

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MECHANICS BASED SOLUTION FOR PREDICTING DEFLECTION AND ULTIMATE LOAD OF ORDINARY AND PLATED-REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

EL-ZEADANI MOHAMED HASSAN HAMAD

FK 2019 115



MECHANICS BASED SOLUTION FOR PREDICTING DEFLECTION AND ULTIMATE LOAD OF ORDINARY AND PLATED-REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

By

EL-ZEADANI MOHAMED HASSAN HAMAD

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

July 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

 \mathbf{O}



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

MECHANICS BASED SOLUTION FOR PREDICTING DEFLECTION AND ULTIMATE LOAD OF ORDINARY AND PLATED-REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

By

EL-ZEADANI MOHAMED HASSAN HAMAD

July 2019

Chair : Assoc. Prof. Ir. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid, PhD Faculty : Engineering

Most design codes available today use full-interaction moment-curvature analysis to determine the deflection and moment capacity of reinforced concrete (RC) beams. This has been achieved by calibrating design equations with hundreds of lab tests to ensure their validity. While this has worked well for steel reinforced RC structures, the derived design equations cannot be used for RC structures with different types of reinforcement material. Alternatively, mechanics-based methods that take into consideration the partialinteraction behavior between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete, and also the size and shape effect of the concrete in compression can be used. The main objective of this study was to develop mechanics-based solutions for RC beams and CFRP plated RC beams to determine their deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity. The results from the mechanics-based methods were compared with the experimental results of three RC beams and three CFRP plated RC beams that were subjected to a point load at mid-span. A comparison between the analytical results and experimental results showed a good agreement between the deflection and ultimate load results for the RC beams. For instance, the difference between the theoretical and experimental ultimate loads for the RC beams considered was merely 0.92%. Good agreement was also observed in the recorded strains in the reinforcement steel at the center of the beam. However, for the CFRP plated beams, the results derived from the mechanics-based solution indicated an early yielding of the reinforcement steel which caused poor correlation of the results at higher applied loads. For example, the theoretical and experimental ultimate loads varied by about 34%. This was attributed to the fact that the strain in the CFRP plate was assumed to remain constant once the intermediate crack (IC) debonding strain was achieved; however, in reality the strain in the plate kept building up until debonding of the plate took place. A parametric study that allowed for shear stresses to develop in the plate after the IC debonding strain was achieved showed a better correlation with the experimental results at higher applied loads for the deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity (difference in ultimate load being less than 5%).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

SOLUSI BERASASKAN MEKANIK DALAM MERAMALKAN PESONGAN DAN BEBAN MUKTAMAD RASUK KONKRIT BERTELULANG BIASA DAN BERSALUT

Oleh

EL-ZEADANI MOHAMED HASSAN HAMAD

Julai 2019

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Ir. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid, PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kebanyakan kod reka bentuk yang terdapat pada hari ini menggunakan analisa momenlengkungan momen interaksi penuh dalam menentukan kapasiti pesongan dan momen rasuk konkrit bertetulang (RC). Ini telah dicapai dengan membuat persamaan reka bentuk kalibrasi dengan beratus-ratus ujian makmal untuk memastikan kesahihannya. Walaupun ini telah berfungsi dengan baik untuk struktur RC bertetulang keluli, persamaan rekabentuk yang diperolehi tidak boleh digunakan untuk struktur RC dengan jenis bahan tetulang yang lain. Selain itu, kaedah berasaskan mekanik yang mengambil kira tingkah laku separa interaksi antara tetulang dan konkrit bersebelahan, dan juga kesan saiz dan bentuk konkrit dalam mampatan boleh digunakan. Objektif utama kajian ini ialah untuk membangunkan penyelesaian berasaskan mekanik untuk rasuk RC dan CFRP bersalut RC rasuk untuk menentukan pesongan mereka dan keupayaan membawa beban muktamad. Hasil daripada kaedah berasaskan mekanik dibandingkan dengan hasil eksperimen yang diperoleh daripada tiga rasuk RC dan tiga CFRP rasuk RC bersalut yang tertakluk kepada beban titik pada jarak pertengahan. Perbandingan antara keputusan analisis dan keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan persetujuan yang baik antara pesongan dan keputusan beban akhir bagi rasuk RC. Contohnya, perbezaan antara eksperimen dan teori untuk rasuk RC diangarkan hanya 0.92 %. Persetujuan yang baik juga diperhatikan dalam tegangan yang direkodkan dalam keluli tetulang di pusat rasuk. Walaubagaimanapun, bagi rasuk bersalut CFRP, keputusan yang diperoleh daripada penyelesaian berasaskan mekanik menunjukkan keluaran awal keluli tetulang yang menyebabkan hasil korelasi yang lemah pada beban yang lebih tinggi. Sebagai contohnya, eksperimen dan teori beban muktamad berubahrubah kira-kira 34 %. Hal ini disebabkan oleh fakta bahawa ketegangan dalam plat CFRP diandaikan kekal berterusan sebaik sahaja ketegangan peleraian ikatan (IC) dicapai; namun, pada hakikatnya ketegangan dalam plat terus membina sehingga peleraian ikatan plat berlaku. Kajian parametric yang dilakukan membenar tegasan ricih untuk berkembang di dalam plat selepas ketegangan peleraian ikatan (IC) dicapai



menunjukkan kolerasi yang lebih baik dengan hasil eksperimen pada beban yang lebih tinggi untuk pesongan dan beban muatan muktamad (perbezaan beban muktamad adalah kurang dari 5 %).



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid for the continuous support of my Masters study, and for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. The door to his office was always open whenever I ran into trouble or had a question about my research or writing. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor. Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Prof. Dato' Ir. Dr. Mohd Saleh Jaafar, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Farzad Hejazi, for their insightful comments and encouragement.

My sincere thanks also go to Mr. Mohammad Haffis Hamid and Mr. Mohd Fairus Ismail, the support staff at the structure lab and materials lab. They were more than happy to give me access to the laboratories and proved to be very helpful during my experimental work. Their efforts and support are sincerely appreciated.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank my family: my parents, my brother and my sisters for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Also, I extend special thanks to my UPMISA family, my cousin Ali Yousuf and my good friend Mohamed Ibrahem Swi for their support throughout my Master's program. Finally, my sincere appreciation goes to Mr. Abdusslam Houma and his family for their continuous support and encouragement.

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No.: Mohamed Hassan Hamad El-Zeadani, GS49423

