS OF SELECTED FISH SPECIES OF SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS</b>	
	Introduction	91
	Methodology	93
	Results	94
	Discussions	108
<b>VI</b>	<b>TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL VARIATIONS ON SPECIES COMPOSITION OF SUNGAI PULAI SEAGRASS BEDS</b>	
	Introduction	117
	Methodology	120
	Results	121
	Discussions	144
<b>VII</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	150
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	155
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	170

## LIST OF FIGURE

Figure	Page
2.1 <b>Showed the Trends of Fishes Landing within Ten Years from 1995 to 2004</b>	7
2.2 <b>Production of Aquaculture by Culture Systems, 2004</b>	8
3.1 <b>Map Shows the Sampling Location of The study Area on the Southwest Johore</b>	26
3.2 <b>External features of Spiney-rayed Fish</b>	28
5.1 <b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food types in the Gut Content of <i>Triacanthus biaculeatus</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	94
5.2 <b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Triacanthus biaculeatus</i> Showed Positive Relationship between Total Body Weights</b>	95
5.3 <b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food Types in the Gut Content of <i>Arius maculatus</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	96
5.4 <b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Arius maculatus</i> Showed Positive Relationship between Total Body Weights</b>	97
5.5 <b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food Types in the Gut Content of <i>Arius tenuispinis</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	98
5.6 <b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Arius tenuispinis</i> Showed the Positive Relationship between Total Body Weights</b>	99
5.7 <b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food Types in the Gut Content of <i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	100

<b>5.8</b>	<b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i> Showed Positive Relationship between Total Body Weights</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>5.9</b>	<b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food Types in the Gut Content of <i>Hilsa kelee</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>5.10</b>	<b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Hilsa kelee</i> Showed the Positive Relationship between Total Body Weights</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>5.11</b>	<b>Frequency of Occurrence of Food Types in the Gut Content of <i>Plotosus lineatus</i> from Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>5.12</b>	<b>Relative Gut Index (R.L.G) of <i>Plotosus lineatus</i> Showed Positive Relationship between total Body Weights</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>5.13</b>	<b>Trophic Level of Food Items of Selective Fish of Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>The Numbers of Species Caught Per Family in Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Temporal and Spatial of fish in Family Clupeidae, Engraulidae and Ariidae during different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Temporal and spatial of fish in Family Synodontidae, Carangidae and Leiognathidae during different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Temporal and Spatial of fish in Family Gerreidae, Sciaenidae and Drepanidae during different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Temporal and spatial of fish in Family Trichuridae, Monacanthidae and Tetraodontidae during different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>6.6</b>	<b>Number of species recorded for Family Sciaenidae during Study Periods</b>	<b>130</b>

<b>6.7</b>	<b>Number of species recorded for Family Clupeidae, Leiognathidae and Engraulidae during Study Periods</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>6.8</b>	<b>Number of species recorded for Family, Ariidae, Monacanthidae and Tetraodontidae during Study Periods</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>6.9</b>	<b>Number of species recorded for Family Triacanthidae, Carangidae and Gerreidae during Study Periods</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>6.10</b>	<b>Species Numbers (S), Individual Numbers (N) and Richness Index (D) of Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>6.11</b>	<b>Evenness (J) and Diversity (H) Indices of Fish of Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>6.12</b>	<b>Dendrogram of the Clustering for Sampling Sites using Similarity Indices for Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>6.13</b>	<b>MDS Ordination of fish Community in Sg. Pulai Seagrass Beds</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>6.14</b>	<b>Total Species (S) Numbers of Individuals (N) during different Sampling Months</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>6.15</b>	<b>Richness (D), Evenness (J) and Diversity (H) Indices during Different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>6.16</b>	<b>MDS ordination of the different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>6.17</b>	<b>Dendrogram of fish clustering of different Sampling Periods</b>	<b>141</b>

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
4.1 <i>Dasyatis walga</i> (Muller & Henle, 1841)	44
4.2 <i>Dasyatis zugei</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	44
4.3 <i>Taeniura lymma</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	44
4.4 <i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i> (Hamilton-Buchanan, 1822)	44
4.5 <i>Hilsa kelee</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	44
4.6 <i>Sardinella fimbriata</i> (Valenciennes, 1847)	44
4.7 <i>Illisha melastoma</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	46
4.8 <i>Coilia dussumieri</i> (Valenciennes, 1848)	46
4.9 <i>Setipinna taty</i> (Valenciennes, 1848)	46
4.10 <i>Setipinna breviceps</i> (Cantor, 1849)	46
4.11 <i>Stolephorus indicus</i> (Van Hasselt, 1823)	46
4.12 <i>Thryssa hamiltoni</i> (Gray, 1830)	46
4.13 <i>Chirocentrus dorab</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	48
4.14 <i>Chanos chanos</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	48
4.15 <i>Arius maculatus</i> (Thunberg, 1792)	48
4.16 <i>Arius tenuispinis</i> (Ruppell, 1837)	50
4.17 <i>Plotosus canius</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	50
4.18 <i>Plotos lineatus</i> (Thunberg, 1787)	50
4.19 <i>Saurida tumbil</i> (Bloch, 1795)	50

