



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**IMPROVEMENT OF ENERGY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FOR  
BUILDINGS IN MALAYSIA**

**HAIRI PONICHAN**

**FK 2019 112**



**IMPROVEMENT OF ENERGY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FOR  
BUILDINGS IN MALAYSIA**

By

**HAIRI BIN PONICHAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti  
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Master of  
Science**

**February 2019**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the Master of Science

## **IMPROVEMENT OF ENERGY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FOR BUILDINGS IN MALAYSIA**

By

**HAIRI BIN PONICHAN**

**February 2019**

**Chair : Nor Mariah Adam PhD PE**  
**Faculty : Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering**

Buildings are the leading energy consuming sectors that represents 40% of the global energy consumption. The building energy performance indicator is a comparative measurement indicator. More than 53 building energy performance indicator exists with various key performance indicators and methodological approaches. The objective of this research is to propose an improved methodology approaches and obtained an improved energy performance indicator for buildings in Malaysia. Upon data collection and analysis, building samples are analyze using three (3) parameters. These parameters are building categories, net floor area and building energy consumption. In addition, the building samples and reference buildings will be accessed and calculated based on similar climate and building function in order to produce a relatively consistent with less significant disparity results. Finding indicate 18 buildings out of 55 Land Plots that fulfilled overall improved requirements of building energy performance indicator. The building energy consumption that fulfilled the overall requirement is regressed against net floor area. As a result, a linear regression graph and variance value is produced. The early result indicates that the overall building energy performance indicator value of 153.2 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year with unsatisfying R<sup>2</sup> of 0.278. Thus, an addition requirement will be imposed which is the data will be comparing based on United States (Star, 2014) Primary Function compared to KETTHA Guideline for Energy Efficiency in Buildings dan GBI Malaysia existing building energy performance indicator. The result indicates building energy performance indicator of 137.0kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year with satisfying R<sup>2</sup> of 0.910 for office building. The result is specifically compared with three (3) reference buildings that which are Wisma Rozali, Wisma Sunway and Menara Persekutuan Melaka. The result verification is -8.27%, +12.71 and a merely

2.02% respectively. The results conclude that sample buildings that passed improved parameter assessment method are more credible. While, improved building energy performance indicator method finding result a stunning value of 137kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year when compared to the KETTHA propose standard case building energy performance indicator. The result reflected that improved building energy performance indicator is in line with previous Malaysia study on building energy performance indicator to a certain extend.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## **PENAMBAHBAIKAN PENUNJUK ARAS TENAGA BAGI BANGUNAN DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**HAIRI BIN PONICHAN**

**Februari 2019**

**Pengerusi : Nor Mariah Adam PhD PE**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan Mekanikal dan Pembuatan**

Bangunan merupakan sektor penggunaan tenaga utama yang mewakili 40% daripada penggunaan tenaga global. Penunjuk prestasi tenaga bangunan adalah penunjuk aras perbandingan. Sebanyak lebih daripada 53 petunjuk prestasi tenaga untuk bangunan wujud dengan pelbagai penunjuk aras utama dan metodologi. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mencadangkan metodologi yang lebih baik dan mendapatkan penunjuk prestasi tenaga yang lebih baik untuk bangunan-bangunan di Malaysia. Melalui pengumpulan data dan membuat analisa, sampel bangunan dianalisa menggunakan tiga (3) parameter. Parameter ini adalah kategori bangunan, luas lantai bersih dan penggunaan tenaga di bangunan. Di samping itu, sampel bangunan dan bangunan-bangunan rujukan, dinilai dan dikira berdasarkan iklim dan fungsi bangunan yang sama untuk menghasilkan penemuan yang agak konsisten dengan perbezaan yang tidak signifikan. Penemuan menunjukkan 18 bangunan daripada 55 Plot Tanah memenuhi keperluan keseluruhan penunjuk prestasi tenaga bangunan. Penggunaan tenaga bangunan yang memenuhi keperluan keseluruhan di regresi dengan luas lantai bersih. Keputusannya, graf regresi linear dan nilai varians terhasil. Hasil awal menunjukkan bahawa nilai penunjuk prestasi keseluruhan bangunan 153.2kWh/m<sup>2</sup>. tahun dengan R<sup>2</sup> yang tidak memuaskan iaitu 0.278. Oleh itu, satu keperluan tambahan akan dikenakan yang mana data akan dibandingkan berdasarkan Fungsi Utama Amerika Syarikat (Star, 2014) berbanding dengan Garis Panduan KETTHA Kecekapan Tenaga di Bangunan dan Garis Panduan Bangunan Sediaada GBI Malaysia Penunjuk Aras Kecekapan Tenaga di Bangunan. Hasilnya menunjukkan penunjuk prestasi tenaga bangunan berjumlah 137.0kWh/m<sup>2</sup>. tahun dengan R<sup>2</sup> yang memuaskan iaitu 0.910 untuk bangunan pejabat. Hasilnya secara khusus dibandingkan dengan tiga (3) bangunan rujukan iaitu Wisma Rozali, Wisma Sunway dan Menara Persekutuan Melaka. Pengesahan keputusan adalah masing-masing -8.27%, +12.71 dan 2.02%. Hasilnya menyimpulkan bahawa bangunan sampel yang lulus kaedah

penaksiran parameter yang lebih baik adalah lebih dipercayai. Sementara itu, kaedah penunjuk prestasi tenaga yang bertambah baik menghasilkan nilai yang menakjubkan iaitu 137kWh / m<sup>2</sup>. tahun apabila dibandingkan dengan Garis Panduan KETTHA Kecekapan Tenaga di Bangunan. Hasilnya mencerminkan bahawa penunjuk prestasi tenaga yang bertambah baik ini adalah selaras dengan kajian-kajian penunjuk prestasi tenaga bangunan di Malaysia lain sebelum ini tertakluk kepada suatu jangkauan tertentu.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my chairman Professor Dr. Ir Nor Mariah Adam and co-advisor of this thesis, Dr. Eris Elliandy Supeni for their untiring effort, valuable guidance and advice. They have inspired me greatly in completing this thesis. Without their guidance and persistent help, this dissertation would not have been possible.

In addition, I would like to take this opportunity to express my heartfelt gratitude to Shah Alam City Council (MBSA) Head of Department and Low Carbon City Framework (LCCF) Team, Puan Anne Sazrin and Encik Fadzli Aziz for giving me the opportunity to learn, study, obtained valuable data and perform research pertaining Shah Alam. Both have been very helpful and accommodative as well as giving me valuable information and guidance throughout this thesis.

