



***WEED POPULATION DYNAMICS AND CONTROL IN CULTIVATED
RICE UNDER DIFFERENT WATER REGIMES IN THE KADA REGION IN
KELANTAN, MALAYSIA***

MOHD RAZIF BIN ABDULLAH

FP 2019 62



**WEED POPULATION DYNAMICS AND CONTROL IN CULTIVATED
RICE UNDER DIFFERENT WATER REGIMES IN THE KADA REGION IN
KELANTAN, MALAYSIA**

By

MOHD RAZIF BIN ABDULLAH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

September 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

WEED POPULATION DYNAMICS AND CONTROL IN CULTIVATED RICE UNDER DIFFERENT WATER REGIMES IN THE KADA REGION IN KELANTAN, MALAYSIA

By

MOHD RAZIF BIN ABDULLAH

September 2018

Chairman : Muhammad Saiful bin Ahmad Hamdani, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

This study comprised of three sets of experiment. The weed composition surveys were conducted at rice fields in Kota Bharu, Bachok, and Pasir Puteh in Kemubu Agriculture and Development Authority (KADA) region. The field experiments on weed and rice competition under different biological and ecological conditions and the effects on rice yield were conducted at a farmer's field in Kampung Tunjong, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. The third experiment on herbicides efficacy was carried out in containers in an open area at the Pertubuhan Peladang Kawasan (PPK) Nilam Puri, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. The objectives of the research were: a) to identify weeds composition and distribution under reduced water conditions in the KADA rice fields; b) to quantify weed growth response and population dynamics, as well as rice growth and yield to different water conditions and planting methods in KADA rice field; and c) to evaluate herbicides performance and efficacy under different water conditions.

In the weed survey, we identified a total of 30 weed species from 14 different families, comprising of 22 annuals and 8 perennials (6 grasses, 6 sedges, and 18 broadleaved weeds). Based on the relative abundance and ranking of the weeds in the surveyed rice fields, *Fimbristylis miliacea* (84.20%), *Leptochloa chinensis* (41.60%), *Scirpus grossus* (30.13%), *Ischaemum rugosum* (23.64%), and *Echinochloa colona* (20.41%) were the most prevalent and abundant species in the Off Season 2014 while *Echinochloa crus-galli* (62.84%), *Leptochloa chinensis* (37.25%), *Limnocharis flava* (25.12%), *Fimbristylis miliacea* (22.26%), and *Ludwigia hyssopifolia* (22.23%) highly dominated the rice fields in the Main Season 2014/2015. The survey also found that 'padi angin' or weedy rice occurred in the surveyed areas with 40% frequency in both planting seasons.

Results from the field experiments indicated that under the two planting methods, continuous flooded at 5 cm depth (W1), continuous flooded at 2 cm depth (W2), and flooded at 5 cm depth until 45 DAS, followed by saturated (W3) exhibited an effective suppression of weeds. Plots treated W1 significantly suppressed weed population to approximately 33 – 47% and reduced weed biomass to 51 – 59% as compared to the highest in W5 in both planting season. More weed problems generally occurred in continuous saturated (W4) and field capacity (W5) conditions. However, planting method evidently exhibited stronger effect on weed suppression since transplanted plots showed significantly higher degree of weed suppression under all water regime treatments over the direct seeded plots (34 – 43%). Under both direct seeding and transplanting plots, aquatic weeds, mostly *Monochoria vaginalis* and *Limnocharis flava* were the most dominant weeds in most of the water regime treatments. Those two weed species were highly dominant during W1, W2, and W3 in Off Season 2015, outnumbering other weeds under all water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016, thus making the control of these weeds very important. The sedges, namely *Scirpus grossus* and *Fimbristylis miliacea*, and grasses, comprised of *Leptochloa chinensis* and *Echinochloa crus-galli* were also highly dominant in W4 and W5; therefore, the program of monitoring and control of the distribution of these weed species should be given due attention in KADA rice fields. High rice yield was achieved through the implementation of continuous flooding conditions (W1 and W2). However, high rice production was also observed in W3 (flooded at 5 cm depth until 45 DAS, followed by saturated), indicating that this water-saving regime could play an important role for rice cultivation under limited water condition. The rice production in transplanted plot was in 65.8% higher than in direct seeded plot. The percentages of reduction from the highest rice yield in W1 to lowest in W5 were 63.4%.

During the herbicide pot-trial evaluation, twelve weed species were found growing across all water regime treatments. In both seasons, *Monochoria vaginalis* and *Echinochloa crus-galli* were the most dominant weed species, followed by *Leptochloa chinensis*, *Fimbristylis miliacea*, *Ludwigia hyssopifolia*, *Limnocharis flava*, *Cyperus iria*, and *Scirpus grossus*. Five of the eight treatments over the two cropping seasons showed better weed control efficiency (57 – 100%), increased grain yields (30 – 108%), and higher net benefits from the economic analysis (77 – 133%) as compared to the manual weeding treatment. Due the variation of the dominant weed infestation between water regimes, the potential treatments were pretilachor followed by bentazon/MCPA (T3) and pyrazosulfuron followed by bentazon/MCPA (T6) in flooded treatments (W1 and W2). Meanwhile, pretilachor+pyribenzoxim followed by bentazon/MCPA (T4) and bispyribac-sodium followed by bentazon/MCPA (T5) were the best weed control treatments under saturated condition (W3). For field capacity (W4), bispyribac-sodium followed bentazon/MCPA (T5) and thiobencarb+propanil followed by bentazon/MCPA (T8) showed promising results, encouraging the implementation as the possible alternative for effective and economic weed control in rice fields under dry condition.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**DINAMIK POPULASI RUMPAI DAN KAWALANNYA DALAM TANAMAN
PADI DI BAWAH REGIM AIR BERBEZA DI KAWASAN KADA DI
KELANTAN, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

MOHD RAZIF BIN ABDULLAH

September 2018

Pengerusi : Muhammad Saiful bin Ahmad Hamdani, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Terdapat tiga eksperimen yang terlibat dalam kajian ini. Kajian pertama terdiri daripada tinjauan/survei mengenai komposisi spesies rumpai di sawah padi di bawah kawasan pengurusan Lembaga Pengurusan Pertanian Kemubu (KADA) di Kota Bharu, Bachok, dan Pasir Puteh. Eksperimen kedua adalah kajian lapangan di sawah padi petani yang dijalankan di Kampung Tunjong, Kota Bharu, Kelantan mengenai persaingan rumpai dan padi di bawah keadaan biologi dan ekologi yang berbeza, serta kesannya ke atas hasil padi. Manakala, kajian ketiga adalah untuk menilai keberkesanan racun rumpai berbeza, yang dijalankan di dalam bekas di kawasan terbuka di Pertubuhan Peladang Kawasan (PPK) Nilam Puri, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. Objektif penyelidikan adalah: a) untuk mengenal pasti komposisi dan penyebaran spesies rumpai di sawah padi yang mengalami keadaan kekurangan air di kawasan KADA; b) untuk mengukur tindak balas pertumbuhan dinamik populasi rumpai, serta pertumbuhan dan hasil padi di bawah keadaan air dan kaedah penanaman yang berbeza di sawah KADA; c) menilai prestasi racun herba dan keberkesanan di bawah keadaan air yang berbeza.