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of	
Committee:	Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid
	PM
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Prof. Dato' Ir. Dr. Mohd Saleh Jaafar
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Assoc. Prof. Dr. Farzad Hejazi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
ABSTRA	СТ			1
ABSTRA	K			11
ACKNO	WLEDGEME 7 A T	LNIS		1V
APPROV	AL			V
DECLAR	KATION			V11
LIST OF	IABLES			XIII
LISTOF	FIGUKES	TIONS		XIV
LISTOF	ABBREVIA	TIONS		
LIST OF	SIMBOLS			XXII
СПАРТІ	D			
		ODUCTI	ION	1
1		Backg	round	1
	1.1	Proble	m Statement	2
	1.2	Objecti		2
	1.5	Scope	of Research	4
	1.4	Signifi	cance of Research	4
	1.5	Sigini		+
	1.6	Thesis	Outline	4
2	LITEF	RATURE	REVIEW	6
	2.1	Genera	d d	6
	2.2	Tensio	n Region	7
		2.2.1	Bondstress-slip Material Properties	8
		2.2.2	Idealized Bondstress-slip Material Properties	9
		2.2.3	Load-displacement Relationship	11
		2.2.4	Crack Formation and Crack Spacing	12
		2.2.5	Tension Stiffening	12
		2.2.6	Partial-interaction Numerical Analysis for	14
			Pull-out Tests	
		2.2.7	Closed-from Solutions	15
		2.2.8	Yield Penetration of Reinforcement	17
	2.3	Compr	ression Region	18
		2.3.1	Shear-friction Properties	19
		2.3.2	Concrete Softening Behavior	21
		2.3.3	Size Dependent Strain	21
		2.3.4	Generic Stress-strain for Unconfined	22
		225	Concrete Concrete Strong stroin for Confined Concrete	22
	2.4	2.3.3 Digid I	Body Moment rotation Analysis	23
	2.4	2 4 1	Concrete Softening Compression Zone	24
		2.4.1	Concrete Ascending Zone	2 4 25
		2.7.2	Tension Zone	25
	25	Accom	modation of Multiple Cracks	25
	2.5	Mome	nt-rotation Segmental Analysis	26
	2.0	261	Single-crack Segmental Analysis	26
		2.0.1 2.6.2	Multiple-crack Segmental Analysis	28
		2.0.2	manuple clack beginental maryors	20

 \bigcirc

	2.7	Adhesively Plated Beam Debonding Mechanisms	29
	2.8	Segmental Debonding Mechanisms	30
		2.8.1 Single-crack Segment Debonding	31
		2.8.2 Multiple-crack Segment Debonding	32
		2.8.3 Interaction Between Multiple-crack and Single crack Segmental Debonding	33
	29	Member Debonding Mechanism of Adhesively Plated	3/
	2.)	Beam	54
	2.10	Discrete Rotation Deflection	35
		2.10.1 Deflection Due to Discrete Rotation	36
		2.10.2 Deflection Due to Curvature	37
	2.11	Summary	37
3	METH	IODOLOGY	40
	3.1	Introduction	40
	3.2	Beam Specimen Details	40
	33	Laboratory Testing	43
	5.5	3.3.1 Concrete Cube Testing	43
		3.3.2 Reinforcement Bar Tensile Test	44
		3 3 3 Beam Tests	46
	31	Idealized Reinforcement Stress_strain Relationship	51
	5.4	3 / 1 Reinforcement Steel	51
		3.4.2 CEPP Plate	51
	35	Reinforcement Bondstress slip Model	52
	5.5	3.5.1 Reinforcement Steel	52
		3.5.2 CEDD Diato	52
	2.6	Deinforcement Load displacement Belationship	52
	5.0	2.6.1 CER EID Bondstress slip Characteristic	54
		3.6.2 Linear descending Bondstress slip Model	55
	37	Concrete Material Properties	57
	5.7	2.7.1 Electic Modulus of Concrete	57
		2.7.2 Strain at Dool: Strass of Unconfined Concrete	57
		3.7.2 Strain at Peak Stress of Unconfined Concrete	57
		confined Concrete	57
		3.7.4 Compressive Axial Stress-strain Relationship	58
		of Concrete	00
		3.7.5 Size-dependent Global Axial Strain	58
		376 Angle of the Principal Sliding Plane	59
		377 Concrete Tensile Strength	59
	38	RC Beam Segmental Analysis	60
	5.0	3.8.1 Uncracked Segmental Analysis	60
		3.8.2 Single Crack Segmental Analysis	61
		3.8.3 Multiple Crack Segmental Analysis	63
	39	Adhesively Plated Beam Segmental Analysis	64
	5.7	3.9.1 Uncracked Segmental Analysis of a Plated	6/
		Beam	04
		3.9.2 IC Debonding Segmental Analysis	65
		3.9.3 Multiple Crack Segmental Analysis	66
		3.9.4 Passive Prestressed Segmental Analysis	67
	3.10	Limits to the Moment-rotation Analysis	68

	3.11	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Adhesively Plated RC	69
	2 1 2	Beam Discrete Detetion Deflection	71
	3.12	2 12 1 Deflection Dure to Discrete Detetion	/1
		2.12.2 Deflection Due to Cumulation	71
	2 1 2	Summery	75
	5.15	Summary	/4
4	RESU	JLTS	75
	4.1	Introduction	75
	4.2	Experimental Results	75
		4.2.1 Concrete Cube Test Results	76
		4.2.2 Concrete Cylinder Test Results	76
		4.2.3 Reinforcement Bar Tensile Test Results	77
		4.2.4 RC Beam Experimental Results	80
		4.2.5 CFRP Plated RC Beam Experimental Results	88
	4.3	Analytical Results	97
		4.3.1 Reinforcement Stress-strain Relationship	98
		4.3.2 Reinforcement Bondstress-slip Relationship	99
		4.3.3 Reinforcement Load-displacement	100
		Relationship	102
		4.3.4 Concrete Size-dependent Stress-strain	103
		Relationship	104
		4.3.5 RC Beam Analytical Results	104
	4.4	4.5.0 CFRP Plated RC Beam Analytical Results	107
	4.4	Summary	112
5	DISC	USSION	114
2	5.1	Introduction	114
	5.2	Ordinary RC Beams	114
		5.2.1 RC Beam Steel Reinforcement Strain	115
		5.2.2 RC Beam Crack Spacing Results	117
		5.2.3 RC Beam Ultimate Load Carrying Capacity	117
		5.2.4 RC Beam Load-deflection Response	118
	5.3	CFRP Plated RC Beam	120
		5.3.1 CFRP Plated RC Beam Reinforcement Strain	120
		5.3.2 CFRP Plated RC Beam Crack Spacing	123
		5.3.3 CFRP Plated RC Beam Ultimate Load	123
		Carrying Capacity	
		5.3.4 CFRP Plated RC Beam Load-deflection	124
		Response	
	5.4	Parametric Study for CFRP Plated RC Beam	126
		5.4.1 CFRP Plated RC Beam Modified	128
		Reinforcement Strain	
		5.4.2 CFRP Plated RC Beam Modified Ultimate	130
		Load Carrying Capacity	
		5.4.3 CFRP Plated RC Beam Modified Load-	132
		deflection Response	
	55	Summary	133

6	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	135
	6.1	Conclusion	135
	6.2	Recommendations for Further Study	139
REFEREN	CES		141
BIODATA	OF STUD	DENT	147
LIST OF P	UBLICAT	TIONS	148



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	7 Days Concrete Cube Test Results	76
4.2	14 Days Concrete Cube Test Results	76
4.3	28 Days Concrete Cube Test Results	76
4.4	28 Days Concrete Cylinders Compressive Test Results	77
4.5	Summary of tensile test results for 16 mm diameter steel reinforcement	80
4.6	Summary of RC beam details	82
4.7	RC beams crack spacing	86
4.8	RC Beams Experimental Ultimate Loads	87
4.9	Summary of CFRP plated beam details	88
4.10	CFRP plated RC beams crack spacing	94
4.11	CFRP RC Beams Experimental Ultimate Loads	97
5.1	RC Beam experimental and theoretical crack spacing results	117
5.2	RC Beams Experimental and Theoretical Ultimate Loads	118
5.3	CFRP RC Beam experimental and theoretical crack spacing results	123
5.4	CFRP Plated RC Beams Experimental and Theoretical Ultimate Loads	124
5.5	CFRP Plated RC Beam Modified Theoretical and Experimental Ultimate Load Carrying Capacity	131

