



***ASSESSING THE INFLUENCE OF MICROCLIMATE AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURE ON HYMENOPTERA DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE***

NURUL IFFAH NADHIRAH BINTI AZLAN

FH 2019 45

**ASSESSING THE INFLUENCE OF MICROCLIMATE AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURE ON HYMENOPTERA DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE**

NURUL IFFAH NADHIRAH BINTI AZLAN

**FACULTY OF FORESTRY
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2019

**ASSESSING THE INFLUENCE OF MICROCLIMATE AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURE ON HYMENOPTERA DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE**

By

NURUL IFFAH NADHIRAH BINTI AZLAN

**A Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for
the degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
2019**

DEDICATION

Thanks and Praise to Allah S.W.T for giving me better life and these chances.

Dedicate this thesis to:

My beloved family:

Azlan Bin Arshad, Norizah Binti Abdul Hamid

And also my siblings have always been my priority in life.

My supportive friends,

Thank you for all your encouragements, supports
and the sacrifices that all of you have given.

My great supervisor,

Dr Norhisham Razi

Who always support and guide me to complete this study.

Last but not least,

Zarulnizam Asyraf Bin Zamran

Who has always encouraged, helped and support me to conduct this research
and my study.

Thank you for everything. May Allah bless all of us

ABSTRACT

Hymenoptera is beneficial for ecosystem services such as natural predation and pollination. Due to high demand in agriculture, massive forest land has been converted into monoculture plantations affecting Hymenoptera assemblages. Moreover, different habitat quality characteristics due to land use changes influence Hymenoptera biodiversity. Thus, this study was conducted to determine Hymenoptera diversity and abundance in different habitat landscapes between polyculture (orchard) and monoculture system (oil palm and rubber plantation) and to investigate the influence of microclimate condition and vegetation structure on Hymenoptera assemblages. This study was conducted at Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri located in Pedas, Negeri Sembilan. Hymenoptera sampling was carried out using yellow pan trap in 30 sampling points with 100 meters distance between points in each plantation area (a total of 90 sampling points). Environmental data was collected vegetation structure and microclimate was collected during solar noon. Overall, 440 Hymenoptera individuals belonging to 7 families (Vespidae, Sphecidae, Crabonidae, Megachilidae, Pompilidae, Braconidae and Ichneumonidae) were collected. Hymenoptera family and abundance were higher in rubber plantations (7 family, 232 individuals) compared to oil palm plantations (6 family, 44 individuals) and orchards (5 family, 164 individuals). Hymenoptera family were dominated by Ichneumonidae in rubber plantations and orchards, whereas oil palm dominated by Braconidae family. These result provide clear evidence that landscapes surrounding rubber plantation with high vegetation structure affects the abundance and number of Hymenoptera family. Hence, vegetation structure plays an important role to maintain social bees and wasps abundance.

ABSTRAK

Hymenoptera bermanfaat untuk perkhidmatan ekosistem seperti pemangsa semula jadi dan pendebungaan. Oleh kerana permintaan tinggi dalam bidang pertanian, tanah hutan secara besar-besaran telah diubah menjadi ladang-ladang monokultur yang mempengaruhi perkumpulan Hymenoptera. Lebih-lebih lagi, ciri-ciri kualiti habitat yang berlainan akibat perubahan penggunaan tanah mempengaruhi biodiversiti Hymenoptera serta mengkaji pengaruh cuaca mikro dan struktur tumbuhan terhadap perkumpulan Hymenoptera. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk menentukan kepelbagaian dan kelimpahan Hymenoptera dalam landskap habitat yang berlainan antara polikultur (dusun) dan sistem monokultur (ladang kelapa sawit dan getah). Kajian ini dijalankan di Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu dan Kampung Batang Sepri yang terletak di Pedas, Negeri Sembilan. Pensampelan Hymenoptera dijalankan menggunakan perangkap dulang kuning dalam 30 titik pensampelan dengan jarak 100 meter antara titik di setiap kawasan perladangan (sejumlah 90 titik pensampelan). Data habitat iaitu struktur tumbuhan dan cuaca mikro yang diambil pada waktu tengah hari. Secara keseluruhannya, 440 individu Hymenoptera yang terdiri daripada 7 keluarga (Vespidae, Sphecidae, Crabonidae, Megachilidae, Pompilidae, Braconidae dan Ichneumonidae) dikumpulkan. Keluarga dan kelebihan Hymenoptera lebih tinggi di ladang getah (7 keluarga, 232 individu) berbanding ladang kelapa sawit (6 keluarga, 44 individu) dan kebun (5 keluarga, 164 individu). Keluarga Hymenoptera didominasi oleh Ichneumonidae dalam ladang getah dan dusun, sedangkan kelapa sawit didominasi oleh keluarga Braconidae. Hasil ini memberikan keterangan yang jelas bahawa kelainan lanskap sekitar ladang getah dengan struktur tumbuhan yang tinggi mempengaruhi kelimpahan dan kekayaan spesies keluarga Hymenoptera. Oleh itu, struktur tumbuh-tumbuhan memainkan peranan penting untuk mengekalkan kelimpahan Hymenoptera.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah and thanks to Allah S.W.T with all His Gracious and His Merciful for giving me strength and the ability to accomplish this project successfully. I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Norhisham Razi for his support, patient and excellent advice. He is a wise person who always give a guide to all his student. My sincere is also extended to Dr. Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif for his relentless comments, opinions and guidance.

