

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE ACTIVITY PATTERN OF BARN OWLS IN URBAN AREA AS BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS OF RATS

MUHAMMAD HAZZIQ BIN MOHD HAMDAN

FP 2017 34

THE ACTIVITY PATTERN OF BARN OWLS IN URBAN AREA AS BIOLOGICAL

CONTROL AGENTS OF RATS

BY

MUHAMMAD HAZZIQ BIN MOHD HAMDAN



Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of

Agricultural Science in the Faculty of Agriculture

DEPARTMENT OF PLANT PROTECTION

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

UNIVERSITY PUTRA MALAYSIA

SERDANG, SELANGOR

JUNE 2017

Hereby is it certified that Muhammad Hazziq Bin Mohd Hamdan has completed his project entitle The Activity Pattern Of Barn Owls In Urban Area As Biological Control Agents Of Rats and he is qualified to submit the following report to the Faculty Agriculture as a partial fulfillment in attaining a Degree of Bachelor Science Agriculture is accepted.



University Putra Malaysia

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, a great thankful to Allah S.W.T whose blessings have enabled me to accomplish my final year project successfully.

Secondly, I would like to express my thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr Mohd Noor Hafidzi for his helpful guidance in completing my thesis and in the process sharing his knowledge on the subject matter.

Huge thanks to my family, especially to my parents Mohd Hamdan Bin Ismail and Shabah Binti Wahab who continue to motivate and inspire me to persevere with the final year project.

I am truly indebted to Miss Maisarah Binti Burhanuddin, Miss Nur Hafizah Binti Che Zaaba and Miss Noor Fitrah Binti Mohd Sidik who have been providing all the necessary assistance on the field and in the lab.

Last but not least I cherish all the support from friends for keeping me company during my ups and downs while pursuing my final year project at UPM. Lastly, do not forget to faculty, Universiti Putra Malaysia, I thank you for providing good facilities to students in the final year of this project.

I

LIST OF CONTENT	PAGES
ACKNOWLEDMENT	Ι
CONTENT	II
ABSTRACT	IV
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives	3
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 T. alba in Malaysia	4
2.2 Rat infestation in urban area	6
2.3 T. alba javanica as biological control agent of rats	9
2.4 Classification of Barn Owl	10
2.4.1 Identification of Barn Owl	11
2.4.2 Vision And hearing of Barn Owl	12
2.4.3 Feeding behavior	13
2.4.4 Breeding of Barn Owls	14
2.5 Acclimatization	14
2.6 Tracking	15

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

	3.1 Translocation of Barn Owl	17
	3.2 Location of study	17
	3.5 Data analysis	17
	CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1 data collects	19
	4.2 Biotas Results	24
	4.3 Activity Pattern	26
	4.3.1 Distance travel from roosting point to tracking point	26
	4.3.2 Distance traveled from point to point	31
	4.4 Discussions	40
	4.4.1 Home range and core range	40
	4.4.2 Translocating of Barn Owls leads to more exploring	41
	4.4.3 Activity Profile	41
	CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
	5.1 Conclusion	43
	5.2 Recommendation	43
	REFERENCES	44
C	APPENDIX	51

Abstract

Barn owl has been established as a biological control agent of rats in oil palm plantations and rice fields. In view of the serious rodent infestation in urban areas, an initiative has been launched to introduce barn owl in a city environment. Serdang has been designated for the pioneer project of the barn owls release for this purpose. To investigate the ranging and foraging activity of the barn owl, radio telemetry is employed. The objective is to draw up the activity pattern of the barn owl in a city perimeter. The activity pattern of male and female over a time period will be investigated. Two females will be tagged with radio transmitters and followed from 1800 hours to 0600 hours daily for a total of ten days until sufficient radio locations obtained. If the owls remain in the same area, the activity patterns will be compared from month to month dictated by circumstances. The locations of the owls will be determined at hourly intervals or for as frequent as possible over the night. The distance travel from one radio locations to the next is a measure of the level of activity for the respective hourly interval. The mean distance moved over several nights indicates the level of activity for each hourly interval. The Biotas software will be used to analyse home ranging parameters of each owl and the nightly activity profile. It is anticipated that males are more active since they engaged in more exploratory foraging behaviour.

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

In this study we attempt to determine the home range size and core area of female barn owl in a newly translocated area. We investigate female behaviour in a newly exploratory area. The problem statement in this project is due to the serious rat problem in the urban areas pest control operators and residents are prompted to resort to rodenticide that will harm the environment. Therefore it is pertinent to switch to an alternative method to control rats so as to avoid the negative effects. We attempted to apply biological control using barn owl in an urban area for the first time in Malaysia.