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	RC beam strain profiles (Liu et al., 2007)	7
2.2	Partial interaction region and full interaction regions in RC beam (Liu et al., 2007)	8
2.3	Formation of wedges in concrete (Haskett, Oehlers & Ali, 2009)	8
2.4	Bond-slip mechanism: (a) section; (b) concrete prism; (c) equilibrium; (d) slip distribution; (e) shear-stress distribution (Oehlers et al., 2014)	9
2.5	(a) Bondstress-slip relationship; (b) EB Plate; (c) NSM Plate; (d) Ribbed bar (Oehlers et al., 2014)	10
2.6	Idealized bondstress-slip relationships (Muhamad et al., 2011)	10
2.7	Load-displacement relationship	11
2.8	Load-displacement relationship in RC beam	11
2.9	Crack formation in RC beam	12
2.10	Tension Stiffening: (a) RC beam; (b) concentrically loaded prism (Oehlers et al., 2015)	13
2.11	Tension stiffening mechanism (Oehlers et al., 2015)	13
2.12	Graphical representation of the numerical analysis for pull out tests (Haskett et al., 2008)	15
2.13	Concrete prism under pure tension: (a) Equilibrium of prism; (b) Equilibrium at concrete interface; (c) Equilibrium at reinforcement interface (Muhamad et al., 2012)	16
2.14	Stress-strain relationship of different material (Oehlers and Seracino, 2004)	17
2.15	Idealized steel stress-strain relationship (Haskett et al., 2009b)	18
2.16	Load-displacement response for steel reinforcement bar (Muhamad et al., 2011)	18
2.17	Unconfined concrete cylinder in compression (Chen et al., 2014)	20

 \bigcirc

2.18	Shear-friction mechanism (Oehlers et al., 2014)	20
2.19	Shear friction properties (Oehlers et al., 2014)	20
2.20	Concrete sliding prism (Oehlers et al., 2014)	21
2.21	Confined concrete prism in compression (Chen et al., 2015)	23
2.22	Rigid body moment-rotation analysis (Haskett et al., 2009a)	24
2.23	Typical moment-rotation relationship	25
2.24	Multiple cracks in hinge region (Visintin et al., 2012)	27
2.25	Single-crack moment-rotation analysis (Oehlers et al., 2015)	27
2.26	Multiple-cracks moment-rotation segmental analysis (Oehlers et al., 2015)	28
2.27	Moment-rotation behavior of a concrete segment (Oehlers et al., 2015)	29
2.28	Types of debonding mechanisms in adhesively plated beams (Oehlers et al., 2015)	30
2.29	Segmental single-crack debonding: (a) segment; (b) slip; (c) shear stress; (d) bond force (Oehlers et al., 2015)	31
2.30	Segmental multiple-crack debonding: (a) segment; (b) slip; (c) shear stress; (d) bond force (Oehlers et al., 2015)	32
2.31	Change in slip due to transition from multiple-crack to single- crack debonding (Oehlers et al., 2015)	33
2.32	Plated beam passive prestress debonding mechanism (Oehlers et al., 2015)	34
2.33	Cracked and uncracked regions in a flexural member (Muhamad et al., 2013)	35
2.34	Rigid-body rotation at the crack: (a) rigid-body displacement; (b) strain profile; (c) force profile (Muhamad et al., 2013)	36
2.35	Deflection: (a) moment; (b) cracked & uncracked regions; (c) deflection due to curvature; (d) crack position; (e) deflection due to crack rotation (Oehlers et al., 2013)	38
2.36	Discrete rotation deflection of an RC beam	39

3.1	Research K-chart	41
3.2	Flow chart of experimental testing	42
3.3	Reinforced concrete (RC) beam specimens detailed drawing	42
3.4	CFRP plated reinforced concrete (RC) beam specimens detailed drawing	43
3.5	Concrete Compressive Strength Testing	44
3.6	12 mm bar tensile test	45
3.7	16 mm bar tensile test	45
3.8	Preparation of RC beams	46
3.9	Installation of CFRP plate	47
3.10	Fixing of reinforcement steel strain gauges	47
3.11	Fixing of 67 mm concrete strain gauge	48
3.12	Beam supports	48
3.13	RC beam experimental setup	49
3.14	CFRP plated beams strain gauge and LVDT positions	49
3.15	Schematic diagram of experimental setup	50
3.16	Idealized steel material characteristics	51
3.17	Idealized CFRP plate material characteristic	51
3.18	Bondstress-slip model for steel reinforcement	52
3.19	CFRP plate linear descending bondstress-slip model	53
3.20	Failure plane of CFRP EB plate	53
3.21	Beam segmental analysis: (a) Segment of an RC Beam Subjected to a Constant Moment; (b) RC Beam Section	60
3.22	Uncracked RC Beam Segmental Analysis	61
3.23	Single Crack Concrete Segmental Analysis	62
3.24	Simpson's Rule for Determining the Softening Force	62
3.25	Multiple Cracks Concrete Segmental Analysis	63

3.26	Uncracked segmental analysis of a plated beam	64
3.27	IC debonding segmental analysis of a plated beam	66
3.28	Multiple crack segmental analysis of a plated beam	67
3.29	Passive prestressed segmental analysis of a plated beam	67
3.30	Size-dependent concrete stress-strain curve	69
3.31	Moment-rotation graph from passively prestressed segment: (a) θ ; (b) χ ; (c) ε_{RC}	70
3.32	Ultimate moment of an adhesively plated RC beam	71
3.33	Discrete rotation deflection: (a) Moment; (b) Crack formation; (c) Deflection due to a single crack	72
3.34	Discrete rotation deflection of plated RC beam	73
3.35	Deflection due to curvature: (a) Applied load; (b) RC Beam; (c) Curvature Deflection	73
4.1	12 mm diameter reinforcement bar load-extension response	77
4.2	12 mm diameter reinforcement bar stress-strain response	78
4.3	(a) Elastic response of 12 mm diameter reinforcement bar;(b) Strain-hardening response of 12 mm diameter reinforcement bar	79
4.4	16 mm diameter reinforcement bar stress-strain response: (a) Y16(1); (b) Y16(2); Y16(3)	81
4.5	Positions of strain gauges and LVDT in the RC beam test	82
4.6	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - RC Beam 1	83
4.7	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - RC Beam 2	83
4.8	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - RC Beam 3	84
4.9	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - RC Beam 1	84
4.10	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - RC Beam 2	85
4.11	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - RC Beam 3	85
4.12	Propagation of flexural cracks in RC Beam 1	86

