



***ODONATA AS POTENTIAL BIOINDICATOR TO ASSESS DIFFERENT  
HABITAT QUALITY IN TERRESTRIAL LANDSCAPE***

**NOR AFIFAH BINTI YAHYA**

**FH 2019 47**

**ODONATA AS POTENTIAL BIOINDICATOR TO ASSESS DIFFERENT  
HABITAT QUALITY IN TERRESTRIAL LANDSCAPE**



By

**NOR AFIFAH BINTI YAHYA**

**A Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for  
the Degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**2019**

## DEDICATION

Thanks and Praise to Allah S.W.T for giving me better life and these chances.

Dedicate this thesis to:

### **My lovely family:**

Yahya Bin Ishak, Milah Binti Mat  
and also my siblings has always been my priority in life.

### **My supportive friends,**

Thank you for your encouragements supports  
and the sacrifices that all of you have given.

### **My great supervisor,**

Dr. Norhisham Razi

Who always support and guide me to complete this study.

### **Last but not least,**

Muhammad Fahmi Aizat bin Abdul Aziz

Who has encouraged me, helped me and always support me to conducting  
this research and in my study.

Thank you for everything. May Allah bless all of us.

## ABSTRACT

Monoculture practice is increasing rapidly in Malaysia due to massive expansion of oil palm and rubber plantations. Due to this, massive forests have been converted into agricultural land. This resulted to major changes in landscape structure that causes overall biodiversity decline particularly insects. The present study was conducted to measure habitat quality of different agricultural systems in orchard, oil palm, and rubber plantations and its impact on dragonfly and damselfly (Insecta: Odonata) abundance and species richness. The study was conducted at Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri located in Pedas, Negeri Sembilan. Odonata sampling was carried out using visual observation in 30 sampling points for each agricultural landscape (a total of 90 sampling points). In overall, 1,375 Odonata individuals belonging to 55 species and 8 families were recorded. In this study, orchards recorded the highest Odonata abundance with 41% followed by rubber plantations and oil palm plantations with 36% and 23% respectively. Rubber plantations recorded the highest species richness with 44% followed by orchards and oil palm plantations with 33% and 23% respectively. The findings showed that Odonata abundance in orchard was greater than monoculture plantation due to better habitat quality. While, greater Odonata species richness in rubber plantations was due to species spill over from adjacent forest area. In conclusion, Odonata from the family Calopterygidae and Chlorocyphidae can provide suitable biological indicator for habitat disturbance. Odonata occurrence in both monoculture and polyculture systems require paramount importance for insect conservation.

## ABSTRAK

Amalan monokultur semakin meningkat di Malaysia berikutan pengembangan ladang kelapa sawit dan getah secara besar-besaran. Oleh itu, kawasan hutan yang besar telah diubah menjadi tanah pertanian. Ini mengakibatkan perubahan besar dalam struktur landskap yang menyebabkan kemerosotan kepelbagaian biodiversiti keseluruhan terutamanya serangga. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengukur kualiti habitat dalam sistem pertanian yang berlainan di dusun, ladang kelapa sawit, dan ladang getah serta kesannya terhadap kelimpahan dan kekayaan spesies pepatung dan pepatung jarum (Insecta: Odonata). Kajian ini dijalankan di Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu dan Kampung Batang Sepri yang terletak di Pedas, Negeri Sembilan. Pensampelan Odonata dijalankan menggunakan pemerhatian visual dalam 30 titik pensampelan untuk setiap landskap pertanian (sejumlah 90 titik pensampelan). Secara keseluruhan, 1,375 individu Odonata yang terdiri daripada 55 spesies dan 8 keluarga telah direkodkan. Dalam kajian ini, dusun telah mencatatkan kelimpahan Odonata tertinggi dengan 41% diikuti oleh ladang getah dan ladang kelapa sawit dengan 36% dan 23% masing-masing. Ladang getah mencatatkan kekayaan spesies tertinggi dengan 44% diikuti oleh dusun dan ladang kelapa sawit dengan 33% dan 23% masing-masing. Penemuan menunjukkan bahawa kelimpahan Odonata di dusun adalah lebih besar daripada perladangan monokultur kerana kualiti habitat yang lebih baik. Walaupun, kekayaan spesies Odonata yang lebih besar dalam ladang getah disebabkan oleh limpahan spesies dari kawasan hutan berdekatan. Sebagai kesimpulan, Odonata dari keluarga Calopterygidae dan Chlorocyphidae dapat memberikan petunjuk biologi yang sesuai untuk gangguan habitat. Kehadiran Odonata dalam sistem monokultur dan polikultur menunjukkan pentingnya usaha pemuliharaan serangga.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah and thanks to Allah S.W.T with all His Gracious and His Merciful for giving me strength and the ability to accomplish this project successfully. I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Norhisham Razi for his support, patient and excellent advice. For me, he is not only an excellent academician, but also an example of wise person.