My greatest appreciation also goes to the people that have been involved directly or indirectly throughout two month data collection in Kampung Ulu Sepri, Kampung Empang Batu and Kampung Batang Sepri, the residents of Kampung Sungai Lalah, Negeri Sembilan for being very warm and welcoming from the beginning.

Finally, to my beloved family, special thanks are given to them. Appreciation and gratitude are also expressed to my friends and colleagues for their help and constructive suggestion through this study, especially to Intan Farha Shamim, Sathiyarubini, Nor Afifah Yahya, Lijan John, Muhammad Mizan and many others. Last but not least, for those I did not mentioned their names, I wish to express my special thanks for their helps in one way or another during this project

APPROVAL SHEET

I certify that this research project report entitled “Assessing the Influence of Microclimate and Vegetation Structure on Hymenoptera Diversity and Abundance” by Nurul Iffah Nadhirah Binti Azlan has been examined and approved as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Forestry Science in the Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Approved by:

Dr. Norhisham Razi
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Supervisor)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Zakaria Bin Hussin
Dean
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 June 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
APPROVAL SHEET	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Justification	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Order Hymenoptera	5
2.1.1 Life history and habitat	6
2.2 Oil Palm Plantation Expansion	7
2.3 Rubber Plantation	8
2.4 Orchard	9
3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Study sites	10
3.2 Data Collection	11
3.3 Data Analysis	14
4 RESULTS	
4.1 Summary	15
4.2 Hymenoptera Abundance between Different Habitat Landscapes	17
4.2.1 Post Hoc Tukey Test on Hymenoptera Abundance	17
4.2.2 The Relationship between Hymenoptera Abundance and Variables Environmental	19
4.3 Comparison in Family Richness between Different Habitat Landscapes	28
4.3.1 Post Hoc Tukey Test on number of Hymenoptera family	28
4.3.2 The Relationship between Number of Hymenoptera Family Environmental Variables	30
4.4 Habitat Quality Measurement between Habitat	39

	Landscapes	
4.4.1	Canopy Openness between Different Habitat Landscapes	41
4.4.2	Canopy Closure between Different Habitat Landscapes	42
4.4.3	Vegetation Cover between Different Habitat Landscapes	44
4.4.4	Vegetation Height between Different Habitat Landscapes	45
4.4.5	Tree Height between Different Habitat Landscapes	47
4.4.6	Relative Humidity between Different Habitat Landscapes	48
4.4.7	Temperature between Different Habitat Landscapes	50
4.4.8	Proximity to Forest between Different Habitat Landscapes	51
5	DISCUSSIONS	
5.1	Relationship Hymenoptera Abundance and Family Richness and Agriculture landscapes	53
5.2	Effects of environmental factors on Hymenoptera Abundance family richness	55
5.2.1	Effects of canopy openness and canopy closure on Hymenoptera abundance and family richness	55
5.2.2	Effects of temperature and relative Humidity on Hymenoptera abundance and family richness	56
5.2.3	Effect of proximity to the forest on Hymenoptera abundance and family richness	56
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Conclusion	58
6.2	Recommendations	58
	REFERENCES	59
	APPENDICES	63