4.13	RC beam load versus deflection response	87
4.14	Tension steel failure of RC beams: (a) RC Beam 1; (b) RC Beam 2; (c) RC Beam 3	88
4.15	Positions of Strain Gauges in Adhesively Plated RC Beams	89
4.16	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - CFRP RC Beam 1	90
4.17	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - CFRP RC Beam 2	90
4.18	Strain in Reinforcement Steel - CFRP RC Beam 3	91
4.19	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - CFRP RC Beam 1	91
4.20	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - CFRP RC Beam 2	92
4.21	Strain in adjacent concrete surface - CFRP RC Beam 3	92
4.22	CFRP plate strains	93
4.23	CFRP RC Beam 1 load versus mid-span deflection response	95
4.24	CFRP RC Beam 2 load versus mid-span deflection response	95
4.25	CFRP RC Beam 3 load versus mid-span deflection response	96
4.26	Failure of CFRP Plated RC Beam: (a) CFRP RC Beam 1; (b) CFRP RC Beam 2; (c) CFRP RC Beam 3	97
4.27	Idealized bi-linear stress-strain relationship for steel reinforcement	98
4.28	Stress-strain relationship for CFRP plate	98
4.29	CEB-FIP ascending bondstress-slip model	99
4.30	CFRP Plate bondstress-slip relationship	99
4.31	Load-slip behavior of reinforcement steel in an infinitely long prism	100
4.32	Load-slip behavior of reinforcement steel in a prism of length Spr	101
4.33	Load-slip behavior of CFRP plated infinitely long concrete prism	102
4.34	Load-slip behavior of CFRP plated prism of length Spr	102
4.35	Size-dependent stress-strain relationship of concrete	103
4.36	RC beam analytical reinforcement steel mid-span strain	104

against applied load

	4.37	RC beam moment-rotation relationship	105
	4.38	RC beam analytical load-deflection response	106
	4.39	Development of cracks in RC beam load-deflection response	107
	4.40	CFRP plated RC beam analytical reinforcement steel mid- span strain against applied load	108
	4.41	CFRP plated RC beam analytical plate mid-span strain against applied load	108
	4.42	CFRP plated RC beam moment-rotation relationship	109
	4.43	Moment-concrete strain at the level of the plate (M- $\varepsilon_{\rm RC}$) relationship	110
	4.44	Displacement based analysis: (a) Moment; (b) Concrete strain along the level of the plate; (c) Concrete and plate displacement	111
	4.45	CFRP plated RC beam analytical load-deflection response	112
	5.1	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - RC beam 1	115
	5.2	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - RC beam 2	116
	5.3	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - RC beam 3	116
	5.4	RC beam load-deflection results comparison	119
	5.5	Comparison of RC beam service load-deflection results with design code methods and previous studies	120
	5.6	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 1	121
	5.7	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 2	121
	5.8	Strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 3	122
	5.9	Strain in the CFRP Plate comparison	122
	5.10	CFRP plated RC beam load-deflection response	125
	5.11	Comparison of CFRP plated RC beam service load- deflection results with ACI design code method	125
	5.12	Proposed bondstress-slip models for CFRP plate	126
	5.13	Load-slip relationship for EB plate	127

5.14	CFRP plate segmental displacement				
5.15	Modified strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 1	128			
5.16	Modified strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 2	129			
5.17	Modified strain in reinforcement steel comparison - CFRP RC Beam 3	129			
5.18	Modified strain in the CFRP Plate comparison	130			
5.19	Modified Ultimate load of CFRP plated RC Beam 1	131			
5.20	Modified Ultimate load of CFRP plated RC Beam 2	132			
5.21	CFRP plated RC beam modified load-deflection response	133			
6.1	Summary of Segmental Moment-rotation Analysis for Ordinary RC Beams				
6.2	Summary of Segmental Moment-rotation Analysis for CFRP Plated RC Beams	137			
6.3	Ultimate moment capacity for a CFRP plated beam following a displacement-based approach	137			
6.4	Discrete rotation deflection of RC beam	138			

G

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AFRP Aluminum fibre reinforced polymer
- CDC Critical diagonal crack
- CFRP Carbon fibre reinforced polymer
- Ck Crack
- EB Externally bonded
- FRP Fibre reinforced polymer
- GFRP Glass fibre reinforced polymer
- IAE Integral absolute error
- IC Intermediate crack
- LVDT Linear variable differential transducer
- NSM Near surface mounted
- PE Plate end
- RC Reinforced concrete
- Sp Support

LIST OF SYMBOLS

	A _c	cross-sectional area of concrete
	A_{link}	cross-sectional area of steel links
	Ar	cross-section area of reinforcement
	(AE) _c	axial rigidity of concrete
	(AE) _r	axial rigidity of reinforcement
	b	width of the beam
	b _p	width of the plate
	В	bond-force
	B _{IC}	intermediate crack bond-force
	B _{max}	maximum bond-force
	с	concrete cover
	c _d	half depth of tension stiffening prism
	С	cohesive component of the Mohr-Coulomb failure plane
	d	effective depth of the beam
	d _{asc}	depth of the concrete ascending zone
	dc	depth of the compression zone
	d _{NA}	neutral axis depth
	dp	distance to the plate from the neutral axis
	$d_{ m pr}$	diameter of the concrete prism/cylinder
	d_{rc}	distance to the compression reinforcement from the neutral axis
	d _{rt}	distance to the tensile reinforcement from the neutral axis
	d_{soft}	depth of the concrete softening zone
	D	deformation of the concrete top compression fibre

	D_{ax}	axial contraction of the concrete prism
	D_{mat}	axial contraction of the concrete material
	D_{wdg}	axial contraction caused by sliding of the wedge
	$d\delta/dx$	slip-strain
	EIuncr	uncracked flexural rigidity
	Ec	concrete elastic modulus
	Er	reinforcement elastic modulus
	E _{sh}	strain-hardening modulus
	f_c	concrete compressive strength
	\mathbf{f}_{cc}	peak compressive stress of confined concrete
	f _{CFRP}	fracture stress of carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP)
	\mathbf{f}_{co}	peak compressive stress of unconfined concrete
	\mathbf{f}_{ct}	concrete tensile strength
	f _{GFRP}	fracture stress of glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP)
	F _{r-cr-p}	force in reinforcement to cause primary cracks
	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{tsp}}$	splitting tensile strength of concrete
	fy	yield stress of reinforcement
	f _{y-link}	steel links yield stress
	h	height of the beam
	hcr	height of the crack
	hr	depth of the reinforcement from the crack apex
	L	length of the beam
	Lcr	length of the cracked region of the beam
	Lcrit	critical length
	L _{crit} -p	critical length of plate

	$L_{crit-rt}$	critical length of reinforcement
	L _{db-p}	length of EB plate debonded region
	L_{def}	half-length of the concrete element/segment
	L_p	reinforcement failure plane / perimeter of reinforcement
	L _{plate}	plate length
	$L_{\rm pr}$	length of the concrete prism/cylinder
	L _{wdg}	length of concrete softening wedge
	L _u	length of the uncracked region of the beam moment
	Mann	applied moment
	M _{cr-El}	full-interaction cracking moment
	Mcr.FLp	full-interaction cracking moment of a plated beam
	Mar	primary cracking moment
	Maaaa	applied moment under serviceability conditions
	M	ultimate moment of a passively prestressed segment
	Mu-pp	point load
	r	
	Pasc	concrete force in the ascending zone
	Pavg	average load
	P _{mat}	concrete material force
	Pc	concrete force
	Pcc	concrete compressive force
	P _{IC}	intermediate crack debonding force
	Pr	reinforcement force
	P _{r-p}	axial force in reinforcement after a primary crack has formed
	P _{r-s}	axial force in reinforcement after a secondary crack has formed

rt	reinforcement tensile force
soft	concrete softening force
) u	ultimate load
y y	reinforcement yield force
	concrete ductility factor
	segment width
exp-max	maximum experimental crack spacing
exp-mean	mean experimental crack spacing
exp-min	minimum experimental crack spacing
link	spacing between steel links
pr	primary crack spacing
slide	sliding capacity of the concrete wedge
theo	theoretical crack spacing
p	thickness of the plate
lc	concrete displacement
Ir	reinforcement displacement
/c	concrete shear capacity
V	crack width
ť	angle of the concrete wedge
l _{wdg}	slip of the concrete wedge
l _d	Lateral slip
\mathbf{I}_{L}	Longitudinal slip
fract	reinforcement fracture slip
max	maximum slip at the crack face
l _{pr}	reinforcement slip to cause primary cracks
	rt soft soft u y exp-max exp-maan exp-mean exp-mean exp-min link theo slide theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c theo c c theo c theo c c theo c c c theo c c theo c theo c c theo c theo c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c