My greatest appreciation also goes to the people that have been involved directly or indirectly throughout two month data collection in Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri, the residents of Kampung Sungai Lalah, Negeri Sembilan for being very warm and welcoming from the beginning.

Finally, to my beloved family, special thanks are given to them. Appreciation and gratitude are also expressed to my friends and colleagues for their help and constructive suggestion through this study, especially to Intan Farha Shamim, Sathiyarubini, Nurul Iffah Nadhirah, Lijan John, Muhammad Mizan and many others. Last but not least, for those I did not mentioned their names, I wish to express my special thanks for their helps in one way or another during this project.

## APPROVAL SHEET

I certify that this research project report entitled “Odonata as Potential Bioindicator to Assess Different Habitat Quality in Terrestrial Landscape” by Nor Afifah Binti Yahya has been examined and approved as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

---

Dr. Norhisham Razi  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Supervisor)

---

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Zakaria Bin Hussin  
Dean  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: June 2019

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Pages</b>
DEDICATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
AKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
APPROVAL SHEET	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Justification	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 The Scenario of Land Use Changes	5
2.2 Expansion of Oil Palm in Malaysia	5
2.3 Expansion of Rubber Plantation in Malaysia	8
2.4 Conventional Orchard in the Tropics	10
2.5 Odonata as Bioindicator for Environmental Disturbance	10
2.5.1 Order Odonata	11
2.5.2 Life History and Habitat	12
<b>3. METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Study Sites	14
3.2 Data Collection	16
3.3 Data Analysis	18
<b>4. RESULTS</b>	
4.1 Introduction	19
4.2 Comparisons in Odonata Abundance between Different Habitat Landscapes	20
4.2.1 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on Odonata Abundance	20
4.2.2 The Relationship between Odonata Abundance and Environmental Variables	21
4.3 Comparisons in Odonata Species Richness between Different Habitat Landscapes	24
4.3.1 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on Odonata Species Richness	24
4.3.2 The Relationship between Odonata Species Richness with Environmental Variables	26
4.4 Habitat Quality Measurement between Habitat Landscapes	29
4.4.1 Canopy Openness between Different Habitat	30



	Landscapes	
4.4.2	Canopy Cover between Different Habitat Landscapes	32
4.4.3	Vegetation Cover between Different Habitat Landscapes	33
4.4.4	Vegetation Height between Different Habitat Landscapes	35
4.4.5	Tree Height between Different Habitat Landscapes	37
4.4.6	Tree Density between Different Habitat Landscapes	38
4.4.7	Relative Humidity between Different Habitat Landscapes	40
4.4.8	Temperature between Different Habitat Landscapes	41
4.4.9	Proximity to Forest between Different Habitat Landscapes	42
5.	DISCUSSION	
5.1	Odonata community between different habitat landscapes	45
5.2	Effects of environmental factors on Odonata abundance and species richness	46
5.2.1	Effects of canopy openness and canopy cover on Odonata abundance and species richness	46
5.2.2	Effects of vegetation structure (vegetation cover, vegetation height, tree height and tree density) on Odonata abundance and species richness	47
5.2.3	Effects of relative humidity and temperature on Odonata abundance and species richness	48
5.2.4	Effects of proximity to forest on Odonata abundance and species richness	49
6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	51
	REFERENCES	53
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A	59
	Appendix B	61
	Appendix C	73
	Appendix D	75