Finally, an honorable mention to my beloved wife A'bidatun Ilyani Ilias, Sustainable Development Authority Malaysia (SEDA) with special thank to Mr Steve, all the building owners in Seksyen 14, Shah Alam, colleagues, families and many friends for their understandings and support in completing this thesis. Without help particularly from those mentioned above, I would be facing many difficulties in completing this project.

Hairi Bin Ponichan

August 2019



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 18 February 2019 to conduct the final examination of Hairi bin Ponichan on his thesis entitled "Improvement of Energy Performance Indicator for Buildings in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Nuraini Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Associate Professor Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohamad Fakri Zaky Bin Ja'afar, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Abdul Mutalib Bin Leman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 October 2019

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Nor Mariah Adam, PhD**

Professor Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Eris Ellianddy Supeni, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 October 2019

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: HAIRI BIN PONICHAN GS 47080

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Prof Dr. Ir Nor Mariah Adam  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Dr Eris Ellianddy Supeni  
\_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvi
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction on Building Energy Performance Indicator	6
2.2 Assessing Building Energy Performance Indicator through Green Building Certification	7
2.3 Assessing Building Energy Performance Indicator	14
2.4 Calculating Building Energy Performance Indicator	18
2.5 Factor Assessment Evaluation	20
2.6 Building Energy Performance Indicator Sample Data Assessment	22
<b>3 MATERIALS AND METHODS / METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Introduction	23
3.2 Sample Site Selection	23
3.3 Parameter Assessment	27
3.4 Pilot Study	28
3.5 Checklist Development	34
3.6 Data Analysis Stage	41
<b>4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>46</b>
4.1 Introduction	46
4.2 Sample Building Improved Primary Function Result	50
4.3 Sample Building Improved Net Floor Area Parameter result	53
4.4 Sample Building Improved Building Energy Consumption Parameter Result	57

4.5	Sample Building Overall Improved Parameter Result	65
4.6	Result Analysis	73
4.7	Sample for Building Validation	76
4.8	Result Validation with Validation Buildings	77
4.9	Result Findings	80
4.10	Discussion	81
<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	<b>82</b>
	<b>REFERENCES/BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>84</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>95</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>143</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>144</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 Organization and their related established Building Energy Performance Indicator in Malaysia	2
1.2 Malaysia Building Energy Performance Indicator Reference	3
1.3 Malaysia Building Energy Performance Indicator Reference	3
2.1 The List of Countries with Their Green Building Certification	7
2.2 List of Ten (10) Countries Green Building Rating System Unique Scope	10
2.3 Comparison of Ten (10) Green Building Rating System Credit Criteria Points System for Non-Residential Existing Building	11
2.4 Comparison on Energy Criterion Essential Points on Ten (10) Green Building Rating System	12
2.5 Building Energy Performance Study across the World	15
2.6 Boemi & Tziogas suggested Building Energy Performance Indicator	19
2.7 United States (Star, 2016) Building Energy Performance Indicator Reference	20
2.8 Chapter Two (2) Main Points Summary	21
3.1 Shah Alam City Center Building Age and Number of Levels	25
3.2 Workshop Participate Building Owner / Operator	29
3.3 Workshop Parameters Outcome	31
3.4 Contribution Parameter Evaluation	32
3.5 Sample Checklist Table for Parameter Value Evaluation	35
3.6 Sample Building Electricity Consumption Account Spreadsheet and Part Description	38
3.7 Building Electricity Consumption Spreadsheet	39
3.8 Overall Result Table	44
3.9 Building Summary That Met Requirement	45
4.1 Land Area and Building Area Parameters Value	47
4.2 Sample Buildings that Fulfilled the Building Categories Requirement for Building Energy Performance Indicator	51
4.3 The buildings that Fulfilled Improve Building Net Floor Area Requirement for Building Energy Performance Indicator	53

4.4	Sample of Building Energy Consumption Account Spreadsheets (BECAS)	58
4.5	Sample of Building Energy Consumption Spreadsheet (BECS)	59
4.6	Samples Building Energy Consumption Retrieved Data from Building Energy Consumption Spreadsheet (BECS)	60
4.7	Building that Fulfilled the Improved Building Energy Consumption Requirement for Building Energy Performance Indicator	61
4.8	The overall results for the sample building fulfil of Improve Building Energy Performance Indicator requirements	65
4.9	Summary of Sample Buildings that Fulfilled Improved Building Energy Performance Indicator (BEPI) Requirements	69
4.10	Validation Building Detail Information	76
4.11	Validation Buildings Energy Performance Indicator Parameter	78
4.12	Comparison Calculation between Validation Buildings Actual and Equation Average kWh for Year 2015-16	79



## LIST OF FIGURES

Table		Page
3.1	Research flow chart	23
3.2	Shah Alam City Center Plan View	24
3.3	Sample Location Aerial View	25
3.4	Examples of Sampling Location Buildings	26
3.5	Shah Alam Location in Selangor State	27
3.6	Detail method framework for parameter assessment	28
3.7	Building Owner and Related Agency during Workshop	30
3.8	MBSA Tax Assessment System Interface	33
3.9	MBSA Tax Assessment System Interface	33
3.10	Detail method framework for Checklist Development	34
3.11	Monthly Electricity Bill Sample	36
3.12	Simplified Description on Data Extraction	37
3.13	Simplified Description on Data Extraction	40
3.14	Flow Chart for Data Analysis Stage	42
4.1	Tabulation of Land Primary Functions	49
4.2	Land Area with Building Percentage Based on Primary Function	49
4.3	Building Area Percentage Based on Primary Function	50
4.4	Histogram Chart on Total, Pass and Fail Buildings Based on United States	53
4.5	Histogram Chart on Total, Pass and Fail Buildings Based on Improve Building Net Floor Area	56
4.6	Net Floor Area Percentage Based on Building Primary Function	57
4.7	Histogram Chart on Total, Pass and Fail Sample Buildings Based on building improved energy consumption requirement	64
4.8	Building Energy Consumption Percentage Based on Primary Function	64
4.9	Histogram Chart on Total, Pass and Fail Buildings Based on Improve Building Energy Performance Indicator	68
4.10	Histogram Comparative Chart Based on Sample Building Age and Individual Building Energy Performance Indicator Value	70
4.11	Histogram Comparative Chart Based on Sample Building Number of Level and Individual Building Energy Performance Indicator Value	71

4.12	Histogram Comparative Chart Based on Sample Building Land Area and Individual Building Energy Performance Indicator Value	71
4.13	Histogram Comparative Chart Based on Sample Building Area and Individual Building Energy Performance Indicator Value	72
4.14	Histogram Comparative Chart Based on Primary Function and Individual Building Energy Performance Indicator Value	73
4.15	Graph on Building that Fulfilled BEPI requirements compared with KETTHA Guideline for Energy Efficiency in Buildings and GBI Malaysia Existing Building Energy Performance Indicator	74
4.16	Office Building Result Compared with KETTHA Guideline and GBI Malaysia Existing Building Energy Performance Indicator	75
4.17	Retail Building Result Compared with KETTHA Guideline and GBI Malaysia Existing Building Energy Performance Indicator	75
4.18	The Validation Buildings	77
4.19	Office Building Compared to KETHHA Guideline, GBI Malaysia Existing Building Energy Performance Indicator and Validation Buildings	78
4.20	Comparison of Validation Buildings and Result Equation	80