	$\Delta_{ m r}$	reinforcement slip at the crack face		
	Δ_{r-p}	reinforcement slip relative to crack face after a primary crack has		
		formed		
	Δ_{r-s}	reinforcement slip relative to crack face after a secondary crack has		
		formed		
	\varDelta_{y}	reinforcement slip to cause yielding		
	δ	slip		
	δ_1	slip corresponding to τ_{max}		
	$\delta_{ m db-p}$	total deformation of the plate associated with debonded region		
	$\delta_{ m db-RC}$	longitudinal deformation of RC beam within L_{db} at the level of		
		prestress		
	$\delta_{ m max}$	maximum reinforcement slip		
	$\delta_{ ext{max-p}}$	plate maximum reinforcement slip		
	$\delta_{ m mid}$	deflection at mid-span		
	$\delta_{ m p}$	plate slip		
	$\delta_{ m rt}$	tensile reinforcement slip		
	δ_{χ_u}	deflection due to curvature of the uncracked region		
	ε	strain		
	${m \mathcal E}_{ m axgl}$	axial global strain		
	(Eax)pop	axial strain in Popovics (1973) stress-strain expression		
	$(\varepsilon_{ax})_{200}$	axial strain for a 200 mm concrete cylinder		
	ε _c	concrete axial strain		
	Ecc	strain at peak stress of confined concrete		
	\mathcal{E}_{c-c}	concrete strain at the outer most compression fibre		
	\mathcal{E}_{c-t}	concrete strain at the outer most tensile fibre		

$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{CFRP}}$	fracture strain of carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP)
$\mathcal{E}_{ m co}$	strain at peak stress of unconfined concrete
$\mathcal{E}_{ ext{crush}}$	concrete crushing strain
$\mathcal{E}_{ ext{fract}}$	fracture strain
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{GFRP}}$	fracture strain of glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP)
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{IC}}$	intermediate crack debonding strain
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{mat}}$	elastic material strain
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{pk}}$	peak elastic strain
$\mathcal{E}_{ m r}$	reinforcement axial strain
ε _{RC}	concrete strain at the level of the plate
$oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{ m wdg}$	strain caused by wedge sliding
\mathcal{E}_{y}	reinforcement yield strain
θ	rotation
$oldsymbol{ heta}_{ ext{pp}}$	passive prestressed segment rotation
σ	stress
$\sigma_{ m c}$	concrete axial stress
$\sigma_{ m fract}$	fracture stress of reinforcement
$\sigma_{ m con}$	confinement stress
$\sigma_{ m n}$	stress normal to the sliding plane
$\sigma_{ m r}$	reinforcement axial stress
τ	shear stress
$ au_{ m max}$	maximum bond shear stress
χ	curvature
μ	concrete cylinder shape factor
η	concrete cylinder size factor

 Ø
 bar diameter

 Ø_{ten-reinf}
 diameter of tensile reinforcement

 Ø_{comp-reinf}
 diameter of compressive reinforcement

 Ø_{link}
 diameter of shear links



 \bigcirc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Oftentimes, engineers are called upon to design structures that fall outside the safety net of most design codes; for example, they might be asked to design a concrete structure with new type of reinforcement material or to develop new design rules that are more accurate in estimating the behavior of reinforced concrete structures. Anyhow, to be able to do so and work outside the bounds of design codes, mechanical models that simulate the actual behavior of reinforced concrete structures need to be developed. These mechanical models can be used to determine the deflection of reinforced concrete (RC) structures or moment capacity by simulating the local and global behaviors observed in practice.

Much of the research on predicting the deflection of RC members (Alwis, 1990; Al-Zaid, Abu-Hussien & Al-Shaikh, 1991; Bazant & Oh, 1984; Bischoff, 2007; Branson, 1965; Castel, Vidal & Francois, 2006; Gilbert, 2007) is founded on the assumption that there is full interaction between the reinforcement steel and the adjacent concrete; this is generally known as Branson's approach (Branson, 1965). Using full-interaction, designers would derive cracked and uncracked flexural rigidities that are calibrated from lab data to obtain effective flexural rigidities used to determine the member's deflection.

The full-interaction method for measuring the deflection of RC structures is heavily dependent on test data derived from the laboratory and does not incorporate the slip between the reinforcement and the bordering concrete surface at a crack; thereby, it does not directly simulate the deflection associated with crack widening.

In 1970, Bachmann published a research paper proposing a new method for quantifying the deflection of RC structures (Bachmann, 1970). In his paper, he explained that the rotation of each individual crack contributes to the overall deflection of the beam; moreover, he also mentioned that the beam should be divided into a cracked region and an uncracked region, and the contribution to deflection of each region should be calculated separately and totaled up to give the final deflection of the beam.

Using Bachmann's approach, numerous researchers (Muhamad, Oehlers & Mohamed Ali, 2013; Oehlers, Muhamad & Mohamed Ali, 2013; Visintin, Oehlers, Muhamad & Wu, 2013; Visintin, Oehlers & Sturm, 2016) have used mechanics-based methods to

incorporate the slip between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete and eventually measure the deflection of the RC structure. However, in all of these methods, the concrete in compression was taken to be linearly-elastic and the deflection of the RC structures was measured at service loads only; that is, before to the softening of the concrete in compression.

In addition to measuring the deflection, structural engineers have long recognized the significance of ductility in the design of RC structures. According to Oehlers and Seracino (2004), the ductility of a structure is as important as its strength. The quantification of ductility in reinforced concrete structures relies predominantly on the limit of concrete crushing; therefore, making the procedure more or less straightforward. However, as adhesively plating RC structures using fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) plates has become a well-established strengthening technique, the quantification of the ductility of these strengthened structures is more complex. For instance, using a strain-based moment-curvature design technique, the rotational limit of an FRP adhesively plated RC beam depends on either: (1) the concrete crushing strain ε_{crush} ; (2) the plate intermediate crack (IC) deboning strain ε_{IC} ; (3) the plate fracture strain ε_{fract} . Using this traditional method of design, it is often found that the FRP plate debonds before the yielding of the tension reinforcement; hence, making the entire structure very brittle (Oehlers, Visintin & Lucas, 2015).

Therefore, a displacement-based design could be employed to which partial-interaction theory is at the heart of it. For instance, according to Oehlers et al. (2015), higher ductility, and consequently, higher strength can be achieved using a displacement-based design instead of a strain-based moment-curvature design.

1.2 Problem Statement

Structural engineering design codes available today (e.g. Eurocodes and ACI) rely on full-interaction assumptions, that is no sliding between the reinforcement and the bordering concrete surface, to predict the ultimate strength of the structural member as well as the deflection and crack width. This is possible by carrying out hundreds of laboratory tests for the steel reinforced concrete and later calibrating the test results with the design equations in the code. However, due to their empirical nature, these design equations can only be applied within the bounds of the tests from which they were calibrated (Haskett, Oehlers, Mohamed Ali & Wu, 2009a; Visintin, Oehlers, Wu & Haskett, 2012; Panagiotakos & Fardis, 2001). This has worked well for steel bars due to their high and constant elastic modulus and their good bond with the adjacent concrete (Oehlers et al., 2017).