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLES</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
2.1 Oil palm planted area as at December 2018 (hectares)	7
2.2 Characteristics and morphological of the Odonata	13
4.1 Abundance and species richness of Odonata	19
4.2 Analysis of variance for Odonata abundance	20
4.3 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on Odonata abundance between different habitat landscapes	20
4.4 Analysis of variance for Odonata species richness	24
4.5 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on Odonata species richness between different habitat landscapes	25
4.6 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Oil Palm Plantation	29
4.7 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Rubber Plantation	29
4.8 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Orchard	30
4.9 Analysis of variance for Canopy Openness	30
4.10 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on canopy openness between different habitat landscapes	31
4.11 Analysis of variance for Canopy Cover	32
4.12 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on canopy cover between different habitat landscapes	33
4.13 Analysis of variance for Vegetation Cover	34
4.14 Post-Hoc Tukey Test of vegetation cover between different habitat landscapes	34
4.15 Analysis of variance for Vegetation Height	35
4.16 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on vegetation height between different habitat landscapes	36
4.17 Analysis of variance for Tree Height	37
4.18 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on tree height between different habitat landscapes	37
4.19 Analysis of variance for Tree Density	38
4.20 Post-Hoc Tukey Test on tree density between different habitat landscapes	39
4.21 Analysis of variance for Relative Humidity	40
4.22 Post-Hoc Tukey Test of relative humidity between different habitat landscapes	41
4.23 Analysis of variance for Temperature	42
4.24 Analysis of variance for Proximity to Forest	43
4.25 Post-Hoc Tukey Test of proximity to forest between different habitat landscapes	43

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	PAGES
2.1 Total Production of Oil Palm in thousand metric tonnes	7
2.2 Malaysia's Natural Rubber Production from 2002 until 2018	9
2.3 Malaysia's Natural Rubber Export from 2002 until 2018	9
2.4 Difference between adult Zygoptera and adult Anisoptera	11
2.5 The Complex Life Cycle of Odonata	12
3.1 Map of Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri	15
3.2 Three different agricultural landscapes were selected for the study	15
3.3 Data collection of 15 sampling point using systematic sampling with randomized start point	16
3.4 Data collection of 15 sampling point using systematic sampling with randomized start point	17
3.5 Illustration of the sampling points with distance between each point was >100 meter	17
4.1 Boxplot showing Odonata abundance between different habitat landscapes	21
4.2 Linear Regression of Odonata abundance with Environmental Variables	23
4.3 Boxplot showing Odonata species richness between different habitat landscapes	25
4.4 Linear Regression of Odonata species richness with Environmental Variables	28
4.5 Boxplot of canopy openness between different habitat landscapes	31
4.6 Boxplot of canopy cover between different habitat landscapes	33
4.7 Boxplot of vegetation cover between different habitat landscapes	35
4.8 Boxplot of vegetation height between different habitat landscapes	36
4.9 Boxplot of tree height between different habitat landscapes	38
4.10 Boxplot of tree density between different habitat landscapes	39
4.11 Boxplot of relative humidity between different habitat landscapes	41
4.12 Boxplot of temperature between different habitat landscapes	42
4.13 Boxplot of proximity to forest between different habitat landscapes	44

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CR	Chloroprene Rubber
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
HA	Hectare
HSD	Honestly Significant Difference
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
KKK	Kuala Lumpur Kepong Berhad Company
PNG	Papua New Guinea
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
U.S.A	United States of America



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Habitat degradation is among the major cause of rapid biodiversity decline. Land use conversion from forest areas into agricultural land has increased in the past decade to meet global economic and society demand for various agricultural products (FAO, 2016). These land use changes has dramatically changed the landscape composition, structure and function affecting overall biodiversity specifically insects (Matson et al., 1997; Tilman & Polasky, 2002; Butchart et al., 2010). Due to land use change effects on biodiversity and ecosystem services, maintaining landscape heterogeneity in agricultural expansion area is important for conservation effort. Intensive agriculture practise such as monoculture has greater threat on overall biodiversity due to massive land clearing (Asmah et al., 2017).