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
KETTHA	Kementerian Tenaga, Teknologi Hijau dan Air
GBI	Green Building Index
BEPI	Building Energy Performance Indicator
NFA	Net Floor Area
BREEAM	Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
PAM	Persatuan Arkitek Malaysia
ACE	Asean Centre of Energy
SEDA	Sustainable Energy Development Authority
BEI	Building Energy Index
EEI	Energy Efficiency Intensity
CUSUM	Consumption Actual Consumption and Cumulative Sum
PWD	Public Work Department Malaysia
ACA	Air-conditioned space
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
UBBL	Uniform Building By-Laws 1984
BEETG	Building Energy Efficiency Technical Guideline
CASBEE	Comprehensive Assessment System for Built Environment Efficiency
IGBC	India Green Building Council
NE	North East
SW	South West
EUI	Energy Use Intensity
EMS	Energy Management System
AEMAS	Association of Southeast Asian Nations Energy Manager Accreditation Scheme
TNB	Tenaga Nasional Berhad
LPG	Low Pressure Gas
MBSA	Shah Alam City Council
EMGS	AEMAS Energy Management Gold Standard
BECS	Building Electricity Consumption Spreadsheet
BECAS	Building Electricity Consumption Account Spreadsheet

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

As world population increase competition for land become competitive. More people move into cities or suburbs. The cities need to cater existing and future population needs, sensitive towards their environment and to ensure their lifestyle and facilities do not adversely affect the environment. (KETTTHA, 2011), Kementerian Tenaga, Teknologi Hijau dan Air.

One of the major components for achieving sustainable city is managing energy conservation and efficiency. Policy-maker parties such as federal government, government related agencies and local governments are role models that need to assess the trends towards managing energy conservation and efficiency (KETTTHA, 2011). Building consume 40% of energy consumption. (Tsai, 2010), the building energy performance indicator is a comparative measurement indicator between buildings to show operator success in managing energy. (Abdallah & El-rayes, 2015).

Current trend in the building sector is to promote green building which in turn strive towards energy efficient buildings. This sentiment is displayed through 50 certification methods that measure building success in managing energy such as Green Star Australia, BREEAM United Kingdom, LEED United State, GBI Malaysia and others that depend on each country exclusive design. (Sood, Chua, & Peng, 2011)

Meanwhile, more than 53 building energy performance indicator are in existence with various key performance index and methodological approaches (Boemi & Tziogas, 2016). The building energy performance indicator are divided into four (4) major classified methods for assessing and calculating the energy performance of buildings which based on (Boemi & Tziogas, 2016) (Beusker, Stoy, & Pollalis, 2012):-

- i) Standard assumptions
- ii) Designated factors (e.g., climatic data, operating time)
- iii) Physical and thermal quantities of individual buildings;
- iv) Quantitative parameters.

In Malaysia, there are four (4) most established building energy performance indicators which are developed or adopted by Persatuan Arkitek Malaysia (PAM), Suruhanjaya Tenaga, Asean Centre of Energy (ACE) and Sustainable Energy Development Authority (SEDA). Table 1.1 shows the organization and their related established building energy performance indicator in Malaysia.

**Table 1.1: Organization and their related established Building Energy Performance Indicator in Malaysia**

Organization	Building Energy Performance Indicator
(GBI Building, 2011)	Total annual energy per unit area, Building Energy Intensity (BEI) (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)
(Suruhanjaya Tenaga, 2014)	Total annual energy per unit, Energy Efficiency Intensity (EEI) Total annualized energy (kWh) Forecast energy consumption (kWh) from regression analysis ( $y=mx+c$ ), Difference between forecast consumption actual consumption and Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) analysis
(Asean Centre of Energy (ACE), 2014)	Total annual energy per unit, Energy Efficiency Intensity (EEI)
(Greentech Malaysia ; SEDA Malaysia, 2013)	Total annual energy per unit area, Building Energy Index (BEI) (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Several variations of standard general value the building energy performance indicator are mentioned in few Malaysian publishing as tabulated in Table 1.2.

Based on (Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015), the Building Energy Index (BEI) for commercial building in Malaysia is 135kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year which is quoted from (Noranai, 2011). (Noranai, 2011) mentioned that the value was referred from MS 1525. However, Direct reference on MS1525:2014 standard indicated that the BEI mentioned is untraceable. While, (Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015) has further mentioned that (Rashid et al., 2011) stated that baseline set by Public Work Department Malaysia (PWD) for their target in energy saving program for various categories of government building is 150kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year.

The KETTHA guideline published in 1989 for energy efficiency suggested the Building Energy Index (BEI) for the buildings area divided into four categories. These categories are worst case building with 216kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year, base case building with 166kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year, proposed standard case building with 136kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year and good practice case building with 82kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year. (Ministry of Energy, 1989).

Meanwhile, the PAM Green Building Index (GBI) mentioned that the building energy performance indicator is divided into several building categories which are new building, existing building and existing building (24 hours). The GBI rating system indicates that the standard value of 150kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year, 200kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year and 290kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year respectively.

However, the building energy performance indicator value tabulated is not satisfying. The reason was the building energy performance indicator referred to Public Work Department Malaysia (PWD) is just a suggested baseline, while KETTHA guideline and PAM GBI rating system building

energy performance indicator is applicable to all building types. This mismatch is illustrated in Table 1.2 where Mohd Tarmizi Mohd Asim mentioned 150kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year but guideline set by KETTHA was 82 - 216kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year while that of GBI Malaysia is 150 - 290kWh/m<sup>2</sup>.year.

**Table 1.2: Malaysia Building Energy Performance Indicator Reference**

Organization / Reference Party	Case Scenarios	Building Energy Performance Indicator Value (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year)
(Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015), (Noranai, 2011),	Commercial building	135 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
(Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015), (Rashid et al., 2011)	Government building related to Public Work Department Malaysia (PWD)	150kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
KETTHA guideline	Worst case building	216 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
	Base case building	166 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
	Proposed standard case building	136 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
	Good practice case building	82 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
PAM GBI Rating System	New Building	150 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
	Existing Building	200 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year
	Existing Building (24 Hours)	290 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> .year

Meanwhile, building energy performance indicator in Malaysia commonly use total energy consumption and net floor area as their parameter as mentioned in Table 1.1. The further complexity raised from the method used to evaluate the parameter. There are variation and no standardized method in evaluating parameters.