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Abundance and number of families for bees and wasps	15
4.2 Mean number of social bees and wasps individuals based on families between different agricultural landscapes	16
4.3 Analysis of variance for Hymenoptera Abundance between agriculture landscapes	17
4.4 Post Hoc Tukey Test on Hymenoptera abundance between different habitat landscapes	17
4.5 Analysis of variance for Hymenoptera species richness	28
4.6 Post Hoc Tukey Test on Hymenoptera species richness between different habitat landscapes	29
4.7 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Oil Palm Plantation	39
4.8 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Rubber Plantation	40
4.9 Summary statistic of habitat quality in Orchard	40
4.10 Analysis of variance for Canopy Openness	41
4.11 Post Hoc Tukey Test on canopy openness between different habitat landscapes	41
4.12 Analysis of variance for Canopy Closure	42
4.13 Post Hoc Tukey Test on canopy Closure between different habitat landscapes	43
4.14 Analysis of variance for Vegetation Cover	44
4.15 Post Hoc Tukey Test of vegetation cover between different habitat landscapes	44
4.16 Analysis of variance for Vegetation Height	45
4.17 Post Hoc Tukey Test on vegetation height between different habitat landscapes	46
4.18 Analysis of variance for Tree Height	47
4.19 Post Hoc Tukey Test on tree height between different habitat landscapes	47
4.20 Analysis of variance for Relative Humidity	48
4.21 Post Hoc Tukey Test on relative humidity between different habitat landscapes	49
4.22 Analysis of variance for Temperature	50
4.23 Post Hoc Tukey Test of temperature between different habitat landscapes	50
4.24 Analysis of variance for Proximity to Forest	51
4.25 Post Hoc Tukey Test of proximity to forest between different habitat landscapes	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Types of Hymenoptera	5
2.2 Rubber plantation area in the world in thousand hectares, and percentage of the total planted area in the world in the year 2004	9
3.1 Map of Kampung Ulu Sepri and Kampung Empang Batu	10
3.2 Three different agricultural landscapes were selected for study sites; oil palm and rubber plantation (monoculture) and orchard (polyculture).	11
3.3 Yellow pan trap set up at site	12
3.4 Data collection of 30 sampling point in each agricultural	12
3.5 Sampling point for each agriculture landscapes	13
3.6 Illustration of the sampling point with distance between each point	13
4.1 Boxplot of social bees and wasps abundance between different agricultural landscapes	18
4.2 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with canopy openness	19
4.3 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with canopy closure	20
4.4 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with vegetation cover	21
4.5 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with vegetation height	22
4.6 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with relative humidity	23
4.7 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with air temperature	24
4.8 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with tree height	25
4.9 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with tree density	26
4.10 Linear Regression of Hymenoptera abundance with proximity to forest	27
4.11 Boxplot of Hymenoptera species richness between different agricultural landscapes	29
4.12 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with canopy openness	30
4.13 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with canopy closure	31
4.14 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with vegetation cover	32
4.15 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with vegetation height	33
4.16 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with relative humidity	34
4.17 Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with air temperature	35

4.18	Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with tree height	36
4.19	Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with tree density	37
4.20	Linear Regression of number of Hymenoptera family with proximity to forest	38
4.21	Boxplot of canopy openness between different habitat landscapes	42
4.22	Boxplot of canopy closure between different habitat landscapes	43
4.23	Boxplot of vegetation cover between different habitat landscapes	45
4.24	Boxplot of vegetation height between different habitat landscapes	46
4.25	Boxplot of tree height between different habitat landscapes	48
4.26	Boxplot of relative humidity between different habitat landscapes	50
4.27	Boxplot of temperature between different habitat landscapes	52
4.28	Boxplot of proximity to forest between different habitat landscapes	53

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
HSD	Honestly Significant Difference



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Insects are among the most successful terrestrial arthropods that have survive major global challenges such as forest loss and environmental degradation (Jankielsohn, 2018). Other than being one of diverse taxon worldwide, insects also contribute to major ecological functions such as natural predation, predation and decomposition (Schuldt, 2011). Beneficial insects such as social bees and wasps (Insecta: Hymenoptera) regulate ecosystem services through pollination and natural regulation of plant pests (Ndakidemi *et al.*, 2016). Their importance as beneficial insects have been highlighted in natural forest and human dominated landscapes such as agricultural land that help promote plant health (Jones & Snyder, 2018).