Biodiversity decline can be measured based on the loss of individual species, species abundance or decrease in species richness. Urbanisation and agriculture expansion is identified as a main threat to 85% of all species described in the IUCN Red List (Craig & David, 2000). Specifically, agriculture expansion has led to an overall approximately 80% of deforestation worldwide (FAO, 2016). In developing regions, natural forest cover has declined from 31.6% to 30.6% between 1990 and 2015 (FAO, 2018). Both terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity are influenced by agricultural expansions due to the wide application of chemical fertilizers and pesticides,

tillage and crop rotation (Tilman, 1999; Beringer, 2000; Tilman et al., 2002; Ross et al., 2002).

Changes in land characteristics from natural forest into agricultural landscape are affecting dragonflies and damselflies (Insecta: Odonata) composition and distribution (Luke et al., 2017). Odonata life cycle require both aquatic and terrestrial landscapes making them more sensitive to environmental disturbance. Due to their sensitivity to environmental disturbance, dragonflies and damselflies can become potential bioindicator to address biodiversity loss within an ecosystem. Previous studies have addressed environmental changes in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem using Odonata and highlight them as an effective bioindicators (Carvalho et al., 2013; Monteiro-Júnior et al., 2013; Oliveira Junior et al., 2015). Thus, Odonata can become a suitable tool to assess biodiversity friendly management in different agricultural management.

The present study aims to determine how different agricultural landscape (monoculture and polyculture) can influence Odonata species richness and abundance. The present study was conducted in Negeri Sembilan (Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri, Pedas) that comprised of different agricultural landscapes with monoculture (oil palm and rubber plantation) and polyculture (orchard plantations).

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Odonata response towards habitat degradation may vary with species (Luke et al., 2017). There are Odonata species that can adapted to environmental disturbance. For example, Odonata species such as *Neurothemis fluctuans* is well adapted to human modified landscapes thus provide indicator for disturbed habitat (Norma-Rashid et al., 2001). While species such as *Heliocypha biforata* only lives in forest can become indicator for undisturbed habitat (Ahmad & Husna, 2014). Different agricultural landscape between monoculture and polyculture practise may harbour different Odonata community due to different vegetation structure and environmental conditions. Thus, the present study is important to highlight key habitat characteristics in agricultural landscape that can provide refuge for diverse Odonata community.

## 1.3 Justification

Odonata provide suitable model organism to assess habitat degradation due to its sensitivity to environmental disturbance. However, there is a lack of information on how habitat degradation can influence Odonata abundance and species richness. The information on the impacts of agricultural management between monoculture and polyculture may have different results on Odonata abundance and diversity depending on vegetation structure and microclimate conditions.

#### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The main objective of this study is to assess changes in Odonata community between different agricultural landscapes. The specific objective are to (i) compare Odonata abundance and species richness between different agricultural landscapes, (ii) determine the relationship between microclimatic conditions and vegetation structure on Odonata assemblages and (iii) identify Odonata community that well represent each agricultural landscape (orchard, oil palm and rubber plantations).

#### **1.5 Research Questions**

The following research questions are used to determine the effects of different agricultural landscape on Odonata community; (i) is there any difference between Odonata abundance and species richness between mono- and polyculture agricultural management?, (ii) can Odonata become potential bioindicator to reflect different agricultural management between mono- and polyculture systems?