The total energy consumption assessment detail is based on month of data collection period while net floor area assessment detail is based on the minimum space area of each building feasible for building energy performance measuring. Table 1.3. shows various record time for collecting energy consumption and net floor area assessment by Malaysian publishers.

**Table 1.3: Malaysia Building Energy Performance Indicator Reference**

Organization / Reference Party	Total Energy Consumption assessment	Net Floor Area Assessment (m <sup>2</sup> )
(Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015),	60 months	
(Suruhanjaya Tenaga, 2014)	36 months	
(Asean Centre of Energy (ACE), 2014)	24 months	
PAM GBI Rating System	36 months	
(Department of Standard Malaysia, 2007)		1000m <sup>2</sup> /4000m <sup>2</sup>

(Mohd Tarmizi Mat Asim, 2015) recorded a staggering 60 months of energy consumption collecting period. (Suruhanjaya Tenaga, 2014) meanwhile stated that it was suggested the total energy consumption record of at least 36 months of electricity bill. While, the (Asean Centre of Energy (ACE), 2014) stated that the minimum required record of electricity consumed is 24 months of electricity bill and PAM mentioned 36 months period of energy consumption as an alternative assessment.



Whereas, Based on (Department of Standard Malaysia, 2007) sub-chapter 5.2 mentioned that the minimum air-conditioned space (ACA) 1000m<sup>2</sup> is needed to comply the Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV). A different value is stated by (Department of Standard Malaysia, 2007) in sub chapter 9.1 stated that Energy Management System (EMS) should only consider for building with more than 4000m<sup>2</sup> of air-conditioned space (ACA).

### **1.3 Objectives of Research**

The aim of this research is to develop an improvement to the existing building energy performance indicator for related agencies in Malaysia. The project is to study the current building energy performance indicator. Therefore, due to these differences, the standard total energy consumption record collection period and minimum net floor area that can be used to calculate building energy performance indicator are varies and need to be standardized. Taking mentioned discrepancy of the available references of Malaysian building energy performance indicator and method used to evaluate the parameter, there is a great need to investigate and improvement method on building energy performance indicator in order for the operator to have a simple, fast and workable evaluation method.

The specific objectives are as follows: -

- a) To identify parameters floor space / electricity bill / category that can improve the building energy performance indicator
- b) Develop simplified model for building operator
- c) To verify the develop model using buildings outside Shah Alam City Centre.

### **1.4 Scope and Limitation**

The Shah Alam Section 14 City Center was chosen for the case study due to existence of many high-rise buildings. Due to availability of the existing comprehensive data framework in related factors and parameters, availability background information for data collection, data analysis and identifying Shah Alam gave a clear opportunity be selected for data sampling.

The constraints encountered throughout this project amongst others, includes the data collected is solely from Shah Alam Section 14 City Center several buildings which lack of data required manual measurement, where there was a disparity for net floor area value. There are two (2) bodies that classifying building energy performance indicator through building function with a huge database. It was LEED United States and BREEAM United Kingdom with over 90,000 and 16,000 buildings respectively.

However, due LEED United State provide an open data which can be retrieved easily. LEED United State building function categories are used. Moreover, LEED United State, Energy Star offer a classification variety such

as banking / financial service, education, public assembly, lodging and religious worship which hardly available in other.

### **1.5 Thesis Report Structure**

This research started with a discussion on the background of the building energy performance indicator. Chapter 1 brings the reader into the background of the research, problem statement and objectives of the research. The chapter also discusses the scope and limitation of the research. Chapter 2 traces the building energy performance indicator background solely on policies, regulation, related guideline and related references. The chapter focuses on building energy performance indicator parameters improvement opportunities and current building energy performance indicator available in Malaysia. Then, Chapter 3 provides research methodology adopted. Thus, describe data collection improve method together with research flow chart. Later in Chapter 4, we examine the results / findings from the research. The results started with the contribution parameter result and ended with suggested significant building energy performance indicator. Finally, Chapter 5 is the conclusion chapter which includes conclusion derived from this study and recommended future work.



## REFERENCES

- Abbasi, I., Ghazali, Z., Majid, M. A. B. A., & Hussain, S. F. (2015). Integrating Economic Analysis in Decision Making Process: A Case Study of a Steam Absorption Chiller in a Malaysian Gas District Cooling Plant. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 7(2), 53.
- Abdallah, M., & El-rayes, K. (2015). Optimizing the selection of building upgrade measures to minimize the operational negative environmental impacts of existing buildings. *Building and Environment*, 84, 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.10.010>
- Abu Bakar, N. N., Hassan, M. Y., Abdullah, H., Rahman, H. A., Abdullah, M. P., Hussin, F., & Bandi, M. (2013). Sustainable Energy Management Practices and Its Effect on EEL: A Study on University Buildings. *Proceedings of Global Engineering, Science and Technology Conference*, 2(1), 1–11.
- Agency, I. E. (1997). *The political relevance of energy and CO<sub>2</sub> indicators-An introduction*. 25, 639–649.
- Allouhi, A., Fouih, Y. El, Kousksou, T., Jamil, A., Zeraoui, Y., & Mourad, Y. (2015). Energy consumption and efficiency in buildings: current status and future trends. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 109, 118–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.139>
- APEC. (2016). *APEC Energy Demand and Supply Outlook*. Japan.
- Argiriou, A. A., Balaras, C., Gaglia, A., & Moustris, K. P. (1991). *Energy Audits in Public and Commercial Buildings in Greece*. (May 2014).
- Attia, S., Hamdy, M., O'Brien, W., & Carlucci, S. (2013). Assessing gaps and needs for integrating building performance optimization tools in net zero energy buildings design. *Energy and Buildings*, 60, 110–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.01.016>
- Balaras, C. A., Dascalaki, E. G., Droutsas, K. G., Kontoyiannidis, S., R., R., & Gudnason, G. (2014). Energy and other Key Performance Indicators for Buildings— Examples for Hellenic Buildings. *Global Journal of Energy Technology Research Updates*, 1(2), 71–89. <https://doi.org/10.15377/2409-5818.2014.01.02.2>
- Beusker, E., Stoy, C., & Pollalis, S. N. (2012). Estimation model and benchmarks for heating energy consumption of schools and sport facilities in Germany. *Building and Environment*, 49, 324–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.08.006>
- Blok, K., Geng, L., Harvey, D., Lang, S., Levermore, G., Mehlwana, A. M., ... Kingdom, U. (2007). *Residential and commercial 1 buildings*.
- Boemi, S., & Tziogas, C. (2016). Indicators for Buildings' Energy Performance. *Springer International Publishing Switzerland* 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20831-2>
- Bohdanowicz, P., Churie-Kallhauge, A., Martinac, I., & Rezachek, D. (2001).