Keputusan tinjauan rumpai menunjukkan bahawa 30 spesis rumpai dan 14 jenis telah dikenalpasti iaitu komuniti rumpai terdiri daripada 22 tahunan dan 8 saka (6 daun tirus, 6 rusiga dan 18 daun lebar). Berdasarkan kepada kelimpahan relatif dan taburan rumpai di sawah padi yang dikaji, *Fimbristylis miliacea* (84.20%), *Leptochloa chinensis* (41.60%), *Scirpus grossus* (30.13%), *Ischaemum rugosum* (23.64%), dan *Echinochloa colona* (20.41%) adalah spesis yang paling utama dan berlimpah pada Musim Luar 2014, manakala *Echinochloa crus-galli* (62.84%), *Leptochloa chinensis* (37.25%), *Limnocharis flava* (25.12%), *Fimbristylis miliacea* (22.26%), dan *Ludwigia hyssopifolia* (22.23%) menguasai di kawasan sawah padi pada Musim Utama 2014/2015. Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa 'padi angin'

berlaku di kawasan yang dikaji, mempunyai tahap frekuensi sebanyak 40% bagi kedua-dua musim penanaman.

Keputusan ujian ladang menunjukkan bahawa di bawah kedua-dua kaedah penanaman, banjir berterusan pada kedalaman 5 cm (W1), banjir berterusan pada kedalaman 2cm (W2) dan banjir pada kedalaman 5 cm sehingga 45 HLT, diikuti dengan keadaan tanah tepu air (W3) menunjukkan penindasan rumput yang berkesan. Plot-plot yang dirawat dengan W1 didapati mengurangkan populasi rumput kepada kira-kira 33 – 47% dan mengurangkan biomass rumput sebanyak 51 – 59% berbanding nilai tertinggi dalam W5 pada kedua-dua musim penanaman. Masalah rumput yang lebih tinggi adalah berkaitan pada keadaan tanah tepu air yang berterusan (W4) dan keadaan tanah pada takat kapasiti ladang berterusan (W5). Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah penanaman dengan jelas menunjukkan bahawa kesan yang lebih tinggi dalam penindasan rumput, kerana plot bertanam menunjukkan kesan yang lebih ketara tahap penindasan rumput yang lebih tinggi di bawah semua rawatan rejim air berbanding plot secara tabur terus (34 – 43%). Di bawah kedua-dua tanaman secara tabur terus dan bertanam, rumput akuatik, majoriti terdiri daripada *Monochoria vaginalis* dan *Limncharis flava* yang merupakan rumput paling dominan di kebanyakan rawatan rejim air yang digunakan. Kedua-dua spesis rumput ini sangat mendominasi di W1, W2 dan W3 pada Musim Luar 2015 dan ianya melebihi bilangan spesis rumput lain di bawah semua rawatan rejim air di Musim Utama 2015/2016, justeru kawalan kepada spesis rumput ini sangat penting. Rusiga, iaitu *Scirpus grossus* dan *Fimbristylis miliacea* dan rumput daun tirus daripada *Leptochloa chinensis* dan *Echinochloa crus-galli* turut mendominasi bilangan rumput yang sangat tinggi di W4 dan W5. Oleh itu, program pemantauan dan pengawalan pengedaran spesis rumput ini perlu diberi perhatian yang sewajarnya di sawah padi yang mengalami keadaan air yang berkurangan di kawasan KADA. Hasil padi yang tinggi dapat diperolehi melalui perlaksanaan keadaan banjir yang berterusan (W1 dan W2). Walaubagaimanapun, pengeluaran padi yang tinggi juga dapat diperhatikan di W3 (keadaan banjir pada kedalaman 5 cm sehingga 45 HLT, diikuti dengan keadaan tanah tepu air) menunjukkan bahawa rejim penjimatan air ini boleh memainkan peranan yang penting untuk penanaman padi di bawah keadaan air yang terhad. Pengeluaran padi dalam plot bertanam secara puratanya 65.8% lebih tinggi berbanding plot secara tabur terus. Peratus kehilangan hasil padi daripada hasil tertinggi dalam W1 berbanding hasil terendah dalam W5 ialah 63.4%.

Hasil daripada kajian percubaan racun herba, terdapat 12 spesis rumput dalam rawatan rejim air yang berbeza. Pada kedua-dua musim penanaman, *Monochoria vaginalis* dan *Echinochloa crus-galli* adalah spesies rumput yang dominan diikuti oleh *Leptochloa chinensis*, *Fimbristylis milliacea*, *Ludwigia hyssopifolia*, *Limncharis flava*, *Cyperus iria* dan *Scirpus grossus*. Lima daripada lapan perlakuan yang diuji selama dua musim menunjukkan kecekapan kawalan rumput yang meluas (57 – 100%), peningkatan hasil padi (30 – 108%) dan manfaat bersih dari analisis ekonomi (77 – 133%) berbanding rawatan merumput secara manual. Disebabkan kepelbagaian serangan yang dominan di antara rejim air, rawatan yang berpotensi digunakan adalah adalah pretilachor, diikuti bentazon /MCPA (T3) dan pyrazosulfuron, diikuti bentazon/MCPA (T6) pada rawatan banjir (W1 dan W2).

Manakala pada plot tanah tepu air adalah pretilachor+pyribenzoxim, diikuti bentazon/MCPA (T4) dan bispyribac-natrium, diikuti bentazon/MCPA (T5) adalah rawatan kawalan rumpai terbaik di bawah tanah tepu air (W3). Bagi takat kapasiti ladang (W4), bispyribac-natrium, diikuti bentazon/MCPA (T5) dan thiobencarb+propanil, diikuti bentazon/MCPA (T8) menunjukkan keputusan yang dijanjikan, yang dapat dilaksanakan sebagai berkemungkinan pilihan alternatif untuk kawalan rumpai yang berkesan dan ekonomik di sawah padi dalam keadaan kering.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise to Almighty Allah for His blessings, kindness and giving me proper guidance, strength, and will to complete this study.

I wish to express my gratitude, indebtedness and deep respect to Dr. Muhammad Saiful b. Ahmad Hamdani, the Chairman of the Supervisory Committee for his sincere support, guidance, encouragement, invaluable suggestions and generous help throughout the study period. I am also much indebted and grateful to Professor Dr. Abdul Shukor b. Juraimi and Professor Dr. Mohd Razi b. Ismail, members of the supervisory committee, for their encouragement, constructive suggestions and guidance in formulation and execution of the research projects and critical review of the manuscript.