However, structural engineers today are frequently asked to find solutions to structural members that make use of new reinforcing materials such as fibre reinforced polymer. This would not be possible by using the design codes available today as that falls outside the safety net of most codes. Furthermore, unlike steel reinforcing bars, FRP bars or plates have a wide range of elastic modulus, typically ranging from 40 GPa to 140 GPa depending on the density of the fibre (Oehlers et al., 2013; Oehlers et al.,

2017). In addition, different types of fibre such as glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP), carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP), and aluminum fibre reinforced polymer (AFRP) add to the complexity of the problem (Oehlers et al., 2013). Not only that but FRP reinforcing bars and plates also have a wide range of bond characteristics depending on the manufacturing process. Therefore, to carry out laboratory tests to determine the properties of each type of fibre would not be economical and feasible.

Furthermore, much of the research on determining the deflection of RC structures using mechanic-based methods that simulate the slip between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete have focused on measuring the deflection under low loads and prior to the softening of the concrete in compression. However, it is well known that with increased loading, the stress-strain curve of concrete begins to deviate from the linear-elastic line indicating some softening as it ascends to the maximum compressive stress and later a large amount of softening as the stress decreases with increasing strains (descending branch). Furthermore, it is well known that the concrete material property relies heavily on the size and shape of the test specimen (Chen, Visintin, Oehlers & Alengaram, 2014; Chen, Visintin & Oehlers, 2015).

In addition to that, it has become common to strengthen RC beams and slabs by adhesively bonding FRP plates to their tension faces using a strain-based momentcurvature design technique. In this approach, failure often occurs when the IC debonding strain is first achieved in the plate. This sometimes makes plating the RC beam ineffective at the ultimate limit state with the plate often reaching its IC debonding strain prior to yielding of the reinforcement.

Therefore, it becomes important to develop a mechanics-based solution that takes into consideration not only the slip between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete, but also the softening of the concrete in compression, and the size and shape of the concrete specimen. Additionally, using a displacement-based approach, the mechanics-based solution for determining the moment of an adhesively plated beam should take into consideration the member debonding mechanism and the propagation of IC debonding instead of halting the analysis when the IC debonding strains are first achieved in the plate.

1.3 Objectives

This research aims to explore the use of mechanical models for RC structures that simulate the behavior that is seen and measured in practice. Also, the work of this research tries to promote the design of ordinary RC beams and CFRP plated RC beams through partial-interaction analysis rather than the commonly used and well understood full-interaction techniques. Henceforth, the objectives of this research can be summarized as follows:

(i) To develop a mechanics-based solution for predicting the deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity of RC beams by taking into consideration the slip between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete, the softening of the concrete in compression, and the size and shape effect of concrete in compression.

- (ii) To develop a mechanics-based solution for determining the deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity of a carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) plated RC beams while at the same time considering the reinforcement and plate slip, the softening and size effect of the concrete in compression and the IC debonding mechanism of the plated structure.
- (iii) To validate the results of the mechanical models developed in (i) and (ii) above with the experimental results determined in the laboratory.

1.4 Scope of Research

This research focuses on the development of mechanics-based solutions for RC beams and CFRP plated RC beams. This basically entails the development of mechanical models for the prediction of the short-term deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity of the RC structures while at the same time simulating the behavior that is seen and measured in practice (e.g. slip between the steel reinforcement and adjacent concrete, softening of the concrete in compression and the size effect of concrete in compression). The results obtained analytically were compared with the experimental results determined in the labortory to verify the accuracy of the models proposed. The experimental program consisted of three ordinary RC beams and three CFRP plated RC beams that were tested under three point bending. Furthermore, from the experimental testing, the midspan reinforcement steel and plate strains, flexural crack spacing, midspan deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity were recorded and compared to those derived from the mechanics-based solution.

1.5 Significance of Research

This research tries to pave the way for the acceptance of the design of reinforced concrete structures using partial-interaction analysis. This is mainly achieved through the development of mechanical models that simulate the slip between the reinforcement and adjacent concrete and takes into consideration the softening of the concrete in compression. With such models that simulate what is actually seen and measured in practice, testing at the member level can be eliminated and the only testing that would be required will be that of a material property such as the stress-strain relationship or bondstress-slip properties. Thereby, this will allow for the rapid development of construction materials as hundreds of lab tests would no longer required. In other words, using mechanics-based solutions; the cost of accepting new materials for design will be reduced immensely.

1.6 Thesis Outline

The thesis starts with an introductory chapter (Chapter 1) stating the background of the project, problem statement, objectives and significance to the discipline. After that, a brief review of the relevant literature is presented in Chapter 2. This includes the concept of slip in reinforced concrete, tension stiffening, shear friction, moment-rotation analysis and discrete rotation deflection.

The methodology used in this study is given in Chapter 3. The experimental setup and testing method are discussed first. After that, the mechanical modeling methodology used to form the analytical solution is explained in detail for both the RC beams and the CFRP plated RC beams.

Moving on, the first part of Chapter 4 covers the experimental results in detail. For instance, the concrete compressive cube and cylinder strengths are presented first. After that, the results from the tensile test on the steel reinforcement are given. The strains in the reinforcement, concrete surface adjacent to the reinforcement and CFRP plate as the test proceeded were recorded and presented accordingly. Also, the load versus midspan deflection response and ultimate load results are given. As for the second part of Chapter 4, it presents the theoretical results derived from the mechanics-based solution, which include the midspan reinforcement steel and CFRP plate strains, crack spacing, midspan deflection and ultimate load carrying capacity of the beams considered in this study.

Chapter 5 covers a comparison between the analytical and experimental results, accompanied with relevant discussion on the results. In addition to that, Chapter 5 also presents the results of a parametric study that was performed on the CFRP plated beam in which the bondstress-slip model was varied to allow for a frictional component. Finally, Chapter 6 covers the conclusion and recommendations for future research.

REFERENCES

- ACI (American Concrete Institute). (2005). Building code requirements for structural concrete. ACI-318-05, Farmington Hills, MI, USA.
- ACI (American Concrete Institute). (2017). Guide for the design and construction of externally bonded FRP systems for strengthening concrete structures. ACI 440.2R-17, Farmington Hills, MI, USA.
- Ahmad, S. H., & Shah, S. P. (1985). Behavior of hoop confined concrete under high strain rate. *ACI J.*, *82*(*5*), 634–647.
- Alwis, W. A. M. (1990). Trilinear moment–curvature relationship for reinforced concrete beams. *Structural Journal*, 87(3), 276–283.
- Al-Zaid, R. Z., Abu-Hussien, M. M., & Al-Shaikh, A. H. (1991). Deformational behaviour of reinforced concrete beams under service loading conditions. *Journal of King Saud University*, 3(2), 167–183.
- Arioglu, N., Girgin, Z. C., & Arioglu, E. (2006). Evaluation of Ratio Between Splitting Tensile Strength and Compressive Strength for Concretes up to 120 MPa and its Application in Strength Criterion. ACI Materials Journal, 103, 18-24.
- ASTM A370-17a. Standard Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2017, www.astm.org.
- Bachmann, H. (1970). Influence of shear and bond on rotational capacity of reinforced concrete beams. International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering, 30(6), 11–28.
- Bazant, Z. P., & Oh, B. H. (1984). Deformation of progressively cracking reinforced concrete beams. *American Concrete Institute Journal*, *81*(*3*), 268–278.
- Bischoff, P. H. (2007). Rational model for calculating deflection of reinforced concrete beams and slabs. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, *34*(8), 992–1002.
- Branson, D. E. (1965). Instantaneous and Time Dependent Deflections of Simple and Continuous Reinforced Concrete Beams. Alabama Highway Department Bureau of Public Roads, Montgomery, AL, USA, HPR Report No. 7.
- British Standards Institution. (1983). BS 1881: Part 108: 1983: Testing Concrete. London, England: BSI.
- Castel, A., Vidal, T., & Francois, R. (2006). Effective tension active cross-section of reinforced concrete beams after cracking. *Materials and Structures*, 39(1), 115–126.