Energy-Efficiency and Conservation in Hotels–Towards Sustainable Tourism. *4<sup>th</sup> International Simpósio Internacional Em Arquitetura Da Ásia e Pacífico, Havaí, Abril*, (April), 1–12.

- Borgstein, E. H., & Lamberts, R. (2014). Developing energy consumption benchmarks for buildings: Bank branches in Brazil. *Energy and Buildings*, 82, 82–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.07.028>
- BRE Global. (2016). BREEAM In-Use International, Technical Manual Version: 2. *BREEAM IN-USE International 2015- v.2*, (February).
- BREEAM UK. (2014). *BREEAM UK new construction*. watford UK.
- BSEEP, B. S. E. E. P. (2008). Building Energy Management Guidelines and Criteria for Electrical Energy Evaluations By: Building Sector Energy Efficiency Project ( BSEEP ). *Building Energy Management Guidelines and Criteria for Electrical Energy Evaluations By: Building Sector Energy Efficiency Project ( BSEEP )*, 1–19.
- BSEEP, B. S. E. E. P. (2016). *BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY TECHNICAL GUIDELINE FOR ACTIVE*. Putrajaya, Kuala Lumpur: Public Works Department Malaysia.
- Caldera, M., Corgnati, S. P., & Filippi, M. (2008). Energy demand for space heating through a statistical approach: application to residential buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 40(10), 1972–1983. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2008.05.005>
- Cartalis, C., Synodinou, A., Proedrou, M., Tsangrassoulis, A., & Santamouris, M. (2001). *Modifications in energy demand in urban areas as a result of climate changes: an assessment for the southeast Mediterranean region*. 42.
- CASBEE Japan. (1999). *Casbee Scoresheet*. Japan.
- Castleton, H. F., Stovin, V., Beck, S. B. M., & Davison, J. B. (2010). Green roofs; Building energy savings and the potential for retrofit. *Energy and Buildings*, 42(10), 1582–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2010.05.004>
- Chan, W. W., & Lam, J. C. (2002). Prediction of pollutant emission through electricity consumption by the hotel industry in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 21(4), 381–391. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4319\(02\)00027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4319(02)00027-0)
- Chung, W., & Hui, Y. V. (2009). A study of energy efficiency of private office buildings in Hong Kong. *Energy and Buildings*, 41(6), 696–701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.02.001>
- Chwieduk, D. A. (2017). Towards modern options of energy conservation in buildings. *Renewable Energy*, 101, 1194–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.09.061>
- Cidell, J. (2009). Building green: The emerging geography of LEED-certified buildings and professionals. *Professional Geographer*, 61(2), 200–215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330120902735932>

- Consumption, A. E. (2009). *Green Building Index – Ms1525 1 . Factors Affecting Energy Use in.*
- Crawley, D., & Aho, I. (2010). *Building environmental assessment methods : applications and development trends Building environmental assessment methods : applications and development trends.* (March 2013), 37–41.
- Crawley, D. B., Systems, B., Aho, I., & Corporation, U. (1999). *Building environmental assessment methods: Applications and development Building environmental assessment methods: applications and development trends.* (July). <https://doi.org/10.1080/096132199369417>
- Dabaieh, M., & Johansson, E. (2018). Building Performance and Post Occupancy Evaluation for an off-grid low carbon and solar PV plus-energy powered building. A case from the Western Desert in Egypt. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 18, 418–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.04.011>
- De Gracia, A., & Cabeza, L. F. (2015). Phase change materials and thermal energy storage for buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 103, 414–419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.06.007>
- Delgarm, N., Sajadi, B., Azarbad, K., & Delgarm, S. (2018). Sensitivity analysis of building energy performance: A simulation-based approach using OFAT and variance-based sensitivity analysis methods. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 15(December 2017), 181–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2017.11.020>
- Deng, S. M., & Burnett, J. (2000). Study of energy performance of hotel buildings in Hong Kong. *Energy and Buildings*, 31(1), 7–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(98\)00067-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(98)00067-X)
- Department of Standard Malaysia. Malaysian Code of Practice on Energy, , Code of Practise On Energy Efficiency and Use of Renewable Energy for Non-Residential Buildings § (2007).
- Devine, A., & Kok, N. (2015). Green certification and building performance: Implications for tangibles and intangibles. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 41(6), 151–163. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.2015.41.6.151>
- Duarte, C., & Rieger, C. (2013). *Revealing Occupancy Patterns in Office Buildings Through the Use of Annual Occupancy Sensor Data ASHRAE Annual Conference Revealing Occupancy Patterns in Office Buildings through the use of Annual Occupancy Sensor Data.* 67, 587–595. <https://doi.org/dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.08.062>
- Dutta, A., Samanta, A., & Neogi, S. (2017). Influence of orientation and the impact of external window shading on building thermal performance in tropical climate. *Energy and Buildings*, 139, 680–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.01.018>
- Dwaikat, L. N., & Ali, K. N. (2018). The economic benefits of a green building – Evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 18(February), 448–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.04.017>

- Economic Planning Unit. (2015). Strengthening Infrastructure to Support Economic Expansion. In *Rancangan Malaysia Kesebelas (Eleventh Malaysia Plan) : 2016-2020*. Putrajaya, Kuala Lumpur.
- Electric, M. (2010). Building Energy Use Intensity. *Mitsubishi Electric*, 6.
- Energy Commission Malaysia. (2012). *Electricity Tariff Regulatory Implementation*. (January).
- Fan, C., Sun, Y., Shan, K., Xiao, F., & Wang, J. (2018). Discovering gradual patterns in building operations for improving building energy efficiency. *Applied Energy*, 224(October 2017), 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.04.118>
- Farrou, I., Kolokotroni, M., & Santamouris, M. (2016). Building envelope design for climate change mitigation: a case study of hotels in Greece. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 35(10), 944–967. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2014.966711>
- GBI Building, N. E. (2011). *GBI Assessment criteria*.
- GBI Malaysia. (2009). GBI Assessment Criteria. In *GBI ASSESSMENT CRITERIA for NON-RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION (NRNC)* (Vol. 1). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Geng, Y., Ji, W., Lin, B., Hong, J., & Zhu, Y. (2018). Building energy performance diagnosis using energy bills and weather data. *Energy and Buildings*, 172, 181–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.04.047>
- Gonçalves, P., Gaspar, A. R., & Silva, M. G. Da. (2012). Energy and exergy-based indicators for the energy performance assessment of a hotel building. *Energy and Buildings*, 52, 181–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.06.011>
- GREEN BUILDING COUNCIL INDONESIA. (2012). *GREENSHIP RATING TOOLS GREENSHIP New Building*. Indonesia.
- Green Mark, & Gm, N. B. (2017). *Green Mark for Existing Non-Residential Buildings 2017* (No. GM ENRB: 2017). Singapore.
- Green Star of Australia. (2017a). *Green House Gas emissions calculator*. Australia.
- Green Star of Australia. (2017b). *Green star performance v1*.
- Greentech Malaysia ; SEDA Malaysia. (2013). *Method to identify Building Energy Index BEI*. Putrajaya, Kuala Lumpur.
- Grunewald, P., & Diakonova, M. (2018). The electricity footprint of household activities - implications for demand models. *Energy and Buildings*, 174, 635–641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.06.034>
- Gurgun, A. P., & Arditi, D. (2018). Assessment of energy credits in LEED-certified buildings based on certification levels and project ownership. *Buildings*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings8020029>