I, thankfully acknowledge the assistance of Department of Public Services (JPA) for financial support and facilitating my study in Malaysia, and Kemubu Agriculture Development Authority (KADA) for providing research facility during my project. Futhermore, I also would like to express my many thanks to the administrative authorities of Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing deputation and all kinds of help to accomplish my degree.

I feel proud to express my sincere appreciation to Hj. Mat Naji Abdullah, Mr. Nik Mohd Din Abdullah, Mr. Zulkifli Salleh, Mr. Wan Mohd Zaid Wan Mahmood, Hj. Ahmad Zamani Mohamed, Mr. Rasyid Che Yahya, Tn. Hj. Nik Mohd Fahmi Nik Mustapha and all KADA officers for their assistance in the experiments. I also wish to express my appreciation to all of my postgraduate friends. I respectfully acknowledge the blessings and good wishes of Allahyarham/ah my father and mother, sisters, brothers, and relatives.

Last but not least lots of thanks to my beloved wife Mrs. Yasmoon Mohammed for her love and care, but also for active cooperation and support to conduct experiments and encouragement a higher degree.

I would like to express my humble apology to those persons, who helped me but may not find their names in my narration here.

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Mohd Razif bin Abdullah GS41391

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Muhammad Saiful Ahmad Hamdani

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Abdul Shukor Juraimi

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Mohd Razi Ismail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		vi
APPROVAL		vii
DECLARATION		ix
LIST OF TABLES		xiv
LIST OF FIGURES		xvii
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Statements	1
	1.2 Significant of Study	2
	1.3 Objectives of Study	2
 2	 LITERATURE REVIEW	 3
	2.1 Water Shortage Crisis in Rice Production	3
	2.2 Water Deficit Problems of Rice Farming in KADA Rice Fields	4
	2.3 Rice	4
	2.4 History and Background of Malaysian Rice Industry	5
	2.5 Effects of Water Management on Rice Growth and Yield	6
	2.6 Weeds	7
	2.6.1 Weed Distribution in Rice Field	8
	2.6.2 Weed Species Shifts in Rice	8
	2.6.3 Yield Loss Due to Weeds	9
	2.7 Water – Weed Interaction	10
	2.7.1 Water Management and Weed Species	10
	2.7.2 Water Management and Herbicide Efficacy	11
	2.8 Weeds in Rice Fields of Malaysia	12
 3	 SURVEY WEED SPECIES COMPOSITION AND DISTRIBUTION UNDER WATER SCARCITY AREA IN KADA RICE FIELDS	 14
	3.1 Introduction	14
	3.2 Materials and Method	15
	3.2.1 Experimental Locations	15
	3.2.2 Survey Method and Collection of Data	18
	3.2.3 Frequency (F), Field Uniformity (FU), Mean Field Density (MFD), and Relative Abundance (RA) of Weed Species	18
	3.2.4 Relative Abundance of Weed Species	20
	3.2.5 Dominance of Weed Species	20
	3.3 Results and Discussion	21
	3.3.1 Taxonomy of Weed Species	21

3.3.2	Frequency of Weed Species in Surveyed Rice Fields of KADA Region in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	23
3.3.3	Field Uniformity (FU) of Weed Species in Rice Fields of KADA Region in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	24
3.3.4	Mean Field Density (MFD) of Weed Species in Rice Fields of KADA Region in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	25
3.3.5	Relative Abundance (RA) of Weed Species in Rice Fields of KADA Region in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	27
3.3.6	Community Dominance of Weeds	29
3.4	Conclusion	30
4	WEED POPULATION DYNAMICS AND RICE COMPETITIVENESS ABILITY UNDER DIFFERENT WATER REGIMES AND PLANTING METHODS	32
4.1	Introduction	32
4.2	Materials and Method	33
4.2.1	Experimental Location	33
4.2.2	Physico-chemical Characteristics of the Soil	34
4.2.3	Climatic Condition	35
4.2.4	Rice Establishment and Cultivation Practices	35
4.2.5	Treatments and Experimental Design	35
4.2.6	Weed Sampling	38
4.2.7	Weed Flora Composition and Dominance of Weed Species	38
4.2.8	Data Collection of Rice Plant and Yield Components	39
4.3	Statistical Analysis	39
4.4	Results and Discussion	40
4.4.1	Effect of Planting Seasons on the Number of Weeds	40
4.4.2	Number of Weeds in Different Water Regimes in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	40
4.4.3	Effect of Planting Seasons on Weed Biomass	43
4.4.4	Weed Biomass in Different Water Regimes in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	43
4.4.5	Changes of Weed Flora under Different Water Regimes in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	45
4.5	Effect of Different Water Regimes on Rice Plant Height and Number of Tillers	51
4.5.1	Plant Height	51
4.5.2	Number of Tillers	52
4.6	Effect of Different Water Regime Treatments on Yield Components and Yield of Rice	54
4.6.1	Number of Panicles/m ²	54
4.6.2	1000 - Rice Grain Weight	54

4.6.3	Total Spikelet per Panicle	54
4.6.4	Filled Rice Grain	55
4.6.5	Rice Grain Yield	55
4.7	Conclusion	56
5	EVALUATION OF HERBICIDES EFFICACY ON WEED CONTROL AND RICE GROWTH AND YIELD UNDER DIFFERENT WATER REGIMES	58
5.1	Introduction	58
5.2	Materials and Method	59
5.2.1	Experimental Location	59
5.2.2	Rice Establishment and Experimental Design	59
5.2.3	Water Regime Treatments	60
5.2.4	Herbicide Treatments	60
5.3	Parameters Measured	60
5.3.1	Weed Dominance	60
5.3.2	Weed Control Efficiency (WCE)	61
5.3.3	Data Collection of Rice Plant and Yield Components	61
5.4	Economic Analysis	61
5.5	Statistical Analysis	62
5.6	Results and Discussion	65
5.6.1	Composition and Dominance of Weed Species	65
5.6.2	Weed Control Efficiency	67
5.6.3	Rice Plant Height	71
5.6.4	Number of Rice Tiller	75
5.6.5	Yield Components	80
5.6.6	Rice Grain Yield	84
5.6.7	Economic Analysis	85
5.7	Conclusion	87
6	GENERAL CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION	88
	REFERENCES	91
	APPENDICES	102
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	109

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page	
3.1	Soil pH levels and GIS values of surveyed areas	16
3.2	Number of weed species and families recorded in the surveyed KADA rice fields	22
3.3	Distribution of weed species in the surveyed KADA rice fields based on family, scientific name and life cycle	22
3.4	Frequency (F), Field Uniformity (FU), Mean Field Density (MFD) and Relative Abundance (RA) of weed species in rice fields of KADA region in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	26
3.5	Relative abundance and ranking of the most prevalent weed species in KADA rice fields in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	29
3.6	Sorenson's Index of Similarity (%) in weeds species among three different districts in Off Season 2014 and Main Season 2014/2015	30
4.1	Effect of different water treatments on the number of weed (plant m ⁻²) at different sampling dates in both planting methods in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	40
4.2	Effect of different water treatments on the number of weed (plant m ⁻²) at different sampling dates in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/ 2016	41
4.3	Effect of different water regime treatments on weed plants biomass (g m ⁻²) at different sampling dates in both planting methods in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	43
4.4	Effect of different water regime treatments on weed plants biomass (g m ⁻²) at different sampling dates in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	45
4.5	Effect of different water regime treatments on summed dominance ratio (%) of major weed groups in direct seeding plots and transplanting plots in KADA rice field at 60 DAS in Off Season 2015	46
4.6	Effect of different water regime treatments on summed dominance ratio (%) of weed species in transplanting and direct seeding plots in KADA rice field at 60 DAS in Off Season 2015	47