4.20	<i>Valamugil cunnesius</i> (Valenciennes, 1836)	52
4.21	<i>Platycephalus indicus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	52
4.22	<i>Ambassis kopsi</i> (Bleeker, 1858)	52
4.23	<i>Echeneis naucrates</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	52
4.24	<i>Alepes djedaba</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	54
4.25	<i>Caranx sexfasciatus</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1825)	54
4.26	<i>Gnathanodon speciosus</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	54
4.28	<i>Selaroides leptolepis</i> (Cuvier, 1833)	54
4.27	<i>Scombroides tol</i> (Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1832)	56
4.29	<i>Leiognathus decorus</i> (de Vis, 1884)	56
4.30	<i>Leiognathus equulus</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	56
4.31	<i>Leiognathus longispinis</i> (Valenciennes, 1835)	56
4.32	<i>Leiognathus splendens</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	58
4.33	<i>Secutor ruconuis</i> (Hamilton-Buchanan, 1822)	58
4.34	<i>Lutjanus johnii</i> (Bloch, 1792)	58
4.35	<i>Gerres abbreviatus</i> (Bleeker, 1850)	60
4.36	<i>Gerres filamentosus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	60
4.37	<i>Gerres oyena</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	60
4.38	<i>Pomadasys maculatus</i> (Bloch, 1793)	62
4.39	<i>Chrysochir aureus</i> (Richardson, 1846)	62



4.40	<i>Dendrophysa russelli</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	62
4.41	<i>Johnius belangerii</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	62
4.42	<i>Johnius carutta</i> (Bloch, 1793)	64
4.43	<i>Otolithes ruber</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	64
4.44	<i>Panna microdon</i> (Bleeker, 1849)	64
4.45	<i>Pennahia macrocephalus</i> (Tang, 1937)	64
4.46	<i>Sillago sihama</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	66
4.47	<i>Drepane longimana</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	66
4.48	<i>Drepane punctuta</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	66
4.49	<i>Acanthocephala abbreviata</i> (Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1835)	69
4.50	<i>Terapon jarbua</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	69
4.51	<i>Eleuteronema tetradactylum</i> (Shaw, 1804)	69
4.52	<i>Polydactylus plebeius</i> (Broussonet, 1782)	69
4.53	<i>Polydactylus sextarius</i> (Bloch, 1801)	71
4.54	<i>Scarus rivulatus</i> (Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1840)	71
4.55	<i>Ephippus orbis</i> (Bloch, 1787)	71
4.56	<i>Platax teira</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	73
4.57	<i>Scatophagus argus</i> (Linnaeus, 1788)	73
4.58	<i>Siganus canaliculatus</i> (Park, 1797)	73
4.59	<i>Siganus javus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	75

<b>4.60</b>	<b><i>Sphyraena jello</i> (Cuvier, 1829)</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.61</b>	<b><i>Trichiurus lepturus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.62</b>	<b><i>Scomberomorus guttatus</i> (Bloch &amp; Schneider, 1801)</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.63</b>	<b><i>Pseudorhombus arsius</i> (Hamilton, 1822)</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.64</b>	<b><i>Cynoglossus lingua</i> (Hamilton-Buchanan, 1822)</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.65</b>	<b><i>Synaptura commersonii</i> (Lacepede, 1802)</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.66</b>	<b><i>Zebrias zebrias</i>, (Bloch, 1787)</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.67</b>	<b><i>Triacanthus biaculeatus</i> (Bloch, 1786)</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.68</b>	<b><i>Monocanthus chinesis</i> (Osbeck, 1765)</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.69</b>	<b><i>Chaetodermis pencilligerus</i> (Cuvier, 1817)</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>470</b>	<b><i>Lagocephalus lunaris</i> (Bloch &amp; Schneider, 1801)</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>4.71</b>	<b><i>Takifugu oblangus</i> (Bloch, 1786)</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>4.72</b>	<b><i>Tetratodon negrovirdis</i> (Proce, 1822)</b>	<b>81</b>