- CEB. (1993). CEB-FIP Model Code 90: Model Code for Concrete Structures. Thomas Telford, London, UK.
- CEN (European Committee for Standardization). (1992). ENV 1992-1-1: Design of concrete structures. Part 1-1: General rules for buildings. CEN, Brussels, Belgium.
- Chen, Y., Visintin, P., Oehlers, D. J., & Alengaram U. J. (2014). Size-Dependent Stress-Strain Model for Unconfined Concrete. J. Struct. Eng., 04015046.
- Chen, Y., Visintin, P., & Oehlers, D. J. (2015). Concrete Shear-Friction Material Properties: Derivation from Actively Confined Compression Cylinder Tests. Advances in Structural Engineering, 18(8), 1173-1186.
- Creazza, G., & Di Marco, R. (1993). Bending moment-mean curvature relationship with constant axial load in the presence of tension stiffening. *Materials and Structures*, 26, 196-206.
- Duthinh, D. (1999). Sensitivity of shear strength of reinforced concrete and prestressed concrete beams to shear friction and concrete softening according to modified compression field theory. ACI Struct J, 96(4), 496-508.
- Eligehausen, R., Popov, E. P., & Bertero, V. V. (1983). Local bond stress-slip relationship of deformed bars under generalized excitations. California: Rep No. UCB/EERC-83/23, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, Univ. of California, Berkeley.
- Fantilli, A. P., Ferretti, D., Iori, I., & Vallini, P. (1998). Flexural deformability of reinforced concrete beams. *Journal of Structural Engineering ASCE*, 124(9), 1041-1049.
- Fantilli, A. P., Iori, I., & Vallini, P. (2007). Size effect of compressed concrete in four point bending RC beams. *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, 74(1–2), 97–108.
- Gilbert, R. I. (2007). Tension stiffening in lightly reinforced concrete slabs. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE, 133, 899–903.
- Hannant, D. J., Buckley, K. J., & Croft, J. (1973). The Effect of Aggregate Size on the Use of the Cylinder Splitting Test as a Measure of Tensile Strength. *Materials* and Structures, 6(31), 15-21.
- Harmon, T. G., Ramakrishnan, S., & Wang, E. H. (1998). Confined concrete subjected to uniaxial monotonic loading. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 124(12), 1303 – 1309.
- Haskett, M., Oehlers, D. J., & Mohamed Ali, M. S. (2008). Local and global bond characteristics of steel reinforcing bars. *Eng. Struct.*, *30*(2), 376-383.
- Haskett, M., Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali, M. S., & Sharma, S. K. (2010). The shear friction aggregate interlock resistance across sliding planes in concrete. *Mag. Concr. Res.*, 62(12), 907–924.

- Haskett, M., Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali, M. S., & Sharma, S. K. (2011). Evaluating the shear-friction resistance across sliding planes in concrete. *Eng. Struct.*, 33(4), 1357–1364.
- Haskett, M., Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali M. S., & Wu, C. (2009a). Rigid body moment-rotation mechanism for reinforced concrete beam hinges. *Engineering Structures*, 31, 1032 – 1041.
- Haskett, M., Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali, M. S., & Wu, C. (2009b). Yield penetration hinge rotation in reinforced concrete beams. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 135, 130 – 138.
- Hasan, N. M. S., Visintin, P., Oehlers, D. J., Bennett, T., & Sobuz, M. H. R. (2019). Time dependent deflection of RC beams allowing for partial interaction and non-linear shrinkage. *Materials and Structures*, 52 (3), 52.
- Hillerborg, A. (1989). The compression stress-strain curve for design of reinforced concrete beam. *Fracture mechanics: Application to concrete*, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 281–294.
- Hillerborg, A. (1990). Fracture mechanics concepts applied to moment capacity and rotational capacity of reinforced concrete beams. *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, 35(1–3), 233–240.
- Hognestad, E., Hanson, N. W., & McHenry, D. (1955). Concrete stress distribution in ultimate strength design. *J ACI*, 27(4), 455 479.
- Jenson, B. C. (1975). Line of discontinuity for displacements in the theory of plasticity of plain and reinforced concrete. *Magazine of concrete research*, 27(92), 143 150.
- Jansen, D. C., & Shah, S. P. (1997). Effect of length on compressive strain softening of concrete. J. Eng. Mech., 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(1997)123:1(25), 25–35.
- Knight, D., Visintin, P., & Oehlers, D. J. (2015). Displacement-based simulation of time-dependent behaviour of RC beams with prestressed FRP or steel tendons. *Structural Concrete*, 16(3), 406–417.
- Kotsovos, M. D. (1983). Effect of testing techniques on the post-ultimate behaviour of concrete in compression. *Mater. Struct.*, *16*(1), 3–12.
- Liu, I. S. T., Oehlers, D. J., & Seracino, R. (2007). Study of intermediate crack debonding in adhesively plated beams. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 11, 175-183.
- Logan, A., Choi, W., Mirmiran, A., Rizkalla, S., & Zia, P. (2009). Short-term Mechanical Properties of High Strength Concrete. ACI Materials Journal, 106(5), 413-418.
- Manfredi, G., & Pecce, M. (1998). A refined R.C. beam element including bond-slip relationship for the analysis of continuous beams. *Computers & Structures*, 69, 53-62.

- Markeset, G., & Hillerborg, A. (1995). Softening of concrete in compression localization and size effects. *Cem. Concr. Res.*, 25(4), 702–708.
- Martinez, S., Nilson, A. H., & Slate, F. O. (1984). Spirally reinforced high-strength concrete columns. *ACI J*,(Sept.-Oct.), 431-41.
- Mattock, A. H. (1974). Shear transfer in concrete having reinforcement at an angle to shear plane. ACI Publications SP-42, Shear in Reinforced Concrete, 17-42.
- Mattock, A. H., & Hawkins, N. M. (1972). Shear transfer in reinforced concrete recent research. *Precast Concrete Inst J*, (March- April), 55-75.
- Mattock, A. H., Mau, S. T., & Hsu, T. T. (1988). Comments on influence of concrete strength and load history on the shear friction capacity of concrete members. *PCI Journal 1988*, 33(1), 165 – 169.
- Mohamed Ali, M. S., Oehlers, D. J., & Griffith, M. C. (2010). The residual strength of confined concrete. *Adv. Struct. Eng.*, 13(4), 603–618.
- Mohamed Ali, M. S., Oehlers, D. J., Griffith, M. C., & Seracino, R. (2008). Interfacial stress transfer of near surface-mounted FRP-to-concrete joints. *Engineering Structures*, 30, 1861–1868.
- Mohamed Ali. M. S., Oehlers, D. J., & Seracino, R. (2006). Vertical shear interaction model between external FRP transverse plates and internal steel stirrups. *Engineering Structures*, 28, 381 – 389.
- Mosley, W. H., Bungey, J. H., & Hulse, R. (2012). *Reinforced concrete design : to Eurocode 2* (7th ed. / Bill Mosley, John Bungey, Ray Hulse). Basingstoke Palgrave Macmillan
- Muhamad, R., Mohamed Ali, M. S., Oehlers, D. J., & Griffith M. (2012). The tension stiffening Mechanism in reinforced concrete prisms. *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 15(12), 2053-2069.
- Muhamad, R., Mohamed Ali, M. S., Oehlers, D. J., & Sheikh, A. H. (2011). Load-slip relationship of tension reinforcement in reinforced concrete members. *Engineering Structures*, 33, 1098-1106.
- Muhamad, R., Oehlers, D. J., & Mohamed Ali, M. S. (2013). Discrete rotation deflection of reinforced concrete beams at serviceability. *Structures and Buildings*, 166(3), 111-124.
- Oehlers, D. J., Liu, I. S. T., & Seracino R. (2005). The gradual formation of hinges throughout reinforced concrete beams. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 33, 373 398.
- Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali, M. S., Haskett, M., Lucas, W., Muhamad, R., & Visintin, P. (2011). FRP-reinforced concrete beams: Unified approach based on IC theory. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 15, 293 – 303.