4.7	Effect of different water regime treatments on summed dominance ratio (%) of major weed groups in direct seeding plots and transplanting plots in KADA rice field at 60 DAS in Main Season 2015/2016	49
4.8	Effect of different water regime treatments on summed dominance ratio (%) of weed species in transplanting plots in KADA rice field at 60 DAS in Main Season 2015/2016	50
4.9	Effect of different water regime treatments on plant height (cm) at different sampling dates in both planting methods in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	51
4.10	Effect of different water regime treatments on plant height (cm) at different sampling dates in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	52
4.11	Effect of different water treatments on number of tillers at different sampling dates in both planting methods in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	53
4.12	Effect of different water treatments on number of tillers at different sampling dates in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	53
4.13	Effect of different water regimes treatments on rice yield components in both planting methods in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	56
4.14	Effect of different water regimes on rice yield components in Off Season 2015 and Main Season 2015/2016	56
5.1	Trade name, active ingredients, mode of action and manufactures of the herbicides used in the experiment.	63
5.2	Details of the herbicide treatments used in the experiment.	64
5.3	Summed dominance ratio (SDR) of weed species present in different water regime treatments at 60 DAT in the Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	66
5.4	Mean squares from analysis of variance of the effect of weed control treatments on weed control efficiency (%) under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	67
5.5	Effect of weed control treatments on weed density and weed control efficiency (%) under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016	68

5.6	Effect of weed control treatments on weed density and weed control efficiency (%) under different water regime treatments in Off Season 2016	70
5.7	Mean squares from analysis of variance on the effect of weed control treatments on plant height under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	72
5.8	Effect of weed control treatments on plant height at 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAT under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	73
5.9	Mean squares from analysis of variance on the effect of weed control treatments on number of tillers under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	77
5.10	Effect of weed control treatments on number of tillers at 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAT under different water regime treatments in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	78
5.11	Yield component in Main Season 2015/2016.	82
5.12	Yield component in Off Season 2016	83
5.13	Effect of weed control treatments on yield under different water regimes in Main Season 2015/2016 and Off Season 2016	84
5.14	Cost effectiveness of various herbicide treatments (averaged over seasons)	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Map of KADA rice growing area indicating surveyed area	17
3.2 Sampling scheme used at each survey site	18
4.1 Map of field experiment at Kampung Tunjong, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. Picture was downloaded from Google earth website at https://earth.google.com/web/	34
4.2 Field experiment layout at Kampung Tunjong, Kota Bharu, KADA rice field	37

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Statements

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) serves as the main staple food and diet for more than 520 million of Asian population (Muthayya *et al.*, 2014), meeting the demand especially in the developing countries. However, the global rice demand is expected to increase by 60% in 2050 to accommodate the population growth (Fischer *et al.*, 2014). Since the early 1980s, it was observed that in some rice-growing areas of Asia there was continuous occurrence of rice yield reduction (Flinn and De Datta, 1984). Among the main factors is the scarcity of fresh water for irrigation. Supply of irrigation water to agriculture sector had been dropping significantly from 98% in 1900 to less than 80% in 2000 because of the increased demand from domestic, urban, and industrial sectors (Matloob *et al.*, 2015; Tabbal *et al.*, 2002). Unfortunately, the acute water shortage coupled with increasing water crisis and time has threatened the sustainability of irrigated rice culture. Report from Tuong and Bouman (2003) stated that in 2025 about 20% of the 75 million hectares of irrigated rice cropping areas in Asia will be affected by water scarcity.

Many studies and observations have revealed that weeds are among the major threats and serious biological constraint to rice production in both lowland and upland ecosystems (Ni *et al.*, 2000), possibly further reducing productivity of rice fields. In the absence of proper weed control measures, weed infestation causes a loss of an average 10% of the total rice production (Wilhelm, 2004), an equivalent to US\$30 billion annually (Beltran *et al.*, 2012). According to Azmi *et al.*, (2005), one of the parameters that cause rice yield to decrease drastically is the increase in weed infestations caused by limited water supply.

The occurrence of major shifts in weed populations involving annual and perennial, broadleaves, sedges, grasses, and other weed species is usually observed in rice fields when there are rapid changes in rice establishment techniques and water availability. The increased incidences of weed community in rice fields are much higher after the introduction of direct seeding rice cultures. According to Juraimi *et al.* (2013), the factors in determining the degree of infestation and weed types encountered in rice often depended on rice ecosystems and establishment methods. Bhagat *et al.*, (1996) and Matloob *et al.* (2015) also explained that factors such as cultural practices (fertilizer and type of rice cultivar), rice cultivation practice (irrigated, rainfed lowland, upland, deepwater, or tidal wetlands), moisture regime (irrigated or rainfed), crop establishment (transplanted or direct seeded), and land preparation (lowland, upland, tillage, or no-till) influence the weed types and degree of infestation in rice fields. Moreover, different water conditions in rice fields may also determine the level of weed infestation and yield loss in rice, especially under reduced water conditions. As an example, the dominant rice weeds such as

Echinochloa crus-galli, *Leptochloa chinensis*, *Fimbristylis miliacea*, *Cyperus iria*, *Cyperus difformis* and *Oryza sativa* L. (complex) (weedy rice) become widespread and dominant in direct seeded rice fields of Malaysia, especially in rice fields experiencing water scarcity (Hakim *et al.*, 2013a).

1.2 Significant of Study

Water is the best herbicide in lowland rice cultivation (Juraimi *et al.*, 2013). Each weed species has optimum soil moisture levels, providing different reactions between weeds and the rice crop. Therefore, a good water management in terms of depth, time, and duration of flooding is an important factor influencing effective weed control in rice. Under saturated condition, grasses showed greater emergence while sedges grow rapidly when soil moisture was below saturation (Bhagat *et al.*, 1999a). Juraimi *et al.* (2009) explained that the yield was reduced more significantly under saturated conditions at 54% compared with 35% in flooded conditions, and thus creating great variation in the floristic composition of weeds.