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>DoF</b>	<b>Department of Fisheries</b>
<b>GDP</b>	<b>Gross Domestic Products</b>
<b>m</b>	<b>meter</b>
<b>μ</b>	<b>Micro</b>
<b>MSY</b>	<b>Maximum Sustainable Yield</b>
<b>%</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Sg.</b>	<b>Sungai</b>
<b>Tg.</b>	<b>Tanjung</b>

## **CHAPTER I**

### **GENERAL INTRODUCTION**

The fishery sector plays a vital role in the Malaysian economy as a source of cheap protein, employment and foreign exchange earnings. It is the cheapest source of animal protein and is widely been accepted by all ethnic groups in Malaysia. The per capita fish consumption is expected to rise from 39.1 kg in 1995 to 49.0 kg in the year 2000 (Ministry of Agriculture, 1999) and expected to increase steadily in future in tandem with the increase in human population. The preference and demand for fish is expected to increase from an annual consumption of 809,300 t in 1995 to over 1,591,000 t by the year 2010 (Ministry of Agriculture, 1999).

The development of fisheries sector in Malaysia is being emphasized under the National Agriculture Policy (1992 – 2010) which aim towards a fully modern and commercialized industry. The present ninth Malaysian plan also clearly delineated fisheries sector as one of the important agricultural activity that will be given grater emphasis in order to pursue the expected greater demand for cheap source of protein in the country. Besides capture fisheries, aquaculture is the other major fishery activity that is important to the country. Aquaculture sector recorded production of 202,225 t which contributed about 13.2% to the total fish production in 2004 that showed the increased of about 2.65% compared to year2003. Even though the aquaculture sector contributed only 13% (year 2004) to the total fish production, this sector has been identify as having the most potential (DoF, 2004). Inland fisheries contributed only 4,119 t or 0.27% to the total fish production in 2004.

Over all sectors, fishing industry contributed only about 1.57% of gross domestic products (GDP) in 2004 compared to 1.35% in 2003. It provides direct employment to 89,453 fishermen recorded on licence vessel in 2004 compared to 89,433 on 2003. Advances in fishing methods led to devastating effect and extensive fishing at present affects many fish populations to a possible extinct. Increase in total catch arose depend on several factor including improved in techniques for fishing and catching fish. Improving in the technique will consecutively increase the total landing of fish; the new technique such as SONAR and remote sensing intensified the fishing operation hence resulting in higher efficiency in tonnage of fish landed. Despite effective measures been taken in regulating the fish population, many countries have already operating beyond the maximum sustainable yield (MSY).

The depletion in fish resources has often been linked not only to gear development but also attributed by the destruction in fish natural habitats both feeding and breeding habitats. Mangroves, coral reefs and seagrass beds have been alarmingly been converted for physical development and the acreage of these fragile ecosystems have increasingly been on the decline. This is despite all the measures and legislation prohibiting the destruction of living resources that has been introduced for the management of coral reef and mangrove ecosystem. As it is repeatedly been pointed out, the three ecosystems are known to be the important breeding and nursery grounds for many commercially important fish species.

Study on fish biology is therefore needed in checking the status of one of the important living resources of the aquatic ecosystem. Study on the diversity and dynamics of the fish population, feeding guilds and their dependence on nursery

ground are all important especially in generating information on the conservation plans and specific habitat requirement needed in the early stage of fish life history. Thus a project is formulated to study the fish communities in the seagrass ecosystem. The reason being many of the seagrass resources in the peninsular has been gradually degraded and destroyed through various coastal development projects. In addition, there have not been many studies on the fish population in the seagrass areas carried out in the country.

Sungai (Sg.) Pulai is chosen as a study site due to the anticipated and forth coming effect of the port development that will contribute to some physical damages to the seagrass ecosystem. Seagrass beds in Sg. Pulai estuary is the most extensive seagrass beds known to exist in the southern region of the Peninsular Malaysia. In total, there are three major seagrass sites in the area, and they are known as Tg. Adang Darat shoal, Tg. Adang Laut shoal and Merambong shoal; all three seagrass beds are closely associated with the sandy shoals and are periodically been exposed especially during the spring low tide. The seagrass beds here are dominated by *Enhalus acoroides* and eight other seagrass species. There are 10 genera with 14 species of seagrasses in both Peninsular Malaysia and East Malaysia in total.

Seagrasses are the only group of submerged flowering plants in the marine environment and about 50 species of sea grasses are recorded around the world but this number is not proportionate to their abundance, as well as to their economic and ecological importance (Fortes, 1990). Seagrass beds have so far been valued for their role as fish nursery areas and as an area of high productivity in marine coastal ecosystem. This would significantly translated seagrass beds as major nursery areas