## REFERENCES

- Abdul, N., Rawi, C., Ahmad, A., & Al-Shami, S. (2017). Effect of environmental disturbances on Odonata assemblages along a tropical polluted river. *Ekologia Bratislava*, 36(4), 388-402.
- Ahmad, A., & Husna, M. I. (2014). Odonata (Class Insecta) of Sungkai Wildlife Reserve, Perak, Malaysia. *Journal of Wildlife and Parks*, 29, 23-30.
- Asmah, S., Ghazali, A., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M., Peng, T., Norhisham, A., et al. (2017). Effects of polyculture and monoculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on tropical fruit-feeding butterfly diversity. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 19(1), 70-80.
- Balzan, M. V. (2013). Associations of dragonflies (Odonata) to habitat variables within the Maltese Islands: A spatio-temporal approach. *Journal of Insect Science*, 12(87), 1-18.
- Beringer, J. (2000). Releasing genetically modified organisms: will any harm outweigh any advantage? *Journal of Applied Ecology*(37), 207-214.
- Butchart, S. H., Walpole, M., Collen, B., van Strien, A., Scharlemann, J. P., Almond, R. E., et al. (2010). Global biodiversity: Indicators of recent declines. *Science*, 328(5982), 1164-1168.
- Carvalho, F. G., Pinto, N. S., Oliveira-Junior, J., & Juen, L. (2013). Effects of marginal vegetation removal on Odonata communities. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 25(1), 1-9.
- Chen, J., Sun, B. M., Chen, D., Wu, X., Guo, L. Z., & Wang, G. (2014). Land use changes and their effects on the value of ecosystem services in the small Sanjiang plain in China. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 1-7.
- Colchester, M., & Sophie, C. (2011). Oil Palm Expansion in South East Asia: an overview. In M. Colchester, S. Chao, J. Dallinger, H. Sokhannaro, V. T. Dan, & J. Villanueva, *Oil Palm Expansion in South East Asia Trends and implications for local communities and indigenous peoples* (pp. 1-23). Bogor: Forest Peoples Programme and SawitWatch.
- Craig, H. T., & David, B. (2000). *2000 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. United Kingdom: IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge.
- Dallinger, J. (2011). 1. Oil Palm Development in Thailand: Economic, Social and Environmental Considerations. In M. Colchester, S. Chao, J. Dallinger, H. Sokhannaro, V. T. Dan, & J. Villanueva, *Oil Palm Expansion in South East*

*Asia: trends and implications for local communities and indigenous peoples* (pp. 24-51). Thailand: Forest Peoples Programme and SawitWatch.

Dettman, C., & Mabry, C. (2010). Odonata richness and abundance in relation to vegetation structure in restored and native wetlands of the Prairie Pothole Region, USA. *Ecological Restoration*, 28(4), 475-484.

*Early 20th Century Rubber Development*. (2016). Retrieved October 24, 2018, from Economic History Malaya: <https://www.ehm.my/publications/articles/about-rubber>

Elings, J., Kirimbo, G., Li, X., Mandal, P., Schelt, T. V., & Villa, J. (2017). *Enhancing biodiversity in traditional fruit orchards*. Netherlands: Wageningen University.

Essays, U. (2013). *This history and future of the Malaysian rubber industry*. Retrieved April 12, 2019, from <https://www.ukessays.com/essays/economics/this-history-and-future-of-the-malaysian-rubber-industry-economics-essay.php#citethis>

Essays, U. (2018). *This history and future of the Malaysian rubber industry*. Retrieved April 12, 2019, from <https://www.ukessays.com/essays/economics/this-history-and-future-of-the-malaysian-rubber-industry-economics-essay.php?vref=1>

FAO. (2016). *State of the World's Forests 2016*. Rome: Forests and agriculture: land-use challenges and opportunities.

FAO. (2018). *The State of the World's Forests 2018*. Rome: Forest pathways to sustainable development.

Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M., Aziz, N., Tan, L. P., et al. (2016). Effects of monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on terrestrial arthropod diversity. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 19(2), 415-421.

Hays, J. (2015). *Rubber in Malaysia*. Retrieved October 29, 2018, from Facts and Details: [http://factsanddetails.com/southeast-asia/Malaysia/sub5\\_4e/entry-3702.html#](http://factsanddetails.com/southeast-asia/Malaysia/sub5_4e/entry-3702.html#)

Heimbuch, J. (2015). *How to tell the difference between a dragonfly and a damselfly*. Retrieved November 2, 2018, from Mother Nature Network: <https://www.mnn.com/earth-matters/animals/blogs/how-tell-difference-between-dragonfly-and-damselfly>

Henry, E. R., Rivera, J. A., Linkem, C. N., Scales, J. A., & Butler, M. A. (2018). Damselflies that prefer dark habitats illustrates the importance of light

as an ecological resource. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 123(1), 144-154.