Weed management has always been a big challenge for farmers especially in the face of rapid changes in critical water situations. Unfortunately, specific information on the effect of reduced water conditions on weed flora composition dynamics, and herbicides efficiency for weed control in KADA region is limited. Thus, the present study was carried out to determine the weed species composition, proper amount and period of water to be maintained in the field, and appropriate selection of herbicides under reduced water conditions. All these information could provide valuable indications about current and future weed problems, and further devise proper weed control strategies to enhance the rice yield in KADA rice fields.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The objectives of the research were:

1. To identify weed composition and distribution in rice fields experiencing reduced water conditions in the KADA region.
2. To quantify weed growth response and population dynamics, as well as rice growth and yield under different water regimes and planting methods in KADA rice field.
3. To evaluate herbicides performance and efficiency under the different water conditions for efficient weed control in KADA rice fields.

REFERENCES

- Ampong-Nyarko, K., De Datta, S.K., (1991). *A Handbook for Weed Control in Rice*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
- Anwar. (2011). Seeding method and rate influence on weed suppression in aerobic rice. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(68), 15259–15271.
- Anwar, M. P., Juraimi, A. S., Mohamed, M. T. M., Uddin, M. K., Samedani, B., Puteh, A., Man, A. (2013). Integration of Agronomic Practices with Herbicides for Sustainable Weed Management in Aerobic Rice. *The Scientific World Journal*, Vol. 2013, pp.12. Doi.org/10.1155/2013/916408
- Anwar, M. P., Juraimi, A. S., Puteh, A., Man, A., Rahman, M. M. (2012). Efficacy, phytotoxicity and economics of different herbicides in aerobic rice. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil & Plant Science*, 62(41), 604–615.
- Aqilah A. (2014) Effect of rice planting practices on weed diversity in Seberang Prai and Muda rice granaries. *Master Thesis*. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Aziz, N. A., Mansor, M. (2014). The distribution of weed seedbank experiment with three water level conditions in Balik Pulau rice fields agro-system. *International Journal of Technical Research and Applications*, 6(6), 47–51.
- Azmi, M. (2003). Weed succession as affected by repeated applications of the same herbicide in direct-seeded rice field. *J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc*, 30(2), 151–161.
- Azmi M, Mashhor M (1995). Weed succession from transplanting to direct-seeding method in Kemubu rice area, Malaysia. *Journal of Bioscience*. 6(2):143-154
- Azmi, M., and Baki, B. B. (2007). Weed flora landscapes of the Muda rice granary in the new millennium. *A descriptive analysis*, 35(203), 319–331.
- Azmi M, Muhamad, H. and Johnson D.E. (2005). Proceedings of the 20th Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society Conference: *Impact of weedy rice infestation on rice yield and influence of crop establishment technique*. Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
- Azmi, M., Shukor, J. A., Najib, M. Y. M. (2007). Critical period for weedy rice control in direct-seeded rice. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science*, 35(2), 333–339.
- Baltazar, AM. De Datta, SK. (1992) Weed management in rice. *Weed Abstract*, 41,495-508.

- Barker R, Dawe D, Tuong TP, Bhuiyan SI, Guerra LC (1998). Proceedings of 19th session of the International Rice Commission: *Challenges for research on water management in rice production. 'Assessment and orientation towards the 21st century'* (pp.96-109), Cairo, Egypt.
- Bayer, D.E., Hill, J.E. (1989). Weed control practices and problems in direct-seeded rice culture. In *Weed Problems and their Economic Management. Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society and Korean Society of Weed Science*, 53-56.
- Beard, J.B. (1973). *Turfgrass: Science and culture*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Cattani, D.J. 2001. Effect of turf competition on creeping bentgrass seedling establishment. *Int. Turfgrass Soc. Res. J.*, 9:850-854.
- Becker, M., Johnson, D. E. (2001). Improved water control and crop management effects on lowland rice productivity in West Africa. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, 59:119-127.
- Begum, M., Juraimi, A. S., Amartalingam, R., Man, A. Bin, Rastans, S. O. B. S. (2006). The effects of sowing depth and flooding on the emergence, survival, and growth of *Fimbristylis miliacea* (L.) Vahl. *Weed Biology and Management*, 6(3), 157-164.
- Begum, M., Juraimi, A. S., Man, A., Omar, S., Rastan, S., Amartalingam, R. (2008). Weed flora of different farm blocks in block-1 of MUDA rice granary in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Bioscience*, 19(1), 33-43.
- Belder, P., Bouman, B.A.M., Spiertz, J.H.J., Cabangon, R., Guoan, L., Quilang, E.J.P., Li Yuanhua, Tuong T.P. (2004). Effect of water and nitrogen management on water use and yield of irrigated rice. *Agricultural Water Management* 65 : 193-210.
- Beltran, J. C., Pannell, D. J., Doole, G. J., White, B. (2012). A bioeconomic model for analysis of integrated weed management strategies for annual barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* complex) in Philippine rice farming systems, *Agricultural Systems*, Vol. 112, pp. 1-10.
- BERNAMA* (2012). Farmers face a critical pump station flow ration. Printed on 21st June 2012.
- Bhagat, R., Bhuiyan, S., Moody, K. (1999a). Water, tillage and weed management options for wet seeded rice in the Philippines. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 52(1-2), 51-58.
- Bhagat, R. M., Bhuiyan, S. I., Moody, K., Estorninos, L. E. (1999b). Effect of water, tillage and herbicide on ecology of weed communities in intensive wet-seeded rice system. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 18, 293-303.

- Bhagat, R. M., Bhuiyan, S. I., Moody, K. (1996). Water, tillage and weed interactions in lowland tropical rice: A review. *Agricultural Water Management*, 31(3), 165–184.
- Bhattacharya, S.P., S. Sitangshu, A.J. Karmakar. P. Bera, S. Sarkar. (2002). Weed management through sulfonyle urea herbicide and its effect on yield in transplanted rice. *Environment and Ecology*, 20:2, 426-428.
- Beckie, H.J., Tardif, F.J. (2012). Herbicide cross resistance in weeds. *Crop Protection*, 35, 15-28.
- Bhullar, M. S., Kumar, S., Kaur, T., Singh, J., Yadav, R., Gill, G. (2016). Management of complex weed flora in dry-seeded rice. *Field Crop Research*, 83, 20–26.
- Borlaug, N.E and Downswell, C.(2000). *Global food security; harnessing science in the 21st century*. In Gene Tecnology Forum, Kasetsart University, Thailand.
- Bouman, BAM., Tuong T.P. (2001). Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice. *Agriculture Water Management*. 49:11– 30.
- Cabangon RJ, Corcuera FG, Angeles O, Lampayan RM, Bouman BAM, and Tuong TP.(2008). Field water tube: A simple tool for managing water under alternate wetting and drying irrigation. Poster presented at the 39th Annual Scientific Conference of the Crop Science Societies of the Philippines. Iloilo Grand Hotel, Iloilo City, Philippines. May 12-16.
- Cantrell, R.P. Hettel, G.P. (2002). Technologies for competitive rice production: A walk through rice research's "Field of dream". In Radziah, O., Sijam, K. and Saad, M.S. (Eds.). *Technologies for competitiveness food production* (pp.1-12). Faculty of Agriculture, UPM, Malaysia.
- Chan, C.S., Mohd, K.K., Sariam, O., Mohamed, F.M.I. (2015). Mechanization and water management technologies for aerobic rice production in Malaysia. *Buletin Teknologi MARDI*, Bil. 7: 51 – 60.
- Chauhan, B. S., Singh, R.G., Mahajan, G. (2012). *Weed management in direct-seeded rice systems*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños.
- Chauhan, B. S., Abugho, S. B. (2013). Effects of water regime , nitrogen fertilization, and rice plant density on growth and reproduction of lowland weed *Echinochloa crus-galli*. *Crop Protection*, 54, 142–147.
- Chauhan, B. S., Johnson, D. E. (2010). The role of seed ecology in improving weed management strategies in the tropics. *Advances in Agronomy*, 105, 221-262.
- Chauhan B.S., Johnson D.E. (2011). Row spacing and weed control timing affect yield of aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research*, 121: 226-231.