Among the major function of beneficial insects is pollination (Ndakidemi *et al.*, 2016). Most insects forage flowering plants to obtain plant-provided food (nectar, pollen). Throughout this insect-plant relationship, flower-visiting insects can transfer male gametes which are contained in pollen to the female gametes while foraging, resulting in pollination. Honey bees (Apidea) is responsible for pollination services in majority of crops, while *non-Apis* bees are also important pollinators of crops, especially for crops in which honey bees are inefficient to pollinate (Getanjaly *et al.*, 2015).

Other than pollination, insects also provide natural predation that predate and feed on other insects, particularly insect pests. Their important function as natural predators or often refer as natural enemies contribute to natural pests' regulation land biological control (Shelton, n.d.).

Growing demands on agricultural land for food, fibre, and fuel are predicted to rapidly increase in coming decades with continued population growth (Bommarco *et al.*, 2013). Human population growth together with competitive land use causes land scarcity, conversion of wild lands to agriculture and other uses. Human influence on the land and other natural resources is accelerating because of rapid population growth and increasing food requirements (Kanianska, 2016).

The major constraints of food production and security nowadays are combating insect pest and climate change (FAO, 2016). Land use changes for agricultural food production affect large parts of terrestrial area, where contribution to biodiversity is relatively low (Jankielsohn, 2018). However, maintaining ecosystem services for biodiversity conservation specifically insects may promote sustainable forestry and agricultural production (FAO, 2016). Moreover, in most terrestrial ecosystems, insects play key ecological roles in diverse ecological processes such as nutrient cycling, seed dispersal, bioturbation, pollination, and pest control (Nichols *et al.*, 2008).

1.2 Problem Statement

In Malaysia, agricultural landscapes are mostly represented by monoculture and polyculture systems which are majorly consist of oil palm plantation, rubber plantation and orchard. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2010) estimates that in developing countries alone at least 13 million hectares of forest are lost to agricultural land each year. Westerkamp and Gottberge (2000) explained that natural pollination and predation of crops supported by landscape heterogeneity and surrounding natural habitats support greater insect biodiversity. Thus, different types of agricultural management system such as monoculture and polyculture may support different pollinators and insect predators. In addition, Patricio-Roberto and Campos (2014) reported that major decline of pollinator population is a consequence of landscape changes to agriculture. This indicate that less Hymenopterans community in pure agriculture landscape represent lower crop production and pest outbreak due to less natural pollination and predation.

1.3 Justification

Hymenoptera provide essential role for ecosystem services (pollination and predation) where greater Hymenopterans diversity support sustainable agroforestry system. Hymenoptera (social bees and wasps) are the most valuable pollinators and natural predator in agriculture and forestry landscape (Rader *et al.*, 2015). The present study is important to highlight Hymenopterans community in different agricultural landscape and their potential as bio-indicator for biodiversity friendly management due to their sensitivity towards

agrochemical applications. According to Steffan-Dewenter et al. 2002 stated that habitat diversity effects the hymenoptera abundance because different habitat provides different food source, nest sites and nest materials for Hymenoptera family.

1.4 Research Objectives

The main objective of this study was to measure Hymenoptera diversity and abundance between habitat quality different agriculture landscapes (orchard, oil palm and rubber plantation). The specific objective were to; (i) determine Hymenoptera diversity between different habitat quality of monoculture and polyculture systems and (ii) investigate the influence of microclimate condition and vegetation structure on Hymenoptera assemblages.

1.5 Research Questions

The following research questions were used for the study to determine how different agricultural landscape influence Hymenoptera diversity; (i) do different agriculture management influence Hymenoptera diversity and abundance? (ii) what are the key variables of habitat quality (microclimatic condition, vegetation structure) that influence hymenoptera assemblages?