Horak, J., Peltanova, A., Podavkova, A., Safarova, L., Bogusch, P., Romportl, D., et al. (2013). Biodiversity responses to land use in traditional fruit orchards of a rural agricultural landscape. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 178, 71-77.

IndexMundi. (2019). *Palm Oil Production by Country in 1000 MT*. Retrieved April 14, 2019, from <https://www.indexmundi.com/agriculture/?commodity=palm-oil>

ISTA Mielke GmbH. (2010). *Study on the Market Potential for Sustainable Palm Oil Produced in Thailand*. GTZ in Eschborn Germany.

Jomo, K. S., Chang, Y., & Khoo, K. (2004). *Deforesting Malaysia: The Political Economy and Social Ecology of Agricultural Expansion and Commercial Logging*. London: Zed Books.

Juen, L., Oliveira-Junior, J., Shimano, Y., Mendes, T., & Cabette, H. (2014). Composição e riqueza de Odonata (Insecta) em riachos com diferentes níveis de conservação em um ecótono Cerrado-Floresta Amazônica. *Acta Amazonica*, 44(2), 223-233.

Julia, J., & Amirrudin, A. (2006). Diversity and distribution of dragonflies (insecta: odonata) in Sekayu Recreational Forest, Terengganu. *Sustainability Science and Management*, 1(2), 97-106.

Kalkman, V., Clausnitzer, A., Dijkstra, K.-D. B., Orr, A. G., Paulson, D. R., & Tol, J. v. (2008). Global diversity of dragonflies (Odonata) in freshwater. *Freshwater Animal Diversity Assessment*, 595, 351-363.

Kulijer, D. (2016). *Odonata as indicator species of freshwater ecosystem health*. Retrieved November 21, 2018, from [http://mio-ecsde.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Kulijer\\_Odonata.pdf](http://mio-ecsde.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Kulijer_Odonata.pdf)

Kutcher, T. E., & Bried, J. T. (2014). Adult odonata conservatism as an indicator of freshwater wetland condition. *Ecological Indicators*, 38, 31-39.

Lembaga Getah Malaysia. (2018). *Natural Rubber Statistics 2018*. Malaysia: Lembaga Getah Malaysia.

Lenize, B. C., Denis, S. N., Luciano, F. d., Maria, A. L., & Leandro, J. (2016). Are odonata communities impacted by conventional or reduced impact logging? *Forest Ecology and Management*, 382, 143-150.

Luke, Dow, R. A., Butler, S., Khen, C. V., Aldridge, D. C., Foster, W. A., et al. (2017). The impacts of habitat disturbance on adult and larval dragonflies

(Odonata) in rainforest streams in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Freshwater Biology*, 62(3), 491-506.

Malaysian Palm Oil Board. (2018). *Oil Palm Planted Area 2018*. Retrieved April 23, 2019, from Economics & Industry Development Division: <http://bepi.mpob.gov.my/index.php/en/statistics/area/189-area-2018.html>

Matson, P. A., Parton, W. J., Power, A. G., & Swift, M. J. (1997). Agricultural intensification and ecosystem properties. *Science*, 277(5325), 504-509.

May, M. L. (1976). Thermoregulation and adaptation to temperature in dragonflies (Odonata:Anisoptera). *Ecological Monographs*, 46(1), 1-32.

Monteiro-Júnior, C., Couceiro, S., Hamada, N., & Juen, L. (2013). Effect of vegetation removal for road building on richness and composition of Odonata communities in Amazonia, Brazil. *International Journal of Odonatology*, 16(2), 135-144.

Norma-Rashid, Y., Mohd-Sofian, A., & Zakaria-Ismail, M. (2001). Diversity and distribution of odonata (dragonflies and damselflies) in the fresh water swamp lake Tasek Bera, Malaysia. *Hydrobiologia*, 459, 135-146.

Oliveira Junior, J. S., Gardner, T., Hughes, R., Marco Júnior, P., & Juen, L. (2015). Neotropical dragonflies (Insecta: Odonata) as indicators of ecological condition of small streams in the eastern Amazon. *Austral Ecology*, 40(6), 733-744.