- Chauhan, B. S., Kumar, V., Mahajan, G. (2014). Research needs for improving weed management in rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 46(1): 1–13.
- Chauhan B.S., Singh, V.P., Kumar, A. Johnson D.E. (2011). Relations of rice seeding rates to crop and weed growth in aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research*, 21: 105-115.
- Chancellor, R.J., Froud-Williams, R.J. (1984). A second survey of cereal weeds in central southern England. *Weed Res.* 24: 29–36.
- Chin, D. V., Hien, T.V., Thiet, L.V. (2000). Limited Proceedings No.2. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines: Weedy rice in Vietnam. In “Wild and Weedy Rice in Rice Ecosystems in Asia: A Review (pp. 45–50).
- Clerget, B., Bueno, C. (2013). The effect of aerobic soil conditions, soil volume and sowing date on the development of four tropical rice varieties grown in the greenhouse. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40(1), 79-88.
- De Datta, SK., (1981). Weeds and weed control in rice. In *Principles and Practices of Rice Production* (pp.460-512). John Wiley: NY.
- De Datta SK (1988). Proceedings of the National Seminar and Workshop on Rice Field Weed Management: *Overview of rice-weed management in tropical rice* (pp.1-24). MARDI. Pulau Pinang, Malaysia.
- DOA (2008). Manual Teknologi Pengurusan Tanaman Padi (Manual of Rice Management Technology) *Department of Agriculture* Malaysia: Putrajaya, Malaysia. 12p.
- DOA (2014). Statistics on Paddy. *Department of Agriculture* Malaysia: Putrajaya, Malaysia. ISSN: 1985-277.
- Drost, D.C. (1982). Weed ecology and control in rainfed rice cropping systems. *Ph.D.Thesis*, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Ehsanullah, Iqbal, I., Ahmad, A., Randawa, S. A. (2000). Effect of Direct Seeding and Transplanting Methods on the Yield and Quality of Fine Rice Basmati-370. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 251–252.
- FAO-Food and Agriculture Organization., (2009). FAOSTAT database. Rome: FAO. www.faostat.fao.org.
- Farooq, M., Shahzad, M.A.B. Bashrat, A.S. (2006). Integrated rice-growing system. [DAWN-The Internet Edition]. Retrieved from <http://www.dawn.com/2006/08/07/ibr8.html>.

- Farooq, M., Siddique, K. H. M., Rehman, H., Aziz, T., Lee, D.J., Wahid, A. (2011). Rice direct seeding: Experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 2, 87-98.
- Feed, N., Supervision, Q. (2003). Development of chemical weed control and integrated weed management in China. *Weed Biology and Management* 203, 197–203.
- Fischer, T., Byerlee, D., Edmeades, G. (2014). Crop yields and global food security, *ACIAR*, 660. Doi.org/ISBN 9781925133066.
- Flinn, J. C., De Datta, S.K. (1984). Trends in irrigated rice yields under intensive cropping at Philippine research station. *Field Crops Research*, 9:1-15.
- Gill, G., Humphreys, E., Kukal, S. S., Walia, U. S. (2011). Field Crops Research Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice . Part 1 : Crop performance. *Field Crops Research*, 120(1), 112–122.
- Gleick, P.H. (2003). Global freshwater resources: soft-path solutions for the 21st century. *Science*, 302 (28), 1524–1528.
- Gleick, P. (1993). *Water in Crisis: A Guide to the World's Fresh Water Resources*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- GRiSP (2013). Rice almanac 4th edition. *Global Rice Science Partnership* (pp. 49). International Rice Research Institute. Los Banos, Philippines.
- Haas, H., Streibig, J.C. (1982). Changing patterns of weed distribution as a result of herbicide use and other agronomic factors. In LeBaron, H.M., Gressel, J. (Ed.), *Herbicide Resistance in Plants* (pp.57-79). John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Hakim, M. A., Juraimi, A. S., Hanafi, M. M., Ismail, M. R., Selamat, A. (2010). Distribution of weed population in the coastal rice growing area of Kedah in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Agronomy*, 9(1), 9-16.
- Hakim, M. A., Juraimi, A. S., Hanafi, M. M., Ismail, M. R., Selamat, A. (2013a). A survey of weed communities of coastal rice fields of Seberang Perak in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 23(2), 534-542.
- Hakim, M. A., Juraimi, A. S., Hanafi, M. M., Ismail, M. R., Selamat, A. (2013b). A comparison of weed communities of coastal rice fields. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 34(9), 847–856.
- Hanafiah, A. R., L. Sisombat, H. Sathat. (1973). *Weeds of irrigated lowland rice on different soil types in Bogor district*. Internal report submitted to the Regional Centre for Tropical Biology (BIOTROP), Bogor, Indonesia.

- Hanjar, M. A., Qureshi, M. E. (2010). Global water crisis and future food security in an era of climate change. *Food Policy*, 35(5), 365–377.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, O., Bapari, S. (2008). Efficacy of different herbicides over manual weeding in controlling weeds in transplanted. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 2(1), 18–24.
- Hill J.E., De Datta S.K. and Real J.G. (1990). Echinochloa competition in rice: a comparison of studies from direct-seeded and transplanted flooded rice. *Weed Management*, BIOTROP Special Publication No. 38, 115–129.
- Hussain, S., Ramzan, M., Akhter, M., Aslam, M. (2008). Weed management in direct seeded rice. *J. Anim. Pl. Sci.* 18(2-3), 86–88.
- Ho, N.K., 1984. An overview of weed problems in the Muda irrigation scheme of Malaysia. MADA Monograph No. 44. Muda Agricultural Development Authority, Alor Setar, Malaysia, pp. 97.
- Ismail, M. R., Uddin, M. K., Zulkarnain, W. A., Mahmud, M., Harun, I. C. (2013). Growth and yield response of rice variety MR220 to different water regimes under direct seeded conditions. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 11(2), 367–371.
- Janiya, J.D., Moody, K., 1982. Weed control in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown under different moisture regimes. *Philippines Journal of Weed Science*. 9, 29-35.
- Janiya, J.D. and Moody, K. (1989). Weed populations in transplanted and wet-seeded rice as affected by weed control method. *Tropical Pest Management*, 35(1), 8 – 11
- Jaya Suria, A.S.M., Juraimi, A.S., Rahman, M.M., Man, A.B., Selamat, A. (2011). Efficacy and economics of different herbicides in aerobic rice system. *Africa Journal Biotechnology*, 10, 8007-8022.
- Juraimi, A. S., Ahmad, M. S., Anuar, A. R., Azmi, M., Anwar, M. P., Uddin, M. K.,(2012). Effect of water regimes on germination of weed seeds in a Malaysian rice field. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 6(4), 598–605.
- Juraimi, A. S., Begum, M., Mohd Yusof, M. N., Man, A. (2010). Efficacy of herbicides on the control weeds and productivity of direct seeded rice under minimal water conditions. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, 25(1), 19–25.
- Juraimi, A. S., Mohamad Najib, M. Y., Begum, M., Anuar, A. R., Azmi, M., Puteh, A. (2009). Critical period of weed competition in direct seeded rice under saturated and flooded conditions. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 32(2), 305–316.