REFERENCES

- Alam, A. S. A. F., Er, A. C., & Begum, H. (2015). Malaysian oil palm industry: Prospect and problem. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 13(13), 143-148.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D., Wood, J., Fischer, J., & Zakaria, M. (2014). Ecological impacts of oil palm agriculture on forest mammals in plantation estates and smallholdings. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 23(5), 10.
- Bashir, M. A., Saeed, S., Sajjad, A., Khan, K. A., Ghramh, H. A., Shehzad, M. A., & Ansari, M. J. (2018). Insect pollinator diversity in four forested ecosystems of southern Punjab, Pakistan. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. DOI: [10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.02.007).
- Budiaman, A. (2017). Short Communication: Effects of felling intensity on Hymenoptera biodiversity in a natural production forest in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity*, 18(3), 990–995.
- Casale, T. B., & Burks, A. W. (2014). Hymenoptera-Sting Hypersensitivity. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(15), 1432–1439.
- Culliney, T. W. (2013). Role of arthropods in maintaining soil fertility. *Agriculture*, 3, 629-659.
- FAO. (2016). The State of Food and Agriculture 2016 (SOFA): Climate change, agriculture and food security. In *Livestock in the Balance*, 5, 1-173.
- Getanjaly, Vijay, L. R., Preeti, S. & Ranjit, K. (2015). Beneficial Insects and their Value to Agriculture. *Agriculture and Forestry Sciences*, 3(5), 25-30.
- Jankielsohn, A. (2018). The Importance of Insects in Agricultural Ecosystems. *Advances in Entomology*, 06(02), 62–73.
- Jones, M. & Snyder, W. (2018). Beneficial Insects in Agriculture: Enhancement of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. DOI: 10.1002/9781118945582.ch5.
- Kevan, P. (1999). Pollinators as bioindicators of the state of the environment: Species, activity and diversity. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 74. 373-393.
- Loyola, R. D., & Martins, R. P. (2008). Habitat structure components are effective predictors of trap-nesting Hymenoptera diversity. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 9(6), 735–742.
- Nail, C. (2011). Environmental Factors Influencing Foraging Activity in the Social Wasp *Polybia paulista* (Hymenoptera: Vespidae: Epiponini). *Psyche*, 2011, 542487, 8.

Ndakidemi, B., Mtei, K., & Ndakidemi, P. A. (2016). The Potential of Common Beneficial Insects and Strategies for Maintaining Them in Bean Fields of Sub Saharan Africa. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 07(03), 425–436.

Nichols, L., Spector, S., Louzada, J., Larsen, T., Amézquita, S., & Favila, M. (2008). Ecological functions and ecosystem services provided by Scarabaeinae dung beetles. *Biological Conservation*, 141, 1461-1474.

Kasper, M. L., Reeson, A. F., Mackay, D. A. & Austin, A. D. (2008). Environmental factors influencing daily foraging activity of *Vespula germanica* (Hymenoptera, Vespidae) in Mediterranean Australia, *Insectes Sociaux*, 55, 3, 288–295.

Mohd Fahmi A. (2015). Land Use and the Oil Palm Industry in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 50, 1469–1484.

Murray, T. E., Kuhlmann, M., & Potts, S. G. (2009). Conservation ecology of bees: populations, species and communities. *Apidologie*, 40(3), 211–236.

Otieno, M., Woodcock, B. A., Wilby, A., Vogiatzakis, I. N., Mauchline, A. L., Gikungu, M. W., & Potts, S. G. (2011). Local management and landscape drivers of pollination and biological control services in a Kenyan agro-ecosystem. *Biological Conservation*, 144(10), 2424–2431.

Patrício-Roberto, G. B., & Campos, M. J. O. (2014). Aspects of landscape and pollinators-what is important to bee conservation? *Diversity*, 6(1), 158–175.

Peters, R., Krogmann, L., Mayer, C., & et. al. (2017). Evolutionary History of the Hymenoptera. *Current Biology*, 27, 1013–1018.