- Haapio, A., & Viitaniemi, P. (2008). *A critical review of building environmental assessment tools*. 28, 469–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2008.01.002>
- Hamdy, M., Hasan, A., & Siren, K. (2013). A multi-stage optimization method for cost-optimal and nearly-zero-energy building solutions in line with the EPBD-recast 2010. *Energy and Buildings*, 56, 189–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.08.023>
- Heinonen, J., & Junnila, S. (2014). Residential energy consumption patterns and the overall housing energy requirements of urban and rural households in Finland. *Energy and Buildings*, 76, 295–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.02.079>
- HKGBC. (2012). *BEAM Plus existing Buildings Version 1.2*. Hong Kong.
- IEA, Skagestad, B., & Mildenstein, P. i. (2002). *District Heating and Cooling Connection Handbook - Programme of Research, Development and Demonstration on District Heating and Cooling*.
- Illankoon, I. M. C. S., Tam, V. W. Y., Le, K. N., & Shen, L. (2017). Key credit criteria among international green building rating tools. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 164, 209–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.206>
- Indian Green Building Council. (2013). *IGBC Green Existing Buildings O&M Rating System: Pilot Version*. Mumbai, India.
- INDONESIA, G. B. C. (2011). *GREENSHIP EXISTING BUILDING*.
- International Energy Agency. (2008). *Energy Efficiency Requirements in Building Codes, Energy Efficiency Policies for New Buildings* (No. OECD/IEA, March 2008).
- Jamaludin, A. A., Mahmood, N. Z., Keumala, N., Ariffin, A. R. M., & Hussein, H. (2013). Energy audit and prospective energy conservation: Studies at residential college buildings in a tropical region. *Facilities*, 31(3), 158–173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02632771311299430>
- Kamaruzzaman, S. N., & Edwards, R. E. (2006). Evaluating performance characteristics of electricity use of British historic buildings in Malaysia. *Facilities*, 24(3–4), 141–152. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02632770610649403>
- Kammaludden, M. N. (2012). *Study of Building Energy Index in Uthm*. 1(2), 429–433.
- Karcher, P., & Jochem, R. (2015). Success factors and organizational approaches for the implementation of energy management systems according to ISO 50001. *TQM Journal*, 27(4), 361–381. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-01-2015-0016>
- Katafygiotou, M. C., & Serghides, D. K. (2014). Analysis of structural elements and energy consumption of school building stock in Cyprus: Energy simulations and upgrade scenarios of a typical school. *Energy & Buildings*, 72, 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.12.024>
- KETTHA, M. of E. (2011a). *Low Carbon Cities Framework & Assessment*

*System* (1st ed.; M. of E. KETTHA, Ed.). Putrajaya, Kuala Lumpur: KETTHA.

- KETTHA, M. of E. (2011b). Summary for Policymakers. In Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Ed.), *Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis* (1st ed., pp. 1–30). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Khemiri, A., & Hassairi, M. (2005). Development of energy efficiency improvement in the Tunisian hotel sector: A case study. *Renewable Energy*, 30(6), 903–911. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2004.09.021>
- Koezjakov, A., Urge-Vorsatz, D., Crijns-Graus, W., & van den Broek, M. (2018). The relationship between operational energy demand and embodied energy in Dutch residential buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 165, 233–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.01.036>
- Kolokotsa, D. (2016). The role of smart grids in the building sector. *Energy and Buildings*, 116, 703–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.12.033>
- Krishnan gowri. (2004, November). Green Building Rating System. *ASHRAE Journal*, p. 56.
- Labeodan, T., Zeiler, W., Boxem, G., & Zhao, Y. (2015). Occupancy measurement in commercial office buildings for demand-driven control applications - A survey and detection system evaluation. *Energy and Buildings*, 93, 303–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.02.028>
- LEED United States. (2018). *LEED v 4 for BUILDING OPERATIONS AND*. United States.
- LEED United States. (2019). *LEED US V4: Schools*. United States.
- Lim, G. H., Hirning, M. B., Keumala, N., & Ghafar, N. A. (2017). Daylight performance and users' visual appraisal for green building offices in Malaysia. *Energy and Buildings*, 141, 175–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.02.028>
- Lützkendorf, T., & Lorenz, D. (2006). Using an integrated performance approach in building assessment tools. *Building Research and Information*, 34(4), 334–356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613210600672914>
- M. P. Laurenzi. (2007). Building Energy Efficiency: Why Green Buildings Are Key to Asia's Future. In *An Asia Business Council Book*.
- Ma, Z., Cooper, P., Daly, D., & Ledo, L. (2012). Existing building retrofits: Methodology and state-of-the-art. *Energy and Buildings*, 55, 889–902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.08.018>
- Majlis Bandaraya Shah Alam. (2014). *Kajian Rekabentuk Bandar Di Kawasan Pusat Bandar Seksyen 14, Shah Alam*. Shah Alam Selangor.
- Majlis Bandaraya Shah Alam. (2016). *Low Carbon Cities Framework and Assessment System (LCCF)*. Shah Alam Selangor.