- Juraimi, A. S., Muhammad Saiful, A. H., Begum, M., Anuar, A. R., Azmi, M. (2009). Influence of Flooding Intensity and Duration on Rice Growth and Yield. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 32(2), 195–208.
- Juraimi, A. S., Uddin, M. K., Anwar, M. P., Mohamed, M. T. M., Ismail, M. R., Man, A. (2013). Sustainable weed management in direct seeded rice culture: A review. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 7(7), 989–1002.
- Juraimi, A.S, Muhammad Saiful, A.H. (2011). Diversity of weed communities under different water regimes in bertam irrigated direct seeded rice field. *Australian Journal of Crop Science.*, 5(5), 595–604.
- Kabir, M.H., Bari, M.N., Moynul Haque, M., Ahmed, G.J., Islam, J.M. (2008). Effect of water management and weed control treatments on the performance of transplanted Aman rice. *Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research*. 33(3) : 399-408.
- Karim, R. S. M., Man, A. B., Sahid, I. B. (2004). Review paper: Weed problems and their management in rice fields of Malaysia: An overview. *Weed Biology and Management*, 4, 177–186.
- Kim, S.C., R.K. Park., K. Moody. (1983). Changes in the weed flora in transplanted rice as affected by introduction of improve rice cultivars and the relationship between weed communities and soil chemical properties. *Res. Rept.*, 25, 90–97.
- Kuan, C.Y. Ann, L.S. Ismail, A.A., Leng, T. Fee, C.G. and Hashim, K. (1990). Crop loss by weeds in Malaysia. In: Proceeding, *Third Tropical Weed Science Conference*, held at Hilton Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, 4-6 December, 1990.
- Kumar V, Ladha JK. (2011). Direct seeded rice: Recent development & future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy*. Volume 111, 297-413
- Lampayan, R. M., Bouman, B. A. M., de Dios, J. L., Espiritu, A. J., Soriano, J. B., Lactaon, A. T., Thant, K. M. (2010). Yield of aerobic rice in rainfed lowlands of the Philippines as affected by nitrogen management and row spacing. *Field Crops Research*, 116(1-2), 165-174.
- Lilley, J.M., Fukai, S. (1994). Effects of timing and severity of water deficit on four diverse rice cultivars. III. Phenological development, crop growth and grain yield. *Field Crop Res.*, 37(3): 225–234.
- Mahajan, G., B. S. Chauhan. (2011). Effects of planting pattern and cultivar on weed and crop growth in aerobic rice system. *Weed Technology*, 25:521–525.
- Mahajan, G., Chauhan, B.S., Timsina, J., (2012). Opportunities for weed control in dry seeded rice in North-Western Indo-Gangetic Plains. In Alvarez-Fernandez, R. (Ed.), *Herbicides - Environmental Impact Studies and Management Approaches* (pp.199-208). In Tech, Rijeka. Croatia.

- Marambe, B., Amarasinghe, L. (2002). Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv.) in Sri Lanka: Seedling growth under different temperatures and control. *Weed Biology Manage.* 2, 194–199.
- Matloob, A., Khaliq, A., Singh, B. (2015a). Weeds of Direct-Seeded Rice in Asia : Problems and Opportunities. *Advances in Agronomy*, 130, 291-332.
- Matloob, A., Khaliq, A., Tanveer, A., Hussain, S., Aslam, F. (2015b). Weed dynamics as influenced by tillage system, sowing time and weed competition duration in dry-seeded rice. *Crop Protection*, 71, 25–38.
- Mercado BL . (1979). *Introduction to weed science* (pp. 229). South East Asia Regional Center for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture, Laguna, Philippines.
- Mislamah, A.B., Goh, N.S. Abdul Razak, A.K. (1990). Proceedings of the Third Tropical Weed Science Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 4-6 December 1990. *Rice weeds and problems in weed control in Seberang Perak, Malaysia* (pp 573-579).
- Ministry of Agriculture, (2011). National Agro-Food Policy 2011-2020. Division of International and Strategic Planning. ISBN: 978-983-9863-41-3.
- Mooroka, Y. Jegatheesan, S. (1996). *Recent advances in Malaysian rice production – direct seeding culture in the Muda area* (pp. 3–20). MADA/JIRCAS Publication.
- Molden, D., Frenken, K., Barker, R., de Fraiture, C., Mati, B., Svendsen, M., Sadoff, C., Finlayson, C.M., (2007). International Water Management Institute. *Water for Food, Water for Life: A Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture* (pp.40). London. Retrieved from www.eartscan.co.um.
- Murphy, C., Lemerle, D. (2006). Continuous cropping systems and weed selection. *Euphytica* 148, 61–73.
- Muthayya, S., Sugimoto, J. D., Montgomery, S., Maberly, G. F. (2014). An overview of global rice production, supply, trade, and consumption. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1324 (1), 7-14.
- Ni, H., Moody, K., Robles, R. P., Paller, E. C. J., Lales, J. S. (2000). *Oryza sativa* Plant Traits Conferring Competitive Ability against Weeds. *Weed Science*, 48(2), 200-204.
- Oerke, E. C., Dehne, H. W. (2004). Safeguarding production - Losses in major crops and the role of crop protection. *Crop Protection*, 23(4), 275-285.
- Pandey, S. (2009). Effect of weed control methods on rice cultivars under the management of system of rice intensification (SRI). *Master Thesis*. Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Rampur, Citwan, Nepal.