Orr, A. G. (2003). *A Guide To The Dragonflies of Borneo: Their Identification And Biology*. Kota Kinabalu: Natural History Publications (Borneo).

Orr, A. G. (2006). Odonata in Bornean Tropical Rain Forest Formations : Diversity, Endemicity and Implications for Conservation Management. In W. D. Association, *Forests and Dragonflies* (pp. 51-78). Spain: Pensoft.

Paulson, D. (2005). The Importance of Forests to Neotropical Dragonflies. In W. D. Association, *Forests and Dragonflies* (pp. 79-101). Spain: Pensoft.

Ross, K., Fox, B., & Fox, M. (2002). Changes to plant species richness in forest fragments: fragment age, disturbance and fire history may be as important as area. *Journal of Biogeography*, 29, 749-765.

Samways, M., & Osborn, R. (1996). Determinants of adult dragonfly assemblage patterns at new ponds in South Africa. *Odonatologica*, 25(1), 49-58.

Scales, J., Rivera, J., Butler, M., Linkem, C., & Henry, E. (2017). Damselflies that prefer dark habitats illustrate the importance of light as an ecological resource. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 123(1), 144-154.

Shaw, R. (2010). *Rubber Plantation in Malaysia*. Retrieved April 30, 2019, from SCRIBD: <https://www.scribd.com/doc/44125674/Rubber-Plantation-In-Malaysia>

Silva, D. d., Marco, P. D., & Resende, C. D. (2010). Adult odonate abundance and community assemblage measures as indicators of stream ecological integrity: A case study. *Ecological Indicators*, 10(3), 744-752.

Simberloff, D., & Abele, L. G. (1982). Refuge design and island biogeographic theory: effects of fragmentation. *The American Naturalist*, 120(1), 41-50.

Stoks, R., & Aguilar, A. C. (2012). Evolutionary ecology of Odonata: A complex life cycle perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 57(1), 249-265.

Tennessee, K. (2009). Chapter 185 - Odonata: Dragonflies, Damselflies. In H. R. Vincent, & T. Ring, *Encyclopedia of Insects* (pp. 721-729). California: Academic Press.

*The Dragonfly Life Cycle*. (n.d.). Retrieved November 27, 2018, from dragonfly-site.com: <https://www.dragonfly-site.com/dragonfly-life-cycle.html>

Thomas, E. K., & Jason, T. B. (2014). Adult Odonata conservatism as an indicator of freshwater wetland condition. *Ecological Indicators*, 38, 31-39.

Tilman, D. (1999). Global environmental impacts of agricultural expansion: The need for sustainable. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 96(11), 5995-6000.

Tilman, D., Cassman, K., Matson, P., Naylor, R., & Polasky, S. (2002). Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature*, 418, 671-677.

Uzir, D. S. (2018). *Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2018*. Retrieved April 30, 2019, from Department of Statistics Malaysia: [https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=72&bul\\_id=UjYxeDNkZ0xOUjhFeHpna20wUUJOUT09&menu\\_id=Z0VTZGU1UHBU T1VJMFpaXRRR0xpdz09](https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=72&bul_id=UjYxeDNkZ0xOUjhFeHpna20wUUJOUT09&menu_id=Z0VTZGU1UHBU T1VJMFpaXRRR0xpdz09)

Vermeulen, S., & Goad, N. (2006). *Towards Better Practice in Smallholder Palm Oil Production*. London: IIED.

Vijay, V., Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., & Smith, S. J. (2016). The impacts of oil palm on recent deforestation and biodiversity loss. *Plos One*, 11(7).



Ward, L., & Mill, P. J. (2005). Habitat factors influencing the presence of adult *Calopteryx splendens* (Odonata: Zygoptera). *European Journal of Entomology*, 102, 47-51.

Williams, R. (2017). *Why Are Dragonflies Important?* Retrieved November 21, 2018, from Sciencing: <https://sciencing.com/dragonflies-important-10068965.html>

Yapac, L. A., Villanueva, R. T., & Nuneza, O. M. (2016). Species richness of odonata in the agricultural area of Sultan Naga Dimaporo, Lanao del Norte, Philippines. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*, 5(3), 60-67.