- Majlis Bandaraya Shah Alam. (2017a). *Preliminary Energy Audit at Wisma MBSA, Shah Alam, Selangor*. Shah Alam Selangor.
- Majlis Bandaraya Shah Alam. (2017b). *Summary of Preliminary Energy Audit Report at Wisma MBSA, Shah Alam, Selangor*.
- Malaysia Government. *EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY REGULATIONS 2008*. , (2008).
- Manual, T. (2014). *TECHNICAL MANUAL EXISTING BUILDING PERFORMANCE Rev . 1*. (October 2013).
- Menezes, A. C., Cripps, A., Buswell, R. A., Wright, J., & Bouchlaghem, D. (2014). Estimating the energy consumption and power demand of small power equipment in office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 75, 199–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.02.011>
- Ministry of Energy Green Technologies and Water. (2014). *National Energy Efficiency Action Plan*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Ministry of Energy, T. and P. M. (1989). *Guideline for Energy Efficiency in Buildings*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Malaysia: Ministry of Energy, Telecommunication and Post Malaysia.
- MITC. (2019). Menara Persekutuan MITC - Melaka Pages. Retrieved from Melaka pages website: <https://www.melakapages.com/menara-persekutuan/>
- Moe, E. M. (2005). Building performance with district cooling. *ASHRAE Journal*, 47(7), 46–53.
- Moghimi, S., Mat, S., Lim, C. H., Zaharim, A., & Sopian, K. (2011). Building Energy Index ( BEI ) in Large Scale Hospital : Case Study of Malaysia. *Biomedicine*, 167–170.
- Mokhtar Azizi, N. S., Wilkinson, S., & Fassman, E. (2015). Strategies for improving energy saving behaviour in commercial buildings in Malaysia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 22(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-04-2014-0054>
- Nguyen, T. A., & Aiello, M. (2013). Energy intelligent buildings based on user activity: A survey. *Energy and Buildings*, 56, 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.09.005>
- Niaga, P. (2017). *Puncak Niaga Yearly Report*. Shah Alam Selangor.
- Nizarudin, N. D., Hussain, M. R. M., & Tukiman, I. (2010). The Application of the Green Building Index ( GBI ) on Sustainable Site Planning and Management for Residential New Construction : Prospects and Future Benefits The Application of the Green Building Index ( GBI ) on. *Sustainable Tropical Environmental Design Conference 2010 (SUSTED 2010)*, (August 2015).
- Noranai, Z. Bin. (2011). Study of energy efficiency opportunities in uthm. *Study of Energy Efficiency Opportunities in UTHM*, 5(5), 313–319.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>

- Önüt, S., & Soner, S. (2006). Energy efficiency assessment for the Antalya Region hotels in Turkey. *Energy and Buildings*, 38(8), 964–971. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2005.11.006>
- Papadopoulos, S., Bonczak, B., & Kontokosta, C. E. (2018). Pattern recognition in building energy performance over time using energy benchmarking data. *Applied Energy*, 221(December 2017), 576–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.03.079>
- Pérez-Lombard, L., Ortiz, J., & Pout, C. (2008). A review on buildings energy consumption information. *Energy and Buildings*, 40(3), 394–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2007.03.007>
- Prieto, A., Knaack, U., Auer, T., & Klein, T. (2018). Passive cooling & climate responsive façade design exploring the limits of passive cooling strategies to improve the performance of commercial buildings in warm climates. *Energy and Buildings*, 175, 30–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.06.016>
- Priyadarsini, R., Xuchao, W., & Eang, L. S. (2009). A study on energy performance of hotel buildings in Singapore. *Energy and Buildings*, 41(12), 1319–1324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.07.028>
- Production, S., & Products, E. (2012). ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN MALAYSIA Sustainable Production and Consumption. In *Association of Water and Energy Research Malaysia*.
- Public Works Department Malaysia. (2013a). *Building Energy Efficiency Technical Guideline for Active Design*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Public Works Department Malaysia. (2013b). *BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY TECHNICAL GUIDELINE FOR PASSIVE*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Public Works Department Malaysia. (2014). *Guidelines on the development and implimentation of energy management system for building facilities*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Rashid, Y. R., Sulaiman, M. S., Aziz, A., Selamat, H., Mat Yani, A. H., & Kandar, M. Z. (2011a). Greening government's office buildings: PWD Malaysia experiences. *Greening Government's Office Buildings: PWD Malaysia Experiences*, 21, 1056–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2111>
- Rashid, Y. R., Sulaiman, M. S., Aziz, A., Selamat, H., Mat Yani, A. H., & Kandar, M. Z. (2011b). Greening government's office buildings: PWD Malaysia experiences. *Procedia Engineering*, 21, 1056–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2111>
- Reed, R. G., Insights, R. P., Wilkinson, S. J., & Schulte, K. (2011). A Comparison of international sustainable building tools. *JOSRE*, 1(November 2018), 23.
- Regulations, I., & Number, E. (2000). *Part 3 , Chapter 1 Part 3 , Chapter 1* (pp. 1–8). pp. 1–8.



- Rentetan, T., Basah, H., Malaysia, S., & Monsun, M. (2009). Trends of Wet Spells over Peninsular Malaysia during Monsoon Seasons. *Sains Malaysiana*, 38(2), 133–142.
- Rentetan, T., Basah, H., & Monsun, M. (2009). Trends of Wet Spells over Peninsular Malaysia during Monsoon Seasons. *Sains Malaysiana*, 38(2), 133–142.
- Roslizar, A., Alghoul, M. A., Bakhtyar, B., Asim, N., & Sopian, K. (2014). Annual energy usage reduction and cost savings of a school: End-use energy analysis. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014(May). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/310539>
- Roth, J., & Rajagopal, R. (2018). Benchmarking building energy efficiency using quantile regression. *Energy*, 152, 866–876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.02.108>
- Royapoor, M., Antony, A., & Roskilly, T. (2018). A review of building climate and plant controls, and a survey of industry perspectives. *Energy and Buildings*, 158, 453–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.10.022>
- Rupp, R. F., Vásquez, N. G., & Lamberts, R. (2015). A review of human thermal comfort in the built environment. *Energy and Buildings*, 105, 178–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.07.047>
- Saadatian, O. (2011). Sustainable Development in Malaysia-Planning and Initiatives. *Recent Researches in Chemistry, Biology, Environment and Culture*, 138–143.
- Santamouris, M., Balaras, C. A., Dascalaki, E., Argiriou, A., & Gaglia, A. (1996). Energy conservation and retrofitting potential in Hellenic hotels. *Energy and Buildings*, 24(1), 65–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(95\)00963-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(95)00963-9)
- Santamouris, M., Kapsis, K., Korres, D., Livada, I., Pavlou, C., & Assimakopoulos, M. N. (2007). *On the relation between the energy and social characteristics of the residential sector*. 39, 893–905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.11.001>
- Sarnaik, R. H. (2013). “ *District Cooling System* ”, as HVAC system of Sustainable India. 2(1), 382–386.
- Scofield, J. H. (2013). Efficacy of LEED-certification in reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas emission for large New York City office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 67, 517–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.08.032>
- Shari, Z., & Soebarto, V. (2014a). Investigating sustainable practices in the Malaysian office building developments. *Construction Innovation*, 14(1), 17–37. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-12-2012-0064>
- Shari, Z., & Soebarto, V. (2014b). Investigating sustainable practices in the Malaysian office building developments. *Construction Innovation*, 14(1), 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-12-2012-0064>
- Sheng, Y., Miao, Z., Zhang, J., Lin, X., & Ma, H. (2018). Energy consumption