- Patcharin, W. and Prateep, K. (1988). Proceedings 2nd Tropical Weed Science Conference: *Determination of thiobencarb and dechlorinated thiobencarb in paddy soil* (pp. 296-302).
- Prasad R. (2011). Aerobic rice systems. *Advances in Agronomy*, 111, 207-233.
- Ramzan, M. (2003). Evaluation of various planting methods in rice-wheat cropping system, Punjab, Pakistan. *Rice crop report* (pp: 4-5).
- Rao, A. N., Johnson, D. E., Sivaprasad, B., Ladha, J. K., Mortimer, A. M. (2007). Weed management in direct seeded rice. *Advances in Agronomy*, 153-195.
- Sanjeevanie Ginigaddara, G. A., Ranamukhaarachchi, S. L. (2009). Effect of conventional, SRI and modified water management on growth, yield and water productivity of direct-seeded and transplanted rice in central Thailand. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 5, 278-286.
- Sariam O. (2004) Growth of non-flooded rice and its response to nitrogen fertilization. *Ph.D Thesis*. Department of Land Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Sariam, O., Anuar, A. R. (2010). Effects of Irrigation Regime on Irrigated Rice. *Journal Tropical Agriculture and Food Science*, 38(1), 1-9.
- Seckler, D.W., Barker, R., Amarasinghe, U. (1999). Water scarcity in the twenty-first century. *Intitute J. Water Resource Development*, 15, 29-43.
- Serageldin, I., (2001). Assuring water for food: the challenge of the coming generation. *Water Resources Development*, 17 (4), 521-525.
- Singh, Y., Singh, G., Srivastava, R. S. L., Singh, V. P., Singh, R. K., Mortimer, M., White, J. L., Johnson, D. E. (2001). Proceedings of British Crop Protection Conference: Weeds – 2001, Vol. 2, "Direct-seeding of rice in the rice-wheat systems of the Indo-Gangetic plains and the implications for weed management" (pp. 187-192). Brighton, UK.
- Singh, G., P. Sharma and A.S. Raghubanshi. (2008). Dynamics of the functional groups in the weed flora of dryland and irrigated agroecosystems in the Gangetic plains of India. *Weed Biology Management*, 8, 250- 259.
- Singh, M., Bhullar, M. S., Chauhan, B. S. (2014). The critical period for weed control in dry-seeded rice. *Crop Protection*, 66, 80-85.
- Singh, M., Bhullar, M.S., Chauhan, B.S. (2015). Seed bank dynamics and emergence pattern of weeds as affected by tillage systems in dry direct-seeded rice. *Crop Protection*, 67, 168-177.

- Singh, V., Jat, M. L., Ganie, Z. A., Chauhan, B. S., Gupta, R. K. (2016). Herbicide options for effective weed management in dry direct-seeded rice under scented rice-wheat rotation of western Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Crop Protection*, 81, 168–176.
- Siwar, C., Idris, N. D. M., Yasar, M., Morshed, G. (2014). Issues and challenges facing rice production and food security in the granary areas in the East Coast Economic Region (ECER), Malaysia. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 7(4), 711–722.
- Smith, Jr., R.J. (1967). Proceedings of the 1st Asian-Pacific Weed Control Interchange. *Weed control in rice in the United States* (pp. 67-73). Honolulu, Hawaii, USA.
- Srivastava, V.C., Prasad, R.N. Sinha, A.K. (1989). Water management studies in transplanted rice. *Journal of Research, Birsa Agricultural University*, 1, 131-134.
- Tabbal, D.F., Lampayan, R.M. Bhuiyan, S.I. (1992). Proceedings of International Workshop on Soil and Water Engineering for Paddy Rice Fields Management: *Water-efficient irrigation technique for rice* (pp. 146-159). Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok. January 1992.
- Tabbal, D. F., Bouman, B. A. M., Bhuiyan, S. I. (2002). On-farm strategies for reducing water input in irrigated rice; case studies in the Philippines. *Agricultural Water Management*, 56, 93–112.
- Tamilselvan, N., M.N. Budhar. (2001). Weed control in Puddled rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 88: 10-12, 745-746.
- Thomas, A.G. (1985). Weed survey system used in Saskatchewan for cereal and oilseed crops. *Weed Science*, 33, 34-43.
- Tomita, S., Nawata, E., Kono, Y., Nagata, Y., Noichana, C., Sributta, A., Inamura, T. (2003). Differences in weed vegetation in response to cultivating methods and water conditions in rainfed paddy fields in north-east Thailand. *Weed Biology and Management*, 3, 117-127.
- Tuong, P., Bouman, B. A. M., Mortimer, M., Bouman, B. A. M., Mortimer, M., Rice, M., Mortimer, M. (2016). More Rice , Less Water — Integrated Approaches for Increasing Water Productivity in Irrigated Rice- Based Systems in Asia. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 8(3):231-241.
- Tuong, T. P., Bouman, B.M. (2003). Rice production in water-scarce environments. In J. W. Kijne, R. Barker, and M.D. Molden (Eds.). *Water Productivity in Agriculture: limits and Opportunities for improvement* (pp.53-67). Los Baños, Philippines: CAB International.

- Tsuru S (1991) Life cycles of rice field weeds and their management in Malaysia. *Tropical Agriculture Research Center Bulletin*. (Ed: Kayuzuki Itoh). p.94
- Uddin, M. K., Juraimi, A. S., Ismail, M. R., Brosnan, J. T. (2010). Characterizing Weed Populations in Different Turfgrass Sites throughout the Klang Valley of Western Peninsular Malaysia. *Weed Technology*, 2, 173-181.
- Vaughan, D.A, Zain, A.M., Watanabe, H. and Okuno, K. (2003). Relationship between wild, weedy and cultivated rice in Malaysia. IPM activities in Malaysia. (ss.jircas.affrc.go.jp./engage/annualreport/1995).
- Vijayakumar, M. S., R. B. Chandrasekaran., T. M. Thiyagarajan. (2006). Effect of system of rice intensification (SRI) practices on yield attributes yield and water productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 2 (6): 236-242.
- Vongsaroj, P.(1997). *Weed Management in Paddy Fields* (pp.175). Botany and Weed Science Division, Department of Agriculture: Bangkok Amarin Printing Company, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Wan, K.Y., Tao, Y., Li, R.H., Pan, J., Tang, L., Chen, F.(2012). Influences of long-term different types of fertilization on weed community biodiversity in rice paddy fields. *Weed Biology*, 12, 12–2.
- Wicks, G.A., D.H. Popken, G.W. Mahnken, G.E. Hanson and D.J. Lyon. (2003). Survey of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) stubble fields sprayed with herbicides in 1998: weed control. *Weed Technology*, 17, 475–484.
- Wikipedia. (2007). Definition of weed. *Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia*. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/weed>.
- Wilhelm, C. (2004). Encyclopedia of applied plant sciences. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 10, 1186-1187.
- Williams JF, Roberts SR, Hill JE., Scardaci SC, Tibbits G. (1990). Managing water for weed control in rice. *California Agriculture*., 441: 7-10.
- Yoshida, S.(1981). *Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science*. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines.
- Zimdhal RL (1993) Fundamentals of weed science. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, California, USA. Chapter 6, *Weed Ecology*, pp. 125-129.
- Zhang, Z. P. (2003). Development of chemical weed control and integrated weed management in China. *Weed Biology and Management*, 3, 197–203.