Rader, R., Bartomeus, I., Garibaldi, L. A., Garratt, M. P., Howlett, B. G., Winfree, R., & et. al. (2015). Non-bee insects are important contributors to global crop pollination. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(1), 146–151.

Radoslava, K. (2016). Agriculture and Its Impact on Land-Use, Environment, and Ecosystem Services, Landscape Ecology - The Influences of Land Use and Anthropogenic Impacts of Landscape Creation, Amjad Almusaed, IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/63719.

Rai, V. L., Sharma, P., & Kushwaha, R. (2015). Beneficial Insects and their Value to Agriculture. *Agriculture and Forestry Sciences*, 3(5), 25–30.

Razak, J. A., Shariff, A. R., Ahmad, N., & Ibrahim Sameen, M. (2018). Mapping rubber trees based on phenological analysis of Landsat time series data-sets. *Geocarto International*, 33(6), 627–650.

Bommarco R., David K., & Simon G. Potts (2013). Ecological intensification: harnessing ecosystem services for food security. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28, 4, 230-238.

Richardson, R. T., Lin, C., Quijia, J. O., Riusech, N. S., Goodell, K., & Johnson, R. M. (2015). Rank-based characterization of pollen assemblages collected by honey bees using a multi-locus metabarcoding approach. *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 3(11), 1500043.

Riyanto, (2007). Density, distribution pattern, and role of ants on plant in surrounding residence. *J Penelitian Sains*, 10, 241-253.

Rohila, A., Duhan, A., Maan, D., Kumar, A., & Kumar, K. (2017). Impact of agricultural practices on environment. *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Environmental Sciences*, 19, 381-384.

Rubene, D., Schroeder, M., & Ranius, T. (2015). Diversity patterns of wild bees and wasps in managed boreal forests: Effects of spatial structure, local habitat and surrounding landscape. *Biological Conservation*, 184, 201–208.

Roberts, H. P., King, D. I., & Milam, J. (2017). Factors affecting bee communities in forest openings and adjacent mature forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 394, 111–122.

Sajjad, A., Ali, M., Saeed, S., Bashir, M. A., Ali, I., Khan, K. A., & Ansari, M. J. (2018). Yearlong association of insect pollinator, *Pseudapis oxybeloides* with flowering plants: Planted forest vs. agricultural landscape. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.02.019>

Schüepp, C., Rittiner, S., & Entling, M. H. (2012). High Bee and Wasp Diversity in a Heterogeneous Tropical Farming System Compared to Protected Forest. *PLoS ONE*, 7(12).

Schuldt, A., Both, S., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Schmid, B., et al. (2011) Predator Diversity and Abundance Provide Little Support for the Enemies Hypothesis in Forests of High Tree Diversity. *PLOS ONE*, 6(7).

Shelton, A. (n.d.). A Guide to Natural Enemies in North America. Retrieved from Biological Control: <https://biocontrol.entomology.cornell.edu/what.php>

Siregar, E. H., Atmowidi, T., & Kahono, S. (2016). Diversity and Abundance of Insect Pollinators in Different Agricultural Lands in Jambi, Sumatera. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences*, 23(1), 13–17.

Smith, S., Islam, N., & Bellocq, M. (2012). Effects of single-tree selection harvesting on hymenopteran and saproxylic insect assemblages in the canopy and understory of northern temperate forests. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 23, 10.

Steffan-Dewenter, I., U. Münzenberg, C. Bürger, C. T., & Tschamtkke, T. (2002). Scale-dependent effects of landscape context on three pollinator guilds. *Ecology*, 83, 1421–1432.5.

Supriadi K, Mudjiono G, Abadi AL, Karindah S. (2015). The influence of environmental factors to the abundance of scales (Hemiptera:Diaspididae) population on apple crop. *J Trop Life Sci*, 5(1), 20-24.

Winfrey, R., Griswold, T., & Kremen, C. (2007). Effect of Human Disturbance on Bee Communities in a Forested Ecosystem. *Conservation biology : the journal of the Society for Conservation Biology*, 21, 213-23.

Wood, T. J., Holland, J. M., & Goulson, D. (2015). Pollinator-friendly management does not increase the diversity of farmland bees and wasps. *Biological Conservation*, 187, 120–126.