Barn owl (*Tyto alba*) has been established and naturally encouraged to breed in oil palm plantation and ricefields for the purpose for biological control for rats. The preferred prey of the barn owl is rat. Work by Glue (1971) sub satiated the claim the species of rodents represented in Barn Owl pellets is proportional to the relative abundance of the corresponding prey. Similarly, Mikkola (1983) concluded that the barn owl is a nonselective predator, whose diet reflects the abundance of small nocturnal mammal species within its hunting territory. In Malaysia, barn owl is commonly found in oil palm plantation and in paddy fields and feed mainly on indigenous *Rattus* species(Iain Taylor, 1994).

Thus, barn owl is being seriously considered as an alternative for controlling rats in urban areas. Initial efforts of translocating barn owls from rice field and oil palm plantations to selected urban areas are currently underway. Subang Jaya and Serdang are the two urban centres that have chosen for the initial release as these areas have a typically high rat infestation, as are anticipated in most urban areas in Malaysia and elsewhere. Rat infestation in urban areas are becoming serious nowadays due to the population explosion of rats in urban areas attributed to many factors especially the rapid increase in human population in the city. Gratz (1984) claimed rodents that stay in the vicinity of humans while sharing places of refuge, food resources, water supply are threats to the public health as they have the potential to transmit about 40 disease. In Peninsular Malaysia, the prevalent rodent species in Kuala Lumpur are *Rattus rattus* and *Rattus norvegicus* (Lee, et.al, 2006).

The effort of translocating the barn owl is part of the scheme to overcome rat infestation in an environmentally safe approach by reducing the application of chemical rodenticides and invariably potential for disease transmission.

The observation involved in the process of releasing barn owl at the initial stage called for the use of radio telemetry. Radio telemetry is relied upon to investigate the locations the birds flown to, the foraging ground or the home range as well as the daily activity profile of the barn owl.

A transmitter of unique radio frequencies was attached to each barn owl upon release using the back-pack method. The radio receiver with a yagi antenna attached by a wire cable is used to detect the signal and based on the strength of the loudest signal the ground location of the owl can be identified on a map prepared for this purpose. The behavioural data obtain by the radio telemetry consist of the amount of time the barn owls had spent at a particular locations, the distance moved and the size of the home ranges. According to McShane et al. (1998), radio tracking is often the only way to collect data systematically on movement behaviour of unseen animals such as the barn owl. The barn owl has to be acclimatized before release so that they adapt faster to the urban environment. They were also trained and fed in an aviary set up at the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

The barn owl was sexed before release. This is usually determined based on the plumage pattern. Plumage is often the most obvious way to tell the difference between male and female because the former often have bright and colourful plumage. In contrast female birds generally have a typically drab and dull coloured plumage (Hill 1993). The barn owl (*T. alba*) follows the general trend in that females are larger than males, but there can be overlaps, which often makes sex determination difficult (Amadon, 1975).

1.1 Objectives:

- 1. To investigate the activity pattern of barn owl in urban area.
- 2. To investigate the changes of activity pattern over time.
- 3. To compare between male and female activity pattern.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2010) Frequently Asked Questions on Plague. The World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia.

Aplin KP, Brown PR, Jacob J, Krebs CJ, Singleton GR (2003) Field methods for rodent studies in Asia and the Indo-Pacific. Canberra: ACIAR. 223

Aplin KP, Chesser T, ten Have J (2003) Evolutionary biology of the genus Rattus: profile of an archetypal rodent pest. In: Singleton GR, Hinds LA, Krebs CJ, Spratt DM (eds) Rats, mice and people: rodent biology and management. ACIAR, Canberra, pp 487–498

Bunn, D. S. (1969). Regular daylight hunting by Barn Owls, (C), 26-30.

Bachmann, T., Kla["] n, S., Baumgartner, W., Klaas, M., Schro["] der, W., & Wagner, H. (2007). Morphometric characterisation of wing feathers of the barn owl *T. alba* pratincola and the pigeon Columba livia. Frontiers in Zoology, 4, 23.

Beauchamp and Raid, 1997. Internet Article. January 1997. www.napa.ufl.edu.

Council, I., & Agricultural, O. F. (n.d.). Ecology of barn owl (T. alba; and its predatory pressure on the rodents of agricultural importance.