- model and energy benchmarks of five-star hotels in China. *Energy and Buildings*, 165, 286–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.01.031>
- Skagestad, B., & Mildenstein, P. (n.d.). IEA DISTRICT HEATING AND COOLING Programme of Research, Development and Demonstration on District Heating and Cooling. In *District Energy*.
- Song, M., Niu, F., Mao, N., Hu, Y., & Deng, S. (2018). Review on building energy performance improvement using phase change materials. *Energy and Buildings*, 158, 776–793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.10.066>
- Sood, S. M., Chua, K. H., & Peng, L. Y. (2011). Sustainable Development in the Building Sector: Green Building Framework in Malaysia. *15-Icit*, 1–8.
- Stamp, S., Altamirano-Medina, H., & Lowe, R. (2017). Measuring and accounting for solar gains in steady state whole building heat loss measurements. *Energy and Buildings*, 153, 168–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.06.063>
- Star, E. Technical Reference U.S. Energy Use Intensity by Property Type. , Energy Star Portfolio Manager § (2016).
- Stoppelmoor, W. (2015). *ASHRAE Standard 100 – 2015 Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings Industry Standards Manager – Energy Efficiency Schneider Electric*.
- Sukri, A., Yusri, M., Abdullah, H., Rahman, H. A., Majid, M. S., & Bandi, M. (2012). Energy Efficiency Measurements in a Malaysian Public University. *2012 IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy (PECon), 2-5 December 2012*, (December), 2–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PECon.2012.6450281>
- Sunway REIT. (2019). Wisma Sunway - Sunway REIT. Retrieved from SUNWAY REIT website: <http://www.sunwayreit.com/wisma-sunway/>
- Suruhanjaya Tenaga. *Guidelines on No-Cost and Low-Cost Measures for Efficient Use of Electricity in Buildings*. , (2014).
- Teng, C., Horng, J., Hu, M. M., Chien, L., & Shen, Y. (2012). International Journal of Hospitality Management Developing energy conservation and carbon reduction indicators for the hotel industry in Taiwan. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(1), 199–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.06.006>
- Tian, W., Heo, Y., de Wilde, P., Li, Z., Yan, D., Park, C. S., ... Augenbroe, G. (2018). A review of uncertainty analysis in building energy assessment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 93(May), 285–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.05.029>
- Ting, L. S., Mohammed, A. H. Bin, & Choong, W. W. (2012). Proposed implementation strategies for energy sustainability on a Malaysian university campus. *Business Strategy Series*, 13(5), 208–214. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17515631211264087>
- Tsai, W. (2010). Energy sustainability from analysis of sustainable development

- indicators : A case study in Taiwan. *Science Direct*, 14(April 2009), 2131–2138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.03.027>
- United Nation Development Programme. (2010). *Comparison of Project Building Stock Baseline & Alternative Scenarios*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Vyas Gayatri Sachin. (2012). *Comparative Study of Rating Systems for Green Building in Developing and Developed Countries*. Bangkok, Thailand: Third International Conference on Construction in Developing Countries.
- Wang, J. C. (2012). A study on the energy performance of hotel buildings in Taiwan. *Energy and Buildings*, 49, 268–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.02.016>
- Wells, L., Rismanchi, B., & Aye, L. (2018). A review of Net Zero Energy Buildings with reflections on the Australian context. *Energy and Buildings*, 158, 616–628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.10.055>
- Wong, C. L. (2009). *Variability of rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia*.
- Yan, D., O'Brien, W., Hong, T., Feng, X., Burak Gunay, H., Tahmasebi, F., & Mahdavi, A. (2015). Occupant behavior modeling for building performance simulation: Current state and future challenges. *Energy and Buildings*, 107, 264–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.08.032>
- Yao, Z., Zhuang, Z., & Gu, W. (2015). Study on Energy Use Characteristics of Hotel Buildings in Shanghai. *Procedia Engineering*, 121, 1977–1982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.09.195>
- Yeom, D., & Lee, K. (2015). *Study on the Improvement of Korean Green Building Certification Criteria Focused on Certification Score and Specialist Survey Analysis*. (January), 129–136.
- Yusni, A., Mohamed, E., & Mohd, A. (2013). *The 3 rd International Building Control Conference 2013 A Comparison of the Green Building ' s Criteria*. 5, 1–10.
- Zainordin, N., & Abdullah, S. M. (2012). Users' Perception towards Energy Efficient Building. *Asian Journal of Environment-Behaviour Studies*, 3(9), 91–105.

## BIODATA OF STUDENT



Hairi Bin Ponichan is a former student Bachelor in Mechanical Engineering and Master in Business Administration from Universiti Teknologi Mara, Shah Alam, Selangor. He was born in Tanjong Karang, Selangor on 29th January 1979. He has a wealth experience in building maintenance and project management field. He started his experience in building maintenance and project management since 2006. He is also a competent Energy Manager under Energy Commission of Malaysia.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journals

**Hairi Ponichan**, Nor Mariah Adam and Eris Elianddy Supeni (2018). Shah Alam Malaysia Office Building Energy Index Model. *Journal of Building Engineering*. JOBE\_2018\_332. Submitted

**Hairi Ponichan**, Nor Mariah Adam and Eris Elianddy Supeni (2018). Shah Alam Malaysia Retail Building Energy Index Model. *Journal of Building Performance Simulation*. TBPS-2018-0093. Submitted

### Conferences

**Hairi Ponichan**, Azlin Mohd Azmi, Nor Mariah Adam and Eris Elianddy Supeni (2019), Building Energy Index (BEI) Model for Retail Buildings in Malaysia. *1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Green Technology and Sustainable Development 2019*. GTSD 2019-041. Submitted

### Books

**Hairi Ponichan**, Paper 12: Developing Audit Data for Building Energy Index Assessment in Shah Alam Malaysia, *Post Graduate Proceedings: Thermofluids and Energy (2018)*. ISBN 978-983-2408-55-0



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT  
AND COPYRIGHT**

**ACADEMIC SESSION : First Semester 2016/2017**

**TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT: IMPROVEMENT OF ENERGY  
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FOR BUILDINGS IN MALAYSIA**

**NAME OF STUDENT : HAIRI BIN PONICHAN**

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

\*Please tick (√)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

**RESTRICTED**

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

**OPEN ACCESS**

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for:

**PATENT**

Embargo from \_\_\_\_\_ until  
\_\_\_\_\_ (date)



(date)

**Approved by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Student)  
New IC No/ Passport No.:  
790129-10-5727  
Date :

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Chairman  
of Supervisory Committee)  
Name:  
Date :

**[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentiality or restricted. ]**