- Oehlers, D. J., Mohamed Ali, M. S., Haskett, M., & Griffith, M. C. (2008). *A partialinteraction ductility model for FRP plated RC flexural members*. Fourth International Conference on FRP Composites in Civil Engineering, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Oehlers, D. J., Muhamad, R., & Mohamed Ali, M. S. (2013). Serviceability flexural ductility of FRP RC beams: A discrete rotation approach. *Construction and Building Materials*, 49, 974 – 984.
- Oehlers, D. J., & Seracino, R. (2004). *Design of FRP and steel plated RC structures: Retrofitting beams and slabs for strength, stiffness and ductility.* Adelaide, Australia: Elsevier Science.
- Oehlers, D. J., Visintin, P., Chen, J. F., & Ibell, T. J. (2014). Simulating reinforced concrete members. Part 1: partial interaction properties. *Structures and Buildings*, 167(11), 646-653.
- Oehlers, D. J., Visintin, P., Chen, J. F., Seracino, R., Wu, Y., & Lucas, W. (2017). Reinforced concrete behavior, research, development, and design through partial-interaction mechanics. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 143(7), 02517002.
- Oehlers, D. J., Visintin, P., & Lucas, W. (2015). Flexural strength and ductility of FRPplated RC beams: Fundamental mechanics incorporating local and global IC debonding. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 20(2), 04015046.
- Panagiotakos, T. B., & Fardis, M. N. (2001). Deformations of reinforced concrete members at yielding and ultimate. ACI Structural Journal, 98(2), 135 – 148.
- Popovics, S. (1973). A numerical approach to the complete stress-strain curve of concrete. *Cem. Concr. Res.*, 3(5), 583-599.
- Sangha, C. M., & Dhir, R. K. (1972). Strength and complete stress strain relationships for concrete tested in uniaxial compression under different test conditions. *RILEM*, 5(6), 361–370.
- Seracino, R., Raizal Saifulnaz, M., & Oehlers, D. J. (2007). Generic debonding resistance of EB and NSM plate-to-concrete joints. *Compos. Constr.*, 11(62), 62-70.
- Shah, S. P., & Sankar, R. (1987). Internal cracking and strain softening response of concrete under uniaxial compression. *ACI Mater. J.*, 84(3), 200–212.
- Sturm, A. B., & Visintin, P. (2019). Local bond slip behavior of steel reinforcing bars embedded in ultra high performance fibre reinforced concrete. *Structural Concrete*, 20 (1), 108-122.
- Torrenti, J. M., Benaija, E. H., & Boulay, C. (1993). Influence of boundary conditions on strain softening in concrete compression test. J. Eng. Mech., 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(1993)119:12(2369), 2369–2384.

- Van Mier, J. G. M. (1984). *Strain softening of concrete under multiaxial loading conditions*. Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven Univ. of Techology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Van Mier, J. G. M. (1986a). Multiaxial strain-softening of concrete. Part I: Fracture. Mater. Struct., 19(3), 179–190.
- Van Mier, J. G. M. (1986b). Multiaxial strain-softening of concrete. Part II: Loadhistories. *Mater. Struct.*, 19(3), 190–200.
- Visintin, P., Oehlers, D. J., Muhamad, R., & Wu, C. (2013). Partial-interaction short term serviceability deflection of RC beams. *Engineering Structures*, 56, 993– 1006.
- Visintin, P., Oehlers, D. J., & Sturm, A. B. (2016). Mechanics solutions for deflection and cracking in concrete. *Structures and Buildings*, *169(SB 12)*, 912-924.
- Visintin, P., Ohelers, D. J., Wu, C., & Haskett, M. (2012). A mechanics solution for hinges in RC beams with multiple cracks. *Engineering Structures*, 36, 61 – 69.
- Visintin, P., Strum, A. B., & Oehlers, D. J. (2017). Long- and short-term serviceability behavior of reinforced concrete beams: Mechanics models for deflections and crack widths. *Structural Concrete*, *19*(2), 1–19.
- Walraven, J., Frenay, J., & Pruijssers, A. (1987). Influence of concrete strength and load history on the shear friction capacity of concrete members. *PCI Journal*, 32(1), 66-84.
- Xie, T., Mohamed Ali, M. S., Visintin, P., Oehlers, D. J., & Sheikh, A. H. (2018). Partial Interaction Model of Flexural Behavior of PVA Fiber–Reinforced Concrete Beams with GFRP Bars. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 22, 04018043.
- Yuan, H., Teng, J. G., Seracino, R., Wu, Z. S., & Yao, J. (2004). Full-range behavior of FRP-to-concrete bonded joints. *Engineering Structures*, 26, 553 – 565.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Mohamed Hassan Hamad El-Zeadani was born on the 16th of January, 1995 in Sabha, Libya. He received a Bachelor of Engineering (Civil) from Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) back in 2016 where he graduated top of his class with a 4.00/4.00 CGPA.

Upon graduation, Mohamed El-Zeadani did an internship for 2 months, after which he decided to further his studies and came back to UPM in September 2017.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Elzeadani, M., Raizal Saifulnaz, M. R., Hejazi, F., Mugahed Amran, Y. H., Jaafar, M. S., Alyousef, R., & Alrshoudi, F. (2019). Mechanics-based approach for predicting the short-term deflection of CFRP plated RC beams. *Composite Structures*, 225, 111169, (Published).
- Elzeadani, M., Raizal Saifulnaz, M. R., Mugahed Amran, Y. H., Hejazi, F., Jaafar, M. S., Alyousef, R., & Alabduljabbar, H. (2019). Analytical mechanics solution for measuring the deflection of strengthened RC beams using FRP plates. *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, 11, e00272, (Published).
- Elzeadani, M., Raizal Saifulnaz, M. R., Mugahed Amran, Y. H., Hejazi, F., & Jaafar, M. S. (2019). Short-term deflection of RC beams using a discrete rotation approach. *International Journal of Advanced Structural Engineering*, 1-18, (Published).
- Elzeadani, M., Raizal Saifulnaz, M. R., Mugahed Amran, Y. H., Hejazi, F., Jaafar, M. S., Alyousef, R., & Alabduljabbar, H. (2019). Flexural strength of FRP plated RC beams using a partial-interaction displacement-based approach. *Structures*, 22, 405-420, (Published).



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : First Semester 2019/2020

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

MECHANICS BASED SOLUTION FOR PREDICTING DEFLECTION AND ULTIMATE

NAME OF STUDENT: EL-ZEADANI MOHAMED HASSAN HAMAD

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (√)



CONFIDENTIAL

RESTRICTED

OPEN ACCESS



(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from		until	
	(date)		(date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: (Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]