Coles, R. B., & Guppy, A. (1988). Directional hearing in the barn owl (T. alba), 117–133.

Durant, J. M., Hjermann, D. Ø., & Handrich, Y. (n.d.). Diel feeding strategy during breeding in male Barn Owls (T. alba), 22854001(1), 1–27.

Duckett, J. E. (1991). Management Of The Barn Owl (T. alba javanica) As A Predator Of Rats In Oil Plantations In Malaysia, 4(4), 11–24.

Feng, A. Y. T., & Himsworth, C. G. (2014). The secret life of the city rat: a review of the ecology of urban Norway and black rats (Rattus norvegicus and Rattus rattus), 149–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-013-0305-4

Fairley, I. S. (1966). Analyses of Barn Owl pellets from an Irish roost. Brit. Birds, 59:338-340.

Fite, K. V., & Rosenfield-Wessels, S. (1975). Comparative study of deep avian foveas. Brain Behavior and Evolution, 12(1–2), 97–115.

Ghim, M. M., & Hodos, W. (2006). Spatial contrast sensitivity of birds. Journal of Comparative Physiology A, 192(5), 523–534.

Grilo, C., & Marques, A. (2016). How do major roads affect barn owls ? Distribution , space use , food source and mortality, (November).

Hafidzi, M. N., & Hamzah, M. H. (2003). Ranging behaviour of T. alba in a ricefield from radio telemetry studies . Hafidzi, M.N, M. H. Hamzah and M. L. Jamaluddin. Dept of Plant Protection, Faculty Agriculture, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, 32(1), 47–51.

Harmening, W. M., Vobig, M. A. M. A., Walter, P., & Wagner, H. (2007). Ocular aberrations in barn owl eyes. Vision Research, 47(23), 2934–2942.

Harmening, W. M., Orlowski, J., Ben-Shahar, O., & Wagner, H. (2011). Overt attention towards oriented objects in free viewing barn owls. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108, 8461–8466.

- 45 -

Huxlin, K. R., Yoon, G., Nagy, L., Porter, J., & Williams, D. (2004). Monochromatic ocular wavefront aberrations in the awake-behaving cat. Vision Research, 44(18), 2159–2169.

Information, S. (2014). Barn Owl (T. alba) Species Guidance, 701(Robbins 1991), 1-8.

Iris Podolski, Elisa Belotti, Lude^{*}k Bufka, Holger Reulen & Marco Heurich (2013), Seasonal and daily activity patterns of free-living Eurasian lynx Lynx lynx in relation to availability of kills

Jason M. Martin, Richard N. Raid, and Lyn C. Branch (January 2005), Barn Owl (T. alba), Wildlife Ecology and Conservation Department, UF/IFAS Extension, WEC 185

Jones, M. P., Pierce, K. E., & Ward, D. (2007). Avian vision: A review of form and function with special consideration to birds of prey. Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine, 16(2), 69–87.

Knudsen El, Konishi M (1980) Monaural occlusion shifts receptive field locations of auditory midbrain units in the owl. J Neurophysiol 44: 687-695

Konishi M (1983) Neuroethology of acoustic prey localization in the barn owl. In: Huber F, Markl H (eds) Neuroethology and behavioural physiology. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 303-317

Lee, C. H. (1998). Barn owl – Development and food utilization (Burung pungguk jelapang – Pertumbuhan dan penggunaan makanan). J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc, 26(2), 151–157.

Liang, J., & Williams, D. R. (1997). Aberrations and retinal image quality of the normal human eye. Journal of the Optical Society of America. A, Optics, Image Science, and Vision, 14(11), 2873–2883.

Lund M (1994) Commensal rodents. In: Buckle A, Smith R (eds) Rodent pests and their control. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp 23–43

Mitchell, A. M. (2008). The effects of release techniques on the reproductive performance and post-fledging juvenile survival of captive-bred Western Burrowing Owls (, (February).

Martin, J. M., Raid, R. N., & Branch, L. C. (2005). Barn Owl (T. alba) 1, 2-5.

Meek, W. R., Burnam , P. J., Nowakowski, M., Sparks, T. H., Burman, N. J., 2003. Barn Owl Release in lowland southern England – twenty-one year study. Biological Conservation, 109: 271–282.

Meyer, S. (2008). The Barn Owl as a Control Agent for Rat Populations in Semi-Urban Habitats

Martin, G. R. (1986). Sensory capacities and the nocturnal habit of owls (Strigiformes). Ibis, 128, 266–277.

Norberg RA (1968) Physical factors in directional hearing inAegoliusfunereus (Linnt) (Strigiformes), with special refer reference to the significance of the asymmetry of the external ears. Ark Zool 20:181-204

Nieder, A., & Wagner, H. (1999). Perception and neuronal coding of subjective contours in the owl. Nature Neuroscience, 2(7), 660–663.

Owling (2001). "Barn Owl Biology". 25 Nov 2008. http://www.owling.com/Barn_nh.htm

Owls, B. (2013). FACTSHEET Barn Owls, (210807).

Orlowski, J., Harmening, W., & Wagner, H. (2012). Night vision in barn owls : Visual acuity and contrast sensitivity under dark adaptation, 12, 1–8.

Oehme, H. (1961). Vergleichend-histologische Unter suchungen an der Retina von Eulen. Zoologische Jahrbu["] cher Abteilungenen fu["] r Anatomie und Ontogenie der Tiere, 79(79), 439– 478.

Paper, C., Mohd, C., Zainal, R., Felda, A., Ventures, G., Universiti, H. M. N., Zainal, R. (2016). Propagation of Barn owls in an Oil Palm Plantation of Sabah: FGV 's Experience, (August).

Payne RS (1971) Acoustic location of prey by barn owls (T. alba). J Exp Biol 54:535-573

Payne, R. S. (1971). Acoustic location of prey by barn owls (T. alba). The Journal of Experimental Biology, 54(3), 535–573.

Ranging Behaviour Of T. alba In A Ricefield Using Radio Telemetry. (2003), 32(June), 45-49.

Report, C. S. (2010). Assessment and Status Report Barn Owl T. alba.

Schwartzkopff J (1962) Zur Frage des Richtungshörens von Eulen (Striges). Z Vergl Physiol 45 : 570-580

Schaeffel, F., Wagner, H.(1996). Emmetropization and optical development of the eye of the barn owl (Tyto alba). Journal of Comparative Physiology A, 178(4), 491–498.

Singleton, G.R., Brown, P.R., Jacob, J., Aplin, K. and Sudarmaji 2002. Unwanted and unintended effects of culling. In: Harris, S. and Lavigne, D., ed., Culling of mammals. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press (in press).

Singleton GR, Brown PR, Pech RP, Hinds LA, Krebs CJ (2010). Rodent outbreaks in Australia: mouse plagues in cereal crops. In: Singleton GR et al. (eds) Rodent Outbreaks: Ecology and Impacts, 225-238. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.

Singleton GR, Leirs H, Hinds, L, Zhang Z (1999) Ecologically-based management of rodent pests: reevaluating our approach to an old problem. In: Singleton GR et al. (eds) Ecologically-based Management of Rodent Pests, pp 17-29. ACIAR, Canberra, Australia

Singleton GR, Sudarmaji, Brown PR. 2003. Are larger physical barriers better for controlling the rice field rat, *Rattus argentiventer*, in rice crops in Indonesia? Crop Prot. 22: 7-13.

Stresemann E (1934) Handbuch der Zoologie, vol 7, pt 2. Kiikenthal W, Krumbach T (eds) De Gruyter, Leipzig pp 899

The Barn Owl Centre, Netheridge Farm, Netheridge Close, Hempsted, Gloucester GL2 5LE, The Barn Owl Centre, 1-2

Tobin, M. E., & Fall, M. W. (n.d.). SA NE M SC PL O E – C EO PL O E –, II.

van der Willigen, R. F. (2011). Owls see in stereo much like humans do. Journal of Vision, 11(7):10, 1–27.

Warrant, E.J. (2004). Vision in the dimmest habitats on earth. Journal of Comparative Physiology A, 190(10), 765–789.

Wathey, J. C., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1989). Quantitative analysis of the retinal ganglion cell layer and optic nerve of the barn owl T. alba. Brain, Behavior and Evolution, 33(5), 279–292.

Weeden, C.R., A. M. Shelton, and M. P. Hoffman. Biological Control: A Guide to Natural Enemies in North America. [Online] Available at: http://www.nysaes.cornell.edu/ent/biocontrol/ Accessed on September 2, 2009.

Wittenberg, R. and Cock, M. (2001). Invasive Alien Species: A Toolkit of Best Prevention. Global Invasive Species Programme (GSIP).

Yorkshire Wildlife Trust November 2013, available at: http://www.ywt.org.uk/wildlife-adel-

